# Results of Sustainable Land Use in a Crop Rotation Experiment

J. LAZÁNYI, A. MÁRTON, E. VASS and A. HADHÁZI

Research Centre of Debrecen Agricultural University, Nyíregyháza (Hungary)

Agricultural research and production have been characterized by the excessive application of fertilizers over the past 50 years. Crop production uses more and more fertilizers to obtain higher yields, while environmental pollution causes very serious problems. The situation is especially bad on sandy soil where the organic matter and pH have decreased to a great extent and deflation causes serious damage. The crop rotation experiment established by Vilmos Westsik in 1929 offers a solution to these problems. The experiment, consisting of 15 treatments, makes it possible to study the sustainability of land use under different applications of green, straw and farmyard manure.

# Description of the Westsik Crop Rotation Experiment

Location:

Agricultural Research Centre, Nyíregyháza

Initiation date: 1929

Purpose:

Original: To maintain and improve the fertility level of sandy soil

Present: To study the effects of different cropping systems on the fertility of

the soil.

Soil type:

Sandy soil with low pH.

Climatic type:

continental

Mean air temperature (January)

Mean air temperature (July)

Annual mean temperature

Average annual precipitation (1929-90)

(min. 353 mm; max. 846 mm)

4.3 °C

9.6 °C

568 mm

Wind direction: N, NE.

Experimental design: Non-randomized, non-replicated. All crops are present each year (Table 1).

- Cropping systems:

3 course in series 1-7 and 9-15

4 course in series 8

- Plot size: 2700 m<sup>2</sup>. Total size of experiment: 12.42 ha.

Table 1
Organic matter and fertilizer application in the Westsik Crop Rotation
Experiemnt (Fertilizers kg/ha)

Ser-		Organic		Crop 1		P <sub>2</sub> O	5 1	K <sub>2</sub> O	
ies	malter		t/ha						
	72.11		ND 4	E-II					
1.	Fallow		NM	Fallow	=:	62		56	
2.	Green manur	e	NM	Lupin	-			56	
3.	Lupin root		NM	Lupin (0		62		56	
4.	Rye straw	100000	3.5	Rye	88	47			
5.	Straw manure+N		11.3	Rye	88	47		56 56	
6.	Straw manure		26.1	Rye	88	47			
7.	Straw manure		26.1	Rye	-	-		-	
8.	Green manur	e	NM	Lupin (0		31		28	
9.	Green forage	:	NM	Lupin (I	F) -	62		56	
10.	Farmyard ma	ın.	26.1	Forages	-			- 56	
11.	Farmyard ma		26.1	Forages	-		62		
12.	Green manui		NM	Rye+LS	SC -	62		56	
13.	Green manui	e	NM	Rye+LS		31		28	
14.	Green manui	e	NM	Rye+LS		31		28	
15.	Green manui	e	NM	Rye+LS		-		<del>55</del> 0)	
1/A	None		-	Rye	88	47		56	
Ser-	Crop 2	N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	Crop 3	N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> C	
ies									
	D			_	Potato	*1		1000	
1.	Rye	- <del></del>	- 21			44	-		
2.	Rye	-	31	28	Potato		44 -		
3.	Rye	-	31	28	Potato	5.050	-	-	
4.	Potato	44	31	28	Rye	-	-	-	
5.	Potato	44	31	28	Rye	-	-	-	
6.	Potato	44	31	28	Rye	-	-	-	
7.	Potato	_	-	-	Rye	-	-	-	
8.	Rye+LSC	44	31	28	Potato	-	31	28	
9.	Rye	44	31	28	Potato	44	85.	-	
10.	Rye	-	-	-	Potato	-	-	-	
11.	Rye	-	31	28	Potato	44	-	-	
12.	Rye	-	31	28	Potato	44	-	-	
13.	Potato	-	31	28	Rye	44	31	28	
14.	Potato	-	31	28	Rye	44	31	28	
15.	Potato	-		-	Rye	-	=	_	
Y / .		00	0.1	20	D-4-4-	0.0	21	56	

Crop 4 in series 8 is Rye: N = 44;  $P_2O_5 = 0$ ;  $K_2O = 0$ . (G): grain; (F): forage: (LSC): lupin second crop; NM: not measured

Potato

28

31

88

I/A

Rye

88

31

56

Surroundings:

The experiment is situated on two sandy hills. There is a country road on the East side of the experiment and also a ditch with a row of trees. A canal is found on the West side.

Changes in the experiment: only slight revisions have been made to the experiment.

1929 Establishment of series 1-12 1932 Establishment of series 13-15 1941 Change in course in series 8

1951 Change in fertilizer rates in series 2, 3, 9, 10 and 11

1961 Series 1 was divided into two, one of which was fertilized

1971 Change in fertilizer rates in series 4, 5 and 6.

# Measurements recorded:

- Yield data:

(i) grain and straw for rye; (ii) grain for lupin; (iii) tubers for potato; (iv) green yield and dry matter for forage.

- Inputs:

Fertilizers and other chemicals are recorded (source and amounts)

- Field operation: Recorded in a field notebook

- Soil characteristics: Complete set of pH values and extractable nutrients in 1954, 1968, 1971, 1979, 1986, 1989, 1991.
- Plant characteristics: Complete set of plant yield analysis, root measurements, macro- and microelements for certain years.
- Meteorological data: Rainfall and mean temperature data are available since 1930.
   More detailed meteorological data are available for the town of Nyíregyháza, 800 m from the experiment.
- Economic data: Partly recorded. Inputs and yield data are known.
- Ecological monitoring: A few data are available on emergence, weeds and insects.

#### Results

Over a 60-year average, rye yielded better in treatments where lupin green manure and higher rates of P and K fertilizers were applied, i.e. in series S-8 and S-2. S-11, where farmyard manure was supplemented with P and K fertilizers, also resulted in high yields. The lowest rye yields were measured in series S-1, S-15 and S-7, i.e. in the control plots, where no fertilizers were added. The highest yield for potato was measured in series S-8, where lupin occurs twice: once as a main crop produced for grain, and as a second crop produced after rye and before potato. Similarly good results were obtained in series S-11, where farmyard manure was applied (Table 2).

As regards soil characteristics, the highest pH values were recorded in series S-11 and S-10, given farmyard manure, and in series S-7, where straw manure was applied. The soil organic matter content increased in treatments where farmyard and straw manure were applied; i.e. in series S-6, S-7, S-10 and S-11. An evaluation of the average yields for potato and of their deviations from the 10-year averages indicates that there was a great decrease in potato yields in the sixties. Concerning the treatments, the best series were S-6, S-8 and S-11, where a continuous increase in relative yield could be established, resulting

Table 2							
Average yields and certain soil parameters as measured in 1979 in all the							
series of the crop rotation experiment							

	60-year	averages	Soil parameters									
Series	Potato yield kg/ha	Rye yield kg/ha	pН	Organic matter, %	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> mg/100g	K <sub>2</sub> O mg/100g						
				0.40	5.0	4.7						
S-1	4070	1013	3.9	0.42	5.2	4.7						
S-2	8458	2503	3.8	0.50	12.2	8.7						
S-3	7729	2192	4.1	0.54	13.2	8.8						
S-4	8246	1978	4.3	0.60	9.0	11.5						
S-5	9437	2123	4.4	0.65	9.9	11.0						
S-6	10984	2416	4.9	0.84	10.2	14.6						
S-7	7292	1428	5.6	0.81	8.0	13.9						
S-8	11605	2608	4.8	0.69	10.3	10.6						
S-9	8615	2464	4.7	0.49	12.1	11.9						
S-10	9247	2022	5.4	0.73	15.2	27.8						
S-11	11208	2505	5.5	0.79	17.5	24.0						
S-12	10530	2492	4.2	0.65	11.3	11.1						
S-13	10333	2112	4.1	0.66	12.3	11.8						
S-14	9793	2191	4.0	0.62	10.7	10.6						
S-15	8046	1360	4.2	0.67	3.4	7.7						

from continuously improving soil characteristics. A significant decrease in relative yield could be found in series S-1, S-7 and S-15, where no fertilizers were applied (Table 3).

Concerning the yield of rye, an increasing tendency can be observed in series S-6, S-8 and S-11, where straw, green and farmyard manure were applied and supplemented with fertilizers. Fallow (S-1), straw manure (S-7) and lupin second crop without fertilizer addition resulted in poor yields, with a decreasing tendency over time (Table 3).

# Discussion and Conclusions

Sustainable land use focuses on mantaining soil fertility, which arises from complex interactions between the biological, chemical and physical properties of the soil. As many aspects of these properties change only slowly over time, long-term experiments are required to measure and evaluate such processes.

The Westsik Crop Rotation Experiment, established in 1929, can be used to study the effects of organic manure treatment to develop models and to predict the likely effect of different cropping systems on soil properties and crop yields. Such experiments are costly to maintain, but their cost can be easily

S-15														
03		1	n	-14	-21	-24	-22		-16	-23	-30	4	47	-52
S-14		27	18	-5	9-	18	-1		6-	12	6	11	10	9
S-13		42	53	-	14	14	-14		9-	16	5	10	1	2
S-12		12	18	19	28	17	2		23	43	22	13	16	4
S-11		6-	3	35	20	36	26		15	21	5-	13	16	17
S-10		70	-12	7	-7	-23	-17		18	-18	-21	-3	1	_
8-9		-18	-12	7	9	-	9		9-	7	56	22	27	56
S-8		10	30	25	36	57	28		3	10	53	29	34	41
S-7		-17	-17	-18	-13	-37	-21		-16	-34	-32	-35	49	45
9-S		4	18	14	21	45	53		4	3	∞	17	4	35
S-5		-14	1	3	-5	22	19		9	-7	6	7	7	9
S-4		4	-20	-16	-10	-2	_		-23	-19	-16	7	12	4
S-3		-19	-15	φ	4	-24	-21		13	4	∞	S	9	_
S-2		2	3	4	8-	-32	-24		9	39	29	13	9	∞
S-1		-48	-56	-59	-61	-71	-71		4	-57	9	-57	-59	-59
yield, kg/ha		8687	10564	12355	6354	6930	9332		1887	2001	2164	1803	2325	2373
Years	Potato	1931-1940	1941-1950	1951-1960	1961-1970	1971-1980	1981-1990	Rve	1931-1940	1941-1950	1951-1960	1961-1970	1971-1980	1981-1990
	yield, kg/ha S-1 S-2 S-3 S-4 S-5 S-6 S-7 S-8 S-9 S-10 S-11 S-12 S-13	yield, kg/ha S-1 S-2 S-3 S-4 S-5 S-6 S-7 S-8 S-9 S-10 S-11 S-12 S-13	yield, kg/ha S-1 S-2 S-3 S-4 S-5 S-6 S-7 S-8 S-9 S-10 S-11 S-12 S-13 8687 -48 5 -19 -4 -14 4 -17 10 -18 20 -9 12 42	yield,       kg/ha       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29	yield, kg/ha S-1 S-2 S-3 S-4 S-5 S-6 S-7 S-8 S-9 S-10 S-11 S-12 S-13 8687 -48 5 -19 -4 -14 4 -17 10 -18 20 -9 12 42 10564 -56 3 -15 -20 1 18 -17 30 -12 -12 3 18 29 12355 -59 4 -8 -16 3 14 -18 25 2 7 34 19 1	yield,       kg/ha       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14	yield,       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14         6930       -71       -32       -24       -2       22       45       -37       57       -1       -23       36       17       14	yield,         S-1         S-2         S-3         S-4         S-5         S-6         S-7         S-8         S-9         S-10         S-11         S-12         S-13           940         8687         -48         5         -19         -4         -14         4         -17         10         -18         20         -9         12         42           950         10564         -56         3         -15         -20         1         18         -17         30         -12         -12         3         18         29           1960         12355         -59         4         -8         -16         3         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1           1970         6354         -61         -8         -4         -10         -5         21         -13         36         6         -7         20         28         14           1980         6930         -71         -24         -21         1         13         36         -7         20         28         14           990         9332         -71         -24         -21         1         1	yield,       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14         6930       -71       -32       -45       -37       57       -1       -23       36       17       14         9332       -71       -24       -21       1       19       53       -21       58       -6       -17       56       5       -14	yield,       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14         6930       -71       -32       -45       -37       57       -1       -23       36       17       14         9332       -71       -24       -21       1       19       53       -21       58       -6       -17       56       5       -14         1887       -41       40       13       -23       -6       4	yield, kg/ha       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14         6930       -71       -32       -24       -2       22       45       -37       57       -1       -23       36       17       14         6930       -71       -24       -21       1       19       53       -21       58       -6       -17       56       5       -14         1887       -41       40       13 <td>yield, kg/ha       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         10564       -56       3       -16       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1       49         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14       6930       -71       -24       -2       22       45       -37       57       -1       -23       36       17       44       18       15       23       -6       14       14</td> <td>yield,         S-1         S-2         S-3         S-4         S-5         S-6         S-7         S-8         S-9         S-10         S-11         S-12         S-13           8687         -48         5         -19         -4         -14         4         -17         10         -18         20         -9         12         42           10564         -56         3         -15         -20         1         18         -17         30         -12         -12         3         18         29           12355         -59         4         -8         -16         3         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1         42         19         1         6354         -9         -17         30         28         14         19         1         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1         14         6936         -7         20         28         14         14         -18         -37         57         -1         -23         36         17         34         19         14         14         14         18         -1         23</td> <td>yield, kg/ha         S-1         S-2         S-3         S-4         S-5         S-6         S-7         S-8         S-9         S-10         S-11         S-12         S-13           8687         -48         5         -19         -4         -14         4         -17         10         -18         20         -9         12         42           10564         -56         3         -15         -20         1         18         -17         30         -12         -12         3         18         29           12355         -59         4         -8         -16         3         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1           6354         -61         -8         -4         -10         -5         21         -13         36         6         -7         20         28         14           6930         -71         -24         -2         22         45         -37         57         -1         -23         36         17         14           9332         -71         -24         -21         1         19         53         -21         58         -6</td>	yield, kg/ha       S-1       S-2       S-3       S-4       S-5       S-6       S-7       S-8       S-9       S-10       S-11       S-12       S-13         8687       -48       5       -19       -4       -14       4       -17       10       -18       20       -9       12       42         10564       -56       3       -15       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         10564       -56       3       -16       -20       1       18       -17       30       -12       -12       3       18       29         12355       -59       4       -8       -16       3       14       -18       25       2       7       34       19       1       49         6354       -61       -8       -4       -10       -5       21       -13       36       6       -7       20       28       14       6930       -71       -24       -2       22       45       -37       57       -1       -23       36       17       44       18       15       23       -6       14       14	yield,         S-1         S-2         S-3         S-4         S-5         S-6         S-7         S-8         S-9         S-10         S-11         S-12         S-13           8687         -48         5         -19         -4         -14         4         -17         10         -18         20         -9         12         42           10564         -56         3         -15         -20         1         18         -17         30         -12         -12         3         18         29           12355         -59         4         -8         -16         3         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1         42         19         1         6354         -9         -17         30         28         14         19         1         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1         14         6936         -7         20         28         14         14         -18         -37         57         -1         -23         36         17         34         19         14         14         14         18         -1         23	yield, kg/ha         S-1         S-2         S-3         S-4         S-5         S-6         S-7         S-8         S-9         S-10         S-11         S-12         S-13           8687         -48         5         -19         -4         -14         4         -17         10         -18         20         -9         12         42           10564         -56         3         -15         -20         1         18         -17         30         -12         -12         3         18         29           12355         -59         4         -8         -16         3         14         -18         25         2         7         34         19         1           6354         -61         -8         -4         -10         -5         21         -13         36         6         -7         20         28         14           6930         -71         -24         -2         22         45         -37         57         -1         -23         36         17         14           9332         -71         -24         -21         1         19         53         -21         58         -6

justified if they serve a number of different objectives and provide data for better agricultural practices.

In this respect the Westsik crop rotation experiment provides data of immediate value to farmers concerning the application of green, straw and farmyard manure. The experiment also provides a source of yield, plant and soil data sets for scientific research into the soil and plant processes which control soil fertility and into the sustainability of production without deterioration of the environment.

Long-term experiments such as the Westsik crop rotation experiment are essential for studying the carbon cycle and for calculating nutrient balances for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium.

The soil fertility in the Westsik crop rotation experiment is strongly linked to soil organic matter (SOM) through its influence on soil physical properties and plant nutrient supply. Numerous long-term experiments have been used to study the effect of fertilizers and additional organic matter on SOM (KOFOED & NEMMING, 1976; JENKINSON & RAYNER, 1977; RASMUSSEN et al., 1980; SAUERBECK, 1982; ODELL et al., 1984; McGILL et al., 1986; BALESDENT et al., 1988; PAUSTIAN et al., 1992). The results of these long-term experiments have contributed substantially to our knowledge of the behaviour of organic matter in the soil.

In the Westsik crop rotation experiment the organic matter treatment encompassed a wide range of organic matter inputs, i.e.: (i) fallow (none); (ii) lupin roots only; (iii) lupin green manure main crop; (iv) lupin green manure second crop; (v) rye straw; (vi) rye straw manure fermented with or (vii) without N fertilizer, and (viii) farmyard manure. In the present study the type of organic matter applied was the most important factor determining the organic matter level and yield trends of different crops.

Organic materials with a higher lignin content, e.g. rye straw manure, resulted in a higher accumulation of SOM than lupin green manure. The addition of straw manure resulted in an 8.5% higher total soil organic matter content compared to farmyard manure.

Many other long-term experiments have also found differences in soil organic matter due to the type of organic matter added.

The ability of farmyard manure to maintain and/or increase soil organic matter is well known (KOLENDBRANDER, 1974; JENKINSON & RAYNER, 1977). ANDERSON & DOMSCH (1989) reported that differences in the ratio of microbial biomass C to total soil organic matter could be related to the type of organic matter applied. They also suggested a higher overall yield efficiency of microorganisms in a mixed rotation. Lupin, as a leguminous crop, may have a very positive effect in the Westsik crop rotation experiment, as proposed by WESTSIK (1941, 1951a,b)

In some studies, however, residue quality was found to have little or no influence on soil organic matter content. LARSON et al. (1972) reported no dif-

ferences in soil C contents after applying 8 t/ha/year of alfalfa, corn stalks, oat, brome straw and sawdust for 11 years.

In the present case, additional fertilizers increased the soil organic matter and crop yields. One possible explanation is that the greater plant growth in the fertilizer treatments resulted in a higher transpiration rate, drier soil and slower decomposition. For example, ANDRÉN (1988) found 15% greater first-year decomposition of straw under unfertilized barley as compared to fertilized barley. JENKINSON (1977) found similar differences in decomposition in unplanted and planted soil. Another mechanism by which mineral N availability might affect soil organic matter levels would be influenced by microbial efficiency. To analyze the interaction of these factors, including the indirect effects due to feedbacks between plant growth and soil processes, modelling will be essential.

### References

- ANDERSON, T. H. & DOMSCH, K. H., 1989. Ratios of microbial biomass carbon to total organic carbon in arable soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 21. 471-479.
- ANDRÉN, O., 1988. Decomposition of shoot and root litter of barley, lucerne and meadow fescue under field conditions. Swed. J. Agric. Res. 17. 113-122.
- BALESDENT, J., WAGNER, G. H. & MARIOTTI, A., 1988. Soil organic matter turnover in long-term field experiments as revealed by carbon-13 natural abundance. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 52. 118-124.
- JENKINSON, D. S., 1977. Studies on the decomposition of plant material in soil. V. The effects of plant cover and soil type on the loss of carbon from C labelled ryegrass decomposing under field conditions. J. Soil Sci. 28. 424-434.
- JENKINSON, D. S. & RAYNER, J. H., 1977. The turnover of soil organic matter in some of the Rothamsted classical experiments. Soil Sci. 123. 298-305.
- KOFOED, A. D. & NEMMING, O., 1976. Askov, 1894: Fertilizers and manure on sandy and loamy soils. Ann. Agron. 27. 583-610.
- KOLENDBRANDER, G. J., 1974. Efficiency of organic manure in increasing soil organic matter content. Trans. 10th Int. Congr. Soil Sci., Moscow. Vol. 2. 129-136. Inst. Pochvoved. Agrokhim. Moscow.
- LARSON, W. E. et al., 1972. Effects of increasing amounts of organic residues on continuous corn: II. Organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur. Agron. J. 64, 204-208.
- McGill, W. B. et al., 1986. Dynamics of soil microbial biomass and water soluble organic C in Breton L after 50 years of cropping to two rotations. Can. J. Soil Sci. 66. 1-19.
- ODELL, R. T., MELSTED, S. W. & WALKER, W. M., 1984. Changes in organic carbon and nitrogen of Morrow plot soils under different treatments, 1904-1973. Soil Sci. 137. 160-171.
- PAUSTIAN, K., PARTON, W. J. & PERSSON, J., 1992. Modeling soil organic matter in organic-amended and nitrogen-fertilized long-term plots. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 56. 476-488.

- RASMUSSEN, P. E. et al., 1980. Crop residue influences on soil carbon and nitrogen in a wheat-fallow system. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44. 596-600.
- SAUERBECK, D. R., 1982. Influence of crop rotation, manurial treatment and soil tillage on the organic matter content of German soils. In: Soil Degradation. (Eds: BOELS, D. et al.). 163-178. Balkema. Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- WESTSIK, V., 1941. [Results of crop rotation experiments at the Sandy Soil Research Farm.] (In Hung.) Klaffer Publ. House, Nyíregyháza.
- WESTSIK, V., 1951a. [Results of crop rotation experiments.] (In Hung.) Agric. Publ. House. Budapest.
- WESTSIK, V., 1951b. [Cultivation of sandy soil.] (In Hung.) Agric. Publ. House. Budapest.