View Article Online

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: K. Wang, T. J. Prior and C. Redshaw, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9CC04494A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemcomm



Journal Name

Turning on ROP activity in a bimetallic Co/Zn complex supported by a [2+2] Schiff-base macrocycle

Kuiyuan Wang, Timothy J. Prior and Carl Redshaw*

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Homo-dinuclear complexes Co and Zn complexes derived from the macrocycle LH₂, {[2-(OH)-5-(R)-C₆H₂-1,3-(CH)₂][CH₂CH₂(2-C₆H₄N)₂]₂ (R = Me, tBu), revealed near inactivity for the ring opening polymerization (ROP) of the cyclic esters δ -valerolactone (δ -VL) and ϵ -caprolactone (ϵ -CL). By contrast, the hetero-bimetallic complexes [LCo(NCMe)(μ -Br)ZnBr]-nMeCN (n=3 or 3.25) were found to be efficient catalysts for the ROP of ϵ -CL and δ -VL.

The production of aliphatic polyesters has become a very topical area given their biodegradability and biocompatibility,^[1] and given the current global issues with plastic pollution, this is likely to remain the case for the foreseeable future.^[2] One viable route to such polymers is via the metal-catalyzed ring opening polymerization (ROP) of cyclic esters.^[3] The choice of metal catalyst is influenced by a number factors, such as price, toxicity, activity and control. Frameworks that are capable of simultaneously binding multiple metal centres continue to be of great interest in various fields of catalysis, and this stems from the possible presence of favourable cooperative effects.^[4] We have become interested in the use of Schiff-base macrocycles given that they possess multiple binding sites,^[5] and our studies have been focusing on the simplest members of this family, the so-called Robson type macrocycles, derived from the [2+2] condensation of a diamine/dianiline with a dialdehyde.^[6] In terms of catalysis (ROP of ϵ -CL), we observed beneficial cooperative effects when alkylaluminium centres are bound in a specific way to a Schiffbase macrocycle (LH₂, scheme 1, left) derived from the dianiline [(CH₂CH₂)(2-C₆H₄NH₂)₂], whereas the presence of aluminoxane type (Al–O–Al) bonding in such a system proved detrimental.^[7] Furthermore, we noted that manganese complexes of such macrocycles were far less active with conversions for the ROP of E-CL < 15 %.^[8] It is noteworthy that the structural chemistry of this particular macrocycle is underexplored, indeed a search of the CSD revealed no hits,^[9] other than our previously mentioned chemistry.^{[7,} ^{8]} We have selected the metals cobalt and zinc given their relatively low cost and biocompatibility. Further, we note that the use of Zn

complexes for the ROP of ϵ -CL is well established,^[3] ROP using Co species are scant.^[10]

Recent work by Williams et al. has shown how the use of heterodinuclear complexes can result in enhanced catalytic performance.[11a] In particular, it was reported that an in-situ generated catalyst comprising the hetero-dinuclear Zn-Mg complex bearing a diphenolate tetraamine macrocycle (scheme 1, right) used in conjunction with its homo-dinuclear Zn-Zn and Mg-Mg counterparts performed better for the copolymerization of CO₂ with epoxides than did the homo-dinuclear complexes alone. Given the very similar solubility properties of the three species, it was not possible to crystallize selectively the Mg-Zn hetero-dinuclear complex. However, subsequent studies by the same group have shown that it is possible to access such species via mono-metalation, followed by the addition of the second metal, [11b] and the molecular structures of a number of mixed-metal species were reported.[11c,d] Interestingly, Williams et al. also observed that for ROP of ϵ -CL and r-LA, a mixed Ti/Zn system displayed moderate/high activity whilst the mono-Ti system was inactive.[11c] We also note that cooperative effects have also been observed for a homo-dinuclear zinc complex in lactide polymerization.^[12]



Scheme 1. Macrocycle LH₂ (R = Me, *t*Bu) and the Zn-Mg complex reported by Williams *et al*.

Given this, we have re-focused our efforts on the [2+2] Schiffbase systems and have extended our studies in an attempt to access mixed-metal systems. Herein, we report that homodinuclear cobalt and zinc complexes bearing the macrocycle L (1 - 3, scheme 2) are readily accessible. Furthermore, on reacting 1 with Br_2Zn a complex 4 related to 1 was formed differing only

^{a.} Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, University of Hull, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK. Email: <u>c.redshaw@hull.ac.uk</u>; Tel +44 1482 465219.

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Full experimental details for complex synthesis and ROP studies. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Published on 16 August 2019. Downloaded by University of Hull on 8/19/2019 10:19:03 AM.





in the composition (partial occupancy Co:Zn = 68:32) of the anion, whereas with Et₂Zn, it proved possible to isolate and structurally characterize the mixed-metal Co- Zn complexes **5** (chart 2 right). Interestingly, the homo-dinuclear species **1** and **3** (R = Me and tBu) were inactive when screened for the ROP of ε -CL (and virtually inactive for δ -VL), whereas the mixed-metal complexes **5Me** and **5tBu** were efficient catalysts when screened under the same conditions (130 °C, 24 h). We note that poly(ε -caprolactone), PCL and PVL are favoured polymers given both their biodegradability, and are considered as potential environmentally friendly commodity plastics.^[13] Beyond ROP, Co-Zn species are of interest in gas sensors, semiconductors, dyes and pigments, as well as in other catalytic processes^[14]



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 1tBu-0.5MeCN. Solvent omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1) - Br(1) 2.4847(6), Co(1) - O(1) 2.004(2), Co(1) - O(2) 2.043(2), Co(1) - N(1) 2.088(3), Co(1) - N(3) 2.105(3); O(1) - Co(1) - O(2) 178.52(9), N(1) - Co(1) - N(3) 108.77(11), O(1) - Co(1) - Br(1) 92.98(7), N(3)-Co(1)-Br(1) 128.17(8), N(1)-Co(1)-Br(1) 122.54(8), N(1)-Co(1)-N(3) 108.77(11).

Reaction of the [2+2] Schiff-base macrocycle {[2-(OH)-5-(R)-C₆H₂-

 $1,3-(CH)_2[CH_2CH_2(2-C_6H_4N)_2]_2$ (R = Me, tBu) with 2.1 equivalents of CoBr₂ afforded, following work-up, green prisms on recrystallization from a saturated solution of acetonitrile at 0 °C in about 70% yield. The molecular structure of 1tBu 0.5MeCN is shown in figure 1, with selected bond lengths and angles given in the caption; for 1Me-4MeCN see ESI figure S1. The complex is a salt of formula [CoBrLtBu][CoBr₃(NCMe)]·0.5MeCN (1tBu·0.5MeCN). In the cation, the cobalt centre is five-coordinate with a trigonal bipyramidal pattern; the apical sites are occupied by O atoms of phenolates, with the bromide and two N atoms in the equatorial sites. Concentration of the mother-liquor afforded more of 1tBu-0.5MeCN plus small product, amounts of an orange/red identified as [CoBrL^{tBu}]₂[CoBr₃(NCMe)][Br]·4.5MeCN (2tBu·4.5MeCN), the structure of which is similar to that observed for 1tBu-0.5MeCN, differing mainly in the composition of the anions, namely Br- and Page 2 of 5

CoBr₃(NCMe); the molecular structure is shown in figure S2 (ESI). Similar interaction of LH₂ with 2.1 equivalents of ZnBr₂ afforded, following work-up, yellow prisms of [ZnLtBuBr][(ZnBr3(NCMe)]·MeCN (3tBu·MeCN) in good yield. Use of excess ZnBr₂ (> 4 equivalents) also afforded 3tBu·MeCN as the only crystalline product. Again, the structure (ESI, figure S4) is very reminiscent of 1tBu-0.5MeCN, with the zinc adopting a trigonal bipyramidal geometry in the cation; for the structure of **3Me**·MeCN, see figure S3. Having isolated and characterized these homo-dinuclear products, we then targeted the formation of a mixed-metal system. Complex 1tBu was reacted with ZnBr₂ to afford a yellow product, however the structure, namely (4tBu·0.25MeCN), [CoBrLtBu][Co_{0.68}Zn_{0.32}Br₃(NCMe)]·0.25MeCN turned out to be very similar to 1 differing only in the composition of the anion, the latter having cobalt:zinc occupancy 68.4:32.6(17) (ESI, figure S5). We note that the structures of both 3Me/tBu and 4tBu are analogous to the equivalent manganese complex (see CUVYID in CCDC).^[7c] Given this disappointing result, both 1Me and 1tBu were treated with Et₂Zn (one equivalent) and on work-up (acetonitrile), brown/red products were isolated in good yield. Single crystals were grown from acetonitrile on prolonged standing (3 days) at ambient temperature. The molecular structure of 5tBu·3.25MeCN is shown in figure 2, with bond lengths/angles given in the caption; for 5Me·3MeCN see figure S6, ESI. In 5tBu·3.25MeCN, the macrocycle binds two metals (Co and Zn) in an ordered way. The Co²⁺ is bound in distorted octahedral geometry by two cis phenolates and two cis imines of the macrocycle, a rather distant bromide (Co(1)-Br(1) 2.9383(5) Å), and a single molecule of acetonitrile. The Zn ion is in close proximity to the Co ion: the bromide and one of the phenolates each coordinate to the Zn. The tetrahedral coordination about the Zn is completed by an imine and a mono-dentate bromide. One might describe the octahedron of Co(1) and the tetrahedron around Zn(1) as sharing a common edge (O(1) and Br(1)). The two metals are separated at a distance 3.2399(5) Å. The magnetic moments of 5 (5.1 -5.3) are consistent with high spin Co(II) complexes, [15] whilst the ¹H NMR spectra are broad and spread over a large window (+140 to -40 ppm). The cobalt and zinc complexes 1, 3 and 5 (R = Me, tBu) have been screened for their ability to ring open polymerize δ -VL and ϵ -CL; runs were conducted in the presence of benzyl alcohol (BnOH). For complexes 1 and 3, a variety of conditions were used in the attempted ROP of δ -VL including temperatures in the range 40 to 130 °C, differing ratios of [$\delta\text{-VL}$]:[Cat]:[BnOH] and over different times, however on each occasion there was no sign of polymer upon



Figure 2. The molecular structure of **5tBu**-3.25MeCN. Solvent omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Co(1) - O(1) 2.133(2), Co(1) - O(2) 1.947(2), Co(1) - N(3) 2.058(2), Co(1) - N4) 2.126(2), Co(1) - N(5) 2.108(3), Zn(1) - Br(1) 2.3873(4), Zn(1) - Br(2) 2.3412(5), Zn(1) - O(1) 1.9792(19), Zn(1) - N(1) 2.015(3); Co(1) - O(1) - Zn(1) 103.93(8), N(3) - Co(1) - N(4) 107.92(9), O(2) - Co(1) - N(3) 90.50(9), O(2) - Co(1) - N(5) 167.52(9), O(1) - Zn(1) - Br(2) 113.655(18).

Published on 16 August 2019. Downloaded by University of Hull on 8/19/2019 10:19:03 AM.

DOI: 10.1039/C9CC04494A

Table 1. ROP of δ -VL using complexes 1-5

Run	Cat.	[δ-VL]: [Cat]:BnOH	T(°C)	t(h)	Conv. (%) ^a	$Mn \times 10^{3b}$	Mn_{Cal} ×10 ^{4c}	PDI ^d
1	5tBu	50:1:1	130	24	88.7	1.35	0.45	1.16
2	5tBu	100:1:1	130	24	92.8	2.14	0.93	1.15
3	5tBu	250:1:1	130	24	98.7	5.19	2.46	1.22
4	5tBu	500:1:1	130	24	99.4	6.89	4.97	1.23
5	5tBu	1000:1:1	130	24	77.9	5.33	7.79	1.43
6	5tBu	500:1:1	130	12	92.7	0.45	4.64	1.53
7	5tBu	500:1:1 (melt)	130	24	96.2	7.11	4.82	1.79
8	5tBu	500:1:1	70	24	76.1	0.76	3.80	1.19
9	5Me	250:1:1	130	24	97.0	2.68	2.43	1.30
10	5Me	500:1:1	130	24	91.4	3.35	4.57	1.15
11	1 <i>t</i> Bu	500:1:1	130	24	38.8	0.45	1.94	1.42
12	3tBu	500:1:1	130	24	4.4	-	0.22	-

^a By ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis. ^b *M*_n values were determined by GPC in THF *vs* PS standards and were corrected with a Mark-Houwink factors (0.58 for poly(δ-VL) ^c (F.W.[M]/[BnOH])(conversion) ^d Polydispersity index (*M*_w/*M*_n) were determined by GPC.

work-up. However, for the mixed-metal systems 5, activity was observed when the temperature reached 130 °C, in the presence of one equivalent of BnOH (at this temperature, no activity was observed for 1 and 3 – see figure 3 for the relative rates of the tBu derivatives). Data for runs is given in table 1 (see also figures 19-24 ESI) and reveals that 5tBu out-performs 5Me, which is thought to be due to the increased solubility of the former in the reaction solvent. In these mixed-metal systems, the Co to Zn distance may favour coordination of a monomer to both metal centres, and then as in the ROP of propylene oxide.^[16] one metal acts a as Lewis acid with the other using its M-OR function to attack the carbonyl group. Highest conversions were achieved with ratios between 100:1:1 and 500:1:1 at 130 °C, with all the runs showing good control with PDIs in range 1.15-1.30; above and below this temperature only trace polymer was observed. Use of either 12h (run 6) or no solvent (i.e. a melt, run 7) was less controlled. Analysis of the polymer indicated the presence of benzyloxy and hydroxyl end groups (figure S22, ESI). There was evidence of transesterication and all observed M_n values were significantly lower than the calculated values (see figure S21, ESI). In the case of ϵ -CL, the situation was more pronounced with no conversion evident by ¹H NMR spectroscopy for catalyst systems 1 and 3. By contrast, systems using 5Me and 5tBu were efficient catalysts at 130 °C over 24 h, with similar conversion results observed using the ratios between 50:1:1 and 500:1:1; above and below this temperature only trace polymer was observed. As for the δ -VL runs, good control was observed, except for when using either 12h or a melt. From a kinetic study, it was observed that the polymerization rate exhibited near first order dependence of the CL concentration at 130 °C. The rate of polymerization for ε-CL (K_{obs}=2.12×10⁻³(h⁻¹) for **5tBu**) was less than half that for δ -VL (K_{obs} =6.10×10⁻³(h⁻¹) for **5tBu**), which is consistent with other reports.^[17] The observed molecular weights were lower than the calculated values, suggesting the presence of a chain transfer agent (H₂O or BnOH). This was also evident in the MALDI-TOF mass spectra, were a number of families of peaks were observed separated by 114 mass units (figures S26, S27, ESI); the highest were typically about 7000. For example, in the case of 5tBu (run 4, table 2), peaks in the spectrum can be assigned to



Figure 3. Plot of $ln[VL]_0/[VL]_t vs.$ time and $ln[CL]_0/[CL]_t vs.$ time using complex 1tBu, 3tBu and 5tBu ([monomer]:[Cat]:BnOH=500:1:1; 130 °C.

4926, 5039, and 5154 using the formula C₆H₆-CH₂O[O(CH₂)₄CO]_nOH for n = 42, 43 and 44 respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra of the PCL (e.g. figure S28) revealed the presence of benzyloxy and OH end groups. It also proved possible to ROP *r*-LA using the mixed-metal systems, albeit in much poorer yields. Moreover, co-polymerization of δ -VL and ϵ -CL was possible as was ϵ -CL with *r*-LA (see table S1 and figures S33-43, ESI for preliminary results).

In conclusion, we have isolated and structurally characterized homodinuclear cobalt and zinc complexes as well as rare examples of mixed-metal (Co/Zn) complexes of a [2+2] macrocyclic Schiff-base. Screening of these complexes for the ROP of the cyclic esters δ valerolactone (δ -VL) and ϵ -caprolactone (ϵ -CL) revealed that whilst the homo-dinuclear complexes are either virtually inactive (δ -VL) or inactive (ϵ -CL), the mixed-metal systems are efficient catalysts at 130 °C, suggestive that the metals are able to 'turn each other on'.

Conflicts of interests

There are no conflicts to declare.

Table 2. ROP of ε-CL using complexes 1-5.

Run	Cat.	[ε-CL]: [Cat]	T(°C)	t/h	Conv.	Mn	Mn_{Calcd}	PDI
		BIOH			(%)*	×10 ^{**}	×10	
1	5 <i>t</i> Bu	50:1:1	130	24	99.3	1.14	0.57	1.14
2	5tBu	100:1:1	130	24	99.6	2.65	1.01	1.13
3	5tBu	250:1:1	130	24	99.6	4.10	2.86	1.13
4	5tBu	500:1:1	130	24	99.8	6.56	5.05	1.34
5	5tBu	1000:1:1	130	24	88.7	3.61	8.87	1.12
6	5tBu	500:1:1	130	12	48.0	2.28	2.74	1.80
7	5tBu	500:1:2	130	24	71.5	3.48	1.78	1.15
8	5tBu	500:1:1	130	24	98.2	7.87	4.98	1.66
		(melt)						
9	5tBu	500:1:1	70	24	72.7	-	3.63	-
10	5Me	250:1:1	130	36	97.6	4.83	2.44	1.34
11	5Me	500:1:1	130	36	99.2	5.16	4.96	1.96
12	1 <i>t</i> Bu	500:1:1	130	24	-	-	-	-
13	1Me	500:1:1	130	24	-	-	-	-

^a By ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis. ^b M_n values were determined by GPC in THF vs PS standards and were corrected with a Mark-Houwink factors (0.56 for poly(ϵ -CL) ^c (F.W.[M]/[BnOH])(conversion) ^d Polydispersity index (M_w/M_n) were determined by GPC.

Q. Wang, C. Redshaw, M. R. J. Elsegood, J. -L. Zhao, T. Yamato, Dalton Trans., **2016**, 45, 11990-12005.

Acknowledgements

We thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for a PhD Scholarship to KW. The EPSRC National Crystallographic Service Centre at (**1Me**·MeCN, **3Me**·MeCN, **3fBu**·MeCN, **5Me**·MeCN) contain the supplementary crystallographic data. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via Southampton is thanked for data. CR also thanks the EPSRC (grant EP/S025537/1) for financial support. § CCDC 1829365-7 (**1tBu**·0.5MeCN, **2tBu**·4.5MeCN, and **5tBu**·3.25MeCN), 1849421 (**4tBu**·0.25MeCN) and 1921189-92. www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

Note and References

- 1 A. C. Albertsson and I. K. Varma, *Biomacromolecules* **2003**, *4*, 1466-1486.
- 2 P. Villarrubia-Gómez, S. E. Cornell and J. Fabres, Marine Policy, 2018, 96, 213-220.
- For reviews, see (a) O. Dechy-Cabaret, B. Martin-Vaca and D. Bourissou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, *104*, 6147–6176; (b) M. Labet and W. Thielemans, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, *38*, 3484–3504; (c) C. M. Thomas, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, *39*, 165–173; (d) A. Arbaoui and C. Redshaw, *Polym. Chem.*, 2010, *1*, 801–826; (e) Y. Sarazin, J.-F. Carpentier, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 3564–3614 and references therein.
- 4 See for example, (a) S. K. Mandal, H. W. Roesky, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, 43, 248-259; (b) M. Delferro, T. J. Marks, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 2450–2485; (c) J. P. McInnis, M. Delferro, T. J. Marks, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 2545-2557; (d) I. Bratko, M. GÓmez, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 10664-10681.
- 5 (a) S. Brooker, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, 222, 33-56; (b) W. Radecka-Paryzek, V. Patroniak, J. Lisowski, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 249, 2156-2175; (c) C. Redshaw, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 9018-9030; (d) C. Redshaw, *Catalysts*, 2017, 7, 165.
- 6 (a) N. H. Pilkington, R. Robson, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **1970**, *23*, 2225–2236; (b) M. Bell, A. J. Edwards, B. F. Hoskins, E. H, Kachab, R. Robson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1989**, *111*, 3603–3610.
- 7 (a) A. Arbaoui, C. Redshaw, D. L. Hughes, *Chem. Commun.*,
 2008, 4717; (b) A. Arbaoui, C. Redshaw, D. L. Hughes,
 Supramol. Chem., 2009, *21*, 35; (c) W. Yang, K. -Q. Zhao, B. –

- W. Yang, K.-Q. Zhao, B.-Q. Wang, C. Redshaw, M. R. J. Elsegood, J. -L. Zhao and T. Yamato, *Dalton Trans.*, **2016**, 45, 226–236.
- 9 F. H. Allen, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Struct. Sci., **2002**, 58, 380.
- 10 See for example, J. Zhang, B. Wang, L. Wang, J. Sun, Y. Zhang, Z. Cao and Z. Wu, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2018; 32e4077 and references therein.
- (a) P.K. Saini, C. Romain, C. K. Williams, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 4164-4167; (b) J. F. Garden, P. K. Saini, C. K. Williams, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 15078-15081. (c) J. F. Garden, A. J. P. White, C. K. Williams, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 2532-2541; (d) A. C. Deacy, C. B. Durr, J. F. Garden, A. J. P. White, C. K. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 15575-15583. (e) G. Trott, J. F. Garden, C. K. Williams, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 4618-4627.
- 12 A. Thevenon, C. Romain, M. S. Bennington, A. J. P. White, H. J. Davidson, S. Brooker, C. K. Williams, *Angew Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 8680-8685.
- 13 See for example, (a) M. A. Woodruff and D. W. Hutmacher, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2010, 35, 1217-1256; (b) Y. F. Al-Khafaji and F. H. Hussein in Green and Sustainable Advanced Materials: Processing and Characterization, Eds. S. Ahmed and C. M. Hussain, Wiley, 2018, Chapter 6.
- 14 (a) T. Baird, K. C. Campbell, P. J. Holliman, R. W. Hoyle, D. Stirling, B. P. Williams, M. Morris, J. Mater. Chem., 1997, 7, 319–330; (b) X. Niu, W. Du, W. Du, Sens. Actuators B, 2004, 99, 405–409; (c) N. H. Perry, T. O. Mason, C. Ma, A. Navrotsky, Kondrat, T. E. Davies, D. J. Morgan, D. I. Enache, G. B. Combes, S. H. Taylor, J. K. Bartley, G. J. Hutchings, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 1970-1978.
- 15 (a) R. W. Handel, H. Willms, G. B. Jameson, K. J. Berry, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray and S. Brooker, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 3317–3327; (b) A. A. Abou-Hussein and W. Linert, *Spectro. Chim. Acta A, Mol. Biomol. Spec.* 2015, 141, 223-232.
- 16 (a) B. Antelmann, M. H. Chisholm, S. S. Iyer, J. C. Huffman, D. Navarro-Llobet, M. Pagel, W. J. Simonsick and W. Zhong, *Macromolecules*, **2001**, *34*, 3159-3175; (b) W. Braune and J. Okuda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2003**, *42*, 64-68.
- 17 K. Makiguchi, T. Satoh, T. Kakuchi, *Macromolecules*, **2011**, *44*, 1999–2005.



415x187mm (300 x 300 DPI)