



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***ANALYSIS OF *Lactobacillus plantarum* PA21 WITH SURFACE
ANCHORED
HETEROLOGOUS PROTEIN MICROENCAPSULATED WITH
POLYSACCHARIDE***

SARAH SAFWAH BINTI MD SABIDI

FBSB 2017 3



**ANALYSIS OF *Lactobacillus plantarum* PA21 WITH SURFACE ANCHORED
HETEROLOGOUS PROTEIN MICROENCAPSULATED WITH
POLYSACCHARIDE**

By

SARAH SAFWAH BINTI MD SABIDI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

January 2017

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

ANALYSIS OF *Lactobacillus plantarum* PA21 WITH SURFACE ANCHORED HETEROLOGOUS PROTEIN MICROENCAPSULATED WITH POLYSACCHARIDE

By

SARAH SAFWAH BINTI MD SABIDI

January 2017

Chairman : Prof. Raha Abdul Rahim, PhD
Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences

Microencapsulation technology is retention of cells or active compounds within the microencapsulation matrix as a protection from harsh environments. The oral administration of microencapsulated probiotics carrying heterologous proteins through the Gastro intestinal tract (GIT) can be a novel approach for vaccine delivery. The peptidoglycan cell walls of Gram positive bacteria functions to transport and assemble of protein that capable to interact with a surrounding. These properties will enable non-genetically modified organism of *Lactobacillus plantarum* Pa21 to be manipulated as a carrier by anchoring externally added heterologous protein such as the AR antigens of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. To date, the protective effect of microencapsulation of *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 surface anchored with heterologous proteins is still unknown. The microencapsulation is believed to enhance the viability of cells in the intestine, this mechanism would also be capable to up-regulate the protection toward AR antigens anchored on *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 surface area. The purpose of this study is to investigate the protective effect of microencapsulation of *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 surface anchored with AR antigen against simulated gastrointestinal fluid. Firstly, *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 without cell wall-anchored heterologous proteins were microencapsulated with different concentration of alginate and chitosan. The effect of 2% (w/v), 3% (w/v) and 4% (w/v) sodium alginate concentration coated with 0.2% w/v and 0.4% w/v chitosan concentration on the cell survival, hardness and cells released was studied. The beads produced were tested under stress conditions designed by incubation in Simulated Gastric Juice (SGF) solution at pH 1.8 followed by incubation in Simulated Intestinal Juice (SIF) solution at pH 7.45. Three percent alginate coated with 0.4% (w/v) chitosan beads provided the best protection for *Lb. plantarum* in all treatments. Unencapsulated *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 survived the first 60 minute of the SGF solution treatment with 2.99×10^2 CFU/ml viable cells compared to 3% (w/v) alginate coated with 0.4% (w/v) chitosan microencapsulated cells with 6.25×10^8 CFU/ml viable cells after 120 minutes incubation. Secondly, a total amount of 50 µg/ml of AR protein was purified and attached to 2.19×10^9 CFU/ml of *Lb. plantarum* Pa21. The confirmation of protein surface display was detected by SDS-PAGE and western blot before subsequently microencapsulated in 3% (w/v) alginate coated with 0.4% (w/v) chitosan matrix. After SGF solution test, whole cell ELISA detection of

microencapsulated AR protein anchored to *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 in alginate coated chitosan has the higher value excitation of horseradish peroxide conjugate on secondary antibody compared to unencapsulated AR protein anchored to *Lb plantarum* Pa21. Subsequent microencapsulated AR protein anchoring to the cell wall of *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 also showed a microscopic excitation of FITC conjugate on secondary antibody which signified protection of microencapsulated AR protein binding on the surface of *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 along the GIT passage.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

ANALISA *Lactobacillus plantarum* PA21 DENGAN PROTEIN ASING YANG DILEKAT PADA PERMUKAAN SEL DAN DI KAPSUL MENGGUNAKAN POLISAKARIDA

Oleh

SARAH SAFWAH BINTI MD SABIDI

Januari 2017

Pengerusi : Prof. Raha Abdul Rahim, PhD
Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Sains Biomolekul

Teknologi penmikrokapsulan adalah pengekal sel atau sebatian aktif di dalam matriks pemikrokapsulan sebagai perlindungan daripada persekitaran yang tidak memberansangkan. Pengambilan secara pemakanan probiotik mikrokapsul yang membawa protin asing melalui saluran usus mampu menjadi pendekatan baru dalam dunia penghantaran vaksin. Bakteria Gram positif mempunyai dinding sel peptidoglikan yang berfungsi sebagai pengangkut dan pemasangan protein di mana mampu untuk bertindak balas dengan persekitaran sel. Ciri-ciri ini membolehkan sel yang tidak perlu diubahsuai secara genetik seperti *Lactobacillus plantarum* Pa21 boleh dimanipulasi sebagai pembawa dengan melekatkan protein asing dari luar sel di mana protin yang dibawa adalah antigen AR untuk vaksin batuk kering. Setakat ini, kesan perlindungan pemikrokapsulan daripada *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 yang dilekatkan dengan protein asing di luar permukaan sel masih tidak diketahui lagi. Seiring dengan kepercayaan pemikrokapsulan mampu meningkatkan daya tahan sel di dalam usus, mekanisme ini juga mampu untuk memangkin perlindungan terhadap antigen AR yang melekat pada permukaan *Lb. plantarum* Pa21. Tujuan kajian adalah bagi menyiasat kesan perlindungan pemikrokapsulan *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 yang dilekat dengan antigen AR di permukaan sel daripada simulasi cecair gastrousus. Pertama sekali, *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 tanpa protin asing yang dilekat telah di mikrokapsulkan menggunakan kepekatan alginate dan kitosan yang berbeza. Kesan daripada 2% (w/v), 3% (w/v) dan 4% (w/v) kepekatan natrium alginate disalut dengan 0.2% (w/v) dan 0.4% (w/v) kepekatan chitosan pada sel hidup, ketahanan sel dan perlepasan sel telah dikaji. Mikrokapsul yang dihasilkan telah diuji di dalam persekitaran yang menyerupai persekitaran di dalam usus yang direka dengan menggunakan teknik pemeraman di dalam cecair simulasi gastrik (SGF) pada pH 1.8 diikuti oleh pemeraman di dalam cecair simulasi usus pada pH 7.45. Tiga peratus alginate bersalut 0.4% (w/v) kitosan memberikan perlindungan yang terbaik kepada *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 di dalam kesemua eksperimen. *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 yang tidak di mikrokapsulkan hanya mampu hidup selama 60 minit pertama semasa pemeraman SGF dengan 2.99×10^2 CFU/ml sel-sel yang berjaya hidup berbanding dengan 3% (w/v) alginate bersalut 0.4% (w/v) sel mikrokapsul kitosan yang mengandungi 6.25×10^8 CFU/ml sel yang hidup selepas tamat 120 minit pemeraman. Kemudian, sebanyak 50 µg / ml AR protin telah dituliskan dan dilekatkan pada 2.19×10^9

CFU /ml *Lb. plantarum* Pa21. Pengesanan paparan protein di permukaan sel dikesan dengan menggunakan SDS-PAGE dan Western blot sebelum dimikrokapsulkan ke dalam 3% (w/v) alginate bersalut 0.4% (w/v) matriks kitosan. Selepas ujian pengerapan di dalam SGF, teknik pengesanan menggunakan keseluruhan sel ELISA menunjukkan mikrokapsul *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 yang dilekat protin AR mempunyai nilai pengujaan yang lebih tinggi dengan menggunakan peroksida horseradish konjugat antibodi ke dua berbanding protin AR yang terlekat pada *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 tetapi tidak dikapsulkan. Selain itu, mikrokapsul AR protein yang dilekat pada dinding sel *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 juga menunjukkan pengujaan mikroskopik FITC konjugat antibodi ke dua yang menandakan perlindungan protein AR mikrokapsul mengikat permukaan *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 di sepanjang laluan GIT.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, in the name of Allah, The Most Gracious, The Most Merciful, all the praises and thanks be to Allah who has graciously bestowed me the strength and guided me throughout this journey.

First and foremost the completion of this research would have been hard without the guidance and support of my supervisor Prof. Dr. Raha Abdul Rahim. It was an honour to learn under her meticulous supervision. My sincerely appreciation also goes to my supervisory committee members, Prof. Dr. Datin Paduka Khatijah Yusoff and Prof. Dr. Shuhaimi Mustafa for their valuable advice and suggestions.

Special thanks to both of my parents (Md Sabidi Abdullah and Siti Samiah Tumiran) for their understanding and support throughout this study. I would never go through this without their prayers and encouragement. Furthermore, I would like to thank my fellow friends, Farisha, Jeevan, Danial, Munir, Kak Elina, Kak Ade, Inna, Chai Yan, Nana, Bibah, Iqmal and Aisyah. Thank you for creating a friendly yet supportive work environment along my research journey.

Finally, I would like to thank Ministry of Higher Education and Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for awarding me MyMasters scholarship and Graduate Research Fellowship. And for those who might have been directly or indirectly involved in helping me to progress, thank you.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 9 January 2017 to conduct the final examination of Sarah Safwah binti Md Sabidi on her thesis entitled "Analysis of *Lactobacillus plantarum* PA21 with Surface Anchored Heterologous Protein Microencapsulated with Polysaccharide" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Wan Zuhainis binti Saad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Rosfarizan binti Mohamad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Tey Beng Ti, PhD

Professor
Monash University Sunway Campus
Malaysia
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 22 March 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Raha binti Haji Abdul Rahim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shuhaimi Mustafa, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Khatijah binti mohd Yusoff, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: _____

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Lactic Acid Bacteria	3
2.1.1 <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	3
2.1.2 Gram positive cell wall characteristic	4
2.1.3 Gram positive cell wall surface display	4
2.1.4 Application of cell surface display	5
2.2 Tuberculosis	6
2.2.1 Ag85B (Rv1886) and HBHA (Rv0475)	6
2.3 Microencapsulation	7
2.3.1 Microencapsulation methods	7
2.3.2 Microencapsulation matrix	9
2.3.3 Calcium alginate	9
2.3.4 Chitosan	11
2.4 Gastrointestinal (GI) tract	14
3 OPTIMIZATION OF MICROENCAPSULATION MATERIAL FOR <i>Lb plantarum</i> Pa21 USING ALGINATE-CHITOSAN	
3.1 Introduction	16
3.2 Materials and methods	16
3.2.1 Preparation of microorganism	16
3.2.2 Microencapsulation <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21	16
3.2.3 Coating with chitosan	19
3.2.4 Preparation of Simulated gastric fluid (SGF)	17
3.2.5 Preparation of Simulated Intestinal Fluid (SIF)	17
3.2.6 Bead strength determination	18
3.2.7 Viability assay of encapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa 21 after simulated gastric juice treatment	18
3.2.8 Microencapsulated cell released in simulated gastric juice and simulated intestinal fluid	19

3.2.9	Viability comparison of microencapsulated and unencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 in simulated gastrointestinal fluid	19
3.2.10	Statistical analysis	19
3.3	Results and Discussions	19
3.3.1	Growth study of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21	19
3.3.2	Bead strength determination	20
3.3.3	Viability assay for optimization of microencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 after simulated gastric fluid treatment	222
3.3.4	Microencapsulated cells release in simulated gastric fluid and simulated intestinal fluid	24
3.3.5	Viability comparison of optimized microencapsulated and unencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 in simulated gastrointestinal fluid	26
3.4	Conclusion	27
4	INVESTIGATION OF PROTECTIVE EFFECT OF ALGINATE-CHITOSAN MICROENCAPSULATION OF <i>Lb. plantarum</i> PA21 SURFACE ANCHORED WITH HETEROLOGOUS PROTEINS FROM SIMULATED GASTROINTESTINAL FLUID	
4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	Material and Methods	28
4.2.1	Protein expression and extraction	28
4.2.2	Bradford essay	28
4.2.3	Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis	29
4.2.4	Western Blot analysis	29
4.2.5	Protein purification	30
4.2.6	N-lauroyl sarcosine (NLS) treatment	30
4.2.7	The best concentration of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 anchored with AR protein	30
4.2.8	Confirmation of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	31
4.2.9	Microencapsulation <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	31
4.2.10	Confirmation of microencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	32
4.2.11	Whole cell ELISA	32
4.2.12	Stability of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR at different storage temperature	33
4.2.13	SGF treatment of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	33
4.2.14	In vitro release of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR from microencapsulation bead	33
4.2.15	Treatment of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR with different Ph	33
4.2.16	Immunofluorescence microscopy	34

4.2.17	Statistical analysis	34
4.3	Results and Discussions	34
4.3.1	Optimizing protein purification of AR protein harvested from <i>E. coli</i> /pRSF:AR	34
4.3.2	N-lauroyl sarcosine (NLS) and Tris treatment	38
4.3.3	Optimizing <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	39
4.3.4	Detection of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR in SDS PAGE	40
4.3.5	Effect of temperature on <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	43
4.3.6	Whole cell ELISA of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR before and after SGF treatment with pepsin	44
4.3.7	The in vitro release of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR from microencapsulation beads.	45
4.3.8	Comparison of unencapsulated and microencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR in different Ph	47
4.3.9	Immunofluorescence microscopy of unencapsulated and microencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR after SGF treatment	48
4.4	Conclusion	50
5	CONCLUSION, SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	51
	REFERENCES	52
	APPENDICES	60
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	65
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Table		Page
2.1	Different type of surface protein found in Gram positive bacteria.	4
2.2	Extrusion and emulsion technique for microencapsulation.	8
2.3	Chemical structure of G- block, M block, alternating M- and G- block and “egg-box” model in alginate formation.	10
2.4	The chemical structure of chitosan protonated at pH 6.5.	11
3.1	Extrusion methods for encapsulating <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21.	17
3.2	Texture analyzer used for bead strength determination.	18
3.3	Growth curve of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 incubated in MRS at 37°C.	20
3.4	Changes in the hardness of the beads after treated with simulated gastric solution pH 1.8.	24
3.5	Cell viability for different concentrations of alginate and chitosan after treatment with Simulated Gastric Fluid, pH1.8.	23
3.6	Cell release from microencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21.	25
3.7	Viability comparison of optimized microencapsulated and unencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 in SGF.	27
4.1	Microencapsulation of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR with alginate coated with chitosan.	32
4.2	Detection of purified AR protein expressed from <i>E. coli</i> harbouring pRSF: AR by SDS PAGE using different concentrations of imidazole.	35
4.3	Detection of expressed AR protein in pRSF plasmid <i>E. Coli</i> by SDS PAGE and Western blot from cell lysate using optimized imidazole concentration.	37
4.4	Detection of expressed AR protein in pRSF plasmid <i>E. coli</i> by SDS PAGE from cell lysate after treatment with NLS solution.	39
4.5	Western Blot detection of unbound AR protein in the supernatant after binding fixed amount of AR protein.	40
4.6	SDS-PAGE showing the detection of AR proteins after binding to <i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21.	41
4.7	SDS PAGE and Western Blot detection of AR protein after anchored and microencapsulated by dissolution in SIF solution.	42
4.8	Effect of temperature towards unencapsulated and microencapsulated <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR.	43

4.9	Whole cell ELISA of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR.	45
4.10	The detection of <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR from microencapsulated and unencapsulated samples in vitro.	46
4.11	Shown detection of unencapsulated and alginate coated chitosan <i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR after treatment with different pH	47
4.12	Immunofluorescence microscopy of unencapsulated (B) and microencapsulated (C) treatment after 60 minutes release in SIF medium.	49



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

~	approximately
°C	degree Celcius
µg	microgram
µl	microlitre
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
bp	base pairs
CaCl ₂	calcium chloride
cDNA	complementary deoxynucleotide acid
Da	Dalton
dH ₂ O	distilled water
DNA	deoxyribonucleotide acid
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
eV	electron volt
g	gravity force
GRAS	Generally Regarded as Safe
h	hour
HRP	Horse Radish Peroxidase
kb	kilo base pairs
kDa	kilo Dalton
kV	kiloVolt
l	litre
LAB	Lactic Acid Bacteria
LB	Luria-Bertani
<i>Lb. plantarum</i> -AR	<i>Lb. plantarum</i> Pa21 anchored with AR protein
M	Molar
mA	milliampere
min	minute
mg	milligram
ml	millilitre
mm	millimetre
mM	millimolar

MgCl ₂	magnesium chloride
NaCl	sodium chloride
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
ng	nanogram
OD	Optical Density
rpm	revolutions per minute
RT	retention time
sec	seconds
T _a	annealing temperature
T _m	melting temperature
V	volt
v/v	volume per volume
W	Watts
w/v	weight per volume

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Live probiotic microorganisms that are usually from Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) species are recognized as nutritious as they confer health benefits to the host. Unfortunately, some adverse conditions in the stomach can put the LAB into a vulnerable state, resulting in significant reduction of cells before they can reach the small intestine for uptake into the body. An alternative strategy to overcome this problem is to create a physical barrier between the probiotic and this harsh environment. Microencapsulation is an effective method which can overcome this problem as it protects viable microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tract after ingestion.

Many biomaterials have been studied by researchers for microencapsulation but alginate and chitosan are the most commonly used biopolymers. This is due to their biocompatibility, non-toxicity, low cost and they can be prepared using mild gelation condition (Krasaekoopt *et al.*, 2004). In addition, the pH dependent properties make these biopolymers suitable for targeted delivery application. When the entrapped probiotic is protected in an alginate matrix coated with chitosan reaches the stomach, the chitosan will prevent acidification and dissolution of the alginate core until it reaches the small intestine where dissolution happens at higher pH (Krasaekoopt *et al.*, 2004).

Besides providing this added benefit as probiotic, the LAB species can also be utilized as a vaccine carrier by expressing heterologous antigens for oral administration. In many cases, antigens which are surface displayed on the carrier host pose better antigenicity compared to antigens expressed intracellularly. Basically, this application is achieved by the expression of antigen as fusions with anchoring domains that are capable of attaching to the LAB cell surface, allowing the antigen to have direct contact with the targeted environment.

There are two different modes of protein surface display; surface display from the inside of the cell (through covalent attachment) and surface display from the outside of the cell (through non-covalent attachment). In the first mode, protein display involves the expression of the gene of interest from the same carrier host (in this case, LAB). The protein of interest is targeted to the surface from inside the cell using a signal peptide. In the second mode, a different host is used for protein expression. The protein is then purified from the expression host and displayed on the LAB from the outside simply by mixing the carrier cells with the protein. In both modes, an anchor domain allows attachment of the protein to the surface of the cell. Surface display of proteins from the outside of the cell is advantageous as the carrier host surface displaying the protein need not be genetically modified offering an opportunity to use non-genetically modified bacteria as a vaccine carrier. Other strong reason to utilize LAB as a carrier is due to their Generally Regarded as Safe (GRAS) status and known capability to stimulate the innate immune system. Thus, triggering the adaptive immune system to generate strong and durable immune responses (Mbow *et al.* 2010).

Although microencapsulation has been proven to protect viability of probiotic cell, there is little study on how it affects the integrity of the protein which is bound to the surface of the cells from adverse conditions such as those found in the stomach. The *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 anchored heterologous protein will face degradation and high acidity in the stomach. Thus, it is essential to protect it by microencapsulation. This study was carried out with the main objective to analyze the effect of microencapsulation on *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 displaying AR antigen against tuberculosis.

The specific objectives were:

1. To investigate the best microencapsulation material for *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 using different concentrations of alginate-chitosan.
2. To analyze the protective effect of microencapsulation of *Lb. plantarum* Pa21 surface anchored with AR antigen from simulated gastrointestinal fluid.

REFERENCES

- Ajdary, S., Dobakhti, F., Taghikhani, M., Riazi-Rad, F., Rafiei, & S., Rafiee-Tehrani, M., 2007. Oral administration of BCG encapsulated in alginate microspheres induces strong Th1 response in BALB/c mice. *Vaccine* 25, 4595–601.
- Ali, M., Zanjani, K., Tarzi, B. G., & Sharifan, A. 2012. Microencapsulation of *Lactobacillus casei* with calcium alginate-resistant starch and evaluation of survival and sensory properties in cream-filled cake. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 6: 5511–5517.
- Anal, A. K., & Stevens, W. F. (2005). Chitosan–alginate multilayer beads for controlled release of ampicillin. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 290(1-2), 45–54
- Iravani, S., Korbekandi, H., & Mirmohammadi, S. v. (2015). Technology and potential applications of probiotic encapsulation in fermented milk products. *Journal Food Science Technology*, 4679-4696.
- Åvall-Jääskeläinen, S., Lindholm, A., & Palva, A. 2003. Surface display of the receptor-binding region of the *Lactobacillus brevis* S-layer protein in *Lactococcus lactis* provides nonadhesive lactococci with the ability to adhere to intestinal epithelial cells. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69:, 2230–2236.
- Bagre, A. P., Jain, K., & Jain, N. K. 2013. Alginate coated chitosan core shell nanoparticles for oral delivery of enoxaparin: In vitro and in vivo assessment. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 456: 31–40.
- Bajpai, S. K., & Sharma, S. 2004. Investigation of swelling/degradation behaviour of alginate beads crosslinked with Ca²⁺ and Ba²⁺ ions. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 59:129–140.
- Bazigha K. Abdul Rasool, & A.Fahmy, S. 2013. Development of coated beads for oral controlled delivery of cefaclor : In vitro evaluation. *Acta Pharmaceutica*, 63: 31–44.
- Biswas, S., Chattopadhyay, M., Sen, K. K., & Saha, M. K. 2015. Development and characterization of alginate coated low molecular weight chitosan nanoparticles as new carriers for oral vaccine delivery in mice. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 121: 403–410.
- Buck, B.L., Altermann, E., Svingerud, T., Todd, R., Klaenhammer, T.R., 2005. Functional Analysis of Putative Adhesion Factors in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM Functional Analysis of Putative Adhesion Factors in *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 71, 8344–8351.
- Callone, E., Campostrini, R., Carturan, G., Cavazza, A., & Guzzon, R. 2008. Immobilization of yeast and bacteria cells in alginate microbeads coated with silica membranes: procedures, physico-chemical features and bioactivity. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 18(40), 4839.

- Chávarri, M., Marañón, I., Ares, R., Ibáñez, F. C., Marzo, F., & Villarán, M. D. C. 2010. Microencapsulation of a probiotic and prebiotic in alginate-chitosan capsules improves survival in simulated gastro-intestinal conditions. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 142: 185–9.
- Chen, Y. L. 2008. Preparation and characterization of water-soluble chitosan gel for skin hydration. Master Thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Ch'ng Hung S., H. Park, P. Kelly, J. Robinson, 1985. Bioadhesive polymers as platforms for oral controlled drug delivery. Synthesis and evaluation of some swelling, water-insoluble bioadhesive polymers, *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 74:399–405.
- Cook, M. T., Tzortzis, G., Charalampopoulos, D., & Khutoryanskiy, V. V. 2011. Production and Evaluation of Dry Alginate-Chitosan Microcapsules as an Enteric Delivery Vehicle for Probiotic Bacteria. *Biomacromolecules*, 12: 2834–2840.
- Cook, M. T., Tzortzis, G., Charalampopoulos, D., & Khutoryanskiy, V. V. 2012. Microencapsulation of probiotics for gastrointestinal delivery. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 162: 56-67.
- Cook, M. T., Tzortzis, G., Charalampopoulos, D., & Khutoryanskiy, V. V. 2014. Microencapsulation of a synbiotic into PLGA/alginate multiparticulate gels. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 466:., 400–8.
- Cooper, M. A., Dalton, D. K., Stewart, T. A., Griffin, J. P., Russell, D. G. & Orme, I. M. 1993. Disseminated tuberculosis in interferon γ gene-disrupted mice. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 178:.. 2243-2247.
- Coupe A., S. Davis, & I. Wilding, 1991. Variation in gastrointestinal transit of pharmaceutical dosage forms in healthy subjects, *Pharmaceutical Research* 8: 360–364.
- De Vos, W. 1993. Future Prospects for Research and application of nisin and other bacteriocins in bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria. *Academic Press. UK* , 2:249-265.
- Desvaux, M., Dumas, E., Chafsey, I., & Hébraud, M. 2006. Protein cell surface display in Gram-positive bacteria: From single protein to macromolecular protein structure. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 256: 1–15.
- Delcour, J. F. 1999. The biosynthesis and functionality of the cell-wall of lactic acid bacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* , 76:159–184.
- Ding, W. K., & Shah, N. P. 2007. Acid, Bile, and Heat Tolerance of Free and Microencapsulated Probiotic Bacteria. *Journal of Food Science*, 72:446–450.
- Fareez, I. M., Lim, S. M., Mishra, R. K., & Ramasamy, K. 2014. Chitosan coated alginate-xanthan gum bead enhanced pH and thermotolerance of *Lactobacillus plantarum* LAB12. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 72: 1419–1428.

- Fao. (2001). Probiotics in food. *Food and Nutrition Paper*, 85, 71.
- Fiebrig, I, S.E.Harding,A.J. Rowe, S.C.Hyman, & S. S.Davis 1995. Transmission electron microscopy on pig gastric mucin and its interactions with chitosan, *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 28: 239–244.
- Flynn, J. L., Chan, J., Triebold, K. J., Dalton, D. K., Stewart, T. A. & Bloom, B. R. 1993. An essential role for interferon γ in resistance to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 178: 2249-2254.
- Gåserød, O., Smidsrød, O., & Skjåk-Bræk, G. 1998. Microcapsules of alginate-chitosan - I. A quantitative study of the interaction between alginate and chitosan. *Biomaterials*, 19(20), 1815–1825.
- Gbassi, G.K., Vandamme, T., Ennahar, S., & Marchioni, E., 2009. Microencapsulation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* spp in an alginate matrix coated with whey proteins. *International Journal Food Microbiology*. 129, 103–5.
- George, M., & Abraham, T. E. 2006. Polyionic hydrocolloids for the intestinal delivery of protein drugs : Alginate and chitosan. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 114, 1–14.
- Gheorghiu, M., M. Lagranderie, & A. M. Balazuc. 1996. Stabilisation of BCG vaccines. New approaches to stabilisation of vaccine potency. *Developments in biological standardization* . 87:251–261.
- Gouin, S. 2004. Microencapsulation: industrial appraisal of existing technologies and trends. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 15:330-347.
- Groboillot, A. F., Champagne, C. P., Darling, G. D., & Poncelet, D. 1993. Membrane formation by interfacial cross-linking of chitosan for microencapsulation of *Lactococcus lactis*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 42:1157–1163.
- Gupta, S., Ansari, H.R., & Gautam, A., 2013. Identification of B-cell epitopes in an antigen for inducing specific class of antibodies. *Biology Direct* 8, 27-42.
- Hansen, L. T., Allan-Wojtas, P. ., Jin, Y.-L., & Paulson, A. 2002. Survival of Ca-alginate microencapsulated *Bifidobacterium spp.* in milk and simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *Food Microbiology*, 19: 35–45.
- Heyman, M. 2000. Effect of lactic acid bacteria on diarrheal diseases. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 19:137–146.
- Horwitz, M. ., Harth, G., Dillon, . J. & Masleša-Galić, S. 2000. Recombinant *Bacillus Calmette–Guérin* (BCG) vaccines expressing the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 30-kDa major secretory protein induce greater protective immunity against tuberculosis than conventional BCG vaccines in a highly susceptible animal model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97: 13853-13858.

- Hynönen, U., & Palva, A. 2013. *Lactobacillus* surface layer proteins: Structure, function and applications. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 97: 5225–5243.
- Huebner RE. 1996 .*Bacillus of Calmette and Guerin* vaccination in the control of tuberculosis. In: Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology 215 AD. Division of Tuberculosis elimination ,centre for disease control and prevention,Atlanta,USA p 263-282.
- Huguet, M.L., Groboillot, A., Neufeld, R.J., Poncelet, D., & Dellacherie, E., 1994. Hemoglobin encapsulation in chitosan/calcium–alginate beads. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 51:1427–1432.
- Hwang P., J.S. Pan, & B.D. Sykes. 2014. Targeted expression, purification, and cleavage of fusion proteins from inclusion bodies in *Escherichia coli*. *FEBS Letters*.,588, pp. 247–252.
- Islam, M. A., Yun, C.-H., Choi, Y., & Chong. 2010. Microencapsulation of live probiotic bacteria. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 20: 1367–1377.
- Jiang, T., Singh, B., Maharjan, S., Li, H., Kang, S., & Bok, J.,Choi, Y. 2014. Oral delivery of probiotic expressing M cell homing peptide conjugated BmpB vaccine encapsulated into alginate / chitosan / alginate microcapsules. *European journal of pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceutics*, 88:768-777.
- J.S. Fordtran, & J.H. Walsh, Gastric-acid secretion rate and buffer content of stomach after eating - results in normal subjects and in patients with duodenal-ulcer, *Journal Clinical Investigation*. 52 (1973) 645–657.
- Klaenhammer, T. R. 1993. ‘Genetics of Bacteriocins Produced by Lactic Acid Bacteria’. *FEMS Microbiology Review*, 12: 39-87.
- Kleerebezem, M. e. 2003. Complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1. *National Academy of Sciences*,100:1990-1995.
- Klein, J., & Vorlop, D. K. 1985. Immobilization techniques: cells. In C. L. Cooney, & A. E. Humphrey, *Comprehensive biotechnology*. Oxford, UK: *Pergamon Press* 542-550.
- King, A. H. 1995. Encapsulation of food ingredients: a review of available technology, focusing on hydrocolloids. In S. J. Risch, & G. A. Reineccius, *Encapsulation and controlled release of food ingredients*. Washington, DC, USA: *American Chemical Society* 213-220.
- Kim, K.K., & Pack, D.W., 2006. Microspheres for Drug Delivery. *BioMEMS and Biomedical Nanotechnology*. 1; 520-548.
- Krasaekoopt, W., Bhandari, B., & Deeth, H. 2003. Evaluation of encapsulation techniques of probiotics for yoghurt. *International Dairy Journal*, 13: 3–13.

- Krasaekoopt, W., Bhandari, B., & Deeth, H. 2004. The influence of coating materials on some properties of alginate beads and survivability of microencapsulated probiotic bacteria. *International Dairy Journal* .14: 737–743.
- Krasaekoopt, W., & Watcharapoka, S. 2014. Effect of addition of inulin and galactooligosaccharide on the survival of microencapsulated probiotics in alginate beads coated with chitosan in simulated digestive system , yogurt and fruit juice. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 57(2), 761–766.
- Kolot, F. B. 1988. Immobilized microbial system: principles, techniques, and industrial applications. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lagranderie M, Murray A, Gicquel B, Leclerc C, & Gheorghiu M.1993. Oral immunization with recombinant BCG induces cellular and humoral immune responses against the the foreign antigen. *Vaccine*;11:1283–90.
- Lee, K. Y., & Mooney, D. J. 2012. Alginate: properties and biomedical applications. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 37:106–126.
- Leenhouts, K., Buist, G., & Kok, J. 1999. Anchoring of proteins to lactic acid bacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology*, 76(1-4), 367–376.
- Leslie, S. K., Cohen, D. J., Sedlacek, J., Pinsker, E. J., Boyan, B. D., & Schwartz, Z. 2013. Controlled release of rat adipose-derived stem cells from alginate microbeads. *Biomaterials*, 34: 8172–84.
- Li, X., Kong, X., Shi, S., Zheng, X., Guo, G., Wei, Y., & Qian, Z. 2008. Preparation of alginate coated chitosan microparticles for vaccine delivery. *BMC Biotechnology*, 8: 89.
- Loke, C. F., Omar, A. R., Raha, A. R., & Yusoff, K. 2005. Improved protection from velogenic Newcastle disease virus challenge following multiple immunizations with plasmid DNA encoding for F and HN genes, *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*.106: 259–267.
- Maidin, M. S. T. bin. Master Thesis. Identification of a heat-shock protein promoter and construction of a novel stress inducible *Lactobacillus-lactococcus* shuttle vector. Universiti Putra Malaysia, 2013.
- Marques, M. R. C., Loebenberg, R., & Almukainzi, M. 2011. Simulated Biological Fluids with Possible Application in Dissolution Testing,*Dissolution Technologies*1:15–28.
- Martinsen, A., Smidsrød, & G.S.-B.O., 1989. Alginate as immobilization material: I. Correlation between chemical and physical properties of alginate gel beads. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 33: 79–89.
- Mbow ML, De Gregorio E, Valiante NM, & Rappuoli R. 2010. New adjuvants for human vaccines. *Current Opinion in Immunology* 22:411–416.

- Menozi, F. D., Rouse, J. H., Alavi, M., Laude-Sharp, M., Muller, J., Bischoff, R., & Loch, C. (1996). Identification of a heparin-binding hemagglutinin present in mycobacteria. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 184:993–1001.
- Mirzaei, H., Pourjafar, H., & Homayouni, A. 2012. Effect of calcium alginate and resistant starch microencapsulation on the survival rate of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* La5 and sensory properties in Iranian white brined cheese. *Food Chemistry*, 132: 1966–1970.
- Moeini, H., Raha, A. R., Omar, A. R., Shafee, N., & Yusoff, K. 2011. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* as a live vehicle for oral immunization against chicken anemia virus. *Applied Microbiological Biotechnology* 90: 77–88.
- Moebus, K., Siepmann, J., & Bodmeier, R., 2012. Novel preparation techniques for alginate – poloxamer microparticles controlling protein release on mucosal surfaces. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Science*. 45, 358–366.
- No, H. K., Nah, J. W., & Meyers, S. P. 2003. Effect of time and temperature treatment parameters on depolymerization of chitosan. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 87:1890–1894.
- Nualkaekul, S., Cook, M. T., Khutoryanskiy, V. V., & Charalampopoulos, D. 2013. Influence of encapsulation and coating materials on the survival of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium longum* in fruit juices. *Food Research International*, 53: 304–311.
- Nualkaekul, S., Lenton, D., Cook, M. T., Khutoryanskiy, V. V., & Charalampopoulos, D. 2012. Chitosan coated alginate beads for the survival of microencapsulated *Lactobacillus plantarum* in pomegranate juice. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 90:1281–1287.
- Olsen, A. W., Pinxteren, L. A. H. Van, Okkels, M., Rasmussen, P. B., Andersen, P., Pinxteren, L. A. H. V. A. N., & Okkels, L. M. 2001. Protection of Mice with a Tuberculosis Subunit Vaccine Based on a Fusion Protein of Antigen 85B and ESAT-6 These include : Protection of Mice with a Tuberculosis Subunit Vaccine Based on a Fusion Protein of Antigen 85B and ESAT-6. *Infection and Immunity*, 2773–2778.
- Ottenhoff, T. H., Kumamaratne, D. & Casanova, J. L. 1998. Novel human immunodeficiencies reveal the essential role of type-I cytokines in immunity to intracellular bacteria. *Immunology Today*, 19: 491- 494.
- Ottenhof, T. H. M. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. 2012. Vaccines against Tuberculosis: Where Are We and Where Do We Need to Go? *PLoS Pathogens*, 8: 1-12.
- O’Sullivan, D.J. & Klaenhammer, T.R. .1993. Rapid mini-prep isolation of high-quality plasmid DNA from *Lactococcus* and *Lactobacillus spp.* *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 59:2730–2733.

- Peternel, Š., Bele, M., Gaberc-Porekar, V., & Komel, R. 2008. Inclusion bodies contraction with implications in biotechnology. *Acta Chimica Slovenica*, 55, 608–612.
- Pethe, K., Alonso, S., Blet, F., Delogu, G., Brennan, M. J., Lochter, C. & Menozzi, F. D. 2001. The heparin-binding haemagglutinin of *M. Tuberculosis* is required for extrapulmonary dissemination. *Nature*, 412:190-194.
- Picot, A. & C. Lacroix, 2004. Encapsulation of bifidobacteria in whey protein-based microcapsules and survival in simulated gastrointestinal conditions and in yoghurt, *International Dairy Journal*, 14:505–515.
- Press A.G, Hauptmann I.A, Hauptmann L, Fuchs B, Fuchs M, Ewe K & Ramadori G. 1998. Gastrointestinal pH profiles in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*.12:673–678.
- Rajam, R., Karthik, P., Parthasarathi, S., Joseph, G. S., & Anandharamakrishnan, C. 2012. Effect of whey protein – alginate wall systems on survival of microencapsulated *Lactobacillus plantarum* in simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 4:891–898.
- Rao AV, Shiwnarin N, & Maharij I 1989. Survival of microencapsulated *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum* in simulated gastric and intestinal juices. *Canadian Institute of Food Science and Technology Journal*. 22: 345-349.
- Sambrook, J, Fritsch, E.F. & Maniatis, T. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, second edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sabikhi, L., Babu, R., Thompkinson, D. K., & Kapila, S. 2010. Resistance of Microencapsulated *Lactobacillus acidophilus* LA1 to Processing Treatments and Simulated Gut Conditions. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 3(4), 586–593.
- Silhavy, T., Kahne, D., & Walker, S. 2010. The bacterial cell envelope. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 2:a000414.
- Schäffer, C., & Messner, P. 2005. The structure of secondary cell wall polymers: How Gram-positive bacteria stick their cell walls together. *Microbiology*, 151: 643–651.
- Shafiei, Y., Razavilar, V., Javadi, A., & Mirzaei, H. 2012. Survivability of free and microencapsulated *Lactobacillus plantarum* with alginate and resistant starch in simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, 10:207–212.
- Shawal, M., Maidin, T., Song, A.A., Jalilsood, T., Chin, C., Yusoff, K., & Abdul, R., 2014. Plasmid Construction of a novel inducible expression vector for *Lactococcus lactis* M4 and *Lactobacillus plantarum* Pa21. *Plasmid* 74, 32–38.
- Steen, A., Buist, G., Leenhouts, K.J., El Khattabi, M., Grijpstra, F., Zomer, A.L., Venema, G., & Kuipers, O.P. 2003 Cell wall attachment of a widely distributed peptidoglycan binding domain is hindered by cell wall constituents. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278, 23874– 23881.

- Sterne JA, Rodrigues LC, & Guedes IN. 1998. Does the efficacy of BCG decline with time since vaccination. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*;2:200–7.
- Takatsu, K. & Kariyone, A. 2003. The immunogenic peptide for Th1 development. *International Immunopharmacology*, 3: 783-800.
- Tarahomjoo, S., Katakura, Y., Satoh, E. and Shioya, S., 2008 Bidirectional cell-surface anchoring function of C-terminal repeat region of peptidoglycan hydrolase of *Lactococcus lactis* IL1403. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 105, 116–121.
- Tarahomjoo, S. 2013. Exploring surface display technology for enhancement of delivering viable lactic acid bacteria to gastrointestinal tract. *Lactic Acid Bacteria - R & D for Food, Health and Livestock Purposes*, 427–454.
- Tobey, N.A., Hosseini, S.S., Caymaz-Bor, C., Wyatt, H.R., Orlando, G.S., & Orlando, R.C., 2001. The role of pepsin in acid injury to esophageal epithelium. *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*. 96 (11), 3062–3070.
- Varma, N. R. S., Toosa, H., Foo, H. L., Alitheen, N. B. M., Nor Shamsudin, M., Arbab, A. S., & Abdul Rahim, R. 2013. Display of the viral epitopes on *Lactococcus lactis*: A model for food grade vaccine against EV71. *Biotechnology Research International*, 2013:9.
- Wang, C. C., Zhu, B., Fan, X., Gicquel, B. & Zhang, Y. 2013. Systems approach to tuberculosis vaccine development. *Respirology* 18:412-420.
- Wee, S., & Gombotz, W. 1998. Protein release from alginate matrices. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 31, 267–285.
- Weniger, B. G., & Papania, M. J. 2013. Alternative vaccine delivery methods. *Vaccines* 2013: 1200-1231.
- Wells, J. M. 2011. Immunomodulatory mechanisms of *lactobacilli*. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 10:1-17.
- Xu, Y., Wang, B., Chen, J., Wang, Q., Zhu, B., Shen, H., Wang, H. 2006. Chimeric protein improved immunogenicity compared with fusion protein of Ag85B and ESAT-6 antigens of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*, 64: 476–481.
- Zhang, Y., Wei, W., Lv, P., Wang, L., & Ma, G. 2011. Preparation and evaluation of alginate-chitosan microspheres for oral delivery of insulin. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* 77: 11–9.
- Zhao, Q., Maddox, I. S., Mutukumira, A., Lee, S. J., & Shu, Q. 2012. The effect of cell immobilization on the antibacterial activity of *Lactobacillus reuteri* DPC16 cells during passage through a simulated gastrointestinal tract system. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 28:3025–3037.