



UWS Academic Portal

The importance of behaviour in improving the production of shrimp in aquaculture

Bardera, Guillermo; Usman, Nafiha; Owen, Matthew; Pountney, Daniel ; Sloman, Katherine A.; Alexander, Mhairi E.

Published in: Reviews in Aquaculture

DOI: 10.1111/raq.12282

E-pub ahead of print: 22/08/2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Bardera, G., Usman, N., Owen, M., Pountney, D., Sloman, K. A., & Alexander, M. E. (2018). The importance of behaviour in improving the production of shrimp in aquaculture. Reviews in Aquaculture, [12282]. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12282

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Bardera, Guillermo et al. (2018) *The importance of behaviour in improving the production of shrimp in aquaculture*. <u>Reviews in Aquaculture</u>, Vol.X. pp. xx. which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/ raq.12282. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions."

https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors/licensing/self-archiving.html

To be completed on final publication.

1	The importance of behaviour in improving the production of shrimp in aquaculture
2	
3	
4	Guillermo Bardera ^{1*} , Nafiha Usman ² , Matthew Owen ² , Daniel Pountney ² , Katherine A.
5	Sloman ¹ , Mhairi E. Alexander ¹
6	
7	
8	¹ Institute of Biomedical and Environmental Health Research, School of Health & Life
9	Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, Scotland, PA1 2BE, U.K.
10	
11	² Skretting Aquaculture Research Centre (ARC), Stavanger, 4016, Norway.
12	
13	*Corresponding author: guillermo.bardera@uws.ac.uk
14	+44 7563743625
15	
16	Running title: Shrimp behaviour in aquaculture
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	

35 ABSTRACT

36

There is increasing recognition within the aquaculture industry that understanding the 37 behaviour of farmed animals can help provide solutions to feeding problems. However, most 38 studies have focused on finfish production, with fewer behavioural studies on feeding 39 processes in commercially-produced crustaceans. More than 60% of crustacean aquaculture 40 41 is attributed to the production of penaeids, particularly the Pacific white-leg shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei Boone). The profitability of the Pacific white-leg shrimp for 42 43 aquaculture stems from its ability to survive in a wide range of environments and its fast growth at high densities. However, there are significant setbacks within their farming. In 44 particular, while they can move rapidly to take food pellets, they can be slow to consume 45 them leading to food wastage and subsequent economic losses for the industry. 46 Understanding shrimp behaviour provides a starting point for refinements to feeding 47 practices. Here we review the different influences on shrimp behaviour which are likely to 48 influence productivity such as individual-level effects (e.g. moulting, sex), environmental 49 influences (e.g. photoperiod, conspecific presence) and water quality (e.g. salinity, 50 temperature). Although work on feed management has been conducted, providing 51 information on nutrition, feeding frequency and schedules, here we demonstrate that such 52 advances must be accompanied by behavioural approaches to allow development of optimal 53 54 feeding efficiencies and to support the continued growth of the crustacean aquaculture industry. 55

56

57

58 Key words: Aquaculture, Chemoattraction, Crustacean, Feeding behaviour, Feeding
59 effectors, *Litopenaeus vannamei*.

60 INTRODUCTION

61

The production of crustaceans in aquaculture is a global industry with large commercial and economic importance (Bondad-Reantaso *et al.* 2012). Marine shrimp in particular dominate crustacean aquaculture (Briggs *et al.* 2004) and of the 7 million tonnes produced annually, more than 60% is attributed to the production of penaeid shrimp (FAO 2016). Many countries rely heavily on such shrimp production and as a result it has been one of the fastest growing aquaculture sectors in Asia and America (Briggs *et al.* 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005; FAO 2016).

69

Modern day shrimp aquaculture originated in Japan in the 1930s (Rosenberry 2001; 70 71 Chamberlain 2010), however technologies developed in the 1970s expanded production in other Asian countries, such as Indonesia, the Philippines and Thailand as well as the 72 Americas (Rosenberry 2001; Chamberlain 2010; Nash 2011). Initially the main focus of this 73 development was the culture of the tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon Fabricius) (Yi et al. 74 2016), for which there was a large demand in Asian and US markets (Nash 2011; Rimmer et 75 al. 2013). However, the intensification and densification of P. monodon farming led to 76 outbreaks of disease in the 1990s that severely depleted aquaculture stocks over a decade 77 78 (Flegel 2009). As a result, the culture of Pacific white-leg shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei 79 Boone) was introduced (Hall 2004; Flegel 2009; Chamberlain 2010), and it is now the most heavily farmed shrimp species representing around 80% of total marine penaeid shrimp 80 production (FIGIS 2015). 81

82

The focus on *L. vannamei* production was partly due to advantages over *P. monodon* with regards to disease resistance (Liao & Chien 2011; Bondad-Reantaso *et al.* 2012).

Additionally, the species has an increased tolerance to high stocking densities (typically 60-85 150/m², but up to 400/m²) (Briggs et al. 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005; Jory & Cabrera 86 2012), improved feed conversion rates and low protein requirements (of around 20-35% 87 crude protein) (Briggs et al. 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005; Bondad-Reantaso et al. 88 2012; Jory & Cabrera 2012), higher average daily growth rates (up to 3 g/week) (Briggs et al. 89 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005; Bondad-Reantaso et al. 2012; Jory & Cabrera 2012), 90 91 high tolerance to a large range of water parameters including salinity and temperature (Briggs et al. 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005; Bondad-Reantaso et al. 2012; Jory & Cabrera 92 93 2012), and higher survival rates during larval rearing (50 - 60%) (Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005). Moreover, in marketing terms, L. vannamei has been shown to be preferred by 94 consumers over P. monodon (Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005). 95

96

As a result of this intensification of production, L. vannamei has been the subject of a large 97 number of scientific studies that has resulted in substantial knowledge on the biology (e.g. 98 Chamberlain & Lawrence 1981; Dall et al. 1990; Vega-Villasante et al. 2000), genetics (e.g. 99 Garcia et al. 1994; Gitterle et al. 2005) and zootechnics (e.g. Briggs et al. 2004; Cuéllar-100 Anjel et al. 2010) of the species. Feeding efficiency of farmed stock has been an important 101 focus, however there is a general lack in information of individual feeding behaviour and the 102 103 aspects that may be important for determining optimal feeding efficiency in L. vannamei, as 104 well as in other commercially important species. This paper therefore, with a specific focus on L. vannamei, reviews the current problems associated with the feeding of farmed shrimp 105 and how understanding their behaviours may promote greater feeding efficiency and 106 107 subsequent increases in production. By drawing on behavioural information from neurophysiological and nutritional studies which have been developed in L. vannamei as well 108 109 as other commercial and non-commercial crustacean species, we aim to present 110 considerations that we believe to be important for detecting and developing optimal feeding111 practices.

112

113

114 FEEDING PROBLEMS IN SHRIMP AQUACULTURE

115

116 Crustacean aquaculture continues to experience global growth (Bondad-Reantaso et al. 2012). However, there are a range of factors that contribute to inefficiencies in farming 117 118 practices leading to reduced production as well as significant economic losses (Neiland et al. 2001; Engle et al. 2017). Common problems include poor larvae production across a range of 119 farmed species (Juinio-Menez & Gotanco 2004; Thuy & Ngoc 2004; Vijayakumaran et al. 120 121 2009; Jeffs 2010), the incidence of disease (Rodríguez et al. 2003; Flegel 2009), pollution effects due to waste products (Briggs & Funge-Smith 1994; Martin et al. 1998; Boyd et al. 122 2007), and the escape of individuals (Cuéllar-Anjel et al. 2010; Felix 2013). Of particular 123 interest here, however, are the studies that have documented the feeding inefficiencies 124 associated with crustacean production (e.g. Chanratchakool et al. 1998; Davis et al. 2006; 125 Boyd et al. 2007; Smith & Tabrett 2013), which are predominantly in relation to expensive 126 feed production costs, feeding-management practices as well as poor feeding efficiency by 127 individuals. 128

129

The manufacture of feed is one of the largest costs in aquaculture production (Lawrence &
Lee 1997; Boyd *et al.* 2007; Smith & Tabrett 2013; Zhou *et al.* 2017), and depending on the
system in question, may represent as much as 60-80% of total production costs
(Chanratchakool *et al.* 1998; Hertrampf & Piedad-Pascual 2000; Smith *et al.* 2002; Cuzon *et al.* 2004; Rola & Hasan 2007; Hasan & New 2013). Commercial shrimp feed formulations

commonly include fish meal, often representing the primary and most expensive protein 135 ingredient (Lim & Dominy 1990; Tacon & Barg 1998; Dersjant-Li 2002; Cruz-Suárez et al. 136 2007). There are clear beneficial effects of marine protein sources for crustacean nutrition, 137 such as the presence of essential fatty acids, vitamins, cholesterol and minerals, in addition to 138 the enhancement of feeding activity, palatability and growing processes through attractants 139 and growth factors (Molina-Poveda et al. 2002; Cruz-Suárez et al. 2007; Sookying et al. 140 141 2013). However, the current state of global fish stocks has triggered a costlier production of fish meal (Hardy & Tacon 2002; Sookying et al. 2013), and as a result, less expensive plant 142 143 and terrestrial animal proteins are being increasingly used in aqua-feed formulations since they are readily available, economical, and sustainable (Lim & Dominy 1990; Watanabe 144 2002; Samocha et al. 2004a; Amaya et al. 2007; Gatlin et al. 2007; Naylor et al. 2009; 145 Sookying et al. 2013). Moreover, studies specifically on L. vannamei suggest that it is 146 possible to replace around 75-100% of the total amount of crude protein with proteins of non-147 marine origin, without compromising shrimp performance (Davis & Arnold 2000; Fox et al. 148 2004; Hernández et al. 2004; Samocha et al. 2004a; Amaya et al. 2007). 149

150

A major restriction in shrimp aquaculture is often an inability to observe stock during its 151 development, causing difficulties for determining biomass and survival (Davis et al. 2006; 152 Smith & Tabrett 2013). Feed management is therefore a complex task during shrimp 153 154 production, often resulting in overfeeding and the generation of large amounts of waste (Martinez-Cordova et al. 1998; Nunes & Parsons 1999; Davis et al. 2006; Sun et al. 2016). 155 Overfeeding can lead to high levels of non-beneficial microorganisms in addition to hypoxic 156 conditions at certain times within the day, therefore shrimp feeding protocols must be well 157 organised in time as well as space and in accordance with population size (Cuéllar-Anjel et 158 al. 2010). In addition, feed waste may be compounded by poor physical quality of feed and/or 159

environmental conditions (see environmental and water quality effects sections), which 160 negatively influence crustacean sensorial capacity and food detection. Poor physical quality 161 of feed can lead to premature breakdown of food (Davis et al. 2006) and reduce food 162 digestibility through nutrient leaching (Sanchez et al. 2005; Cruz-Suárez et al. 2007). 163 Spoilage of food combined with poor environmental conditions could also result in 164 oversaturation of chemoreceptors (Lee & Meyers 1996; De la Haye et al. 2012), and 165 166 disruption of chemical cues within the water (Lee & Meyers 1996; Nunes et al. 2006). Studies exist on the relationships between shrimp species, stock mass and size, and the 167 168 correct amount of feed required for optimal production (Jory 1995; Jory & Cabrera 2012). However, the difficulties in checking development of the whole stock can make dispensing 169 the optimal quantity of feed difficult. The use of feeding trays or automatic feeders in shrimp 170 171 farming is one solution to this problem as they are useful for the control and monitoring of the amount of feed consumed by shrimp, and thus reduce the incidence of overfeeding 172 (Martinez-Cordova et al. 1998; Bador 2000; Pontes & Arruda 2005b; Molina & Espinoza 173 2018). In addition, automatic feed dispensers using passive acoustic systems (hydrophones) 174 can measure the quantity of feed consumed by shrimp which provides a more accurate 175 estimate of the current feeding demand in ponds when compared to feeding trays (Smith & 176 Tabrett 2013). This in turn can improve the environmental conditions of the production ponds 177 (Seiffert & Andreatta 2004; Cuéllar-Anjer et al. 2010). However, for this to be a truly 178 179 effective solution there needs to be high feeding efficiency of individuals, which can be confirmed by the collection of behavioural data (Zhou et al. 2017). 180

181

182

183 CRUSTACEAN FEEDING BEHAVIOUR

We suggest here that in order to address the problems associated with feeding efficiencies, a 185 focus on individual feeding behaviours in crustaceans is necessary. Therefore, an important 186 step is to outline the mechanisms involved in food detection and to link shrimp physiology to 187 behaviour. Crustaceans are considered to be generalist feeders (Smith et al. 2005; Hay 2011). 188 They must identify chemical cues of potential food, distinguishing relevant cues against 189 background chemicals and determining their qualities (i.e., molecular structures), quantities 190 191 (i.e., concentrations), and locations (i.e., spatiotemporal dynamics) (Lee & Meyers 1996; Moore & Grills 1999; Derby et al. 2001). They respond to primary metabolites (e.g. sugars, 192 193 proteins, amino acids, products of respiration, metabolic wastes) because these indicate the presence of food resources in general (Carr 1978; Zimmer-Faust 1987; Hay 2011). 194

195

In the case of decapod crustaceans, such as L. vannamei, there are three different detection 196 paths for locating a food source that include the visual, mechanoreceptor and chemoreceptor 197 systems, with associated receptors which are present on most shrimp appendages. However, 198 decapods perceive food mainly via chemical stimuli rather than mechanoreception or vision 199 as water is an excellent medium to transmit these types of cues (Hindley 1975; Atema 1995; 200 You et al. 2006). Crustaceans use chemoreception to intercept food across longer distances 201 and once in closer proximity to the food source they can apply visual and mechanoreception 202 detection. As such, these two pathways of detection act to obtain additional information in 203 204 relation to feeding processes (Derby & Sorensen 2008).

205

206 Chemosensory receptors

Crustaceans possess a large number of chemoreceptors that are packaged into sensilla that are
simple sensory receptors consisting of modified hair-shaped cells (Derby & Sorensen 2008).
Sensilla are extremely diverse in structure and are distributed over the body on antennules,

but also on the second antennae, legs, mouthparts, cephalothorax, abdomen and telson
(Gleeson *et al.* 1993; Cate & Derby 2001; Horner *et al.* 2004; Derby & Sorensen 2008). This
wide distribution allows crustaceans to maximise their ability to locate and discriminate
environmental chemical cues and physical information (Steullet *et al.* 1999; Horner *et al.*2000; Derby & Steullet 2001).

215

216 Each antennule has hundreds of thousands of chemoreceptor neurons (Grünert & Ache 1988; Cate & Derby 2001) and is sensitive to many different chemicals, in particular small, water-217 218 soluble molecules such as amino acids, amines, nucleotides, and sometimes sugars and peptides (Ache 1982; Carr et al. 1984; Carr & Derby 1986). Antennular chemoreception is 219 specialized for detection of chemical cues (Rittschof 1992; Koehl 2011) and plays an 220 important role in search initiation and orientation toward the source of a distant chemical 221 stimulus (Reeder & Ache 1980; Devine & Atema 1982; Derby 2000; Derby et al. 2001). The 222 majority of chemoreceptors are found on the non-aesthetasc/non-olfactory regions on the 223 medial and lateral flagella of the antennules (Schmidt et al. 1992; Cate & Derby 2001; 224 Steullet et al. 2002; Horner et al. 2004; Schmidt & Derby 2005). In addition, crustaceans 225 possess a tuft on the distal part of the lateral antennular flagella which is composed of 226 chemoreceptor sensilla, denominated as the aesthetasc/olfactory region (Grünert & Ache 227 1988; Derby et al. 2001; Steullet et al. 2002; Horner et al. 2004), and is the most intensively 228 229 studied part of crustacean chemosensory pathways (Grasso & Basil 2002; Derby & Sorensen 2008). Studies have demonstrated that aesthetasc and non-aesthetasc regions have some 230 functional redundancy. For example, work with spiny lobster (Panulirus argus Latreille) 231 (Reeder & Ache 1980; Derby et al. 2001; Steullet et al. 2001; Schmidt & Derby 2005), 232 American lobster (Homarus americanus H. Milne-Edwards) (Devine & Atema 1982) and 233 crayfish (Cambarus bartonii Fabricius) (Dunham et al. 1997) has revealed that after selective 234

removal of their aesthetasc sensilla, these animals can compensate for the loss if their nonaesthetasc chemoreceptors are intact. Therefore, both kinds of receptors assess the quality of
food, mediate olfactory learning and enable orientation to distant food sources (Derby *et al.*2001; Steullet *et al.* 2001, 2002: Horner *et al.* 2004).

239

Chemical receptors located on other parts of the body are also important. Pereopod dactyl 240 241 chemoreceptors control local grasping reflexes and food recognition (Fuzessery & Childress 1975; Zimmer-Faust & Case 1983; Dunham et al. 1997). Walking legs may play a leading 242 243 role in food detection if the crustacean has lost the antennules (Hazlett 1971). Maxillipeds and mouthpart chemoreceptors mediate the decision to ingest food (Derby & Atema 1982; 244 Derby et al. 2001; Aggio et al. 2012), whereas pleopod beating produces a water current 245 around the shrimp carrying organic molecules. This activity may provide additional 246 information via chemoreception on the presence of prey, conspecifics or predators (Devine & 247 Atema 1982; Costero & Meyers 1993; Atema 1995; Klages et al. 2002), however, the precise 248 role of these chemoreceptors on the parts of the body detailed here is poorly understood. 249

250

In addition to all chemical receptors which mediate food recognition and ingestion, a recent study has identified a novel pathway of branchial nutrient absorption in the green shore crab (*Carcinus maenas* Linnaeus). This phenomena is the first finding in marine arthropods (Blewett & Goss 2017) and may provide a new level of complexity to crustacean nutrition.

255

256 Behavioural responses

Chemosensory stimuli mediate all phases of feeding in crustaceans (Holland & Borski 1993;
Grey *et al.* 2009), and these can be categorised into a series of behavioural responses such as
detection and orientation towards a food source (Ache 1982; Kurmaly *et al.* 1990; Lee &

Meyers 1996; Moore & Grills 1999) and handling of food (Steiner & Harpaz 1987; Lee & 260 Meyers 1996; Derby et al. 2016). In general, when feed is offered, crustaceans change their 261 behavioural profile and there are observed increases in the frequency of behaviours related to 262 searching for feed, such as exploration and crawling, and feed grabbing (Da Costa et al. 263 2016). This is coupled with a decrease in the frequency of those behaviours not associated 264 with feeding, such as cleaning and burying (Pontes & Arruda 2005a; Silva et al. 2012). 265 266 Crustaceans have also been noted to express habituation behaviours, including learning to search in a particular area or type of habitat (Shuranova et al. 2005), to capture and handle 267 268 food more efficiently (Derby & Atema 1981), and developing a change in acceptability of food (Derby & Atema 1981; Steiner & Harpaz 1987; Daniel & Derby 1988). These 269 habituation behaviours suggest a potential application in conditioning farmed shrimp to the 270 271 use of automatic feed dispensers as has been observed in finfish aquaculture (e.g. Bratland et al. 2010; Zion et al. 2010, 2011a,b; Folkedal et al. 2018). 272

273

In crustaceans, during the first feeding behaviour phases of detection and orientation towards 274 a food source, chemoreceptors on the antennules have been shown to have important roles 275 (Ache 1982; Lee & Meyers 1996). This has been evidenced through the observations of 276 antennule flicking behaviours, where there is rapid movement of the antennules through the 277 surrounding fluid, allowing chemicals to bind repeatedly to receptor sites (e.g. Schmitt & 278 279 Ache 1979; Derby & Atema 1982; Carr & Derby 1986; Zimmer-Faust 1991; Koehl 2006; Thiel & Breithaupt 2011). A number of studies have observed the greatest antennule flicking 280 frequency at the onset of a stimulus, and is therefore an important feeding behaviour as it 281 282 indicates chemical perception (e.g. Price & Ache 1977; Schmitt & Ache 1979; Reeder & Ache 1980; Devine & Atema 1982; Allison et al. 1992; Gleeson et al. 1993). Changes to 283 flicking frequency have been shown to improve the exchange of chemical substances, as 284

flicking movements enhance the discharge of the chemoreceptors, allowing new chemical substances to attach again to the chemoreceptor binding sites (Snow 1973; Schmitt & Ache 1979; Atema 1985; Gleeson *et al.* 1993). Decreased flicking may therefore denote an impaired or reduced ability to detect the chemical stimulus and therefore the presence of food (Allison *et al.* 1992; Krång & Rosenqvist 2006; De la Haye *et al.* 2011).

290

291 Antennular flicking in response to chemostimulation is a wide-spread behaviour among crustacean species. For example, increased rates in flicking have been recorded in the giant 292 293 freshwater prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii De Man) (to a maximum of 40 flicks/min) when presented with feed with high betaine concentrations (Harpaz & Steiner 1990). Devine 294 and Atema (1982) found that 96% of lobsters (H. americanus) maintaining antennular 295 296 flicking walked in a straight line towards a food source. Reeder and Ache (1980) observed circular movements in the spiny lobster (P. argus) when one antennule was ablated. 297 Antennular flicking frequencies of the Dungeness crab (Metacarcinus magister Dana) were 298 observed to treble from 20-40 flicks/min to 60-120 flicks/min when individuals were 299 stimulated with different concentrations of clam samples added to the water (Pearson et al. 300 1979). Schmitt and Ache (1979) concluded from the same species that an increase in 301 antennular flicking upon detection of a stimulus improved the temporal resolution of 302 chemical sources in the environment. Antennular flicking however varies among crustacean 303 304 species. Work on the spiny lobster (P. argus) observed antennular flicking activity rates between 25 – 90 flicks/min (Daniel & Derby 1991; Goldman & Koehl 2001), whereas 305 Allison et al. (1992) observed a maximum rate of 20 flicks/min in the crayfish (C. bartoni). 306 307 The hermit crab (*Pagurus bernhardus* Linnaeus) has been shown to perform flicking rates as high as 140-160 flicks/min (De la Haye et al. 2012). 308

An increase in flicking activity, however, is not always necessary and when water moves in a 310 steady flow, crustacean species have been observed to reduce the movement of their 311 antennules (Snow 1975; Goldman & Koehl 2001). Flow type of water can be important and 312 studies with American lobster (Homarus gammarus Linnaeus) (Moore et al. 1991; Mjos et al. 313 1999) and spiny lobster (P. argus) (Wilkens et al. 1996; Horner et al. 2004) under different 314 turbulent flow regimes observed that antennules change position accordingly. In addition to 315 316 changes in water flow, possible interferences with chemoreception by substances such as manganese (Engdahl 1997; Krång & Rosenqvist 2006) or sub-optimal culture parameters (i.e. 317 318 low pH conditions) (Allison et al. 1992; De la Haye et al. 2011, 2012) can cause changes in antennular flicking activity in crustaceans. Moreover, work with stomatopods, such as mantis 319 shrimp, has shown an increase of antennule flicking activity under low light conditions, when 320 there would be less reliance on visual cues (Cheroske et al. 2009). Therefore, such variations 321 in flicking behaviours can produce confusion for researchers identifying behavioural 322 responses towards experimental feeds or additives, and whether affinity between feed and 323 antennule flicking activity is directly related to feeding attraction or if it is moderated by 324 325 other reasons.

326

Variation in antennular flicking activities may be a result of different water sampling 327 techniques made by crustaceans. For example, in lobsters, rapid downward motions of 328 329 antennules may be due to the removal of water previously sampled from the aesthetasc receptors to allow detection of new chemical-bearing water (Goldman & Koehl 2001; 330 Schmidt & Derby 2005). Another characteristic of flicking activity is that, in some cases, it is 331 dependent on crustacean size. Studies on stomatopods found that larger individuals have 332 more rapid chemical diffusion on aesthetasc surfaces than smaller animals (Mead et al. 333 1999). However, this is likely to be species-specific (Goldman & Koehl 2001). 334

In penaeid shrimp, a limitation in observing the use of antennular flicking is the small size of 335 the shrimp's antennules compared with those of other species, such as lobsters (Pittet et al. 336 1996). However, studies with small hermit crabs (P. bernhardus) (3 – 4 cm carapace length) 337 found antennular flicking to be a tractable measure of chemo-responsiveness (De la Haye et 338 al. 2012) which demonstrates the potential for observations of L. vannamei in similar kinds of 339 studies. The structure and function of the olfactory organs of crustaceans, as a group, is 340 341 highly congruent (Hallberg et al. 1992), therefore, any observed effect in other species such as H. americanus, P. argus or P. bernhardus is likely to occur in other marine crustaceans in 342 343 a similar way. Nevertheless, there is little information about antennular flicking activity in penaeid shrimp. Moreover, shrimp exhibit more movements of their mouthparts and less of 344 their antennules when compared with both lobsters and crabs (Pittet et al. 1996). 345

346

Recognition of food implies its discrimination from non-food items (Hindley 1975), and the 347 elicitation of food-acceptance or food-rejection behaviour is seemingly dependent on a set of 348 chemoreceptors in the dactyl receptors and in the mandibular-oral area (Hazlett 1971; 349 Fuzessery & Childress 1975; Hindley 1975, Robertson et al. 1981). Once the crustacean is 350 close to the food source, it makes contact with the food item with the dactyl of the walking 351 leg and moves it towards the maxillipeds and mouthparts. The dactyls of the first two pairs of 352 walking legs bear chemotactic organs which aid with the acceptance process (Ameyaw-353 354 Akumfi 1977). Oral handling of feed via the maxillipeds is generally indicated by the disappearance of the food item from the mouthparts and its appearance in the stomach, which 355 can often be observed (Steiner & Harpaz 1987). However, the onset of a feed-handling 356 behavioural pattern does not guarantee that the shrimp will actually go on to consume the 357 feed (Harpaz & Steiner 1987). After feeding, crustaceans present a stereotypic behaviour 358 referred to as antennular grooming (Zimmer-Faust et al. 1984; Barbato & Daniel 1997; 359

Wroblewska *et al.* 2002; Schmidt & Derby 2005). This behaviour has been attributed to the activation of aesthetascs regions through the antennules wiping against maxillipeds and the rubbing movements of maxillipeds against themselves ("auto-grooming") (Barbato & Daniel 1997; Wroblewska *et al.* 2002).

364

In many species feed rejection behaviours follow a well-defined behavioural pattern. For 365 example, M. rosenbergii individuals that go on to reject feed have been observed to make 366 regular movements around food items, with little interaction. Also, any individuals that 367 368 handled feed in their maxillipeds, made no movements of the mouthparts (Steiner & Harpaz 1987). The hermit crab (Pagurus granosimanus Stimpson) has been observed in general to 369 flick the second antennae back and away after contacting the chemical source when food 370 rejection behaviour follows (Wight et al. 1990). Food is then pushed away energetically with 371 the chelipeds and the crab backs away from it. In other instances, P. granosimanus has been 372 observed to grasp feed hesitantly with the chelipeds before rejecting it. 373

- 374
- 375

376 INFLUENCES ON SHRIMP FEEDING BEHAVIOUR

377

Understanding shrimp behaviour provides a starting point for refinements to feeding practices, however, there are many influences on shrimp behaviour which are likely to be species and context-specific (Fig. 1). These can be broadly divided into individual level effects, environmental effects and water quality effects.





Figure 1. The influences on shrimp behaviour that are considered likely to affect feeding efficiencies underfarmed conditions.

385

386 Individual Level Effects

387

Moulting A physiological process whereby crustaceans periodically shed their exoskeleton as 388 a result of their natural growth (Molina-Poveda et al. 2002; De Oliveira-Cesar et al. 2006; 389 390 Rusaini & Owens 2011), moulting affects feeding, growth and reproduction (Robertson et al. 391 1987; Vega-Villasante et al. 2000; Molina-Poveda et al. 2002). The moulting process in 392 crustaceans is driven mainly by hormones, but also it is influenced by environmental 393 conditions, developmental stages and physiological states (Chan et al. 1988; Cheng et al. 2002). Penaeids have been observed to suppress feeding activity in the preliminary stages 394 before and during moulting (Dall 1986; Harpaz et al. 1987; Chan et al. 1988; Dall et al. 1990; 395 396 Vega-Villasante et al. 2000; Moss & Moss 2006; Table 1). This cyclic behaviour of nonfeeding is termed "physiologic fast" and may be caused by non-functionality of some 397 structures such as the mouth, oesophagus or stomach when an individual sheds the 398

exoskeleton (Vega-Villasante et al. 2000). During these periods, penaeids rely on reserves of 399 lipids and carbohydrates to successfully achieve the next moulting phases (Chan et al. 1988; 400 Fernández et al. 1997). The hepatopancreas is generally considered as the major storage 401 organ in decapod crustaceans, presenting an important role in relation to the accumulation 402 and mobilization of reserves during this time (Allen 1971, Comoglio et al. 2004, 2005). 403 Vega-Villasante et al. (2000) found that it is possible to calculate the quantity of feed 404 405 required for shrimp culture according to their moult status and it has been shown in L. vannamei that the best feed balance and protein efficiency is when shrimp are fed according 406 407 to their moult cycle (Molina et al. 2000).

408

There are a range of factors that may influence moulting. Studies in L. vannamei have 409 410 demonstrated the importance of temperature and age. For example, at ranges of 26-30 °C the average moult cycle length was reported as 4-5 days in juveniles aged 1 month, 10-13 days in 411 2 month-old shrimp and around 15-20 days in 6 month-old sub-adults (De Oliveira-Cesar et 412 al. 2006). However, Chan et al. (1988) performed the same study at 20-22° C with adult L. 413 vannamei and found moulting cycle length was between 28-40 days. In addition to 414 temperature, environmental conditions such as lunar cycle can modulate and coordinate 415 crustacean physiology (Dall et al. 1990; Griffith & Wigglesworth 1993). Molina et al. (2000) 416 417 found that moulting in L. vannamei occurred mainly during the new moon cycle in around 418 80% of the population. Similar results were observed in the Northern pink shrimp (Penaeus duorarum Burkenroad), with a high moulting occurrence close to the full moon event (Fuss 419 & Ogren 1966). The presence of weak levels of dissolved oxygen (DO) or extreme water 420 salinity can also reduce moulting frequency in shrimp through changes in shrimp metabolism 421 (Aquacop et al. 1988; Allan & Maguire 1991; Staples & Heales 1991). Culture parameters 422

423 (i.e. temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen) are therefore very important in modulating424 shrimp physiology and behaviour (see section below).

425

In terms of behaviour, moulting processes can have substantial effects on overall activity 426 (such as locomotor or swimming activity), but are particularly important in feeding activity 427 (Chan et al. 1988). The greatest activity levels are generally observed during the inter-moult 428 429 phase (Table 1; C). Later, feeding activity is reduced when shrimp are closer to moult in the Pre-moult phase (D) until an inhibition status is reached, however general activity continues 430 431 to be high. During the moulting process, feeding and general activity levels reach the lowest levels. In the post-moult phase (A-B) shrimp refrain from feeding activities and general 432 activity is restored in accordance to the exoskeleton hardening. Importantly, depending on 433 their moult stage stress can affect shrimp differently; pre-moult (D₂) and post-moult (A) 434 (Table 1) are the phases most sensitive to stress (Robertson et al. 1987; Wajsbrot et al. 1990), 435 and this can have an additional influence on their overall behaviour. 436

437

Table 1. Moulting cycle of penaeid shrimp (*L. vannamei*), indicating changes in overall and feeding activity
depending of the phase. Data obtained from Chan *et al.* (1988) and Vega-Villasante *et al.* (2000).

Dhaga	Proportion of cycle	Moulting	Description	Fooding (Overall
rnase	length (%)	state		recuing /Overan
A	2%	Post-Moult	New exoskeleton is very soft, with a parchment texture.	None/Weak
В	4%	Post-Moult	New exoskeleton becomes harder.	None/Restored
C ₁	5%	Inter- Moult	New exoskeleton completely formed and resistant.	Restored/Maximal
C ₂₋₃	35%	Inter- Moult	Exoskeleton achieves maximum rigidity.	Maximal/Maximal
D0-1	43%	Pre-Moult	Detachment of epithelial cuticle. Secretion of new cuticular layers started.	Decreasing/Maximal

]	D2-3	11%	Pre-Moult	Colouration of the new cuticular layers. Old exoskeleton soft. Opening of the dehiscence groove. Water is absorbed by shrimp.	None/Maximal
	Е	-	Moult	Tegument expulsion, shrimp leave exoskeleton. Shrimp body expands.	None/None

442

443

Starvation period It is likely that under high stocking densities, depending on the feeding 444 445 method, some individuals may have reduced access to feed. Crustaceans, however, are generally able to withstand and recover from prolonged periods of starvation (Stuck et al. 446 1996; Hervant et al. 1997). Under starvation conditions, L. vannamei can reduce their 447 metabolic rate and moulting frequency to save energy (Stuck et al. 1996; Comoglio et al. 448 2004). Juvenile L. vannamei have been noted to survive up to 15 days under starvation, 449 450 although an increased mortality rate of 35-45% was recorded during days 9 to 15 (Comoglio 451 et al. 2004). As seen during moulting, shrimp reserves are mainly limited to lipids stored in the hepatopancreas (Allen 1971; Comoglio et al. 2004, 2005). During starvation, L. vannamei 452 uses triacylglycerol (ester lipid) for short periods, but use protein during prolonged starvation 453 periods (Cuzon et al. 1980; Stuck et al. 1996). During a four-week starvation period the 454 kuruma shrimp (Penaeus japonicus Spence Bate) utilised carbohydrates first and then lipids 455 to meet their energy requirements. However, proteins were not utilised until after two weeks 456 of starvation (Cuzon et al. 1980). Overall body mass may not be affected due to significant 457 458 increases in body water content during starvation that occurs so as to maintain body volume and internal turgidity (Wilcox & Jeffries 1976; Cuzon et al. 1980; Stuck et al. 1996). 459 Recovery after very long starvation periods sometimes cannot be achieved if damage to the 460 hepatopancreas and loss of enzyme synthesis has occurred (Comoglio et al. 2004). Starvation 461 level can also influence the ability of shrimp to respond to additional stressors such as 462

463 hypoxia and salinity changes (Hochachka & Lutz 2001; Palacios *et al.* 2004; Zhang *et al.*464 2006).

465

There is limited information on the impact of starvation period on feeding behaviour in 466 relation to aquaculture. Normally when nutritional studies are carried out, specimens are 467 starved for a period of 18-24 hours (e.g. Holland & Borski 1993; Sanchez et al. 2005; Nunes 468 469 et al. 2006; Derby et al. 2016). It has been shown that feeding activity and behavioural responses in crustaceans can increase across these time periods (Lee & Meyers 1997). In 470 471 addition, Sanchez et al. (2005) discovered that shorter starvation periods of 18 hours did not constitute enough time to observe an effect on feeding rate and response. This means that 472 variation in starvation periods can influence feeding threshold and subsequent behaviours 473 474 (Costero & Meyers 1993), with relevance in nutritional studies to test novel attractants for shrimp aquaculture. 475

476

In relation to shrimp farming, high starvation periods are commonly linked to the idea of a 477 decrease in shrimp growth and survival (Stuck et al. 1996). However, some studies suggest 478 that starvation followed by re-feeding may improve productivity. For example, Wu and Dong 479 (2002), working with the Chinese white shrimp (Penaeus chinensis Osbeck) tested different 480 periods of starvation followed by feeding ad libitum (e.g. 1 day starvation:4 days ad libitum, 481 482 2:8 and 4:16). While shrimp subjected to the different combinations showed a faster mass gain during the re-feeding period compared to a control group fed ad libitum throughout, 483 after 32 days none of the starved and re-fed reached the same body mass as the controls. Lin 484 et al. (2008) reported compensatory growth in L. vannamei 3 - 9 days after short periods of 485 starvation (1 - 3 days). Moreover, Maciel *et al.* (2018) suggest restricted feed programs in 486 shrimp aquaculture can reduce operating costs (i.e. labour and feeding costs) and improve the 487

pond water quality. These strategies, however, have to be properly controlled as starvation
can affect negatively shrimp productivity (i.e. lower growth and survival) and induce
negative behaviours such as cannibalism (Martin *et al.* 1998; Kautsky *et al.* 2000; Arnold *et al.* 2006; Zhang *et al.* 2010).

492

Sex differences In penaeid shrimp, females are larger than males (Moss et al. 2002; Campos-493 494 Ramos et al. 2006; Moss & Moss 2006; Gopal et al. 2010) due to a greater gain in mass per moult cycle (Hansford & Hewitt 1994). This is most likely caused by different biological 495 496 requirements at the onset of sexual maturation (Pérez-Rostro & Ibarra 2003). Penaeids possess a stable genetic sex determination system, not determined by environmental 497 conditions as in some crustacean taxa such as copepods and amphipods (Legrand et al. 1987). 498 In L. vannamei, sexual size dimorphism begins at approximately 10 g (Chow & Sandifer 499 1991) and becomes significant around 17 g (Pérez-Rostro et al. 1999; Pérez-Rostro & Ibarra 500 2003). Females are generally heavier than males with a larger cephalothorax and a wider first 501 abdominal segment (Chow & Sandifer 1991; Pérez-Rostro et al. 1999, Pérez-Rostro & Ibarra 502 2003), and a study by Accioly et al. (2013) determined the possibility of recognising sexual 503 dimorphism in L. vannamei using geometric morphometrics in these structures. 504

505

Studies on *P. monodon* (Hansford & Hewitt 1994; Gopal *et al.* 2010) and *L. vannamei* (Moss *et al.* 2002; Moss & Moss 2006) suggest that female monosex cultures grow faster than mixed-sex cultures or male monosex cultures. However, there are very few studies in penaeids that relate variation in behaviour to sexual differences. Moss and Moss (2006) observed that *L. vannamei* males were more active swimmers than females, which normally spent most time on the bottom of tanks. Moreover, males presented more aggressive behaviours than females when competing for food. Males tended to monopolise the food

source for more time than females, even though they were smaller. It is therefore clear that 513 gender is a more important factor than size for explaining variations in feeding activity in this 514 515 species. It appears that L. vannamei females do not have a competitive advantage over food, one of the possible causes of sexual growth dimorphism in this species is physiological 516 advantage (Moss & Moss 2006). For example, Hansford and Hewitt (1994) reported that P. 517 monodon females had a lower feed conversion ratio and a more efficient digestibility of food 518 519 energy compared to males. At the same time, males could have a greater metabolic rate and/or stress levels than females (Taylor et al. 2004; Moss & Moss 2006). This could explain 520 521 the higher aggressiveness and feeding activity observed in males, behaviour that has also been recorded in other crustaceans such as rock shrimp (Rhynchocinetes typus H. Milne 522 Edwards) (Dennenmoser & Thiel 2007) and American lobster (H. americanus) (Karavanich 523 & Atema 1998) as well as other finfish aquaculture species such as Atlantic salmon (Salmo 524 salar Linnaeus) (Cutts et al. 1998) and rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss Walbaum) 525 (Metcalfe 1986). However, the paucity of information makes it difficult to make definitive 526 conclusions here and more research is required. 527

Personality Behaviour is perhaps the most flexible phenotypic feature, offering the quickest 528 response of animals to unexpected events in a variable environment (Hazlett 1995). This 529 phenotypic plasticity allows appropriate responses to changing conditions or situations (Sih et 530 al. 2004; Dingemanse et al. 2010), having major impacts on individual fitness (Sih et al. 531 2004; Gherardi et al. 2012; Briffa et al. 2015). However, it is also evident that phenotypic 532 plasticity might present some constraints with regards to sensory capabilities, morphological 533 534 limitations or limits on learning abilities (Hazlett 1995; DeWitt et al. 1998; Briffa et al. 2015; Briffa & Sneddon 2016). Such limits on behavioural plasticity appear to vary between and 535 536 within populations (Wilson 1998), leading in some cases to variation in behaviour between 537 individuals (Briffa et al. 2008; Briffa et al. 2015). Such variations in behaviours may be

considered as 'personality' traits when they are observed to be consistent and repeatable in 538 individuals across time in different contexts (e.g. feeding, mating) and situations (e.g. 539 presence of food or predators) (Briffa & Weiss 2010; Briffa & Twyman 2011; Gherardi et al. 540 2012). In many studies, individuals are distinguished based on the 'shyness-boldness axis' 541 defined by Wilson et al. (1993). Combinations of behaviours that occur across different 542 situations and contexts with behavioural consistency within individuals of a population may 543 544 also be observed and are described as 'behavioural syndromes' (Gherardi et al. 2012; Briffa *et al.* 2015). 545

Reliable, repeatable individual variation in behaviour, or animal personality, has been 546 reported in a wide group of taxa including mammals, birds and fish. Recent studies have also 547 uncovered repeatable behavioural differences in invertebrates such as arachnids, cnidarians 548 and crustaceans (see Reviews of Dingemanse et al. 2010 and Gherardi et al. 2012). In 549 crustaceans, evidence for bold and shy individuals has been found in the hermit crab P. 550 551 bernhardus where bold individuals showed high levels of exploratory behaviour in a new environment, investigated novel objects and when disturbed showed a sudden startle response 552 of short duration (Briffa et al. 2008). Similarly, Vainikka et al. (2011) observed personality 553 traits in crayfish (Astacus astacus Linnaeus) and proposed that boldness in this species 554 influences predatory risk and intraspecific competition. 555

The occurrence of personality traits in crustacean aquaculture, particularly in penaeid shrimp, may be important in the context of feeding where bolder individuals might be more likely to interact with feed and consume it at a faster rate. Currently there is no evidence to support this within shrimp aquaculture, however, in finfish aquaculture some studies highlight the presence of personalities and copying styles (stress responses) which can provide advantages for culture, for example in establishing selection-based breeding programmes or improving domestication (Castanheira *et al.* 2013; Ibarra-Zatarain *et al.* 2016). It may also be possible to
link proactive (bold) individuals with important aquaculture outputs, such as growth, disease
resistance, food conversion, or survival (Ibarra-Zatarain *et al.* 2016). Therefore,
understanding the role of animal personality in commercial settings may be of great
importance in optimising production (Huntingford & Adams 2005).

567 Environmental Effects

568

Environmental enrichment As benthic animals, shrimp are mainly constrained to two-569 dimensional space rather than three-dimensional volume (Kumlu et al. 2001), although when 570 shrimp are stocked at high densities the water column becomes more important as they can 571 feed on suspended food particles (Tacon et al. 2013). Therefore, bottom substrate becomes an 572 important concern in an aquaculture setting. Several studies have demonstrated that artificial 573 substrates could increase the food supplement for shrimp (i.e. enhance the colonisation of 574 575 epiphytic biota) (Thompson et al. 2002; Burford et al. 2004; Moss & Moss 2004; Arnold et al. 2005, 2006) as well as improve the water quality and control pathogenic bacteria 576 (Bratvold & Browdy 2001; Thompson et al. 2002; Moss & Moss 2004; Ballester et al. 2007; 577 Kumar et al. 2017). In L. vannamei the addition of artificial substrates has improved growth 578 and/or survival of postlarvae and juveniles, even at high stocking densities (Bratvold & 579 Browdy 2001; Moss & Moss 2004; Zarain-Herzberg et al. 2006). For example, Bratvold & 580 Browdy (2001) indicated that, in tanks containing AquaMatsTM, L. vannamei individuals 581 were up to 30% heavier than in tanks without mats. 582

583

Artificial substrates may confer several potential behavioural benefits for shrimp culture by providing refuge for moulting shrimp, reducing aggressive interactions (e.g., cannibalism) and increasing the surface area on which shrimp graze (Moss & Moss 2004; Arnold *et al.*

2006). It has also been suggested that for species that exhibit burrowing behaviour, sediment 587 may be required for optimal production (Allan & Maguire 1995). Some studies have shown 588 little burrowing activity exhibited by L. vannamei (Boddeke 1983; Robertson et al. 1993; 589 Pontes & Arruda 2005a,b; Zhang et al. 2010; Da Costa et al. 2016;), thus the presence of 590 sediment may have relatively little effect on its growth and behaviour (Bratvold & Browdy 591 2001). However, information is scarce and more research on this topic is needed, as 592 according to Moctezuma and Blake (1981) L. vannamei shows a clear burrowing activity 593 during diurnal hours and emerge at night, as do other Penaeus spp. (Hindley 1975; Boddeke 594 595 1983; Nunes et al. 1996). Moreover, Zhang et al. (2010) found behavioural changes in L. vannamei in relation to artificial substrates, demonstrating a clear change in shrimp 596 distribution between tanks with and without artificial substrate. The proportion of shrimp on 597 the bottom of tanks with artificial substrates was significantly lower than tanks without 598 artificial substrates, with the differences becoming more distinct with a longer rearing time. 599 This provides evidence of how artificial substrates can disperse shrimp from tank bottoms 600 and thus alleviate the negative effect of high stocking density on shrimp production. The use 601 of artificial substrate may also benefit feeding efficiency, stimulating more natural 602 behavioural processes. Moreover, the role of artificial substrates in relation to the production 603 of natural food supplement could encourage L. vannamei feeding activity. 604

605

Conspecific presence The presence of conspecifics and stocking density is an important
consideration in aquaculture production. Normally, when held in higher densities, shrimp
exhibit a reduction in growth and survival as a result of a combination of different factors
including a decrease in favourable space and natural food sources and an increase in adverse
behaviour such as cannibalism (Martin *et al.* 1998; Kautsky *et al.* 2000; Arnold *et al.* 2006;
Zhang *et al.* 2010). It is also obvious that feeding success is density-dependent (Chavanich *et*

al. 2016) therefore stocking density is an important aspect when considering feeding 612 behaviour patterns (Da Costa et al. 2016). In general, L. vannamei is amenable to culture at 613 very high densities from 60 to 150 individuals/m² in pond culture, and even as high as 400 614 individuals/m² in controlled recirculated tank conditions (Briggs et al. 2004; Funge-Smith & 615 Briggs 2005). Such intensive culture systems, however, require a much higher degree of 616 control over environmental parameters (Briggs et al. 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005). 617 618 Moreover, high densities can compromise feeding management of shrimp production, as high densities have more competition for access to feeding trays and that can restrict the number 619 620 of shrimp feeding (Sanchez et al. 2005). Therefore, feed management strategies need to be implemented and automatic feed dispensers may present a promising solution to restricted 621 feeding at high densities by means of dispensing smaller amounts of feed many times a day 622 (Jory 2016). Stocking density affects growth of L. vannamei (Balakrishnan et al. 2011), and 623 stronger density-growth relationships have been observed at medium and higher densities (40 624 -150 individuals/m²) than at moderately low densities (5 - 40 individuals/m²) (Sandifer *et al.* 625 1987, 1988; Wyban et al. 1987, 1988). According to Wyban et al. (1988), L. vannamei 626 shrimp stocked at a density of 45 individuals/m² presented an excellent growth and survival 627 rate, however at higher densities L. vannamei can often show an aggressive feeding 628 behaviour when food sources are limited (Zhang et al. 2010). Nevertheless, once again, this 629 630 may be mitigated through appropriate feed management.

631

There has been limited work conducted on the effects that different stocking densities have on behaviours of *L. vannamei*. Da Costa *et al.* (2016) found that groups at low (50 individuals/m²), medium (75 individuals/m²) and high density (100 individuals/m²) displayed differences in their individual behaviours. With regards to feeding, medium densities had the lowest feeding frequency of all groups. Higher densities were observed to have a medium feeding efficiency and lower densities performed best in terms of feeding (Da Costa *et al.*2016). With regards to other behaviours, the study noted that there were, however, few
swimming behaviours at lower densities compared to higher stocking densities, most likely as
a trade-off due to increased feeding. As high frequency of swimming behaviour in *L. vannamei* has been shown to be associated with stress (Taylor *et al.* 2004), it is also possible
that animals at a lower stocking density moved less frequently due to less chemical, tactile or
visual interference by other individuals.

644

Photoperiod In crustacean aquaculture, light conditions and photoperiod play important roles in both behaviour (e.g. Pontes 2006; Santos *et al.* 2016) and physiology (e.g. Nakamura & Echavarria 1989; Pontes & Arruda 2005b; Ravi & Manisseri 2013). Light conditions are probably the most important single factor for determining the locomotor activity in penaeid shrimp (Dall *et al.* 1990; Wassenberg & Hill 1994), therefore understanding the behaviours which result in the activity pattern of species such as *L. vannamei* during the day/night is vital for determining feeding schedules in aquaculture (Pontes 2006).

652

In general, penaeids exhibit circadian rhythms which are linked with diurnal burrowing habits 653 and emergence from the substratum in the dark (Hindley 1975; Boddeke 1983; Nunes et al. 654 1996). For example, *P. japonicus* is naturally active at night therefore captive animals are 655 656 usually fed after sunset (Nakamura & Echavarria 1989). However, L. vannamei belong to the group of non-burrowing shrimp, and are likely to exhibit the same feeding and locomotor 657 activity during the day as well as at night, and do not usually burrow during the daytime 658 659 (Boddeke 1983; Robertson et al. 1993; Pontes & Arruda 2005a,b; Zhang et al. 2010; Da Costa et al. 2016). However, some studies suggest feeding behaviour in L. vannamei is 660 heightened under light conditions. This may be a result of temperature fluctuations, with low 661

night-time water temperatures relative to the daytime temperatures reducing the activity of 662 the shrimp (Robertson et al. 1993). Additionally, L. vannamei seems to be less motile under 663 strong light than weak light conditions (Zhang et al. 2006). Sanudin et al. (2014) examined L. 664 vannamei larvae (0.5cm total length (TL)) and demonstrated a greater ingestion rate of feed 665 in light conditions compared to dark. As the shrimp grew (up to 1 - 1.5 cm TL), differences 666 between light and dark conditions did not influence the feeding activity to the same degree. 667 668 These findings may reflect the ontogenetic development of chemoreceptors to find food sources compared to larvae stages that rely more on vision (Sanudin et al. 2014). However, 669 670 more research into ontological changes in chemoreception vs vision is necessary and presents an exciting area for future studies. 671

672

The effect of light on feeding and growth efficiency may be dependent on whether trials are 673 located indoors or outdoors. For example, L. vannamei juveniles had better feeding and 674 growth efficiency during the light hours in trials performed inside (Robertson et al. 1993; 675 Tacon et al. 2002; Pontes & Arruda 2005b; Pontes et al. 2006). However outdoor trials found 676 no significant differences between diurnal and nocturnal food consumption patterns (Nunes et 677 al. 1996; Tacon et al. 2002). Regardless of these findings, L. vannamei shows the highest 678 ingestion of feed around the middle of the light phase (Lima et al. 2009) and it has been 679 determined that feed should be offered in the light phase and at a greater proportion 7 hours 680 681 after sunrise (Pontes et al. 2006). Substrate exploration behaviour in L. vannamei, indicative of the search for feed, was more intense around this time (Pontes 2006). Moreover, some 682 studies have demonstrated the possibility of synchronising feeding activity with shrimp 683 circadian rhythms (Miguel & Aréchiga 1994; Santos et al. 2016); understanding such 684 behavioural rhythms is critical for feeding management for species such as L. vannamei. For 685 example, Santos et al. (2016) showed that the use of automatic self-feeding systems by L. 686

vannamei individuals was influenced by their circadian rhythms (i.e. shrimp activated the feeders more at night). This information may have potential implications for commercial systems that distribute feed automatically to shrimp (sometimes over 24 hours), a method increasing in popularity in shrimp farms (Jory 2016; Molina & Espinoza 2018).

- 691
- 692

693 Water Quality Effects

694

695 Salinity Salinity is one of the most basic environmental parameters of marine shrimp culture (Zhang et al. 2006). In general, euryhaline decapod crustaceans acclimated to sea water are 696 osmoconformers although some groups, such as the penaeid shrimp, have the capacity to 697 698 osmoregulate (Dall 1981; Dall et al. 1990). Osmotic regulation in penaeid shrimp is a physiological property which can determine their distribution under different salinities 699 (Charmantier 1987; Vargas-Albores & Ochoa 1992). In L. vannamei the osmoregulatory 700 701 ability is better in juvenile phases, mostly at low salinities, declining naturally when they reach subadult or adult stages (Gong et al. 2004; Bett & Vinatea 2009). This is reflected in 702 their natural distribution where postlarvae and juveniles inhabit brackish water but migrate as 703 adults to marine habitats (Vargas-Albores & Ochoa 1992; Ponce-Palafox et al. 1997). In 704 705 addition, size differences within a species may also affect the osmoregulatory capacity, with 706 smaller individuals being better regulators than those that are larger (Vargas-Albores & 707 Ochoa 1992).

708

The isosmotic point has been associated with optimum conditions for growth in penaeid shrimp (between 20 - 25 ppt), however *L. vannamei* grows particularly well at low salinities of around 10 - 20 ppt, below isosmotic conditions (Wyban & Sweeny 1991; Bray *et al.* 1994; Rosas *et al.* 2001; Bett & Vinatea 2009; Ponce-Palafox *et al.* 2013). However, *Litopenaeus vannamei* tolerates a wide range of salinities from 0.5 -50 ppt (Charmantier 1998; Briggs *et al.* 2004; Funge-Smith & Brigss 2005; Bett & Vinatea 2009), levels that are currently found
within shrimp farming. Moreover, this ability makes the species a good candidate for inland
farms where they may be subject to high salinity fluctuations over a 24 hour period (Anger
1996; Díaz *et al.* 2001; Briggs *et al.* 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005).

718

In terms of how behaviour may be affected by salinity, there are a number of studies which 719 can provide better understanding. Gleeson et al. (1996) found that under low salinity 720 concentrations (< 12.5 ppt) or fresh water conditions (0 ppt) the functioning of the 721 aesthetasc/olfactory sensilla in crustaceans can be compromised, resulting in a decrease in 722 723 detection capacity. Rosas et al. (2001) found an increase in locomotor activity when L. vannamei juveniles were maintained under 10 ppt. This may indicate an escape response to 724 those environmental conditions, as L. vannamei individuals reared under low salinity 725 conditions present low stress tolerance (Li et al. 2007). Davis et al. (2002) found similar 726 effects of low salinity where L. vannamei individuals were mainly found at the sides of the 727 tanks, displaying lethargic behaviours and a greater sensitivity to stress caused by handling, 728 temperature changes and low dissolved oxygen. There is little information on how feeding 729 behaviour is affected across different salinities, however, studies note a clear effect of salinity 730 731 on oxygen consumption for L. vannamei, which is related to feeding activity (i.e. higher oxygen consumption/respiratory metabolism increases feeding activity). Nevertheless, this 732 relationship remains unclear as some studies observed a direct increase between oxygen 733 734 consumption and salinity concentration (e.g. Bett & Vinatea 2009; Zhang et al. 2009; Ponce-Palafox et al. 2013) and others an inverse relationship between them (e.g. Rosas et al. 2001; 735

Li *et al.* 2007; Li *et al.* 2017). It is clear that further research is necessary to fully understand
the effect of salinity on shrimp behaviour.

738

Temperature Another important environmental factor influencing feeding and overall 739 metabolic rate in marine invertebrates is temperature (Kinne 1966; Armitage & Wall 1982; 740 Wyban et al. 1995; Magallón-Barajas et al. 2006), particularly in organisms with life cycles 741 742 involving estuarine areas that experience large temperature oscillations (Darsey 1990). In L. vannamei, temperature and temperature-salinity interactions are the main factors modulating 743 744 growth, feeding rate and oxygen consumption (Wyban et al. 1988, 1995; Ponce-Palafox et al. 1997; Díaz et al. 2001; Ponce-Palafox et al. 2013). Several studies have concluded that 745 oxygen consumption between 24-30° C (at salinities of 15-25 ppt) results in greater stability 746 747 for L. vannamei cultures (Villarreal et al. 1994; Bett & Vinatea 2009; Valenzuela-Quiñonez et al. 2011; Ponce-Palafox et al. 2013). Moreover, this temperature range overlays with the 748 optimal growth rate of the species (Wyban et al. 1995; Briggs et al. 2004). These 749 750 temperatures are normally associated with the native distribution of L. vannamei on the Pacific coast. However, L. vannamei is able to tolerate a wide range of temperatures, from as 751 low as 15° C up to 33° C, but at reduced growth rates (Wyban & Sweeny 1991; Funge-Smith 752 & Briggs 2005). This adaptation is advantageous because juvenile L. vannamei often inhabit 753 754 semi-enclosed or closed estuaries and rock pools that are subject to high temperature 755 fluctuations over a 24 hour period (Anger, 1996). Tolerance of lower temperatures allows culture during the cold season (October-February) in the Northern hemisphere (Briggs et al. 756 2004; Funge-Smith & Briggs 2005). 757

758

In the context of feeding behaviour, temperature has a considerable effect across all sizeclasses. Feeding rates and subsequent growth of *L. vannamei* are directly correlated with

temperature and fluctuate inversely with size (Wyban et al. 1995), with shrimp cultured at 761 higher temperatures growing faster as their metabolic rates are accelerated (Gong et al. 762 2004). According to Ponce-Palafox et al. (1997), juveniles of L. vannamei exhibited low food 763 consumption at 20° C compared with hyperactive animals at 35° C (salinities > 20 ppt). 764 Moreover, when they were offered unlimited food, shrimp maintained at 35° C had the 765 highest rate of food consumption. Wyban et al. (1995) found the same temperature effects in 766 relation to feeding activity and growth, particularly between $23 - 27^{\circ}$ C, with shrimp being 767 extremely sensitive to small temperature changes. The study also concluded that L. vannamei 768 769 culture at low temperature conditions (23° C) resulted in problems in growth associated with feeding activity which could be solved through use of more attractive diets. Hernández et al. 770 (2006) observed that the preferred feeding temperature of L. vannamei was within $26 - 31^{\circ}$ C, 771 772 and according to Cuéllar-Anjel et al. (2010) feeding should be conducted at temperatures above 26° C. 773

774

pH The pH of body fluids governs the ionization state of proteins and their physiological 775 function, and its control is vital for the proper functioning of animals (Reeves & Rahn 1979). 776 Studies have demonstrated the adverse effect of changes in pH on the chemo-responsiveness 777 of crustaceans (e.g. Allison et al. 1992; De la Haye et al. 2011, 2012), which have important 778 implications for feeding behaviour. Moreover, abnormal pH values have been reported to 779 780 cause a wide range of problems across a variety of crustacean species such as stunted growth and reduced survival (Allan & Maguire 1992; Wang et al. 2002; Chen & Chen 2003; Wang 781 et al. 2009), disturbed ionoregulation (Morgan & McMahon 1982; Allan & Maguire 1992), 782 783 acid-base imbalance (Morgan & McMahon 1982), DNA damage (Wang et al. 2009) and abnormal behaviours (Allison et al. 1992; Das & Sahu 2005). Therefore, controlling pH is 784 important due to the large potential fluctuations that may occur in aquaculture ponds. 785

786 The majority of studies on L. vannamei utilise pH parameters in the range of 7.4 - 8.2(Samocha et al. 2004b; Zhang et al. 2006; Grey et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009; Da Costa et al. 787 788 2016), and it has been found that changes in pH have important implications for survival. For example, Wang et al. (2009), found 100% survival across a 24 hour period for L. vannamei 789 under conditions at pH 7.4. Survival was reduced however to 65% under more acidic 790 conditions (pH 5.6) and 35% under more alkaline conditions (pH 9.3). With regards to 791 792 feeding behaviours, a number of effects due to changes in pH have been identified in crustaceans. Initially, low pH may reduce chemoreceptive perception by modifying the 793 794 charge distribution on the chemoreceptor cells of crustacean sensory organs (Tierney & Atema, 1988). Moreover, changes in chemo-responsiveness might simply reflect reduced 795 activity levels, or reduced motivation to respond to chemical cues, occurring as a result of the 796 797 elevated metabolic load of maintaining acid-base balance under conditions of low pH (Spicer et al. 2007). There may also be direct physical damage to the sensory organs as a result of 798 low pH conditions (De la Haye et al. 2012). Additionally, it has been shown that crustaceans 799 in low pH conditions significantly reduce antennular flicking which triggers less locomotor 800 activity, lack of stimulation and metabolic depression (Allison et al. 1992; De la Haye et al. 801 2011, 2012). For example, reduced pH compromises resource assessment and decision-802 making behaviour in European hermit crabs (P. bernhardus) (De la Haye et al. 2011). Allison 803 et al. (1992) found similar results in freshwater crayfish (C. bartoni), which flicked its 804 805 antennules at a reduced rate and failed to locate a food source under low pH conditions (4.0) instead of normal pH conditions (7.5). Therefore, the decreased flicking observed under low 806 pH conditions could imply a reduced ability to detect the chemical stimulus (De la Haye et al. 807 808 2011).

Dissolved oxygen Dissolved oxygen (DO) is a major limiting factor in crustacean aquaculture 810 (Martinez-Palacios et al. 1996; Cheng et al. 2003; Pérez-Rostro et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 811 2006). During the initial phases of shrimp development (larvae and juvenile forms), it is vital 812 to maintain adequate oxygen levels (Bett & Vinatea 2009). The bottom layer of pond waters, 813 where shrimp mostly remain, may become hypoxic or even anoxic due to decomposition of 814 accumulated organic matter and organism respiration (Cheng et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2006). 815 Normally, DO values higher than 5 mg l⁻¹ have been suggested for intensive culture practices 816 (Cheng et al. 2003). Low DO concentrations negatively affect the behaviour and physiology 817 818 of crustaceans, such as growth, survival, respiration and circulation, metabolism, moulting and feeding (Seidman & Lawrence 1985; Clark 1986; Aquacop et al. 1988; Allan & Maguire 819 1991). 820

821

The effect of hypoxia on growth, survival, feeding, moulting, behaviour, osmoregulatory 822 capacity and immune response of L. vannamei has been documented by some authors 823 (Aquacop et al. 1988; Hopkins et al. 1991; Charmantier et al. 1994; Pérez-Rostro et al. 2004; 824 Zhang et al. 2006). Litopenaeus vannamei tolerates low DO levels, although it does not grow 825 well below 2.8 - 3 mg l^{-1} , which is considered the limit of hypoxic conditions (Martinez-826 Palacios et al. 1996; Vinatea et al. 2009). Lethal DO levels for L. vannamei are between 0.2 -827 1 mg l⁻¹ (Hopkins et al. 1991; Pérez-Rostro et al. 2004) and it appears L. vannamei is an 828 829 oxygen conformer with modification to respiratory rate detected when DO levels decreased below 5 mg l^{-1} (Martinez-Palacios *et al.* 1996). It is possible to control some characteristics 830 of L. vannamei cultures to improve their resistance against hypoxic conditions. Zhang et al. 831 (2006) concluded that certain water parameters (22° C, 16.6 ppt salinity, pH 7.6) and strong 832 light conditions can help to counteract the effects of low DO levels. Nevertheless, exposure 833
time at low DO or high culture densities has a cumulative effect on the mortality rate or on

subsequent performance after recovery (Pérez-Rostro *et al.* 2004; Vinatea *et al.* 2009).

836

Under hypoxia, individuals respond by decreasing energy production as well as changes to 837 behaviour (Pérez-Rostro et al. 2004). Changes in general locomotor behaviour in L. 838 vannamei have been observed during hypoxic periods. When DO is at 50% saturation, L. 839 840 vannamei exhibits an increase in activity, making short but frequent random swimming movements. Once the saturation value is below 50%, activity decreases, with slower 841 842 swimming speeds but with a clear and evident pattern of surface-seeking behaviour (Zhang et *al.* 2006). Finally, activity ceases when DO levels fall below 1 mg l^{-1} (lethal concentration) 843 (Allan & Maguire 1991; Pörtner et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2006). This reduction in activity 844 may be a result of increased lactate concentrations in muscle and hepatopancreas after 845 exposure to hypoxic conditions (Mauro & Malecha 1984; Pérez-Rostro et al. 2004). 846 Additionally, shrimp exhibit increased ventilatory activity in low oxygen conditions 847 (Martinez-Palacios et al. 1996), which enhances CO₂ excretion from the haemolymph, 848 resulting in increased blood pH (Hagerman & Uglow 1984, 1985; Mauro & Malecha 1984). 849

850

There is little information on feeding behaviour and how it is affected by fluctuations of DO. Cuéllar-Anjel *et al.* (2010) concluded that when DO concentrations fall below 4.5 mg/L reduced feed consumption in *L. vannamei* occurs. In addition, if DO concentration is low for a period of time (days or weeks), daily feed rations should be recalculated with the aim of reducing or suspending feeding until normal water DO levels are attained.

856

857 *Nitrogenous compounds* Ammonia is the main nitrogenous end-product excreted by 858 crustaceans (Dall *et al.* 1990), and decomposing organic solids (e.g. uneaten food) can

produce an additional source of ammonia inside aquaculture systems (Chen & Lei 1990). 859 Therefore, nitrogenous compound concentration is directly correlated with stocking density 860 (Martin et al. 1998). Ammonia is utilised as a substrate by autotrophic nitrifying aerobic 861 bacteria, Nitrosomas and Nitrobacter, which oxidize it to nitrite and nitrate, respectively 862 (Chen & Kou 1992, Montoya et al. 2002). According to Martin et al. (1998), up to 38% of 863 the nitrogen entering ponds via the inflow and in feed pellets could accumulate in the 864 865 sediment, although it depends on the system used (e.g. biofloc systems can maintain nitrogenous compounds at safe levels) (Vinatea et al. 2009; Maicá et al. 2014). Litopenaeus 866 867 vannamei presents a higher tolerance to total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) levels than other penaeid shrimp species (Frías-Espericueta et al. 1999; Lin & Chen 2001; Schuler et al. 2010), 868 presenting a 96 hours LC50 with concentrations as high as 39.54-70.9 mg/l at high salinity 869 870 conditions (34-35 ppt) (Frías-Espericueta et al. 1999; Lin & Chen 2001). In addition, L. vannamei also shows an increased tolerance to ammonia with age (Frías-Espericueta et al. 871 2000). However, this tolerance to ammonia can be compromised depending on culture 872 parameters. For example, it has been observed that TAN tolerance decreased to 24.39 mg/l 873 when salinity dropped to 15 ppt (Lin & Chen 2001) or to 9.33 mg/l at a salinity of 3 ppt (Li et 874 al. 2007). Low salinities may therefore increase ammonia excretion in penaeid shrimp (Rosas 875 et al. 1999), resulting in higher susceptibility of L. vannamei to ammonia toxicity with 876 salinity levels below 5 ppt (Li et al. 2007). Similarly, at high pH levels (pH 9) ammonia may 877 become very toxic for L. vannamei (Magallón-Barajas et al. 2006). 878

879

The accumulation of nitrogenous compounds inside culture systems can produce a series of non-beneficial effects, such as immune suppression and disease incidence (Liu & Chen 2004), physical damage (e.g. hepatopancreas, gills) (Kuhn *et al.* 2010; Furtado *et al.* 2015), cessation of feeding and growth (Frías-Espericueta *et al.* 2000), which result in higher

mortality rates in penaeid shrimp (Chen & Lei 1990). These setbacks mean the accumulation 884 of nitrogenous compounds is one of the most limiting parameters within shrimp aquaculture. 885 For that reason, safe levels of TAN, nitrites and nitrates for rearing L. vannamei were 886 estimated to be 2.44, 6.1 and 145 mg/l, respectively, at low salinities and 3.95, 25.7 and 177 887 mg/l at high salinities (Lin & Chen 2001, 2003; Kuhn et al. 2010; Furtado et al. 2015). When 888 ammonia levels are high, the first reaction of penaeids is the reduction or cessation of feeding 889 890 which in turn reduces the production of metabolic ammonia (Colt & Armstrong 1981). Moreover, according to ammonia concentration increases in the water, the ammonia excreted 891 892 by shrimp is reduced. Consequently, the ammonia level in blood and tissues increases with deleterious effects on the shrimp physiology and metabolism (Frías-Espericueta et al. 2000; 893 Barbieri 2010). This is directly connected with how ammonia affects growth negatively in 894 penaeid shrimp. For example, Wickins (1976) reported ammonia concentrations as small as 895 0.22-0.69 mg/l can reduce growth in several penaeid shrimp species by 50%. Chen and Kou 896 (1992) found in P. japonicus juveniles that 40 days of 30 mg/l of ammonia produced a 86% 897 reduction in mass gain and a 17% reduction in length compared to controls. Furthermore, 898 there appears to be an important correlation between higher TAN levels and lower feeding 899 activity, although more research into effects of nitrogenous compounds on specific feeding 900 behaviours is required. 901

- 902
- 903

904 HOW CAN WE USE BEHAVIOUR TO IMPROVE SHRIMP AQUACULTURE?

905

Ethological studies in the context of shrimp farming are limited (e.g. Primavera & Lebata 1995; Soares *et al.* 2005; Silva *et al.* 2012; Da Costa *et al.* 2016), with those related to feeding behaviour generally investigating responses to chemical stimulants (e.g. Costero &

Meyers 1993; Pittet et al. 1996; Nunes et al. 2006). The importance of chemical cues in 909 identifying and orientating towards potential food sources means that commercial diets must 910 be chemically attractive and include specific chemical cues that can be rapidly recognized as 911 a food source and initiate shrimp feeding behaviour (Zimmer-Faust 1989; Sanchez et al. 912 2005; Grey et al. 2009). Feeding effectors (attractants and palatability factors) are compounds 913 that attract animals via chemical stimuli and enhance palatability of food (Lee & Meyers 914 915 1997; Smith et al. 2005; Suresh et al. 2011). As such, compounds that can enhance feeding behaviour have received a lot of attention as a potential refinement in crustacean aquaculture 916 917 (e.g. Hartati & Briggs 1993; Smith et al. 2005; Nunes et al. 2006; Grey et al. 2009), especially for species such as L. vanmamei (Heinen 1980; Costero & Meyers 1993; Gadient 918 & Schai, 1994; Peñaflorida & Virtanen 1996; Nunes et al. 2006). Table 2 summarises feeding 919 920 studies in shrimp which have examined the use of potential feed effectors. Feeding attractants can elicit behavioural and physiological responses from the shrimp's olfactory and gustatory 921 systems, stimulating the different feeding behaviour phases discussed above (Lee & Meyers 922 1996) (see behavioural responses section). For example, a range of food effectors tested by 923 Nunes et al. (2006) in L. vannamei resulted in improved feeding efficiency correlated with 924 reduced time spent on perception, orientation and locomotion, and more time spent feeding. 925

926

In addition to the chemical utilised, it is important to consider the amount which is used in feed (i.e. the inclusion level) when understanding effects on behaviour. Obviously, the level of feed enhancer needs to be high enough to stimulate shrimp behaviour (Nunes *et al.* 2006). Derby *et al.* (2016) found a positive relationship between the inclusion level of krill meal within feed and the increase in attractability and feeding consumption of *L. vannamei* to that feed in the first 60 minutes after the food was presented. However, detection of the chemical does not necessarily imply that the diet will be acceptable or consumed and assimilated efficiently; indeed the attractiveness of the diet may become attenuated with time (Lee &
Meyers 1997). It is also important that the level of attractant is not too high as desensitization
can occur (Pittet *et al.* 1996). Moreover, water quality can affect the crustacean's ability to
detect and respond to chemicals (Lee & Meyers 1996; Koehl 2006, 2011; De la Haye *et al.*2012).

939

940 Even if an individual shrimp is attracted to a food source, there are numerous external and internal influences which may alter its behaviour (see Fig. 1). Another area of behavioural 941 942 research that has been used to the benefit of aquaculture practice has focused on optimal feeding strategies and how feed is presented. Although recently some countries have adopted 943 more complex feeding protocols (e.g. automatic feeders, acoustic feeding-control) (Bador et 944 al. 2013; Jory 2016), most feed management strategies have remained largely unaltered since 945 the mid-1980s (e.g. manual broadcasting) (Carvalho & Nunes 2006; Jory 2016). Feed is 946 commonly offered between two to four times per day with the ration based on shrimp 947 biomass and the eaten-uneaten feed ratio (Jory 1995; Pontes et al. 2008; Lima et al. 2009). 948 Feed is generally supplied to the shrimp in feeding trays which are a good tool for the 949 estimation of how much shrimp are eating daily and allow spatial feed distribution 950 throughout ponds (Bador 2000; Cuéllar-Anjel et al. 2010). Frequency of feeding may change 951 seasonally (Seiffert & Andreatta 2004) and distribution of the daily ration in different 952 953 percentages is common practice (Jory 1995). However, understanding how individuals respond to different feed presentations will be important in maximising efficiencies. 954

955

956 Feed has to be consumed by shrimp in the first two hours after feed has been introduced into 957 the water, before changes in the physical and chemical characteristics of the pellets occur, 958 leading to a reduction in feed attractant properties and nutrients which leach into the water

(Sick et al. 1973, Smith et al. 2002; Carvalho & Nunes 2006; Cuéllar-Anjel et al. 2010). 959 Water absorption also makes the pellets more difficult for shrimp to manipulate into their 960 buccal cavity (Sick et al. 1973). While P. monodon has been observed to consume pellets 961 from feeding trays which had been in the water for up to 4 hours (Smith et al. 2002), uneaten 962 food crumbles, and is consequently not consumed (Martinez-Cordova et al. 1998), leading to 963 deterioration in water quality (Nunes et al. 1996; Sanchez et al. 2005). Ensuring that food is 964 965 provided at the most appropriate times and rations is, therefore, important. In some studies, an increased feeding frequency has had a positive effect on shrimp growth (Robertson et al. 966 967 1993; Wyban et al. 1995; Tacon et al. 2002) whereas other studies have found no direct relationship between these two factors (Velasco et al. 1999; Smith et al. 2002; Carvalho & 968 Nunes 2006). Greater behavioural exploration levels, feed conversion ratios and growth rates 969 970 in L. vannamei resulted from three to four feedings per day (Pontes et al. 2008; Lima et al. 2009); however, feeding more than twice per day may not be desirable for aquaculture as it is 971 labour-intensive (Carvalho & Nunes 2006). More than four feedings per day could also elicit 972 a loss of stimulation to the shrimp and reduce overall feeding. As previously mentioned, 973 automatic feeders are being implemented more frequently in L. vannamei aquaculture (e.g. 974 AQ1 systems). Jescovitch et al. (2018), testing different feeding protocols, determined that 975 automatic feeders produced the best results in terms of pond biomass of shrimp. However, 976 977 they also resulted in the highest levels of nitrogenous compounds. This technology does 978 however present a large potential application for shrimp farming, but its use must be properly adjusted to shrimp feeding activity, an area where behavioural studies could provide useful 979 information. 980

981

A full analysis of daily behavioural feeding patterns can help refine feeding practices (Lima *et al.* 2009). Verifying the time of the day when shrimp are most likely to perform searching

behaviours allows feed provision at a time when shrimp are most likely to eat it (Da Costa et 984 al. 2016). For example, introduction of feed elicited feeding behaviour arousal and 985 exploration in L. vannamei within 30 minutes, with the most efficient feeding rates occurring 986 in the morning and afternoon compared to the night (Pontes & Arruda 2005a). Although L. 987 vannamei individuals were more active during the night, this was not influenced by the 988 presence of food. Pontes et al. (2006) showed feeding L. vannamei once a day at random 989 990 times resulted in substrate exploration in both light and dark phases, suggesting that the search for food occurs both during the day and night. Studies with other shrimp species, such 991 992 as the Southern brown shrimp (Penaeus subtilis Pérez Farfante), have found the greatest feeding activity 10 minutes after onset (Nunes el al. 1997) and that peaks in ingestion of 993 natural food occurred around 30 minutes after the addition of artificial feed (Nunes et al. 994 995 1996). Taken together, these behavioural results suggest that feeding times spread out over the course of the day may stimulate search behaviours and lead to greater ingestion of feed 996 and is why continuous feeding is now often used in shrimp farming. There are many other 997 factors which may determine the success of food distribution, however, few have been 998 studied in detail in shrimp aquaculture. In finfish aquaculture, distribution of food and 999 feeding times is an important consideration, for example, in the formation of social 1000 hierarchies (Chandroo et al. 2004; Bégout et al. 2012; Martins et al. 2012), and similar 1001 1002 behaviours may affect shrimp feeding.

1003 Table 2. Studies on the behaviour of shrimp in response to feeding effectors. Water quality parameters that shrimp were held under prior to and/or during behavioural 1004 observations are given, whether behaviours were observed in shrimp held individually or in groups and whether anything is known about the moult stage or sex. The 1005 presence of any substrate or environmental enrichment is noted. Feeding effectors listed are the most effective for that particular study.

Feeding Effectors (selection)	Inclusion level (%)	Base Feed	Species	Behaviours Measured	Water Quality Parameters	Juveniles/Adults	Moult Stage	Single (S) or Group (G)	Substrate or Environmental Enrichment	Reference
Taurine Yeast extract	1.5	Control diet (using 1.5% α-cellulose in place of an attractant)	Penaeus monodon	Diets in paired choice	29±1°C, 25 ppt, 12:12 h	Juveniles	-	G, 8 shrimp	30% water exchange/day	Hartati & Briggs, 1993
Heat-dried squid Krill hydrolysate Fish hydrolysate	3, 9, 15	Commercial feed (35% CP)	Litopenaeus vannamei	None	28±0.5°C, 32 ppt	Juveniles	-	G, 8 shrimp	80% water exchange/day	Córdova-Murueta & García- Carreño, 2002
Krill meal	4	Wheat-flour- casein based feed (16% and 45% CP)	Litopenaeus vannamei	Feeding activity within feeding trays (predetermined time intervals)	28-29°C, 27.3-28.2 ppt, DO 5.8-6 mg/l, 12:12h	Adults	Same moult status	G, 50 shrimp	50% water exchange/day	Sanchez et al., 2005
Squid meal Crustacean meal Krill meal	1, 2.5, 5	Base feed (terrestrial source)	Penaeus monodon	Diets in paired choice using feeding trays	27±2°C, 12:12h	Juveniles	Inter- moult	G, 12 shrimp	-	Smith et al., 2005
Amino acid complex Whole squid protein hydrolysate Condensed fish soluble protein	0.5, 1, 3	Neutral gelatine pellets	Litopenaeus vannamei	Detection, orientation+ locomotion and feeding activity with paired choice using Y- maze	33 ppt	Juveniles	-	S	-	Nunes <i>et al.</i> , 2006 42

Dimethyl sulphide Trimethylamine oxide Trimethylamine	0.5, 0.01	Commercial feed	Penaeus monodon	Time spent to approach and pick up the feed	27±0.2°C, 30±2ppt, pH 8.0±1	Juveniles	-	G, 5 shrimp	-	Ahamad-Ali <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Squid liver meal Krill meal	3	Poultry by- product meal at 20% + base feed (46% CP)	Penaeus stylirostris	Diets in paired comparisons (dietary choice)	28-31°C, 27-30 ppt, pH 7-8.2, DO > 5mg/l	Juveniles	-	G, 10 shrimp	-	Suresh <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Krill meal	0, 1, 3, 6	Commercial feed	Litopenaeus vannamei	Consumption rate within 60 and 180 min	25-27°C, 32- 35 ppt, 12:12 h	Juveniles	-	S G, 36 shrimp	-	Derby <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Krill meal solution	0.00133, 0.0133, 0.133, 1.33, 13.3 mg/ml	Commercial feed	Litopenaeus vannamei	Specific behaviours measured such as 'Probe', 'Grab' in response to food.	25-27°C, 32- 35 ppt, 12:12 h	Juveniles	-	S G, 36 shrimp	-	Derby <i>et al.</i> , 2016

1008 CONCLUSIONS

1009

Solutions to the problems associated with feed management and efficiency in crustaceans 1010 have been addressed by several nutritional and behavioural studies in crustacean aquaculture. 1011 However, what is clear from this work is it is vital that nutritional and behavioural studies are 1012 developed together to understand, for example, how the study of chemical components is 1013 1014 important in enhancing feeding behaviours, such as arousal, search initiation and food location (Derby et al. 2016). Considering these types of studies in combination may also 1015 1016 useful for improving palatability of commercial feeds and enhancing ingestion (Holland & Borski 1993; Lee & Meyers 1996; Samocha et al. 2004a; Sanchez et al. 2005; Smith et al. 1017 2005; Suresh et al. 2011). 1018

1019

Very little work has thoroughly examined the sequence of feeding behaviour patterns, which 1020 can only be achieved through continuous behavioural monitoring linked to different feeding 1021 1022 phases. In L. vannamei, nutritional studies have shown how different strategies, such as changing culture parameters (e.g. Rosas et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 2006; Bett & Vinatea 2009; 1023 Ponce-Palafox et al. 2013) or testing novel additives (e.g. Córdova-Murueta & García-1024 Carreño 2002; Sanchez et al. 2005; Nunes et al. 2006; Derby et al. 2016), can help solve 1025 1026 problems associated with feeding efficiency in the species. However, these studies are mainly 1027 focused on outputs such as survival or growth rate, with very few studies obtaining data on feeding behaviour patterns (e.g. Pontes & Arruda 2005a,b; Nunes et al. 2006). In addition, 1028 work is often carried out under laboratory conditions which then require scaling up to 1029 1030 realistic farming conditions (Tacon 1996). There is also a need to focus research at the 1031 species level as many of the influences on shrimp behaviour will be species-specific. The 1032 majority of studies to date have not controlled for, or at least not reported, individual variation in behavioural response, thus these influences are largely unaccounted for in ourunderstanding.

1035

Behavioural research in finfish aquaculture is extensive (e.g. Chandroo et al. 2004; 1036 Dingemanse et al. 2009; Bégout et al. 2012; Martins et al. 2012; Ibarra-Zatarain et al. 2016), 1037 and while driven primarily by welfare considerations, has led to significant refinements in 1038 1039 feeding practice and efficiency. Recent reviews highlight the importance of applied behaviour in finfish aquaculture. For example, Zhou et al. (2017) defended the use of technological 1040 1041 feeding control devices using behavioural research. Castanheira et al. (2017) highlighted the importance of coping styles in finfish aquaculture, and the idea that proactive (i.e. bold) and 1042 reactive (i.e. shy) fish have different fundamental requirements. Selection or accommodation 1043 1044 of desirable traits can, therefore, increase growth and reduce aggression within an aquaculture 1045 environment. Our understanding of personality and associated behavioural traits in crustaceans is extensive, yet the literature is lacking a focus towards species in aquaculture. 1046 1047 Thus, a large potential exists to improve feeding efficiencies in shrimp aquaculture with focused research on shrimp behaviour. 1048

1049

1050

1051 **REFERENCES**

1052

Accioly IV, Lima-Filho PA, Santos TL, Barbosa ACA, Campos LBS, Souza JV, Araújo WC,
Wagner, FM (2013) Sexual dimorphism in *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Decapoda)
identified by geometric morphometrics. *Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences* 8:
276-281.

- 1057 Ache BW (1982) Chemoreception and Thermoreception. In: Atwood HL, Sandeman DC
- 1058 (eds.) The Biology of Crustacea, vol 3. Neurobiology: Structure and Function, pp. 369-

1059 398. Academic Press, NY, USA.

- 1060 Aggio JF, Tieu R, Wei A, Derby CD (2012) Oesophageal chemoreceptors of blue crabs,
- 1061 *Callinectes sapidus*, sense chemical deterrents and can block ingestion of food. *The* 1062 *Journal of Experimental Biology* 215:1700-1710.
- Ahamad-Ali S, Gopal C, Ramana JV (2007) Attractant and growth promoting properties of
 some feed materials and chemicals incorporated in the diets for *Penaeus monodon*(Fabricius). *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 54: 67-73.
- Allan GL, Maguire GB (1991) Lethal levels of low dissolved oxygen and effects of short term oxygen stress on subsequent growth of juvenile *Penaeus monodon. Aquaculture* 94: 27-37.
- Allan GL, Maguire GB (1992) Effects of pH and salinity on survival, growth and
 osmoregulation in *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. *Aquaculture* 107: 33-47.
- 1071 Allan GL, Maguire GB (1995) Effect of sediment on growth and acute ammonia toxicity for
- the school prawn, *Metapenaeus macleayi* (Haswell). *Aquaculture* **131**: 59-71.
- Allen WV (1971) Amino acid and fatty acid composition of tissues of the Dungeness crab
 (*Cancer magister*). Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada 28: 1191-1195.
- Allison V, Dunham DW, Harvey HH (1992) Low pH alters response to food in the crayfish *Cambarus bartoni. Canadian Journal of Zoology* 70: 2416-2420.
- 1077 Amaya EA, Davis DA, Rouse DB (2007) Replacement of fish meal in practical diets for the
- Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) reared under pond conditions.
 Aquaculture 262: 393-401.
- 1080 Ameyaw-Akumfi C (1977) Feeding chemoreceptor sites in the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*
- 1081 (Girard). *Crustaceana* **33**: 259-264.

- Anger K (1996) Salinity tolerance of the larvae and first juveniles of a semiterrestrial grapsid
 crab, Armases miersii (Rathbun). The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and
 Ecology 202: 205-223.
- Aquacop, Bedier E, Soyez C (1988) Effects of dissolved oxygen concentration on survival
 and growth of *Penaeus vannamei* and *Penaeus stylirostris*. Journal of the World
 Aquaculture Society 19: 13A.
- Armitage KB, Wall TJ (1982) The effects of body size, starvation and temperature
 acclimation on oxygen consumption of the crayfish *Orconectes nais*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 73: 63-68.
- Arnold SJ, Sellars MJ, Crocos PJ, Coman GJ (2005) Response of juvenile brown tiger shrimp
 (*Penaeus esculentus*) to intensive culture conditions in a flow through tank system with
 three-dimensional artificial substrate. *Aquaculture* 246: 231-238.
- Arnold SJ, Sellars MJ, Crocos PJ, Coman GJ (2006) Intensive production of juvenile tiger
 shrimp *Penaeus monodon*: an evaluation of stocking density and artificial substrates.
 Aquaculture 261: 890-896.
- Atema J (1985) Chemoreception in the sea: adaptations of chemoreceptors and behaviour to
 aquatic stimulus conditions. *Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology* 39: 387423.
- Atema J (1995) Chemical signals in the marine environment: dispersal, detection and
 temporal signal analysis. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 92: 62-66.
- 1103 Bador RF (2000) Uso de charolas de alimentación para el cultivo de Camarón en Sudamérica.
- 1104 In: Civera-Cerecedo R, Pérez-Estrada CJ, Ricque-Marie D, Cruz-Suárez LE (eds.)
- 1105 Avances en Nutrición Acuícola IV, pp. 540-549. Memorias del IV Simposium

1106 Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, La Paz,
1107 México.

Bador R, Blyth P, Dodd R (2013) Acoustic control improves feeding productivity at shrimp
farms. Global Aquaculture Advocate [Cited 30 May 2018]. Available from URL:

- 1110 https://www.aquaculturealliance.org/advocate/acoustic-control-improves-feeding-
- 1111 productivity-at-shrimp-farms/.

1115

1123

- Balakrishnan G, Peyail S, Ramachandran K, Theivasigamani A, Savji KA, Chokkaiah M,
 Nataraj P (2011) Growth of cultured white leg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone
- 1114 1931) in different stocking density. *Advances in Applied Science Research* **2**:107-113.
- 1116 *Farfantepenaeus paulensis* in cages with artificial substrates: biofilm composition and 1117 shrimp performance. *Aquaculture* **269**: 355-362.

Ballester ELC, Wasielesky W, Cavalli RO, Abreu PC (2007) Nursery of the pink shrimp

- Barbato JC, Daniel PC (1997) Chemosensory activation of an antennular grooming behavior
 in the spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus*, is tuned narrowly to L-glutamate. *The Biological Bulletin* 193:107-115.
- Barbieri E (2010) Acute toxicity of ammonia in white shrimp (*Litopenaeus schmitti*)
 (Burkenroad, 1936, Crustacea) at different salinity levels. *Aquaculture* 306: 329-333.

Bégout ML, Kadri S, Huntingford F, Damsgård B (2012) Tools for studying the behaviour of

- farmed fish. In: Huntingford F, Jobling M, Kadri S (eds.) *Aquaculture and Behavior*,
 pp. 65-86. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.
- Bett C, Vinatea L (2009) Combined effect of body weight, temperature and salinity on
 shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* oxygen consumption rate. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography* 57: 305-314.

Blewett TA, Goss GG (2017) A novel pathway of nutrient absorption in crustaceans:
branchial amino acid uptake in the green shore crab (*Carcinus maenas*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 284: 20171298.

1132 Boddeke R (1983) Survival strategies of penaeid shrimps and their significance for shrimp

- culture. In: Roger GL, Day R, Lim A (eds.), *Proceeding of the First International Conference of Warm water Aquaculture*, pp. 514-523. Louisiana, USA.
- Bondad-Reantaso MG, Subasinghe RP, Josupeit H, Cai J, Zhou X (2012) The role of
 crustacean fisheries and aquaculture in global food security: past, present and
 future. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 110:158-165.
- Boyd CE, Tucker C, McNevin A, Bostick K, Clay J (2007) Indicators of resource use
 efficiency and environmental performance in fish and crustacean aquaculture. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 15: 327-360.
- Bratland S, Stien LH, Braithwaite VA, Juell JE, Folkedal O, Nilsson J, Oppedal F,
 Fosseidengen JE, Kristiansen TS (2010) From fright to anticipation: using aversive
 light stimuli to investigate reward conditioning in large groups of Atlantic salmon
 (*Salmo salar*). Aquaculture International 18: 991 1001.
- 1145 Bratvold D, Browdy CL (2001) Effects of sand sediment and vertical surfaces (AquaMats TM)

on production, water quality, and microbial ecology in an intensive *Litopenaeus vannamei* culture system. *Aquaculture* 195: 81-94.

Bray WA, Lawrence AL, Leung-Trujillo JR (1994) The effect of salinity on growth and
survival of *Penaeus vannamei*, with observations on the interaction of IHHN virus and
salinity. *Aquaculture* 122: 133-146.

Briffa M, Rundle SD, Fryer A (2008) Comparing the strength of behavioural plasticity and
consistency across situations: animal personalities in the hermit crab *Pagurus*

- bernhardus. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 275:
 1305-1311.
- 1155 Briffa M, Weiss A (2010) Animal personality. *Current Biology* **20**: 912-914.
- Briffa M, Twyman C (2011) Do I stand out or blend in? Conspicuousness awareness and
 consistent behavioural differences in hermit crabs. *Biology Letters* 7: 330-332.
- Briffa M, Sneddon LU, Wilson AJ (2015) Animal personality as a cause and consequence of
 contest behaviour. *Biology Letters* 11: 20141007.
- Briffa M, Sneddon LU (2016) Proximate mechanisms of animal personality amongindividual behavioural variation in animals. *Behaviour* 153: 1509-1515.
- Briggs M, Funge-Smith S (1994) A nutrient budget of some intensive marine shrimp ponds in
 Thailand. *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management* 25: 789-811.
- Briggs M, Funge-Smith S, Subasinghe R, Phillips M (2004) Introduction and Movement of *Penaeus vannamei and Penaeus stylirostris in Asia and the Pacific*. FAO RAP
 Publication 2004/ 10. FAO RAP, Bangkok, Thailand.
- 1167 Burford MA, Sellars MJ, Arnold SJ, Keys SJ, Crocos PJ, Preston NP (2004) Contribution of
- the natural biota associated with substrates to the nutritional requirements of the post-
- larval shrimp, *Penaeus esculentus* (Haswell), in high-density rearing systems. *Aquaculture Research* 35: 508-515.
- 1171 Campos-Ramos R, Garza-Torres R, Guerrero-Tortolero DA, Maeda-Martínez AM, Obregón1172 Barboza H (2006) Environmental sex determination, external sex differentiation and
 1173 structure of the androgenic gland in the Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*1174 (Boone). *Aquaculture Research* 37: 1583-1593.
- 1175 Carr WES (1978) Chemoreception in the shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*: the role of amino
 1176 acids and betaine in elicitation of a response by extracts. *Comparative Biochemistry*1177 *and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 61: 127-131.

Carr WES, Netherton III JC, Milstead ML (1984) Chemoattractants of the shrimp,
 Palaemonetes pugio: Variability in responsiveness and the stimulatory capacity of
 mixtures containing amino acids, quaternary ammonium compounds, purines and other
 substances. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 77: 469-474.

- 1182 Carr WES, Derby CD (1986) Chemically stimulated feeding behaviour in marine animals:
 1183 importance of chemical mixture and involvement of mixture interactions. *Journal of*1184 *Chemical Ecology* 12: 989-1011.
- Carvalho EA, Nunes AJP (2006) Effects of feeding frequency on feed leaching loss and
 grow-out patterns of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed under a diurnal
 feeding regime in pond enclosures. *Aquaculture* 252: 494-502.
- 1188 Castanheira MF, Herrera M, Costas B, Conceição LEC, Martins CIM (2013) Linking cortisol
 1189 responsiveness and aggressive behaviour in gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata*:
 1190 indication of divergent coping styles. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 143: 75 81.
- 1191 Castanheira MF, Conceição LEC, Millot S, Rey S, Bégout ML, Damsgård B, Kristiansen T,
- Höglund E, Øverli Ø, Martins CIM (2017). Coping styles in farmed fish: consequences
 for aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 9: 23-41.
- Cate HS, Derby CD (2001) Morphology and distribution of setae on the antennules of the
 Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* reveal new types of bimodal chemomechanosensilla. *Cell and Tissue Research* **304**: 439-454.
- Chamberlain GW, Lawrence AL (1981) Maturation, reproduction, and growth of *Penaeus vannamei* and *P. stylirostris* fed natural diets. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 12: 209-224.
- 1200 Chamberlain GW (2010) History of shrimp farming. In: Alday-Sanz V (ed.) *The Shrimp*1201 *Book*, pp. 1-34. Nottingham University Press, United Kingdom.

- 1202 Chan S, Rankin SM, Keeley LL (1988) Characterization of the moult stages in *Penaeus* 1203 *vannamei*: Setogenesis and hemolymph levels of total protein, ecdysteroids, and
 1204 glucose. *The Biological Bulletin* 175:185-192.
- 1205 Chandroo KP, Duncan IJH, Moccia RD (2004) Can fish suffer?: perspectives on sentience,
 1206 pain, fear and stress. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 86: 225-250.
- 1207 Chanratchakool P, Turnbull JF, Funge-Smith SJ, Limsuwan C (1998) Health Management in
- 1208 *Shrimp Ponds*, 3rd edn. Aquatic Animal Health Research Institute, Bangkok, Thailand.
- 1209 Charmantier G (1987) L'osmoregulation chez les crevettes Penaeidae (Crustacea, Decapoda).
- 1210 *Oceanis* **13**: 179-196.
- 1211 Charmantier G, Soyez C, Aquacop (1994) Effect of molt stage and hypoxia on
 1212 osmoregulatory capacity in the penaeid shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. *The Journal of* 1213 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 178: 233-246.
- 1214 Charmantier G (1998) Ontogeny of osmoregulation in crustaceans: a review. *Invertebrate* 1215 *Reproduction and Development* 33: 177-190.
- 1216 Chavanich S, Viyakarn V, Senanan W, Panutrakul S (2016) Laboratory assessment of
- 1217 feeding-behavior interactions between the introduced Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus*
- vannamei (Boone, 1931) (Penaeidae) and five native shrimps plus a crab species in
 Thailand. Aquatic Invasions 11: 67-74.
- 1220 Chen J, Lei S (1990) Toxicity of ammonia and nitrite to *Penaeus mondon* juveniles. *Journal*1221 *of the World Aquaculture Society* 21: 300-306.
- 1222 Chen J, Kou Y (1992) Effects of ammonia on growth and molting of *Penaeus japonicus*1223 juveniles. *Aquaculture* 104: 249–260.
- 1224 Chen S, Chen J (2003) Effects of pH on survival, growth, molting and feeding of giant
 1225 freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Aquaculture* 218: 613-623.

- 1226 Cheng W, Liu C, Yan D, Chen J (2002) Hemolymph, oxyhemocyanin, protein, osmolality
 1227 and electrolyte levels of whiteleg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* in relation to size and
 1228 molt stage. *Aquaculture* 211: 325-339.
- 1229 Cheng W, Liu C, Kuo C (2003) Effects of dissolved oxygen on hemolymph parameters of
 1230 freshwater giant prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man). *Aquaculture* 220: 8431231 856.
- 1232 Cheroske AG, Cronin TW, Durham MF, Caldwell RL (2009) Adaptive signaling behavior in
 1233 stomatopods under varying light conditions. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and*1234 *Physiology* 42: 219-232.
- 1235 Chow S, Sandifer PA (1991) Differences in growth, morphometric traits, and male sexual
 1236 maturity among Pacific white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*, from different commercial
 1237 hatcheries. *Aquaculture* 92: 165-178.
- 1238 Clark JV (1986) Inhibition of moulting in *Penaeus semisulcatus* (De Haan) by long-term
 1239 hypoxia. *Aquaculture* 52: 253-254.
- 1240 Colt JE, Armstrong DA (1981) Nitrogen toxicity to crustaceans, fish and molluscs. In: Allen
- 1241 LJ, Kinney EC (eds.) *Proceedings of the Bio-Engineering Symposium for Fish Culture.*
- *Fish Culture Section, American Fisheries Society*, pp. 34-47. Northeast Society of
 Conservation Engineers, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.
- 1244 Comoglio LI, Gaxiola G, Roque A, Cuzon G, Amin O (2004) The effect of starvation on
 1245 refeeding, digestive enzyme activity, oxygen consumption, and ammonia excretion in
 1246 juvenile white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei. Journal of Shellfish Research* 23: 2431247 249.
- 1248 Comoglio L, Smolko L, Amin O (2005) Effects of starvation on oxygen consumption,
 1249 ammonia excretion and biochemical composition of the hepatopancreas on adult males
 1250 of the false southern king crab *Paralomis granulosa* (Crustacea, Decapoda).

- 1251 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular
 1252 Biology 140: 411-416.
- 1253 Córdova-Murueta JH, García-Carreño FL (2002) Nutritive value of squid and hydrolyzed
 1254 protein supplement in shrimp feed. *Aquaculture* 210: 371-384.
- 1255 Costero M, Meyers SP (1993) Evaluation of chemoreception by *Penaeus vannamei* under
 1256 experimental conditions. *The Progressive Fish-Culturist* 55:157-162.
- 1257 Cruz-Suárez LE, Nieto-López M, Guajardo-Barbosa C, Tapia-Salazar M, Scholz U, Ricque-
- 1258 Marie D (2007) Replacement of fish meal with poultry by-product meal in practical
- diets for *Litopenaeus vannamei*, and digestibility of the tested ingredients and diets. *Aquaculture* 272: 466-476.
- 1261 Cuéllar-Anjel J, Lara C, Morales V, De Gracia A, García-Suárez O (2010) Manual of best
 1262 management practices for white shrimp Penaeus vannamei farming, 1st edn. New
 1263 Concept Publications, Panama.
- 1264 Cutts CJ, Metcalfe NB, Taylor AC (1998) Aggression and growth depression in juvenile
 1265 Atlantic salmon: the consequences of individual variation in standard metabolic
 1266 rate. *Journal of Fish Biology* 52: 1026-1037.
- 1267 Cuzon G, Cahu C, Aldrin JF, Messager JL, Stéphan G, Mével M (1980) Starvation effect on
 1268 metabolism of *Penaeus japonicus*. *Proceedings of the World Mariculture Society* 11:
 1269 410-423.
- 1270 Cuzon G, Lawrence A, Gaxiola G, Rosas C, Guillaume J (2004) Nutrition of *Litopenaeus* 1271 *vannamei* reared in tanks or in ponds. *Aquaculture* 235: 513-551.
- 1272 Da Costa FP, Gomes BSF, Pereira SDNA, Arruda, FM (2016) Influence of stocking density
 1273 on the behaviour of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931). *Aquaculture*1274 *Research* 47: 912-924.

- Dall W (1981) Osmoregulatory ability and juvenile habitat preference in some penaeid
 prawns. *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 54: 55-64.
- Dall W (1986) Estimation of routine metabolic rate in a penaeid prawn, *Penaeus esculentus* Haswell. *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 96: 57-74.
- 1279 Dall W, Hill BJ, Rothlisberg PC, Staples DJ (1990) *The Biology of the Penaeidae*. *Advances*1280 *in Marine Biology*. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Daniel PC, Derby CD (1988) Behavioral olfactory discrimination of mixtures in the spiny
 lobster (*Panulirus argus*) based on a habituation paradigm. *Chemical Senses* 13: 385395.
- Daniel PC, Derby CD (1991) Mixture suppression in behaviour: The antennular flick
 response in the spiny lobster towards binary odorant mixtures. *Physiology & Behavior*49: 591-601.
- Darsey KT (1990) *The influence of temperature, salinity on the growth and survival of California brown shrimp Penaeus californiensis* (Holmes). Doctoral dissertation, M.
 Sc. Thesis, University of Arizona, USA.
- Das S, Sahu BK (2005) Interaction of pH with mercuric chloride toxicity to penaeid prawns
 from a tropical estuary, East Coast of India: enhanced toxicity at low pH. *Chemosphere*58: 1241-1248.
- Davis DA, Arnold CR (2000) Replacement of fish meal in practical diets for the Pacific white
 shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 185: 291-298.
- Davis DA, Saoud IP, McGraw WJ, Rouse DB (2002) Considerations for *Litopenaeus vannamei* reared in inland low salinity waters. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie D,
- 1297 Tapia-Salazar M, Gaxiola-Cortes MG, Simoes N (eds.) Avances en Nutrición Acuícola
- 1298 VI, pp. 73-90. Memorias del VI Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola,
- 1299 Cancún, Quintana Roo, México.

1300 Davis DA, Amaya E, Venero J, Zelaya O, Rouse DB (2006) A case study on feed
1301 management to improving production and economic returns for the semi-intensive

pond production of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie D,

- 1303 Tapia-Salazar M, Nieto-López MG, Villarreal-Cavazos DA, Puello-Cruz AN, García-
- 1304 Ortega A (eds.) Avances en Nutrición Acuícola VIII, pp. 282-303. Memorias del VIII
- 1305 Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo
 1306 León, Monterrey, Nuevo León, México.
- De la Haye KL, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S, Briffa M (2011) Reduced sea water pH disrupts
 resource assessment and decision making in the hermit crab *Pagurus bernhardus*. *Animal Behaviour* 82: 495-501.
- De la Haye KL, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S, Briffa M (2012) Reduced pH sea water disrupts
 chemo-responsive behaviour in an intertidal crustacean. *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 412:134-140.
- De Oliveira-Cesar JR, Zhao B, Malecha S, Ako H, Yang J (2006) Morphological and
 biochemical changes in the muscle of the marine shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* during
 the molt cycle. *Aquaculture* 261: 688-694.
- 1316 Dennenmoser S, Thiel M (2007) Competition for food and mates by dominant and
 1317 subordinate male rock shrimp, *Rhynchocinetes typus*. *Behaviour* 144: 33-59.
- 1318 Derby CD, Atema J (1981) Selective improvement in responses to prey odors by the lobster,
 1319 *Homarus americanus*, following feeding experience. *Journal of chemical ecology* 7:
 1320 1073-1080.
- 1321 Derby CD, Atema J (1982) The function of chemo- and mechanoreceptors in lobster
 1322 (*Homarus americanus*) feeding behaviour. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 98:
- **1323** 317-327.

1324 Derby CD (2000) Learning from spiny lobsters about chemosensory coding of mixtures.
1325 *Physiology & Behaviour* 69: 203-209.

- 1326 Derby CD, Steullet P (2001) Why do animals have so many receptors? the role of multiple
 1327 chemosensors in animal perception. *The Biological Bulletin* 200: 211-215.
- Derby CD, Steullet P, Horner AJ, Cate HS (2001) The sensory basis of feeding behaviour in
 the Caribbean spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus. Marine & Freshwater Research* 52:
 1330 1339-1350.
- Derby CD, Sorensen PW (2008) Neural processing, perception, and behavioural responses to
 natural chemical stimuli by fish and crustaceans. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 34: 898914.
- Derby CD, Elsayed FH, Williams SA, González C, Choe M, Bharadwaj AS, Chamberlain
 GW (2016) Krill meal enhances performance of feed pellets through concentrationdependent prolongation of consumption by Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei. Aquaculture* 458: 13-20.

1338 Dersjant-Li Y (2002) The use of soy protein in aquafeeds. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie

- D, Tapia-Salazar M, Gaxiola-Cortés MG, Simoes N (eds.) Avances en Nutrición *Acuícola VI*, pp. 541-558. Memorias del VI Simposium Internacional de Nutrición
 Acuícola, Cancún, Quintana Roo, México.
- Devine DV, Atema J (1982) Function of chemoreceptor organs in spatial orientation of the
 lobster, *Homarus americanus*: differences and overlap. *The Biological Bulletin* 163:
 1344 144-153.
- 1345 DeWitt TJ, Sih A, Wilson DS (1998) Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. *Trends in*1346 *Ecology & Evolution* 13: 77-81.

1347	Díaz GA, Smith SG, Serafy JE, Ault JS (2001) Allometry of the growth of pink shrimp
1348	Farfantepenaeus duorarum in a subtropical bay. Transactions of the American
1349	Fisheries Society 130: 328-335.

- 1350 Dingemanse NJ, Van der Plas F, Wright J, Réale D, Schrama M, Roff DA, Van der Zee E,
- 1351 Barber I (2009) Individual experience and evolutionary history of predation affect
- expression of heritable variation in fish personality and morphology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 276: 1285-1293.
- Dingemanse NJ, Kazem AJ, Réale D, Wright J (2010) Behavioural reaction norms: animal
 personality meets individual plasticity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 25: 81-89.
- Dunham DW, Ciruna KA, Harvey HH (1997) Chemosensory role of antennules in the
 behavioural integration of feeding by the crayfish *Cambarus bartonii*. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 17: 27-32.
- Engdahl S (1997) Effects of manganese and hypoxia on the chemoreception behaviour of the
 Norway lobster, *Nephrops norvegicus*. Master Thesis. Department of Marine Ecology,
 Göteborg University, Sweden.
- 1362 Engle CR, McNevin A, Racine P, Boyd CE, Paungkaew D, Viriyatum R, Tinh HQ, Minh HN
- 1363 (2017) Economics of sustainable intensification of aquaculture: evidence from shrimp
- farms in Vietnam and Thailand. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **48**: 227-239.
- 1365 FAO (2016) *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016*. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Felix S (2013) Advances in shrimp aquaculture management. Daya Publishing House, New
 Delhi, India.
- 1368 Fernández I, Oliva M, Carrillo O, Van Wormhoudt A (1997) Digestive enzyme activities of
- Penaeus notialis during reproduction and moulting cycle. Comparative Biochemistry &
 Physiology Part A: Physiology 118: 1267-1271.

- FIGIS (2015) FAO Statistics. Global Aquaculture Production 1950-2015 [Cited 7 November 1371 2017]. Available from URL: http://www.fao.org/figis/. 1372
- 1373 Flegel TW (2009) Current status of viral diseases in Asian shrimp aquaculture. The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamigdeh 61: 229-239. 1374
- Folkedal O, Fernö A, Nederlof MAJ, Fosseidengen JE, Cerqueira M, Olsen RE, Nilsson J 1375 (2018) Habituation and conditioning in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata): effects of 1376 1377 aversive stimuli, reward and social hierarchies. Aquaculture Research 49: 335 – 340.
- Fox JM, Lawrence AL, Smith F (2004) Development of a low-fish meal feed formulation for
- 1379 commercial production of Litopenaeus vannamei. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie
- D, Nieto-López MG, Villarreal D, Scholz U, González M (eds.) Avances en Nutrición 1380
- Acuícola VII, pp. 238-258. Memorias del VII Simposium Internacional de Nutrición 1381 Acuícola, Hermosillo, Sonora, México. 1382
- Frías-Espericueta MG, Harfush-Melendez M, Osuna-López JI, Páez-Osuna F (1999) Acute 1383 toxicity of ammonia to juvenile shrimp Penaeus vannamei Boone. Bulletin of 1384
- Environmental Contamination & Toxicology 62: 646-652. 1385

- Frías-Espericueta MG, Harfush-Melendez M, Páez-Osuna F (2000) Effects of ammonia on 1386 mortality and feeding of postlarvae shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei. Bulletin of 1387 Environmental Contamination & Toxicology 65: 98-103. 1388
- Funge-Smith S, Briggs M (2005) The introduction of *Penaeus vannamei* and *P. stylirostris* 1389 into the Asia-Pacific region. In: Bartley DM, Bhujel RC, Funge-Smith S, Olin PG, 1390
- Phillips MJ (eds.) International Mechanisms for the Control and Responsible use of 1391
- Alien Species in Aquatic Ecosystems, Report of an Ad Hoc Expert Consultation. Food 1392
- and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy. 1393

- Furtado PS, Campos BR, Serra FP, Klosterhoff M, Romano LA, Wasielesky W (2015)
 Effects of nitrate toxicity in the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, reared
 with biofloc technology (BFT). *Aquaculture International* 23: 315-327.
- Fuss CM, Ogren LH (1966) Factors affecting activity and burrowing habits of the pink
 shrimp, *Penaeus duorarum* Burkenroad. *The Biological Bulletin* 130: 170-191.
- Fuzessery ZM, Childress JJ (1975) Comparative chemosensitivity to amino acids and their
 role in the feeding activity of bathypelagic and littoral crustaceans. *The Biological Bulletin* 149: 522-538.
- Gadient M, Schai E (1994) Leaching of various vitamins for shrimp feed. *Aquaculture* 124:
 201-205.
- Garcia DK, Faggart MA, Rhoades L, Alcivar-Warren AA, Wyban JA, Carr WH, Sweeney
 JN, Ebert KM (1994) Genetic diversity of cultured *Penaeus vannamei* shrimp using
 three molecular genetic techniques. *Molecular Marine Biology & Biotechnology* 3:
 270-280.
- 1408 Gatlin DM, Barrows FT, Brown P, Dabrowski K, Gaylord TG, Hardy RW, Herman E, Hu G,
- 1409 Krogdahl Å, Nelson R, Overturf K, Rust M, Sealey W, Skonberg D, Souza EJ, Stone D,
- Wilson R, Wurtele E (2007) Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in
 aquafeeds: a review. *Aquaculture Research* 38: 551-579.
- Gherardi F, Aquiloni L, Tricarico E (2012) Behavioral plasticity, behavioral syndromes and
 animal personality in crustacean decapods: an imperfect map is better than no
 map. *Current Zoology* 58: 567-579.
- Gitterle T, Rye M, Salte R, Cock J, Johansen H, Lozano C, Suárez JA, Gjerde B (2005)
 Genetic (co)variation in harvest body weight and survival in *Penaeus (Litopenaeus)*
- 1417 *vannamei* under standard commercial conditions. *Aquaculture* **243**: 83-92.

- 1418 Gopal C, Gopikrishna G, Krishna G, Jahageerdar SS, Rye M, Hayes BJ, Paulpandi S, Kiran
- 1419 RP, Pillai SM, Ravichandran P, Ponniah AG, Kumar D (2010) Weight and time of
 1420 onset of female-superior sexual dimorphism in pond reared *Penaeus*1421 *monodon. Aquaculture* 300: 237-239.
- Goldman JA, Koehl MAR (2001) Fluid dynamic design of lobster olfactory organs: high
 speed kinematic analysis of antennule flicking by *Panulirus argus*. *Chemical Senses* 26: 385-398.
- Gleeson RA, Carr WES, Trapido-Rosenthal HG (1993) Morphological characteristics
 facilitating stimulus access and removal in the olfactory organ of the spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus*: insight from the design. *Chemical Senses* 18: 67-75.
- Gleeson RA, McDowell LM, Aldrich HC (1996) Structure of the aesthetasc (olfactory)
 sensilla of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*: transformations as a function of salinity. *Cell & Tissue Research* 284: 279-288.
- 1431 Gong H, Jiang DH, Lightner DV, Collins C, Brock D (2004) A dietary modification approach
- to improve the osmoregulatory capacity of *Litopenaeus vannamei* cultured in the
 Arizona desert. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 10: 227-236.
- Grasso FW, Basil JA (2002) How lobsters, crayfishes, and crabs locate sources of odor:
 current perspectives and future directions. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 12: 721727.
- Grey M, Forster I, Dominy W, Ako H, Giesen AF (2009) Validation of a feeding stimulant
 bioassay using fish hydrolysates for the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 40: 547-555.
- Griffith DRW, Wigglesworth JM (1993) Growth rhythms in the shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*and *P. schmitti. Marine Biology* 115: 295-299.

- Grünert U, Ache BW (1988) Ultrastructure of the aesthetasc (olfactory) sensilla of the spiny
 lobster, *Panulirus argus. Cell & Tissue Research* 251: 95-103.
- Hagerman L, Uglow RF (1984) The influence of hypoxia on the blood regulation of the
 brackish water shrimp *Palaemonetes varians* Leach. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 76: 157-165.
- Hagerman L, Uglow RF (1985) Effects of hypoxia on the respiratory and circulatory
 regulation of *Nephrops norvegicus*. *Marine Biology* 87: 273-278.
- Hall D (2004) Explaining the diversity of Southeast Asian shrimp aquaculture. *Journal of Agrarian Change* 4: 315-335.
- 1451 Hallberg E, Johansson KUI, Elofsson R (1992) The aesthetasc concept: structural variations
- of putative olfactory receptor cell complexes in crustacea. *Microscopy Research & Technique* 22: 325-335.
- Hansford SW, Hewitt DR (1994) Growth and nutrient digestibility by male and female *Penaeus monodon*: evidence of sexual dimorphism. *Aquaculture* 125: 147-154.
- 1456 Hasan MR, New MB (2013) On-farm feeding and feed management in aquaculture. FAO
- 1457 Fisheries & Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 583, Rome, Italy.
- Hardy RW, Tacon AGJ (2002) Fish meal: historical uses, production trends and future
 outlook for sustainable supplies. In: Stickney RR, McVey JP (eds.) *Responsible Marine Aquaculture*, pp. 311-325. CABI Publishing, New York, USA.
- Harpaz S, Kahan D, Galun R, Moore I (1987) Responses of freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, to chemical attractants. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*1463 13: 1957-1965.
- Harpaz S, Steiner JE (1987) Quantitative analysis of feeding behaviour stereotypes and of the
 rejection of aversive-tasting food in the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 510: 347-348.

- Harpaz S, Steiner JE (1990) Analysis of betaine-induced feeding behaviour in the prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man, 1879) (Decapoda, Caridea). *Crustaceana* 58:
 1469 175-185.
- Hartati R, Briggs MRP (1993) Effect of feeding attractants on the behaviour and performance
 of juvenile *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. *Aquaculture & Fisheries Management* 24:
 613-624.
- Hay ME (2011) Crustaceans as powerful models in aquatic chemical ecology. In: Breithaupt
 T, Thiel M (eds.) *Chemical Communication in Crustaceans*, pp. 41-62. Springer
 Science & Business Media, New York, USA.
- 1476 Hazlett BA (1971) Chemical and chemotactic stimulation of feeding behavior in the hermit
- 1477 crab Petrochirus diogenes. Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology Part A:
 1478 Physiology 39: 665-670.
- Hazlett BA (1995) Behavioral plasticity in crustacea: why not more?. *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 193: 57-66.
- Heinen JM (1980) Chemoreception in decapod crustacea and chemical feeding stimulants as
 potential feed additives. *Proceedings of the World Mariculture Society* 11: 319-334.
- 1483 Hernández C, Sarmiento-Pardo J, González-Rodríguez B, de la Parra IA (2004) Replacement
- of fish meal with co-extruded wet tuna viscera and corn meal in diets for white shrimp
 (*Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone). *Aquaculture Research* 35: 1153-1157.
- 1486 Hernández M, Bückle LF, Palacios E, Barón B (2006) Preferential behavior of white shrimp
- *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone 1931) by progressive temperature-salinity simultaneous
 interaction. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **31**: 565-572.
- Hertrampf JW, Piedad-Pascual F (2000) *Handbook on Ingredients for Aquaculture Feeds*, 1st
 edn. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.

- Hervant F, Mathieu J, Barré H, Simon K, Pinon C (1997) Comparative study on the
 behavioral, ventilatory, and respiratory responses of hypogean and epigean crustaceans
 to long-term starvation and subsequent feeding. *Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology Part A: Physiology* 118: 1277-1283.
- 1495 Hindley JPR (1975) Effects of endogenous and some exogenous factors on the activity of the
 1496 juvenile banana prawn *Penaeus merguiensis*. *Marine Biology* 29: 1-8.
- Hochachka PW, Lutz PL (2001) Mechanism, origin, and evolution of anoxia tolerance in
 animals. *Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology Part B: Biochemistry & Molecular Biology* 130: 435-459.
- Holland KN, Borski RJ (1993) A palatability bioassay for determining ingestive stimuli in the
 marine shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 109: 153-164.
- Hopkins JS, Stokes AD, Browdy CL, Sandifer PA (1991) The relationship between feeding
 rate, paddlewheel aeration rate and expected dawn dissolved oxygen in intensive
 shrimp ponds. *Aquacultural Engineering* 10: 281-290.
- Horner AJ, Ngo V, Steullet P, Keller T, Weissburg MJ, Derby CD (2000) The role of
 different types of antennular sensilla in orientation by Caribbean spiny lobsters to a
 natural odor stimulus under controlled flow conditions. *Chemical Senses* 25: 670
 (abstract).
- Horner AJ, Weissburg MJ, Derby CD (2004) Dual antennular chemosensory pathways can
 mediate orientation by Caribbean spiny lobster in naturalistic flow conditions. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 207: 3785-3796.
- Huntingford F, Adams C (2005) Behavioural syndromes in farmed fish: implications for
 production and welfare. *Behaviour* 142: 1207-1221.

- 1514 Ibarra-Zatarain Z, Fatsini E, Rey S, Chereguini O, Martin I, Rasines I, Alcaraz C, Duncan N
- (2016) Characterization of stress coping style in Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*)
 juveniles and breeders for aquaculture. *The Royal Society Open Science* 3: 160495.
- Jeffs A (2010) Status and challenges for advancing lobster aquaculture. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India* 52: 320-326.
- Jescovitch LN, Ullman C, Rhodes M, Davis DA (2018) Effects of different feed
 management treatments on water quality for Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei. Aquaculture Research* 49: 526-531.
- Jory DE (1995) Feed management practices for a healthy pond environment. In: Browdy CL,
 Hopkins JS (eds.) *Swimming Through Troubled Water, Proceedings of the Special*

1524 *Session on Shrimp Farming*, pp. 118-143. World Aquaculture Society, Louisiana, USA.

- Jory D, Cabrera T (2012) Marine shrimp. In: Lucas JS, Southgate PC (eds.) *Aquaculture: Farming Aquatic Animals and Plants*, pp. 476-513. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford,
 United Kingdom.
- Jory (2016) The proper feed management of commercial shrimp feeds; Part 2. Global 1528 [Cited] 30 May 2018]. Available 1529 Aquaculture Advocate from URL: https://www.aquaculturealliance.org/advocate/the-proper-management-of-commercial-1530 shrimp-feeds-part-2/ 1531
- Juinio-Menez MA, Gotanco RR (2004) Status of piny lobster resources of the Philippines. In:
 Williams KC (ed.) Spiny Lobster Ecology and Exploitation in the South China Sea *Region*, pp. 3-6. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra,
 Australia.
- 1536 Karavanich C, Atema J (1998) Olfactory recognition of urine signals in dominance fights
 1537 between male lobster, *Homarus americanus*. *Behaviour* 135: 719-730.

- 1538 Kautsky N, Rönnbäck P, Tedengren M, Troell M (2000) Ecosystem perspectives on
 1539 management of disease in shrimp pond farming. *Aquaculture* 191: 145-161.
- 1540 Kinne O (1966) Physiological aspects of animal life in estuaries with special reference to
 1541 salinity. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research* 3: 222-244.
- Klages M, Muyakshin S, Soltwedel T, Arntz WE (2002) Mechanoreception, a possible
 mechanism for food fall detection in deep-sea scavengers. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 49: 143-155.
- 1545 Koehl MAR (2006) The fluid mechanics of arthropod sniffing in turbulent odor1546 plumes. *Chemical Senses* 31: 93-105.
- 1547 Koehl MAR (2011) Hydrodynamics of sniffing by crustaceans. In: Breithaupt T, Thiel M
- 1548 (eds.) *Chemical Communication in Crustaceans*, pp. 85-102. Springer Science &
 1549 Business Media, New York, USA.
- 1550 Krång AS, Rosenqvist G (2006) Effects of manganese on chemically induced food search
 1551 behaviour of the Norway lobster, *Nephrops norvegicus* (L.) *Aquatic Toxicology* 78:
 1552 284-291.
- 1553 Kuhn DD, Smith SA, Boardman GD, Angier MW, Marsh L, Flick GJ (2010) Chronic toxicity
 1554 of nitrate to Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*: impacts on survival, growth,
 1555 antennae length, and pathology. *Aquaculture* **309**: 109-114.
- 1556 Kumar VS, Pandey PK, Anand T, Bhuvaneswari R, Kumar S (2017) Effect of periphyton
 1557 (aquamat) on water quality, nitrogen budget, microbial ecology, and growth parameters
- of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in a semi-intensive culture system. *Aquaculture* 479: 240249.
- 1560 Kumlu M, Eroldogan OT, Saglamtimur B (2001) The effects of salinity and added substrates
- 1561 on growth and survival of *Metapenaeus monoceros* (Decapoda: Penaeidae) post-larvae.
- 1562 *Aquaculture* **196**: 177-188.

- 1563 Kurmaly K, Jones DA, Yule AB (1990) Acceptability and digestion of diets fed to larval
 1564 stages of *Homarus gammarus* and the role of dietary conditioning behaviour. *Marine*1565 *Biology* 106: 181-190.
- 1566 Lawrence, AL, Lee PG (1997) Research in the Americas. In: D'Abramo LR, Conklin DE,
- Akiyama DM (eds.) *Crustacean Nutrition*, pp. 566-587. World Aquaculture Society,
 Los Angeles, USA.
- 1569 Lee PG, Meyers SP (1996) Chemoattraction and feeding stimulation in crustaceans.
 1570 Aquaculture Nutrition 2: 157-164.
- 1571 Lee PG, Meyers SP (1997) Chemoattraction and feeding stimulation. In: D'Abramo LR,
- 1572 Conklin DE, Akiyama DM (eds.) *Crustacean Nutrition*, pp. 292–352. World
 1573 Aquaculture Society, Los Angeles, USA.
- 1574 Legrand JJ, Legrand-Hamelin E, Juchault P (1987) Sex determination in
 1575 Crustacea. *Biological Reviews* 62: 439-470.
- Li E, Chen L, Zeng C, Chen X, Yu N, Lai Q, Qin JG (2007) Growth, body composition,
 respiration and ambient ammonia nitrogen tolerance of the juvenile white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, at different salinities. *Aquaculture* 265: 385-390.
- Li E, Wang X, Chen K, Xu C, Qin JG, Chen L (2017) Physiological change and nutritional
 requirement of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* at low salinity. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 9: 57-75.
- 1582 Liao IC, Chien Y (2011) The Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, in Asia: the
- 1583 world's most widely cultured alien crustacean. In: Galil BS, Clark, PF, Carlton JT
- 1584 (eds.) In the Wrong Place-Alien Marine Crustaceans: Distribution, Biology and
- 1585 *Impacts*, pp. 489-519. Springer & Business media, Berlin, Germany.
- Lim C, Dominy W (1990) Evaluation of soybean meal as a replacement for marine animal
 protein in diets for shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture* 87: 53-63.

- Lin Y, Chen J (2001) Acute toxicity of ammonia on *Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone juveniles
 at different salinity levels. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 259:
 109-119.
- Lin Y, Chen J (2003) Acute toxicity of nitrite on *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) juveniles at
 different salinity levels. *Aquaculture* 224: 193-201.
- Lin X, Pan J, Xu Z, Li Z, Li H (2008) Effect of periodic starvation on feeding, growth and defecation of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica* **32**: 403-407.
- 1595 Lima PP, Pontes CS, Arruda MF (2009) Activity pattern of the marine shrimp *Litopenaeus*
- *vannamei* (Boone 1931) in laboratory as a function of different feeding frequencies. *Aquaculture Research* 41: 53-60.
- Liu C, Chen J (2004) Effect of ammonia on the immune response of white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* and its susceptibility to *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 16: 321-334.
- Maciel JC, Francisco CJ, Miranda-Filho KC (2018) Compensatory growth and feed
 restriction in marine shrimp production, with emphasis on biofloc technology.
 Aquaculture International 26: 203-212.
- Magallón-Barajas FJ, Servín-Villegas R, Portillo-Clark G, López-Moreno B (2006)
 Litopenaeus vannamei (Boone) post-larval survival related to age, temperature, pH and
 ammonium concentration. *Aquaculture Research* 37: 492-499.
- 1607 Maicá PF, Borba MR, Martins TG, Wasielesky W (2014) Effect of salinity on performance
- and body composition of Pacific white shrimp juveniles reared in a super-intensive
 system. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* 47: 343-350.
- Martin M, Veran Y, Guelorget O, Pham D (1998) Shrimp rearing: stocking density, growth,
 impact on sediment, waste output and their relationships studied through the nitrogen
 budget in rearing ponds. *Aquaculture* 164: 135-149.

1613	Martinez-Cordova LR, Porchas-Cornejo MA, Villarreal-Colemnares H, Calderon-Perez JA,
1614	Naranjo-Paramo J (1998) Evaluation of three feeding strategies on the culture of white
1615	shrimp Penaeus vannamei Boone 1931 in low water exchange ponds. Aquacultural
1616	<i>Engineering</i> 17 : 21-28.
1617	Martinez-Palacios CA, Ross LG, Jimenez-Valenzuela L (1996) The effects of temperature
1618	and body weight on the oxygen consumption of Penaeus vannamei, Boone,
1619	1931. Journal of Aquaculture in the Tropics 11: 59-65.
1620	Martins CIM, Galhardo L, Noble C, Damsgård B, Spedicato MT, Zupa W, Beauchaud M,
1621	Kulczykowska E, Massabuau JC, Carter T, Planellas SR, Kristiansen T (2012)

- Behavioural indicators of welfare in farmed fish. *Fish Physiology & Biochemistry* 38:
 1623 17-41.
- Mauro NA, Malecha SR (1984) The effects of hypoxia on blood pH and lactate levels in
 Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man). *Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology Part* A: Physiology 77: 627-630.
- Mead KS, Koehl MAR, O'Donnell MJ (1999) Stomatopod sniffing: the scaling of
 chemosensory sensillae and flicking behavior with body size. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 241: 235-261.
- Metcalfe NB (1986) Intraspecific variation in competitive ability and food intake in
 salmonids: consequences for energy budgets and growth rates. *Journal of Fish Biology* 28: 525-531.
- Miguel FF, Aréchiga H (1994) Circadian locomotor activity and its entrainment by food in
 the crayfish *Procambarus clarki*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **190**: 9-21.
- Mjos K, Grasso F, Atema J (1999) Antennule use by the American lobster, *Homarus americanus*, during chemo-orientation in three turbulent odor plumes. *The Biological Bulletin* 197: 249-250.

- Moctezuma MA, Blake BF (1981) Burrowing activity in *Penaeus vannamei* Boone from the
 Caimanero-Huizache lagoon system on the Pacific coast of Mexico. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 31: 312-317.
- Molina C, Cadena E, Orellana F (2000) Alimentación de camarones en relación a la actividad
 enzimática como una respuesta natural al ritmo circadiano y ciclo de muda. In: CruzSuárez LE, Ricque-Marie, D, Tapia-Salazar M, Olvera-Novoa MA, Civera-Cerecedo R
 (eds.) Avances en Nutrición Acuícola V, pp. 358-380. Memorias del V Simposium
 Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Mérida,
 Yucatán, México.
- Molina-Poveda C, Escobar V, Gamboa-Delgado J, Cadena E, Orellana F, Piña R (2002)
 Estrategia de alimentación de acuerdo a la demanda fisiológica del juvenil *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone). In: Cruz-Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie D, Tapia-Salazar M, GaxiolaCortés MG, Simoes N (eds.). *Avances en Nutrición Acuícola VI*, pp. 98-113. Memorias
 del VI Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola, Cancún, Quintana Roo,
 México.
- Molina C, Espinoza M (2018) Demand feeding through automatic dispensing systems.
 Global Aquaculture Advocate [Cited 4 June 2018]. Available from URL:
 https://www.aquaculturealliance.org/advocate/demand-feeding-through-automatic-
- 1656 dispensing-systems/.
- Montoya RA, Lawrence AL, Grant WE, Velasco M (2002) Simulation of inorganic nitrogen
 dynamics and shrimp survival in an intensive shrimp culture system. *Aquaculture Research* 33: 81-94.
- Moore PA, Atema J, Gerhardt GA (1991) Fluid dynamics and microscale chemical
 movement in the chemosensory appendages of the lobster, *Homarus americanus. Chemical Senses* 16: 663-674.
- Moore PA, Grills JL (1999) Chemical orientation to food by the crayfish *Orconectes rusticus*:
 influence of hydrodynamics. *Animal Behaviour* 58: 953-963.
- Morgan DO, McMahon BR (1982) Acid tolerance and effects of sublethal acid exposure on
 iono-regulation and acid-base status in two crayfish *Procambarus clarki* and
 Orconectes rusticus. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 97: 241-252.
- Moss DR, Henning OL, Moss SM (2002) Sexual growth dimorphism in penaeid shrimp.
 Potential for all female culture?. *Global Aquaculture Advocate* 5: 60-61.
- Moss KRK, Moss SM (2004) Effects of artificial substrate and stocking density on the
 nursery production of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 35: 536-542.
- Moss DR, Moss SM (2006) Effects of gender and size on feed acquisition in the Pacific white
 shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 37: 161-167.
- 1675 Nakamura K, Echavarria I (1989) Artificial controls of feeding rhythm of the prawn *Penaeus*1676 japonicus. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi 55: 1325-1329.
- 1677 Nash, C. 2011. *The history of aquaculture*, 1st edn. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Ames, Iowa,
 1678 USA.
- 1679 Naylor RL, Hardy RW, Bureau DP, Chiu A, Elliott M, Farrell AP, Forster I, Gatlin DM,
 1680 Goldburg RJ, Hua K, Nichols PD (2009) Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite
- resources. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
 America 106: 15103-15110.
- Neiland AE, Soley N, Varley JB, Whitmarsh DJ (2001) Shrimp aquaculture: economic
 perspectives for policy development. *Marine Policy* 25: 265-279.
- 1685 Nunes AJP, Goddard S, Gesteira TCV (1996) Feeding activity patterns of the Southern
 1686 brown shrimp *Penaeus subtilis* under semi-intensive culture in NE Brazil. *Aquaculture*1687 144: 371-386.

- Nunes AJP, Gesteira TCV, Goddard S (1997) Food ingestion and assimilation by the
 Southern brown shrimp *Penaeus subtilis* under semi-intensive culture in NE Brazil. *Aquaculture* 149: 121-136.
- 1691 Nunes AJP, Parsons GJ (1999) Feeding levels of the Southern brown shrimp *Penaeus subtilis*1692 in response to food dispersal. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **30**: 331- 348.
- 1693 Nunes AJP, Sá MVC, Andriola-Neto FF, Lemos D (2006) Behavioural response to selected
- 1694 feed attractants and stimulants in Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*.
 1695 *Aquaculture* 260: 244-254.
- Palacios E, Bonilla A, Luna D, Racotta IS (2004) Survival, Na+/K+ -ATPase and lipid
 responses to salinity challenge in fed and starved white Pacific shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) postlarvae. *Aquaculture* 234: 497-511.
- Pearson WH, Sugarman PC, Woodruff DL (1979) Thresholds for detection and feeding
 behavior in the Dungeness crab, *Cancer magister* (Dana). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 39: 65-78.
- 1702 Peñaflorida VD, Virtanen E (1996) Growth, survival and feed conversion of juvenile shrimp
- 1703 (*Penaeus monodon*) fed a betaine/amino acid additive. *The Israeli Journal of*1704 Aquaculture Bamidgeh 48: 3-9.
- Pérez-Rostro CI, Ramirez JL, Ibarra AM (1999) Maternal and cage effects on genetic
 parameter estimation for Pacific white shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* Boone. Aquaculture *Research* 30: 681-693.
- Pérez-Rostro CI, Ibarra AM (2003) Heritabilities and genetic correlation of size traits at
 harvest size in sexually dimorphic Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) grown
 in two environments. *Aquaculture Research* 34: 1079-1085.

- Pérez-Rostro CI, Racotta IS, Ibarra AM (2004) Decreased genetic variation in metabolic
 variables of *Litopenaeus vannamei* shrimp after exposure to acute hypoxia. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* **302**: 189-200.
- 1714 Pittet AO, Ellis JC, Lee PG (1996) Methodology for the identification and quantitative
 1715 measurement of chemical stimulants for penaeid shrimp. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2: 1751716 182.
- Ponce-Palafox JT, Martinez-Palacios CA, Ross LG (1997) The effects of salinity and
 temperature on the growth and survival rates of juvenile white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*, Boone, 1931. *Aquaculture* 157: 107-115.
- Ponce-Palafox JT, Ruíz-Luna A, García-Ulloa M, Esparza-Leal HM, Arredondo-Figueroa JL,
 Martinez-Palacios CA, Ross LG (2013) A response-surface analysis of the relative
 importance of the temperature, salinity and body weight on the respiratory metabolism
 of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931). *Marine & Freshwater Behaviour & Physiology* 46: 399-417.
- Pontes CS, Arruda, MF (2005a) Comportamento de *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone)
 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Penaeidae) em função da oferta do alimento artificial nas fases
 clara e escura do período de 24 horas. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 22: 648-652.
- Pontes CS, Arruda MF (2005b) Acesso ao alimento artificial e enchimento do trato digestivo
 de juvenis do camarão marinho *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) (Crustacea, Decapoda,
 Penaeidae) durante as fases clara e escuro do período de 24 horas. *Revista Brasileira de*
- 1731 *Zoologia* **22**: 1039-1043.
- Pontes CS (2006) Padrão de deslocamento do camarão marinho *Litopenaeus vannamei*(Boone) (Crustacea, Decapoda, Penaeidae) nas fases clara e escura ao longo de 24
 horas. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 23: 223-227.

- Pontes CS, Arruda MF, Menezes AA, Lima PP (2006) Daily activity pattern of the marine
 shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone 1931) juveniles under laboratory conditions. *Aquaculture Research* 37: 1001-1006.
- Pontes CS, Lima PP, Arruda MF (2008) Feeding responses of juvenile shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) fed at different frequencies under laboratory conditions. *Aquaculture*
- 1740 *Research* **39**: 1416-1422.
- Pörtner HO, Langenbuch M, Reipschläger A (2004) Biological impact of elevated ocean CO₂
 concentrations: lessons from animal physiology and earth history. *Journal of Oceanography* 60: 705-718.
- 1744 Price RB, Ache BW (1977) Peripheral modification of chemosensory information in the
- spiny lobster. *Comparative Biochemistry & Physiology Part A: Physiology* 57: 249253.
- Primavera JH, Lebata J (1995) Diel activity patterns in *Metapenaeus* and *Penaeus* juveniles. *Hydrobiologia* 295: 295-302.
- 1749 Ravi R, Manisseri MK (2013) The effect of different pH and photoperiod regimens on the
- survival rate and developmental period of the larvae of *Portunus pelagicus* (Decapoda,
 Brachyura, Portunidae). *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences* 12: 490-499.
- 1752 Reeder PB, Ache BW (1980) Chemotaxis in the Florida spiny lobster, *Panulirus*1753 *argus. Animal Behaviour* 28: 831-839.
- 1754 Reeves RB, Rahn H (1979) Patterns in vertebrate acid-base regulation. In: Wood SC, Lenfant
- 1755 C (eds.) *Evolution of Respiratory Processes, a Comparative Approach*, pp. 225-252.
 1756 Marcel Dekker, New York, USA.
- 1757 Rimmer MA, Sugama K, Rakhmawati D, Rofiq R, Habgood RH (2013) A review and SWOT
 1758 analysis of aquaculture development in Indonesia. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 5: 255-279.

- 1759 Rittschof D (1992) Chemosensation in the daily life of crabs. *American Zoologist* 32: 3631760 369.
- 1761 Robertson JR, Fudge JA, Vermeer GK (1981) Chemical and live feeding stimulants of the
 1762 sand fiddler crab, *Uca pugilator* (Bosc). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology* &
 1763 *Ecology* 53: 47-64.
- 1764 Robertson L, Bray W, Leung-Trujillo J, Lawrence A (1987) Practical molt staging of
 1765 *Penaeus setiferus* and *Penaeus stylirostris. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*1766 18: 180-185.
- 1767 Robertson L, Lawrence AL, Castille FL (1993) Effect of feeding frequency and feeding time
 1768 on growth of *Penaeus vannamei* (Boone). *Aquaculture & Fisheries Management* 24: 11769 6.
- Rodríguez J, Bayot B, Amano Y, Panchana F, De Blas I, Alday V, Calderón J (2003) White
 spot syndrome virus infection in cultured *Penaeus vannamei* (Boone) in Ecuador with
 emphasis on histopathology and ultrastructure. *Journal of Fish Diseases* 26: 439-450.
- 1773 Rola WR, Hasan MR (2007) *Economics of Aquaculture Feeding Practices: A Synthesis of*1774 *Case Studies Undertaken in Six Asian Countries.* FAO, Rome, Italy; Report No.: 505.
- 1775 Rosas C, Martinez E, Gaxiola G, Brito R, Sánchez A, Soto LA (1999) The effect of dissolved
 1776 oxygen and salinity on oxygen consumption, ammonia excretion and osmotic pressure
 1777 of *Penaeus setiferus* (Linnaeus) juveniles. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology &*1778 *Ecology* 234: 41-57.
- 1779 Rosas C, López N, Mercado P, Martínez E (2001) Effect of salinity acclimation on oxygen
 1780 consumption of juveniles of the white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei. Journal of*1781 *Crustacean Biology* 21: 912-922.
- 1782 Rosenberry B (2001) *World shrimp farming 2001*. Shrimp News International, San Diego,
 1783 California, USA.

- 1784 Rusaini R, Owens L (2011) A simple technique to stage the moult of *Penaeus*1785 *monodon. Asian Fisheries Science* 24: 1-11.
- Samocha TM, Davis DA, Saoud IP, DeBault K (2004a) Substitution of fish meal by coextruded soybean poultry by-product meal in practical diets for the Pacific white
 shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 231: 197-203.
- 1789 Samocha TM, Lawrence AL, Collins CA, Castille FL, Bray WA, Davies CJ, Lee PG, Wood
- 1790 GF (2004b) Production of the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, in high-
- density greenhouse-enclosed raceways using low salinity groundwater. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture* 15: 1-19.
- Sanchez DR, Fox JM, Lawrence AL, Castille FL, Dunsford B (2005) A methodology for
 evaluation of dietary feeding stimulants for the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 36:14-23.
- Sandifer PA, Hopkins JS, Stokes AD (1987) Intensive culture potential of *Penaeus vannamei*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 18: 94-100.
- Sandifer PA, Hopkins JS, Stokes AD (1988) Intensification of shrimp culture in earthen
 ponds in South Carolina: progress and prospects. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 19: 218-226.
- 1801 Santos ADA, López-Olmeda JF, Sánchez-Vázquez FJ, Fortes-Silva R (2016)
 1802 Synchronization to light and mealtime of the circadian rhythms of self-feeding behavior
 1803 and locomotor activity of white shrimps (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Comparative*1804 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 199: 54-61.
- Sanudin N, Tuzan AD, Yong ASK (2014) Feeding activity and growth performance of
 shrimp post larvae *Litopenaeus vannamei* under light and dark conditions. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 6: 103-109.

- Schmidt M, Van Ekeris L, Ache BW (1992) Antennular projections to the midbrain of the
 spiny lobster. I. Sensory innervation of the lateral and medial antennular neuropils. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 318: 277-290.
- 1811 Schmidt M, Derby CD (2005) Non-olfactory chemoreceptors in asymmetric setae activate
 1812 antennular grooming behavior in the Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus. The*1813 *Journal of Experimental Biology* 208: 233-248.
- 1814 Schmitt BC, Ache BW (1979) Olfaction: responses of a decapod crustacean are enhanced by
 1815 flicking. *Science* 205: 204-206.
- 1816 Schuler DJ, Boardman GD, Kuhn DD, Flick GJ (2010) Acute toxicity of ammonia and nitrite
- to Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, at low salinities. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **41**: 438-446.
- 1819 Seidman ER, Lawrence AL (1985) Growth, feed digestibility, and proximate body
 1820 composition of juvenile *Penaeus vannamei* and *Penaeus monodon* grown at different
 1821 dissolved oxygen levels. *Journal of the World Mariculture Society* 16: 333-346.
- 1822 Seiffert WQ, Andreatta ER (2004) El manejo de la alimentación y la sostenibilidad en el
- 1823 cultivo de camarones en el brasil. In: Cruz Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie D, Nieto-López
- 1824 MG, Villarreal D, Scholz U, González M (eds.) Avances en Nutrición Acuícola VII, pp.
- 1825 707-720. Memorias del VII Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola,
 1826 Hermosillo, Sonora, México.
- 1827 Shuranova Z, Burmistrov Y, Abramson CI (2005) Habituation to a novel environment in the
 1828 crayfish *Procambarus cubensis*. *Journal of Crustacean Biology* 25: 488-494.
- Sick LV, White D, Baptist G (1973) The effect of duration of feeding, amount of food, light
 intensity, and animal size on rate of ingestion of pelleted food by juvenile penaeid
 shrimp. *The Progressive Fish-Culturist* 35: 22-26.

- 1832 Sih A, Bell A, Johnson JC (2004) Behavioral syndromes: an ecological and evolutionary
 1833 overview. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 19: 372-378.
- Silva PF, Medeiros MS, Silva HPA, Arruda MF (2012) A study of feeding in the shrimp *Farfantepenaeus subtilis* indicates the value of species level behavioral data for
 optimizing culture management. *Marine & Freshwater Behaviour & Physiology* 45:
 121-134.
- 1838 Smith DM, Burford MA, Tabrett SJ, Irvin SJ, Ward L (2002) The effect of feeding frequency
 1839 on water quality and growth of the black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). Aquaculture
 1840 207: 125-136.
- 1841 Smith DM, Tabrett SJ, Barclay MC, Irvin SJ (2005) The efficacy of ingredients included in
 1842 shrimp feeds to stimulate intake. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 11: 263-272.
- Smith DV, Tabrett SJ (2013) The use of passive acoustics to measure feed consumption by *Penaeus monodon* (giant tiger prawn) in cultured systems. *Aquacultural Engineering*57: 38-47.
- 1846 Snow PJ (1973) The antennular activities of the hermit crab, *Pagurus alaskensis*1847 (Benedict). *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 58: 745-765.
- 1848 Snow PJ (1975) Central patterning and reflex control of antennular flicking in the hermit crab
 1849 *Pagurus alaskensis* (Benedict). *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 63: 17-32.
- Soares R, Peixoto S, Wasielesky W, D'Incao F (2005) Feeding rhythms and diet of
 Farfantepenaeus paulensis under pen culture in Patos Lagoon estuary, Brazil. *Journal* of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology 322: 167-176.
- Sookying D, Davis DA, Da Silva FSD (2013) A review of the development and application
 of soybean-based diets for Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 19: 441-448.

- Spicer JI, Raffo A, Widdicombe S (2007) Influence of CO₂-related seawater acidification on
 extracellular acid-base balance in the velvet swimming crab *Necora puber*. *Marine Biology* 151: 1117-1125.
- Staples DJ, Heales DS (1991) Temperature and salinity optima for growth and survival of
 juvenile banana prawns *Penaeus merguiensis*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 154: 251-274.
- Steiner JE, Harpaz S (1987) Behavior stereotypes of food acceptance and of the rejection of
 'bitter' food in the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Chemical Senses* 12:
 89-97.
- Steullet P, Flavus T, Radman D, Hamidani G, Zhou M, Dudar O, Hill R, Derby CD (1999)
 The aesthetasc-olfactory lobe pathway of spiny lobsters is not necessary for odoractivated searching behavior, odor-associative learning, and discrimination of complex
 odors. *Chemical Senses* 24: 613 (abstract).
- Steullet P, Dudar O, Flavus T, Zhou M, Derby CD (2001) Selective ablation of antennular
 sensilla on the Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* suggest that dual antennular
 chemosensory pathways mediate odorant activation of searching and localization of

1872 food. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **204**: 4259-4269.

- Steullet P, Krutzfeldt DR, Hamidani G, Flavus T, Ngo V, Derby CD (2002) Dual antennular
 chemosensory pathways mediate odor-associative learning and odor discrimination in
 the Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 205:
 851-867.
- 1877 Stuck KC, Watts SA, Wang SY (1996) Biochemical responses during starvation and
 1878 subsequent recovery in postlarval Pacific white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*. *Marine*1879 *Biology* 125: 33-45.

1880 Sun M, Hassan SG, Li D (2016) Models for estimating feed intake in aquaculture: a review.
1881 *Computers & Electronics in Aquaculture* 127: 425-438.

Suresh AV, Kumaraguru-Vasagam KP, Nates S (2011) Attractability and palatability of
protein ingredients of aquatic and terrestrial animal origin, and their practical value for
blue shrimp, *Litopenaeus stylirostris* fed diets formulated with high levels of poultry
byproduct meal. *Aquaculture* **319**: 132-140.

- 1886 Tacon AGJ (1996) Nutritional studies in crustaceans and the problems of applying research
 1887 findings to practical farming systems. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 1: 165-174.
- Tacon AGJ, Barg UC (1998) Major challenges to feed development for marine and
 diadromous finfish and crustacean species. In: De Silva SS (ed.) *Tropical Mariculture*,
 pp. 171-208. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- Tacon AGJ, Cody JJ, Conquest LD, Divakaran S, Forster IP, Decamp OE (2002) Effect of
 culture system on the nutrition and growth performance of Pacific white shrimp
 Litopenaeus vannamei (Boone) fed different diets. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 8: 121-137.
- Tacon AGJ, Jory D, Nunes A (2013) Shrimp feed management: issues and perspectives. In:
 Hasan MR and New MB (eds.) *On-Farm Feeding and Feed Management in Aquaculture*, pp. 481–488. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 583,
- 1897 Rome, FAO.
- Taylor J, Vinatea L, Ozorio R, Schuweitzer R, Andreatta ER (2004) Minimizing the effects
 of stress during eyestalk ablation of *Litopenaeus vannamei* females with topical
 anaesthetic and a coagulating agent. *Aquaculture* 233: 173-179.
- Thiel M, Breithaupt T (2011) Chemical communication in crustaceans: research challenges
 for the twenty-first century. In: Breithaupt T, Thiel M (eds.) *Chemical Communication*
- *in Crustaceans*, pp. 3-22. Springer Science & Business Media, New York, USA.

1904 Thompson FL, Abreu PC, Wasielesky W (2002) Importance of biofilm for water quality and
1905 nourishment in intensive shrimp culture. *Aquaculture* 203: 263-278.

Thuy NTB, Ngoc NB (2004) Current status and exploitation of wild spiny lobsters in
Vietnamese waters. In: Williams KC (ed.) *Spiny lobster ecology and exploitation in the south China sea region*, pp. 13-16. Australian Centre for International Agricultural
Research, Canberra, Australia.

- 1910 Tierney AJ, Atema J (1988) Amino acid chemoreception: effects of pH on receptors and
 1911 stimuli. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 14: 135-141.
- 1912 Vainikka A, Rantala MJ, Niemelä P, Hirvonen H, Kortet R (2011) Boldness as a consistent
 1913 personality trait in the noble crayfish, *Astacus astacus*. *Acta Ethologica* 14: 17-25.
- 1914 Valenzuela-Quiñónez W, Rodríguez-Quiroz G, Ponce-Palafox JT, Esparza-Leal HM (2011)
- 1915 Efecto de diferentes combinaciones de temperatura y salinidad sobre el consumo
 1916 específico de oxígeno en el camarón blanco *Litopenaeus vannamei. Revista de Biología*1917 *Marina y Oceanografía* 46: 303-311.
- 1918 Vargas-Albores F, Ochoa JL (1992) Variation of pH, osmolality, sodium and potassium
 1919 concentrations in the haemolymph of sub-adult blue shrimp (*Penaeus stylirostris*)
 1920 according to size. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 102:
 1921 1-5.
- Vega-Villasante F, Nolasco-Soria H, Civera-Cerecedo R, González-Valdés R, Oliva-Suárez
 M (2000) Alternativa para la alimentación del camarón en cultivo: el manejo de la
 muda. In: Cruz-Suárez L, Ricque-Marie D, Tapia-Salazar M, Olvera-Novoa M, CiveraCercedo R (eds.) *Avances en Nutrición Acuícola V*, pp. 313-320. Memorias del V
 Simposium Internacional en Nutrición Acuícola, Mérida, Yucatán, México.

- 1927 Velasco M, Lawrence AL, Castille FL (1999) Effect of variations in daily feeding frequency
 1928 and ration size on growth of shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone), in zero-water
 1929 exchange culture tanks. *Aquaculture* 179: 141-148.
- 1930 Vijayakumaran M, Venkatesan R, Murugan TS, Kumar TS, Jha DK, Remany MC, Thilakam
- 1931 JML, Jahan SS, Dharani G, Kathiroli S, Selvan K (2009) Farming of spiny lobsters in
- 1932 sea cages in India. New Zealand Journal of Marine & Freshwater Research 43: 6231933 634.
- 1934 Villarreal H, Hinojosa P, Naranjo J (1994) Effect of temperature and salinity on the oxygen
 1935 consumption of laboratory produced *Penaeus vannamei* postlarvae. *Comparative*1936 *Biochemistry & Physiology Part A: Physiology* 108: 331-336.
- 1937 Vinatea L, Olivera-Gálvez A, Venero J, Leffler J, Browdy C (2009) Oxygen consumption of
 Litopenaeus vannamei juveniles in heterotrophic medium with zero water exchange.
 Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 44: 534-538.
- Wajsbrot N, Gasith A, Krom MD, Samocha TM (1990) Effect of dissolved oxygen and the
 molt stage on the acute toxicity of ammonia to juvenile green tiger prawn *Penaeus semisulcatus*. *Environmental Toxicology* & *Chemistry* 9: 497-504.
- Wang W, Wang A, Chen L, Liu Y, Sun R (2002) Effects of pH on survival, phosphorus
 concentration, adenylate energy charge and Na+ K+ ATPase activities of *Penaeus chinensis* Osbeck juveniles. *Aquatic Toxicology* 60: 75-83.
- 1946 Wang W, Zhou J, Wang P, Tian T, Zheng Y, Liu Y, Mai W, Wang A (2009) Oxidative stress,
- 1947 DNA damage and antioxidant enzyme gene expression in the Pacific white shrimp,
- 1948 *Litopenaeus vannamei* when exposed to acute pH stress. *Comparative Biochemistry &*
- 1949 *Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology* **150**: 428-435.

- Wassenberg TJ, Hill BJ (1994) Laboratory study of the effect of light on the emergence
 behaviour of eight species of commercially important adult penaeid prawns. *Australian Journal of Marine & Freshwater Research* 45: 43-50.
- Watanabe T (2002) Strategies for further development of aquatic feeds. *Fisheries Science* 68:
 242-252.
- Wickins JF (1976) The tolerance of warm-water prawns to recirculated water. *Aquaculture* 9:
 1956 19-37.
- Wilcox JR, Jeffries HP (1976) Hydration in the sand shrimp *Crangon septemspinosa*: relation
 to diet. *The Biological Bulletin* 150: 522-530.
- Wight K, Francis L, Eldridge D (1990) Food aversion learning by the hermit crab *Pagurus granosimanus*. *The Biological Bulletin* **178**: 205-209.
- Wilkens LA, Schmitz B, Herrnkind WF (1996) Antennal responses to hydrodynamic and
 tactile stimuli in the spiny lobster *Panulirus argus. The Biological Bulletin* 191: 1871963 198.
- Wilson DS, Coleman K, Clark AB, Biederman L (1993) Shy-bold continuum in pumpkinseed
 sunfish (*Lepomis gibbosus*): an ecological study of a psychological trait. *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 107: 250-260.
- Wilson DS (1998) Adaptive individual differences within single populations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 353: 199-205.
- 1969 Wroblewska J, Whalley S, Fischetti M, Daniel PC (2002) Identification of chemosensory
- 1970 sensilla activating antennular grooming behaviour in the Caribbean spiny lobster,
 1971 *Panulirus argus. Chemical Senses* 27: 769-778.
- Wu L, Dong S (2002) The effects of repetitive 'starvation-and-refeeding' cycles on the
 compensatory growth response in Chinese shrimp, *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* (Osbeck,
 1765) (Decapoda, Penaeidae). *Crustaceana* 74: 1225-1239.

- 1975 Wyban JA, Lee CS, Sato VT, Sweeney JN, Richards WK (1987) Effect of stocking density
 1976 on shrimp growth rates in manure-fertilized ponds. *Aquaculture* 61: 23-32.
- 1977 Wyban JA, Sweeney JN, Kanna RA (1988) Shrimp yields and economic potential of
 1978 intensive round pond systems. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 19: 210-217.
- 1979 Wyban JA, Sweeney JN (1991) *The Oceanic Institute Shrimp Manual: Intensive Shrimp*1980 *Production Technology.* The Oceanic Institute, Makapuu Point, Honolulu, Hawaii,
 1981 USA.
- Wyban J, Walsh WA, Godin DM (1995) Temperature effects on growth, feeding rate and
 feed conversion of the Pacific white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture 138:
 267-279.
- Yi D, Reardon T, Stringer R (2016) Shrimp aquaculture technology change in Indonesia: are
 small farmers included?. *Aquaculture* 493: 436-445.
- You K, Yang H, Liu Y, Liu S, Zhou Y, Zhang T (2006) Effects of different light sources and
 illumination methods on growth and body color of shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture* 252: 557-565.
- 1990 Zarain-Herzberg M, Campa-Córdova AI, Cavalli RO (2006) Biological viability of producing
- white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* in seawater floating cages. *Aquaculture* 259: 283289.
- 1993 Zhang P, Zhang X, Li J, Huang G (2006) The effects of body weight, temperature, salinity,
- pH, light intensity and feeding condition on lethal DO levels of whiteleg shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931). *Aquaculture* 256: 579-587.
- 1996 Zhang P, Zhang X, Li J, Gao T (2009) Effect of salinity on survival, growth, oxygen
 1997 consumption and ammonia-N excretion of juvenile whiteleg shrimp, *Litopenaeus*1998 *vannamei. Aquaculture Research* 40: 1419-1427.

- Zhang B, Lin W, Huang J, Wang Y, Xu R (2010) Effects of artificial substrates on the
 growth, survival and spatial distribution of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in the intensive
 culture condition. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences* 9: 293-304.
- Zhou C, Xu D, Lin K, Sun C, Yang X (2017) Intelligent feeding control methods in
 aquaculture with an emphasis on fish: a review. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 0: 1-19
- Zimmer-Faust RK, Case JF (1983) A proposed dual role of odor in foraging by the California
 spiny lobster, *Panulirus interruptus* (Randall). *The Biological Bulletin* 164: 341-353.
- 2006 Zimmer-Faust RK, Michel WC, Tyre JE, Case JF (1984) Chemical induction of feeding in
- 2007 California spiny lobster, *Panulirus interruptus* (Randall): responses to molecular
 2008 weight fractions of abalone. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 10: 957-971.
- Zimmer-Faust RK (1987) Crustacean chemical perception: towards a theory on optimal
 chemoreception. *The Biological Bulletin* 172:10-29.
- Zimmer-Faust RK (1989) The relationship between chemoreception and foraging behavior in
 crustaceans. *Limnology & Oceanography* 34: 1367-1374.
- 2013 Zimmer-Faust RK (1991) Chemical signal-to-noise detection by spiny lobsters. *The*2014 *Biological Bulletin* 181: 419-426.
- Zion B, Karplus I, Barki A (2010) Generalization and discrimination of positive and negative
 acoustic stimuli in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Behavioural Processes* 83:
 306–310.
- Zion B, Barki A, Grinshpon J, Rosenfeld L, Karplus I (2011a) Retention of acoustic
 conditioning in St. Peter's fish *Sarotherodon galilaeus*. *Journal of Fish Biology* 78:
 838–847.
- Zion B, Karplus I, Grinshpon J, Rosenfeld L, Barki A (2011b) Periodic reinforcement of
 acoustically conditioned behaviour in St. Peter's fish, *Sarotherodon galilaeus*, for
 ranching purposes. *Aquaculture* 315: 394–399.