

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: San Román, B., V. Garrido, S. Sánchez, I. Martínez-Ballesteros, J. Garaizar, R. C. Mainar-Jaime, L. Migura-Garcia, and M. J. Grilló. 2018. "Relationship Between Salmonella Infection, Shedding And Serology In Fattening Pigs In Low-Moderate Prevalence Areas". Zoonoses And Public Health 65 (5): 481-489. Wiley. doi:10.1111/zph.12453. Wiley, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12453. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions

1	Relationship between Salmonella infection, shedding and serology in fattening pigs in
2	moderate prevalence areas
3	
4	
5	Running title: Salmonella infection, shedding and sero-prevalence in fattening pigs
6	
7	B. San Román, ¹ V. Garrido, ¹ S. Sánchez, ¹ I. Martínez-Ballesteros, ² J. Garaizar, ² R.C. Mainar-Jaime, ³
8	L. Migura-Garcia, ⁴ M.J. Grilló ^{1,#}
9	
10	
11	¹ Instituto de Agrobiotecnología (CSIC-UPNA-Gobierno de Navarra), Avda. Pamplona, 123. 31192,
12	Mutilva, Navarra, Spain.
13	² Dpto. de Inmunología, Microbiología y Parasitología, Facultad de farmacia, UPV/EHU, Calle
14	Paseo de la Universidad, 7. 01006, Vitoria, Álava, Spain
15	³ Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain.
16	⁴ Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal,
17	Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain.
18	
19	
20	[#] Corresponding author: María-Jesús Grilló
21	Phone: +34 948 168028; Fax: +34 948 232191; e-mail: <u>mj.grillo@csic.es</u>
22	

23 Abstract

24 Salmonella is a major foodborne pathogen causing important zoonosis worldwide. Pigs 25 asymptomatically infected in mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) can be intermittent shedders of 26 the pathogen through feces, being considered a major source of human infections. European 27 baseline studies of fattening-pig salmonellosis are based on Salmonella detection in MLN. This 28 work studies the relationship between Salmonella infection in MLN and intestinal content (IC) 29 shedding at slaughter, and the relationship between the presence of the pathogen and the 30 serologic status at farm level. Mean Salmonella prevalence in the selected pigs (vertically-31 integrated production system of Navarra, Spain) was 7.2% in MLN, 8.4% in IC, and 9.6% in serum 32 samples. In this low-moderate prevalence context, poor concordance was found between MLN 33 infection and shedding at slaughter, and between bacteriology and serology. In fact, most of 34 shedders were found uninfected in MLN (83%) or carrying different Salmonella strains in MLN 35 and in IC (90%). The most prevalent Salmonellae were Typhimurium resistant to ACSSuT±Nx or 36 ASSuT antibiotic families, more frequently found invading the MLN (70%) than in IC (33.9%). 37 Multivariable analysis revealed that risk factors associated with the presence of Salmonella in 38 MLN or in IC were different, mainly related either to good hygiene practices or to water and feed 39 control, respectively. Overall, in this prevalence context, detection of Salmonella in MLN is an 40 unreliable predictor of fecal shedding at abattoir, indicating that subclinical infections in 41 fattening pigs MLN could have limited relevance in the IC shedding.

42

43 **Keywords:** *Salmonella*, fattening pigs, lymph-nodes infection, shedding, serology.

44

45 Impact:

- Poor concordance between *Salmonella* MLN infection and IC shedding, as well as
 between bacteriology and serology at farm level, was found by analysis of paired
 samples from 698 fattening pigs from a <10% *Salmonella* prevalence context.
- Multivariable analysis revealed that risk factors associated with the presence of
 Salmonella in MLN or in IC were different, being mainly related either to good hygiene
 practices or to water and feed control.
- Salmonella Typhimurium resistant to ACSSuT±Nx or ASSuT antibiotic families were more
 frequently found invading the MLN than in fecal IC samples.
- In low-moderate prevalence contexts, detection of *Salmonella* in MLN is an unreliable
 predictor of fecal shedding at abattoir, indicating that subclinical infections in fattening
 pigs MLN could have limited relevance in the IC shedding.

58 Introduction

59 Foodborne Salmonella infection is considered a major cause of human morbidity in 60 industrialized areas such as USA (CDC, 2012) and EU (EFSA-ECDC, 2015). In USA, salmonellosis is 61 the first cause of foodborne disease registering 1,027,561 of non-typhoid human cases in 2011, 62 out of which 19,336 (1.9%) required hospitalization and 378 were fatal (CDC, 2012). Also, after 63 campylobacteriosis, salmonellosis is the second most frequent zoonosis in EU, with 88,715 64 confirmed cases in 2014 (EFSA-ECDC, 2015). Eggs and poultry products have been considered 65 the most important source of human infections, responsible for 43.8% of the cases (Pires et al., 66 2011). Recent implementation of Salmonella control programs on fowl populations have 67 resulted in a decreasing occurrence of Salmonella in eggs in the EU Member States (EFSA-ECDC, 68 2015) and thus a clear decrease of human salmonellosis since 2007 (EFSA-ECDC, 2012). 69 Currently, Salmonella-infected pigs are considered a major source of human infections (EFSA-70 ECDC, 2015, Pires et al., 2011).

71 To preserve the consumer's health, the current EU authorities advocate for the control of 72 Salmonella in pigs based on a "from farm to fork" strategy (DOUE, 2003). For this purpose, a EU 73 baseline study was designed in order to estimate the prevalence of Salmonella in slaughtered 74 pigs by analyzing the bacterium in mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN), which is considered the 75 target organ of choice to demonstrate the Salmonella infection exists in asymptomatically 76 infected pigs (EFSA, 2008a) since (i) these tissues are quickly colonized by the pathogen after 77 adhesion and invasion preferentially through the Peyer's patches and M cells of the gut wall; 78 and (ii) a significant proportion of pigs become as chronic asymptomatic carriers in MLN and 79 other tissues/organs, able to shed the pathogen through feces for long-lasting periods (Wood et 80 al., 1989; Evangelopoulou et al., 2014; Evangelopoulou et al., 2015). Alternatively, fecal samples 81 have been used for Salmonella studies in life animals at farm level. However, the presence of 82 Salmonella in feces could be attributed not only to an active infection of the intestine wall, MLN 83 and/or other tissues and organs but also to a passive presence of the pathogen (EFSA, 2008a).

Also, serological studies are proposed as a cheaper and faster option for *Salmonella* surveillance by using the pig serum samples that are systematically collected in routine surveillance programs for other infectious diseases, such as Aujezsky's disease. This method is considered particularly useful to identify herds highly exposed to the pathogen, and to detect an increasing prevalence in very low (<3%) *Salmonella* prevalence countries/areas for interventions (Vico *et al.*, 2010).

89 Large differences in fattening pigs Salmonella prevalence have been shown not only between 90 EU Member States (EFSA, 2008a, EFSA, 2008b) but also between Spanish high and low pig-91 production regions (García-Feliz et al., 2007). Our hypothesis is that, depending on the 92 Salmonella prevalence in the country/region, the performance of the sample type for assessing 93 the presence of the pathogen could vary widely. Accordingly, the aim of this study was to 94 investigate the relationship between MLN infection and fecal shedding at abattoir in vertically 95 integrated fattening pig from an area of low-moderate prevalence of Salmonella in these 96 animals. Additionally, the concordance between bacteriology and serology was analyzed at farm 97 level. For this, MLN and intestinal content (IC) paired samples were obtained at the slaughter 98 line for bacteriology and subsequent thoroughly phenotypic and genotypic characterization of 99 Salmonella isolates, and a representative number of sera from the same fattening pigs were 100 obtained for ELISA analysis. Moreover, analysis of potential risk factors associated to Salmonella 101 MLN infection and/or IC shedding were performed.

102

103 Material and methods

104 Study design and sampling

A total of 469,758 fattening pigs were registered in the region of Navarra (MAPAMA, 2012), most of them (78.6%) belonging to the 158 intensive farms vertically-integrated in 6 major pig companies (average of 2,900 pigs/farm). All the animals were slaughtered in 3 main abattoirs located within a 300-km radius. This was the sampling frame of this work.

109 The total number of farms and pigs to be sampled was calculated according to the expected 110 herd and individual prevalence of Salmonella, i.e. around 50% farms containing at least one pig 111 infected and less than 30% infected pigs per farm (EFSA, 2008a), and assuming a 10% error with 112 a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Thus, 30 farms (19% sampling fraction) and 25 pigs/farm 113 were selected to avoid biases. In turn, farms were selected proportionally to the six major 114 integrated-companies, the three main abattoirs implicated, the geographical location of farms, 115 and the season of the year (18-months sampling). Twenty-five pigs per farm were selected 116 randomly once in the slaughter line and systematically by selecting the first 25 sequential 117 animals of each farm. Both MLN and intestinal content (IC) paired samples were collected from 118 each pig. In 4 farms only 12 pigs/farm were collected due to logistic sampling limitations. Thus, 119 a total of 1,396 samples (698 MLN and 698 IC) were finally obtained for bacteriological purposes. 120 In addition, due to sampling limitations found in the abattoirs, the serological prevalence was 121 determined at herd level in 19 out of the 30 farms, by sampling 12 pigs/farm (i.e. a total of 228 122 out of the 698 pigs sampled for bacteriology). To avoid bias, random blood samples were taken 123 in the slaughter line and the seroprevalence results were not used for the risk factors analysis.

124 Ethics committee approval

Animal handling and slaughtering procedures were performed according to the current national
 legislation (Law 32/2007, for animal care on holdings, transportation, testing and slaughtering.

127 Salmonella spp. isolation and characterization

The presence of *Salmonella* spp. in both MLN and IC samples was determined by the wellstandardized ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007 method (hereafter ISO 6579) (ISO, 2007), as recommended in the EU reference studies on pig salmonellosis (EFSA, 2008a) and previously detailed (Garrido, 2014). All the *Salmonella* isolates were confirmed and classified by serovars according to the Kaufmann-White scheme (Grimont & Weill, 2007) in the Reference National Centre for Animal Salmonellosis (MAPAMA, Madrid, Spain). The isolated *Salmonella* were thereafter analyzed by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test (CLSI, 2006) against 12 antimicrobials

135 belonging to 8 different antimicrobial families (OIE, 2015), i.e. ampicillin and amoxicillin-136 clavulanic acid (A, Aminopenicillins); chloramphenicol (C, Phenicols); streptomycin and 137 gentamycin (S, Aminoglucosides); sulphisoxazole, trimethoprim and trimethoprim-138 sulphometoxazole (Su, Sulfonamides); tetracycline (T, Tetracyclines); nalidixic acid (Nx, Natural 139 Quinolones); ciprofloxacin (Fluoroquinolones); and cefotaxime (Third Generation 140 Cephalosporins). Antimicrobial concentrations used were those recommended by the European 141 legislation (DOUE, 2007). Salmonella susceptibility to each antimicrobial was determined by 142 measuring the diameter of the inhibition halo induced around disk (BD, Madrid, Spain) in 143 Mueller-Hinton (BD, Madrid, Spain) plates. Each strain was classified as resistant or susceptible, 144 according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute recommendations (CLSI, 2006). 145 Reference strains E. coli ATCC 25922, S. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and S. Typhimurium ATCC 146 DT104 were used as controls.

147 For further analysis of a possible relationship between Salmonella MLN infection and IC 148 shedding, four additional colonies/sample were kept and characterized. Besides serotyping and 149 antimicrobial resistance (AR) phenotypes, S. Typhimurium was submitted to phagetyping in the 150 National Centre of Microbiology (Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain) by the 34 STM 151 phage collection, following the standard procedures (Anderson et al., 1977, Echeíta et al., 2005). 152 Also, strains showing the same phenotype were genotyped by MLVA, following the standard 153 operating procedure proposed by the European Centre for Disease prevention and Control 154 (ECDC, 2011). For this, a multiplex PCR was performed with the VNTR loci and the forward and 155 reverse primers sequences described by Lindstedt et al (2004) in a GeneAmp Thermal 156 Cycler2720 (Applied Biosystems). PCR products were subjected to capillary electrophoresis in a 157 Genetic Analyzer ABI PRISM 3130XL (Applied Biosystems) and fragment sizes were determined 158 with Peak Scanner v.1 (Applied Biosystems) using GS600 LIZ as size standard. An allele number 159 was given to each fragment size according to the nomenclature proposed by Larsson et al (2009), 160 representing the repeats copy number existing in the VNTR. MLVA profiles were expressed as a

string of five locus numbers (SSTR9-SSTR5-STTR6-STTR10-STTR3). Absent loci were named as "NA", and all absent alleles were confirmed by single-plex PCR reactions (Larsson et al, 2009; Nadon et al, 2013). Cluster analysis was performed using the Dice similarity coefficient, and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) (http://insilico.ehu.eus; UPV/EHU). Shedding was considered associated to MLN infection when at least one *Salmonella* isolate showed identical phenotype simultaneously in both MLN and IC samples of a given pig.

167 Serological study

Serum samples (n=228) were obtained after blood incubation (room temperature, 4 h) and centrifugation (Multifuge 3 L-R, SORVALL, Heraeus; 4°C, 10 min, 1,500 ×g) and kept frozen until its use. The Herd-Check[®] Swine *Salmonella* ELISA test (IDEXXTM Laboratories, Inc., Hoofddorp, Netherlands) was used following the manufacturer's instructions. The 40% Optical Density cutoff was considered as the threshold to deem a positive result, according to the performance of this test reported by others (Methner et al., 2011, Nollet et al., 2005, Vico et al., 2010) and as used in some EU *Salmonella* surveillance programs (Merle *et al.*, 2011).

175 Questionnaire data and statistical analysis

176 Questionnaires were designed in order to collect complementary information about the pig 177 production from the abattoir, the major pig company, and the farm of origin, for each selected 178 batch of pigs analyzed. Abattoir data (8 variables) were related to animal origin, travel time to 179 slaughter and animal management previous to slaughtering, including the time spent by pigs in 180 lairage before slaughter. The major pig company (8 variables) provided information on diet and 181 antibiotics (if any) administration. Information from the farm (62 variables) dealt with data on 182 basic infrastructures, biosecurity measures, animal health, feeding practices, antibiotic 183 administration, and farmers' information (Vico et al., 2011). In order to provide more reliable 184 information, the farmers were asked to fill out the questionnaires with the assistance of their 185 veterinarians.

A farm was considered positive when *Salmonella* was isolated in at least one pig. Mean and 95% CI prevalence were calculated by considering MLN, IC and serum samples separately. Assessment of the agreement between infection in MLN and shedding was estimated by the Kappa statistic (*k*) and the strength of the concordance was interpreted according to the Landis & Koch criteria (Viera & Garrett, 2005). Agreement between bacteriology and serology was estimated exclusively at farm level, due to blood sampling limitations at abattoir.

Questionnaire information was used to assess potential *Salmonella* risk factors for prevalence, or shedding. A univariable *Chi*-square test was carried out as a screening method, and significant $(p \le 0.05)$ variables were further considered in a multivariable random-effect logistic regression model in which (i) the outcome variable was being "*culture positive*"; (ii) the explanatory variables included in the model as fixed effect were those from the questionnaire; and (iii) the random effect was the herd. The STATA software (StataCorp, L.P., College Station, TX, USA) was used for these statistical analyses.

199

200 Results

201 Salmonella prevalence in MLN and IC, and herd-seroprevalence

202 Salmonella spp. prevalence was similar in MLN (7.2%; 50/698) and in IC (8.4%; 59/698) samples 203 (Table 1). However, only 14 pigs showed the pathogen simultaneously in MLN and feces. 204 Therefore, the pathogen distribution in animals by farms was broader in IC than in MLN samples, 205 being found in 70% and 46.7% of the farms analyzed, respectively (Table 1). In positive herds, 206 the within-herd mean prevalence was 15.4% of pigs infected in MLN and 11.5% of shedders. 207 However, most of the farms (93.3%) presented less than 20% of animals with Salmonella isolated 208 in at least one sample (Table 1), showing 83.3% farms with Salmonella in less than 10% of pigs 209 infected in MLN and 66.7% of farms with the presence of the pathogen IC samples from less 210 than 10% of pigs (Figure 1).

ELISA results showed that 9.6% of pigs belonging to 52.6% of the farms were seropositive, with a 18.3% within-herd mean seroprevalence (Table 1). Similar to bacteriology, most of farms (78.9%) showed less than 20% of seropositive pigs, including 47.4% (9/19) farms with all pigs seronegative (Table 1). However, the percentage of farms with >20% of within-herd seroprevalence was higher (p<0.05) than that detected by bacteriology either in MLN or in IC without agreement between bacteriological and serological prevalence at farm level (Figure 1).

217 **C**

Characterization of *Salmonella* strains

From the 1,396 samples analyzed, *Salmonella* was found in 109 (7.8%) samples from 95 pigs, i.e. 50 isolates from MLN and 59 from IC (Table 1). Eight different *Salmonella* serotypes were found in MLN, and 14 serotypes in IC samples (Table 2), being *Salmonella* Typhimurium the most common in both MLN (70%) and IC (33.9%) but more frequently (p<0.0001) in the former. Other common serotypes were the monophasic 1,4,[5],12:i:- in both MLN (12%) and IC (11.8%); and Derby (16.9%), Anatum (13.5%), and Rissen (6.8%) in IC (Table 2).

224 A total of 74 (67.9%) Salmonella isolates (28 from MLN and 46 from IC samples) from 20 farms 225 showed AR to at least one antimicrobial agent. Resistance to tetracycline (86.5%), streptomycin 226 (82.4%), sulfisoxazole (77%) and ampicillin (64.9%) was common. Most (71.6%) of Salmonella 227 strains showing some AR were resistant to 3 or more drugs, being ACSSuT±Nx (36.5%) and ASSuT 228 (21.6%) the most prevalent multi-AR patterns in both MLN and IC samples (Table 2). 229 Furthermore, multi-AR strains were widely distributed, as they were present in 80% of the farms. 230 In general, IC strains showed more variability than MLN strains in AR phenotypes (15 vs. 8 AR 231 patterns, respectively; Table 2). Most of these AR patterns (11/15 in IC and 7/8 and in MLN) 232 involved multiple antimicrobial agents belonging to 6 different families, but none included 233 Fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin) or Third Generation Cephalosporin (cefotaxime). Noteworthy, 234 AR to Natural Quinolones (nalidixic acid) was frequently associated to ACSSuT multi-AR pattern. 235 At farm level, pansusceptible Salmonella isolates (35 out of 109 strains) were distributed in 236 54.2% (13/24) of the farms where the pathogen was detected, but most (69.2%) of these farms

showed simultaneously pansusceptible and multi-AR strains. Regarding serotypes, around 50%

238 of the strains showing AR were Typhimurium while less common serotypes such as Bardo,

239 Enteritidis and Urbana, showed susceptibility to all the antibiotics tested (Table 2).

240 Relationship between *Salmonella* MLN infection, fecal shedding, and serology

241 Although the overall prevalence of infection and shedding was similar, only mild agreement 242 (k=0.19) was observed between MLN and IC cultures (Table S1A). In fact, from the 95 pigs 243 showing Salmonella spp. in at least one sample, only 14 (14.7%) pigs showed the pathogen 244 simultaneously in both MLN and IC samples. The deeper characterization of these 28 isolates 245 plus additional 4 colonies/sample (112 isolates) allowed to identify identical Salmonella 246 phenotype in both MLN and IC samples from only 5/14 pigs, being Typhimurium (DT104B in 3 247 pigs from the same farm and DT193 in 2 pigs) the serotype involved (Table S2). Other 248 Typhimurium (2 pigs), Derby (2 pigs) and Anatum (1 pig) strains were discriminated exclusively 249 by MLVA genotyping, showing different number of only 1 or 2 VNTR loci (Table S2). Overall, a 250 relationship between MLN infection and fecal shedding could be established only in a 10% (5/50) 251 of MLN infected pigs and 8.47% (5/59) of shedders. Noteworthy, 4 out of these 14 pigs (28.6%) 252 showed simultaneous infections by different Salmonella types in MLN (Table S2, animals code 253 5, 10, 11 and 12).

Regarding ELISA results, poor or slight concordance was observed at farm level between serology and MLN infection (k=0.05), shedding (k=0.13) or both simultaneously (k=0.24) (Table S1B). In fact, 6 of the 9 farms where all the animals were serologically negative showed some pigs carrying *Salmonella* in both MLN and IC (4 farms, 5 pigs) or only in IC (2 farms).

258 Risk factors associated to *Salmonella* infection or shedding

Twenty-three (76.7%) farms filled out the three questionnaires containing complementary information and, thus, they were eventually included in the statistical model. Considering the discrepancy observed between bacteriological results for both MLN and IC, the risk factor analysis was carried out separately for each type of sample. These 23 farms retained the large differences in *Salmonella* MLN prevalence observed overall, since more than 50% of the infected pigs
belonged to only 2 (8.7%) farms, while 14 (60.9%) farms were found free from *Salmonella*infection in pigs. Likewise, 45.7% of shedders belonged to 4 farms, while 7 (30.4%) farms showed
all of the pigs analyzed free from *Salmonella* in IC.

267 A total of 56 variables (42 related to the farm and other 14 to both the company and the 268 slaughterhouse) were initially associated with Salmonella spp. infection in MLN in the univariable 269 analysis. However, 6 of them remained as risk factors in the final multivariable model, as shown 270 in Table 3: (i) pigs with body weight at slaughter below 106 kg ("final weight"); (ii) pigs from farms 271 with less than 1,800 animals ("farm size"); (iii) pigs slaughtered in autumn ("season"); (iv) pigs 272 allocated to farms with only occasional or no rodent control programs ("rodent control"); (v) pigs 273 from farms without a changing room and shower for workers ("existence of changing room and 274 shower"); and (vi) pigs fed with fine-floured instead of pelleted feed ("food type").

In contrast, 20 variables (15 farm-related and 5 company-related) were associated with *Salmonella* fecal shedding in the screening univariable analysis but only 3 variables remained significant in the final model (Table 3): (i) *"food type"* (see above); (ii) *"food administration"* dry in contrast to feed mixed with water; and (iii) *"water analysis frequency"* performed only occasionally in contrast to at least once a year analysis. Thus, only the *"food type"* variable was a common risk factor identified for both MLN and IC positive samples (Table 3).

281

282 Discussion

The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. infection in fattening pigs of our framework of Navarra (7.2%) was lower than that reported from similar studies carried out (i) at country level (29% in Spain) (EFSA, 2008a), (ii) in the major pig production areas of Spain (31.3% in Aragón) (Vico et al., 2011), and (iii) in the EU countries (10%) (EFSA, 2008a). Direct comparison to other pig *Salmonella* studies should be taken carefully since differences in sampling factors such as sample size (Funk *et al.*, 2000), type of sample (EFSA, 2006, Mainar-Jaime *et al.*, 2013) or the bacteriological

289 procedure used (Steinbach et al., 2002) could lead to diagnostic accuracy variations. Differences 290 between Navarra and Aragón were observed regarding not only the prevalence but also the 291 variability of Salmonella serotypes and AR profiles found (Vico et al., 2011), indicating 292 differences in the epidemiological context and animal and herd management. Unlike major pig 293 producing regions like Aragón (Gobierno-de-Aragón, 2012), Navarra has an important local gilt 294 production that allows self-replacement, thus avoiding pig import and the subsequent cross-295 contamination (Lo Fo Wong et al., 2004). Other subtler factors, likely associated with differences 296 in the overall pig production system, may have also played a role in the observed differences 297 between these neighboring regions, as shown by results from the multivariable analysis (Table 298 3). Thus, the potential risk factors and the data were analyzed by using the same questionnaire 299 and procedure as in the previous study in Aragón (Vico et al., 2011). Only one variable, i.e. the 300 absence of a continuous rodent control program in the farms, was found as a significant risk 301 factor simultaneously in both regions, emphasizing the important role that rodents may play in 302 the maintenance of the infection within the farm (Andrés-Barranco et al., 2014). Other potential 303 risk factors, such as the lack of changing rooms and showers for the staff, are considered a 304 reflection of the farmer's level of awareness on farm hygienic practices. Moreover, pelleted feed 305 has been associated with higher level of infection (Funk & Gebreyes, 2004), since it would modify 306 the physical conditions of the gut, favoring the Salmonella survival. Herein, the presence of the 307 pathogen not only in MLN (OR=5.73) but also in IC (OR=4.34) was favored by feed with fine flour. 308 Factors modifying the intestinal microbiota have been proposed for controlling the infection by 309 competitive exclusion of Salmonella (Andrés-Barranco et al., 2015, Tanner et al., 2014). In 310 contrast to other studies, pigs with body weight below 106 Kg had a 39.6 higher risk of infection 311 than heavier pigs under the same level of exposure, likely related to a poor nutritional and/or 312 health condition.

313 Subclinical infections in MLN are considered as a main source of *Salmonella* that under certain 314 circumstances of pig's stress can translocate to the digestive tract and shed by feces 315 (Evangelopoulou et al., 2014; Evangelopoulou et al., 2015) contributing to the contamination of 316 other pigs, pig carcasses and meat (Callaway et al., 2006, Larsen et al., 2003, Argüello et al., 317 2012). In fact, while the slaughter process is designed to minimize external carcasses 318 contamination, Salmonella invading MLN or other deeper tissues would seem to pose a high risk 319 of direct contamination of meat, offal and their derived products. Alternatively, ingestion of the 320 pathogen followed by its passive transit through the gut could be relatively frequent as well. In 321 the low-medium prevalence context of this study, paired MLN and IC samples from 698 pigs 322 were analyzed to estimate how frequent was the existence of simultaneous infections in both 323 MLN and IC and, thus, the relevance of subclinical MLN infections in shedding at slaughter line, 324 as a way of the pathogen introduction in the food chain. As result, only 10% (5/50) of pigs 325 infected in MLN showed identical type of Salmonella in IC samples. This finding could be 326 attributed either to a recent infection of the gut wall by Salmonella that reaches the MLN, or to 327 a chronic infection of MLN ending up in Salmonella reactivation by stress and the subsequent 328 shedding at the slaughter line (Monack et al., 2004). Differences between the isolation of 329 Salmonella in MLN and IC samples could be attributed to a lower sensitivity of the bacteriological 330 culture method from fecal samples, due to the presence of competitive flora and/or inhibitory 331 substances in IC that could interfere in Salmonella isolation (EFSA, 2006, Mainar-Jaime et al., 332 2013). However, a high proportion (54/59) of pigs carrying the pathogen in IC appeared free 333 from infection in MLN (45 pigs) or infected by different Salmonella strains (9 pigs), suggesting a 334 recent ingestion of the pathogen that could have occurred during transport and/or lairage 335 before slaughter, as demonstrated by others (Marg et al., 2001). In our study, these parameters 336 were not significant (p≥0.179) in the univariate analysis. The time of transportation was less than 337 1.5 hours in all cases and the time of lairage varied from 30 minutes to 7 hours. In most of the 338 cases (20/30 herds) pigs waited less than 3 hours before slaughtering and only pigs from 3 herds 339 waited 7 hours. Likewise, shedding could be attributed to a reactivation of a persistent 340 Salmonella infection outside MLN, such as tonsils, gallbladder or intestinal wall (Evangelopoulou

et al., 2014; Evangelopoulou et al., 2015). Consequently, subclinical MLN infections seemed to
play a limited role in pigs' shedding at slaughter, and subsequent introduction of the pathogen
in the food chain.

344 The presence of a higher proportion of S. Typhimurium in MLN (70%) than in IC (33.9%) samples 345 could indicate a higher invasiveness and/or persistence of this serotype in pigs MLN than those 346 serotypes only found in the gut content, as reported in cattle (Gragg et al., 2013). Additionally, 347 the finding of simultaneous infection by S. Typhimurium strains with different phenotypes (i.e. 348 antimicrobial susceptibility, phagetype and/or MLVA patterns) in 9 out of 14 pigs supported the 349 relative high frequency of this phenomenon of co-infections, as previously reported (Garrido et 350 al., 2014). Coexistence of pansusceptible and AR Salmonella spp. in a same biological niche could 351 favor the transference of mobile genetic elements carrying AR genes.

352 A large discrepancy was observed between bacteriology and serology at herd level. In spite of 353 the low number of blood samples obtained, a significant proportion of farms showing all pigs 354 seronegative had animals carrying the pathogen either in MLN (4 farms) and/or IC (6 farms), 355 indicating that the one-time assessment of the presence of specific antibodies against 356 Salmonella is a poor indicator of the actual status of infection in this epidemiological situation. 357 This conclusion is supported by previous works indicating that: (i) Salmonella infection precedes 358 by far (2-3 weeks) the sero-conversion, leading to seronegative but infected animals (Scherer et 359 al., 2008); (ii) the antibodies generated persist for more than 133 days post-infection, leading to 360 seropositive but uninfected pigs (Scherer et al., 2008); (iii) excretion can occur passively after 361 the pathogen ingestion in absence of infection and seroconvertion (Methner et al., 2011, Nollet 362 et al., 2005); and (iv) other Gram-negative bacteria may cause false positive serological reactions 363 (Vico et al., 2010). Furthermore, some authors have suggested that discrepancies between 364 serology and microbiology in pig salmonellosis could be attributed to serogroup differences 365 between the antigens used in the ELISA test and the Salmonella serotypes prevalent in the 366 region (Vico et al., 2010, Steinbach et al., 2002). This cannot explain our results since most of

367 *Salmonella* isolates (76.1%) belonged to serogroup B, the main target of the Herd-Check[®] Swine 368 *Salmonella* ELISA test. Likely, false positive serological reactions caused by other 369 *Enterobacteriaceae* may occur. In contrast to our results, in a 34.8% prevalence context, a strong 370 association between herd serology and the prevalence of *Salmonella* bacteria measured at 371 caecal-content but not at caecal-lymph nodes was established (Sorensen *et al.*, 2004).

In conclusion, the wide discrepancy between bacteriology in MLN and IC samples suggests a low impact of subclinical infections on *Salmonella* shedding at slaughter, in low-moderate prevalence contexts. Furthermore, the risk factors analysis strongly recommend a sustainable control based on good hygiene practices and rodent control. According to our results, a proper assessment of *Salmonella* in fattening pigs at abattoir should be done by analyzing both MLN and IC samples.

378

379 Acknowledgments

This work and VG post-doctoral contract were supported by Gobierno de Navarra (ref. IIQ14064.RI1) and Fundación Caja Navarra (ref. 2014-0411). BSR, SS and LMG contracts were supported by European Social Fund-CSIC (Subprogram JAE-Doc), Erasmus-Mundus-18, and European Social Fund-INIA, respectively. We are grateful to CNRSA, CNM and UPV/EHU general services (Spain) for their kind collaboration on *Salmonella* typing. Personnel from INTIA and abattoirs of Navarra (Spain) was also greatly acknowledged. We thank John G. Wild for critical review of the use of English in the manuscript.

387

388 Conflict of interest

389 None.

390

391 References

Anderson, E. S., L. R. Ward, M. J. Saxe and J. D. de Sa, 1977: Bacteriophage-typing designations
 of *Salmonella* typhimurium. *The Journal of hygiene*, **78**, 297-300.

394 Andrés-Barranco, S., J. P. Vico, V. Garrido, S. Samper, S. Herrera-León, C. de Frutos and R. C. 395 Mainar-Jaime, 2014: Role of wild bird and rodents in the epidemiology of subclinical 396 salmonellosis in finishing pigs. Foodborne pathogens and disease, 11, 689-697. 397 Andrés-Barranco, S., J. P. Vico, M. J. Grilló and R. C. Mainar-Jaime, 2015: Reduction of 398 subclinical Salmonella infection in fattening pigs after dietary supplementation with a 399 ss-galactomannan oligosaccharide. Journal of applied microbiology, 118, 284-294. 400 Argüello, H., A. Carvajal, J. A. Collazos, C. García-Felíz and P. Rubio, 2012: Prevalence and 401 serovars of Salmonella enterica on pig carcasses, slaughtered pigs and the 402 environment of four Spanish slaughterhouses. Food Res Int, 45, 905-912. 403 Callaway, T. R., T. S. Edrington, A. D. Brabban, J. E. Keen, R. C. Anderson, M. L. Rossman, M. J. 404 Engler, K. J. Genovese, B. L. Gwartney, J. O. Reagan, T. L. Poole, R. B. Harvey, E. M. 405 Kutter and D. J. Nisbet, 2006: Fecal prevalence of Escherichia coli O157, Salmonella, 406 Listeria, and bacteriophage infecting E. coli O157:H7 in feedlot cattle in the southern 407 plains region of the United States. Foodborne pathogens and disease, 3, 234-244. 408 CDC, 2012: CDC Estimates of foodborne illness in the United States. National Center for 409 Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, 410 http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/2011-foodborne-estimates.html. 411 CLSI, 2006: Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; Approved 412 Standard M2-A9. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 413 DOUE, 2003: Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the council on 414 the control of Salmonella and other species food-borne zoonotic agents. Official J Eur 415 Union. 416 DOUE, 2007: Commission Decision of 12 June 2007 on a harmonised monitoring of 417 antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* in poultry and pigs. Official J Eur Union. 418 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. 2011. Laboratory standard operating 419 procedure for MLVA of Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium. Stockholm: ECDC. 420 Echeíta, M. A., A. M. Aladueña, R. Díez, M. Arroyo, F. Cerdán, R. Gutierrez, M. de la Fuente, R. 421 González-Sanz, S. Herrera-León and M. A. Usera, 2005: Serotype and phage type 422 distribution of human Salmonella strains isolated in Spain, 1997-2001. Enfermedades 423 infecciosas y microbiologia clinica, 23, 127-134. 424 EFSA-ECDC, 2012: The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, 425 zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2010. EFSA J, 10(3):2597, 1-442. 426 EFSA-ECDC, 2015: The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, 427 zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2014. EFSA J, 13(12):4329, 1-191. 428 EFSA, 2006: Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Biological Hazards on the request from the 429 Commission related to "Risk assessment and mitigation options of Salmonella in pig 430 production". EFSA J, 341, 1-131. 431 EFSA, 2008a: Report of the task force on zoonoses data collection on the analysis of the 432 baseline survey on the prevalence of Salmonella in slaughter pigs. Part A: Salmonella 433 prevalence estimates. EFSA J, 135, 1-111. 434 EFSA, 2008b: Scientific report on the analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of 435 Salmonella in slaughter pigs, in the EU, 2006-2007. Part B: factors associated with 436 Salmonella infection in lymph nodes, Salmonella surface contamination of carcasses, 437 and the distribution of Salmonella serovars. EFSA J, 206, 1-111. 438 Evangelopoulou G, Filioussis G, Kritas S, Christodoulopoulos G, Triantafillou EA, Burriel AR. 2015. 439 Colonisation of pig gallbladders with Salmonella species important to public health. Vet 440 Rec. 176(7):174. 441 Evangelopoulou G, Kritas S, Govaris A, Burriel AR. 2014. Pork meat as a potential source 442 of Salmonella enterica subsp. arizonae infection in humans. J Clin Microbiol. 52(3):741-443 4. 444 Funk, J. and W. A. Gebreyes, 2004: Risk factors associated with Salmonella prevalence on 445 swine farms. J Swine Health Prod, 12, 246-251.

- 446 Funk, J. A., P. R. Davies and M. A. Nichols, 2000: The effect of fecal sample weight on detection 447 of Salmonella enterica in swine feces. Journal of veterinary diagnostic investigation : 448 official publication of the American Association of Veterinary Laboratory 449 Diagnosticians, Inc, 12, 412-418. 450 García-Feliz, C., J. A. Collazos, A. Carvajal, A. B. Vidal, A. Aladueña, R. Ramiro, M. de la Fuente, 451 M. A. Echeíta and P. Rubio, 2007: Salmonella enterica infections in Spanish swine 452 fattening units. Zoonoses and public health, 54, 294-300. 453 Garrido, V., S. Sánchez, B. San Román, A. Zabalza-Baranguá, Y. Díaz-Tendero, C. de Frutos, R. C. 454 Mainar-Jaime and M. J. Grilló, 2014: Simultaneous infections by different Salmonella 455 strains in mesenteric lymph nodes of finishing pigs. BMC veterinary research, 10:59. 456 Gobierno-de-Aragón, 2012: Movimiento comercial pecuario en Aragón, año 2009-2012. 457 Departamento de Agricultura, Ganadería y Medio Ambiente. 458 Gragg, S.E., G. H. Loneragan, K. K. Nightingale, D. M. Brichta-Harhay, H. Ruiz, J. R. Elder, L. G. 459 Garcia, M. F. Miller, A. Echeverry, R. G. Ramírez-Porras, M. M. Brashears. 2013. 460 Substantial within-animal diversity of Salmonella isolates from lymph nodes, feces, and 461 hides of cattle at slaughter. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79, 4744–4750. 462 MAPAMA, 2012. Resultados de las Encuestas de Ganado Porcino Noviembre 2012. Ministerio
- 463 de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente. Secretaría General Técnica.
 464 Subdirección General de Estadística.
- 465 http://www.mapama.gob.es/es/estadistica/temas/estadisticas-
- 466 agrarias/Informe_de_Porcino_2012_tcm7-286210.pdf
- Grimont, P. A. and F. X. Weill, 2007: Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars. Institute
 Pasteur.
- 469 ISO, 2007: Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection
 470 of *Salmonella* spp. Annex D: Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in animal faeces and in
 471 samples from the primary production stage. *ISO 6579:2002/DAM 1:2007*.
- 472 Larsen, S. T., J. D. McKean, H. S. Hurd, M. H. Rostagno, R. W. Griffith and I. V. Wesley, 2003:
 473 Impact of commercial preharvest transportation and holding on the prevalence of
 474 Salmonella enterica in cull sows. Journal of food protection, 66, 1134-1138.
- Larsson JT, Torpdahl M, Petersen RF, Sørensen G, Lindstedt BA, Nielsen EM. Development of a
 new nomenclature for *Salmonella* Typhimurium multilocus variable number of tandem
 repeats analysis (MLVA). *Euro Surveill*. 2009; 14(15).
- 478 Lindstedt BA, Vardund T, Aas L, Kapperud G. Multiple-locus variable-number tandem-repeats
 479 analysis of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium using PCR
 480 multiplexing and multicolor capillary electrophoresis. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2004;
 481 59(2):163-172.
- Lo Fo Wong, D. M., J. Dahl, H. Stege, P. J. van der Wolf, L. Leontides, A. von Altrock and B. M.
 Thorberg, 2004: Herd-level risk factors for subclinical *Salmonella* infection in European
 finishing-pig herds. *Preventive veterinary medicine*, **62**, 253-266.

485 Mainar-Jaime, R. C., S. Andrés, J. P. Vico, B. San Román, V. Garrido and M. J. Grilló, 2013:
486 Sensitivity of the ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007 standard method for detection of
487 Salmonella spp. on mesenteric lymph nodes from slaughter pigs. Journal of clinical
488 microbiology, 51, 89-94.

Marg, H., H. C. Scholz, T. Arnold, U. Rosler and A. Hensel, 2001: Influence of long-time
transportation stress on re-activation of *Salmonella* typhimurium DT104 in
experimentally infected pigs. *Berliner und Munchener tierarztliche Wochenschrift*, 114,
385-388.

Merle, R., S. Kosters, T. May, U. Portsch, T. Blaha and L. Kreienbrock, 2011: Serological *Salmonella* monitoring in German pig herds: results of the years 2003-2008. *Preventive veterinary medicine*, 99, 229-233.

496 Methner, U., N. Rammler, K. Fehlhaber and U. Rosler, 2011: Salmonella status of pigs at 497 slaughter--bacteriological and serological analysis. International journal of food 498 microbiology, 151, 15-20. 499 Nadon CA, Trees E, Ng LK, Møller Nielsen E, Reimer A, Maxwell N et al. Development and 500 application of MLVA methods as a tool for inter-laboratory surveillance. Euro Surveill. 501 2013; 18(35). 502 Nollet, N., D. Maes, L. Duchateau, V. Hautekiet, K. Houf, J. Van Hoof, L. De Zuttera, A. De Kruif 503 and R. Geers, 2005: Discrepancies between the isolation of Salmonella from 504 mesenteric lymph nodes and the results of serological screening in slaughter pigs. 505 Veterinary research, 36, 545-555. 506 OIE, 2015: OIE List of antimicrobial agents of veterinary importance. Available at: 507 http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Our scientific expertise/docs/pdf/Eng OIE 508 List antimicrobials May2015.pdf. 509 Pires, S. M., L. de Knegt and T. Hald, 2011: Estimation of the relative contribution of different 510 food and animal sources to human Salmonella infections in the European Union. EFSA 511 J. 512 Scherer, K., I. Szabo, U. Rosler, B. Appel, A. Hensel and K. Nockler, 2008: Time course of 513 infection with Salmonella typhimurium and its influence on fecal shedding, distribution 514 in inner organs, and antibody response in fattening pigs. Journal of food protection, 71, 515 699-705. 516 Sorensen, L. L., L. Alban, B. Nielsen and J. Dahl, 2004: The correlation between Salmonella 517 serology and isolation of Salmonella in Danish pigs at slaughter. Veterinary 518 microbiology, 101, 131-141. 519 Steinbach, G., T. Blaha and U. Methner, 2002: Estimating the prevalence of Salmonella spp. in 520 swine herds - Influence of sensitivity and specificity of Salmonella detection. Journal of 521 veterinary medicine. B, Infectious diseases and veterinary public health, 49, 438-444. 522 Tanner, S. A., C. Chassard, A. Zihler Berner and C. Lacroix, 2014: Synergistic effects of 523 Bifidobacterium thermophilum RBL67 and selected prebiotics on inhibition of 524 Salmonella colonization in the swine proximal colon PolyFermS model. Gut pathogens, 525 **6,** 44. 526 Vico, J. P., B. Engel, W. G. Buist and R. C. Mainar-Jaime, 2010: Evaluation of three commercial 527 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for the detection of antibodies against 528 Salmonella spp. in meat juice from finishing pigs in Spain. Zoonoses and public health, 529 57 Suppl 1, 107-114. 530 Vico, J. P., I. Rol, V. Garrido, B. San Román, M. J. Grilló and R. C. Mainar-Jaime, 2011: 531 Salmonellosis in finishing pigs in Spain: prevalence, antimicrobial agent susceptibilities, 532 and risk factor analysis. *Journal of food protection*, **74**, 1070-1078. 533 Viera, A. J. and J. M. Garrett, 2005: Understanding interobserver agreement: the kappa 534 statistic. Family medicine, 37, 360-363. 535 Wood, R. L., A. Pospischil and R. Rose, 1989: Distribution of persistent Salmonella typhimurium 536 infection in internal organs of swine. Am J Vet Res, 50, 1015-1021. 537 538

539 Figure captions

- 541 **Figure 1.** Distribution of *Salmonella* spp. prevalence at farm level (% of positive pigs/farm) in 698
- 542 fattening pigs from the 30 farms analyzed. White bars: Mesenteric Lymph Nodes; black bars:
- 543 Intestinal Content; grey bars: Blood Sera (ELISA).

Table 1. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in mesenteric lymph nodes, intestinal content and blood serum samples from vertically-integrated fattening pigs of
 545 Spain.

Salmonella spp. isolation	Mesenteric Lymph Nodes	Intestinal Content	Mesenteric Lymph Nodes and/or Intestinal Content	Serology
No. of positive ^a pigs/ total pigs analyzed (mean %; Cl ^b)	50/698 (7.2%; 6.4-8.2)	59/698 (8.4%; 7.3-9.5)	95/698 (13.6%; 11.2-16.3)	22/228 (9.6%; 6.4-14.2)
No. of positive farms/ total farms studied (mean %; CI)	14/30 (46.7%; 33.9-66.1)	21/30 (70.0%; 53.8-86.1)	24/30 (80%; 70.0-96.6)	10/19 (52.6%; 31.7-72.6)
No. of positive pigs/ pigs in positive farms (mean %; CI)	50/324 (15.4%; 11.4-18.6)	59/512 (11.5%; 9.0-14.6)	95/574 (16.5%; 13.4-19.4)	22/120 (18.3%; 13.8-28.9)

^aat least 1 CFU of *Salmonella* spp. was isolated; ^bCI: 95% Confidence Interval.

- 548 **Table 2.** Phenotype of the *Salmonella* strains isolated from mesenteric lymph nodes or intestinal
- 549 content paired samples of 698 fattening pigs of Spain. Strains are grouped by antimicrobial resistance
- 550 pattern.

Antimicrobial resistance pattern	Serotype (No. of strains) ^a				
(No. of strains) ^a	Mesenteric Lymph Nodes	Intestinal Content			
ACSSuT (16)	Typhimurium (7)	Typhimurium (8)			
		Rissen (1)			
ACSSuTNx (11)	Typhimurium (5)	Typhimurium (6)			
ASSuT (16)	Typhimurium (5)	Typhimurium (1)			
	1,4,[5],12:i:- (5)	1,4,[5],12:i:- (5)			
ASSuTNx (1)	NA	Typhimurium (1)			
ACSSu (1)	NA	Wien (1)			
CSSuT (1)	NA	Derby (1)			
ASSu (3)	1,4,[5],12:i:- (1)	1,4,[5],12:i:- (2)			
SSuT (3)	NA	Derby (3)			
STNx (1)	NA	Derby (1)			
SSu (1)	Typhimurium (1)	NA			
ST (4)	Anatum (1)	Anatum (3)			
SuT (3)	Derby (1)	Agona (1)			
		Derby (1)			
Nx (1)	NA	Nottingham (1)			
S (3)	Typhimurium (2)	S. salamae (1)			
Su (1)	NA	Anatum (1)			
T (8)	NA	Typhimurium (1)			
		Rissen (3)			
		Derby (2)			
		Anatum (2)			
Susceptible (35)	Typhimurium (15)	Typhimurium (3)			
	Bardo (2)	Anatum (2)			
	Enteritidis (2)	Derby (2)			
	Othor (2)	Urbana (2) Other (4)			
	Other (3)	Other (4)			
6 antibiotic families	8 serotypes (50)	14 serotypes (59)			
16 AR profiles (74)					

551 552

^a by typing one bacterial colony from each sample. A: ampicillin and/or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid;

553 C: chloramphenicol; S: streptomycin; Su: sulfisoxazole and/or trimethoprim-sulfometoxazole; T:

tetracycline; Nx: nalidixic acid. NA: No Applicable.

555 **Table 3.** Variables significantly associated with *Salmonella* prevalence in mesenteric lymph nodes or intestinal content of fattening

556 pigs, by a multivariable random-effect logistic regression analysis after clustering pigs by farm of origin.

			Log	jistic Regressi	on parameters	s for		
Variable	Mesenteric Lymph Nodes			Intestinal Content				
	No. pigs	P value	OR⁵	(95% CI)	No. pigs	P value	OR⁵	(95% CI)
1. Final weight						NS		
^з 106 kg ^а	400		1		-		-	-
<106 kg	175	0.000	39.6	(8-196)	-		-	-
2. Farm size						NS		
³ 1,800 pigs ^a	175		1		-		-	-
<1,800 pigs	400	0.000	10.1	(3.8-26.6)	-		-	-
3. Season						NS		
Winter ^a	150		1		-		-	-
Spring	125	0.000	0.07	(0.03-0.16)	-		-	-
Summer	175	0.028	0.23	(0.06-0.85)	-		-	-
Autumn	125	0.046	7.41	(1.03-53.15)	-		-	-
4. Rodent Control						NS		
Continuous ^a	425		1		-		-	-
Sometimes/Never	150	0.000	20	(5.4-72.9)	-		-	-
5. Existence of changing room and shower						NS		
Yes ^a	175		1		-		-	-
No	375	0.005	11.92	(2.08-68.05)	-		-	-
6. Food type								
Pelleted ^a	250		1		237		1	
Meal	325	0.021	5.73	(1.3-25.2)	286	0.000	4.34	(1.92-10)
7. Food administration								
Mixed with water ^a	-		-	-	200		1	
Dry	-	NS	-	-	298	0.001	4.2	(1.78-10)
8. Water analysis frequency								
³ 1/year ^a	-		-	-	162		1	
<1/year	-	NS	-	-	336	0.001	3.6	(1.69-7.96)
Constant		0.09	Q 1	(0.80-11.9)		0.000	0 15	(0.09-0.25)
		0.03	5.1	(0.00-11.3)		0.000	0.15	(0.03-0.23)

557

⁵⁵⁸ ^a Reference category assigned as OR=1 for statistical purposes; ^bOdds Ratio; NS: Not Significant.

- 559 **Table S1.** Contingency tables with the results of the *Salmonella* ISO 6579 on mesenteric lymph nodes
- 560 (MLN) and intestinal content (IC) paired samples (A); or with the *Salmonella* prevalences by serology and

561 microbiology (positive in MLN, IC or at least one of them) in 19 farms (B).

562

563 A)

No. of samples		N	Total	
		Positive	Negative	TOLAI
IC	Positive	14	45	59
	Negative	36	603	639
		50	648	698

564

565

566

B)

	No. of farms		MLN		IC		MLN and/or IC		Totals
			Positive ^a	Negative	Positive ^a	Negative	Positive ^a	Negative	
	Serology	Positive	5	5	8	2	9	1	10
_		Negative	4	5	6	3	6	3	9
-	Totals		9	10	14	5	15	4	19
	Kappa value v (strength of co	0,	<i>k</i> =0.0	15 (poor)	<i>k</i> =0.13	s (slight)	<i>k</i> =0.2	4 (fair)	

³ One farm was considered positive when at least one pig showed a positive result in the correspondent

568 analysis; ^b Strength of concordance determined by the Landis & Koch criteria (Viera & Garrett, 2005).

Table S2. Phenotypic characterization of *Salmonella* strains isolated simultaneously in mesenteric

lymph nodes (MLN) and intestina	I content (IC)	samples from	fattening nigs
iyilipii libues (ivilin) and intestina	i content (ic)	samples nom	rattering pigs.

Animal Code	Sample Salmonella phenotype					Relationship MLN vs. IC ^b
		Serotype	AR pattern ^a	Typhimurium phagetype	MLVA	
1	MLN IC	Typhimurium	ACSSuTNx	104B	4-15-10-7-310 4-15-10-7-310	Yes
2	MLN IC	Typhimurium	ACSSuTNx	104B	4-15-10-7-310 4-15-10-7-310	Yes
3	MLN IC	Typhimurium	ACSSuTNx	104B	4-15-10-7-310 4-15-10-7-310	Yes
5	MLN IC	Typhimurium	S/Susceptible	193	2-9-4-12-211 2-9-4-12-211	Yes
6	MLN IC	Typhimurium Typhimurium Rissen	S S ACSSuT	193 193 NA	2-9-4-12-211 2-9-4-12-211	Yes
4	MLN IC	Typhimurium	ACSSuT	104B	3-13-15-24-311 3-13-15-23-311	No
10	MLN IC	Typhimurium	ACSSuT	104B/ 193/ U302 104B	3-13-16-24-311 3-13-15-23-311	No
7	MLN IC	Derby	SuT	ND	1-9-NA-19-111 1-9-NA-NA-111	No
3	MLN IC	Derby	Susceptible	ND	1-9-NA-NA-111 1-9-NA-19-111	No
)	MLN IC	Anatum	ST	ND	1-9-10-7-211 1-9-NA-19-211	No
1	MLN IC	Typhimurium	ACSSuT / Susceptible	104B 137 / 56	ND ND	No
12	MLN IC	Typhimurium 1,4,[5],12:i:-	S/Susceptible ASSu	193 U311	ND ND	No
13	MLN IC	Typhimurium Wien	Susceptible ACSSu	137 ND	ND ND	No
14	MLN IC	Typhimurium S. salamae	ACSSuT S/Susceptible	104B ND	ND ND	No

^asee Table 2; ^bPossible relationship between infection in MLN and IC shedding; ND: Not Determined because not applicable; NA: No Amplification.