



*This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Economic Entomology following peer review. The version of record Yahana Aparicio, Rosa Gabarra, Judit Arnó. 2018. “Attraction of *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) to Sweet Alyssum and Assessment of Plant Resources Effects on their Fitness”. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 111 (2): 533–541. Oxford University Press (OUP), is available online at <https://academic.oup.com/jee/article-abstract/111/2/533/4818405?redirectedFrom=fulltext> and <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tox365>*

1 **Attraction of *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and *Aphidoletes aphidimyza***
2 **(Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) to sweet alyssum and assessment of plant resources effects**
3 **on their fitness**

4 Yahana Aparicio, Rosa Gabarra, Judit Arnó

5 IRTA, Sustainable Plant Protection Program, Ctra de Cabrils Km 2, 08348 Cabrils,
6 Barcelona, Spain.

7

8

9

10 Judit Arnó

11 IRTA, Sustainable Plant Protection Programme

12 Ctra. Cabrils km. 2

13 08348 Cabrils (Spain)

14 Tel. 93 750 75 11 (ext. 1207)/ Fax 93 753 39 54

15 judit.arno@irta.cat

16

17

18 **ABSTRACT**

19 The green peach aphid *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) is one of the most economically
20 important aphid species affecting crops worldwide. Since many natural enemies of this
21 aphid have been recorded, biological control of this pest might be a viable alternative to
22 manage it. Selected plant species in field margins might help to provide the natural
23 enemies with food sources to enhance their fitness. This study aimed to investigate if
24 sweet alyssum, *Lobularia maritima* (L.), is a potential food source for the parasitoid
25 *Aphidius ervi* Haliday and the predator *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* (Rondani), and whether
26 this flower could contribute to enhance the biological control of *M. persicae*. Volatiles
27 produced by alyssum, with and without flowers, attracted both natural enemies. This
28 attractiveness to alyssum flowers was disrupted when compared with peach shoots
29 recently infested with a relatively low number of aphids. When aphids were absent,
30 parasitoids exposed to alyssum survived longer than those that fed on a sugar solution
31 or on water. In the case of the predator, alyssum flowers did not benefit longevity since
32 the nectaries were inaccessible to females. However, our results provide evidence that
33 *A. aphidimyza* would be able to feed on nectar if accessible. The floral resource did not
34 improve the reproductive capacity of the two natural enemies, but the 10% sugar
35 solution increased the egg load of the predator. Provision of other sugar resources, such
36 as flowers with exposed nectaries and extra floral nectar may also be a viable option to
37 improve the biological control of *M. persicae*.

38

39 **KEYWORDS** egg load, floral nectar, longevity, olfactory response, sweet alyssum

40

41 **Introduction**

42 The green peach aphid *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) is one of the
43 most economically important aphid affecting crops worldwide. It is extremely
44 cosmopolitan and highly polyphagous and hosts are in more of 40 different plant
45 families including many economically important crops (Blackman and Eastop 2007). The
46 green peach aphid, is a severe pests of peach and nectarine, vegetable and greenhouse
47 crops (Rabasse and van Steenis 1999, Blümel 2004, Barbagallo et al. 2007). In a recent
48 survey conducted in the Ebro Valley (Spain), a very important area of peach and
49 nectarine production, pest advisors ranked this aphid as one of the most important pest
50 problems (authors' unpublished data). The survey also revealed that pest management
51 is currently mainly achieved using insecticides.

52 Biological control might be a viable alternative to manage *M. persicae*. Several predators
53 and parasitoids of this species have been recorded, and this entomofauna might play an
54 important role in the reduction of the aphid population (Völkl et al. 2007). The parasitoid
55 *Aphidius ervi* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and the predator *Aphidoletes*
56 *aphidimyza* (Rondani) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) are among the most important natural
57 enemies of this pest (Rabasse and van Steenis 1999, Blümel 2004). These natural
58 enemies have been recorded in spring in the production areas were orchards coexist
59 with arable crops (Pons and Stary 2003, Miñarro et al. 2005, Pons et al. 2011) and both
60 have been repeatedly found on *M. persicae* colonies in *Prunus* orchards early in spring
61 (authors' unpublished data). However, *M. persicae* attacks *Prunus* sp. in spring when the
62 population of natural enemies is still low and, therefore, effective biological control of
63 this aphid is difficult to achieve. The inclusion of floral resources close to the orchards
64 might help to enhance the biological control by providing natural enemies with nectar

65 and pollen as food sources, thereby contributing to increase their survival and
66 reproduction (Landis et al. 2000, Gurr et al. 2005).

67 Sweet alyssum, *Lobularia maritima* (L.) (Brassicaceae), is a Mediterranean perennial
68 plant that blooms uninterrupted for extended periods (approximately 10 months), with
69 a maximum in spring (Picó and Retana 2001). It is very attractive to natural enemies and
70 thus has potential as an insectary plant (Chaney 1998, Alomar et al. 2008, Hogg et al.
71 2011). Ribeiro and Gontijo (2017) demonstrated that sweet alyssum increase the
72 abundance of generalist predators and therefore reduce some pests, especially aphids.
73 Sweet alyssum intercropping is widely used in the Salinas Valley in the central coastal
74 area of California to control aphids in organic lettuce and broccoli crops (Brennan 2013,
75 2016). Under laboratory conditions, it can improve the longevity of *A. ervi* (Araj et al.
76 2006, Araj and Wratten 2013) and the survival, egg load, and fecundity of other braconid
77 parasitoids such as *Dolichogenidea tasmanica* (Cameron) and *Diaeretiella rapae*
78 (Mcintosh) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) (Berndt and Wratten 2005, Araj and Wratten
79 2015). However, there is little information about the effect of alyssum flowers on the
80 reproduction of *A. ervi* and the biology of *A. aphidimyza*.

81 The aim of this study was to investigate if *L. maritima* is a potential food source for *A.*
82 *ervi* and *A. aphidimyza*, and can therefore contribute to enhance the biological control
83 of *M. persicae*. To do that, we investigate if both natural enemies are attracted to
84 blooming and non-blooming alyssum. We also tested the effects of alyssum on the
85 longevity and the reproductive potential of *A. ervi* and *A. aphidimyza* in order to
86 evaluate the contribution of this plant to the fitness of these species.

87

88 **Materials and methods**

89 **Insects and plant material**

90 Mummies of *A. ervi* and pupae of *A. aphidimyza* were obtained from Agrobio[®]. Adult
91 emergence took place inside a climatic chamber at 22°C and 70 ± 10% RH, with a 16:8
92 (L: D) photoperiod. When mated females were required, males and females (< 24 h old)
93 were kept together for 24 h. The green peach aphid, *M. persicae*, was reared in the
94 climatic chamber at the same conditions mentioned above on tobacco plants (*Nicotiana*
95 *tabacum* L.). Plants of tobacco, peach, and alyssum were grown in plastic pots with
96 compost soil in the greenhouse inside a closed compartment to prevent any pest
97 infestation. Prior to each experiment, plants were observed and none of them had pest
98 presence or symptoms of pest damage.

99 Longevity, egg load, fertility, and fecundity experiments were conducted at 22°C and 70
100 ± 10% RH, with a 16:8 (L: D) photoperiod. Olfactometer assays were carried out at 22°C
101 and 60 ± 10% RH under light conditions. A single lamp (Sylvania Circline FC22W/865)
102 placed at 60 cm above the Y-tube was used. These light conditions were set up because
103 *A. ervi* emerge during the photophase (He et al. 2004) and *A. aphidimyza* emerge before
104 sunset (Harris 1973).

105 **Olfactory bioassays**

106 Experiments with *A. ervi* and *A. aphidimyza* were conducted in a Y-tube olfactometer.
107 Each arm was 17 cm long and had a diameter of 3.5 cm; the inside angle between the
108 two closest arms was 75°. Each of these two arms received air from one of the two odor
109 sources that were inside two glass jars (4000 mL) connected to them. The air coming

110 from a compressor (ABAC-FC2-24CM) passed through a double carbon filter (ABAC-
111 ACF60 1000 Lmh) and an air humidifier (water bubbler) and subsequently entered the
112 glass jars. Air flow was adjusted to 0.20 ± 0.03 m/s at the base of the third arm of the
113 olfactometer and was measured with a hot-wire anemometer (Testo, Barcelona, Spain).
114 Insects were gently placed at the base of the main arm and allowed to move in. They
115 were considered to make a choice when they walked more than 5 cm on one of the
116 upper arms in less than 10 min. To avoid any possible asymmetries in the experimental
117 set-up due to environmental factors or location effects, after five individuals, the
118 olfactometer was cleaned with alcohol (96%) and the arms were switched between the
119 two odor source jars. Jar positions were also rotated after every 10 female adults. Forty
120 female parasitoids and predators (1 to 4-days-old) were individualized and starved for
121 24 h prior to each observation. Each individual was used only once. In the case of *A. ervi*,
122 the position of the olfactometer was vertical, whereas for *A. aphidimyza*, it was
123 horizontal. The position for each species was proposed after preliminary tests. The
124 following choices were offered to *A. ervi* and *A. aphidimyza*: (1) alyssum flowers vs. clean
125 air, (2) alyssum plant without flowers vs. clean air, (3) alyssum flowers vs. alyssum plant
126 without flowers, (4) aphid-free peach shoots vs. alyssum flowers, 5) aphid-infested
127 peach shoots vs. alyssum flowers. In the treatments with blooming alyssum, three
128 shoots, which together had about 40 fully open alyssum flowers, were used; in the case
129 of non-flowering alyssum, three shoots with only green leaves were used. To infest
130 peach shoots with aphids, 24 h prior to the experiment, approximately 50 second to
131 third-instar *M. persicae* were placed gently onto the leaves with a brush. All plant shoots
132 were cut just before the start of the experiment. The cut end was immediately
133 submerged in water in a jar with a bored lid. The stems were introduced in the hole

134 which was closed with a piece of paper to prevent wound-related volatiles during the
135 olfactory assay. Each day, new plant material and aphids were used.

136 Effects of alyssum and sugar solution on the biology of *A. ervi*

137 **Female longevity**

138 Females of *A. ervi* less than 24 h old were placed individually in a 250 mL plastic cup
139 covered with gauze to provide ventilation. We tested three different food sources: 1) a
140 70% sugar rich diet solution of glucose, fructose, and sucrose (G + F + S) in a 1:1:1 ratio,
141 2) three shoots of alyssum with approximately a total of 40 fully open flowers, and 3)
142 water as control. The above-mentioned sugars were chosen because they are the main
143 components of the nectar (Baker and Baker 1983, Wackers 2001) and a 70% sugar
144 solution supports a longer lifetime of *A. ervi* females (Azzouz et al. 2004). The three diets
145 were offered to *A. ervi* females in the presence and in the absence of aphids, resulting
146 in six different treatments. Sugar solution and water were provided in a 13-mL tube
147 plugged with a piece of cotton dental roll and attached to the wall of the cup with Blue-
148 tack® (Rubi, Spain). Alyssum flowers were kept in an Eppendorf vial with water and also
149 attached to the glass wall with Blue-tack®. In the treatments with aphids, 20 second to
150 third-instar *M. persicae* were placed on the top of a tobacco disc that was laid above an
151 agar layer (0.5%) on a 2.5 cm Petri dish which was introduced on the base of the cup.
152 Food and aphids were renewed twice per week. Female mortality was recorded daily.
153 Fifteen replications were performed per treatment.

154

155 **Egg load and fertility**

156 To evaluate egg load, females (< 48h old) were caged for three days in arenas without
157 aphids similar to those described in the previous section (*A. ervi* longevity) and
158 subsequently frozen at -20°C until dissection. To do that, the females were placed on a
159 microscope slide under a stereomicroscope. With a scalpel the thorax was separated
160 from the abdomen, that was subsequently open to remove the ovaries and the number
161 of chorionated oocytes recorded. The effect of the same food treatments on fertility
162 were evaluated in arenas with aphids as prepared for *A. ervi* longevity. Tobacco discs
163 with aphids and food were renewed every three to four days. Aphid mortality was
164 assessed in the discs when removed from the cups. Aphids that did not move their legs
165 when touched with a fine brush were considered dead (see Moores et al. 1996).
166 Subsequently, the tobacco discs were kept in the climatic chamber at 22°C until the
167 aphids were mummified. Fifteen leaf discs with aphids, but without parasitoids, were
168 prepared to assess natural and handling mortality. The results were used to correct
169 mortality produced by the parasitoids.

170 **Effects of alyssum and sugar solutions on the biology of *A. aphidimyza***

171 **Female longevity**

172 Starved females less than 24 hours old were isolated in arenas without aphids similar to
173 those used in the *A. ervi* longevity trials. Instead of 250 mL plastic cups, glass cups were
174 used. A 10% G + F + S solution was provided as sugar-rich diet according to the findings
175 of Watanabe et al. (2014). Mortality was checked daily.

176

177 **Egg load and fecundity**

178 The same methodology as described for the experiment to assess *A. ervi* egg load, but
179 using glass cups and a 10% instead of a 70% G + F +S solution, was applied to evaluate
180 the effects of a sugar-rich diet on *A. aphidimyza* egg load and fecundity. Egg load was
181 determined by dissecting the abdomen of the females as explained above for *A. ervi*. For
182 fecundity, the number of eggs laid on the aphid colony on the leaf were counted daily.
183 Twenty females were tested per treatment.

184 **Survival up to five days**

185 A specific experiment was carried out to check if starved *A. aphidimyza* females less than
186 24 h old were able to feed on alyssum nectar. We used the same set up without aphids
187 described when assessing *A. ervi* longevity. However, a fourth type of food, alyssum
188 flowers with plucked petals and thereby exposed nectaries, was included. Survival was
189 measured up to five days, with five replications per treatment.

190 **Morphometry of *A. aphidimyza* and alyssum flowers**

191 After the longevity trial, several visual observations were made to record how females
192 approached the nectaries and how the insects placed themselves on the flower for
193 feeding. To do this, we used one to four-day-old female predators that were starved for
194 24 hours prior to each observation. Individuals were released in Petri dishes containing
195 alyssum flowers and we recorded the time spent by females from landing on the flowers
196 until they walked away with a timer. After these observations, we measured the gap
197 between the petals and the stamen of alyssum flowers as well as the distance between

198 the femur and tibia intersection points of both middle legs. All measurements were
199 made with a dissection microscope at 2.5 x magnification, using the program ImageJ.

200 Data analysis

201 Differences in the proportion of *A. ervi* and *A. aphidimyza* females choosing a particular
202 odor source (olfactometer experiments) were tested using a two-sided binominal test.
203 Insects that did not respond within 10 minutes were not included in the analysis. Data
204 of *A. ervi* longevity in the arenas with aphids, *A. aphidimyza* longevity, the egg load of
205 both natural enemies, *A. aphidimyza* fecundity, and the total number of mummies and
206 dead aphids in the trials with the parasitoids were analyzed by one-way ANOVA; means
207 were separated using Tukey's HSD test. Since data of *A. ervi* longevity in the arenas
208 without aphids could not be normalized, a Kruskal-Wallis test was used in the analysis
209 and Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon tests were used to observe pair-wise differences between
210 treatments with Bonferroni-weighted test correction ($P < 0.05$). Survivorship affected by
211 diet was evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier survival platform. Pairwise comparisons
212 among groups were evaluated using log-rank tests with α set at 0.005 to account for
213 multiple comparisons. All data were analyzed using SAS 9.3 for Windows; survival curves
214 were generated with the software SigmaPlot version 13.

215 Results

216 Olfactory bioassays

217 Significantly more *A. ervi* females preferred alyssum, either with or without flowers, to
218 clean air (Figure 1), whereas they showed no significant preference for any treatment
219 when offered a choice between alyssum shoots with and without flowers. The volatiles

220 from alyssum flowers were significantly more attractive than those from the peach
221 shoots without aphids. When alyssum flowers were compared to the peach shoots with
222 aphids, the parasitoids did not show a significant preference for any of them. The mean
223 time that an *A. ervi* females spent to respond to the odor source ranged from 53 to 103
224 s.

225 *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* females significantly preferred alyssum shoots, either with or
226 without flowers, to clean air (Figure 2). When *A. aphidimyza* females were offered a
227 choice between alyssum shoots with and without flowers, they showed a significant
228 preference for the blooming alyssum. Likewise, predators significantly preferred cues
229 from alyssum flowers to those of the clean peach shoots, but they did not display a
230 significant preference between alyssum flowers and peach shoots infested with aphids.
231 The mean time spent by an *A. aphidimyza* female to respond to the cues ranged from
232 111 to 163 s.

233 Effects of alyssum and sugar solution on the biology of *A. ervi*

234 **Female longevity**

235 The mean longevity of *A. ervi* females in the treatments with different diets and with
236 and without aphids is presented in Figure 3. There was an interaction between
237 longevities recorded in the arenas with and without aphids and, therefore, data were
238 analyzed separately. When aphids were present in the arenas, longevity was not
239 significantly different, regardless of the food treatment ($F_{2, 42} = 0.29$, $P = 0.74$). In
240 contrast, when aphids were absent, longevity significantly varied among food sources
241 ($\chi^2 = 21.22$, $P < 0.0001$). Females which fed on alyssum significantly lived longer than

242 those which fed on the sugar solution or water ($Z = 2.50$, $P = 0.0122$; $Z = 4.38$, $P < 0.0001$,
243 respectively; Mann-Whitney test, Bonferroni corrected significance p-value $< 0.0167 =$
244 $0.05/3$). The longevity of females which fed on the sugar solution was also significantly
245 higher than that of females which fed on water ($Z = 2.44$, $P = 0.143$ Mann-Whitney U-
246 test, Bonferroni corrected significance p-value $< 0.0167 = 0.05/3$).

247 There was no significant difference in the survival curves of individuals fed with different
248 food sources in the presence of aphids (Log-rank $\chi^2 = 5.59$, $df = 2$, $P = 0.060$) (Fig. 4A).
249 Survival curves differed significantly between food sources in the absence of aphids
250 (Log-rank $\chi^2 = 25.43$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 4B).

251 **Egg load and fertility**

252 After 72 h of feeding on different food sources, all *A. ervi* females had 0 or 1 mature
253 oocyte when dissected, and no significant differences were observed in the egg load ($F_{2, 42} =$
254 0.82 , $P = 0.44$). Table 1 shows the total number of dead aphids corrected by natural
255 and handling mortality (3.75 ± 0.37 individuals). No significant differences were
256 observed among different foods, neither in the number of mummies nor in the number
257 of dead aphids ($F_{2, 39} = 0.38$, $P = 0.68$ and $F_{2, 39} = 0.48$, $P = 0.62$, respectively).

258 **Effects of alyssum and sugar solutions on the biology of *A. aphidimyza***

259 **Female longevity**

260 The survival curve showed significant differences among food sources (Log-rank $\chi^2 =$
261 34.54 , $df = 2$, $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 5). Total longevity of *A. aphidimyza* females significantly
262 varied among food sources ($F_{2, 42} = 37.66$, $P < 0.0001$). Significantly longer longevity was
263 recorded for females which fed on the sugar solution (8.1 ± 0.62 days) than for unfed

264 ones (4.2 ± 0.20 days) and those provided with alyssum flowers (3.3 ± 0.30 days). No
265 significant differences were observed in the longevity of individuals fed with the two
266 latter food sources.

267 **Egg load and fecundity**

268 Diet significantly affected *A. aphidimyza* egg load ($F_{2, 57} = 5.22$, $P < 0.05$). The number of
269 mature oocytes was significantly higher when females fed on a 10% G + F + S solution
270 than on water or on intact alyssum flowers (Table 2). There was no significant difference
271 between females fed with alyssum and unfed ones. Daily oviposition rates were not
272 significantly different between the three treatments ($F_{2, 42} = 0.67$, $P = 0.51$).

273 **Survival up to five days.**

274 Significant differences in survival after five days feeding on different foods were
275 recorded for *A. aphidimyza* ($F_{3, 16} = 45.36$, $P < 0.0001$). Females fed on the sugar solution
276 and on exposed alyssum nectaries survived significantly longer than those fed on intact
277 alyssum flowers or water (Fig. 6).

278 **Morphometry of *A. aphidimyza* and alyssum flowers.**

279 Our observations revealed that predator females had difficulties to reach alyssum nectar
280 glands, and none of the 10 observed females contacted the nectaries. They were
281 observed on the top of the flowers lowering their head to try to reach the nectar glands
282 at the very bottom inside the corolla tube (Fig. 7). The females spent a mean time of
283 42.3 s (± 6.45) on the petals and then left the flowers. Measurements indicated that the
284 distance between the two joints of the femur with the tibia in middle legs of

285 *A. aphidimyza* females is wider (1.49 ± 0.12 mm) than the gap between petals and
286 stamen of the flowers (0.27 ± 0.04 mm) (Fig. 8).

287 **Discussion**

288 In our olfactometer experiments, *A. ervi* and *A. aphidimyza* were attracted to flowering
289 and non-flowering alyssum. According to (Harris 1973) *A. aphidimyza* is nocturnal.
290 However, females responded to the cues emitted by alyssum under light conditions.
291 Possibly, they may also locate the plants during the scotophase since many of them
292 produce volatiles at night (Kumari et al. 2017). Attraction to blooming alyssum in field
293 and laboratory studies is well documented for some natural enemies as predators and
294 some braconid parasitoids (Foti et al. 2017; Gontijo et al. 2013; Arnó et al. 2012; Rohrig
295 et al. 2008; Alomar et al. 2006). The similar attraction between flowering and non-
296 flowering alyssum has been also reported for the parasitoid *Trissolcus basalis*
297 (Wollaston) (Hymenoptera: Platygasteridae) (Foti et al. 2017). Interestingly, this
298 attractiveness to alyssum flowers was disrupted when compared with peach shoots
299 recently infested with a relatively low number of aphids (50 individuals during 24 hours),
300 similarly to what has been reported for *A. ervi* by Guerrieri et al. (1999). This indicates
301 that volatiles produced by aphid-infested plants (Guerrieri et al. 1993, Reed et al. 1995,
302 Du et al. 1997, Hou et al. 1997, Powell et al. 1998, Desurmont et al. 2015), by the
303 honeydew (Budenberg and Powell 1992, Du et al. 1997, Choi et al. 2004,
304 Wickremasinghe 2007), and/or by the aphids themselves (Reed et al. 1995, Du et al.
305 1996) were attractive enough to balance the attraction produced by alyssum flowers.
306 Our results suggest that both natural enemies are able to rapidly locate aphid colonies,
307 which would benefit the effectiveness of these two natural enemies. Since the amounts
308 of volatiles produced by the plant/aphid complex will increase with time as the aphid

309 colonies increase in size, attraction of *A. ervi* and *A. aphidimyza* to the aphid-infested
310 plants will probably increase, as has been demonstrated for *Aphidius gifuensis*
311 (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) (Yang et al. 2009).

312 Our results also indicate that the presence of additional sugar-rich-food was relevant in
313 terms of *A. ervi* survival only when aphids were not present in the arena. In that case,
314 alyssum nectar increased female longevity compared to that of unfed ones and was even
315 a better food source for *A. ervi* than a sugar solution containing glucose, fructose, and
316 sucrose, which are the main sugars present in nectar (Wackers 2001, Winkler et al.
317 2005). Higher longevity of parasitic wasps feeding on alyssum compared to sugar-fed
318 individuals has been shown before for *A. ervi* (Wade and Wratten 2007, Araj et al. 2006,
319 Araj and Wratten 2013). These higher survival suggested that besides of sugars, other
320 food substances (such as amino acids, lipids, proteins, vitamins, and minerals) present
321 in flowers even in small quantities play an important role in the longevity of *A. ervi*
322 females (Baker and Baker 1983, Wackers 2005). Pollen is unlikely to be a food resource
323 used by parasitoids (Jervis 1998, Irvin et al. 2006).

324 On the other hand, when aphids were present, the provision of additional resources did
325 not increase *A. ervi* longevity, suggesting that the combination of honeydew and hosts
326 is adequate to keep females alive. In fact, several studies have shown that parasitoids,
327 including *A. ervi*, are well adapted to the use of insect-produced honeydew which is the
328 predominant sugar source in many agricultural systems (Burger et al. 2004, Lenaerts et
329 al. 2016).

330 In our experiments done in the absence of aphids the maximum egg load recorded for
331 *A. ervi* females after feeding for 72 h was one mature oocyte, regardless of the food

332 treatment. This was probably due to the reabsorption of mature oocytes when hosts
333 were not available since this species is pro-synovigenic and females emerge with
334 approximately 20 to 60 mature eggs (He and Wang 2006). This reabsorption has been
335 described to occur within 48-72 hours following emergence in other braconids such as
336 *D. rapae* (Kant et al. 2013). When aphids were available, the number of mummies was
337 the same, regardless of the food, indicating a similar fertility. This also implies that an
338 additional food source is not required when the host and the honeydew are present.
339 Similar results have been observed by Hayashi and Nakashima (2014), who found that
340 for *A. ervi*, female progeny did not differ between unfed females and those fed with a
341 sugar solution.

342 Our experiments show that in the absence of aphids, a sugar-rich diet benefited *A.*
343 *aphidimyza* female longevity and egg load, similarly to what has been observed by
344 Watanabe et al. (2014). On the contrary, the presence of alyssum flowers did not
345 enhance the survival or the number of mature oocytes of *A. aphidimyza* females,
346 probably because nectar was not accessible for them, as was confirmed when alyssum
347 flowers with exposed nectaries were offered. Our results provide evidence that *A.*
348 *aphidimyza* would be able to feed on nectar if it was accessible and, therefore, it may
349 explain why females were attracted to alyssum flowers. To our knowledge, there are no
350 records in the literature of *A. aphidimyza* females feeding on floral resources.

351 Our observations and the measurements performed on both the flower and *A.*
352 *aphidimyza* confirmed that females could not access the very bottom part inside the
353 corolla of alyssum flowers where the nectaries are found-(Patt et al. 1997). According to
354 our results, this was due to their long legs and the large span between the femur and

355 tibia joints of both middle legs, which was wider than the gap between the petals and
356 the stamen of the flower and thereby prevented access to the nectar. In addition,
357 females were not strong enough to separate the flower structures. Similar results has
358 been observed in some parasitoids (Rabb and Bradley 1968, Jervis et al. 1993, Patt et al.
359 1997, Rahat et al. 2005) and some predators (Nave et al. 2016, van Rijn and Wackers
360 2016).

361 On the other hand, *A. aphidimyza* daily fecundity was similar regardless of the additional
362 food supplied. This was probably due to the same amount of aphids present in all the
363 treatments, that is to say the same aphid density, which influenced the amount of
364 honeydew, a good food resource for this predator (El-Gayar 1976, Sell and Kuo-Sell
365 1987, Choi et al. 2004). Fecundity of *A. aphidimyza* strongly depends on the aphid
366 density in both laboratory experiments (Choi et al. 2004, Guo et al. 2014) and field
367 studies (Stewart and Walde 1997, Sentis et al. 2012).

368 In conclusion, both natural enemies of *M. persicae*, the parasitoid *A. ervi* and the
369 predator *A. aphidimyza*, were attracted to alyssum plants. Therefore, the establishment
370 of crop margins including this plant species, that is fully blooming in spring (Picó and
371 Retana 2001), may help to attract these naturally occurring beneficials in the area (Pons
372 and Sary 2003, Miñarro et al. 2005, Pons et al. 2011) into orchards and, increase their
373 local population regardless of the presence of aphids. The presence of alyssum flowers
374 close to the fields would increase *A. ervi* longevity and probably their ability for host
375 searching as soon as aphid populations start to build up. This beneficial effect for the
376 parasitoid would not be relevant with high *M. persicae* populations because at this point
377 it may obtain nutrients from honeydew. In the case of *A. aphidimyza* and due to the

378 inaccessibility of alyssum nectar for the adults, these flowers will not represent a
379 supplemental food for the females. Therefore, to consider nectar accessibility while
380 selecting insectary plants is important because attracting insects without providing
381 accessible nectar, and therefore additional energy, may be detrimental and most likely
382 results in inadequate energy use (Winkler et al. 2009). Because of that the combination
383 of alyssum flowers and flowers with exposed nectaries or plants with extra floral nectar
384 may also be viable options to improve the biological control of *M. persicae*. Other food
385 sources such as honeydew of non-pest aphids or sugar provision via dispensers may also
386 be useful to enhance natural enemy fitness. Further field experiments will be necessary
387 to fully understand the potential role of different sugar-rich diets in the biological
388 control of aphids in peach orchards.

389 **Acknowledgements**

390 This research was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness
391 (Projects AGL2013- 49164-C2-2-R and AGL2016-77373-C2-1-R). We would like to thank
392 the anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions that greatly improved
393 the manuscript and to Paula Molina, Pilar Hernández, Victor Muñoz, Helena Valera,
394 Alfred Rocha and Laura Pequeño for technical assistance. Yahana Aparicio was
395 supported by a grant provided by CONACyT (México).

396 **References**

397 **Alomar, O., R. Gabarra, O. González, and J. Arnó. 2006.** Selection of insectary plants for
398 ecological infrastructure in Mediterranean vegetable crops. IOBC wprs Bull. 29: 5–
399 8.

400 **Alomar, O., J. Arnó, and R. Gabarra. 2008.** Insectary plants to enhance the biological
401 control of *Nasonovia ribisnigri* and *Frankliniella occidentalis* in lettuce. IOBC wprs
402 Bull. 34: 9–12.

403 **Arnó, J., R. Gabarra, and O. Alomar. 2012.** Hymenoptera abundance on candidate
404 plants for conservation biological control. IOBC wprs Bull. 75: 13–16.

405 **Araj, S. E., S. D. Wratten, A. J. Lister, and H. L. Buckley. 2006.** Floral nectar affects
406 longevity of the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius ervi* and its hyperparasitoid *Dendrocerus*
407 *aphidum*. N. Z. Plant. Prot. 59: 178–183.

408 **Araj, S. E., and S. D. Wratten. 2013.** Floral Resources Effect on the Longevity of the Aphid
409 Parasitoid, *Aphidius ervi* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and its
410 Hyperparasitoid, *Alloxysta victrix* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Charipidae). JJAS. 9:
411 63–71.

412 **Araj, S. E., and S. D. Wratten. 2015.** Comparing existing weeds and commonly used
413 insectary plants as floral resources for a parasitoid. Biol. Control. 81: 15–20.

414 **Azzouz, H., P. Giordanengo, F. Wackers, and L. Kaiser. 2004.** Effects of feeding
415 frequency and sugar concentration on behavior and longevity of the adult aphid
416 parasitoid *Aphidius ervi* (Haliday) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Biol. Control. 31:
417 445–452.

418 **Baker, H. G., and I. Baker. 1983.** A brief historical review of the chemistry of foral nectar,
419 pp. 126–152. In B. Bentley , T. Elias (eds.), The biology of nectaries. Columbia
420 University Press, New York, USA.

421 **Barbagallo, S., G. Cocuzza, P. Cravedi, S. Komazaki. 2007.** IPM case studies: deciduous

422 fruit trees pp. 651–676. In: van Emden H.F. & Harrington R. (eds). Aphids as Crop
423 Pests. CABI International, Wallingford, Oxon.

424 **Berndt, L. A., and S. D. Wratten. 2005.** Effects of alyssum flowers on the longevity,
425 fecundity and sex ratio of the leafroller parasitoid *Dolichogenidea tasmanica*. Biol.
426 Control. 32: 65–69.

427 **Blackman, R. L. and V. F. Eastop. 2007.** Taxonomic issues, pp. 1–29. In H.F. van Emden
428 & R. Harrington (eds.), Aphids as crop pests Wallingford, UK.

429 **Blümel, S. 2004.** Biological control of aphids on vegetable crops, pp. 297–312. In: Heinz
430 KM, Van Driesche RG, Parrella MP, Ball Publishing (eds), Biocontrol in protected
431 culture. Batavia, IL.

432 **Brennan, E. B. 2013.** Agronomic aspects of strip intercropping lettuce with alyssum for
433 biological control of aphids. Biol. Control. 65: 302–311.

434 **Brennan, E. B. 2016.** Agronomy of strip intercropping broccoli with alyssum for
435 biological control of aphids. Biol. Control. 97:109-119

436 **Budenberg, W. J., and W. Powell. 1992.** The role of honeydew as an ovipositional
437 stimulant for 2 species of syrphids. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 64: 57-61.

438 **Burger, J. M. S., T. M. Reijnen, J. C. van Lenteren, and L. M. E. Vet. 2004.** Host feeding
439 in insect parasitoids: why destructively feed upon a host that excretes an
440 alternative?. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 112: 207–215.

441 **Chaney, W. E. 1998.** Biological control of aphids in lettuce using in-field insectaries,
442 pp.73-83. In C.H. Pickett and L. R. Bugg. (eds.), Enhancing biological control : Habitat

443 management to promote natural enemies of arthropod pest. University of
444 California, Berkeley.

445 **Choi, M. Y., B. D. Roitberg, A. Shani, D. A. Raworth, and G. H. Lee. 2004.** Olfactory
446 response by the aphidophagous gall midge, *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* to honeydew
447 from green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 111: 37–45.

448 **Desurmont, G., D. Laplanche, F. P. Schiestl, and T. Turlings. 2015.** Floral volatiles
449 interfere with plant attraction of parasitoids: ontogeny-dependent infochemical
450 dynamics in *Brassica rapa*. BMC Ecol. 15: 17.

451 **Du, Y. J., G. M. Poppy, and W. J. Powell. 1996.** Relative importance of semiochemicals
452 from first and second trophic levels in host foraging behavior of *Aphidius ervi*. J.
453 Chem. Ecol. 22(9): 1591–1605.

454 **Du, Y. J., G. M. Poppy, W. Powell, and L. J. Wadhams. 1997.** Chemically mediated
455 associative learning in the host foraging behavior of the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius*
456 *ervi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). J. Insect Behav. 10: 509–522.

457 **El-Gayar, F. 1976.** Some effects of a cyclic and an acyclic juvenoid on *Aphidoletes*
458 *aphidimyza* (Dipt.: Cecidomyiidae). Entomophaga. 21: 297–301.

459 **Foti M. C., M. Rostás, E. Peri, K. C. Park, T. Slimani, S. D. Wratten, and S. Colazza. 2017.**
460 Chemical ecology meets conservation biological control: identifying plant volatiles
461 as predictors of floral resource suitability for an egg parasitoid of stink bugs. J. Pest
462 Sci. 90: 299–310.

463 **Gontijo, L. M., E. H. Beers, and W. E. Snyder. 2013.** Flowers promote aphid suppression
464 in apple orchards. Biol. Control. 66: 8–15.

465 **Guerrieri, E., F. Pennacchio, and E. Tremblay. 1993.** Flight behavior of the aphid
466 parasitoid *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in response to plant and host
467 volatiles. *Eur. J. Entomol.* 90: 415–421.

468 **Guerrieri, E., G. M. Poppy, W. Powell, E. Tremblay, and F. Pennacchio. 1999.** Induction
469 and systemic release of herbivory-induced plant volatiles mediating in-flight
470 orientation of *Aphidius ervi*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 25: 1247–1261.

471 **Guo, H., L. Meng, Y. Wang, L. Zheng, and B. Li. 2014.** Oviposition behavior of the
472 predatory midge *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* in response to aphid patch quality. *J.*
473 *Insect. Behav.* 2: 816–825.

474 **Gurr, G. M., P. Kehrl, and S. Scarratt. 2004.** Cultural Manipulations To Enhance
475 Biological Control in Australia and New Zealand: Progress and Prospects. Second
476 International Symposium on Biological Control of Arthropods. pp. 154–166.

477 **Harris, K. M. 1973.** Aphidophagous Cecidomyiidae (Diptera): taxonomy, biology and
478 assessments of field populations. *Bull. Ent. Res.* 63: 305–325.

479 **Hayashi, S., and Y. Nakashima. 2014.** Effects of sugar supply on longevity and progeny
480 production of an aphid parasitoid, *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). *Jpn. J.*
481 *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 58: 249–253.

482 **He, X. Z., and Q. Wang. 2006.** Host age preference in *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera:
483 Aphidiidae). *N. Z. Plant. Prot.* 59: 190–194.

484 **He, X. Z., Q. Wang, and D. A. J. Teulon. 2004.** Emergence, sexual maturation and
485 oviposition of *Aphidius ervi* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). *N. Z. Plant. Prot.* 57: 214–
486 220.

487 **Hogg, B. N., R. L. Bugg, and K. M. Daane. 2011.** Attractiveness of common insectary and
488 harvestable floral resources to beneficial insects. *Biol. control.* 56: 76–84.

489 **Hou, Z. Y, X. Chen, Y. Zhang, B. Q. Guo, and F. S. Yan. 1997.** EAG and orientation tests
490 on the parasitoid *Lysiphlebia japonica* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) to volatile
491 chemicals extracted from host plants of cotton aphid *Aphis gossypii* (Hom:
492 Aphidae). *J. Appl. Ent.* 121: 495–500.

493 **Irvin, N. A., S. L. Scarratt, S. D. Wratten, C. M. Frampton, R. B. Chapman, and J. M.**
494 **Tylianakis. 2006.** The effects of floral understoreys on parasitism of leafrollers
495 (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) on apples in New Zealand. *Agr. Forest. Entomol.* 8: 25–
496 34.

497 **Jervis, M., N. A. C. Kidd, M. G. Fitton, T. Huddleston, and H. A. Dawah. 1993.** Flower-
498 visiting by hymenopteran parasitoids. *J. Nat. Hist.* 27: 67–105.

499 **Jervis, M. 1998.** Functional and evolutionary aspects of mouthpart structure in
500 parasitoid wasps. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 63: 461–493.

501 **Kant, R., M. Minor, M. Sandanayaka, and S. Trewick. 2013.** Effects of mating and
502 oviposition delay on parasitism rate and sex allocation behaviour of *Diaeretiella*
503 *rapae* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). *Biol. Control.* 65: 265–270.

504 **Kumari, P., S. Panwar, N. Soni, and A. Soni. 2017.** Biosynthesis, Composition and
505 Sources of Floral Scent in Ornamental Crops: A Review. *Chem Sci Rev Lett* 6: 1502-
506 1509.

507 **Landis, D. A., S. D. Wratten, and G. M. Gurr. 2000.** Habitat management to conserve
508 natural enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 45: 175–

509 201.

510 **Lenaerts, M., L. Abid, C. Paulussen, T. Goelen, F. Wackers, H. Jacquemyn, and B.**

511 **Lievens. 2016.** Adult parasitoids of honeydew-producing insects prefer honeydew

512 sugars to cover their energetic needs. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 42: 1028-1036.

513 **Miñarro, M., J.L. Hemptinne, and E. Dapena. 2005.** Colonization of apple orchards by

514 predators of *Dysaphis plantaginea*: sequential arrival, response to prey abundance

515 and consequences for biological control. *Biocontrol* 50: 403-414.

516 **Moores, G., X. Gao, I. Denholm, and A. Devonshire. 1996.** Characterisation of

517 insensitive Acetylcholinesterase in insecticide-resistant cotton aphids, *Aphis*

518 *gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 56: 102–110.

519 **Nave, A., F. Gonçalves, A. L. Crespi, M. Campos, and L. Torres. 2016.** Evaluation of

520 native plant flower characteristics for conservation biological control of *Prays*

521 *oleae*. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 106: 249–57.

522 **Patt, J. M., G. C. Hamilton, and J. H. Lashomb. 1997.** Foraging success of parasitoid

523 wasps on flowers: Interplay of insect morphology, floral architecture and searching

524 behavior. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 83: 21–30.

525 **Picó, X. F., and J. Retana. 2001.** The flowering pattern of the perennial herb *Lobularia*

526 *maritima*: An unusual case in the Mediterranean basin. *Acta Oecol.* 22: 209–217.

527 **Pons, X. and P. Stary. 2003.** Spring aphid-parasitoid (Hom., Aphididae, Hym.,

528 Braconidae) associations and interactions in a Mediterranean arable crop

529 ecosystem, including Bt maize. *J. Pest Sci.* 76: 133-138.

530 **Pons, X., Lumbierres, B., Stary, P. 2011.** Parasitoid complex of alfalfa aphids in an IPM
531 intensive crop system in northern Catalonia. *J Pest Sci.* 84: 437-445.

532 **Powell, W., F. Pennacchio, G. M. Poppy, and E. Tremblay. 1998.** Strategies involved in
533 the location of hosts by the parasitoid *Aphidius ervi* Haliday (Hymenoptera:
534 Braconidae: Aphidiinae). *Biol. control.* 11: 104–112.

535 **Rabasse, J. M., and M. van Steenis. 1999.** Biological control of aphids, pp. 235-243. In
536 R. Albajes, M. L. Gullino, J. C. Van Lenteren, and Y. Elad, (eds). *Integrated pest and*
537 *diseases management in greenhouse crops.* Kluwer, Dordrecht, DE.

538 **Rabb, R. L., and J. R. Bradley. 1968.** The influence of host plants on parasitism of eggs
539 of the tobacco hornworm. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 61: 1249–1252.

540 **Rahat, S., G. M. Gurr, S. D. Wratten, J. Mo, and R. Neeson. 2005.** Effects of plant nectars
541 on adult longevity of the stinkbug parasitoid *Trissolcus basalus*. *Int. J. Pest Manage.*
542 51: 321–324.

543 **Reed, H. C., S. H. Tan, K. Haapanen, M. Killmon, D. K. Reed, and N. C. Elliott. 1995.**
544 Olfactory responses of the parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae)
545 to odor of plants, aphids, and plant-aphid complexes. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 21: 407–418.

546 **Ribeiro, A. L., and L. M. Gontijo. 2017.** Alyssum flowers promote biological control of
547 collard pests. *BioControl.* 62: 185–196.

548 **Rohrig, E., J. Sivinski, and T. Holler. 2008.** A Comparison of parasitic Hymenoptera
549 captured in Malaise traps baited with two flowering plants, *Lobularia maritima*
550 (Brassicales: Brassicaceae) and *Spermacoce verticillata* (Gentianales: Rubiaceae).
551 *Florida Entomol.* 91: 621–627.

552 **Sell, P., and H. L. Kuo-Sell. 1987.** Factors influencing the efficiency of the aphidophagous
553 gall midge, *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* (Rond.) (Diptera, Cecidomyiidae). J. App.
554 Entomol. 103: 434–447.

555 **Sentis, A., E. Lucas, and W. L. Vickery. 2012.** Prey abundance, intraguild predators, ants
556 and the optimal egg-laying strategy of a furtive predator. J. Insect Behav. 25: 529-
557 630.

558 **Stewart, H. C., and S. J. Walde. 1997.** The dynamics of *Aphis pomi* De Geer (Homoptera:
559 Aphididae) and its predator, *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* (Rondani) (Diptera:
560 Cecidomyiidae), on apple in Nova Scotia. Can. Entomol. 129: 627–636.

561 **van Rijn, P. C., and F. L. Wackers. 2016.** Nectar accessibility determines fitness, flower
562 choice and abundance of hoverflies that provide natural pest control. J. Appl. Ecol.
563 53: 925–933.

564 **Völkl, W., M. Mackauer, J. K. Pell, and J. Brodeur. 2007.** Predators, parasitoids and
565 pathogens. pp. 187- 233. In H.F. van Emden & R. Harrington (eds.), Aphids as crop
566 pests Wallingford, UK.

567 **Wackers, F. L. 2001.** A comparison of nectar and honeydew sugars with respect to their
568 utilization by the hymenopteran parasitoid *Cotesia glomerata*. J. Insect. Physiol. 47:
569 1077–1084.

570 **Wackers, F. L. 2005.** Suitability of (extra) floral nectar, pollen and honeydew as insect
571 food source, pp 17–73. In Wackers, F. L., P. C. van Rijn and J. Bruin (eds.), Plant-
572 provided food for carnivorous insects: a protective mutualism and its applications.
573 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

574 **Wade, M. R., and S. D. Wratten. 2007.** Excised or intact inflorescences? Methodological
575 effects on parasitoid wasp longevity. *Biol. Control.* 40: 347–354.

576 **Watanabe, H., N. Katayama, E. Yano, R. Sugiyama, S. Nishikawa, T. Endou, K.**
577 **Watanabe, J. Takabayashi, and R. Ozawa. 2014.** Effects of aphid honeydew sugars
578 on the longevity and fecundity of the aphidophagous gall midge *Aphidoletes*
579 *aphidimyza*. *Biol. Control.* 78: 55–60.

580 **Wickremasinghe, M. G. V. 2007.** Searching behavior of the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius*
581 *rhopalosiphi* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) in response to honeydew excreted by
582 aphids on different host plants. *Ruhuna J. Sci.* 2: 89–95.

583 **Winkler, K., F. L. Wackers, A. Stingli, and J. van Lenteren. 2005.** *Plutella xylostella*
584 (Diamondback Moth) and its Parasitoid *Diadegma semiclausum* show different
585 gustatory and longevity responses to a range of nectar and honeydew sugars.
586 *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 115: 187–192.

587 **Winkler, K., F. L. Wackers, L. V. Kaufman, V. Larraz, and J. C. van Lenteren. 2009.** Nectar
588 exploitation by herbivores and their parasitoids is a functions of flower species and
589 relative humidity. *Biol. Control.* 50: 299–306.

590 **Yang, S., R. Xu, S. Y. Yang, and R. P. Kuang. 2009.** Olfactory responses of *Aphidius*
591 *gifuensis* to odors of host plants and aphid-plant complexes. *Insect. Sci.* 16: 503–
592 510.

593

594

595 **Fig. 1.** Number of *A. ervi* female attracted to different treatments in a Y-tube
596 olfactometer (total number of females tested = 40). The Z and P values relate to a two-
597 sided binomial test of observed and predicted distribution based on a random
598 response.*Indicate significant differences between treatments. Individuals that did not
599 respond were not included in the analysis. The mean (\pm SE) response time from top to
600 bottom were 61.19 ± 8.34 , 52.94 ± 5.98 , 83.84 ± 8.57 , 103.28 ± 18.54 and 55.40 ± 9.64
601 s.

602 **Fig. 2.** Number of *A. aphidimyza* female attracted to different treatments in a Y-tube
603 olfactometer (total number of females tested = 40). The Z and P values relate to a two-
604 sided binomial test of observed and predicted distribution based on a random
605 response.*Indicate significant differences between treatments. Individuals that did not
606 respond were not included in the analysis. The mean (\pm SE) response time from top to
607 bottom were 133.87 ± 19.51 , 115.23 ± 7.81 , 110.89 ± 5.30 , 110.79 ± 23.06 , $163.22 \pm$
608 18.87 s.

609 **Fig. 3.** Mean longevity of *A. ervi* females with three different diets in two scenarios, with
610 and without aphids. Different upper-case letters indicate no differences among
611 treatments in the presence of aphids (ANOVA $P < 0.05$). Lower-case letters indicate
612 differences among treatments in the absence of aphids (Mann-Whitney U-tests with
613 Bonferroni correction; a value of $p < 0.0167$ was considered statistically significant.
614 There was an interaction between longevities in the case of alyssum. G+F+S stands for a
615 70% sugar rich water solution of glucose, fructose, and sucrose in a 1:1:1 ratio.

616 **Fig. 4.** Kaplan-Meier estimates of survivorship functions of *A. ervi* females given access
617 to water (control), 70% sugar water solution of glucose, fructose, and sucrose in a 1:1:1
618 ratio (G + F + S), and alyssum flowers in the presence (A) and in the absence (B) of aphids.

619 **Fig. 5.** Kaplan-Meier estimates of survivorship functions of *A. aphidimyza* females given
620 access to water (control), 10% sugar water solution of glucose, fructose, and sucrose in
621 a 1:1:1 ratio (G + F + S), and alyssum flowers.

622 **Fig. 6.** Number of days *A. aphidimyza* females survive, up to five days, when provided
623 with different foods. Different letters indicate significant differences between the food
624 treatments (ANOVA, Tukey's HSD for mean separation, $P < 0.05$).

625 **Fig. 7.** Lateral view of *A. aphidimyza* on alyssum flower, showing the nectar glands
626 position (black dots) inside the calix.

627 **Fig. 8.** Ventral view of an *A. aphidimyza* female (A) and above view of an alyssum flower
628 (B). Comparison of the measures between the joint of the femur and tibia in the middle
629 legs of *A. aphidimyza* (14.9 ± 1.2 mm, mean \pm SE) and the gap between the petals and
630 the stamens of the alyssum flower (0.27 ± 0.04 mm, mean \pm SE).

631 **Table 1.** Mean (\pm SE) number of *A. ervi* mummies and dead aphids (\pm SE) when female
632 wasps were fed with three different treatments. No significant differences were found.

Treatment	Mummies (mean \pm SE)	Dead aphids (mean \pm SE)
Water	8.88 \pm 1.55	21.73 \pm 3,11
Alyssum	11.00 \pm 1.96	25.67 \pm 3.58
G + F + S 70%	10.28 \pm 1.74	22.08 \pm 2.65

633

634

635 **Table 2.** Mean number (\pm SE) of mature oocytes inside *A. aphidimyza* females and eggs
636 laid per day when fed with three different treatments.

Treatment	Oocytes (mean \pm SE)	Eggs /day (mean \pm SE)
Water	27.25 \pm 4.94b	5.16 \pm 1.64a
Alyssum	27.55 \pm 3.98b	2.73 \pm 0.96a
G + F + S 10%	43.90 \pm 3.43a	3.68 \pm 1.77a

637 Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences (ANOVA, Tukey's
638 HSD for mean separation, $P < 0.05$).

639

640

641

642

643

644


645

646

647

648

Fig.1.



649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657


658

659

660

661

Fig.2.



662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

Fig. 3.






Fig. 4.






677

678

679

680

Fig. 5.



681


682

683

684

685

Fig. 6.



686

687

688

689

690

Fig. 7.



691

692

693

694

Fig. 8.

