

# Lower estimate of the exponential sums of the sequence $(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$ and its application to the discrepancy

Kazuo Goto\* and Yukio Ohkubo†

November 9, 2004

## Abstract

We obtain a lower bound for the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$ , where  $\alpha$  is an irrational number and  $\beta$  is a non-zero real number. In order to show the result, we estimate the exponential sums by the saddle-point method.

Keywords and Phrases. discrepancy, exponential sums, saddle-point method.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11K38; Secondary 11L07.

## 1 Introduction and the main results

Throughout this paper,  $\{x\} = x - [x]$  denotes the fractional part of the real number  $x$ , where  $[x]$  is the integral part of  $x$ , and  $C(a, b, c, \dots)$  denotes a constant that depends only on  $a, b, c, \dots$ . We write  $\|x\| = \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - k|$  and  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ .

For functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  defined on  $x \geq x_0$  for some  $x_0$ , the notation  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  means that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$  for all  $x \geq x_0$ , and the notation  $f(x) \ll g(x)$  means  $f(x) = O(g(x))$ . The notation  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  means that  $f(x) \ll g(x)$  and  $g(x) \ll f(x)$  and the notation  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  means that  $f(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers. The *discrepancy* of  $(x_n)$  is defined by

$$D_N(x_n) = \sup_{0 \leq a < b \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{[a, b)}(x_n) - (b - a) \right|,$$

---

\*University Education Center, Tottori University, Tottori-shi, 680-0945, JAPAN

†Department of Business Administration, The International University of Kagoshima, Kagoshima-shi, 891-0191, JAPAN

where  $N$  is a positive integer and  $\chi_{[a, b)}(x)$  is the characteristic function mod 1 of  $[a, b)$ , that is,  $\chi_{[a, b)}(x) = 1$  for  $\{x\} \in [a, b)$  and  $\chi_{[a, b)}(x) = 0$  otherwise (see [6]).

Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number. Let  $\psi$  be a non-decreasing positive function that is defined at least for all positive integers. We shall say that  $\alpha$  is of type  $< \psi$  if  $h|\alpha h| > 1/\psi(h)$  holds for each positive integer  $h$ . If  $\psi$  is a constant function, then  $\alpha$  of type  $< \psi$  is called of *constant type* (see [6, p.121, Definition 3.3]). Let  $\eta$  be a positive real number. The irrational number  $\alpha$  is said to be of *finite type*  $\eta$  if  $\eta$  is infimum of all real numbers  $\tau$  for which there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\tau, \alpha)$  such that  $\alpha$  is of type  $< \psi$ , where  $\psi(q) = Cq^{\tau-1}$  (see [6, p.121, Definition 3.4 and Lemma 3.1]).

Tichy and Turnwald [10] obtained an upper estimate of  $D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$ , but Ohkubo improved the result, that is, Ohkubo [8] obtained an upper estimate of  $D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$  as follows:

If  $\alpha$  is an irrational number of finite type  $\eta$  and  $\beta$  is a non-zero real number, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all positive integer  $N$

$$D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq C(\beta, \varepsilon) N^{-\frac{1}{\eta+1/2} + \varepsilon}.$$

If  $\alpha$  is of constant type and  $\beta$  is non-zero real, then for all positive integer  $N$

$$(1.1) \quad D_N(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \leq C(\beta) N^{-\frac{2}{3}} \log N.$$

See also [2] and [3].

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the lower bounds for the discrepancies of the sequence  $(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$  (see [4] for a generalized version). We use a lower bound for the discrepancy that contains an exponential sums (see Lemma 2.6, below). We need the detailed estimates of the exponential sums.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number,  $\beta$  be a non-zero real number, and  $h$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq 2c} e(h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)) &= \frac{|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2}}{|k - \alpha h|} e\left(\beta h \log\left(\frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h}\right) - \beta h - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8}\right) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{|\beta|^{1/3} h^{1/3}}{|k - \alpha h|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{1 - |k - \alpha h|}\right) \\ &+ O(|\beta| h) + O\left(\left(|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2} + 1\right) \log\left(|\beta| h + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\ &+ O\left(|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2}\right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = [\alpha h] + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn}(\beta) + 1)$ ,  $c = \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h}$ , and  $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta) = 1$  if  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta) = -1$  if  $\beta < 0$  and the constants implied by the  $O$ 's are absolute.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number,  $\beta$  be a non-zero real number, and  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  be the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$  with  $\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . For any positive integer  $m$ , let

$$h_m = \begin{cases} q_{2m+1}, & \text{if } \beta > 0; \\ q_{2m}, & \text{if } \beta < 0, \end{cases} \quad k_m = \begin{cases} p_{2m+1}, & \text{if } \beta > 0; \\ p_{2m}, & \text{if } \beta < 0, \end{cases}$$

and let  $N_m = \left\lceil \frac{2\beta h_m}{|k_m - \alpha h_m|} \right\rceil$ .

Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large integer  $m$ ,

$$D_{N_m}(\alpha n + \beta \log n) \geq \left( \frac{|\beta|^{1/4}}{8} - \varepsilon \right) N_m^{-3/4}.$$

**Remark 1.1.** The smallest order of upper bounds for the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$  which we know is  $N^{-2/3} \log N$  for the irrational number  $\alpha$  of constant type (see (1.1)). On the other hand, as mentioned above, the order of the lower bound is  $N^{-3/4}$ . A reason why there exists the gap between the orders of the lower bound and the upper bound is that  $(\log n)$  is not uniformly distributed mod 1 (see [6]).

Throughout this paper, the constants implied by the  $O$ 's and  $\ll$ 's are absolute.

## 2 Preliminary lemmas

The following lemma is a result that is got by combining Lemma 4.6 and Notes for Chapter 4 in [9].

**Lemma 2.1 ([9, Lemma 4.6 and Notes]).** Let  $g(x)$  be a real-valued function on the interval  $[a, b]$  and suppose that  $g(x)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $g'''$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ ;
- (ii) either  $g'' > 0$  on  $[a, b]$  or  $g'' < 0$  on  $[a, b]$ ;
- (iii) there exists positive number  $m_2$  such that  $g''(x) \asymp m_2$  on  $[a, b]$ ;
- (iv) there exists positive number  $m_3$  such that  $g'''(x) \ll m_3$  on  $[a, b]$ ;
- (v)  $g'(c) = 0$  for some  $c \in [a, b]$ .

Then

$$\int_a^b e(g(x)) dx = e\left(g(c) + \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{sgn}(g''(c))\right) |g''(c)|^{-1/2} + O\left(m_2^{-1} m_3^{1/3}\right) + O\left(\min\left(\frac{1}{|g'(a)|}, m_2^{-1/2}\right)\right) + O\left(\min\left(\frac{1}{|g'(b)|}, m_2^{-1/2}\right)\right).$$

The following lemma is essentially in [11, p.198, Lemma 4.4]. Since we need the more detailed error term, we modify the result and give precisely the proof which runs along the same lines as that of [11, Lemma 4.4].

**Lemma 2.2** ([11, Lemma 4.4]). *Let  $f(x)$  be a real-valued function and  $f'(x)$  be monotone on the interval  $[a, b]$  such that  $|f'(x)| \leq \lambda$  on  $[a, b]$  for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then*

$$\left| \int_a^b e(f(x)) dx - \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right).$$

*Proof.* We set  $\Psi(x) = [x] + 1/2$  and  $\chi(x) = x - \Psi(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b e(f(x)) dx - \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) &= \int_a^b e(f(x)) dx - \int_a^b e(f(x)) d\Psi(x) \\ &= \int_a^b e(f(x)) d(x - \Psi(x)) \\ (2.1) \qquad &= \int_a^b e(f(x)) d\chi(x) \\ &= [e(f(x))\chi(x)]_a^b - \int_a^b \chi(x) (e(f(x)))' dx \\ &= - \int_a^b (e(f(x)))' \chi(x) dx + R(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(a, b) = e(f(b))\chi(b) - e(f(a))\chi(a)$ .

The Fourier expansion of  $\chi$  is

$$\chi(x) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{\pi n},$$

if  $x$  is not an integer, and the series is boundedly convergent, so that we may multiply by an integrable function and integrate term-by-term. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (e(f(x)))' \chi(x) dx &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi n} \int_a^b \sin(2\pi nx) (e(f(x)))' dx \\ (2.2) \qquad &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( \int_a^b e(f(x) + nx) f'(x) dx - \int_a^b e(f(x) - nx) f'(x) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_a^b e(f(x) - nx) f'(x) dx = \int_a^b \frac{f'(x)}{f'(x) - n} d(e(f(x) - nx)).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\frac{f'(x)}{f'(x) - n}$  is non-decreasing. Then, by the second mean value theorem, for some  $\xi \in (a, b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \frac{f'(x)}{f'(x) - n} d(e(f(x) - nx)) &= \frac{f'(b)}{f'(b) - n} \int_{\xi}^b d(e(f(x) - nx)) \\ &= \frac{f'(b)}{f'(b) - n} (e(f(b) - nb) - e(f(\xi) - n\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \int_a^b e(f(x) - nx) f'(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{2|f'(b)|}{n - |f'(b)|} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{n - \lambda}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \int_a^b e(f(x) + nx) f'(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{2|f'(b)|}{n + |f'(b)|} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{n + \lambda} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{n - \lambda}.$$

Thus, by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_a^b e(f(x)) dx - \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) \right| \\ &= \left| - \int_a^b (e(f(x)))' \chi(x) dx + R(a, b) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_a^b (e(f(x)))' \chi(x) dx \right| + 2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_a^b e(f(x) + nx) f'(x) dx \right| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_a^b e(f(x) - nx) f'(x) dx \right| + 2 \\ &\leq 4\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n - \lambda)} + 2 \\ &= 4\lambda \left( \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n - \lambda)} \right) + 2 \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{1 - \lambda}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We quote Lemma 10.5 in [11, p.226].

**Lemma 2.3** ([11, Lemma 10.5]). *Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a positive decreasing and differentiable function defined on the interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $g''(x)$  is of constant sign and  $\varphi'(x)/g''(x)$  is monotone on  $[a, b]$ , then*

$$\left| \int_a^b \varphi(x) e(g(x)) dx \right| \leq 8 \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \left( \frac{\varphi(x)}{|g''(x)|^{1/2}} \right) + \max_{a \leq x \leq b} \left( \left| \frac{\varphi'(x)}{g''(x)} \right| \right).$$

The following lemma is a saddle-point theorem, which is proved by combining Lemma 2.1 and a part of proof of [5, p.71, Lemma 2].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $g(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  are real-valued functions on the interval  $[a, b]$  which satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i)  $g'''(x)$  is continuous and  $\varphi''(x)$  exists on  $[a, b]$ ;
- (ii) either  $g'' > 0$  on  $[a, b]$  or  $g'' < 0$  on  $[a, b]$  ;
- (iii) there exists a number  $m_2 > 0$  such that

$$g''(x) \asymp m_2 \text{ on } [a, b];$$

- (iv) there exists a number  $m_3$  such that  $g'''(x) \ll m_3$ ;
- (v) there exist numbers  $H_0, H_1,$  and  $H_2$  such that

$$\varphi(x) \ll H_0, \quad \varphi'(x) \ll H_1, \quad \varphi''(x) \ll H_2;$$

- (vi)  $g'(c) = 0$  for some  $c \in [a, b]$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \varphi(x) e(g(x)) dx &= e \left( g(c) + \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{sgn}(g''(c)) \right) \varphi(c) |g''(c)|^{-1/2} \\ &+ O(H_0 m_2^{-1} m_3^{1/3}) + O(H_1 m_2^{-1}) + O(H_2 m_2^{-1} (b-a)) \\ (2.5) \quad &+ O(H_1 m_2^{-2} m_3 (b-a)) + O(H_2 m_2^{-2} m_3 (b-a)^2) \\ &+ O(H_0 \min(m_2^{-1/2}, |g'(a)|^{-1})) + O(H_0 \min(m_2^{-1/2}, |g'(b)|^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* See [4, Lemma 2.5] on the detailed proof. □

The following lemma is Koksma's inequality (see [6, p.143, Theorem 5.1]).

**Lemma 2.5 (Koksma's inequality, [6]).** *Let  $f$  be a periodic function on  $[0, 1]$  of bounded variation  $V(f)$ , and let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers of  $[0, 1)$ . Then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right| \leq V(f) D_N(x_n).$$

Applying Lemma 2.5, we obtain the following lemma by the same reasoning as in the proof of [6, p.143, Corollary 5.1] (see also [1, p.95]).

**Lemma 2.6** ([6], [1]). *Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. Then, for any positive integer  $h$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{4h} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(hx_n) \right| \leq D_N(x_n).$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number,  $\beta$  be a non-zero real number, and  $h$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c/2 < n \leq 2c} e(h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)) &= \frac{|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2}}{|k - \alpha h|} e \left( \beta h \log \left( \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h} \right) - \beta h - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8} \right) \\ &\quad + O \left( \frac{|\beta|^{1/3} h^{1/3}}{|k - \alpha h|} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{1 - |k - \alpha h|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = [\alpha h] + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn}(\beta) + 1)$  and  $c = \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h}$  and the constants implied by the  $O$ 's are absolute.

*Proof.* We note that  $c > 0$ . We set  $a = c/2$ ,  $b = 2c$  and  $f(x) = h(\alpha x + \beta \log x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) &= \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n) - kn) \\ (3.1) \qquad &= \sum_{a < n \leq b} e((\alpha h - k)n + \beta h \log n) \\ &= \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(g(n)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x) = (\alpha h - k)x + \beta h \log x$ .

Now, we suppose that  $\beta > 0$ . For  $x \in [a, b]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= \alpha h - k + \frac{\beta h}{x} \\ &\leq \frac{2\beta h}{c} - (k - \alpha h) \\ &= 2\beta h \frac{k - \alpha h}{\beta h} - (k - \alpha h) \\ &= k - \alpha h. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$g'(x) = (\alpha h - k) + \frac{\beta h}{x} \geq -(k - \alpha h) \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

we have

$$|g'(x)| \leq k - \alpha h \quad \text{for } a \leq x \leq b.$$

Similarly, in the case  $\beta < 0$ , we also have

$$|g'(x)| \leq \alpha h - k \quad \text{for } a \leq x \leq b.$$

Anyway we have

$$|g'(x)| \leq |k - \alpha h| \quad \text{for } a \leq x \leq b.$$

Hence, by (3.1) and Lemma 2.2 with  $\lambda = |k - \alpha h|$ , we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) = \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(g(n)) = \int_a^b e(g(x)) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{1 - |k - \alpha h|}\right).$$

Applying integration by parts to the integral in (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b e(g(x)) dx &= \int_a^b e((\alpha h - k)x + \beta h \log x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b e((\alpha h - k)x) e(\beta h \log x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b \left( \frac{e((\alpha h - k)x)}{2\pi i(\alpha h - k)} \right)' e(\beta h \log x) dx \\ &= \left[ \frac{e((\alpha h - k)x)}{2\pi i(\alpha h - k)} e(\beta h \log x) \right]_a^b - \int_a^b \frac{e((\alpha h - k)x)}{\alpha h - k} \beta h \frac{1}{x} e(\beta h \log x) dx \\ (3.3) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(\alpha h - k)} (e((\alpha h - k)b + \beta h \log b) - e((\alpha h - k)a + \beta h \log a)) \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta h}{\alpha h - k} \int_a^b \frac{1}{x} e((\alpha h - k)x + \beta h \log x) dx \\ &= \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h} \int_a^b \frac{1}{x} e((\alpha h - k)x + \beta h \log x) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|}\right) \\ &= \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h} \int_a^b \varphi(x) e(g(x)) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(x) = 1/x$ .



We show that the conditions in Lemma 2.4 are satisfied:

$g'''(x)$  is continuous and  $\varphi''(x)$  exists on  $[a, b]$

by the definitions of  $g(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  ;

if  $\beta > 0$ , then for  $a \leq x \leq b$ ,

$$\beta hc^{-2} \ll \beta h \left(\frac{1}{2c}\right)^2 \leq -g''(x) = \beta hx^{-2} \leq \beta h \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)^2 \ll \beta hc^{-2} ;$$

if  $\beta < 0$ , then for  $a \leq x \leq b$ ,

$$-\beta hc^{-2} \ll -\beta h \left(\frac{1}{2c}\right)^2 \leq g''(x) = -\beta hx^{-2} \leq -\beta h \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)^2 \ll -\beta hc^{-2} ;$$

$$|g'''(x)| = 2|\beta|h x^{-3} \leq 2|\beta|h \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{-3} \ll \beta hc^{-3} ;$$

$$|\varphi(x)| = \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{2}{c} \ll \frac{1}{c} ;$$

$$|\varphi'(x)| = \frac{1}{x^2} \leq \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)^2 \ll \frac{1}{c^2} ;$$

$$|\varphi''(x)| \leq \frac{2}{x^3} \leq 2 \left(\frac{2}{c}\right)^3 \ll \frac{1}{c^3} ;$$

$$g'(c) = 0, \quad c \in [a, b].$$

Hence, we can apply Lemma 2.4 with  $m_2 = |\beta|hc^{-2}$ ,  $m_3 = |\beta|hc^{-3}$ ,  $H_0 = c^{-1}$ ,  $H_1 = c^{-2}$ , and  $H_2 = c^{-3}$ .

We compute each term on the right-hand side of (2.5) in Lemma 2.4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e \left( g(c) + \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{sgn}(g''(c)) \right) \varphi(c) |g''(c)|^{-1/2} &= \frac{1}{c} (|\beta|hc^{-2})^{-1/2} e \left( g(c) - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8} \right) \\ &= |\beta|^{-1/2} h^{-1/2} e \left( g(c) - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$H_0 m_2^{-1} m_3^{1/3} = c^{-1} (|\beta|hc^{-2})^{-1} (|\beta|hc^{-3})^{1/3} = |\beta|^{-2/3} h^{-2/3},$$

$$H_1 m_2^{-1} = c^{-2} (|\beta| h c^{-2})^{-1} = |\beta|^{-1} h^{-1},$$

$$H_2 m_2^{-1} (b - a) = \frac{3}{2} c^{-3} (|\beta| h c^{-2})^{-1} c = \frac{3}{2} |\beta|^{-1} h^{-1},$$

$$H_1 m_2^{-2} m_3 (b - a) = \frac{3}{2} c^{-2} (|\beta| h c^{-2})^{-2} |\beta| h c^{-3} c = \frac{3}{2} |\beta|^{-1} h^{-1},$$

$$H_2 m_2^{-2} m_3 (b - a)^2 = \frac{9}{4} c^{-3} (|\beta| h c^{-2})^{-2} |\beta| h c^{-3} c^2 = \frac{9}{4} |\beta|^{-1} h^{-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 \min(m_2^{-1/2}, |g'(a)|^{-1}) &\leq H_0 |g'(a)|^{-1} \\ &= c^{-1} \left| \alpha h - k + \frac{2\beta h}{c} \right|^{-1} \\ &= \frac{k - \alpha h}{\beta h} \frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|} = |\beta|^{-1} h^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$H_0 \min(m_2^{-1/2}, |g'(b)|^{-1}) \leq |\beta|^{-1} h^{-1}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \varphi(x) e(g(x)) dx &= |\beta|^{-1/2} h^{-1/2} e \left( g(c) - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8} \right) \\ (3.4) \quad &+ O(|\beta|^{-2/3} h^{-2/3}) + O(|\beta|^{-1} h^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b e(g(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h} \left( |\beta|^{-1/2} h^{-1/2} e \left( g(c) - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8} \right) + O(|\beta|^{-2/3} h^{-2/3}) + O(|\beta|^{-1} h^{-1}) \right) \\ (3.5) \quad &+ O \left( \frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|} \right) \\ &= \frac{|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2}}{|k - \alpha h|} e \left( g(c) - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)}{8} \right) + O \left( \frac{|\beta|^{1/3} h^{1/3}}{|k - \alpha h|} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) = \frac{|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2}}{|k - \alpha h|} e\left(\beta h \log\left(\frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h}\right) - \beta h - \frac{\text{sgn}(\beta)}{8}\right) + O\left(\frac{|\beta|^{1/3} h^{1/3}}{|k - \alpha h|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{1 - |k - \alpha h|}\right),$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number,  $\beta$  be a non-zero real number, and  $h$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq c/2} e(h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)) \ll |\beta| h + \left(|\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2} + 1\right) \log\left(|\beta| h + \frac{1}{2}\right) + |\beta|^{1/2} h^{1/2} + \frac{1}{|k - \alpha h|} + 1,$$

where  $k = [\alpha h] + \frac{1}{2}(\text{sgn}(\beta) + 1)$  and  $c = \frac{\beta h}{k - \alpha h}$  and the constant implied by the  $\ll$  is absolute.

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $\beta > 0$ ; otherwise, we replace  $\alpha$  by  $-\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by  $-\beta$ . We have the trivial equality:

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{1 \leq n \leq c/2} e(h(\alpha n + \beta \log n)) = \sum_{1 < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) + O(1),$$

where  $g(x) = \alpha h x + \beta h \log x$ . Since  $g'(x)$  is decreasing, we can define  $a_p$  as follows:

$$g'(a_p) = p - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{Z}, p > \alpha h + \frac{1}{2}.$$

We note that

$$a_p = \frac{2\beta h}{2(p - \alpha h) - 1},$$

and  $a_p$  is decreasing.

Then

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{1 < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) = \sum_{p=r}^{s-1} \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(g(n)) + \sum_{1 < n \leq a_s} e(g(n)) + \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)),$$

where  $r = \min\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : g'(c/2) \leq p - \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $s = \max\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : p - \frac{1}{2} < g'(1)\}$ . Since

$$(3.8) \quad k = g'(c) < g'(c/2) \leq r - \frac{1}{2} = g'(a_r) < r,$$

we have

$$(3.9) \quad r \geq k + 1,$$

and so

$$a_r \leq a_{k+1}.$$

Since  $g'(x)$  is decreasing and  $g'(c/2) \leq g'(a_r)$  because of (3.8), we have

$$a_r \leq \frac{c}{2}.$$

Since

$$g'(a_s) = s - \frac{1}{2} < g'(1) \leq s + \frac{1}{2} = g'(a_{s+1})$$

and  $g'(x)$  is decreasing, we obtain

$$a_{s+1} \leq 1 < a_s.$$

Hence it follows that

$$a_{s+1} \leq 1 < a_s < \cdots < a_{p+1} < a_p < \cdots < a_r \leq a_{k+1}, \quad a_r \leq \frac{c}{2},$$

and

$$k + 1 \leq r < r + 1 < \cdots < p < p + 1 < \cdots < s.$$

For  $r \leq p \leq s - 1$ , we have

$$|g'(x) - p| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{on} \quad [a_{p+1}, a_p].$$

Then

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(g(n)) = \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(g(n) - pn) = \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(\mathfrak{p}(n)),$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}(x) = g(x) - px$ . Since  $|\mathfrak{p}'(x)| = |g'(x) - p| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  on  $[a_{p+1}, a_p]$ , Lemma 2.2 with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  yields

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(\mathfrak{p}(n)) = \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} e(\mathfrak{p}(x)) dx + O(1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} e(\mathfrak{p}(x)) dx &= \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} e(g(x) - px) dx \\
 &= \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} e((\alpha h - p)x + \beta h \log x) dx \\
 &= \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} e((\alpha h - p)x) e(\beta h \log x) dx \\
 (3.12) \quad &= \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} \left( \frac{e((\alpha h - p)x)}{2\pi i(\alpha h - p)} \right)' e(\beta h \log x) dx \\
 &= \left[ \frac{e(\mathfrak{p}(x))}{2\pi i(\alpha h - p)} \right]_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} - \frac{\beta h}{\alpha h - p} \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} \varphi(x) e(\mathfrak{p}(x)) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(x) = x^{-1}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}'(x) = \alpha h - p + \frac{\beta h}{x}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}''(x) = -\frac{\beta h}{x^2} < 0$ , we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\mathfrak{p}''(x)} \right| = \frac{1}{\beta h} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\varphi(x)}{|\mathfrak{p}''(x)|^{1/2}} = (\beta h)^{-1/2}.$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} \varphi(x) e(\mathfrak{p}(x)) dx = O((\beta h)^{-1/2}) + O((\beta h)^{-1}).$$

By (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{a_{p+1}}^{a_p} e(\mathfrak{p}(x)) dx = O\left(\frac{(\beta h)^{1/2}}{|\alpha h - p|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha h - p|}\right).$$

By (3.10), (3.11), and (3.14), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(g(n)) = O\left(\frac{(\beta h)^{1/2}}{|\alpha h - p|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha h - p|}\right) + O(1)$$

for  $r \leq p \leq s - 1$ . Similarly, we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{1 < n \leq a_s} e(g(n)) = O\left(\frac{(\beta h)^{1/2}}{|\alpha h - s|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha h - s|}\right) + O(1).$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.17) \quad & \sum_{1 < n \leq a_s} e(g(n)) + \sum_{p=r}^{s-1} \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(g(n)) \\
 &= \sum_{p=r}^s \left( O\left(\frac{(\beta h)^{1/2}}{|\alpha h - p|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha h - p|}\right) + O(1) \right) \\
 &\ll \left( (\beta h)^{1/2} + 1 \right) \sum_{p=r}^s \frac{1}{|\alpha h - p|} + \sum_{p=r}^s 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha h < k \leq r - 1$ , we have

$$(3.18) \quad |\alpha h - p| = p - \alpha h = r - \alpha h + (p - r) > p - r + 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq p \leq s.$$

Since  $s < f'(1) + \frac{1}{2} = \alpha h + \beta h + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r \geq k + 1$ , and  $k - \alpha h > 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad s - r < \alpha h + \beta h + \frac{1}{2} - k - 1 = \beta h - \frac{1}{2} - (k - \alpha h) < \beta h - \frac{1}{2}.$$

From (3.17), (3.18), and (3.19), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.20) \quad & \sum_{1 < n \leq a_s} e(g(n)) + \sum_{p=r}^{s-1} \sum_{a_{p+1} < n \leq a_p} e(g(n)) \\
 &\ll \left( (\beta h)^{1/2} + 1 \right) \sum_{n=1}^{s-r+1} \frac{1}{n} + (s - r + 1) \\
 &\ll \beta h + \left( \beta^{1/2} h^{1/2} + 1 \right) \log \left( \beta h + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \beta^{1/2} h^{1/2} + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we will estimate  $\sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n))$ . In view of (3.9), we distinguish two cases

(i)  $r = k + 1$  and (ii)  $r \geq k + 2$ .

Case (i):  $r = k + 1$ .

We have

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) = \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n) - rn) = \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(\tau(n)),$$

where  $\tau(x) = g(x) - rx$ . Since  $f'(x)$  is decreasing, we obtain

$$f'\left(\frac{c}{2}\right) \leq f'(x) \leq f'(a_r) = r - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } a_r \leq x \leq c/2.$$

Thus, for  $a_r \leq x \leq c/2$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq -\tau'(x) = r - f'(x) \leq r - f'(c/2) = r - 2k + \alpha h = 1 - k + \alpha h < 1,$$

and so

$$|\tau'(x)| \leq 1 - k + \alpha h < 1 \quad \text{for } a_r \leq x \leq c/2.$$

Hence, from (3.21) and Lemma 2.2 with  $\lambda = 1 - k + \alpha h$ , it follows that

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) = \int_{a_r}^{c/2} e(\tau(x)) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{k - \alpha h}\right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_r}^{c/2} e(\tau(x)) dx &= \int_{a_r}^{c/2} e((\alpha h - r)x + \beta h \log x) dx \\ &= \int_{a_r}^{c/2} \left(\frac{e((\alpha h - r)x)}{2\pi i(\alpha h - r)}\right)' e(\beta h \log x) dx \\ &= \left[\frac{e((\alpha h - r)x)}{2\pi i(\alpha h - r)} e(\beta h \log x)\right]_{a_r}^{c/2} - \frac{\beta h}{\alpha h - r} \int_{a_r}^{c/2} \frac{1}{x} e(\tau(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner as that of (3.13), we obtain

$$\int_{a_r}^{c/2} \frac{1}{x} e(\tau(x)) dx = O((\beta h)^{-1/2}) + O((\beta h)^{-1}).$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{a_r}^{c/2} e(\tau(x)) dx = O\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha h - k - 1|}\right) + O\left(\frac{(\beta h)^{1/2}}{|\alpha h - k - 1|}\right).$$

Using  $|\alpha h - k - 1| = 2 - \{\alpha h\} > 1$ , we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \int_{a_r}^{c/2} e(\tau(x)) dx = O(\beta^{1/2} h^{1/2}) + O(1).$$

By (3.22) and (3.23), we have

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) = O(\beta^{1/2} h^{1/2}) + O\left(\frac{1}{k - \alpha h}\right) + O(1).$$

Case (ii):  $r \geq k + 2$ .

In a similar manner as that of (3.15), we obtain

$$\sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) = O\left(\frac{(\beta h)^{1/2}}{|\alpha h - r + 1|}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\alpha h - r + 1|}\right) + O(1).$$

Since  $|\alpha h - r + 1| = r - 1 - \alpha h \geq k + 1 - \alpha h \geq 1$ , we have

$$(3.25) \quad \sum_{a_r < n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) = O(\beta^{1/2} h^{1/2}) + O(1).$$

From (3.6), (3.7), (3.20), (3.24), and (3.25), it follows that

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq c/2} e(g(n)) \ll \beta h + \left(\beta^{1/2} h^{1/2} + 1\right) \log\left(\beta h + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \beta^{1/2} h^{1/2} + \frac{1}{k - \alpha h} + 1,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The cases  $\beta > 0$  and  $\beta < 0$  are analogous, so only the former is considered.

Let  $m$  be a positive integer. By our assumptions, we have  $h_m = q_{2m+1} > 2$  and  $k_m = p_{2m+1}$  with  $p_n/q_n = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$ . The sequence  $(h_m)$  is strictly increasing. Since  $0 < k_m - \alpha h_m = p_{2m+1} - \alpha q_{2m+1} < \frac{1}{q_{2m+1}} = \frac{1}{h_m} < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$k_m = [\alpha h_m] + 1$$

and

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < k_m - \alpha h_m < \frac{1}{h_m}$$

(see [7, Theorem 5, p.8.]). We set  $c_m = \frac{\beta h_m}{k_m - \alpha h_m}$ , so that  $N_m = [2c_m]$ . We also set  $x_n = \alpha n + \beta \log n$ .



Applying Theorem 1.1 with  $N = N_m$ ,  $h = h_m$ ,  $k = k_m$ , and  $c = c_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{h_m N_m} \sum_{n=1}^{N_m} e(h_m x_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_m N_m} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq 2c_m} e(h_m x_n) \\
 &= \frac{\beta^{1/2}}{h_m^{1/2} N_m (k_m - \alpha h_m)} e \left( \beta h_m \log \left( \frac{\beta h_m}{k_m - \alpha h_m} \right) - \beta h_m - \frac{1}{8} \right) \\
 & \quad + O \left( \frac{\beta^{1/3}}{h_m^{2/3} N_m (k_m - \alpha h_m)} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{h_m N_m (k_m - \alpha h_m)} \right) \\
 (4.2) \quad & \quad + O \left( \frac{1}{h_m N_m (1 - (k_m - \alpha h_m))} \right) + O \left( \frac{\beta}{N_m} \right) \\
 & \quad + O \left( \frac{\beta^{1/2}}{h_m^{1/2} N_m} \log \left( \beta h_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{h_m N_m} \log \left( \beta h_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\
 & \quad + O \left( \frac{\beta^{1/2}}{h_m^{1/2} N_m} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{h_m N_m} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta h_m^2 > 1$  for sufficiently large  $m$ , we have

$$c_m = \frac{\beta h_m}{k_m - \alpha h_m} > \beta h_m^2 > 1,$$

so that

$$N_m = [2c_m] > 2c_m - 1 > c_m.$$

Hence

$$(4.3) \quad N_m > c_m = \frac{\beta h_m}{k_m - \alpha h_m} > \beta h_m^2,$$

and so

$$(4.4) \quad h_m < \beta^{-1/2} N_m^{1/2}.$$

Since  $N_m(k_m - \alpha h_m) > c_m(k_m - \alpha h_m) = \beta h_m$  and  $N_m(k_m - \alpha h_m) \leq 2c_m(k_m - \alpha h_m) = 2\beta h_m$ , we have

$$(4.5) \quad \beta h_m < N_m(k_m - \alpha h_m) \leq 2\beta h_m.$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number. From (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5), it follows that, for  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta^{3/4}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{4h_m} \left| \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{n=1}^{N_m} e(h_m x_n) \right| \right| \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{8\beta^{1/2}h_m^{3/2}} \\
 & \quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{2/3}h_m^{5/3}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta h_m^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta h_m^3}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{h_m^2}\right) \\
 & \quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{1/2}h_m^{5/2}} \log\left(\beta h_m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta h_m^3} \log\left(\beta h_m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
 & \quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{1/2}h_m^{5/2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\beta h_m^3}\right) \\
 & \geq \left(\frac{1}{8\beta^{1/2}} - \delta\right) h_m^{-3/2} \\
 & \geq \left(\frac{1}{8\beta^{1/2}} - \delta\right) \left(\beta^{-1/2} N_m^{1/2}\right)^{-3/2} \\
 & = \left(\frac{\beta^{1/4}}{8} - \varepsilon\right) N_m^{-3/4}
 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $m$ .

By Lemma 2.6, we arrive at the desired inequality, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Dromota and R. F. Tichy, *Sequences, Discrepancies and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1651, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1997).
- [2] K. Goto and Y. Ohkubo, *The Discrepancy of the Sequence  $(n\alpha + (\log n)\beta)$* , Acta Math. Hungarica **86**(3), (2000), 39–47.
- [3] K. Goto and Y. Ohkubo, *Discrepancy of Some Special Sequences*, in C. Jia and K. Matsumoto (Eds.), *Analytic Number Theory*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002), 143–155.
- [4] K. Goto and Y. Ohkubo, *Lower bounds for the discrepancy of some sequences*, to appear.

- [5] A. A. Karatsuba and S. M. Voronin, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992).
- [6] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1974).
- [7] S. Lang, *Introduction to Diophantine Approximations*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/ New York, 1995).
- [8] Y. Ohkubo, *Notes on Erdős-Turán Inequality*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A), **67**, (1999), 51–57.
- [9] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, 2nd ed. revised by D. R. Heath-Brown (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [10] R. F. Tichy and G. Turnwald, *Logarithmic Uniform Distribution of  $(\alpha n + \beta \log n)$* , Tsukuba J. Math., **10**, (1986), 351–366.
- [11] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series Vol.I*, (Cambridge University Press, 1979).

Kazuo Goto:Department of Mathematics, Tottori University, Tottori-shi, 680-0945, JAPAN

Yukio Ohkubo:Department of Business Administration, The International University of Kagoshima, Kagoshima-shi, 891-0191, JAPAN

(2004年11月15日受理)