



## UWS Academic Portal

### Validation of a density separation technique for the recovery of microplastic and its use on marine & freshwater sediments

Quinn, Brian; Murphy, Fionn; Ewins, Ciaran

*Published in:*

MICRO 2016: Fate and Impact of Microplastics in Marine Ecosystems

*DOI:*

[10.1016/B978-0-12-812271-6.00008-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812271-6.00008-9)

Published: 01/12/2016

[Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Quinn, B., Murphy, F., & Ewins, C. (2016). Validation of a density separation technique for the recovery of microplastic and its use on marine & freshwater sediments. In J. Baztan, B. Jorgensen, S. Pahl, R. Thompson, & J-P. Vanderlinden (Eds.), *MICRO 2016: Fate and Impact of Microplastics in Marine Ecosystems: From the Coastline to the Open Sea* (pp. 9). Elsevier Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812271-6.00008-9>

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [pure@uws.ac.uk](mailto:pure@uws.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# VALIDATION OF A DENSITY SEPARATION TECHNIQUE FOR THE RECOVERY OF MICROPLASTIC AND ITS USE ON MARINE & FRESHWATER SEDIMENTS.

MICRO2016, LANZAROTE

**Brian Quinn, Fionn Murphy & Ciaran Ewins**

*Institute of Biomedical & Environmental Health Research (IBEHR),  
University of the West of Scotland,  
Paisley, Scotland.*

*Email: [brian.quinn@uws.ac.uk](mailto:brian.quinn@uws.ac.uk)*

UNIVERSITY OF THE  
WEST of SCOTLAND  
**UWS** | Institute of Biomedical  
& Environmental Health  
Research (IBEHR)



# Density Separation Validation

- Claessens et al., (2013) protocol (3:1)
- Brine solutions (4) & water
- Sediment (200-400  $\mu\text{m}$ )
- MP size classes (200-600  $\mu\text{m}$ )
- Different plastic types
- N=9, individually & mixture


Solution	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
water	1.0032
NaCl	1.1708
NaBr	1.37
NaI	1.566
ZnBr <sub>2</sub> (25%)	1.71
ZnBr <sub>2</sub>	4.2

## Plastics in validation test



Plastic	Source	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
High density Polyethelene (HDPE)	Air waves base	0.941 g/cm <sup>3</sup> .
High density Polyethelene (HDPE)	Milk carton	0.941 g/cm <sup>3</sup> .
Low density Polyethelene (LDPE)	Air waves lid	0.915–0.925 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Nylon	Thread	1.13-1.15g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Polyethelene (PE)	Supermarket bag	0.926–0.940 g/cm <sup>3</sup> .
Polyethelene terephthalate (PET)	Lucozade bottle	1.38 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Polypropylene (PP)	Plastic container	0.855 -0.946g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Polystyrene (PS)	Coffee lid	0.946 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Polystyrene (PS)	Plastic forks	0.946 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) Un Plasticised	Window frame	1.35-1.45 g/cm <sup>3</sup> .
Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) Plasticised	Wire	1.35-1.45 g/cm <sup>3</sup> .
polyethylen (180 $\mu\text{m}$ )	sigma bottle	0.926–0.940 g/cm <sup>3</sup> .



# Validation results (n=9)




# Results: Validation Experiment





# Results: Environmental Samples

Freshwater MP / M<sup>3</sup>





Marine MP / M<sup>3</sup>



# Conclusion

---

- There are more efficient density solutions out there...  
ZnBr<sub>2</sub> is one of them.
-  MP extraction efficiency,  time
- Expensive to buy, but overall (including labour) cheaper

# Other work...

- Scottish Microplastic Research Group - <http://www.masts.ac.uk/research/masts-community-projects/scottish-microplastic-research-group/>
- Fionn Murphy – Xlf (Thursday)
- Christopher Crawford – 1a

**ENVIRONMENTAL Science & Technology** Article  
pubs.acs.org/est

## 1 Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) as a Source of Microplastics in the Aquatic Environment

2  
3 Fionn Murphy,<sup>\*,†</sup> Ciaran Ewins,<sup>‡</sup> Frederic Carbonnier,<sup>§</sup> and Brian Quinn<sup>†</sup>

4 <sup>\*</sup>Institute of Biomedical and Environmental Health Research (IBEHR), University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland  
5 <sup>†</sup>University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, Scotland  
6 <sup>‡</sup>Saur Glasgow, Beardmore Street, Dalmuir, Glasgow G81 4SA, Scotland

7 **Supporting Information**

8 **ABSTRACT:** Municipal effluent discharged from wastewater treatment works (WwTW) is suspected to be a significant contributor of microplastics (MP) to the environment as many personal care products contain plastic microbeads. A secondary WwTW (population equivalent 650 000) was sampled for microplastics at different stages of the treatment process to ascertain at what stage in the treatment process the MP are being removed. The influent contained on average 15,70 ( $\pm 5.23$ ) MP·L<sup>-1</sup>. This was reduced to 0.25 ( $\pm 0.04$ ) MP·L<sup>-1</sup> in the final effluent, a decrease of 98,41%. Despite this large reduction we calculate that this WwTW is releasing 65 million microplastics into the receiving water every day. A significant proportion of the microplastic accumulated in and was removed during the grease removal stage (19,67 ( $\pm 4.51$ ) MP/2.5 g), it was only in the grease that the much publicised microbeads were found. This study shows that despite the efficient removal rates of MP achieved by this modern treatment plant when dealing with such a large volume of effluent even a modest amount of microplastics being released per liter of effluent could result in significant amounts of microplastics entering the environment. This is the first study to describe in detail the fate of microplastics during the wastewater treatment process.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

