Revised: 10 June 2019 Accepted: 19 June 2019

Diversity and Distributions WILEY

BIODIVERSITY RESEARCH

Impacts of 21st-century climate change on montane habitat in the Madrean Sky Island Archipelago

Alan D. Yanahan¹ \square | Wendy Moore² \square

¹Graduate Interdisciplinary Program in Entomology and Insect Science, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona

²Department of Entomology, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona

Correspondence

Alan D. Yanahan, Graduate Interdisciplinary Program in Entomology and Insect Science. University of Arizona, 1145 East 4th Street, PO Box 210036, Tucson, AZ. Email: yanahan@email.arizona.edu

Funding information

University of Arizona Center for Insect Science

Editor: Kenneth Feeley

Abstract

Aim: The Madrean Sky Island Archipelago is a North American biodiversity hotspot composed of ~60 isolated mountains that span the Cordilleran Gap between the Rocky Mountains and the Sierra Madre Occidental. Characterized by discrete patches of highelevation montane habitat, these "sky islands" serve as stepping stones across a "sea" of desert scrub/grassland. Over this coming century, the region is expected to shift towards a warmer and drier climate. We used species distribution modelling to predict how the spatial distribution of montane habitat will be affected by climate change.

Location: Madrean Sky Island Archipelago, south-west United States and north-west Mexico (latitude, 29-34°N; longitude, 107-112°W).

Methods: To approximate the current distribution of montane habitat, we built species distribution models for five high-elevation species (Ceanothus fendleri, Pinus strobiformis, Quercus gambelii, Sciurus aberti, and Synuchus dubius). The resulting models were projected under multiple climate change scenarios-four greenhouse gas concentration trajectories (RCP 2.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5) for each of three climate models (CCSM4, MPI-ESM-LR, and NorESM1-M)-to generate predicted distributions for the years 2050 and 2070. We performed chi-squared tests to detect any future changes to total montane habitat area, and Conover-Iman tests to evaluate isolation among the discrete montane habitat patches.

Results: While the climate models differ with respect to their predictions as to how severe the effects of future climate change will be, they all agree that by as early as year 2050, there will be significant montane habitat loss and increased montane habitat patch isolation across the Madrean Archipelago region under a worst-case climate change scenario (RCP 8.5).

Main conclusions: Our results suggest that under 21st-century climate change, the Madrean Sky Islands will become increasingly isolated due to montane habitat loss. This may affect their ability to serve as stepping stones and have negative implications for the region's biodiversity.

KEYWORDS

biodiversity hotspot, climate change, Madrean Sky Island Archipelago, montane habitat, species distribution modelling, stepping stones

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Diversity and Distributions published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

WILEY— Diversity and Distributions

1 | INTRODUCTION

Human influences on Earth's climate system are unequivocal. Mean annual global surface temperatures increased ~0.85°C from 1880 to 2012 and are expected to rise by an additional 1–4°C by 2100; greater contrasts in annual mean precipitation between dry and wet regions, as well as larger contrasts between dry and wet seasons, are also anticipated by the end of this century (IPCC, 2013). The importance of broadscale temperature and precipitation conditions on where a species or a population can occur and persist (Grinnell, 1917; James, Johnston, Wamer, Niemi, & Boecklen, 1984; Whittaker, Levin, & Root, 1973) is especially relevant at the coarse geographic scale at which species distributions are typically defined (Soberón, 2007).

With anthropogenic climate change, a given species' current geographic range may no longer contain climatic conditions conducive to its survival. However, there are several ways in which species can potentially respond to climate change (e.g., Davis, Shaw, & Etterson, 2005; Holt, 1990). First, species may undergo plastic and/or evolutionary changes to adapt to the altered abiotic and/or biotic conditions within their existing geographic range. Second, species may track the original climatic conditions by dispersing over space (e.g., moving to higher latitudes or elevation as climates warm; Brusca et al., 2013; Chen, Hill, Ohlemüller, Roy, & Thomas, 2011; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003). Third, when neither adaptation nor dispersal is possible, the species may go extinct.

While there are multiple ways for species to potentially respond to climate change, the ability of species to adapt fast enough in-place to changing climatic conditions has been limited by the rapid rate of climate change. Already, there have been widespread local extinctions in hundreds of species across diverse climatic regions, habitats and taxonomic groups, particularly at the warm edge (i.e., lower latitudes and lower elevations) of their ranges (Wiens, 2016). This trend will inevitably continue as projected rates of future climate change are expected to outpace species' ability to adapt (Jezkova & Wiens, 2016).

For many species, the rate of climate change may exceed their adaptive capacities, but they can still contend with changing climatic conditions by dispersing over space (e.g., Chen et al., 2011). However, there are limits as to how far a given species will be able to track a shifting climate; in mountainous regions, climates already at the uppermost elevations can shift above mountain peaks and no longer be available for species to occupy (Colwell, Brehm, Cardelús, Gilman, & Longino, 2008; Nogués-Bravo, Araújo, Errea, & Martínez-Rica, 2007).

One such region that is at risk of having the availability of its high-elevation climates disappear under future climate change is the Madrean Sky Island Archipelago (Madrean Archipelago) of the south-western United States and north-western Mexico. Recognized as a biodiversity hotspot (Mittermeier et al., 2004), the Madrean Archipelago lies at a biogeographic crossroad (Spector, 2002) where its ~60 isolated mountains serve as "stepping stones" that span the Cordilleran Gap between the Rocky Mountains and the Sierra Madre Occidental. These "sky islands" are characterized by the elevational ordering of their distinct biological communities (i.e., biome-stacking; Marshall, 1957; Shreve, 1922), which include desert scrub, desert grassland, oak-grassland, oak woodland, chaparral, and—if elevations permit—pine-oak woodland, pine forest, and mixed conifer forest (Moore et al., 2013). Of the world's sky island complexes, the Madrean Archipelago is the only one to cross from temperate to subtropical latitudes and connect two major mountain systems (Rocky Mountains/ Sierra Madre Occidental), as well as two floristic (Neotropic/Holarctic) and two faunal realms (Neotropic/Nearctic; Warshall, 1994).

The Madrean Archipelago's exceptional species richness and beta diversity are largely explained by its topography and relief, and its geographic location at the juncture of several ecologically distinct regionsthe Rocky Mountains. Sierra Madre Occidental, and the Sonoran and Chihuahuan deserts. The rich set of climates and associated biomes supported by the Madrean Archipelago's topographically diverse landscape are home to a multitude of locally endemic species and have allowed many additional species to extend their ranges from adjacent regions. For several taxonomic groups, the Madrean Archipelago represents the biogeographic limit of their range. These include 30 bird species, over 35 reptile spp., and roughly 15 mammal spp., as well as 14 plant (northern limit) and 11 bird families (seven at their southern limit and four at their northern limit; Warshall, 1994). The convergence and overlap of all these species ranges have produced unrivalled levels of biodiversity. Over half of all bird species in North America occur in the Madrean Archipelago (Felger & Wilson, 1994), and it has the highest species richness for ants, mammals, and reptiles of anywhere on the continent (Warshall, 1994). The region is also likely to have the most diverse bee assemblage in the world (Buchmann, 1994).

Future climate change is expected to impact the Madrean Archipelago by causing an upward elevational shift of its climates and associated biomes (e.g., Colwell et al., 2008; Nogués-Bravo et al., 2007). As the uppermost biomes (i.e., pine forest and mixed conifer forest) retreat to higher elevations, these habitat "islands" will shrink and possibly disappear. The decrease in available habitat and the increase in "island" isolation may affect the region's overall biodiversity in the following ways. First, habitat loss and the effects of small patch size may lead to a greater likelihood of local extinctions (Andrén, 1994; Bender, Contreras, & Fahrig, 1998). Second, immigration may be important for sustaining local populations; within the Madrean Archipelago, increased "island" isolation may prevent some populations from receiving enough migrants to avoid local extinction (Hanski, 1998). If extirpated, their habitat patches may remain unoccupied for a greater duration before they are recolonized and new populations can become established (MacArthur & Wilson, 1967). Additionally, increased "island" isolation may prevent the "stepping stones" of the Madrean Archipelago from connecting the Rocky Mountains and Sierra Madre Occidental, functionally widening the Cordilleran Gap between the two mountain systems.

Here, we evaluate the effect of future climate change on the spatial distribution of high-elevation climates across the Madrean Archipelago. More specifically, we are interested in those climates that are suitable for species that occupy the uppermost biomes (i.e., pine forest and mixed conifer forest) exclusively. We will refer to those uppermost biomes collectively as the "montane" biome. We selected five species (three plants, one insect, and one mammal) that are representative of the montane biome; within the Madrean Archipelago, they only occur at high elevations. For each species, we performed species distribution modelling to approximate the current distribution of montane habitat and projected the resulting models under multiple climate change scenarios—four greenhouse gas concentration trajectories (RCP 2.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5) for each of three climate models (CCSM4, MPI-ESM-LR, and NorESM1-M)—to generate predicted distributions for the years 2050 and 2070. For each climate model under a worst-case future climate change scenario (RCP 8.5), (a) the proportion of the Madrean Archipelago consisting of the montane biome decreased significantly and (b) discrete patches of montane habitat became significantly more isolated from one another.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study area

The study area (Figure 1) is bounded by 29–34°N latitude and 107–112°W longitude and encompasses the roughly 230,000 km² Madrean Archipelago region of the south-west United States and north-west Mexico. This includes the entire complement of ~60 "Sky Islands" that span the Cordilleran Gap from the southern border of the Colorado Plateau to the north-western boundary of the Sierra Madre Occidental.

2.2 | Montane biome distribution modelling

To approximate the current spatial distribution of the montane biome across the Madrean Archipelago region and estimate the changes to that distribution under multiple future climate change scenarios, we performed species distribution modelling for five representative species under current climate conditions and future climate projections. Species distribution modelling is a process where environmental data associated with the geographic coordinates of species occurrence records and random background points (i.e., pseudo-absences) are evaluated by program-specific algorithms to assess habitat suitability across landscapes (Elith et al., 2006).

2.2.1 | Contemporary climate data

We obtained bioclimatic variables which had been derived from WorldClim v. 1.4 climate data (http://www.worldclim.org) at a 30 arc-second spatial resolution (~1 km; Table 1). WorldClim climate data are interpolated using ANUSPLIN (Hutchinson, 1995) to fit thin-plate smoothing splines through weather station data in three dimensions—latitude, longitude and elevation (Hijmans, Cameron, Parra, Jones, & Jarvis, 2005). This method does have limitations; namely, its performance declines in regions where climates transition dramatically over short distances (e.g., the mountainous western United States; Daly et al., 2008). PRISM (http://prism.orego nstate.edu/) is an alternative climate dataset that outperforms WorldClim in mountainous regions (Daly et al., 2008). However, its spatial extent is limited to the United States. Because our study



FIGURE 1 Map of the south-west United States and north-west Mexico. Species distribution models for *Ceanothus fendleri*, *Pinus strobiformis*, *Quercus gambelii*, *Sciurus aberti*, and *Synuchus dubius* were built using bioclimatic variables derived from contemporary WorldClim climate data clipped to the south-west United States (stippled). The resulting models were then projected onto the south-west United States and north-west Mexico (grey) using bioclimatic variables derived from contemporary and future climate data available from WorldClim. Landscape metrics (i.e., total montane habitat area and montane habitat patch proximity indices) were extracted from the Madrean Archipelago region (i.e., study area; hatched box). Map projection: US contiguous Albers equalarea conic

area included portions of the United States and Mexico, we selected WorldClim due to its global spatial extent. Additionally, future climate data are available through WorldClim and not PRISM. WorldClim has climate data representing two "current" time periods: 1960–1990 and 1970–2000. We selected climate data from the 1960–1990 time period since WorldClim's future climate data had been downscaled and calibrated to those data.

2.2.2 | Future climate data

We selected three climate models that were developed for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, phase 5 (CMIP5; Taylor, Stouffer, & Meehl, 2012): the Community Climate System Model v. 4 (CCSM4; Gent et al., 2011), the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model, low resolution (MPI-ESM-LR; Giorgetta et al., 2013), and the Norwegian Earth System Model v. 1, intermediate resolution (NorESM1-M; Bentsen et al., 2013). Over 50 climate models from 20 modelling groups participated in CMIP5; among those evaluated, there is considerable variation in their ability to replicate the continental and regional climatology of North America observed over **ILEY** Diversity and Distributions

TABLE 1List of data products used for species distributionmodelling with their summary information according to theirmodelled years, representative concentration pathways (RCP), andreference

Data product	Years	RCPs (W/ m ²)	Reference
WorldClim 30- year normals	1960-1990		Hijmans et al. (2005)
WorldClim CCSM4	2050, 2070	2.6, 4.5, 6.0, 8.5	Gent et al. (2011)
WorldClim MPI-ESM-LR	2050, 2070	2.6, 4.5, 8.5	Giorgetta et al. (2013)
WorldClim NorESM1-M	2050, 2070	2.6, 4.5, 6.0, 8.5	Bentsen et al. (2013)

Abbreviations: CCSM4, Community Climate System Model v. 4; MPI-ESM-LR, Max Planck Institute Earth System Model, low resolution; NorESM1-M, Norwegian Earth System Model v. 1; W/m², watts per square metre.

the past 30 years (Sheffield et al., 2013). While no single model outperformed the others across all climatic variables, there were some that consistently surpassed the rest for particular variables across most regions and seasons. We selected CCSM4, MPI-ESM-LR, and NorESM1-M for our study because they modelled western North America's climate (temperature and precipitation) for both winter (December, January, February) and summer (June, July, August) with the greatest accuracy (Sheffield et al., 2013).

CCSM4, MPI-ESM-LR, and NorESM1-M contributed to CMIP5 by predicting how Earth's climate will be impacted by a range of variables related to 21st-century population growth, technological development, energy and land use, socio-economic change, greenhouse gas emissions, and societal responses to climate change. These three models were projected under a set of four representative concentration pathways (RCPs; Moss et al., 2010; Van Vuuren et al., 2011). The RCPs are greenhouse gas concentration trajectories that summarize the anthropogenic factors that will lead to radiative forcing levels of 2.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5 W/m² by the year 2100. We obtained bioclimatic variables for CCSM4, MPI-ESM-LR, and NorESM1-M for the years 2050 and 2070 under each RCP from the WorldClim v. 1.4 dataset at a 30 arc-second spatial resolution (Table 1).

2.2.3 | Bioclimatic variables

There are 19 bioclimatic variables, all derived from mean monthly precipitation and temperature (minimum and maximum) values (Nix, 1986). They collectively capture both annual conditions and intra-year seasonality—broad environmental trends that are biologically meaningful to the physiological constraints of a species. However, there is the potential for there to be multicollinearity among the variables (e.g., O'Donnell & Ignizio, 2012; Jezkova, Olah-Hemmings, & Riddle, 2011; Kozak & Wiens, 2006). To identify a subset of variables with minimal multicollinearity, we performed a reverse stepwise VIF (variance inflation factor) analysis with the package R.VIF (van Breugel, Friis, Demissew, Lillesø, & Kindt, 2016) in GRASS GIS V. 7.6 (GRASS Development Team, 2019). We evaluated the contemporary WorldClim climate data across the spatial extent of the south-west United States (Figure 1) using a VIF threshold of five after transforming the layers to a US contiguous Albers equal-area conic projection in order to minimize geographic distortions to area and distance. Seven bioclimatic variables were retained for species distribution modelling: mean diurnal range, temperature seasonality, mean temperatures of both the wettest and driest quarters, precipitation of both the wettest and driest months, and precipitation of the coldest quarter.

2.2.4 | Species locality data

Species locality data were acquired for five montane species (Table 2). These include three plant, one mammal, and one insect species whose ranges are predominantly at higher elevations (i.e., >1,800 m) within the south-west United States (i.e., Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah). Raw point locations, limited to the south-west United States, were obtained from SEINet (http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/), VertNet (http://vertnet.org/), and the Symbiota Collections of Arthropods Network (SCAN; http:// scan-bugs.org/portal/). Point locations for the insect, *Synuchus dubius*, were supplemented with personal collection records. Due to the under-representation of available species locality data from Mexico, we were limited to species found primarily within the United States.

The georeferenced localities were manually inspected for accuracy and updated when possible. Records whose precise locations could not be determined from their associated collecting/observation data were removed. This process was performed for *Synuchus dubius*; but because it was so time-intensive, an automated alternative was developed for the remaining species. Climate values were extracted for the species occurrences, and outlier records were identified with the R package CAR (Fox & Weisberg, 2011). Point locations that were outliers for at least five of the 19 bioclimatic variables were removed; subsequent investigation revealed their outlier status was nearly always the result of being incorrectly georeferenced. To reduce the effects of sampling bias, the point locations were spatially thinned with the R package spTHIN (Aiello-Lammens, Boria, Radosavljevic, Vilela, & Anderson, 2019) so that all remaining points were at least 5 km from each other (see Figures S1.1–S1.5 in Appendix S1).

2.2.5 | Species distribution modelling

We performed species distribution modelling with MAXENT v. 3.3.3k (Phillips, Anderson, & Schapire, 2006; Phillips & Dudík, 2008), which uses the machine-learning technique of maximum entropy modelling to express a relative probability distribution that predicts the suitability of environmental conditions for a species across a defined geographic space (Phillips, Dudík, & Schapire, 2004). To determine the optimal MAXENT parameter settings for each of our five study species, we used the R package ENMEVAL (Muscarella et al., 2014) which executes a series of MAXENT models across a range of feature class combinations and regularization multipliers. It also provides a series

	wnload date References	August 2017 Christie et al. (2006)	August 2017 Kral (1993)	August 2017 Nixon (1997)	December Keith (1965), and Patton and Green (1970)	December Lindroth 16 (1956)
	Data source Dov	SEINet 23,	SEINet 23,	SEINet 23,	VertNet 04 20	SCAN and 11 l personal 20
	Point loca- tions (n)	458	133	1,052	292	125
	Elevation range (m)	1,600–2,800	1,900-3,000	1,000-3,030	1,700-2,600	1,700-3,000
a source and download date	Geographic range	AZ, CO, NM, SD, TX, UT, WY; MEX: Chih., Coah., Son.	AZ, NM, TX; northern MEX	AZ, CO, NM, NV, OK, TX, UT, WY; MEX: Chih., Coah., Son.	AZ, CO, UT, WY; MEX: Chih., Dgo., Son.	AZ, CO, NM, NV, UT, TX
and the point locations' dat	Order: Family	Rosales:Rhamnaceae	Pinales: Pinaceae	Fagales:Fagaceae	Rodentia:Sciuridae	Coleoptera:Carabidae
for model construction, a	Common name	Fendler's buckbrush	Southwestern white pine	Gambel oak	Abert's squirrel	Ground beetle
of point locations used	Species	Ceanothus fendleri (Gray, 1849)	Pinus strobiformis (Engelmann, 1848)	Quercus gambelii (Nuttall, 1848)	Sciurus aberti (Woodhouse, 1853)	Synuchus dubius (LeConte, 1854)

Diversity and **Distributions**

Texas;

South Dakota; TX,

Sonora; SD,

Oklahoma; Son.,

OK,

Durango; MEX, Mexico; NM, New Mexico; NV, Nevada;

Coahuila; CO, Colorado; Dgo.,

Abbreviations: AZ, Arizona; Chih., Chihuahua; Coah.,

UT, Utah; WY, Wyoming.

of evaluation metrics for selecting the best model. We ran ENMEVAL using its default settings, 10,000 background points, and block data partitioning. Because the species point localities were limited to the south-west United States, north-west Mexico was excluded from model construction and calibration to avoid overfitting the models to conditions found near the point localities (e.g., Anderson & Raza, 2010). For each species, we selected the model with the lowest AICc and projected it onto the region encompassing the south-west United States and north-west Mexico (Figure 1) using the bioclimatic variables derived from the contemporary and future climate data available from WorldClim. Because the post-climate change environments onto which the models were projected were likely to contain novel climate conditions, projections were performed with "clamping". In MAXENT, "clamping" treats the values for environmental variables that lie outside the range of values used to train the model as being equally suitable/unsuitable as the training data's nearest bounding value.

While our species distribution models are able to predict the current and future distributions of suitable habitat for each of our five study species across the Madrean Archipelago region, they cannot guarantee a perfect correlation with each species' actual distribution. Our five study species are expected to have limited dispersal abilities. In plants (e.g, Ceanothus fendleri, Pinus strobiformis, and Quercus gambelii), seeds disperse short distances as a general rule (Cain, Milligan, & Strand, 2000; Willson, 1993) and long-distance seed dispersal events are rare (Nathan, 2006). The mammal species, Sciurus aberti, does not disperse between mountains (Keith, 1965), and Synuchus dubius, which is a flightless ground beetle (Lindroth, 1956), is not likely to either. Given these limited dispersal capacities and the topographic complexity of the Madrean Archipelago region, portions of the landscape where suitable habitat is present may simply be inaccessible to our species and therefore go unoccupied.

Even though our five species may not fully inhabit the montane habitat distributions predicted by the species distribution models, these species do belong to a higher-elevation montane community (e.g., Brusca et al., 2013; Meyer et al., 2015; Whittaker & Niering, 1964). The species composition of this montane community varies across the Madrean Archipelago region, but the biome itself is widely distributed (Felger & Wilson, 1994; Marshall, 1957). We make the assumption that the montane community, in one form or another, fully occupies our predicted montane habitat distributions.

2.3 Statistical analyses

The MAXENT projections were output with a logistic format, which uses a continuous scale ranging from 0 to 1 to indicate the relative probability that suitable environmental conditions are present for a species across a defined geographic area (see Figures S1.1-S1.5 in Appendix S1). We converted each species' output projections to binary habitat suitability/unsuitability maps using their 10 percentile training presence logistic threshold. At this threshold, suitable habitat is defined to include 90% of the species point locality records used to develop the species distribution model. An omission rate of 10% was selected to reduce the influence of potential locality data errors (i.e.,

List of species used for species distribution modelling with their summary information according to their classification, geographic range, elevational range (metres), the number

2

TABLE

VILEY Diversity and Distributions

incorrectly georeferenced points) on the distribution of suitable habitat. The binary habitat maps were produced with scripts written for PYTHON V. 2.7 (https://www.python.org/) and implemented in ARCGIS DESKTOP V. 10.5.1 (ESRI, Redlands, California, USA). These scripts also extracted the following landscape metrics from the "study area" spatial extent (Figure 1): (a) total montane habitat area and the (b) surface area, perimeter, isolation index, and mean elevation of each montane habitat patch. Statistical analyses were performed with RSTUDIO V. 1.1.423 (RStudio Team, 2016) and R v. 3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2017).

2.3.1 | Total montane habitat area

The proportion of the Madrean Archipelago region classified as montane habitat for the current climate was compared against the proportion estimated for each of the future climate scenarios. Using habitat/ non-habitat cell counts, we performed pairwise chi-squared tests of homogeneity (Pearson, 1900) with Holm corrections for multiple comparisons (Holm, 1979) using the R package FIFER (Fife, 2017). The pairwise comparisons were conducted within six discrete sets each composed of the present-day landscape modelled under the current climate, as well as the four predicted future landscapes (at the year 2050 or 2070) modelled by the same climate model (CCSM4, MPI-ESM-LR or NorESM1-M) under each of the four RCPs (2.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5).

2.3.2 | Montane habitat patch metrics

We delineated the montane habitat patches across the binary maps by assigning every contiguous set of habitat cells (i.e., sharing an edge or diagonal) to a distinct group. For each montane habitat patch, we determined its proximity in relation to all other montane habitat patches across the landscape by calculating a proximity/isolation index (Gustafson & Parker, 1992; Whitcomb et al., 1981):

Proximity Index =
$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{a_{ijs}}{h_{ijs}^2}$$

where *n* is the number of habitat patches, a_{ijs} is the area (m²) of patch *ijs*, and h_{ijs} is the Euclidean distance (m) between patch *ijs* and patch *ijs* based on patch edge-to-edge distance. A patch's proximity index value is found by dividing the area of a second patch (patch *j*) by the square of the nearest edge-to-edge distance between the two patches and taking the sum from every comparison to the first patch (patch *i*; McGarigal, 2015). Lower proximity index values indicate greater isolation.

Given the topographic complexity of the Madrean Archipelago region, we computed each patch's surface area rather than its planimetric area. Surface areas were derived with methods developed by Jenness (2004) using 30 arc-second DEMs (digital elevation models) obtained from the SRTM30 v. 2.1 dataset (Shuttle Radar Topography Mission; https://dds.cr.usgs.gov/srtm/version2_1/SRTM30/). Also, to ensure that the Euclidean inter-patch distances were between edges rather than cell centres, we converted the patches (i.e., sets of contiguous habitat cells) to polygons. Additionally, the 30 arc-second DEMs were used to derive the mean elevation of each montane habitat patch.

Using base-10 log-transformed proximity index values, we performed Conover–Iman pairwise tests for multiple comparisons of mean rank sums (Conover & Iman, 1979) with Holm corrections for multiple comparisons (Holm, 1979) using the R package PMCMR (Pohlert, 2014). The pairwise comparisons were conducted within the same sets of landscapes that we used for the total montane habitat area pairwise comparisons. We repeated the analysis using base-10 log-transformed surface areas, base-10 log-transformed perimeters, perimeter/area ratios, and the mean elevations of the habitat patches.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Species distribution models

The ENMEVAL analyses evaluated 48 MAXENT models for each species to identify the optimal combination of feature classes and regularization multipliers. Each species' best species distribution model (i.e., lowest AICc) included every available feature class (linear, quadratic, hinge, product, and threshold), except for P. strobiformis which included all but the threshold feature class. The regularization multipliers for the best models were 4, 4, 1, 3.5, and 3 for C. fendleri, P. strobiformis, Q. gambelii, Sciurus aberti, and Synuchus dubius, respectively. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) is a metric for evaluating classification accuracy in species distribution models. The mean AUC values for the best models were 0.885 ± 0.070, 0.954 ± 0.018, 0.842 ± 0.021, 0.889 \pm 0.051, and 0.911 \pm 0.063 for C. fendleri, P. strobiformis, Q. gambelii, Sciurus aberti, and Synuchus dubius, respectively (See Figure S2.6 in Appendix S2). AUC values range from 0.5 for a random prediction to 1 for a perfect prediction (Fielding & Bell, 1997). These models capture well the present-day distribution of montane biome habitat and illustrate how the Madrean Archipelago region is composed of "sky island stepping stones" that span the Cordilleran Gap from the southern border of the Colorado Plateau to the northwestern boundary of the Sierra Madre Occidental (see Figures S1.1-S1.5 in Appendix S1).

3.2 | Total montane habitat area

Estimates of potential montane habitat loss across the Madrean Archipelago region by the year 2050 range from nearly 50% for *C. fendleri*, *P. strobiformis*, *Q. gambelii*, and *Synuchus dubius* to as high as 70% for *Sciurus aberti* under a worst-case climate change scenario (RCP 8.5; Figures 2 and 3a). These same losses are dramatically reduced under a best-case climate change scenario (RCP 2.6) with estimated losses ranging from 40% for *Sciurus aberti* to as low as 15% for *Q. gambelii* and *Synuchus dubius*, and even 7% for *C. fendleri* and *P. strobiformis* (Figures 2 and 3a). A similar, but more pronounced, trend of RCP 8.5 montane habitat loss was seen for the MPI-ESM-LR and NorESM1-M climate models (see Figures S3.7–S3.11 in Appendix S3 and Figures S4.12a–S4.13a in Appendix S4). However,

Diversity and Distributions -WILEY

1631

the reductions to montane habitat loss under RCP 2.6 were not as great as those seen for the CCSM4 climate model.

3.3 | Montane habitat patch surface area, perimeter, and perimeter/area ratio

We were unable to detect any statistically significant changes to the mean surface area, perimeter, or perimeter/area ratio of the montane habitat patches between the current and future landscapes for any of the species. This result was unexpected, and we provide the following explanation for this pattern. The current landscapes had an overwhelming number of very small habitat patches that were making an outsized contribution to the mean value of the patch metrics. These small habitat patches tended to be in close proximity to much larger habitat patches corresponding to mountain ranges. For the future landscapes, the small habitat patches from the current landscape disappeared and the larger habitat patches shrunk, as expected. But

as the larger habitat patches shrunk, their edges fragmented into small habitat patches that replaced those that were lost. Ultimately, there were still an overwhelming number of very small habitat patches that kept the mean values of the patch metrics for the future landscapes from being any different from those of the current landscapes.

3.4 | Montane habitat patch isolation

The discrete patches of montane habitat across the Madrean Archipelago region are expected to become more isolated by the year 2050 under RCP 8.5 (Figures 2 and 3b). This is driven by shrinking patch sizes. However, RCP 2.6 is expected to prevent this trend and keep habitat patches from becoming more isolated by the year 2050 (Figures 2 and 3b). For the MPI-ESM-LR and NorESM1-M climate models, montane patch isolation by the year 2050 under RCP 8.5 is more severe than for CCSM4 and RCP 2.6 is unable to prevent habitat patches from becoming more



111°W

108°W

-33°N

-30°N







FIGURE 2 Predicted Madrean Archipelago montane habitat loss (present-2050) based on species distribution models for Ceanothus fendleri, Pinus strobiformis, Quercus gambelii, Sciurus aberti, and Synuchus dubius projected under the Community Climate System Model v. 4 (CCSM4). Maps represent the distributions of montane habitat at present (light, medium, and dark grey collectively) and at the year 2050 under representative concentration pathways (RCPs) of 2.6 (light and dark grey collectively) and 8.5 W/m² (dark grey). Associated montane habitat losses under RCP 2.6 (medium grey) and 8.5 (light and medium grey collectively) are for a bestand worst-case future climate change scenario, respectively. Note: each species' three montane habitat distributions are nested (RCP 8.5 within RCP 2.6, and both within present) except for Q. gambelii where there is a slight expansion at the north-central most portion of the Madrean Archipelago region under RCP 2.6 and 8.5 compared with present. Map projection: US contiguous Albers equalarea conic



FIGURE 3 (a) Total montane habitat surface area (thousands kilometres²) for the Madrean Archipelago region by species, projection year, and representative concentration pathway (RCP). Future climate projections were performed under the Community Climate System Model v. 4 (CCSM4). The surface area for every future climate projection is significantly less than the present-day surface area based on Holm-corrected chi-squared pairwise comparisons among RCPs grouped by projection year (p < 0.001). (b) Log-transformed montane habitat patch proximity index values for the Madrean Archipelago region by species, projection year, and RCP. Future climate projections were performed under the Community Climate System Model v. 4 (CCSM4). Holm-corrected probabilities based on Conover-Iman pairwise comparisons among RCPs grouped by projection year are shown with asterisks and indicate future landscapes whose montane habitat patches are significantly more isolated from each other than those for the present day. *Significant at p < 0.05; **significant at p < 0.01; ***significant at p < 0.01; Photo credits: Max Licher (*Ceanothus fendleri, Pinus strobiformis, Quercus gambelii*); US National Park Service (*Sciurus aberti*); Chip Hedgcock (*Synuchus dubius*)

isolated, but it is able to reduce the degree of patch isolation that would otherwise be seen under RCP 8.5 (see Figures S3.7–S3.11 in Appendix S3 and Figures S4.12b–S4.13b in Appendix S4).

3.5 | Montane habitat patch elevation

The mean elevation of montane habitat patches across the Madrean Archipelago region has the potential to increase anywhere from 150 m for *C. fendleri* and *Sciurus aberti* to as much as 300 m for *P. strobiformis* and *Q. gambelii* by the year 2050 under RCP 8.5 (Figure 4). However, RCP 2.6 is expected to reduce the degree to which mean elevations rise, and in some instances, prevent that rise entirely (Figure 4). The MPI-ESM-LR and NorESM1-M climate models predict an even greater rise in mean elevation by 2050 under RCP 8.5. However, RCP 2.6 is unable to reduce the elevational rise to the same degree as for the CCSM4 climate model (see Figures S5.14–S5.15 in Appendix S5).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated the impact of future climate change on the spatial distribution of high-elevation montane habitat across the Madrean Archipelago region based on species distribution modelling for five montane species (*C. fendleri*, *P. strobiformis*, *Q. gambelii*, *Sciurus aberti*, and *Synuchus dubius*). The species' current habitat distributions are composed of discrete patches, which highlight the "stepping stones" that characterize the Madrean Archipelago region FIGURE 4 Montane habitat mean elevation in metres for the Madrean Archipelago region by species, projection year, and representative concentration pathway (RCP). Future climate projections were performed under the Community Climate System Model v. 4 (CCSM4). Holm-corrected probabilities based on Conover-Iman pairwise comparisons among RCPs grouped by projection year are shown with asterisks and indicate future landscapes whose montane habitat patches are at significantly higher elevations than those for the present day. *Significant at p < 0.05; **significant at *p* < 0.01; ***significant at *p* < 0.001

'IL FN



Montane Habitat Mean Elevation (m)

WILEY— Diversity and Distributions

(Figure 2). Under a worst-case future climate change scenario (RCP 8.5), these "stepping stones" will become more isolated from one another (Figure 3b) as montane habitat shifts in elevation (Figure 4) and is lost (Figure 3a). While the three independent climate models used in this study differ with respect to their predictions as to how severe the effects of future climate change will be, they all agree that by as early as year 2050, there will be significant montane habitat loss and increased montane habitat patch isolation across the Madrean Archipelago region under a worst-case future climate change scenario.

The montane habitat in the Madrean Archipelago region contains a unique community of species (e.g., Brusca et al., 2013; Meyer et al., 2015; Whittaker & Niering, 1964). Montane habitat loss and the increased isolation of montane habitat patches under future climate change will likely threaten the biological diversity of the Madrean Archipelago region, particularly through their effects on these montane populations. Habitat loss can cause population sizes to decline; in landscapes where habitat is already highly fragmented (e.g., Madrean Archipelago region), shrinking patches and increasing isolation can amplify the trend and lead to even greater declines than would be expected from pure habitat loss alone (Andrén, 1994). As patches shrink, their proportion of habitat edge will increase, which can be especially detrimental to species associated with the habitat's interior (Bender et al., 1998) where biotic and abiotic conditions can differ dramatically from that of the edge (Murcia, 1995).

When population numbers decline in response to factors such as habitat loss and shrinking patch sizes, their genetic variation declines as well (Frankham, 1996). This can be through genetic drift, a process whereby allele frequencies randomly fluctuate from one generation to the next due to chance. In large populations, these fluctuations are mostly minor; but in small populations, they can be so great as to cause the fixation or loss of an allele (Hedrick, 2011). Additionally, the deleterious effects of inbreeding depression on population fitness (Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 1987) can become more likely in small populations (Ellstrand & Elam, 1993). The combined effects of genetic drift and inbreeding depression can ultimately reduce the ability of small populations to withstand environmental change and increase their susceptibility to extinction (Frankham, 2005; Reed & Frankham, 2003).

Gene flow can counteract the effects of genetic drift and inbreeding depression by replenishing genetic variation and boosting population fitness, thereby increasing the potential for populations to persist (Ingvarsson, 2001; Tallmon, Luikart, & Waples, 2004; Whiteley, Fitzpatrick, Funk, & Tallmon, 2015). For populations to benefit from gene flow, individuals must be able to disperse between them. This is already a difficult endeavour for montane species in the Madrean Archipelago region, where desert scrub/grassland can prevent movement between "sky islands" (e.g., Holycross & Douglas, 2007; Lamb, Jones, & Wettstein, 1997; Mitchell & Ober, 2013; Sullivan, 1994; Tennessen & Zamudio, 2008). Under future climate change, environmental conditions at those lower elevations are expected to become even less hospitable to montane species, which will make the non-habitat "matrix" an even more formidable dispersal barrier. Additionally, dispersal will become an even greater challenge due to the increased isolation of montane habitat patches (Figure 3b). As the distance from

a source patch increases and the size of the target patch decreases, individuals must travel further to reach a smaller destination, leading to a decline in successful dispersal events (Lomolino, Brown, & Sax, 2010; MacArthur & Wilson, 1967). Without dispersing individuals to provide additional genetic variation to montane populations suffering from the effects of genetic drift and inbreeding depression, there will be a greater risk of those populations going extinct.

Montane populations will be further imperilled when set against a landscape where the severity of ecological disturbances (e.g., droughts, insect outbreaks, and wildfires) is expected to rise (Dale et al., 2001). Over this next century, the climate of the Madrean Archipelago region is projected to shift towards greater aridity (Seager et al., 2007; Seager & Vecchi, 2010) where precipitation shortages lead to a marked increase in the number and duration of extreme dry events (i.e., droughts) that are exacerbated by less snowpack, warmer summer temperatures, and diminished runoff and soil moisture (Cayan et al., 2010). Droughts represent a serious threat to the forests that compose the montane habitat patches. Under protracted water stress, tree mortality can result from permanent cavitation of water columns within the xylem, and from carbon starvation as trees try to limit water loss through stomatal closure, constraining photosynthesis (McDowell et al., 2008). Across the western United States, warming temperatures and increasing water deficits have contributed to widespread tree mortality (Allen et al., 2010; van Mantgem et al., 2009); for the south-western United States, this trend is expected to accelerate (Williams et al., 2010). An additional consequence of water stress is that it weakens tree defences against attack from insects such as bark beetles (Raffa et al., 2008; Raffa, Aukema, Erbilgin, Klepzig, & Wallin, 2005), which have caused extensive forest losses across the southwestern United States (Williams et al., 2010). Warming temperatures can potentially reduce bark beetle development times and enhance winter survival leading to a greater risk of population outbreaks and increased tree mortality within the region (Bentz et al., 2010). Also, bark beetle damage can add to existing fuel loads (i.e., dead trees) from droughts to further promote future wildfires (Dale et al., 2001). For the south-west United States, a large portion of its forests have already been affected by wildfires (Williams et al., 2010), whose severity is expected to rise as the region transitions to a warmer and drier climate (Brown, Hall, & Westerling, 2004). The significance of wildfires to the Madrean Archipelago region stems from their potential to cause sharp reductions in population sizes (i.e., population bottlenecks). Any resulting losses of genetic diversity and reductions in expected heterozygosity will be particularly difficult for populations to recover from when immigration rates are low (Banks et al., 2013). Given that the montane habitat patches will become increasingly isolated from one another under future climate change (Figure 3b), the effects of wildfire on their populations may be especially devastating.

To conclude, our study is not without its limitations, especially regarding spatial scale. We used climate data that had a spatial resolution of ~1 km, which is relatively coarse for mountainous regions, and can cause habitat loss to be overestimated (Engler et al., 2011). Also, a ~1 km spatial scale is unable to realistically capture the topographic complexity of the Madrean Archipelago region, which means

microrefugia go undetected (Mosblech, Bush, & van Woesik, 2011). Microrefugia can serve an important role as areas where populations can contract and persist for prolonged periods of time (e.g., Patsiou, Conti, Zimmerman, Theodoridis, & Randin, 2014); by their being under-represented, we overestimate both the degree of isolation that populations in the Madrean Archipelago region may face and their extirpation risk. Finally, we used "current" climate data representative of the years 1960–1990, so it is likely that our estimates of habitat loss include losses that have occurred prior to the present day.

Despite those limitations, our results still suggest that 21st-century climate change will have a significant impact on the availability of montane habitat within the Madrean Sky Island Archipelago. As habitat area is lost, population sizes may decline, leading to losses in genetic diversity and population fitness. Similar effects can result, albeit more suddenly, from ecological disturbances such as wildfires, which may become increasingly severe under climate change. We expect that the ability for populations to maintain/recover their genetic diversity via dispersal will diminish as montane habitat patches become more isolated from one another. Ultimately, the "sky islands" may lose their capacity to serve as functional "stepping stones," and an important factor contributing to the status of the Madrean Sky Island Archipelago as a biodiversity hotspot will disappear. However, if efforts are taken to mitigate climate change and a best-case scenario (RCP 2.6) can be achieved, montane habitat losses can be dampened (Figure 3a) and increases to patch isolation may even be prevented (Figure 3b).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is in partial fulfilment of A.D.Y.'s Doctoral degree in the Graduate Interdisciplinary Program in Entomology and Insect Science at the University of Arizona (UA) and is a product of the Arizona Sky Island Arthropod Project (ASAP) based in W.M.'s laboratory. We thank Drs. Heidi Brown, Katrina Dlugosch, and Phil Guertin from the UA for their input on study design and for their helpful comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript. We also thank the UA School of Natural Resources and the Environment for ArcGIS licences and Dale Halbritter for supplemental *Synuchus dubius* records. Funding was provided by an Entomology and Insect Science Graduate Student Research Award from the UA Center for Insect Science. *Synuchus dubius* records from specimens collected at Saguaro National Park were obtained on permit SAGU-2015-SCI-0007 issued by the United States National Park Service.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Bioclimatic layers used for species distribution modelling are publicly available at https://www.worldclim.org. Occurrence records for all modelled species are publicly available through the following web-based museum collections databases: https://scan-bugs.org/ **Diversity** and **Distributions**

-WII F

1635

portal, http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/index.php, and http://vertn et.org. DEMs used for calculating surface areas are publicly available at https://dds.cr.usgs.gov/srtm/version2_1/SRTM30.

ORCID

Alan D. Yanahan (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3001-6826 Wendy Moore (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4441-6203

REFERENCES

- Aiello-Lammens, M. E., Boria, R. A., Radosavljevic, A., Vilela, B., & Anderson, R. P. (2019). spThin: Functions for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use in ecological models. R package version 0.1.0.1. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=spThin
- Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., ... Cobb, N. (2010). A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 259, 660–684. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- Anderson, R. P., & Raza, A. (2010). The effect of the extent of the study region on GIS models of species geographic distributions and estimates of niche evolution: Preliminary tests with montane rodents (genus Nephelomys) in Venezuela. Journal of Biogeography, 37, 1378– 1393. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02290.x
- Andrén, H., & Andren, H. (1994). Effects of habitat fragmentation on birds and mammals in landscapes with different proportions of suitable habitat: A review. Oikos, 71, 355–366. https://doi.org/10.2307/3545823
- Banks, S. C., Cary, G. J., Smith, A. L., Davies, I. D., Driscoll, D. A., Gill, A. M., ... Peakall, R. (2013). How does ecological disturbance influence genetic diversity? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 28, 670–679. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.08.005
- Bender, D. J., Contreras, T. A., & Fahrig, L. (1998). Habitat loss and population decline: A meta-analysis of the patch size effect. *Ecology*, 79, 517–533. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[0517:HLAPDA]2.0.CO;2
- Bentsen, M., Bethke, I., Debernard, J. B., Iversen, T., Kirkevåg, A., Seland, Ø., ... Kristjánsson, J. E. (2013). The Norwegian Earth System Model, NorESM1-M—Part 1: Description and basic evaluation of the physical climate. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 6, 687–720. https://doi. org/10.5194/gmd-6-687-2013
- Bentz, B. J., Régnière, J., Fettig, C. J., Hansen, E. M., Hayes, J. L., Hicke, J. A., ... Seybold, S. J. (2010). Climate change and bark beetles of the western United States and Canada: Direct and indirect effects. *BioScience*, 60, 602–613. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2010.60.8.6
- Brown, T. J., Hall, B. L., & Westerling, A. L. (2004). The impact of twentyfirst century climate change on wildland fire danger in the western United States: An applications perspective. *Climatic Change*, 62, 365–388. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013680.07783.de
- Brusca, R. C., Wiens, J. F., Meyer, W. M., Eble, J., Franklin, K., Overpeck, J. T., & Moore, W. (2013). Dramatic response to climate change in the Southwest: Robert Whittaker's 1963 Arizona mountain plant transect revisited. *Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 3307–3319. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.720
- Buchmann, S. L. (1994). Diversity and importance of native bees from the Arizona/Mexico Madrean archipelago. In L. F. DeBano, P. F. Ffolliott, A. Ortega-Rubio, G. J. Gottfried, R. H. Hamre, & C. B. Edminster (Eds.), *Biodiversity and management of the Madrean archipelago: The sky islands of southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico* (pp. 301–310). Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Cain, M. L., Milligan, B. G., & Strand, A. E. (2000). Long-distance seed dispersal in plant populations. *American Journal of Botany*, 87, 1217– 1227. https://doi.org/10.2307/2656714

WILEY Diversity and Distributions

- Cayan, D. R., Das, T., Pierce, D. W., Barnett, T. P., Tyree, M., & Gershunov, A. (2010). Future dryness in the southwest US and the hydrology of the early 21st century drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 21271–21276. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912391107
- Charlesworth, D., & Charlesworth, B. (1987). Inbreeding depression and its evolutionary consequences. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 18, 237–268. https://doi.org/10.1146/annur ev.es.18.110187.001321
- Chen, I.-C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D. B., & Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*, 333, 1024–1026. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206432
- Christie, K., Currie, M., Davis, L. S., Hill, M., Neal, S., & Ayers, T. (2006). Vascular plants of Arizona: Rhamnaceae. *Canotia*, *2*, 23-46.
- Colwell, R. K., Brehm, G., Cardelús, C. L., Gilman, A. C., & Longino, J. T. (2008). Global warming, elevational range shifts, and lowland biotic attrition in the wet tropics. *Science*, 322, 258–261. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1162547
- Conover, W. J., & Iman, R. L. (1979). On multiple-comparisons procedures. Technical Report LA-7677-MS. Los Alamos, NM: U. S. Department of Energy, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.
- Dale, V. H., Joyce, L. A., Mcnulty, S., Neilson, R. P., Ayres, M. P., Flannigan, M. D., ... Michael wotton, B. (2001). Climate change and forest disturbances. *BioScience*, 51, 723–734. https://doi. org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0723:CCAFD]2.0.CO;2
- Daly, C., Halbleib, M., Smith, J. I., Gibson, W. P., Doggett, M. K., Taylor, G. H., ... Pasteris, P. P. (2008). Physiographically sensitive mapping of climatological temperature and precipitation across the conterminous United States. *International Journal of Climatology*, 28, 2031–2064. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1688
- Davis, M. B., Shaw, R. G., & Etterson, J. R. (2005). Evolutionary responses to changing climate. *Ecology*, 86, 1704–1714. https://doi. org/10.1890/03-0788
- Elith, J., H. Graham, C., P. Anderson, R., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., ... Zimmermann, N. E. (2006). Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography*, 29, 129–151. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04596.x
- Ellstrand, N. C., & Elam, D. R. (1993). Population genetic consequences of small population size: Implications for plant conservation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics,* 24, 217–242. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.001245
- Engelmann, G. (1848). Botanical appendix. In Memoir of a tour to northern Mexico: connected with Col. Doniphan's expedition, in 1846 and 1847; with a scientific appendix and three maps (pp. 87–115). Washington, DC: Tippin & Streeper.
- Engler, R., Randin, C. F., Thuiller, W., Dullinger, S., Zimmermann, N. E., Araújo, M. B., ... Guisan, A. (2011). 21st century climate change threatens mountain flora unequally across Europe. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 2330–2341. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02393.x
- Felger, R. S., & Wilson, M. F. (1994). Northern Sierra Madre Occidental and its Apachian outliers: A neglected center of biodiversity. In L. F. DeBano, P. F. Ffolliott, A. Ortega-Rubio, G. J. Gottfried, R. H. Hamre, & C. B. Edminster (Eds.), *Biodiversity and management of the Madrean archipelago: The sky islands of southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico* (pp. 36–59). Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Fielding, A. H., & Bell, J. F. (1997). A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. Environmental Conservation, 24, 38–49. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0376892997000088
- Fife, D. (2017). fifer: A biostatisticians toolbox for various activities, including plotting, data cleanup, and data analysis. R package v. 1.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fifer
- Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2011). An R companion to applied regression (2nd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/ jfox/Books/Companion

- Frankham, R. (1996). Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. *Conservation Biology*, 10, 1500–1508. https://doi.org/10.1 046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x
- Frankham, R. (2005). Genetics and extinction. *Biological Conservation*, 126, 131–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.05.002
- Gent, P. R., Danabasoglu, G., Donner, L. J., Holland, M. M., Hunke, E. C., Jayne, S. R., ... Zhang, M. (2011). The Community Climate System Model version 4. *Journal of Climate*, 24, 4973–4991. https://doi. org/10.1175/2011JCLI4083.1
- Giorgetta, M. A., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C. H., Legutke, S., Bader, J., Böttinger, M., ... Stevens, B. (2013). Climate and carbon cycle changes from 1850 to 2100 in MPI-ESM simulations for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 5, 572–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20038
- GRASS Development Team (2019). Geographic Resources Analysis Support System (GRASS) Software, Version 7.6. Open Source Geospatial Foundation. Retrieved from https://grass.osgeo.org
- Gray, A. (1849). Plantæ Fendlerianæ Novi-Mexicanæ: An account of a collection of plants made chiefly in the vicinity of Santa Fé, New Mexico, by Augustus Fendler; with descriptions of the new species, critical remarks, and characters of other undescribed or little known plants from surrounding regions. *Memoirs of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 4, 1–116. https://doi.org/10.2307/25058153
- Grinnell, J. (1917). The niche-relationships of the California Thrasher. *The* Auk, 34, 427–433. https://doi.org/10.2307/4072271
- Gustafson, E. J., & Parker, G. R. (1992). Relationships between landcover proportion and indices of landscape spatial pattern. *Landscape Ecology*, 7, 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02418941
- Hanski, I. (1998). Metapopulation dynamics. *Nature*, *396*, 41–49. https:// doi.org/10.1038/23876
- Hedrick, P. W. (2011). *Genetics of populations* (4th ed.). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25, 1965–1978. https:// doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276
- Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, *6*, 65–70.
- Holt, R. D. (1990). The microevolutionary consequences of climate change. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 5, 311–315. https://doi. org/10.1016/0169-5347(90)90088-U
- Holycross, A. T., & Douglas, M. E. (2007). Geographic isolation, genetic divergence, and ecological non-exchangeability define ESUs in a threatened sky-island rattlesnake. *Biological Conservation*, 134, 142– 154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.07.020
- Hutchinson, M. F. (1995). Interpolating mean rainfall using thin plate smoothing splines. International Journal of Geographical Information Systems, 9, 385-403. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693799508902045
- Ingvarsson, P. K. (2001). Restoration of genetic variation lost-the genetic rescue hypothesis. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 16, 62-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02065-6
- IPCC. (2013). Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. M. Midgley(Eds.). Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (pp. 1–1535). Cambridge, UK & New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- James, F. C., Johnston, R. F., Wamer, N. O., Niemi, G. J., & Boecklen, W. J. (1984). The Grinnellian niche of the Wood Thrush. *The American Naturalist*, 124, 17–47. https://doi.org/10.1086/284250
- Jenness, J. S. (2004). Calculating landscape surface area from digital elevation models. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 32, 829–839. https://doi. org/10.2193/0091-7648(2004)032[0829:CLSAFD]2.0.CO;2
- Jezkova, T., Olah-Hemmings, V., & Riddle, B. R. (2011). Niche shifting in response to warming climate after the last glacial maximum: Inference from genetic data and niche assessments in the chisel-toothed

Diversity and Distributions

kangaroo rat (Dipodomys microps). Global Change Biology, 17, 3486– 3502. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02508.x

- Jezkova, T., & Wiens, J. J. (2016). Rates of change in climatic niches in plant and animal populations are much slower than projected climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283, 20162104.
- Keith, J. O. (1965). The Abert squirrel and its dependence on ponderosa pine. Ecology, 46, 150–163. https://doi.org/10.2307/1935266
- Kozak, K. H., & Wiens, J. J. (2006). Does niche conservatism promote speciation? A case study in North American salamanders. *Evolution*, 60, 2604–2621. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb01893.x
- Kral, R. (1993). Pinus. In N. R. Morin (Ed.), Flora of North America (Vol. 2, pp. 373–398). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Lamb, T., Jones, T. R., & Wettstein, P. J. (1997). Evolutionary genetics and phylogeography of tassel-eared squirrels (*Sciurus aberti*). Journal of Mammalogy, 78, 117–133. https://doi.org/10.2307/1382645
- LeConte, J. L. (1854). Synopsis of the species of *Platynus* and allied genera, inhabiting the United States. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 7, 35–59.
- Lindroth, C. H. (1956). A revision of the genus Synuchus Gyllenhal (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in the widest sense, with notes on Pristosia Motschulsky (Eucalathus Bates) and Calathus Bonelli. The Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London, 108, 485–576.
- Lomolino, M. V., Brown, J. H., & Sax, D. F. (2010). Island biogeography theory: Reticulations and reintegration of "a biogeography of the species". In J. B. Losos, & R. E. Ricklefs (Eds.), *The theory of island biogeography revisited* (pp. 29–59). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- MacArthur, R. H., & Wilson, E. O. (1967). The theory of island biogeography. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Marshall, J. T. Jr (1957). Birds of pine-oak woodland in southern Arizona and adjacent Mexico. *Pacific Coast Avifauna*, 32, 1-125.
- McDowell, N., Pockman, W. T., Allen, C. D., Breshears, D. D., Cobb, N., Kolb, T., ... Yepez, E. A. (2008). Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: Why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? *New Phytologist*, 178, 719–739. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02436.x
- McGarigal, K. (2015). Reference manual for FRAGSTATS: Spatial pattern analysis program for categorical maps. Retrieved from https://www. umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html
- Meyer, W. M., Eble, J. A., Franklin, K., McManus, R. B., Brantley, S. L., Henkel, J., ... Moore, W. (2015). Ground-dwelling arthropod communities of a sky island mountain range in southeastern Arizona, USA: Obtaining a baseline for assessing the effects of climate change. *Public Library of Science One*, 10, e0135210. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135210
- Mitchell, S. G., & Ober, K. A. (2013). Evolution of Scaphinotus petersi (Coleoptera: Carabidae) and the role of climate and geography in the Madrean sky islands of southeastern Arizona, USA. Quaternary Research, 79, 274–283.
- Mittermeier, R. A., Robles Gil, P., Hoffman, M., Pilgrim, J., Brooks, T., Mittermeier, C. G., ... da Fonseca, G. A. B. (2004). *Hotspots revisited: Earth's biologically richest and most endangered ecoregions*. Mexico City, Mexico: CEMEX.
- Moore, W., Meyer, W. M. III, Eble, J. A., Franklin, K., Wiens, J. F., & Brusca, R. C. (2013). Introduction to the Arizona Sky Island Arthropod Project (ASAP): Systematics, biogeography, ecology, and population genetics of arthropods of the Madrean sky islands. In G. J. Gottfried, P. F. Ffolliot, B. S. Gebow, L. G. Eskew, & L. C. Collins (Eds.), Merging science and management in a rapidly changing world: Biodiversity and management of the Madrean archipelago III (pp. 144–168). Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- Mosblech, N.A.S., Bush, M.B., &van Woesik, R. (2011). On metapopulations and microrefugia: Palaeoecological insights. *Journal of Biogeography*, 38, 419–429. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02436.x
- Moss, R. H., Edmonds, J. A., Hibbard, K. A., Manning, M. R., Rose, S. K., van Vuuren, D. P., ... Wilbanks, T. J. (2010). The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. *Nature*, 463, 747–756. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08823

- Murcia, C. (1995). Edge effects in fragmented forests: Implications for conservation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 10, 58–62. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)88977-6
- Muscarella, R., Galante, P. J., Soley-Guardia, M., Boria, R. A., Kass, J., Uriarte, M., & Anderson, R. P. (2014). ENMeval: An R package for conducting spatially independent evaluations and estimating optimal model complexity for ecological niche models. *Methods in Ecology* and Evolution, 5, 1198–1205.
- Nathan, R. (2006). Long-distance dispersal of plants. *Science*, 313, 786–788. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1124975
- Nix, H. A. (1986). A biogeographic analysis of Australian elapid snakes. In R. Longmore (Ed.), Atlas of elapid snakes of Australia (Australian Flora and Fauna Series 7) (pp. 4–15). Canberra, ACT: Australian Government Publishing Service.
- Nixon, K. C. (1997). Quercus. In N. R. Morin (Ed.), *Flora of North America* (Vol. 3, pp. 445–506). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Nogués-Bravo, D., Araújo, M. B., Errea, M. P., & Martínez-Rica, J. P. (2007). Exposure of global mountain systems to climate warming during the 21st century. *Global Environmental Change*, 17, 420–428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.11.007
- Nuttall, T. (1848). Descriptions of plants collected by Mr. William Gambel in the Rocky Mountains and upper California. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 4, 7–26.
- O'Donnell, M. S., & Ignizio, D. A. (2012). Bioclimatic predictors for supporting ecological applications in the conterminous United States. U.
 S. Geological Survey Data Series, 691, 1–10.
- Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, 421, 37-42. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01286
- Patsiou, T. S., Conti, E., Zimmerman, N. E., Theodoridis, S., & Randin, C. F. (2014). Topo-climatic microrefugia explain the persistence of a rare endemic plant in the Alps during the last 21 millenia. *Global Change Biology*, 20, 2286–2300.
- Patton, D. R., & Green, W. (1970). Abert's squirrels prefer mature ponderosa pine. In *Research Note RM-169* (pp. 1–3). Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Pearson, K. (1900). On the criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of a correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen from random sampling. The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science: Series, 5(50), 157–175.
- Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., & Schapire, R. E. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, 190, 231–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026
- Phillips, S. J., & Dudík, M. (2008). Modeling of species distributions with Maxent: New extensions and a comprehensive evaluation. *Ecography*, 31, 161–175. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2008.5203.x
- Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M., & Schapire, R. E. (2004). A maximum entropy approach to species distribution modeling. *Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 655–662).
- Pohlert, T. (2014). The pairwise multiple comparison of mean ranks package (PMCMR). R Package. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=PMCMR
- R Core Team. (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org/
- Raffa, K. F., Aukema, B. H., Bentz, B. J., Carroll, A. L., Hicke, J. A., Turner, M. G., & Romme, W. H. (2008). Cross-scale drivers of natural disturbances prone to anthropogenic amplification: The dynamics of bark beetle eruptions. *BioScience*, 58, 501–517. https://doi.org/10.1641/B580607
- Raffa, K. F., Aukema, B. H., Erbilgin, N., Klepzig, K. D., & Wallin, K. F. (2005). Interactions among conifer terpenoids and bark beetles across multiple levels of scale: An attempt to understand links between population patterns and physiological processes. *Recent Advances in Phytochemistry*, 39, 79–118.

Diversity and Distributions

- Reed, D. H., & Frankham, R. (2003). Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. *Conservation Biology*, 17, 230–237. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01236.x
- RStudio Team. (2016). *RStudio: Integrated Development for R*. Boston, MA: RStudio Inc. Retrieved from http://www.rstudio.com/
- Seager, R., Ting, M., Held, I., Kushnir, Y., Lu, J., Vecchi, G., ... Naik, N. (2007). Model projections of an imminent transition to a more arid climate in southwestern North America. *Science*, *316*, 1181–1184. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139601
- Seager, R., & Vecchi, G. A. (2010). Greenhouse warming and the 21st century hydroclimate of southwestern North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 21277–21282. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910856107
- Sheffield, J., Barrett, A. P., Colle, B., Nelun Fernando, D., Fu, R., Geil, K. L., ... Yin, L. (2013). North American climate in CMIP5 experiments. Part I: Evaluation of historical simulations of continental and regional climatology. *Journal of Climate*, *26*, 9209–9245. https://doi. org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00592.1
- Shreve, F. (1922). Conditions indirectly affecting vertical distribution on Desert Mountains. Ecology, 3, 269–274. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 1929428
- Soberón, J. (2007). Grinnellian and Eltonian niches and geographic distributions of species. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 1115–1123. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01107.x
- Spector, S. (2002). Biogeographic crossroads as priority areas for biodiversity conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 16, 1480–1487. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00573.x
- Sullivan, R. M. (1994). Micro-evolutionary differentiation and biogeographic structure among coniferous forest populations of the Mexican woodrat (*Neotoma mexicana*) in the American southwest: A test of the vicariance hypothesis. *Journal of Biogeography*, 21, 369–389. https://doi.org/10.2307/2845756
- Tallmon, D. A., Luikart, G., & Waples, R. S. (2004). The alluring simplicity and complex reality of genetic rescue. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 19, 489–496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.07.003
- Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., & Meehl, G. A. (2012). An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93, 485–498. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1
- Tennessen, J. A., & Zamudio, K. R. (2008). Genetic differentiation among mountain island populations of the striped plateau lizard, *Sceloporus virgatus* (Squamata: Phrynosomatidae). *Copeia*, 2008, 558–564. https://doi.org/10.1643/CG-06-038
- van Breugel, P., Friis, I., Demissew, S., Lillesø, J.-P.-B., & Kindt, R. (2016). Current and future fire regimes and their influence on natural vegetation in Ethiopia. *Ecosystems*, 19, 369–386. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10021-015-9938-x
- van Mantgem, P. J., Stephenson, N. L., Byrne, J. C., Daniels, L. D., Franklin, J. F., Fule, P. Z., ... Veblen, T. T. (2009). Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western United States. *Science*, 323, 521–524. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165000
- van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., ... Rose, S. K. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: An overview. *Climatic Change*, 109, 5–31. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z
- Warshall, P. (1994). The Madrean sky island archipelago: A planetary overview. In L. F. DeBano, P. F. Ffolliott, A. Ortega-Rubio, G. J. Gottfried, R. H. Hamre, & C. B. Edminster (Eds.), *Biodiversity and management of the Madrean archipelago: The sky islands of southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico* (pp. 6–18). Fort Collins, CO: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Whitcomb, R. F., Robbins, C. S., Lynch, J. F., Whitcomb, B. L., Klimkiewicz, M. K., & Bystrak, D. (1981). Effects of forest fragmentation on avifauna of the eastern deciduous forest. In R. L. Burgess, & D. M. Sharpe (Eds.), Forest island dynamics in man-dominated landscapes (pp. 125–205). New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

- Whiteley, A. R., Fitzpatrick, S. W., Funk, W. C., & Tallmon, D. A. (2015). Genetic rescue to the rescue. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 30, 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.009
- Whittaker, R. H., Levin, S. A., & Root, R. B. (1973). Niche, habitat, and ecotope. *The American Naturalist*, 107, 321–338. https://doi. org/10.1086/282837
- Whittaker, R. H., & Niering, W. A. (1964). Vegetation of the Santa Catalina Mountains, Arizona. I. Ecological classification and distribution of species. Journal of the Arizona Academy of Science, 3, 9–34.
- Wiens, J. J. (2016). Climate-related local extinctions are already widespread among plant and animal species. *Public Library of Science Biology*, 14, e2001104. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pbio.2001104
- Williams, A. P., Allen, C. D., Millar, C. I., Swetnam, T. W., Michaelsen, J., Still, C. J., & Leavitt, S. W. (2010). Forest responses to increasing aridity and warmth in the southwestern United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 21289–21294. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914211107
- Willson, M. F. (1993). Dispersal mode, seed shadows, and colonization patterns. Vegetatio, 107(108), 260–280.
- Woodhouse, S. W. (1853). Description of a new species of Sciurus. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 6, 110.

BIOSKETCHES

Alan D. Yanahan is a PhD Candidate at the University of Arizona. He is broadly interested in biological diversity and the ways by which its patterns are influenced by environmental heterogeneity at multiple spatial and temporal scales. His research on landscapes and how the configuration of their habitats change through time provides insights on the effects of climate change on the distribution of biodiversity.

Wendy Moore leads the Arizona Sky Island Arthropod Project (ASAP) which is a collaborative multidisciplinary research program at the University of Arizona that combines systematics, biogeography, ecology, and population genetics to study origins and patterns of arthropod diversity among mountain ranges and along elevational gradients in the Madrean Sky Island Region.

Author contributions: A.D.Y. designed the study with input from W.M.; A.D.Y. gathered and analysed the data; and A.D.Y wrote the manuscript with helpful comments from W.M.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Yanahan AD, Moore W. Impacts of 21st-century climate change on montane habitat in the Madrean Sky Island Archipelago. *Divers Distrib*. 2019;25:1625–1638. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12965