



#### Radiometric Source Uniformity Characterization with Angular Scans: Landsat-9 OLI-2 Experience

Raviv Levy (SSAI), Geir Kvaran (BATC), Brian Markham (NASA-GSFC)

**CALCON 2019** 









- Test setup and test plan description
- Data processing
- Results
- Conclusion





#### **Radiometric Test Setup**

OLI2 Focal Plane Detectors.

inside a TVAC chamber mounted on a test-fixture that is controlled by **3 motorized stages**Rotation stage (**Yaw**), a Goni-stage (**Pitch**) and a **Linear** stage (Aerotech)
Controlling pointing in 2-axes (along and across tack the FPA coordinate system)

- Calibration Integrating Sphere a.k.a Death Star Source (DSS) with variable signal levels and radiance controlled in-band for increase source stability. (LabSphere)
  - monitor detector uncertainty is <0.028% for 2hrs
  - radiance stability in closed loop over 25min having <0.096% (evaluated at 5% of Lmax)
- Uniformity characterization of Sphere source done using all of OLI imaging detectors

Test sequence named : DSS uniformity Mapping.

The Mapping collects occurred with one radiance levels per band – (OLI2 peak level of each band)

The sphere source mappings are followed with quick (<2min) **Yaw** collect sets at multiple radiance levels per band that centers the sphere exit port pointing vector **Pitch** angle on the line of sight on the centerline of either the even or odd FPMs for every band. Those collects are used primarily as characterization of OLI relative gains. A subset of the such collects is also used as validation data for the OLI2 uniformity and non-linearity calibration.



BATC - Death Star Source (DSS) Integrating Sphere (Labsphere)





### DSS Mapping collect sequence

#### **Advantages**

- Quick collect illuminating all detectors and all bands assuring known repeatable source profile, can help finding best calibration zone - optimized for the sphere uniformity.
   Provide a 2D illumination profile that can assist analysis of the step-stare collects and other yaw collects.
- During full mapping collect the stability of the DSS is better than 0.03% for Lmax of the band
- **Produces FPM overlap statistics data** similar to on-orbit collect analysis used for improving the across track uniformity.
- Still in evaluation extraction residual non-linearity per FPM with same source uncertainty throughout the dynamic range (not possible for all FPMs)





### Mapping collects

- Sweeps through DSS at 0.5° per second (all detectors within an FPM see the same sphere profile)
- Repeated at 21 elevations stepped in 0.1° covering a 2.1° along-track
- For each detector locate 3 zones of 1deg segment within the Sphere Plateau of (~5deg)

one near peak response

UDSAT NIN

one where the signal has the lowest Stdev (i.e. most uniform zone)

middle of plateau (i.e. the default pointing center for other DSS collects)

For all zones compute the Signal uniformity as (1-sigma stdev) and mean signal per detector.

 Total of 17 Mapping Collect sets where made with repeats collects for select bands (CA, Blue, Pan, SW1, SW2, Red)

A commutative 6.5hrs about 0.8Tb of data

Additional 57 sets with 2 Yaw sweeps collects added 2 hours for a complete uniformity/linearity check collects





# Best data for estimating relative gains

Reasons it is better

- Sphere maps helps us understand what gets measured during other primary calibration sphere collects.
- View of common angular zone of sphere are seen by both even/odd FPMs.
- Sphere signal is stable.
- For bands with higher non-uniformity in the source can be compensated for the sphere as measured illumination profile.
- Per FPM get multiple repeats of FPM relative gain estimates 3 zones and 21 elevations scans.
- Value in consideration per detector is an average of about 1deg FOV on the sphere rather the narrow FOV during other collects.
- · Free of non-linearity effects impacts.
- To lock FPM to FPM relative gains overlap detectors can be used to between two elevation collects that are going to "see" the same part of the sphere on the neighboring FPM.
- Better matching of the uncertainty of the calibration of uniformity to the stability and noise level of the OLI2 system.
- In-band Yaw collects can be used as verification data for FPM relative gains computed from Mapping collects.





## Data Processing Plans

- From Mapping collects evaluate 3 zones within the sphere signal plateau (near peak response, center of sphere and lowest non-uniformity) and compute from it the find the most uniform 1deg across track zone and compute relative gains for detectors.
- Repeat for each band.
- Using Non-uniformity corrections derived from Mapping data use it and the non-linearity correction to flat field the characterization Yaw collects – and report the residual error. (Work in progress)
- Non-linearity and linearity correction derivations using the sphere yaw data. (Work in progress)

Ultimate Goal of this effort is to compute the most accurate baseline for prelaunch FPM to FPM relative gain correction and per detector relative gain corrections.



## **FPM to FPM response statistics**

• Ratio of response average in overlap detectors.

NDSAT NIN

• Odd and Even FPMs see along-track different part of the sphere due to angular separationalized CA band sphere view illustration







## Sphere Uniformity Samples

• Uniformity of DSS as measured by all detectors for every band

DSAL N



3 variables are checked here: sphere output vs. angle in two orthogonal directions relative to the sphere exit port – line-of-sight through the dynamic range, at each wavelength.



Band	Uniformity along track 1-Sigma	Uniformity across Track 1-Sigma
CA	0.096%	0.091%
SWIR2	0.340%	0.307%





## Portion of sphere view

 SWIR2 data – shows very different results depending in the section of the profile selected









### Uncertainties

- Core uncertainty factors impacting analysis error bars.
- For relative gains inputs:
  - Sphere output stability during full collect set <0.056% @Lmax
  - OLI detector noise/stability <0.66% below 5% of Lmax and getting to about 0.1% near the plateau at Lmax OLI 1min stability is better than 0.26% 2- sigma
  - Constant scan rate assumption during collect angular range error between FPMs or detectors (not too critical)

#### For FPM to FPM tie points.

- Timing of collect (maximum is about 14min vs. milliseconds on-orbit) GSE stability – Jitter etc... (14ifov vs. 1/5 ifov on orbit)
- Pointing repeatability/uncertainty during collect impact ability to find same source zone for all pixels in overlap. (mitigated by very uniform sphere)



## **DSS source uniformity & stability**

- Stability measured externally confirmed expected results prior to CATS testing any deviations are due to non-uniformity.
- Uniformity measured with OLI2 mapping
- After removing low frequency variations in each zone of the sphere collect we get a stable repeatable level of uncertainty about 0.11%
- Results for most bands and FPMs are within requirements of 0.25% stability
- Non-uniformity need to be corrected per FPM per band when impact of across track stability rises to >0.25%. (only select bands)







- OLI2 pre-launch calibration demonstrated an improved procedure for sensor response non-uniformity parameters derivation. – A matched quality between the calibration tools and the sensor was achieved.
- Data demonstrate the DSS is a very uniform calibration sphere <0.4% at Lmax with matching non-uniformity between along track and across track direction in a sphere box of 1°x2.1°
- Reduction of uncertainties during collect compared to OLI collects was due to 3 main factors: Short collect duration under 25min for a grid of 21x2.1 degrees, uniform sphere source, optimized sphere source stability.

