



# LSHTM Research Online

Ncube, RT; Dube, SA; Machekera, SM; Timire, C; Zishiri, C; Charambira, K; Mapuranga, T; Duri, C; Sandy, C; Dlodlo, RA; +1 more... Lin, Y; (2019) Feasibility and yield of screening for diabetes mellitus among tuberculosis patients in Harare, Zimbabwe. PUBLIC HEALTH ACTION, 9 (2). pp. 72-77. ISSN 2220-8372 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5588/pha.18.0105

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4654326/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5588/pha.18.0105

#### **Usage Guidelines:**

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

1 Title: A comparison of the yield and relative cost of four tuberculosis active case finding algorithms in Zimbabwe. 2 3 4 **Authors and Affiliations** Shepherd M Machekera<sup>1</sup>, Ewan Wilkinson<sup>2</sup>, Sven G. Hinderaker<sup>3</sup>, Mzwandile Mabhala<sup>4</sup>, 5 Christopher Zishiri<sup>1</sup>, Ronald T. Ncube<sup>1</sup>, Collins Timire<sup>1, 5</sup>, Kudakwashe C Takarinda<sup>1, 5</sup>, 6 Tonderai Sengai<sup>6</sup>, Charles Sandy<sup>5</sup> 7 8 9 <sup>1</sup>The International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases – Zimbabwe 10 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Medicine, University of Chester – UK 11 <sup>3</sup>Center of International Health, University of Bergen – Norway 12 <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health and Wellbeing, University of Chester – UK 13 <sup>5</sup>Ministry of Health and Child Care – Zimbabwe 14 <sup>6</sup>Family AIDS Caring Trust – Zimbabwe 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 **Corresponding author** 22 Name: Shepherd M. Machekera **Email:** drsmmachekera@gmail.com 23 24 25 26 Word count main test: 2,471 Word count abstract: 198 References: 27 Tables: 6 Key words: tuberculosis screening algorithm, systematic screening, Zimbabwe, operational research, SORT-IT **Short running title:** Active tuberculosis case finding in Zimbabwe

27

29 ABSTRACT

- 30 **Setting:** 10 districts and 3 cities in Zimbabwe
- 31 **Objective:** To compare the yield and relative cost of identifying a case of tuberculosis (TB)
- 32 using the three World Health Organization (WHO) recommended algorithms: WHO2b -
- symptom inquiry (SI) only; WHO2d chest X-ray (CXR) after a positive SI; WHO3b CXR
- only; and the Zimbabwe active case finding (ZimACF) algorithm SI plus CXR to everyone.
- 35 **Design:** Cross-sectional study using data from the ZimACF project.
- Results: 38,574 people were screened from April-December 2017 and 488(1.3%) were
- diagnosed with TB using the ZimACF algorithm. Using the WHO recommended algorithms,
- 38 fewer TB cases would have been diagnosed. This ranged from 7% (34 cases) fewer with
- 39 WHO3b, 18% (88 cases) with WHO2b, and 25% (122 cases) with WHO2d. Need for CXR
- 40 ranged from 36%(WHO2d) to 100%(WHO3b). Need for bacteriological confirmation ranged
- from 7% (WHO2d) to 40% (ZimACF). The relative cost-per-case of TB diagnosed ranged from
- 42 \$180 with WHO3b to \$565 for the ZimACF algorithm.
- Conclusion: The ZimACF algorithm had the highest yield but at much greater cost-per-case
- 44 than the WHO algorithms. The trade-off between cost and yield needs to be reviewed by the
- NTP and a decision to switch to algorithm WHO3b should be considered.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is the leading cause of deaths among infectious diseases globally. In 2017, nearly 1.2 million died and 10 million people were affected. <sup>1, 2</sup> Zimbabwe is among the 30

high-burden countries for TB.<sup>3</sup> Despite declining TB case notifications in the country, one-

third of people with active disease remained undiagnosed in 2017. <sup>1</sup>

Active case finding (ACF) among high-risk groups (HRGs) is effective in identifying undiagnosed TB.<sup>4-6</sup> This leads to earlier initiation on treatment and thus reduce duration of being infectious and community transmission. <sup>7</sup> Modelling done in high-burden countries showed that implementing ACF over a 10 year period could reduce TB incidence and mortality by 27% and 44% respectively. <sup>8</sup> ACF is essential if global targets of the "End TB" Strategy are to be met. <sup>8,9</sup>

Zimbabwe's National TB Programme (NTP) has been implementing ACF since 2017 and it is still ongoing. The aim is to identify people with undiagnosed TB cases in areas with estimated high proportions HRGs (see figure 1) and improve treatment coverage. World Health Organisation (WHO) is not clear on the most appropriate algorithm to use for ACF in resource-limited countries with high HIV and TB prevalence. <sup>10</sup> Countries are encouraged to select an algorithm that meets their primary objectives for ACF, consider their TB prevalence, HRGs being targeted, and the resources available.<sup>4, 11, 12</sup>

Around 10% of people diagnosed with active TB in some prevalence surveys are asymptomatic.<sup>13-15</sup> It is difficult to identify people with TB disease using symptoms alone in people living with HIV (PLHIV). It is often paucibacillary hence the need for clinical diagnosis.<sup>16, 17</sup> Zimbabwe which has a very high TB-HIV co-infection rate of 71%<sup>1</sup>, so NTP designed an algorithm <sup>18</sup> which is appreciably different from those recommended by WHO <sup>4</sup> to address these concerns(table 1).

Literature that compares the yield and cost of WHO-recommended algorithms under programmatic condition is scarce. We only found one study from China that used data from elderly people from a TB prevalence survey. However, the burden of both TB and HIV in their study population was much lower than that in Zimbabwe.

The ACF project in Zimbabwe is costly and consumes nearly 20% (over US\$1.1 million dollars) of the total funding for TB in Zimbabwe annually and this was a concern for the NTP. They requested a review of the screening algorithm to determine if a comparable number of people with TB could be identified but at a reduced cost. The purpose of our study was to analyse the characteristics of the population screened in Zimbabwe and use the data to compare the yield and relative cost of identifying a case of TB if NTP had used one of the three WHO recommended algorithms.

A TT	TTT	$\Delta$	2
ME	$\mathbf{H}$	U	UD

89

87

### Study design

90 Cross-sectional study using data from the Zimbabwe ACF project.

91

### 92 **Setting**

- 93 *General country profile*
- 24 Zimbabwe is a developing country in Sub-Saharan African with a population of 17 million in
- 95 2017.1 In the same year, 22.5% of the population lived in extreme poverty, defined as
- households whose per-capita consumption is less than 2100 calories.<sup>20</sup>

97

98

99

The public health system has four levels; central (tertiary), provincial, and district hospitals, and primary health centres. TB services are free in all public health facilities. Prior to implementation of ACF, diagnosis of TB was mostly based on passive case finding (PCF).

101

100

- 102 Study sites
- 103 We used all the available programme data from 10 districts (Beitbridge, Bubi, Chimanimani,
- 104 Chiredzi, Masvingo, Matobo, Mutare, Nkayi, Sanyati, and Zvimba) and three city-areas
- 105 (Harare, Chitungwiza and Kwekwe) that had been screened in 2017. These places were selected
- because they were estimated to have the highest prevalence of undiagnosed TB and targeted
- HRGs. Data from these places were also deemed suitable for our study.

108109

110

111

112

113

No incentives were given.

Teams conducting screening used local knowledge to identify places that were most likely to have high numbers of undiagnosed TB cases in the district or city. Poor overcrowded communities; places near mines; popular business centres; and areas with limited access to health services were prioritised. People in these communities were sensitised and mobilised to come for free TB screening using social media, posters, meetings, print and electronic media.

114115

116

117

118

119

All people attending the outreach clinics were initially screened for TB symptoms by nurses. Everyone also had a digital CXR taken and this was interpreted by a doctor on site. Supervised spot sputum samples were collected from all presumptive TB cases and sent for bacteriological confirmation at the laboratory.

120			

- Diagnosis of active TB was through;
  - a) Bacteriological confirmation sputum tests positive for TB on GeneXpert or;
  - b) Clinical diagnosis the medical doctor makes a decision to diagnose TB based on the patient's history, symptoms, signs and CXR findings despite negative sputum results.

People were also screened for diabetes and HIV as important co-morbidities. Those diagnosed were initiated on treatment and linked with their nearest health facility. Tuberculosis preventive therapy (TPT) was not provided.

#### **Study population**

People screened for TB in Zimbabwe ACF project between April and December 2017.

#### **Data source and variables**

Data from the project stored in the central server was used. During screening, all data were entered electronically on a tablet. Anonymised data on age, sex, TB symptoms, chest X-ray (CXR) findings, bacteriological confirmation, HIV status, HRG, and TB diagnosis from the people screened were extracted. Information on operational costs for staff and the laboratory for the project was also collected.

#### **Analysis and statistics**

We used STATA version 13.0 (*StataCorp LP College Station, Texas, USA*) to analyse data. Encoding errors in seven records were identified using a logic check and excluded. We calculated the proportion diagnosed with active TB, number needed to be screened (NNS) and relative cost of identifying one case for individuals with different characteristics and HRGs.

The data were used to determine for each WHO algorithm, the number and percentage of people that would be screened for TB symptoms and undergo CXR. We also determined the number of presumptive TB cases that would have been identified after symptom screening alone, CXR alone or both sequentially. We then determined from these cases the number who had active TB diagnosed.

A McNemar's test was used to determine if the number of people diagnosed with TB by each of the three WHO algorithms was significantly different from the Zimbabwe algorithm at 5% significance level. The NNS was also calculated for each algorithm.

We estimated the cost-per-person for conducting symptom screening, having a CXR taken, and bacteriological confirmation (see table 2). We included only operational staff costs and laboratory consumables. Other costs related to procurement of capital equipment, depreciation, maintenance and insurance were assumed to remain constant for all the algorithms. Direct or indirect patient costs were also not included.

We calculated the relative cost-per-case diagnosed for each algorithm by dividing the total cost of the screening by the number of people diagnosed with TB. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to ascertain if our conclusions on relative cost-per-case for different algorithms remained the same if we altered the cost assumptions.

#### **Ethics**

Ethical clearance was sought and granted prior to the study by the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe (MRCZ/E/198) and The International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease Ethics Advisory Group (02/18).

#### RESULTS

A total of 38,574 people were screened for TB in Zimbabwe (Table 3). Almost two-thirds (61.6%) of them were females. The mean age (standard deviation) of the population was 48 (21) years. Active TB was diagnosed in 488(1.3%) persons, of whom 370(75.8%) were clinically diagnosed and 118(24.2%) were bacteriologically confirmed.

The HGRs were not mutually exclusive. Over half (54.9%) of the people screened belonged to more than one HRG while 41.0% of people screened did not belong to any of the targeted groups. In total, 1.8% of people with more than one HRG had TB and this was significantly higher (p < 0.001) than the 0.6% among people who did not belong to any HRG.

The most common HRGs among the people screened were being a TB contact and being HIV positive. TB was more common among people previously treated for TB, those who were HIV positive, and miners.

In all the algorithms, symptom screening was the initial step for all people except for WHO3b where the CXR was used first (see Table 4). WHO2d algorithm at 13,710 (35.5%) would have had the lowest number of people needing to have a CXR done and interpreted by a medical doctor. With WHO2b algorithm, no CXR would be done.

The Zimbabwe algorithm had the highest number of presumptive TB cases that needed bacteriological confirmation, 39.6% (table 4). All the three WHO algorithms would have fewer numbers of presumptive TB cases identified compared to the Zimbabwe algorithm with WHO2d at 6.7% being the lowest.

Table 5 shows that, compared to the number of TB cases diagnosed by the Zimbabwean algorithm, all the three WHO-recommended screening algorithms would have had a statistically significant lower yield of TB cases identified (p < 0.001). WHO3b, WHO2b and WHO2d had 7.0%, 18% and 25% fewer cases, respectively.

The lowest relative cost-per-case was with WHO3b algorithm (\$180). It would have been over three times cheaper than the Zimbabwe algorithm (\$565). Sensitivity analysis showed that despite varying the unit costs used in our model, WHO3b algorithm had a consistently lower cost-per-case of TB diagnosed compared to the Zimbabwe algorithm.

#### **DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to use data from an ACF program to compare the yield and relative cost of the WHO-recommended ACF screening algorithms in a high TB and HIV prevalence setting.

We found that the current Zimbabwe ACF algorithm gave the highest yield of TB cases diagnosed. The cost-per-case was triple that of TB diagnosed by the WHO3b algorithm. However, 7% of active TB cases would be missed by WHO3b algorithm. It is probable that cases missed would be diagnosed later by PCF in public health facilities. A median delay of about four weeks is expected with PCF compared to only one week when ACF is done. <sup>21</sup> ACF should complement rather than replace PCF in finding people with TB disease.<sup>5, 11, 12, 22</sup>

The number of people needing symptom screening, CXR and bacteriological confirmation was different for the algorithms and this impacts on the relative cost-per-case (table 4). Participants who did not belong to any HRG had a lower yield of TB and thus increased the cost per case diagnosed. If the NTP were to adopt the WHO3b algorithm plus improve the proportion of people with HRG who get screened, significant savings on staff and laboratory costs could be made.

The relative cost-per-case of TB diagnosed in this study are markedly different from a study carried out in China. <sup>19</sup> A similar method was used but data from only elderly people who participated in a TB prevalence survey were analysed. In contrast to our study, they reported that WHO3b algorithm had the best yield but was the most expensive. This is because direct smear microscopy was used for bacteriological confirmation which is markedly cheaper and less sensitive than GeneXpert. <sup>23</sup> Unlike in our study where operational staff costs were used to come up with the cost of a CXR, the China study used market costs which are more expensive. In addition, the NNS in the China study was more than double that from our study population reflecting a lower TB prevalence setting. Despite the expense, the Chinese study also recommended WHO3b algorithm to be used.

The strengths of our study were that it used all the available data from people screened in the Zimbabwean ACF project in normal programmatic conditions. Data was collected

electronically during screening. Each patient's file was verified by the team leader before the patient was discharged to minimise transcription errors. Our study also adhered to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.<sup>24</sup>

Limitations of this study were that the costings model we used only generated indicative costs for the different algorithms. This means the costs cannot be used for international comparisons or designing a new program. Also, the results are from areas in Zimbabwe with the highest estimated prevalence of TB. Care therefore needs to be taken when generalising the results to areas with lower TB prevalence. Implementing ACF in such settings may not be cost-effective.<sup>25</sup> The study population was purposively sampled high-risk communities, and selection bias is also obvious in the male/female ratio.

The high number of females may reflect differences in health seeking behaviour between men and women. If more men had participated, a higher yield would have been expected and hence a lower the cost-per-case across all the algorithms we compared. There was no significant differences in the number of TB cases diagnosed by gender across all the algorithms.

A trade-off could be considered by the NTP when selecting the most appropriate ACF algorithm. Savings could be used to support other components of the program, particularly TPT which is recommended for PLHIV when active TB has been excluded. <sup>18, 26</sup> Unfortunately, TPT was not given and that was a missed opportunity. TPT among PLHIV has been shown to reduce the overall risk of developing TB by around 35%. <sup>8, 27</sup> By integrating TPT within the ACF program, Zimbabwe could get additional benefits of reducing TB incidence among PLHIV.

#### Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that the Zimbabwe ACF algorithm provides the highest yield of TB cases diagnosed. The WHO3b algorithm will miss seven percent of TB cases but is three times cheaper. The NTP should thus consider compromising between cost and yield and adopt the WHO3b algorithm.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 274 This research was conducted through the Structured Operational Research and Training 275 Initiative (SORT IT), a global partnership led by the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases at the World Health Organization (WHO/TDR). The training 276 277 model is based on a course developed jointly by the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union) and Medécins sans Frontières (MSF). The specific SORT IT 278 279 program which resulted in this publication was implemented by: Medécins Sans Frontières, Brussels Operational Centre, Luxembourg and the Centre for Operational Research, The 280 281 Union, Paris, France. Mentorship and the coordination/facilitation of these SORT IT workshops were provided through the Centre for Operational Research, The Union, Paris, 282 France; the Operational Research Unit (LuxOR); AMPATH, Eldoret, Kenya; The Institute of 283 Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium; The Centre for International Health, University of 284 Bergen, Norway; University of Washington, USA; The Luxembourg Institute of Health, 285 Luxembourg; The Institute of Medicine, University of Chester, UK; The National Institute for 286 Medical Research, Muhimbili Medical Research Centre, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. 287
- 288 **FUNDING**
- 289 The programme was funded by: the United Kingdom's Department for International
- 290 Development (DFID); La Fondation Veuve Emile Metz-Tesch supported open access
- 291 publications costs. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis,
- decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

293

273

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

296

#### REFERENCES

298

- World Health Organisation. Global tuberculosis report, 2018. WHO/CDS/TB/2018.20.
   Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2018.
- Roth GA, Abate D, Abate KH, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific
- mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980–2017: a
- systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet 2018;
- 304 392: 1736-88.
- World Health Organisation. Use of high burden country lists for TB by WHO in the post-2015 era. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2015.
- World Health Organisation. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: An operational guide. WHO/HTM/TB/2015.16. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2015.
- Yuen CM, Amanullah F, Dharmadhikari A, et al. Turning off the tap: stopping tuberculosis transmission through active case-finding and prompt effective treatment.
   The Lancet 2015; 386: 2334-43.
- Prasad B, Satyanarayana S, Chadha S, et al. Experience of active tuberculosis case finding in nearly 5 million households in India. Public health action 2016; 6: 15-8.
- 314 7. Barrera E, Livchits V, Nardell E. FAST: a refocused, intensified, administrative 315 tuberculosis transmission control strategy. The International Journal of Tuberculosis 316 and Lung Disease 2015; 19: 381-4.
- 8. Azman AS, Golub JE, Dowdy DW. How much is tuberculosis screening worth?
  Estimating the value of active case finding for tuberculosis in South Africa, China, and
  India. BMC medicine 2014; 12: 216.
- Ho J, Fox GJ, Marais BJ. Passive case finding for tuberculosis is not enough.

  International journal of mycobacteriology 2016; 5: 374-8.
- 322 10. Van Wyk S, Lin H, Claassens M. A systematic review of prediction models for 323 prevalent pulmonary tuberculosis in adults. The International Journal of Tuberculosis 324 and Lung Disease 2017; 21: 405-11.
- World Health Organisation. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: principles and recommendations. WHO/HTM/TB/2013.04. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2013.
- 12. Uplekar M, Creswell J, Ottmani SE, et al. Programmatic approaches to screening for active tuberculosis [State of the art series. Active case finding/screening. Number 6 in the series]. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 2013; 17: 1248-330

  56.

- 331 13. van't Hoog AH, Meme HK, Laserson KF, et al. Screening strategies for tuberculosis
- prevalence surveys: the value of chest radiography and symptoms. PloS one 2012; 7:
- 333 e38691.
- Hoa NB, Sy DN, Nhung NV, et al. National survey of tuberculosis prevalence in Viet
- Nam. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 2010; 88: 273-80.
- 336 15. Ayles H, Schaap A, Nota A, et al. Prevalence of tuberculosis, HIV and respiratory
- symptoms in two Zambian communities: implications for tuberculosis control in the
- era of HIV. PloS one 2009; 4: e5602.
- Hamada Y, Lujan J, Schenkel K, Ford N, Getahun H. Sensitivity and specificity of
- WHO's recommended four-symptom screening rule for tuberculosis in people living
- with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet HIV 2018; 5: e515-e23.
- 342 17. Keshinro B, Diul MY. HIV-TB: epidemiology, clinical features and diagnosis of smear-
- negativeTB. Tropical doctor 2006; 36: 68-71.
- 344 18. Ministry of Health and Child Care. Zimbabwe tuberculosis and leprosy management
- guidelines 2017. Harare, Zimbabwe: Ministry of Health and Child Care, 2018.
- 346 19. Zhang C, Ruan Y, Cheng J, et al. Comparing yield and relative costs of WHO TB
- screening algorithms in selected risk groups among people aged 65 years and over in
- China, 2013. PloS one 2017; 12: e0176581.
- 349 20. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. Zimbabwe Country Profile 2018.
- Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Economic Commission for Africa, 2018.
- 351 https://www.uneca.org/sites/default/files/uploaded-documents/ CountryProfiles/
- 352 <u>2018/zimbabwe\_cp\_eng\_2017.pdf.</u> Accessed November 2018
- 353 21. Kuznetsov VN, Grjibovski AM, Mariandyshev AO, Johansson E, Bjune GA. A
- comparison between passive and active case finding in TB control in the Arkhangelsk
- region. International journal of circumpolar health 2014; 73: 23515.
- 356 22. Field SK, Escalante P, Fisher DA, et al. Cough due to TB and other chronic infections:
- 357 CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel Report. Chest 2018; 153: 467-97.
- 358 23. Steingart KR, Sohn H, Schiller I, et al. Xpert® MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary
- 359 tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. Cochrane database of systematic
- 360 reviews 2013.
- 361 24. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of
- Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting
- observational studies. PLoS medicine 2007; 4: e296.

ClinicoEconomics and outcomes research: CEOR 2016; 8: 335. 365 366 26. World Health Organisation. Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case-finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource-constrained 367 368 settings. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2011. Akolo C, Adetifa I, Shepperd S, Volmink J. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection 369 27. 370 in HIV infected persons. Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2010. 371 372 373

Dobler CC. Screening strategies for active tuberculosis: focus on cost-effectiveness.

364

25.

### TABLES AND FIGURES

375

374

## High-risk groups for TB in Zimbabwe:

- People living with HIV infection
- Contacts of TB patients
- Miners
- Healthcare workers (HCWs)
- People with diabetes mellitus
- Prisoners
- The elderly (≥65 years)

Figure 1: High risk groups for TB in

## Table 1: Comparison of the screening algorithm used in Zimbabwe in 2017 for tuberculosis with three recommended by WHO,

376

377

378

Algorithm	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4		
Zimbabwe	<sup>a</sup> Symptom enquiry If negative or positive, go to step 2	CXR If either one of steps 1 or 2 are positive, go to step 3	b Bacteriological confirmation If positive = TB diagnosed If negative go to step 4	Clinical review Medical doctor reviews patient and can make a clinical diagnosis of TB		
WHO 2b	<sup>a</sup> Symptom enquiry If positive, go to step 2	b Bacteriological confirmation If positive = TB diagnosed If negative go to step 3	Clinical review Medical doctor reviews patient and can make a clinical diagnosis of TB			
WHO 2d	<sup>a</sup> Symptom enquiry If positive, go to step 2	CXR If positive, go to step 3	b Bacteriological confirmation If positive = TB diagnosed If negative go to step 4	Clinical review Medical doctor reviews patient and can make a clinical diagnosis of TB		
WHO 3b	CXR If positive, go to step 2	b Bacteriological confirmation If positive = TB diagnosed If negative go to step 3	Clinical review Medical doctor reviews patient and can make a clinical diagnosis of TB			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Symptom enquiry was for cough of any duration, weight loss, fever, night sweats. The symptom enquiry in Zimbabwe did not include haemoptysis as recommended by WHO

<sup>b</sup> The GeneXpert was used as the diagnostic test of choice for bacteriological confirmation.

CXR - chest X-ray; TB - Tuberculosis; WHO - World Health Organisation

## Table 2: Indicative cost\* per patient screened in Zimbabwe, 2017

Description	Indicative cost per patient screened (USD)
Symptom screening	\$1.85
Chest X-ray	\$0.93
Bacteriological confirmation <sup>a</sup>	\$11.05

<sup>\*</sup> using only operational staff costs and laboratory consumables, not capital or maintenance costs

380

381

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> GeneXpert was used for bacteriological confirmation

<u>Table 3: Characteristics of the population screened and cases diagnosed with active tuberculosis in Zimbabwe, 2017.</u>

Variable	Number	screened	Number		Number	Relative cost	
	for TB N (%) <sup>a</sup>		diagnosed with TB N (%) <sup>b</sup>		needed to	per case (USD)	
					screen		
					N		
All clients	38,574	(100)	488	(1.3)	79	\$565	
Gender							
Female	23,761	(61.6)	202	(0.9)	118	\$820	
Male	14,813	(38.4)	286	(2.0)	52	\$385	
Age group							
0-4 years	271	(0.7)	2	(0.7)	136	\$1,045	
5 – 14 years	1,471	(3.8)	12	(0.8)	123	\$906	
15 – 24 years	2,755	(7.1)	18	(0.7)	153	\$973	
25 – 34 years	6,109	(15.8)	50	(0.8)	122	\$809	
35 – 44 years	7,735	(20.1)	103	(1.4)	75	\$524	
45 – 54 years	6,510	(16.9)	99	(1.5)	66	\$473	
55 – 64 years	5,120	(13.3)	78	(1.5)	66	\$482	
$\geq$ 65 years	8,603	(22.3)	126	(1.5)	68	\$527	
Number of HRGs							
People with no HRG	15,819	(41.0)	92	(0.6)	172	\$1,108	
People with only one HRG	1,597	(4.1)	7	(0.4)	228	\$1,410	
People with > 1 HRG	21,158	(54.9)	389	(1.8)	54	\$422	
Type of HRG							
Previously treated for TB	2,462	(6.4)	80	(3.3)	31	\$276	
HIV Status							
Positive <sup>c</sup>	6,562	(17.0)	174	(2.7)	38	\$296	
Negative	29,471	(76.4)	296	(1.0)	100	\$700	
Unknown	2,541	(6.6)	18	(0.7)	141	\$952	
Miner	3,439	(8.9)	69	(2.0)	50	\$397	
Prisoner	2,076	(5.4)	37	(1.8)	56	\$451	
TB contacts	7,250	(18.8)	129	(1.8)	56	\$441	
Health care workers	1,652	(4.3)	11	(0.7)	150	\$925	
Diabetic <sup>d</sup>	911	(2.4)	3	(0.3)	304	\$2,151	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Numbers in the brackets are column percentages; <sup>b</sup> Numbers in the brackets are row percentages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> HIV positive status was based on self-reported HIV positive status or confirmed status after testing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Diabetics status was self-reported or a tested random blood glucose of more than 11.1mmol/L

 $<sup>\</sup>mathit{TB}$  -  $\mathit{tuberculosis}$ ,  $\mathit{HIV}$  -  $\mathit{human}$   $\mathit{immunodeficiency}$   $\mathit{virus}$ ,  $\mathit{HRG}$  -  $\mathit{High}$   $\mathit{risk}$   $\mathit{group}$ ,  $\mathit{USD}$ -  $\mathit{United}$   $\mathit{States}$   $\mathit{dollars}$ 

389

Algorithm	Total	Number who had	Number of chest	Number of GeneXpert	
	number	symptom screening	X-rays	tests	
	screened	N (%) <sup>a</sup>	N (%) <sup>a</sup>	N (%) <sup>a</sup>	
Zimbabwe	38,574	38,574 (100.0)	38,574 (100.0)	15,260 (39.6)	
WHO 2b	38,574	38,574 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	13,710 (35.5)	
WHO 2d	38,574	38,574 (100.0)	13,710 (35.5)	2,595 (6.7)	
WHO 3b	38,574	0 (0.0)	38,574 (100.0)	4,145 (10.8)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Numbers in brackets represent row percentages

Zimbabwean – Zimbabwean algorithm: everyone is screened using both symptoms and chest X-ray and if either are positive, they go for bacteriological confirmation

WHO 2b – WHO algorithm: people are initially screened using symptoms and if positive they go for bacteriological confirmation

WHO 2d – WHO algorithm: people are initially screened for symptoms and if positive they go for a chest X-ray and if positive for bacteriological confirmation

WHO 3b-WHO algorithm: people are initially screened by chest X-ray and if positive go for bacteriological confirmation

	Number		Number diagnosed with active TB					Number needed	Relative
Algorithm	screened N	All cases Clini		ically nosed (%)	Bacteriologically confirmed N (%)		to screen N	cost per case (USD)	
Zimbabwe	38,547	488	(1.3)	370	(75.8)	118	(24.2)	79	\$565
WHO 2b	38,547	400 <sup>a</sup>	(1.0)	294	(73.5)	106	(26.5)	96	\$557
WHO 2d	38,547	366 <sup>a</sup>	(0.9)	282	(77.0)	84	(23.0)	105	\$308
WHO 3b	38,547	454 <sup>a</sup>	(1.2)	358	(78.9)	96	(21.1)	85	\$180

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> McNemar's test showed the number of active TB cases diagnosed was significantly different (p-value <0.001) compared to the Zimbabwean algorithm

Zimbabwean – Zimbabwean algorithm: everyone is screened using both symptoms and chest X-ray and if either are positive, they go for bacteriological confirmation

WHO 2b-WHO algorithm: people are initially screened using symptoms and if positive they go for bacteriological confirmation

WHO 2d – WHO algorithm: people are initially screened for symptoms and if positive they go for a chest X-ray and if positive for bacteriological confirmation

WHO 3b – WHO algorithm: people are initially screened by chest X-ray and if positive go for bacteriological confirmation

USD – United States dollars