

1 **EXPLORING SIMULATED DRIVING PERFORMANCE AMONG VARSITY MALE SOCCER**  
2 **PLAYERS**

3

4 Mathieu Tremblay<sup>1,2</sup>, Martin Lavallière<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Wayne J. Albert<sup>1</sup>, Simon R. Boudreau<sup>2</sup>, Michel J. Johnson<sup>2</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup> Occupational Performance Lab, Faculty of Kinesiology, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton (NB),  
7 Canada.

8 <sup>2</sup> École de kinésiologie et de loisir, Université de Moncton, Moncton (NB), Canada.

9 <sup>3</sup> Module de Kinésiologie, Département des Sciences de la Santé, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi  
10 (UQAC), Saguenay (QC), Canada.

11 <sup>4</sup> Laboratoire de recherche biomécanique & neurophysiologique en réadaptation neuro-musculo-  
12 squelettique (Lab BioNR), UQAC, Saguenay (QC), Canada.

13 <sup>5</sup> Centre de recherche – Charles-Le Moyne – Saguenay–Lac-Saint-Jean sur les innovations en santé  
14 (CRCSIS) (QC), Canada.

15

16 Mathieu Tremblay [mathieu.tremblay@unb.ca](mailto:mathieu.tremblay@unb.ca)

17 Martin Lavalliere [martin\\_lavalliere@uqac.ca](mailto:martin_lavalliere@uqac.ca)

18 Wayne J. Albert [walbert@unb.ca](mailto:walbert@unb.ca)

19 Simon R. Boudreau [simon.boudreau005@gmail.com](mailto:simon.boudreau005@gmail.com)

20 \*Michel J. Johnson [michel.johnson@umoncton.ca](mailto:michel.johnson@umoncton.ca)

21

22 \*Corresponding author:

23 Michel J. Johnson

24 École de kinésiologie et de loisir

25 CEPS Louis-J. Robichaud

26 Université de Moncton

27 40, avenue Antonine-Maillet,

28 Moncton, NB, Canada, E1A 3E9

29 Phone: +1.506.858.4811 Fax: +1.506.858.4308

30 **ABSTRACT**

31 **Background:** It is documented that male athletes display riskier behaviours while driving (as well as in their  
32 life in general) than female athletes and non-athletes. However, literature reported that athletes show better  
33 driving ability than non-athletes. This paradox between behaviours and abilities motivated the present study  
34 to further understand the collision risk of varsity athletes.

35 **Objective:** The current study estimates the performance differences between varsity male soccer players and  
36 male undergraduate non-athlete students on: i) a driving task and, ii) three perceptual-cognitive tasks  
37 (associated to collision risk prediction; i.e. Useful Field of View (UFOV) test).

38 **Methods:** Thirty-five male undergraduate students (15 varsity soccer players, 20 undergraduate non-athlete  
39 students) took part in this study. Driving performances were assessed during 14 minutes of urban commuting  
40 using a driving simulator. While completing the simulated driving task and UFOV test, the physiological  
41 responses were monitored using an electrocardiograph (ECG) to document heart rate variability (HRV).

42 **Results:** Varsity soccer players shown more at-risk behaviours at the wheel compared to their non-athlete  
43 student peers. Varsity soccer players spent more time over the speed limits, did more driving errors and, they  
44 also adopted less safe and legal behaviours. However, no difference was observed between both groups on  
45 driving skills variables (i.e. vehicle control, vehicle mobility, ecodriving). For subtest 1 and 2 of UFOV (i.e.  
46 processing speed, divided attention), both groups perform identically (i.e. 17 milliseconds; ms). The non-  
47 athlete group tends to outperform on the selective attention task (i.e. subtest 3 of UFOV test), ( $63.2 \pm 6.2$  ms  
48 vs.  $87.2 \pm 10.7$  ms, respectively, but this difference was non-significant ( $p = 0.76$ ).

49 **Conclusion:** Preventive driving measures should be enforced in this high-risk population to develop  
50 strategies for risk reduction in male team athletes.

51

52 **KEYWORDS**

53 Undergraduate students, Behaviour, Driving skills, Perceptual-cognitive task.

54 **INTRODUCTION**

55 Vehicular collisions remain one of the leading causes of death for individuals under 24 years of age in North  
56 America (Heron 2015; Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) 2012). The main contributors to these  
57 deaths and serious injuries are risky driving behaviours such as speeding, driving under the influences of  
58 alcohol, distractions and neglecting to wear a seatbelt (Lambert-Bélanger et al. 2012; Transport Canada  
59 2012.). However, a gender difference within this age group is also noted, with men having a 3.3 times higher  
60 incidence rate of collisions resulting in death than females (16.8 per 100,000 vs 5.1 per 100,000, respectively)  
61 (Statistics Canada 2014). Furthermore, as reported by the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA),  
62 the principal cause of male mortality was vehicle collisions, representing a yearly rate of 9.5 deaths per  
63 100,000 American student-athletes (Asif et al. 2013). When comparing male athletes to their female  
64 counterparts and non-athletic peers, it has been observed that male athletes engaged in riskier behaviours  
65 such as alcohol consumption, unsafe sex, speeding, seatbelt omission, and cellphone usage while driving  
66 (Asif et al. 2013; Nattiv et al. 1997). Nonetheless, in Canada very little research has been done to compare  
67 driving performance in athletes and non-athletes among the student population, in part because athletic status  
68 is typically not a factor taken into consideration while looking at road safety statistics.

69  
70 Despite the fact that the research field in “*athlete and driving*” is limited, the literature tends to show that  
71 male athletes have greater risk-taking behaviours than non-athletes as well as female athletes (Asif et al.  
72 2013; Nattiv et al. 1997). Nevertheless, the literature reported that athletes possess better driving ability than  
73 non-athlete (without a gender influence). A research team has observed driving maneuvers in a driving  
74 simulator with a cohort of young participants with less than 5 years of driving experience (Hancock et al.  
75 2002). They observed that athletes outperformed non-athletes for an imposed task within the driving  
76 simulation. Wayne and Miller (2018) evaluated the driving skills of young driving students with less than  
77 five hours of driving experience. The participants were evaluated on-road by their driving instructor. They  
78 reported that athletes or individual with serious athlete-background have greater driving skills. Another study  
79 observes among a cohort of young and novice drivers, that team-sports athletes had a better field of view.  
80 More specifically, they had a more effective ability to detect peripheral stimulus while driving than non team-  
81 sports athletes (Matos and Godinho 2009). Therefore, the literature suggests the existence of ability transfer  
82 from the athletic background to the driving skills (Matos and Godinho 2009; Wayne and Miller 2018).

83  
84 This phenomenon observed among athletes of ability transfer might be explained by the fact that, driving is  
85 well known in the literature to be a combination of perceptual and cognitive abilities, and it was also  
86 documented that athletes have better perceptual-cognitive abilities than non-athletes (Faubert 2013). Several  
87 factors are studied in the literature in order to provide a better understanding of the transfer of sports  
88 background to perceptual-cognitive abilities. However, regarding the studies who had assess perceptual-  
89 cognitive abilities among athletes, it can be noticed that the groups of athletes came mostly from sports with  
90 an important aerobic fitness component (Faubert 2013; Mann et al. 2007; Vestberg et al. 2017; Voss et al.

91 2010). Based on this observation, and the fact that an increasing aerobic capacity was associated with an  
92 enhanced of cognitive skills of individuals (Colcombe and Kramer 2003; Hillman et al. 2008), it seems  
93 reasonable to assume that cardiovascular fitness appears to be an important factor. A second factor that might  
94 help to explain the phenomenon is the level of expertise (or experience) of the athletes (e.g. elite vs.  
95 recreational athletes), because it was associated positively with higher perceptual-cognitive skills (Mann et  
96 al. 2007; Vestberg et al. 2017; Voss et al. 2010). Suggesting that experience allows the athlete to develop,  
97 monitor, regulate and perform better perceptual-cognitive skills for their sports, and for other non related-  
98 sport tasks (like driving perhaps) (Voss et al. 2010). The third factor represents the influence of sport  
99 specificity, where the specificity tends to enhance certain skills more than others. For example, athletes from  
100 interceptive sports have quicker reaction time and faster processing speed than strategic or self-paced sports  
101 athletes (Mann et al. 2007; Voss et al. 2010). Overall, athletes outperform non-athletes during sport-specific  
102 tasks and also non-specific perceptual-cognitive tasks (Voss et al. 2010). In general, findings indicate that  
103 perceptual-cognitive skills transfer is a fundamental aspect of many sports that could be relevant to driving  
104 skills.

105  
106 This paradox between the behaviours and abilities motivated the present investigation to understand the  
107 involvement in collision risk of varsity athletes. The aims of this study are to estimate the performance  
108 difference between male varsity soccer players and undergraduate non-athlete students: i) in a driving  
109 simulator and, ii) in perceptual-cognitive tasks associated to collision risk prediction (i.e. Useful Field of  
110 View (UFOV) test)). It was hypothesized that the group of male athletes would outperform the non-athlete  
111 group for driving skills and the perceptual-cognitive tasks, but would demonstrate riskier behaviours at the  
112 wheel. Furthermore, while completing the driving and perceptual-cognitive tasks, the physiological responses  
113 are monitored in order to evaluate the potential differences between both groups. It was expected that the  
114 group undergraduate non-athlete students would present higher physiological responses during all tasks. A  
115 better understanding of behaviours displayed by young men while driving may aid in understanding increased  
116 involvement in collision risk for this specific population and might guide future prevention strategies.

## 117 118 **METHODS**

### 119 **Procedures**

120 Thirty-five undergraduate students took part in this study. A total of 15 male varsity soccer players (22.1±1.6  
121 years old; 5.1±1.41 years of driving experience) and 20 male undergraduate non-athlete students (21.2±1.5  
122 years old; 5.0±1.50 years of driving experience) volunteered. All drivers were recruited from the  
123 undergraduate community of the university. Before starting the experiment, all participants were briefed on  
124 the procedures of the study. In addition, participants were asked to refrain from caffeine and alcohol  
125 consumption 24 hours before the experiment. Once in the lab, participants read a study information form,  
126 then were informed of their rights and signed a consent form approved by the university research ethics board.  
127 A three lead ECG (electrocardiogram) using a Lead II electrode placement according to Einthoven's triangle

128 configuration was used to collect physiological response (Becker 2006). A 5-minute rest period was provided  
129 to collect a baseline period of physiological data. Next, the perceptual-cognitive assessment was performed  
130 using the three subtests (i.e. processing speed, divided attention, selective attention) of UFOV (Edwards et  
131 al. 2005). Afterward, the participants were guided through a 10-minute practice driving scenario to get  
132 familiarized with the controls of the driving simulator. Five out of thirty-five participants were unable to  
133 complete the driving simulator familiarization period due to simulator sickness while they were driving (i.e.  
134 3 varsity soccer players and 2 undergraduate non-athlete students). The simulated drive was similar to a daily  
135 commute driving in clear day through a city while encountering different levels of traffic density with other  
136 road users (approximately 14 minutes for 7.5 kilometres route).

137

### 138 **Apparatus**

139 **Driving simulator:** The simulated drives were completed on a driving simulator (VS500M, Virage  
140 Simulation, Montréal, Canada) (Figure 1). The open car simulator resembles a General Motors (GM) compact  
141 cab interior. The simulator consists of a driver's seat, steering column, pedals, automatic transmission and a  
142 dashboard, which are mounted on a three-axis motion/vibration platform that provides force feedback and  
143 vibration. Figure 1 shows two side screens located behind the driver that provides additional visual feedback  
144 for the left and right blind spots.

145 **Useful field of view (UFOV) test:** UFOV test is an assessment of three perceptual-cognitive tasks:  
146 processing speed, divided attention and selective attention. These three tasks represent higher-order cognitive  
147 functioning required for safe vehicle driving (Edwards et al. 2005). UFOV test was performed on a 17" touch  
148 screen (Elo Touchsystems 2700 Intellitouch USB) with UFOV software (version 6.1.4; Visual Awareness  
149 Research Group inc., USA).

150 **Electrocardiogram (ECG):** A 3-lead ECG (MLA2340), was used to collect, condition (i.e. amplification,  
151 filtering, converting) and record heart signals with the help of the Bio Amp unit (FE132) and an eight-channel  
152 PowerLab unit (PL3508) (AdInstruments, USA). LabChart software (version 7, AdInstruments, USA) was  
153 used for data collection, data analysis and calculation of heart rate variability (HRV). HRV was used to assess  
154 physiological responses to the simulated driving evaluation and to the UFOV test, by comparing  
155 physiological measures to the corresponding baseline values.

156

### 157 **Dependent Variables**

158 **Driving performance:** The simulator measures the driver's ability to control the vehicle and to anticipate  
159 and manage collision avoidance during the scenario. Measures are processed internally by the simulator to  
160 calculate driving scores:

- 161 i) Specific performance scores are calculated based on 100 points:
- 162 • *Control:* Steering and pedals stability, lane position, intersection approaches and turns.
  - 163 • *Mobility:* Travel time, flow-density of traffic, speed homogenization.
  - 164 • *Ecodriving:* Fuel consumption related to brake and acceleration management.

- 165           • *Safety*: Safety margin and anticipation between with other road users and objects.  
166           • *Legality*: Respect of signs and lights, legal maneuvers.  
167           • *Sharing*: Courtesy (sharing, priority, anticipation) with other road users, distance between  
168           vehicles.  
169        ii) A global performance score (*Global*) based on 100 points resulting from the cumulative weight of  
170           the six driving elements mentioned above: *Control*(15%), *Mobility*(5%), *Ecodriving*(10%),  
171           *Safety*(30%), *Legality*(30%) and *Sharing*(10%).  
172        iii) Percentage of time spent over the speed limit (*Speeding*);  
173        iv) Amount of driving errors (*Mistakes*) including collisions, disrespect of signalization, sudden stops  
174           and inappropriate handling of the vehicle in turns, lane changes.

175 **Perceptual-cognitive tasks performance:** Three perceptual-cognitive tasks were obtained from the three  
176 UFOV subtests in milliseconds (ms): *Processing speed*, *Divided attention* and *Selective attention*.

177 **Physiological response:** Each HRV variables were calculated by comparing the values between baseline and  
178 UFOV subtests and the driving task, respectively (differences of means by subtracting conditions from  
179 baseline measures).

- 180        i) Mean heart rate (MeanHR) in beats per minute (bpm);  
181        ii) Mean time intervals between normal-to-normal beats (MeanNN) in milliseconds (ms);  
182        iii) Standard deviation of time intervals between normal-to-normal beats (SDNN) in ms;  
183        iv) Square root of the mean squared differences of successive normal-to-normal beats (RMSSD) in ms.

184

### 185 **Statistical Analysis**

186 Comparison tests were used to evaluate the difference between both groups (varsity male soccer players vs.  
187 undergraduate male non-athlete students) on nine driving performance variables and subtest 3 of UFOV test  
188 (i.e. selective attention; perceptual-cognitive task). Normality distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk tests.  
189 If significant ( $p < 0.05$ ), Mann-Whitney U tests were used to test for significant differences. Otherwise,  
190 independent Student t-tests were used. The variables with significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) were selected to  
191 perform multiple linear regression models. The regressions used were stepwise backward selection approach  
192 in order to identify the best-fit models to predict driving performance variables ( $p < 0.05$ ). The stepwise  
193 backward selection approach starts with all covariates in the model, and then removes the covariate with the  
194 least statistical significance until all remaining variables have a significant p-value. The covariates were:  
195 *Groups*, *Selective attention* task, *Age* and *Driving experience*. Considering that all participants obtained the  
196 same value for *Processing speed* and *Divided attention* tasks, they were excluded in the statistical analysis  
197 (i.e. comparison and regression).

198

199 The physiological responses during driving and perceptual-cognitive tasks were compared between both  
200 groups. To compare HRV variables between groups, values during the tasks were subtracted from baseline  
201 values. Based on Shapiro-Wilk tests the HRV variables were tested, when the tests were significant non-

202 parametric approach was used, whilst non-significant test led to parametric tests: i) inter-group comparisons  
203 were tested with a Mann-Whitney U test or independent Student t-test; ii) intra-group comparisons were  
204 assessed with a Friedman test or repeated measures ANOVA test. When the p-value was inferior to 0.05  
205 ( $p < 0.05$ ), Conover or Bonferroni post-hoc tests were conducted as non-parametric and parametric tests,  
206 respectively. For all analyses, a significant threshold was determined lower than 0.05. JASP (version 0.9.0.1;  
207 University of Amsterdam) were used to conduct all analyses.

208

## 209 **RESULTS**

### 210 **Driving Task**

211 The evaluated driving scenario was a simulated urban drive. The time to completion was  $13.8 \pm 2.7$  minutes  
212 for soccer players and  $14.7 \pm 1.2$  minutes for non-athletes ( $p > 0.05$ ). Overall, the non-athletes showed better  
213 general driving performance, as they scored 13.8 higher than the soccer players on the *Global* score ( $61.8 \pm 3.2$   
214 vs.  $48.0 \pm 3.5$ , respectively) ( $p < 0.05$ ). Compared to soccer players, non-athletes displayed higher *Safety*  
215 ( $55.0 \pm 5.7$  vs.  $30.3 \pm 8.3$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) and *Legality* ( $61.2 \pm 3.5$  vs.  $46.7 \pm 6.3$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) scores. The soccer players  
216 spent more time over the speed limit (*Speeding*;  $10.0 \pm 1.7$  vs.  $3.9 \pm 0.7$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) and were more often involved  
217 in driving errors (*Mistakes*;  $6.1 \pm 0.7$  vs.  $3.8 \pm 0.3$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) when compared to the non-athlete drivers. However,  
218 *Control* ( $56.4 \pm 6.1$  vs.  $61.8 \pm 4.2$ ), *Mobility* ( $74.5 \pm 4.2$  vs.  $75.2 \pm 7.7$ ), *Ecodriving* ( $57.6 \pm 7.6$  vs.  $59.6 \pm 2.8$ ) and  
219 *Sharing* ( $68.5 \pm 6.7$  vs.  $79.8 \pm 4.1$ ) were not significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ) between groups (athletes vs. non-  
220 athletes). Figure 2 through 4 illustrate the differences between both groups for all driving variables.

221

222 Five driving variables (i.e. *Global*, *Safety*, *Legality*, *Speeding*, *Mistakes*) presented significant difference  
223 ( $p < 0.05$ ) between both groups. These variables were selected to perform multiple linear regression models  
224 based on a stepwise backward selection approach. Results from the regressions on these driving performance  
225 variables while controlling for *Groups*, *Selective attention*, *Age* and *Driving experience* are presented in  
226 tables 1 to 3. Models 3 shows that *Groups* and *Driving experience* influence *Global* and *Safety* significantly  
227 ( $r^2 = 0.236$ ,  $p = 0.026$ ;  $r^2 = 0.218$ ,  $p = 0.036$ ) as well as *Groups* and *Age* seem to affect *Speeding* and *Mistakes*  
228 ( $r^2 = 0.352$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ;  $r^2 = 0.292$ ,  $p = 0.009$ ) (Appendix 1, tables A1.1, A1.2). However, *Driving experience* and  
229 *Age* did not have a significant effect in Model-3 ( $p > 0.05$ ), only the impact of *Groups* was significant on those  
230 four driving variables ( $p = 0.010$ ;  $p = 0.020$ ;  $p = 0.004$ ;  $p = 0.025$ ). Model-4 confirmed that only *Groups* were  
231 significant (*Global*  $r^2 = 0.225$ ,  $p = 0.008$ ; *Safety*  $r^2 = 0.187$ ,  $p = 0.017$ ; *Speeding*  $r^2 = 0.338$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; *Mistakes*  
232  $r^2 = 0.289$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). Using the *Selective attention* in the models to predict driving variables only had an  
233 influence on the *Legality*. Despite of lack of significant influence of *Groups*, *Age* and *Driving experience*,  
234 Table A.1.3 (Appendix 1) presents the significant impact of *Selective attention* on the *Legality* variable  
235 through four significant models (Model-1  $r^2 = 0.368$ ,  $p = 0.018$ ; Model-2  $r^2 = 0.359$ , Model-3  $p = 0.008$ ;  $r^2 = 0.303$ ,  
236 Model-4  $p = 0.008$ ;  $r^2 = 0.245$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ).

237

### 238 **Perceptual-Cognitive Tasks**

239 Looking at the three perceptual-cognitive tasks, both groups reached floor results (17ms) for *Processing*  
240 *speed* and *Divided attention*. Non-athletes scored 24ms faster than soccer players in the measures of *Selective*  
241 *attention* task ( $63.2 \pm 6.2$  vs.  $87.2 \pm 10.7$ ), but the difference was not significant ( $p=0.76$ ). Appendix 2 presents  
242 the results of the physiological response.

243

## 244 **DISCUSSION**

### 245 **Driving task**

246 Based on the driving variables measured in this study, it is possible to identify two categories of driving-  
247 related components: behaviour and skill. As expected, the varsity soccer players displayed more risky  
248 behaviours while driving in the simulator than the non-athlete students' group, as reflected by driving  
249 variables related to behaviours, where varsity soccer players perform more unsafe and illegal maneuvers and  
250 spent more time over the speed limit and had more driving errors than non-athletes. These results are in  
251 accordance with the literature (Asif et al. 2013; Nattiv et al. 1997) that showed that athletes were more  
252 involved in risky driving behaviours. Furthermore, this study was the first paper that measured and observed  
253 risky behaviours at the wheel among athlete drivers rather than to report them with an epidemiological  
254 approach.

255

256 Considering the studies of Hancock et al. (2002), Wayne and Miller (2018) and Matos et al. (2009), it was  
257 anticipated that the current cohort of athletes would present greater skill while they drove. However, this was  
258 not the case. Some methodological considerations can help explain the differences between the current study  
259 and previous literature. Specifically, for the three studies highlighted, one looked at driving maneuvers in  
260 athletes using a driving simulator, the second with an on-road setting, and the third paper performed cognitive  
261 tasks during a closed-circuit driving task. Hancock et al. (2002) observed that athletes outperformed non-  
262 athletes for an imposed task within the driving simulation and they also found no significant difference  
263 between gender. This task required participants to maintain a safe and constant distance from a lead vehicle,  
264 and their reaction time to stop when the lead vehicle braked. The results from Hancock et al. are difficult to  
265 compare to our own because of differences in driving context (i.e. close vs. open scenario, imposed tasks vs.  
266 urban route). Wayne et al.'s study observed that, among a cohort of young and novice drivers, those with an  
267 athlete-background demonstrated better driving skills. Wayne et al.'s study used a cohort of non-licensed  
268 drivers without driving experience, and their driving skills were assessed using a subjective approach, where  
269 vehicle control and maneuvers in traffic were rated on a single scale of one to four by a driving instructor.  
270 Wayne et al.'s participants were younger ( $18 \pm 2.6$  vs.  $21.5 \pm 1.6$  years old) and less experienced (less than five  
271 hours of actual driving vs.  $5.1 \pm 1.5$  years of driving experience) compared to our study. Also, their driving  
272 conditions during evaluations were not reproducible (e.g. traffic density, events) and the evaluation criteria  
273 were too wide and not specific enough (e.g. which driving components were satisfactory or not). In short,  
274 our participants were more experienced drivers and we used a driving simulator offering reproducible  
275 conditions as well as specific driving variables. The third study assessed perceptual-cognitive tasks while



276 driving on a closed-circuit. Matos and Godinho (2009) observed that team-sport players outperformed non-  
277 athletes in the detection of peripheral stimuli, but found no significant difference on peripheral reaction times  
278 during a driving task. The present study does share some similarities with Matos et al., particularly with  
279 regards to age ( $20\pm 1.3$  years old), driving experience (maximum of 1.5 years), and the reproducible nature  
280 of their assessment. Nonetheless, the driving task was completed on a closed circuit which eliminates a lot  
281 of the complexity associated with driving when interacting with other road users. In the present study, the  
282 driving and perceptual-cognitive tasks were done separately.

283

#### 284 **Cognitive-perceptual tasks**

285 Similar to McManus et al. (2015), all participants obtained the same result for *Processing speed* and *Divided*  
286 *attention* which represents a floor performance (best response achievable) for both tasks. This study  
287 confirmed that the two first subtests of UFOV are easily achieved in a young and healthy driver population.  
288 Additionally, a non-significant difference was observed for the *Selective attention* task (third subtest of  
289 UFOV), where non-athletes outperformed athletes. This result contrasts with the literature, where it was  
290 documented that athletes possess the better useful field of vision (Matos and Godinho 2009), processing  
291 speed and attention than non-athletes (Voss et al. 2010). Upon a closer look at the literature, regarding  
292 perceptual-cognitive skills for athletes and non-athletes, only a meta-analysis published by Voss et al. (2010)  
293 reported that athletes performed better on *Processing speed* tasks and *Varied attention* tasks, but no difference  
294 was found for *Attentional cuing* tasks. However, although the tasks from Voss et al. do share similarities with  
295 UFOV, there are differences. For example, UFOV is based on the speed needed to process visual information  
296 as opposed to a reaction time measurement).

297

298 The current study was the first study to compare the three perceptual-cognitive tasks of UFOV between  
299 varsity soccer players and undergraduate non-athlete students. Despite non-significant results obtained  
300 between both groups, it appears that the *Selective attention* task was sensitive enough to predict the *Legality*  
301 variable for the driving task among all young adults of this cohort. Considering that varsity soccer players  
302 demonstrated lower *Legality* score than undergraduate non-athlete students, it remains surprising there was  
303 no significant group effect in the regression models. In addition, at the first look, the relationship between  
304 *Legality* and *Selective attention* seems difficult to understand. Nevertheless, focussing on the meaning of the  
305 *Legality* variable, we must refer to the components of the driving variable (which include respect of road  
306 signs and lights). It could be speculated that individuals with limited attentional resources, or difficulty  
307 focusing on specific information (e.g. road signs) and recalling it in a dynamic environment (like driving),  
308 would not detect or process the peripheral information available adequately while driving (White and Caird  
309 2010). The driver may have looked but not seen the road sign and executed an inappropriate (perhaps illegal)  
310 maneuver. This is in line with the results of studies investigating “*looked but failed to see*” situations (White  
311 and Caird 2010). Overall, the current study has shown the usefulness of this tool, however more research is  
312 needed to provide better knowledge related to driving components and the young population. Appendix 2

313 discussed the results of the physiological response. Limitations, practical implications and future research  
314 orientations are addressed in the Appendix 3.

315

316 Using a driving simulator, varsity male soccer players and undergraduate non-athlete students were assessed  
317 for multiple areas of driving proficiency. Varsity soccer players displayed riskier behaviours at the wheel  
318 when compared to their non-athletic peers, spending more time over the speed limit while producing more  
319 driving errors. Young men are one of the most at-risk groups of being involved and killed in traffic collisions.  
320 Based on survey studies, including driving behaviours, athletes display more risky behaviours than non-  
321 athletic young men. In addition, traffic collisions are the number one cause of death in undergraduate athletes.  
322 However, no formal open-simulation driving studies have assessed driving behaviours in athletes and non-  
323 athletes. This group may be overrepresented in traffic collisions, since athletic status is not taken into  
324 consideration when looking at traffic statistics. In addition, varsity athletes may benefit from exposure to  
325 driving-related information sessions. Preventive interventions deserve more study to determine better  
326 strategies for risk reduction in this group.

327

## 328 REFERENCES

- 329 Asif IM, Harmon KG, Klossner D. Motor vehicle accidents: the leading cause of death in collegiate  
330 athletes. *Clin. J. Sport Med. Off. J. Can. Acad. Sport Med.* 2013;23(6):439–443.
- 331 Becker DE. Fundamentals of Electrocardiography Interpretation. *Anesth. Prog.* 2006;53(2):53–64.
- 332 Colcombe S, Kramer AF. Fitness Effects on the Cognitive Function of Older Adults: A Meta-Analytic  
333 Study. *Psychol. Sci.* 2003;14(2):125–130.
- 334 Edwards JD, Vance DE, Wadley VG, Cissell GM, Roenker DL, Ball KK. Reliability and Validity of Useful  
335 Field of View Test Scores as Administered by Personal Computer. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.*  
336 2005;27(5):529–543.
- 337 Faubert J. Professional athletes have extraordinary skills for rapidly learning complex and neutral dynamic  
338 visual scenes. *Sci. Rep.* 2013;3:1154.
- 339 Hancock PA, Kane MJ, Scallen S, Albinson CB. Effects of gender and athletic participation on driving  
340 capability. *Int. J. Occup. Saf. Ergon. JOSE.* 2002;8(2):281–292.
- 341 Heron M. *Deaths: Leading Causes for 2011*. USA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2015.  
342 Available at: [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr64/nvsr64\\_07.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr64/nvsr64_07.pdf).
- 343 Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on brain and  
344 cognition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2008;9(1):58–65.
- 345 Lambert-Bélanger A, Dubois S, Weaver B, Mullen N, Bédard M. Aggressive driving behaviour in young  
346 drivers (aged 16 through 25) involved in fatal crashes. *J. Safety Res.* 2012;43(5–6):333–338.
- 347 Mann DTY, Williams AM, Ward P, Janelle CM. Perceptual-cognitive expertise in sport: a meta-analysis. *J.*  
348 *Sport Exerc. Psychol.* 2007;29(4):457–478.

- 349 Matos R, Godinho M. Useful Field of Vision and Peripheral Reaction Time in novice drivers – Transfer to  
 350 a real driving situation after a Perceptual-Motor Training Program. *Adv. Transp. Stud. Int. J.*  
 351 2009;Section B(19):77–84.
- 352 McManus B, Cox MK, Vance DE, Stavrinou D. Predicting Motor Vehicle Collisions in a Driving Simulator  
 353 in Young Adults Using the Useful Field of View Assessment. *Traffic Inj. Prev.* 2015:1–6.
- 354 Nattiv A, Puffer JC, Green GA. Lifestyles and health risks of collegiate athletes: a multi-center study. *Clin.*  
 355 *J. Sport Med. Off. J. Can. Acad. Sport Med.* 1997;7(4):262–272.
- 356 Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC). *Injury in review, 2012 edition: spotlight on road and transport*  
 357 *safety.* Canada; 2012. Available at: <http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/420120/publication.html>.
- 358 Statistics Canada. *Motor vehicle accidents causing death.*; 2014. Available at:  
 359 <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/tables-tableaux/sum-som/101/cst01/health112d-eng.htm>.
- 360 Transport Canada. *Canadian Motor Vehicle Traffic; Collision Statistics 2012.* Available at:  
 361 [https://www.tc.gc.ca/media/documents/roadsafety/cmvtcs2012\\_eng.pdf](https://www.tc.gc.ca/media/documents/roadsafety/cmvtcs2012_eng.pdf).
- 362 Vestberg T, Reinebo G, Maurex L, Ingvar M, Petrovic P. Core executive functions are associated with  
 363 success in young elite soccer players. *PloS One.* 2017;12(2):e0170845.
- 364 Voss MW, Kramer AF, Basak C, Prakash RS, Roberts B. Are expert athletes ‘expert’ in the cognitive  
 365 laboratory? A meta-analytic review of cognition and sport expertise. *Appl. Cogn. Psychol.*  
 366 2010;24(6):812–826.
- 367 Wayne NL, Miller GA. Impact of gender, organized athletics, and video gaming on driving skills in novice  
 368 drivers. *PloS One.* 2018;13(1):e0190885.
- 369 White CB, Caird JK. The blind date: The effects of change blindness, passenger conversation and gender  
 370 on looked-but-failed-to-see (LBFTS) errors. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 2010;42(6):1822–1830.
- 371