

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Public attitudes and literacy about posttraumatic stress disorder in U.S. adults

Authors: Jack Tsai, Jianxun Shen, Steven M. Southwick, Spencer Greenberg, Aislinn Pluta, Robert H. Pietrzak



PII: S0887-6185(17)30623-0
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2018.02.002>
Reference: ANXDIS 2003

To appear in: *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*

Received date: 22-12-2017
Revised date: 5-2-2018
Accepted date: 21-2-2018

Please cite this article as: Tsai, Jack., Shen, Jianxun., Southwick, Steven M., Greenberg, Spencer., Pluta, Aislinn., & Pietrzak, Robert H., Public attitudes and literacy about posttraumatic stress disorder in U.S.adults.*Journal of Anxiety Disorders* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2018.02.002>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Public attitudes and literacy about posttraumatic stress disorder in U.S. adults

Jack Tsai, Ph.D.^{a,b,c}

Jianxun Shen, B.S., B.A.^d

Steven M. Southwick, M.D.^{c,e}

Spencer Greenberg, Ph.D.^f

Aislinn Pluta, M.A.P.P.^f

Robert H. Pietrzak, Ph.D., M.P.H.^{c,e}

^aUnited States Department of Veterans Affairs, New England Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center, West Haven, CT 06516

^bUnited States Department of Veterans Affairs, Errera Community Care Center, West Haven, CT 06516

^cDepartment of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06511

^dSchool of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St. Andrews, Scotland, UK

^eUnited States Department of Veterans Affairs National Center for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Clinical Neurosciences Division, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, Connecticut, United States 06516

^fGimbel Technologies, LLC, New York, NY 10022

***Correspondence:**

Jack Tsai, Ph.D.

950 Campbell Ave., 151D, West Haven, CT 06516

(203) 932-5711 x2090; Jack.Tsai@yale.edu

Highlights

- Majority of participants endorsed more federal funding for PTSD programs
- Majority believed those with PTSD should have restricted access to firearms
- Participants showed little knowledge about effective PTSD treatments
- Participants' political affiliation was associated with attitudes about PTSD

Abstract

There has been little study of public literacy regarding posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Public knowledge and attitudes about PTSD are important for encouraging treatment, prevention, and informing policies. Using a national online survey of 541 adults across 47 U.S. states in November 2016, we assessed attitudes and knowledge about PTSD. Most notably with

respect to attitudes, 76-94% of the sample endorsed more federal funding for research, training, and practice for PTSD; and 76% of the sample also believed people with PTSD should have restricted access to firearms. With respect to knowledge, participants demonstrated good general knowledge about PTSD, but tended to overestimate the rate of PTSD and trauma exposure, and demonstrated little knowledge about effective treatments. Sociodemographic characteristics and political affiliation were associated with PTSD knowledge and attitudes, but clinical characteristics did not explain much additional variance. Together, these findings suggest that there is strong public support for research and practice related to PTSD, but little public knowledge about evidence-based treatments for this disorder.

Keywords: Posttraumatic Stress Disorder; Health Literacy; Prevention; Trauma; Public Policy

1. Introduction

Health literacy is an important part of health promotion and can be improved through education and public communication (Nutbeam, 2000). It has been estimated that 80 million Americans have limited health literacy, which puts them at greater risk for poor access to care and poorer health outcomes (Berkman, Sheridan, Donahue, Halpern, & Crotty, 2011). In mental health, the concept of health literacy has received much less attention (Jorm, 2000, 2012). Mental health literacy can be defined as knowledge and beliefs about mental illness which aid their recognition, management, or prevention (Jorm, 2012). A review of the literature found that much progress has been made in increasing knowledge and improving attitudes towards people with mental illness internationally, but there is still much to be done (Angermeyer & Dietrich, 2006).

In this study, we focus on mental health literacy in the U.S. related to posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

The estimated lifetime prevalence of PTSD in the general U.S. adult population is 6-8% (Goldstein et al., 2016; Kessler et al., 2005; Pietrzak, Goldstein, Southwick, & Grant, 2011). However, only about 22-53% of people with PTSD seek treatment (Hoge et al., 2004; Hoge, Riviere, Wilk, Herrell, & Weathers, 2014; Roberts, Gilman, Breslau, Breslau, & Koenen, 2011). People who lack understanding of PTSD may not recognize the symptoms, may not know that effective treatments exist, and may be less likely to seek treatment for themselves or advise others to seek treatment.

A recent online survey of 301 adults who screened positive for PTSD (Harik, Matteo, Hermann, & Hamblen, 2017) found that 72% accurately recognized traumatic events and 62% correctly identified PTSD symptoms, but only 38% could recognize effective PTSD treatments. In addition, many participants identified false items as true (e.g., divorce was a trauma that could cause PTSD, drug addiction was a symptom of PTSD) suggesting there are many public misperceptions about PTSD. One reason for these misperceptions may be media-based perpetuation of stigma related to PTSD. A content analysis of over 800 articles that mentioned PTSD in *The New York Times* between 1980-2015 (Purtle, Lynn, & Malik, 2016) found that negative themes such as crimes perpetrated by people with possible PTSD were common (18% of all articles) and relatively few articles mentioned PTSD treatment options (9% of all articles). The authors concluded that public awareness of PTSD has increased over the years, but may be incomplete, inaccurate, and perpetuate PTSD stigma at individual- and institutional-levels.

Attitudes about PTSD may vary by sociodemographic characteristics and political affiliation. One national study found that public members who were younger, white, female, and

more educated tended to have more positive attitudes about people with mental illness (Stuber, Rocha, Christian, & Link, 2014). Public members who are white have also been found to have better recognition of PTSD symptoms than those who are non-white (Harik et al., 2017). These attitudes and knowledge may also shape public policy. For example, there has been longstanding concern about gun ownership among people with PTSD (Bowen & Chang, 2016; Freeman & Roca, 2001) and recent debate around proposed legislation to allow military veterans who have mental problems carry a firearm (Phillips, 2017). Various mental health experts have worked to dispel misconceptions about links between psychopathology and gun violence, which can be complicated by cultural and political values (Metzl & MacLeish, 2015). Nonetheless, improving public literacy around mental illnesses like PTSD can help ensure public health policies related to these conditions are shaped by an informed public.

In this study, we surveyed a national convenience sample of U.S. adults to examine public attitudes and knowledge about PTSD. We sought to identify common stereotypes about PTSD, characterize stigma-related factors that may impede treatment seeking, and help determine whether there is need for greater public education about PTSD. Unlike prior surveys (Harik et al., 2017), we surveyed both adults who reported PTSD symptoms and those who did not. Based on prior work (Harik et al., 2017; Purtle et al., 2016), we hypothesized that the majority of our public sample would have misconceptions about PTSD and have incomplete knowledge about treatment for PTSD. We further hypothesized that those who have never experienced PTSD symptoms would have more misconceptions and lack of knowledge than those who have experienced PTSD symptoms.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Participants

As shown in Table 1, participants had a mean age of 37 years, 73% were non-Hispanic white, 47% were male, and about 57% had a college degree. The majority of participants lived in large cities or suburbs and earned \$15,000-\$70,000 annually. Our sample was a convenience sample and may not be representative of the general population, but nonetheless, we found background characteristics of our sample were roughly similar to the general U.S. adult population (U.S. Census Bureau, 2017); for example, sample characteristics compared to population characteristics were 34 and 38 for median age, respectively; 73% and 77% White, 47% and 49% male, 99% and 87% high school education or higher, and median income= \$31-50,000 range and \$53,889, respectively.

2.2 Procedure

A national online survey was conducted in November 2016 through a contract with ClearerThinking.org, which operates a platform that collects data through Amazon Mechanical Turk. Mechanical Turk was created as an online labor market to recruit large numbers of “workers” to complete Human Intelligence Tasks (HITs), and has become an increasingly popular method for conducting surveys and online interventions in social science research (Mason & Suri, 2012). Workers log into the Mechanical Turk website when they would like to work and their account tracks the number of HITs completed and their acceptance rates. For our survey, only workers who had completed at least 500 HITs with an approval rate of 96% or greater from previous tasks were eligible to participate; these criteria was set to screen out individuals who might engage in indiscriminate or inaccurate reporting. Two initial screening questions were used to recruit only participants who were over the age of 18 and lived in the United States. Of 577 participants who were initially recruited, 541 (93.8%) participants from 47

U.S. states completed the survey and were included in this study. Participants who completed the survey were compensated \$2.50 which is commensurate with other similar Mechanical Turk tasks. All study procedures were considered ethical and approved by the institutional review boards at (blinded institution) and (blinded institution).

There are various advantages with using Mechanical Turk, including the ability to recruit a diverse range of participants across the United States, having high quality participants, and a community governed by strong norms of honesty and accuracy (Rand, 2012; Suri, Goldstein, & Mason, 2011). Prior studies have used Mechanical Turk for trauma research (Price & van Stolk-Cooke, 2015; Schnur et al., 2017). In addition, cross-sample investigations have demonstrated that data obtained from Mechanical Turk are similar to data collected from more traditional subject pools, such as community samples and undergraduates, on various characteristics including political orientation (Berinsky, Huber, & Lenz, 2012), decision-making biases (Paolacci, Chandler, & Ipeirotis, 2010), personality styles (Buhrmester, Kwang, & Gosling, 2011), and clinical symptoms (Shapiro, Chandler, & Mueller, 2013).

2.3 Measures

The *Trauma History Screen* (THS; Carlson et al., 2011) is a self-report measure that assesses the lifetime occurrence of 13 potentially traumatic events, including early life traumas such as physical or sexual assault during childhood; as well as traumas that more commonly occur in adulthood, such as motor vehicle accident, military combat, and unexpected loss of a loved one. An additional event—life-threatening illness or injury—was added. The number of total lifetime trauma events that participants reported was summed.

The *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder-Checklist for DSM-5* (PCL-5; Weathers et al., 2013) is a 20-item screening instrument based on DSM-5 criteria for PTSD with symptoms rated on a scale from 0 (Not at all) to 4 (Extremely) with total scores ranging from 0 to 80. Participants were asked to complete the PCL-5 asked about both lifetime and past-month symptoms in reference to their ‘worst’ stressful experience on the THS. According to suggested cutoff scores provided by the National Center for PTSD (Bovin et al., 2016; U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, 2016), a PCL-5 score ≥ 33 was used to determine positive screens for PTSD. In this study, the PCL-5 showed excellent internal consistency (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .95$ for both lifetime and past-month versions of the PCL-5).

The *Patient Health Questionnaire-2* (PHQ-2; Kroenke, Spitzer, Williams, & Löwe, 2009) and the *Generalized Anxiety Disorder-2* (GAD-2; Kroenke, Spitzer, Williams, Monahan, & Löwe, 2007) was used to assess current depression and anxiety symptoms. The PHQ-2 and GAD-2 are commonly used 2-item self-report screening instruments. Participants are asked to report how often in the past two weeks they have been bothered by two core symptoms of depression and two core symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder on a scale from 0 (Not at all) to 3 (Nearly every day). Scores of 3 or greater on the PHQ-2 or the GAD-2 indicate a positive screen for the respective condition.

Recent Suicide Attempt. An item was included that asked participants yes/no whether they have tried to kill themselves in the past two years.

The *Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Consumption* (AUDIT-C) (Bush, Kivlahan, & McDonnell, 1998) is a validated three-item alcohol screening measure to identify past-year hazardous drinking or active alcohol use disorders. The AUDIT-C is a commonly used measure and is used nationally in the VA healthcare system with established cut points (Bradley

et al., 2006). For men, scores of 4 or greater are considered a positive screen for alcohol problems and scores of 3 or greater are considered a positive screen for women. In this study, the AUDIT-C showed good internal consistency ($\alpha = .79$).

Attitudes about PTSD were assessed with eight questions on a 4-point scale that asked participants the degree to which they agree with federal funding related to PTSD (e.g., “the federal government should spend more money on research for PTSD”) and their level of concerns about safety related to people with PTSD (e.g., “people with PTSD are more dangerous than regular people”). Federal funding questions were derived from past national surveys that asked similar questions about other health-related conditions (Link et al., 1995). The safety concern questions were created for this study and were derived from review of related literature (Corrigan, Morris, Michaels, Rafacz, & Rüsch, 2012) and reaching consensus on items among co-authors who are nationally recognized experts on PTSD. The mean response for the federal funding and safety concern questions was calculated for a mean scale score ($\alpha = .78$ for 5 items about federal funding, $\alpha = .68$ for 3 items about safety concerns). For each set of questions, item responses were also dichotomized to report the number and percentage of the sample that endorsed each item. These attitude items can be differentiated from knowledge items in that knowledge items can be answered with current research knowledge.

Knowledge about PTSD was assessed with 8 questions that assessed general knowledge about PTSD (listed in Table 1) and 3 questions that assessed knowledge about the most effective treatments for PTSD. The general knowledge questions were based on consensus discussion among co-authors who have expertise in PTSD on what were important concepts or misconceptions about PTSD. Items that used the term “most” as in “Most people with PTSD do not seek treatment” was presumed to mean the majority. Questions about effective treatments for

PTSD were based on review of state of knowledge (Jorm, 2000) and included the following questions: “What do you think is the most effective treatment for PTSD? (e.g., medications, physical exercise, psychotherapy); what type of medications do you think is the most effective for PTSD (e.g., antipsychotics, benzodiazepines, antidepressants); what type of psychotherapy do you think is the most effective for PTSD? (e.g., hypnosis, paradoxical therapy, exposure-based therapy).

The number of correct responses were recorded to generate a general knowledge score, and a treatment knowledge score. To assess participant’s knowledge about the prevalence of PTSD, participants were asked to estimate the percentage of people in the general population who have experienced various traumatic events, the percentage who have a diagnosis of PTSD, and the percentage of people who have experienced trauma who develop PTSD based on their own perceptions. All attitude, knowledge, and prevalence items described above are listed in the Appendix.

2.4 Data Analysis

Analyses proceeded in several steps. First, data were cleaned and preliminary analyses were conducted, which found that study variables followed a roughly normal distribution and met assumptions for regression analyses. Less than 3% of the data were missing across variables and listwise exclusion was used in any analyses that involved missing data. Second, descriptive statistics were used to examine the characteristics, attitudes, and knowledge of the total sample. Third, participants who did and did not screen positive for PTSD were compared on their attitudes and knowledge of PTSD with chi-square tests. Fourth, a series of multiple regressions were conducted to examine the association between participant characteristics and attitudes and

knowledge about PTSD. Two-block regression analyses were used with the first block including only background characteristics and the second block including clinical characteristics. Fifth, participants' perceptions about the rates of PTSD and exposure to different trauma events were compared to actual published prevalence rates using one-sample t-tests. Actual published prevalence rates were based on three major epidemiological studies (Kessler, Sonnega, Bromet, Hughes, & Nelson, 1995; Kilpatrick et al., 2013; Pietrzak et al., 2011). When a range of published prevalence rates were found, the mean proportion rate was used in comparative analyses.

3.0 Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the 541 participants in the sample, including clinical characteristics. About one third of the sample screened positive for lifetime PTSD or alcohol use disorder, and a little over one-fifth of the sample screened positive for generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder. In reference to their 'worst' stressful experience, the sample had a mean lifetime PCL-5 score of 27.00 (sd= 17.69) and a past-month PCL-5 score of 15.69 (sd= 15.36). In addition, their mean GAD-2 score was 1.57 (sd= 1.72), mean PHQ-2 score was 1.41 (sd= 1.72), and mean AUDIT-C score was 2.54 (sd= 2.68).

Table 2 describes attitudes and knowledge about PTSD among participants who did and did not screen positive for PTSD. The total sample endorsed many positive attitudes about more federal funding for research, training, and services for PTSD (total mean scale score of 3.3 on 4-point scale), and participants who screened positive for PTSD were significantly more likely to endorse federal funding than those who did not screen positive for PTSD. The total sample reported moderate safety concerns around people with PTSD (total mean scale score of 2.5 on 4-

point scale). Over 30% of the sample endorsed beliefs that people with PTSD are more dangerous than others and are often violent; and over three-fourths of the sample endorsed beliefs that people with PTSD should have restricted access to firearms. There was nearly no significant difference on safety concerns related to PTSD between participants who did and did not screen positive for PTSD.

With respect to PTSD knowledge, the total sample demonstrated good general knowledge about PTSD (total mean of 5.3 correct answers out of 8 questions) although notably the most frequent incorrect item was that the misconception that people with PTSD develop psychosis if the PTSD is untreated. The total sample demonstrated poor knowledge about effective PTSD treatments (total mean of .7 correct answers out of 3 questions). There was no significant difference in knowledge scores in either area between participants who did and did not screen positive for PTSD.

Table 3 shows results of multiple regression analyses that examined the association between background and clinical characteristics of participants and their attitudes and knowledge about PTSD. Female sex, Democratic political affiliation, and no history of suicidal ideation were independently associated with endorsement of support for more federal funding for PTSD. Participants who were more highly educated were more likely to have safety concerns about people with PTSD.

With regard to PTSD knowledge, female sex, higher education, Democratic political affiliation, and history of suicidal ideation were associated with greater general knowledge about PTSD. With regard to the belief that people develop psychosis if PTSD is untreated (not shown in Table 3), participants with less education were more likely to report that “many people develop psychosis if PTSD is untreated” (coefficient= -.10, $p < .05$). With respect to knowledge

about PTSD treatments, younger age and greater education were associated with greater knowledge about PTSD treatments. Clinical characteristics did not contribute substantial variance in knowledge about PTSD above and beyond background characteristics.

To further assess knowledge about PTSD, participants were asked to estimate the prevalence of different traumatic events and the rate of PTSD in the population based on their perceptions. Table 4 shows the estimates of participants (these are not estimates of their rates but their estimates of general population rates), as compared to actual published prevalence rates. Across a variety of traumatic events, the sample significantly overestimated the prevalence of exposure to these events with the largest differences seen in physical assault as a child, and ever being attacked with a weapon. The sample also significantly overestimated the rate of PTSD in the population by almost three-fold.

4.0 Discussion

Using a national convenience sample of the U.S. adult population, this study examined public attitudes and knowledge of PTSD. An important caveat that should be clearly stated is that we did not employ any sophisticated sampling or weighting approaches to ensure generalizability of our results to the population so the actual representativeness of our sample is unknown. Nonetheless, our results revealed fairly consistent positive public attitudes about federal funding and the prognosis of PTSD. The majority (76-94%) of participants endorsed support for more federal funding for research, training, and practice for PTSD; the majority (71%) also believed that people with PTSD recover. However, where we found more divided attitudes were around the perceived dangerousness of people with PTSD. A substantial proportion (30%) of the sample believed that people with PTSD should have restricted access to firearms. Major epidemiological

studies have found that PTSD is associated with an increased risk of violence although not specifically by firearms (Corrigan & Watson, 2005; Elbogen et al., 2014; MacManus et al., 2013). But importantly, this association should not be used to stereotype or perpetuate misperceptions about PTSD (Purtle et al., 2016) since the vast majority of people with PTSD have never engaged in violence. While we cannot determine what “restricted access” the public would endorse, past studies have found that a broad spectrum of the public are in favor of criminal and mental background checks for firearm ownership (Bowen & Chang, 2016; Goss, 2015).

In our sample, we found that most people demonstrated good general knowledge of PTSD, which has not been found for some other mental health conditions in previous studies (Angermeyer & Dietrich, 2006; Jorm, 2000). However, we also found that most of our sample had little knowledge of effective PTSD treatments which accords with a previous study (Harik et al., 2017). Surprisingly, people who screened positive for PTSD themselves had as little knowledge about treatment for this disorder relative to those without PTSD. Presumably, many who screened positive for PTSD were not receiving treatment, which may be why they did not have adequate knowledge about treatments. Stigma may also be a factor that discouraged their involvement in treatment, which in turn, can reduced their access to information about treatment (Thornicroft, 2008). These findings underscore the importance of mental health literacy as it may have implications on access to care, help-seeking behavior, and health outcomes in individuals with probable PTSD (Berkman et al., 2011; Nutbeam, 2000).

Concerted efforts to increase mental health literacy for PTSD is exemplified by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). PTSD has been of great concern for the VA given high rates of trauma exposure of military personnel but low rates of mental health service utilization

(Hoge, Auchterlonie, & Milliken, 2006; Tsai & Rosenheck, 2016). In response, the VA has led various national initiatives to increase public awareness of PTSD; reduce stigma; and educate the public. For example, the VA has created various mobile apps available to the public- such as PTSD Coach- which provide self-help, education, and support. The National Center for PTSD's website has a section dedicated to public providing overview of PTSD symptomatology and treatment (National Center for PTSD, 2017). There are also various partnering organizations and community events that the VA partners with to promote its information about PTSD. There are elements of VA initiatives that can be adapted for other populations; for example, universal screening and peer-based outreach approaches may be particularly useful in reducing stigma and engaging trauma survivors (Kimerling, Gima, Smith, Street, & Frayne, 2007; Mittal et al., 2013; Tsai, Pietrzak, Hoff, & Harpaz-Rotem, 2016).

While there was good general knowledge about PTSD, participants greatly overestimated the prevalence of PTSD and exposure to certain traumas, such as childhood physical abuse and weapon attacks. This may be important to consider because while public health campaigns may help increase awareness of health conditions, there is also the possibility that heightened awareness can lead to inaccurate perceptions about the prevalence of trauma and PTSD. In the age of information technology, there are many opportunities to spread mental health information and provide interventions online, however, there are also potential problems with information overload, poor information quality, potential harm and lack of scientific evaluation (Bell, 2007; Christensen & Griffiths, 2000). Thus, there are many opportunities to educate the public about misconceptions about PTSD and the utility of validated diagnostic assessments for PTSD.

When we examined individual characteristics associated with attitudes and knowledge of PTSD, we found that education level emerged as a significant factor across several items.

Participants with higher levels of education tended to have greater general knowledge about PTSD and specific knowledge about PTSD treatments; and those with more education also had more safety concerns about people with PTSD. This is not surprising since a large body of studies have found that years of schooling is an important determinant for health literacy, health-related behaviors, and health outcomes (Kenkel, 1991; Leigh, 1983; Mirowsky & Ross, 2015). A relatively strong association was also observed between Democratic political affiliation and support for more federal funding for PTSD. This is consistent with the few studies that have examined links between political affiliation and support for government spending and policies for mental health (Barry, McGinty, Pescosolido, & Goldman, 2014; McSween, 2002).

Several limitations of this study are worth noting. A national convenience sample was recruited using the Mechanical Turk platform. The demographic characteristics of the sample were roughly similar to Census data, but the sample reported higher levels of psychopathology than has been reported in U.S. population studies. Mental health screening measures were used to assess the mental health of participants, but no formal diagnoses were made. Most questions about attitudes and knowledge about PTSD were cursory, designed for this study, and had not been psychometrically tested so further study is needed with more comprehensive assessments. Some questions may have been misinterpreted or misunderstood by participants. In addition, we did not include questions that would have allowed for relative comparisons (e.g., Are people in favor of restrictions on gun control in general or only for those with PTSD? Are people in favor of federal and private funding for PTSD?) and these comparisons should be explored in future studies. Despite these limitations, this study contributes to the sparse literature on public attitudes and knowledge about PTSD, which may have important implications for policy, research, and practice. Additional research is needed to develop and test tailored interventions targeting

misperceptions about PTSD and devise new ways to increase mental health literacy among high-risk groups.

5.0 Conclusions

Together, these findings provide important information about how the public views PTSD and what it knows. While many members of the public may be supportive of federal funding for research, training, and services for PTSD, they may also have concerns about access to firearms for people with PTSD and limited knowledge about effective treatments. Thus, there are many opportunities for increasing mental health literacy on PTSD in several areas. For example, a range of interventions including whole-of-community campaigns (i.e., campaigns that involve community stakeholders and targeting specific communities), interventions in educational settings, Mental Health First Aid training, and information websites have been developed (Jorm, 2012). Greater advertising of PTSD treatments may certainly improve mental health literacy, there may also be a need for development of initiatives outside usual advertising venues that can encourage new cultural shifts towards more open discussions about mental health and treatment. Of course, the type of intervention, the context, and the target population must be considered when planning information sharing efforts.

Disclosures: Funding for this study was provided by the Clinical Neurosciences Division of the National Center for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and a private donation. The work was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, Office of Research and Development. The views presented here are those of the authors, alone, and do not represent the position of the United States Government. None of the authors report any conflicts of interest with this work.

Acknowledgements: We thank ClearerThinking.org for their technical support and services for this study.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

5.0 References

- Angermeyer, M. C., & Dietrich, S. (2006). Public beliefs about and attitudes towards people with mental illness: A review of population studies. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *113*(3), 163-179.
- Barry, C. L., McGinty, E. E., Pescosolido, B. A., & Goldman, H. H. (2014). Stigma, discrimination, treatment effectiveness, and policy: Public views about drug addiction and mental illness. *Psychiatric Services*, *65*(10), 1269-1272.
- Bell, V. (2007). Online information, extreme communities and internet therapy: Is the internet good for our mental health? *Journal of Mental Health*, *16*(4), 445-457.
- Berinsky, A. J., Huber, G. A., & Lenz, G. S. (2012). Evaluating online labor markets for experimental research: Amazon. com's Mechanical Turk. *Political Analysis*, *20*(3), 351-368.
- Berkman, N. D., Sheridan, S. L., Donahue, K. E., Halpern, D. J., & Crotty, K. (2011). Low health literacy and health outcomes: An updated systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *155*(2), 97-107.
- Bovin, M. J., Marx, B. P., Weathers, F. W., Gallagher, M. W., Rodriguez, P., Schnurr, P. P., & Keane, T. M. (2016). Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders–Fifth Edition (PCL-5) in veterans. *Psychological Assessment*, *28*(11), 1379-1391.
- Bowen, M., & Chang, A. (2016). Combat veterans on civilian gun rights. *Society*, *53*(4), 398-407.

- Bradley, K. A., Williams, E. C., Achtmeyer, C. E., Volpp, B., Collins, B. J., & Kivlahan, D. R. (2006). Implementation of evidence-based alcohol screening in the Veterans Health Administration. *American Journal of Managed Care*, *12*(10), 597-606.
- Buhrmester, M., Kwang, T., & Gosling, S. D. (2011). Amazon's Mechanical Turk a new source of inexpensive, yet high-quality, data? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*(1), 3-5.
- Bush, K., Kivlahan, D. R., & McDonnell, M. B. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): An effective brief screening test for problem drinking: Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP): Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *158*, 1789-1795.
- Carlson, E. B., Smith, S. R., Palmieri, P. A., Dalenberg, C., Ruzek, J. I., Kimerling, R., . . . Spain, D. A. (2011). Development and validation of a brief self-report measure of trauma exposure: The Trauma History Screen. *Psychological Assessment*, *23*(2), 463.
- Christensen, H., & Griffiths, K. (2000). The Internet and mental health literacy. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, *34*(6), 975-979.
- Corrigan, P. W., Morris, S. B., Michaels, P. J., Rafacz, J. D., & Rüsch, N. (2012). Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: A meta-analysis of outcome studies. *Psychiatric Services*, *63*(10), 963-973.
- Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2005). Findings from the National Comorbidity Survey on the frequency of violent behavior in individuals with psychiatric disorders. *Psychiatry Research*, *136*(2), 153-162.
- Elbogen, E. B., Johnson, S. C., Wagner, H. R., Sullivan, C., Taft, C. T., & Beckham, J. C. (2014). Violent behaviour and post-traumatic stress disorder in US Iraq and Afghanistan veterans. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *204*(5), 368-375.

- Freeman, T. W., & Roca, V. (2001). Gun use, attitudes toward violence, and aggression among combat veterans with chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 189*(5), 317-320.
- Goldstein, R. B., Smith, S. M., Chou, S. P., Saha, T. D., Jung, J., Zhang, H., . . . Grant, B. F. (2016). The epidemiology of DSM-5 posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions-III. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 51*(8), 1137-1148.
- Goss, K. A. (2015). Defying the odds on gun regulation: The passage of bipartisan mental health laws across the states. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 85*(3), 203-210.
- Harik, J. M., Matteo, R. A., Hermann, B. A., & Hamblen, J. L. (2017). What people with PTSD symptoms do (and do not) know about PTSD: A national survey. *Depression and Anxiety, 34*(4), 374-382. doi:10.1002/da.22558
- Hoge, C. W., Auchterlonie, J. L., & Milliken, C. S. (2006). Mental health problems, use of mental health services, and attrition from military service after returning from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 295*(9), 1023-1032.
- Hoge, C. W., Castro, C. A., Messer, S. C., McGurk, D., Cotting, D. I., & Koffman, R. L. (2004). Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. *New England Journal of Medicine, 351*(1), 13-22.
- Hoge, C. W., Riviere, L. A., Wilk, J. E., Herrell, R. K., & Weathers, F. W. (2014). The prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in US combat soldiers: a head-to-head comparison of DSM-5 versus DSM-IV-TR symptom criteria with the PTSD checklist. *Lancet Psychiatry, 1*(4), 269-277.

- Jorm, A. F. (2000). Mental health literacy. Public knowledge and beliefs about mental disorders. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 177*, 396-401.
- Jorm, A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *American Psychologist, 67*(3), 231-243.
- Kenkel, D. S. (1991). Health behavior, health knowledge, and schooling. *Journal of Political Economy, 99*(2), 287-305.
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 62*(6), 593-602.
- Kessler, R. C., Sonnega, A., Bromet, E., Hughes, M., & Nelson, C. B. (1995). Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 52*(12), 1048-1060.
- Kilpatrick, D. G., Resnick, H. S., Milanak, M. E., Miller, M. W., Keyes, K. M., & Friedman, M. J. (2013). National estimates of exposure to traumatic events and PTSD prevalence using DSM- IV and DSM- 5 criteria. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 26*(5), 537-547.
- Kimerling, R., Gima, K., Smith, M. W., Street, A., & Frayne, S. (2007). The Veterans Health Administration and military sexual trauma. *American Journal of Public Health, 97*(12), 2160-2166.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B. W., & Löwe, B. (2009). An ultra-brief screening scale for anxiety and depression: the PHQ-4. *Psychosomatics, 50*(6), 613-621.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B. W., Monahan, P. O., & Löwe, B. (2007). Anxiety disorders in primary care: Prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. *Annals of Internal Medicine, 146*(5), 317-325.

- Leigh, J. P. (1983). Direct and indirect effects of education on health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 17(4), 227-234.
- Link, B. G., Schwartz, S., Moore, R., Phelan, J., Struening, E., & Stueve, A. (1995). Public knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about homeless people: Evidence for compassion fatigue? *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 23(4), 533-555.
- MacManus, D., Dean, K., Jones, M., Rona, R. J., Greenberg, N., Hull, L., . . . Fear, N. T. (2013). Violent offending by UK military personnel deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan: A data linkage cohort study. *Lancet*, 381(9870), 907-917.
- Mason, W., & Suri, S. (2012). Conducting behavioral research on Amazon's Mechanical Turk. *Behavior Research Methods*, 44(1), 1-23.
- McSween, J. L. (2002). The role of group interest, identity, and stigma in determining mental health policy preferences. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law*, 27(5), 773-800.
- Metzl, J. M., & MacLeish, K. T. (2015). Mental illness, mass shootings, and the politics of American firearms. *American Journal of Public Health*, 105(2), 240-249.
- Mirowsky, J., & Ross, C. E. (2015). Education, health, and the default American lifestyle. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 56(3), 297-306.
- Mittal, D., Drummond, K. L., Blevins, D., Curran, G., Corrigan, P., & Sullivan, G. (2013). Stigma associated with PTSD: Perceptions of treatment seeking combat veterans. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 36(2), 86-92.
- National Center for PTSD. (2017, March 31, 2017). Public Section: For Veterans, the General Public, Family and Friends. Retrieved from <https://www.ptsd.va.gov/public/index.asp>

- Nutbeam, D. (2000). Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. *Health promotion international, 15*(3), 259-267.
- Paolacci, G., Chandler, J., & Ipeirotis, P. G. (2010). Running experiments on Amazon Mechanical Turk. *Judgment and Decision Making, 5*(5), 411-419.
- Phillips, K. (2017, March 22). A congressional bill could allow veterans deemed mentally incompetent to own guns. Retrieved from https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/checkpoint/wp/2017/03/22/a-congressional-bill-could-allow-veterans-deemed-mentally-incompetent-to-own-guns/?utm_term=.19738f6eb2d5
- Pietrzak, R. H., Goldstein, R. B., Southwick, S. M., & Grant, B. F. (2011). Prevalence and axis I comorbidity of full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: results from wave 2 of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 25*(3), 456-465.
- Price, M., & van Stolck-Cooke, K. (2015). Examination of the interrelations between the factors of PTSD, major depression, and generalized anxiety disorder in a heterogeneous trauma-exposed sample using DSM 5 criteria. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 186*, 149-155.
- Purtle, J., Lynn, K., & Malik, M. (2016). "Calculating The Toll Of Trauma" in the headlines: Portrayals of posttraumatic stress disorder in the New York Times (1980–2015). *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 86*(6), 632-638.
- Rand, D. G. (2012). The promise of Mechanical Turk: How online labor markets can help theorists run behavioral experiments. *Journal of Theoretical Biology, 299*, 172-179.

- Roberts, A. L., Gilman, S. E., Breslau, J., Breslau, N., & Koenen, K. C. (2011). Race/ethnic differences in exposure to traumatic events, development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and treatment-seeking for post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States. *Psychological Medicine, 41*(1), 71-83.
- Schnur, J. B., Chaplin, W. F., Khurshid, K., Mogavero, J. N., Goldsmith, R. E., Lee, Y. S., . . . Montgomery, G. H. (2017). Development of the Healthcare Triggering Questionnaire in Adult Sexual Abuse Survivors. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*. doi:10.1037/tra0000273
- Shapiro, D. N., Chandler, J., & Mueller, P. A. (2013). Using Mechanical Turk to study clinical populations. *Clinical Psychological Science, 1*(2), 213-220.
- Stuber, J. P., Rocha, A., Christian, A., & Link, B. G. (2014). Conceptions of mental illness: Attitudes of mental health professionals and the general public. *Psychiatric Services, 65*(4), 490-497.
- Suri, S., Goldstein, D. G., & Mason, W. A. (2011). Honesty in an Online Labor Market. *Human Computation: Papers from the 2011 AAAI Workshop (WS-11-11)*, 61-66.
- Thornicroft, G. (2008). Stigma and discrimination limit access to mental health care. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences, 17*(1), 14-19.
- Tsai, J., Pietrzak, R. H., Hoff, R. A., & Harpaz-Rotem, I. (2016). Accuracy of screening for posttraumatic stress disorder in specialty mental health clinics in the US Veterans Affairs Healthcare System. *Psychiatry Research, 240*, 157-162.
- Tsai, J., & Rosenheck, R. A. (2016). US Veterans' Use Of VA Mental Health Services And Disability Compensation Increased From 2001 To 2010. *Health Affairs, 35*(6), 966-973.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2017). Data. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/data.html>

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. (2016, March 4, 2016). PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-

5). Retrieved from <https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/adult-sr/ptsd-checklist.asp>

Weathers, F. W., Litz, B. T., Keane, T. M., Palmieri, P. A., Marx, B. P., & Schnurr, P. P. (2013).

The PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). Retrieved from

<http://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/adult-sr/ptsd-checklist.asp>

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample (N= 541)

	Mean/N	SD/%
Sociodemographics		
Age	36.61	10.96
Sex- Male	256	47.3
Race/Ethnicity		
Non-Hispanic white	397	73.4
Non-Hispanic black	47	8.7
Hispanic white	48	8.9
Hispanic black	6	1.1
Asian/Pacific islander	45	8.3
Native/Alaskan	6	1.1
Other	5	0.9
Education		
Below high school	1	0.2
High school/GED	64	11.8
Some college	165	30.5
Associates/Bachelors	234	43.3
Advanced degree	77	14.2
Annual Income		
Less than \$15,000	87	16.1
\$15,000-30,000	123	22.7
\$31,000-50,000	138	25.5

\$51,000-70,000	111	20.5
\$71,000-90,000	34	6.3
\$91,000-110,000	24	4.4
Greater than 110,000	24	4.4
City Size of Residence		
Large city of 100,000 or more	202	37.3
A small city	89	16.5
A suburb	117	21.6
A small town	68	12.6
A rural town	65	12.0
Ever served in the military	25	4.6
Political affiliation		
Democrat	223	42.2
Republican	110	20.8
Independent	171	32.4
Other	24	4.5
Trauma and clinical characteristics		
Experienced a traumatic event	460 ^a	85.0
Total # of different traumatic events	3.27	2.66
Age first experienced worst traumatic event ^b	24.51	11.63

^a The most common 'worst' traumatic event reported by 34.3% was "sudden death of close family member or friend"

^b Among only those who reported having been experienced a traumatic event

Lifetime PCL-5 ^c positive screen	162	29.9
Past-month PCL-5 positive screen	66	12.2
GAD-2 positive screen	121	22.4
PHQ-2 positive screen	114	21.1
Any suicidal ideation	92	17.0
AUDIT-C positive screen	190	35.1

^c PCL-5= Posttraumatic Stress Disorder-Checklist for DSM-5; PHQ-2= Patient Health Questionnaire-2; GAD-2= Generalized Anxiety Disorder-2; AUDIT-C= Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Consumption

Table 2. Attitudes and knowledge about posttraumatic stress disorder

	Total sample (N= 523)		Participants screened negative for PTSD (N= 366)		Participants screened positive for PTSD (N = 157)		Test of difference between PTSD and no PTSD	
	#	%/SD	#	%/SD	#	%/SD	Odds ratio ^a	95% CI
Attitude items								
Agree more federal spending for: ^b								
Treatment for PTSD	458	87.6	311	85.0	147	93.6	2.54*	1.23-5.28
Educating public about PTSD	399	76.3	273	74.6	126	80.3	1.35	0.84-2.17
Research for PTSD	460	88.0	313	85.5	147	93.6	2.61**	1.26-5.42
Job opportunities for people with PTSD	451	86.2	307	83.9	144	91.7	2.17*	1.12-4.23
Training for healthcare professionals on PTSD	490	93.7	336	91.8	154	98.1	4.98*	1.45-17.18
Mean total # endorsed	4.32	1.13	4.21	1.22	4.57	0.81	Beta= .15***	
Concerns about safety related to PTSD:								

^a Controlling for demographic differences between groups on sex, race/ethnicity, and political affiliation.

^b Responses were dichotomized as Yes/Definitely Yes/Yes or No/Definitely No.

People with PTSD are more dangerous than others	189	36.1	131	35.8	58	36.9	1.17	0.78-1.76
People with PTSD should have restricted access to firearms	395	75.7	278	76.2	117	74.5	0.90	0.58-1.41
People with PTSD are often violent	165	31.6	117	32.1	48	30.6	0.98	0.65-1.49
Mean total # endorsed	1.43	1.02	1.44	1.02	1.42	1.03	Beta < .00	
Knowledge items								
General knowledge about PTSD: ^c								
People can develop PTSD without directly experiencing trauma	328	62.8	228	62.5	100	63.7	1.07	0.71-1.60
People with PTSD often abuse alcohol and drugs	406	77.8	282	77.3	124	79.0	1.11	0.70-1.76
Most people with PTSD do not seek treatment	432	82.8	293	80.3	139	88.5	1.85*	1.05-3.26

^c Items were coded so that higher scores reflect greater knowledge about PTSD.

People with PTSD need to learn to get over trauma	270	51.7	182	49.9	88	56.1	1.16	0.79-1.72
People with PTSD should avoid talking about their trauma	449	86.0	309	84.7	140	89.2	1.26	0.69-2.31
People with PTSD do not recover	373	71.5	273	74.8	100	63.7	0.57**	0.38-0.86
People with PTSD often report positive psychological changes	325	62.3	226	61.9	99	63.1	1.07	0.72-1.60
Many people develop psychosis if PTSD is untreated	158	30.3	114	31.2	44	28.0	0.83	0.54-1.26
Total # of correct answers	5.25	1.26	5.22	1.27	5.31	1.23	Beta= .01	
Knowledge about most effective treatments:								
Treatment regimen for PTSD	155	29.6	115	31.4	40	25.5	0.83	0.54-1.28
Medication for PTSD	136	26.0	97	26.5	39	24.8	0.91	0.59-1.42
Psychotherapy for PTSD	76	14.5	50	13.7	26	16.6	1.38	0.81-2.35
Total # of correct answers score	0.70	0.75	0.72	0.75	0.67	0.75	Beta= -.02	

Table 3. Standardized coefficients of characteristics associated with attitudes and knowledge about posttraumatic stress disorder

	Attitudes ^a		Knowledge ^b	
	Federal spending	Safety concerns	General knowledge	Knowledge about treatment
Block 1: Background characteristics				
Age	<.00	.05	.01	-.15**
Female	.11*	-.03	.13**	-.06
White	-.03	-.08	.09	-.02
Education	-.04	-.13**	.15**	.18***
Annual income	-.06	.03	-.06	.07
City size	-.04	-.06	.02	.06
Veteran	-.03	-.02	.07	-.07
Democrat	.20***	-.04	.11*	-.01
Total adjusted R ²	.05***	.01	.05***	.05***

^a Attitude scores for federal funding and safety concerns around PTSD were based on total scale mean scores, and the “people with PTSD do not recover” item was based on the item mean score.

^b Knowledge scores for general knowledge about PTSD and knowledge about PTSD treatment were based on the total number of correct answers in each category.

F-value	3.80***	1.76	3.96***	4.04***
Block 2: Clinical characteristics				
Total # of different traumatic events	.02	.05	-.02	-.08
Lifetime PCL-5 score	.09	-.03	.07	.03
GAD-2 score	.05	.05	-.04	-.10
PHQ-2 score	.14	-.05	.02	.03
Any suicidal ideation	-.14*	-.05	-.13*	.05
AUDIT-C score	.04	.09	-.04	.05
Change in R ²	.03**	<.00	>.00	<.00
F-value	3.69**	0.95	1.31	1.05

Note: Values shown are standardized beta values. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

Table 4. Prevalence of exposure to traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder estimated by the sample compared to actual population-based studies

	Estimates made by sample		Actual population estimates ^a	Difference in between Sample vs. Actual	Sample vs. actual population estimates ^b
	Mean \pm sd	Median	Mean range	$\Delta\%$	t-test
% who experienced the following traumatic events:					
Life-threatening illness or injury	27.5 \pm 21.4	20.0	18.6	8.9	t(524) = 9.53***
Car, boat, train, or airplane accident	29.8 \pm 22.4	25.0	18.1-19.2	11.2	t(523) = 11.4***
Accident at work or home	24.7 \pm 20.4	20.0	11.5-19.2	9.4	t(523) = 10.5***
Hurricane, flood, earthquake, tornado, or fire	25.3 \pm 21.0	20.0	17.0-50.5	-8.4	t(523) = -9.20***

^a Population estimates based on Kessler et al. (1995); Pietrzak et al. (2011); Kilpatrick et al. (2013)

^b Mean estimates made by the sample were compared to actual mean population estimates (mean value was used when there was a range) with one-sample t-tests.

Hit or kicked hard enough to injure - as a child	28.9±26.0	20.0	3.9-4.0	25.0	t(523) = 22.0***
Hit or kicked hard enough to injure - as an adult	23.2±22.3	15.0	8.9	14.3	t(523) = 14.7***
Forced or made to have sexual contact - as an adult	43.6±28.7	40.0	9.7	33.9	t(523) = 27.1***
Attacked with a gun, knife, or weapon	41.4±28.0	40.0	12.6-12.9	28.6	t(522) = 23.4***
Sudden death of close family member or friend	30.2±23.8	25.0	45.6-51.8	-18.5	t(522) = -17.8***
Witnessed sudden death or serious injury	35.0±26.1	30.0	22.6-26.3	10.6	t(522) = 9.22***
% who experienced any traumatic event in lifetime	48.8±25.5	50.0	51.2-91.3	-22.4	t(526) = -20.2***
% who have experienced a traumatic event that develop PTSD	34.8±22.3	30.0	10.5-14.0	22.56	t(525) = 23.2***
% lifetime prevalence of PTSD	23.2±18.6	20.0	6.4-8.3	15.8	t(525) = 19.5***

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001