

2-1-1973

Phytoplankton Populations in Relation to Different Trophic Levels at Winnepesaukee Lake, New Hampshire, USA

Harry William Yeo
University of New Hampshire

Arthur C. Mathieson
University of New Hampshire

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.unh.edu/nh_wrrc_scholarship

Recommended Citation

Yeo, Harry William and Mathieson, Arthur C., "Phytoplankton Populations in Relation to Different Trophic Levels at Winnepesaukee Lake, New Hampshire, USA" (1973). *NH Water Resources Research Center Scholarship*. 182.
https://scholars.unh.edu/nh_wrrc_scholarship/182

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the NH Water Resources Research Center at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in NH Water Resources Research Center Scholarship by an authorized administrator of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact nicole.hentz@unh.edu.

**PHYTOPLANKTON POPULATIONS
IN RELATION TO DIFFERENT
TROPHIC LEVELS AT
WINNIPESAUKEE LAKE, NH**

by

**Harry William Yeo
Arthur C. Mathieson
Department of Botany**

Completion Report - Project No.

A-019-NH

Research Report #9

**WATER RESOURCE RESEARCH CENTER
UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
DURHAM, NH**

February 1973

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to the following people at the University of New Hampshire who have made this study possible: Dr. P. J. Sawyer, Dr. C. G. Nast, Dr. A. R. Hodgdon and Dr. R. W. Schreiber for aid in the preparation and reviewing of the manuscript; Mr. D. C. Coleman for his energetic and enthusiastic assistance in the collection of the field data; Mr. B. J. Hutchinson for his aid in the preparation of the figures; Mr. G. L. Byers, Director of the New Hampshire Water Resource Research Center for his encouragement and support of this project. We also thank the Department of Botany of the University of New Hampshire for the use of their facilities and equipment.

The work upon which this publication is based was supported in part by funds provided by the United States Department of Interior, Office of Water Resources Research, as authorized under the Water Resources Act of 1964, Public Law 88-379, through the Water Resources Research Center of the University of New Hampshire.

ABSTRACT

The composition, abundance, and seasonal periodicity of phytoplankton at Lake Winnepesaukee were determined. Trophic levels were evaluated for the entire lake and for eight individual stations. The study afforded an opportunity to compare the trophic levels of Lake Winnepesaukee with Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes.

Monthly collections were made at four stations (Alton, Wolfeboro, Weirs, and Center Harbor) from July 1969 to August 1970, while four other stations (Winter Harbor, Melvin Bay, Meredith Bay, and Paugus Bay) were studied during July through August 1970. Samples of phytoplankton were taken from three to six depths at each station with a 4-liter Van Dorn water sampler. Water samples were also taken for nutrient analyses of ortho-phosphate, total phosphates, nitrate-nitrogen and silicon dioxide. Living materials were used in the identification of taxa at each station. Phytoplankton cell numbers were determined using an inverted microscope and fixed materials. The primary productivity of natural phytoplankton population were evaluated in situ and in the laboratory. Seasonal and spatial variations of primary productivity were evaluated, as well as the response of phytoplankton to nutrient enrichments of nitrates, phosphates and silicates.

The differences in phytoplankton numbers (cell/ml) and nutrient levels were compared with previous records at Winnisquam

and Newfound Lakes. The nutrient levels at Winnepesaukee were in excess of those previously found at Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes. However, the species diversity at Winnepesaukee Lake was much greater than at either of the other two lakes.

The species composition at the different stations was dependent upon their trophic levels. The blue-green algae were the dominant phytoplankters at all stations, and they usually comprised the major portion of the cell counts. The concept of phytoplankton associations was useful in evaluating the trophic level of Lake Winnepesaukee. Although dominated by a Eutrophic Myxophycean Plankton, there were oligotrophic phytoplankton associations also evident.

The application of the phytoplankton quotient concept, to the individual stations provided a mesotrophic rating for the Weirs, Winter Harbor, Meredith, and Paugus Bay Stations while Alton, Wolfeboro, Melvin Bay and Center Harbor were categorized as eutrophic. A collective interpretation of the representative phytoplankton associations, also indicated mesotrophy as the trophic level of the overall lake. The most striking examples of eutrophy were found at the Wolfeboro and Melvin Bay Stations.

INTRODUCTION

During the past six years extensive studies have been made of phytoplankton populations associated with different levels of water quality at Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes in New Hampshire. One paper dealing with a part of this work has been published (Gruendling and Mathieson, 1969) and another has been submitted for publication (Gruendling and Mathieson, in press). In both instances, an attempt was made to evaluate the interrelationships between the composition, periodicity and abundance of phytoplankton species in relation to nutrient levels at Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes. With the continued interest and support of the Water Resources Research Center of the University of New Hampshire, phase II of the above project was implemented at Lake Winnepesaukee.

Scenic splendor is unquestionably true of Lake Winnepesaukee. In fact, the Aquedoctan and Chocoruan Indians called the Lake "Beautiful Water in a High Place" or Winnepesaukee (Blaisdell, 1936). The lake is surrounded by mountains, The Belknaps are to the Southwest, the Ossipee Range is to the North and the famed White Mountains lie to the Northwest (Goldthwait et al., 1951). "The Oldest Summer Resort in America" is located in Wolfeboro. Lake Winnepesaukee has long been a fisherman's paradise, a boater's haven, and a key subject for artists and photographers alike. The economic importance of Lake Winnepesaukee is immeasurable in terms of real estate development, recreational

applications, and tourist revenue.

The objectives of this study were as follows: (1) to contribute to our understanding of New Hampshire Lakes; (2) to better interpret water quality characterization in terms of biological indicators; (3) to extend and improve the usage of phytoplankton quotients; (4) to allow a more functional understanding of the role of nutrients and phytoplankton growth; (5) to compare and contrast species composition, periodicity, and abundance of algal populations recorded at Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes with those at Lake Winnepesaukee; (6) to compare the primary productivity of phytoplankton populations at diverse sites at Lake Winnepesaukee.

METHODS

Monthly collections were made at Lake Winnepesaukee from July 14, 1969 to August 26, 1970, exclusive of the periods of ice formation and ice-out. Four stations were initially established at Alton Bay, Wolfeboro Bay, the Weirs, and Center Harbor, and they were retained throughout the project (Fig. 1). Four other stations (Winter Harbor, Melvin Bay, Meredith Bay and Paugus Bay) were added for the months of July through August of 1970. The eight stations were selected on the premise that different trophic levels could be found in the same lake.

A 4-liter Van Dorn Water Sampler was used to take water

samples (1, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 meters) at each station.

Twenty-five ml subsamples were employed from each depth for phytoplankton enumeration; these were immediately preserved with three drops of acid Lugol's solution. One liter subsamples were also taken from the 5 meter depth at each station for nutrient analysis. The samples were then placed in polypropylene bottles and refrigerated for laboratory analysis. The techniques of Wood et al. (1967) were used to measure nitrate levels. Orthophosphate levels were determined using the methods of Murphy and Riley (1962). Total phosphorous levels were evaluated using the methods of Menzel and Corwin (1965). The handling of silicate analysis was as outlined in Strickland and Parsons (1960).

Light penetration was determined with a Whitney Underwater Light Meter (Model LMD-8A); the one percent transmission level was recorded. A Model TC-5 Thermistor, also from Whitney Underwater Instruments, was used to determine water temperatures and the relative extent of the epilimnion and hypolimnion layers.

Dissolved oxygen (in parts-per-million) was determined for each depth (1, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 m) using a modification of the Winkler Technique devised by Hach Chemical Company. It incorporates the use of powder pillows which greatly expedites the process. Free CO₂ was determined by using phenolphthalein as an indicator and titrating with N/44 NaOH. Bicarbonates were determined using methyl orange as an indicator and titrating with

N/50 H_2SO_4 to a salmon pink end point. The volume (ml) of titrating agent used (both N/44 NaOH and N/50 H_2SO_4) times 10 equaled the parts per million of free CO_2 or HCO_3 alkalinity. The latter two tests were made in Nessler Tubes with 100 ml samples (Anon., 1961). A Beckman pH meter was used in the determination of pH values for each of the above depths.

The primary productivity, or carbon fixation, of the phytoplankton populations at Winnepesaukee Lake was determined by the carbon-14 techniques summarized by Goldman (1961) and Gentile (1966). The methods measure the net productivity (photosynthesis) or the rate of storage of energy in excess of that used for respiration. The values are expressed as mg of carbon fixed/ m^3 . The source of the radioactive C-14 was $\text{NaHC}^{14}\text{O}_3$. Incubations were made in the field and in temperature-light controlled incubators (Puffer Hubbard) in the laboratory. The latter experiments were conducted to determine the effects of nutrient enrichments of nitrate-nitrogen, orthophosphates, and silicates on carbon fixation, while the former were not enrichment experiments.

The samples for the field C-14 experiments were collected at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20 m, depending upon the deepest depth at each station. A 100 ml aliquot of each water sample was placed in a 125 ml pyrex BOD bottle and incubated with 2 ml of $\text{NaHC}^{14}\text{O}_3$ (= 2 μ curries of C-14). A duplicate dark bottle was also established for each depth in order to evaluate respiration. The light

and dark bottles were subsequently suspended from an inner tube at the same depth from which they were taken. The samples were incubated in the field from 1000 to 1400 EST. After four hours of incubation the samples were killed with 2 ml of formalin. Subsequently they were filtered with a .45 μ Millipore HA Membrane Filter. Ten ml of 10^{-3} N HCL was used to remove any absorbed radioactivity; this was followed by a final rinse with 20 cc of distilled water. The assimilated radioactive carbon was counted on the membrane filters with a Baird Atomic Proportional Counter with a Model 135 Scaler-Timer. The counts per minute were converted to disintegrations per minute and ultimately to mg C/m^3 , according to the formulas given in Goldman (1961).

The 5 m samples at each site were used for the laboratory nutrient enrichment experiments. Table I summarizes the enrichment schedule employed. The procedures used in the laboratory experiments were identical to the field studies, except for the following deviations:

- (1) the incubation period was 24 hours
- (2) the illumination was adjusted to approximate field conditions
- (3) continuous illumination was employed
- (4) the enrichments

The nutrient experiments were initially conducted in the field, but a 4 hour incubation period was inadequate - hence the longer laboratory incubation. The enhancement or inhibition of primary

productivity was determined after a comparison with control (enriched) samples. The experiments were conducted for 3-14 consecutive months, depending upon the station.

A #25 Turtox Plankton Net was employed in making vertical hauls (20 meters in depth) at each station in order to concentrate living phytoplankton for identification. The samples were refrigerated and returned to the laboratory. The phytoplankton were enumerated according to the inverted microscope technique outlined by Lund, Kippling, and Le Cren (1958), using a Unitron inverted microscope (Model Bi-4777). A one ml unconcentrated sample was added to a sedimentation tube, allowed to settle for 15 hours, and then counts were made of the total number of cells per species under 200X magnification.

The identification of most organisms was made from living samples, except for the nanoplankton which were treated by sedimentation of fixed samples. The texts of Smith (1950) and Prescott (1951) were chiefly used for generic designations. Specific identifications were obtained from various sources as follows: Bourrelly (1957, 1968), Boyer (1916), Brandt and Apstein (1964), Cleve (1894), Cleve-Euler (1932), Croasdale (1935), Desikachary (1959), Drouet (1968), Drouet and Daily (1956), Forest (1954), Hustedt (1930), Irenie-Marie (1938), Palmer (1959), Ralfs (1848), Randhawa (1959), Tilden (1910), Uherkovich (1966), Van Heurck (1896), West and Fritsch (1927),

Whitford and Schumacher (1969), and Wollé (1887, 1892). A variety of other references listed in Gruendling and Mathieson (1969) were also employed.

DESCRIPTION OF LAKE AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Lake Winnepesaukee is located at approximately 43°27' to 43°44' latitude and 71°30' to 71°10' longitude (Fig. 1). It has an area of 18,000 hectares or 180 km², a maximum depth of 51 meters, and it is the second largest lake in New England (Frey, 1963). The long axis of the lake lies in a northwest to southeast direction, which was that of the Pleistocene glacial scour (Goldthwait, et al., 1951). The glaciation impact easily eroded the weak Devonian bedrock of medium to coarse grained Kinsman Quartz Monzonite and Winnepesaukee Quartz Diorite (Billings, 1956). The lower Paugus and Alton Bay areas differ in that they are underlain by micaceous quartzite and coarse grained mica schist. Lake Winnepesaukee's ragged peripheral configuration is a result of this glacial excavation of weak bedrock areas and deep preglacial weathering (Goldthwait, et al., 1951), and it may be said to be a composite of the rock basin and drift damned lake types (Hoover, 1938).

The physical-chemical characteristics of Lake Winnepesaukee showed it to be a soft water lake with methyl orange alkalinity values ranging from 6.0-11.6 ppm and a pH range of 7.0-7.4. Figures 2 and 3 summarize the seasonal variation of methyl orange

alkalinity (HCO_3) at the 8 stations. All of the samples were taken at 5 m. Station 1 exhibited the greatest fluctuations, with peaks of 13.5-14.5 and minima of 1.5-6.0. The maximum alkalinity value for each station was recorded during the summer of 1969. No phenolphthalein alkalinity was detected from the 5 m samples at the 8 stations. Free CO_2 values at the same depth varied from 1-6 ppm (see Figs. 3 and 4). No seasonal trend was evident for free CO_2 values. Stations 1 and 4 exhibited the maximum free CO_2 values.

Figures 5 to 9 illustrate the variation of pH at each station. The values were recorded at the surface, 3, 10, 15 and 20 m, depending upon the maximum depth at each site. The pH values at stations 1-4 were more variable during the summer of 1969 than during subsequent months. The pH values at stations 5-8 were relatively constant during the summer of 1970 (see Fig. 9).

Oxygen concentrations at the eight sites ranged from 4.6-16.2 ppm (Fig. 10-14). The highest values were usually found during the late winter, while the lowest readings were generally present during the summer and early fall. The lowest oxygen values were found in the 15 and 20 m samples during the late summer (Figs. 11-14).

Figures 15-19 show the spatial and temporal variations of water temperatures at Lake Winnepesaukee. The seasonal variations of temperatures at the different stations were very similar.

Maximum surface water temperatures (26.5-29.3 C) were recorded during July and August. In September, the surface temperatures diminished rapidly as did those for the 3, 5 and 10 m levels; by mid October the upper 10 m were isothermal. By late October, the entire water column was isothermal at 13.6 C. During January to March, 1970 the temperatures under the ice ranged from 1.9 to 2.6 C, and a slight inversed stratification was evident. Ice cover ranged from 60-75 cm, and ice-out occurred April 28, 1970. The spring overturn then occurred and the water temperatures increased rapidly; thermal stratification was established by mid May. At the times of highest surface water temperatures, stratification was evident at all stations. The hypolimnion temperature ranged from 7.5-17 C.

Figure 20 illustrates the depth of 1% surface light at stations 2-4; station 1 is not included as it was quite shallow, and a 1% light level was rarely present. The 1% light level at stations 2-4 ranged from 16-19 m in July to 6-12 m in March, under the ice. The ice cover (60-75 cm), plus the variable coverage of snow, greatly reduced light penetration during the winter months. Generally the light penetration decreased from June until ice-out, at which time it increased again. Increased particulate matter from run-off, lake turnover, pollen accumulation and wind turbulence undoubtedly contributed to lower light penetration. The summer levels of 1% light penetration at

stations 5-8 were comparable to those of stations 2-4 (Fig. 21).

The seasonal variation of light penetration showed a definite correlation between the total phytoplankton crop (compare Figs. 21 and 69). Thus, as the total phytoplankton increased, the light penetration diminished and vice versa. The greatest number of phytoplankton for all stations occurred at the Weirs (station 3) in August, 1969. It was accompanied by a corresponding drop in the 1% light transmission level from July to August (Fig. 20).

Figures 22-26 illustrate the percentage of surface light available throughout the water column. Peak values were found at station 3 during June and July, while minimal values were evident at stations 1-4 during March - at all depths (see Fig. 22-25). Stations 1 and 2 showed a comparable pattern except that peaks were also found in November-December (Figs. 22 and 23). It should be noted that the latter peaks may be "artifacts" due to differential monitoring periods and varying meteorological conditions on a day to day basis. A comparison of Figures 22-26 shows that light penetration at each station was very good.

The seasonal levels of nutrients (orthophosphates, total phosphorous, nitrite-nitrogen, nitrate-nitrogen and silicon dioxide) at 5 m are illustrated in Figs. 27-33 and 53-56. Orthophosphate values at stations 1-4 ranged from 0.4-1.0 mg/l, with peaks occurring in July and August and minimal values in the winter (Fig. 27). The maximum orthophosphate values occurred at

Meredith Bay (39.2 mg/l) and Paugus Bay (1.38 mg/l) - see Figs. 27 and 53-56. Figures 28 and 29 illustrate the seasonal values of total phosphorous at stations 1-8. Peak values were evident during the summer, particularly at stations 1, 2 and 8.

The nitrite and nitrate-nitrogen values were more variable than total phosphorous and orthophosphate (Figs. 30-32 and 53-56). The maximum values of nitrite-nitrogen at stations 1-4 were found during June-August, 1969 (Fig. 30). Thereafter the levels decreased, either drastically or moderately, and intermediate levels were subsequently found during the fall and winter. A decline in nitrite-nitrogen was evident at each site during the spring (Fig. 30). Relatively few records of nitrite-nitrogen were summarized for stations 5-8, and no consistent trend was apparent (Fig. 31). Station 8 had the highest nitrite-nitrogen levels of all the stations (Figs. 30 and 31). The seasonal cycle of nitrate-nitrogen at stations 1-4 showed peak summer (except station 3) and late winter levels (Fig. 32). During June of 1970 each of the sites showed consistently low nitrate-nitrogen concentrations (Figs. 53-56). Stations 1 and 2 exhibited the highest nitrate levels (Fig. 32).

The silicon dioxide concentrations at stations 2-4 showed minimal values during the summer and maximum concentrations during the winter and spring (Fig. 33). The peak at station 1 occurred during June, while uniformly high values were found from January

to June at station 4 (Fig. 33). The silicon dioxide concentrations at stations 5-8 are illustrated in Figures 53-56. Station 6 exhibited the maximum value (27.8 mg/l) of silicon dioxide found at any of the stations - during July. Stations 5, 7 and 8 showed relatively low levels of silicon dioxide during the summer of 1970.

Figure 34 is a summary of the mean values of nutrients at each of the 8 stations. Station 6 exhibited the maximum average value of silicon dioxide (12.5 mg/l). Stations 1 and 2 had the highest nitrate-nitrogen levels, while station 7 had the maximum average values of total phosphorous and orthophosphate respectively.

In Situ Primary Productivity

Figures 35-43 summarize the spatial and temporal variations of net primary productivity at the eight stations. The most extensive seasonal observations were made at stations 1-4. At station 1 the maximum net productivity was recorded in October (2.6 and 4.2 mg C/ m³) and November (5.0 mg C/ m³), 1969 (Fig. 35). The depth of maximum carbon fixation occurred between 1-3 m. A decrease was evident with an increase in depth, and no net carbon fixation was evident at 15 m. Most of the other stations exhibited their maximum productivity in 1-5 m during July and/or August (compare Figs. 36-43). Stations 2, 3, 4 and 5 even showed

a net productivity at 20 m on a few occasions (Figs. 37-40).

Surface light inhibition was evident on one or more occasions at each of the 8 sites, for the primary productivity at the surface was less than at 1-5 m (Figs. 35-43). A comparison of the same Figures also shows that there is a wide variation of carbon-14 fixation, both temporally and spatially at Winnepesaukee Lake. Stations 2, 3 and 8 showed the maximum net productivity values. Peaks of 8.9 and 22.6 mg C/ m³ were recorded in August at Station 2. Values of 11.5 and 65.0 mg C/ m³ were recorded during July and August, 1969 respectively at station 3. Station 8 showed a peak of 19.0 mg C/ m³ in August, 1970.

Primary Productivity of Unenriched Laboratory Cultures

Figures 44 and 45 show the seasonal primary productivity of phytoplankton populations from stations 1-4. The water samples were obtained at 5 m, and they were incubated for 24 hours in a Puffer Hubbard Incubator, without any nutrient enrichment. The experiments were conducted at approximately the same temperature and light conditions as found in situ (see Methods and compare Figs. 15-18 and 22-25). The highest carbon fixation rates were found during the summer of 1969. Stations 2 and 3 were the most productive sites, in agreement with the in situ studies (compare Figures 35-43). Station 4 showed a major peak in late October, 1969 (Fig. 45), while station 2 had a winter peak in December (Fig. 44).

Nutrient Enrichment Experiments

Figures 46-48 summarize the results of several "typical" enrichment experiments with supplements of NaNO_3 , KH_2PO_4 and $\text{NaSiO}_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The rates of C-14 fixation are plotted versus nutrient levels. Two general responses are evident as follows: (1) an enhancement of photosynthesis with increased nutrient levels; (2) an inhibition or lack of photosynthetic enhancement with increased nutrients. The former response is interpreted as a nutrient limited situation, while the latter is considered as one that is saturated with nutrients.

The results of the nitrate enrichment experiments are illustrated in Figures 49-56. The solid line represents the nutrient levels in the control (unenriched) cultures, while the level of maximum photosynthetic enhancement are illustrated by the dashed lines. It should be emphasized that the rates of photosynthesis are not included in the Figures.

At stations 1, 2, 4 and 7 the primary productivity usually increased with a corresponding addition of nitrates to 2000 mg/l, which was the maximum enrichment (Figs. 49, 50, 52 and 55). Station 3 showed a different pattern during mid June-July 1969 and January and February, 1970, for additions of nitrates did not cause a pronounced increase in carbon fixation (Fig. 51). In other words they appeared to be saturated with nitrates. Stations 5, 6 and 8 showed a similar saturation at one or more times during

June to August, 1970 (Figs. 53, 54 and 56).

Figures 53-60 illustrate the results of the phosphate enrichment experiments. Station 1 exhibited phosphate saturation during June and November (Fig. 57), station 3 showed a similar response in June and August 1969 and August 1970 (Fig. 59). Station 4 exhibited a saturation response on one occasion in July (Fig. 60), and station 8 was saturated with phosphates on three of the four times it was evaluated during the summer (Fig. 56). At stations 2, 5, 6 and 7 the primary productivity usually increased with a corresponding addition of phosphates to a level of 2000 mg/l, which was the maximum enrichment (Figs. 53-55 and 58).

A comparison of Figures 53-56 and 61-64 shows that each of the stations, except for station 8, was saturated with silicon dioxide at some time during the year. Although no consistent trend was apparent, saturation conditions were evident at stations 1-4, at least once, during the fall of 1969 (see Figs. 61-64).

GENERAL COMPOSITION OF THE PHYTOPLANKTON FLORA

A total of 453 taxa of phytoplankton were identified at Lake Winnepesaukee. A listing of the individual species and their seasonal occurrence at each station is summarized in Table II. The Chlorophyceae provided the greatest diversity of organisms with 237 taxa; 91 of the green algae were desmids and 115 were members of the Chlorococcales. The Bacillariophyceae comprised

the second largest class of algae with 83 species; 73 of which belonged to the Pennales and 10 to the Centrales. Although the Cyanophyceae ranked third in numbers of species with 68, their cell counts far exceeded all other classes. The other major components of the phytoplankton flora were as follows: Chrysophyceae (34 species), Dinophyceae (17 species, Cryptophyceae (4 species), Euglenophyceae (4 species), and Xanthophyceae (6 species).

Tables III and IV indicate the combined monthly total in numbers of species per class at Stations 1-4 and 5-8 respectively. The Chlorophyceae were the dominant class throughout the study; the Cyanophyceae usually ranked second. The Bacillariophyceae and Chrysophyceae generally ranked third and fourth in numbers of species, while the Dinophyceae, Cryptophyceae, Euglenophyceae, and Xanthophyceae respectively, made relatively small contributions.

The monthly variation in numbers of species at all stations is shown in Figure 66. Stations 1-4 combined had a range of 208 species in July 1969 to 71 in February 1970; thereafter, the numbers of species progressively increased again to 200 in August 1970. Stations 5-8 ranged from 159 in June 1970 to 222 in August 1970.

As suggested earlier, the Cyanophyceae usually dominated the phytoplankton in numbers of cells at all stations. Some of the blue-green algae that were present in greatest abundance were Polycystis aeruginosa, Polycystis incerta, Coelosphaerium

naegelianum, Oscillatoria angustissima, Coelosphaerium pallidum, Gomphosphaeria lacustris, Aphanocapsa elachista, Gomphosphaeria lacustris var. compacta, and Aphanothece nidulans. Although other species made sizeable contributions, they were uncommon in comparison with the above blue-greens. Fewer blue-green species were involved in the Cyanophycean dominance in numbers of cells during the fall and winter seasons than during the spring and summer.

Chlorophycean species were usually the second largest contributors to the phytoplankton at each station, primarily because of their great species diversity. The green algae except for Gloeocystis vesiculosa and Botryococcus braunii were rarely dominant phytoplankters in terms of numbers of cells. The latter two species appeared in comparatively high numbers at all stations during the summer. Botryococcus braunii had peak numbers of $.39 \times 10^6$ cells/l in September 1969 at Alton, while peak numbers of $.26 \times 10^6$ cells/l of Gloeocystis vesiculosa were recorded in August 1970 at the Weirs. The latter counts may be compared with those of two dominant blue-greens, Polycystis aeruginosa and Gomphosphaeria lacustris var. compacta, which had high cell counts of 4.07×10^6 cells/l in October 1969 at Wolfeboro and 2.18×10^6 cells/l in August at the Weirs respectively. Although the latter counts were usually high, they exemplified the important contribution of the blue-green algae to the phytoplankton. Two other

examples of green algae which were dominant phytoplankton components were as follows: Actinastrum hantzschii var. fluviatile with a count of $.4 \times 10^6$ cells/l in July 1970 at Wolfeboro and Ulothrix variabilis with $.41 \times 10^6$ cells/l in August 1970 at the Weirs. Dictyosphaerium pulchellum, Botryococcus protuberans var. minor, and Crucigenia truncata were occasionally abundant.

The Chrysophycean representatives such as Chrysosphaerella longispina, Dinobryon divergens, Rhizochrysis limnetica, and Uroglenopsis americana were abundant during July to September. The golden brown alga, Dinobryon sertularia var. protuberans, and diatoms such as Melosira ambigua, Tabellaria fenestrata, Asterionella formosa, Fragillaria crotonensis, and Cyclotella comta then became major phytoplankton components throughout the winter. The peak numbers of diatoms occurred during December-January and May-June.

The Chrysophycean flora was most abundant at Center Harbor and Paugus Bay. Uroglenopsis americana and Dinobryon divergens contributed $.45$ and $.085 \times 10^6$ cells/l respectively at Center Harbor during July 1969. Rhizochrysis limnetica contributed $.133 \times 10^6$ cells/l in August at Center Harbor and combined with the latter two algae to make the Chrysophyceae the second largest contributor of cells. The cell count of Uroglenopsis americana rose to $.71 \times 10^6$ cells/l in October; a comparable count occurred in November. Uroglenopsis americana, Dinobryon divergens and

Rhizochrysis limnetica were primarily responsible for the Chrysophycean peaks recorded at Center Harbor from July through November 1969. The Chrysophycean peak at Paugus Bay was dominated by Dinobryon bavaricum and Uroglenopsis americana. An unprecedented pulse of 2.01×10^6 cells/l of Chryso-sphaerella longispina occurred in August 1970.

PHYTOPLANKTON POPULATIONS AT

INDIVIDUAL STATIONS

Alton Bay

A total of 259 taxa of algae were identified from Alton Bay (Table V). The members of the Chlorophyceae were the dominant class, being represented by 118 taxa (Table V). The Cyanophyceae and the Bacillariophyceae followed with 49 and 44 species respectively (Table V). Table VI indicates the total number of species per month at each station. Alton Bay had a maximum of 116 species in July 1969, a general decline to 35 in March and then a progressive rise thereafter. Figure 67 shows the seasonal variation in numbers of species per class. The Chlorophyceae had a maximum of 48 species in September 1969 and a minimum of 8 in March 1970 (while under ice cover). The blue-greens exhibited a high of 27 taxa in July 1969 and a low of 11 in May 1970. The Bacillariophyceae were most numerous in January 1970 with 27 species; only 10 were found in March.

Figure 68 shows the monthly variation in numbers of cells per class, expressed as the mean count for all depths sampled. The Cyanophyceae dominated with a maximum of 5.9×10^6 cells/l in September 1969 and a minimum of $.35 \times 10^6$ in February 1970. The Chlorophyceae ranged from a high of $.65 \times 10^6$ cells/l in September to a low of $.027 \times 10^6$ in March 1970. A pulse of $.467 \times 10^6$ cells/l in January 1970 was the high for the Bacillariophyceae; their minimum was $.068 \times 10^6$ in March 1970. The Chrysophyceae occasionally contributed substantially to the total phytoplankton; a maximum of $.794 \times 10^6$ cells/l being recorded in August 1969. A minimum of $.006 \times 10^6$ cells/l was recorded in March 1970.

The Cryptophyceae was the fifth largest class, having a range of $.047 \times 10^6$ cells/l in August 1969 to $.002 \times 10^6$ in February 1970. The Dinophyceae, Euglenophyceae, and Xanthophyceae contributed little to the numbers of cells.

Table VII gives the monthly percent composition of total cells per class at Stations 1-8 and the average yearly percent composition of each class. The average yearly percent of Bacillariophyceae was 13%, while the more diverse Chlorophyceae comprised 6.3% and the Chrysophyceae 7.2% of the total phytoplankton. The Cyanophyceae comprised approximately 73% of the yearly phytoplankton composition. The four remaining classes combined only represented .5% of the cell count at Alton Bay.

The seasonal variation in numbers of cells for Stations 1-4 is indicated in Figure 6. A maximum of 7.37×10^6 cells/l was noted for August 1969, with a minimum of $.667 \times 10^6$ in March 1970 (under ice cover).

Wolfeboro

The greatest species diversity was found at Wolfeboro (Table V). A total of 283 taxa were identified, comprised of 138 Chlorophyceae, 55 Bacillariophyceae, 46 Cyanophyceae, 27 Chrysophyceae, 7 Dinophyceae, 4 Cryptophyceae and Euglenophyceae, and 2 Xanthophyceae. A maximum of 127 taxa was identified in August 1969, as contrasted to 46 in February 1970 (Table VI). Slight fluctuations occurred from July through October. There was a general decrease in the number of taxa with the advent of winter; thereafter, there was a steady rise after ice-out. The Chlorophyceae ranged from 51 taxa in July 1969 to 14 in February; their numbers then rose again to 61 in August 1970 (Fig. 7). The Cyanophycean species ranged from 33 in July and August of 1969 to 5 in February 1970; subsequently they rose again to 25 in August 1970 (Fig. 70). The Bacillariophyceae and Chrysophyceae rounded out the four largest contributors in species showing a comparable seasonal cycle like that found at Alton Bay.

Once again the Cyanophycean cell count usually exceeded all other classes. A maximum of 8.99×10^6 cells/l was found in

September 1969 with a low of $.047 \times 10^6$ in February 1970 (Fig. 71). In contrast the Chlorophycean total cell count ranged from $.893 \times 10^6$ cells/l in July 1969 to $.055 \times 10^6$ in May 1970 (Fig. 71). A pulse of $.829 \times 10^6$ cells/l in January 1970 was the maximal for the Bacillariophyceae; a low of $.087 \times 10^6$ occurred in August 1970 (Fig. 71). A pulse of $.536 \times 10^6$ cells/l of Chrysophyceae was evident in August 1969 and another of $.681 \times 10^6$ in August 1970 (Fig. 71). At the times of these August pulses, the Chrysophyceae ranked third in their contribution to the total cell numbers, behind the Cyanophyceae and Chlorophyceae respectively. The remaining algal classes, by comparison, contributed minimally both to species diversity and to cell numbers. The percent of total cells per class was basically similar to that of Alton Bay (Table VII). The largest phytoplankton count for Wolfeboro was 9.97×10^6 cells/l in September 1969; a minimum of $.486 \times 10^6$ was recorded in February 1970 (Fig. 69).

Weirs

The species diversity at the Weirs Station was basically similar to Stations 1 and 2, except for the reduced number of diatoms (Table V). Two hundred and forty-six taxa were identified. The Chlorophyceae dominated the phytoplankton in total species each month (Fig. 72). The 131 algal taxa identified during August 1969 was the highest monthly record for any station (Table VI). The maximum number of species evident during ice cover was

also found at the Weirs (Table VI). Although the proportion of species per class approximates that of other stations, there are some noticeable variances in total cell numbers (Fig. 69). For example, one may note the largest total cell numbers recorded for the project (i.e. 13.6×10^6 cells/l in August 1969). Once again the Cyanophyceae contributed the major portion of the total cell count with 12.29×10^6 cells/l, the highest individual class count for the entire project (Fig. 65). The Bacillariophyceae, as at other stations, exhibited a January pulse of $.855 \times 10^6$ cells/l (Fig. 65). The latter was the highest diatom count at any station. The diatoms at this station averaged 12% of the yearly total phytoplankton (Table VII). The average yearly contribution of blue-greens was 74%, while the greens contributed 6%, and the golden-browns 7.5%.

Though the January diatom pulse was the highest recorded at any station, it only represented 17.2% of the cells of phytoplankton that month. In contrast, a smaller population of diatoms recorded during June 1970 at the Weirs contributed 44.6% of the monthly cell numbers (compare Fig. 65 and Table VII).

The Chrysophycean impact on the total cell count, as at the other stations, was usually greatest in May and June when it comprised 12-36% of the phytoplankton at the Weirs (Table VII). A Chrysophycean count of $.256 \times 10^6$ cells/l in June of 1970 accounted for 24% of the total phytoplankton.

Center Harbor

Of the 233 taxa found at Center Harbor, 103 were Chlorophyceae, the fewest such species recorded for Stations 1-4 (Table V). A maximum of 104 species was found in November 1969 and a minimum of 59 in March 1970 (Table VI). The usual Chlorophycean dominance of species (Fig. 73) was correlated as in the case of the Cyanophyceae with total cell numbers (Fig. 74).

The highest cell count of Bacillariophyceae was recorded during May 1970 ($.399 \times 10^6$ cell/l) and it resulted in 30% of the total phytoplankton (compare Fig. 74 and Table VII). Thus, the Bacillariophyceae were usually the second largest component in numbers of cells (Fig. 74). The average yearly contribution of Chrysophycean algae was 14% as contrasted with 12% for the Wolfeboro Station, 7.5% for the Weirs, and 7.2% for Alton Bay (Table VII). The May 1970 Chrysophycean count of $.434 \times 10^6$ cells/l equalled 32% of the phytoplankton (compare Fig. 74 and Table VII). Although the golden-browns exerted a strong influence (percentage-wise) from May through August at Wolfeboro, the total numbers never exceeded those at Center Harbor (compare Table VII and Fig. 74). The seasonal variation in numbers of cells at Center Harbor ranged from a high of 6.13×10^6 cells/l in October 1969 to a low of $.697 \times 10^6$ in March 1970 (Fig. 69). Thus, Center Harbor was the least productive of the four major stations in terms of total phytoplankton. It also exhibited the lowest

species diversity, with a minimal number of green algae (Table V).

Winter Harbor

Stations 5-8 cannot be favorably compared with Stations 1-4 because their study encompassed only the summer of 1970. Even so, the same general distribution of species per class existed at Winter Harbor (Station 5) for the 180 taxa (Table V). The blue-green species exceeded the more diverse greens in terms of numbers of cells with 3.59×10^6 cells/l in July (Fig. 75) or 82.1% of the total cell count (Table VII). The Bacillariophyceae composed the second greatest portion of the phytoplankton making up 35% in June and 10.2% in July (Table VII). A pulse of $.659 \times 10^6$ cells/l of Chrysophyceae in August (Fig. 75) produced the second largest portion or 16% (Table VII) of the total. Blue-green cells equalled 64.6% of the phytoplankton during the summer, greens 6.4%, diatoms 16.6% and golden-browns 12% (Table VII). The July count was the greatest recorded with 4.33×10^6 cells/l (Fig. 76).

Melvin Bay

Melvin Bay (Station 6) had the fewest species for Stations 5-8 with 174 taxa (Table VI). The blue-greens contributed 54.6% of the total phytoplankton cell numbers, and the Chrysophyceae, Chlorophyceae, and Bacillariophyceae 17.7, 15.3, and 11.6% respectively (Table VII). A Chrysophycean pulse of $.326 \times 10^6$

cells/l (Fig. 75) accounted for 36% (Table VII) of the June phytoplankton. The diatoms with $.245 \times 10^6$ cells/l (Fig. 75) made up 27.2%, the greens 23%, and the blue-greens 12.1% of the June phytoplankton (Table VII). As at other stations, the blue-greens were the primary phytoplankton component during August, composing 78.1% of the total cell count (Table VII). The largest number of Chlorophycean species recorded during the study was found in August at Melvin Bay. However, the 62 species of greens (Fig. 77) comprised only 9% of the total phytoplankton (6.87×10^6 cells/l). Compare Table VII and Figure 76 for this interpretation.

Meredith Bay

One hundred and seventy-five taxa of phytoplankton were found at Meredith Bay (Table V). The Bacillariophyceae with $.490 \times 10^6$ cells/l (Fig. 75) made up 47% and the Chrysophyceae 29% of the June phytoplankton (Table VII). The blue-greens dominated in numbers of cells during July (Fig. 75). In August, they equalled 82.5% (Table VII) of the phytoplankton with a count of 4.99×10^6 cells/l (Fig. 75). An August pulse of $.659 \times 10^6$ cells/l of Chrysophyceae (Fig. 75) resulted in 10% (Table VII) of the phytoplankton; the remainder was primarily composed of Cyanophycean cells. The maximum phytoplankton count recorded was 6.08×10^6 in August 1970 (Fig. 76).

Paugus Bay

Paugus Bay had the largest number of taxa (186) of stations 5-8 (Table V). In addition, it had the greatest phytoplankton counts of the latter stations with 6.94×10^6 cells/l in July (Fig. 13). The Cyanophyceae composed 58% of the total phytoplankton during the summer (Table VIII). A strong pulse of Chrysophyceae (i.e. 2.12×10^6 cells/l) accounted for 30.1% of the August phytoplankton (Fig. 11).

CALCULATION OF PHYTOPLANKTON INDICES

The trophic level of Winnepesaukee was evaluated using a variety of phytoplankton indices such as the Chlorophycean Quotient of Thunmark (1945), and the Myxophycean, Diatom, Euglenine, and Compound Quotients of Nygaard (1949). A summary of the indices' values and the suggested trophic levels for Lake Winnepesaukee and for each station is found in Table IX and X. Since summer is the more optimal growth time for green and blue-green algae, Nygaard felt that all of the indices were best applied during June through August, with the exception of the Diatom Index.

A summary of the quotients and their interpretations is as follows:

QUOTIENT	OLIGOTROPHIC	EUTROPHIC
Chlorophycean =		
$\frac{\text{Chlorococcales}}{\text{Desmidiaceae}}$	< 1.0	> 1.0
Myxophycean =		
$\frac{\text{Myxophyceae}}{\text{Desmidiaceae}}$	< 0.4	0.1 - 3.0
Diatom =		
$\frac{\text{Centrales}}{\text{Pennales}}$	< 0.3	> 0.3
Euglenine =		
$\frac{\text{Euglenine}}{\text{Myxo. + Chlorophyceae}}$	< 0.2	0.0 - 1.0
Compound =		
$\frac{\text{Myxo. + Chlor. + Cent. + Euglen.}}{\text{Desmidiaceae}}$	< 1.0	2.5

The above indices were applied to the total species found throughout the project, to winter (Diatom Index), and to summer species listings for the entire lake (Table VIII). Further applications of the phytoplankton quotients were made on each of the eight stations studied (Table IX). Whereas the indices for Stations 1-4 represented the combined summer species of 1969 and 1970, those for the more briefly studied Stations 5-8 are only for the June through August listings of 1970.

The Diatom and Euglenophyte Indices when applied to the entire lake characterized it as oligotrophic, the Compound Index

indicated a mesotrophic state, and the Chlorophycean and Myxophycean Indices indicated eutrophy (Table VIII). Use of the five indices on individual stations indicated that Alton Bay, Wolfeboro, and Center Harbor were basically eutrophic, while the Weirs was mesotrophic (Table VIII). Although Stations 5-8 were only evaluated for one summer, three of the five indices showed mesotrophy at Winter Harbor, Meredith Bay and Paugus Bay; two indicated Melvin Bay as being eutrophic. Whether rating the entire Lake or the individual stations, the five phytoplankton quotients collectively indicated a mesotrophic status for Lake Winnepesaukee. The Compound Index provided for fairer estimate of trophic status, because it incorporated the five major phytoplankton components (i.e. Myxophyceae, Chlorococcales, Centric diatoms, Euglenophyceae, and Desmidiaceae). The Chlorophycean Index values, while greater than 1 in most cases, were borderline ratings. As such, they provided further credence to a mesotrophic rating of Lake Winnepesaukee.

DISCUSSION

Prescott (1951) categorized inland lakes according to their hydrographic features. Lake Winnepesaukee fits well into his category of a soft water drainage lake; that is, one having an inlet and an outlet, and low calcium and half-bound carbon dioxide. The lake is similar to most temperate lakes in that it is dimictic

in nature, having both spring and fall overturns (Ruttner, 1963).

The origin of Lake Winnepesaukee probably dates back to the more recent Wisconsin Glaciation Period, the erosive impact of which resulted in the formation of this rock basined, drift dammed lake (Goldthwait, et al., 1951). Frey (1963) cited calcium values of 2.7 and 3.9 ppm for Lake Winnepesaukee, which agreed with those values recorded for several Wisconsin soft water drainage lakes (Prescott, 1951). Few blue-greens and numerous green algal species were characteristic of most soft water drainage lakes studied by Prescott (1951). The same was true of Winnepesaukee, which had 68 species of blue-green and 237 species of green algae. Prescott (1951) referred to the phytoplankton species of soft water drainage lakes as sparse. He suggested that the relatively high available total nitrogen of such lakes could be coupled with other essential nutrients and might result in greater productivity. A comparison was made between the green and blue-green algal species number of Winnepesaukee, and those previously recorded for Lakes Newfound and Winnisquam (Gruending and Mathieson, 1969). Newfound had 10 blue-green and 43 green taxa, while Winnisquam had 10 blue-green and 93 green taxa. Thus, it is seen that the composition of blue-green and green species of these three lakes is similar to previous findings of Prescott (1951) for other soft water drainage lakes.

An examination of the physical and chemical characteristics

of Lake Winnepesaukee showed similar findings to those cited by Gruending and Mathieson (in press). Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes had pH ranges of 6.7 - 7.2 and 6.2 - 7.2 respectively, while the Winnepesaukee pH ranged from 7.0 - 7.4. The Methyl orange alkalinity at Winnepesaukee ranged from 6. - 11.6 ppm comparing favorably with the 5.0- 9.0 and 5.0 - 11.0 ppm respectively for Newfound and Winnisquam. The above findings, including the CO₂ range of 1 - 6 ppm at Winnepesaukee corresponded with Prescott's (1951) findings at other soft water lakes. Newfound Lake had over 90% oxygen saturation throughout the water column and never had an oxygen deficiency in the hypolimnion. Winnepesaukee had ample oxygen (4.6 - 16.2 ppm) at all depths throughout the year whereas Lake Winnisquam had an oxygen depletion in the hypolimnion during the summer months. The temperature regime at Newfound and Winnisquam was similar to that at Winnepesaukee where the minimal value was recorded beneath the ice (1.9° C), and the maximum was found in late summer (29.3° C) - a typical pattern of most temperate lakes. The 1% light transmission at Winnepesaukee ranged from 5 - 19 m at Stations 1 - 8. Similar values were recorded at Newfound. However, the maximal transparency (10 m) at Winnisquam was much less than at Winnepesaukee.

Hutchinson (1957) relates the nutrient levels of soft water drainage lakes to the size of the drainage basin, and to the geochemical nature of the surrounding watershed. Since Lake

Winnepesaukee has a surface area of 180 km² (Frey, 1963) and it drains both sedimentary and igneous type rock (Billings, 1956) the high nutrient levels are more easily understood. Most of the silicates, phosphates, and nitrates of lakes are derived from the surrounding mountain ranges and lowlands via the leaching process of water runoff (Hutchinson, 1957).

In addition it should be emphasized that Lake Winnepesaukee is a major recreational site, and the highest nutrient levels appear during the summer with the influx of tourists, increased boating, swimming and camping. Particularly conspicuous were the July reading of 49.9 mg/l of orthophosphates and 39.2 mg/l of total phosphates at Meredith Bay. Maximum Myxophycean populations (non-heterocyst forms) usually occur during the summer, and they may exhaust all available nitrates (Prescott, 1951). However, at Winnepesaukee the peaks in nitrate levels occurred during June through August, when maximal numbers of blue-green cells were recorded. The high summer nitrate levels seemed to be a direct result of increased recreational activity. Although sewage treatment occurs in the proximity of most stations studied, their effluent is not stripped of nutrients. In recent years recreational applications have increased so much that temporary closings of major beaches (e.g. Weirs) have occurred. Such closings are contingent upon high coliform counts during the bathing season, and not upon nutrient readings.

The nutrient concentrations found at Winnepesaukee exceeded those recorded at Newfound and Winnisquam (Gruendling and Mathieson, in press). Although nutrients were not limiting factors for algal growth, as seen by the monthly phytoplankton patterns for each station (Figs. 69 and 76), additional nutrient enrichments usually caused increased primary productivity (Figs. 49-64). Thus, increased eutrophication of Lake Winnepesaukee will probably be associated with increased algal blooms, as at Winnisquam Lake (Gruendling and Mathieson, in press), unless the nutrient enrichment of Winnepesaukee is halted.

The Weirs and Wolfeboro stations exhibited the greatest cell counts of stations 1-4, with peaks of 13.6 and 9.96×10^6 cells/l respectively in August and September of 1969 (Fig. 69). In addition they also exhibited some of the highest primary productivity rates (compare Figs. 35-60). The peaks of cell numbers found at Lake Winnepesaukee were much higher than those found at Newfound Lake (12.7×10^5 in August, 1966) by Gruendling and Mathieson (in press). However, they fell far short of the maximum value of 1.7×10^8 recorded in September, 1967 at Winnisquam Lake (Gruendling and Mathieson, in press). The latter result is easily understood when one realizes the immensity of treated sewage effluent received in Winnisquam Lake daily.

Comparative carbon-14 experiments have been conducted at three other New Hampshire sites: Winnisquam Lake (eutrophic),

Newfound Lake (oligotrophic), Hampton Stabilization Pond (eutrophic) and the Old Reservoir on the University of New Hampshire campus (eutrophic). In general there is a correlation between cell counts and the level of primary productivity - i.e. high cell counts are associated with high productivity and vice versa. In addition, the more oligotrophic sites tend to show a greater enhancement of primary productivity with supplements of nutrients. As suggested previously one would anticipate greater enhancement of primary productivity if nutrients were limiting or not in excess. A comparison of Figures 49-64 shows that there were very few times when nutrients were saturating at Lake Winnepesaukee. However, this is not the case at Winnisquam Lake, the Old Reservoir, and at the Hampton Stabilization Pond (Mathieson, unpublished data). It is our conclusion that comparative nutrient enrichment experiments can be a fundamental tool in evaluating the trophic status of a lake or embayments on a single lake.

Aphanizomenon flos-aquae is the major bloom organism at Winnisquam Lake (Gruending and Mathieson, in press). It is present at Winnepesaukee, as well as three other potentially troublesome blue green algae - Anabaena scheremetievi, Coelosphaerium kuetzingianum and Gloetrichia echinulata. The four species of blue green algae can cause conspicuous algal blooms and possibly also obnoxious odors (Jackson, 1964).

Although species diversity is a major characteristic of the

Lake Winnepesaukee phytoplankton, the flora might best be referred to as a Myxophycean Plankton, or one dominated by Polycystis, Aphanizomenon, or Anabaena which may form extensive water blooms (Hutchinson, 1967). A summer flora dominated by blue-greens is typical of the more productive lakes of temperate regions and in many shallow tropical watersheds.

The seasonal dominance of Myxophycean cell numbers was evident at all stations. Rarely, as in February, May and June 1970, when blue-green cell numbers were appreciably diminished, did another class (the Bacillariophyceae) dominate the phytoplankton. A few of the organisms contributing significantly to the total Myxophycean Phytoplankton at all stations were Polycystis aeruginosa, Polycystis incerta, Coelosphaerium naegelianum, Oscillatoria angustissima, Coelosphaerium pallidum, Gomphosphaeria lacustris and Gomphosphaeria lacustris var. compacta.

It is interesting to contrast the Myxophycean dominance in numbers of cells with that of the Chlorophyceae in the number of species. Of the former there were 68 taxa, whereas the latter had 237. Figures 67, 70, 72, 73 and 77 clearly show the prevalence of green algal species at all stations. The Bacillariophyceae and Cyanophyceae slightly exceeded the greens in numbers of species during January - April 1970 at Alton Bay and Center Harbor. Rarely did the Chlorophyceae have representatives amongst the dominant phytoplankters in numbers of cells. During the

summer, Gloeocystis vesiculosa and Botryococcus braunii were common at all stations, and they appeared in significant numbers. Only two other examples of dominance occurred of green algae. The peaks of Actinastrum hantzschii var. fluviatile and Ulothrix variabilis were previously cited.

A comparison was made of the taxa of phytoplankton recorded by Gruendling and Mathieson (1969) for Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes and those found at Winnepesaukee. One hundred taxa were found at Newfound and 142 were found at Winnisquam. Of these, 92 were in common between Newfound and Winnepesaukee and 121 between Winnisquam and Winnepesaukee. The gross similarity of the floras at the three lakes is thus apparent.

A definite seasonal pattern of phytoplankton development occurred at Winnepesaukee with maximal numbers of cells in the summer and minimal winter counts (compare Figs. 69 and 76). The peak at Center Harbor was exceptional in that it occurred during early fall (October). The summer peak of phytoplankton coincided with maximal nutrients and high water temperatures, while the minimal records occurred during periods of ice cover and very low water temperatures (compare Figs. 69 and 76 with Figs. 15-19).

The green and blue-green algae were the major structural components of the seasonal patterns described above (compare Fig. 66 and Table VI). The Cyanophyceae were usually the second largest contributors to species numbers, except during the winter

and spring when the diatoms replaced them. The number of diatom cells in the spring occasionally exceeded those of the Cyanophyceae. The Chrysophyceae generally ranked fourth in numbers of species; they exhibited maximal counts in August of 1969 and 1970. The Cryptophyceae, Dinophyceae, Euglenophyceae, and Xanthophyceae usually composed 0.5% of the monthly phytoplankton at each station. Thus, the latter classes were of little importance in the overall phytoplankton.

It is obvious that lakes differ both in the quantity and quality of their phytoplankton. Such phenomena led to a trophic system of lake classification based on phytoplankton indices (Nygaard, 1949). Oligotrophy is indicated when algal species are many, phytoplankton numbers are meager, water blooms are rare, and diurnal migration is extensive (Rawson, 1956). The eutrophic state presents the reverse condition. Thus Nygaard (1949) states that lakes may be characterized as being either less productive and more transparent (pH usually less than 7.0, Ca less than 10 mg/l), or more productive and less transparent (pH usually over 7.0, Ca more than 10 mg/l).

Phytoplankton quotients seem of little value by themselves in the determination of trophic levels. As pointed out by Rawson (1956) it is difficult to determine whether edaphic or morphometric factors are more influential in determining oligotrophic levels. It should also be noted that the dominance of

an alga, expressed in cell numbers, may not be significant when compared with the total unit and percentage volumes of other species. Likewise, the determination of a trophic level from Chlorococcalean and desmid species numbers seems futile when, for example, 74% of the total phytoplankton is Myxophycean.

The same may be said of the other phytoplankton quotients applied within this text. Even Nygaard's Compound Index which is based on five major groups of algae does not include Chryso-phycean species which comprised 7.2 - 30.1% of the phytoplankton found at all of the stations. Rather it might be best to examine trophic levels using a composite of tools, such as the contributions of cell numbers versus cell volumes, the types, abundance and quality of different phytoplankton associations, and the edaphic changes in a water body during successive years.

When the above interpretations are amassed, a fairer estimate of trophic status may be obtained, particularly for those water bodies of a more intermediate trophic level. The collective interpretation of the phytoplankton indices assigns a mesotrophic status to Lake Winnepesaukee. It should be emphasized that the designations of phytoplankton indices are very controversial. Thus, it is felt that a closer look at the coexisting phytoplankton associations peculiar to different trophic levels (Hutchinson, 1967) should also be considered, particularly in bodies of water with transitional trophic levels. Although the

principal phytoplankton association at Winnepesaukee is Myxophycean (indicative of eutrophy) there are other associations which are more typical of oligotrophy. Hutchinson (1967) reports on Oligotrophic Desmid Association that is peculiar to slightly acid waters. Ninety-one species of desmids were identified at Winnepesaukee. Newell (1960) and Hoover (1938) both cited lower pH values for Winnepesaukee than those recorded here. Several genera (Sphaerocystis, Gloeocystis, Rhizosolenia, and Tabellaria) typical of the Oligotrophic Desmid Association were frequently found at Winnepesaukee. An Oligotrophic Diatom Association also existed at Winnepesaukee. Unlike the Desmid Association, which was dominant in the numbers of species, the Diatom Association was represented by a dominance in cell numbers of its representative genera. The dominant genera of the Oligotrophic Diatom Plankton were Cyclotella, Tabellaria, and Melosira.

The occurrence of abundant Botryococcus further supports a previous oligotrophic status, for Hutchinson (1967) cites a Botryococcus Association as characteristic of oligotrophic waters. The same may be said of the existence of a well-developed Chryso-phycean Plankton; the dominant components being species of Dinobryon. Hutchinson (1967) also speaks of phytoplankton associations peculiar to the more mature waters such as a Eutrophic Diatom Plankton. The latter associations, however, are not as strongly in evidence as the oligotrophic associations cited above.

Hutchinson (1967) characterizes a Chrysophycean Plankton as one peculiar to nutrient depleted waters. However, Lake Winnepesaukee does not seem to have a shortage of nutrients, and peak numbers of Chrysophyceae occurred during periods of high nutrients (particularly in July through September). Would the Chrysophyceae play an even larger role in the phytoplankton if the recreational pursuits of man were reduced?

Different trophic levels do exist in Lake Winnepesaukee. When a collective interpretation of the five phytoplankton indices was made (Table VIII and IX) a mesotrophic status was accorded the Weirs, Winter Harbor, Meredith Bay, and Paugus Bay Stations. The four remaining stations were characterized as eutrophic. The Diatom, Euglenophyte, and Compound Indices characterized Lake Winnepesaukee as either oligotrophic or mesotrophic. The Chlorophycean Index gave an oligotrophic classification to Winter Harbor. It also signified border-line values favoring mesotrophy at the Weirs and Meredith Bay and lent further support for their mesotrophic rating.

When the phytoplankton quotients were applied to the entire lake the Chlorophycean and Myxophycean Indices designated a eutrophic rating, whereas the Diatom and Euglenophyte values signified oligotrophy (Table VIII). The Compound Index denoted a mesotrophic state. Thus, it is seen that the overall values for the lake compliment those for the individual stations in

connoting a mesotrophic evaluation. The borderline values found at many stations further indicated the changing trophic levels of the lake.

Of the four stations characterized as eutrophic (Alton, Wolfeboro, Center Harbor, and Melvin Bay), Wolfeboro showed the largest number of Chlorococcalean species (Table V). The Chlorophycean and Compound Indices incorporate Chlorococcalean species numbers. Hence, the values recorded for both indices showed that Wolfeboro was second only to Melvin Bay with its rating of eutrophy. Not only did the large number of Chlorococcales (67) and diatom species (55) affect the indices ratings at Wolfeboro, but they also served to augment the Eutrophic Diatom and Chlorococcalean Plankton Associations. Palmer (1969) has compiled a listing (from 165 investigators) of algae tolerant to high organic pollution. He states that organic pollution influences fresh water algal floras more than any other factor. Of particular interest was his listing of the 60 most tolerant genera and the 80 most tolerant species. The Wolfeboro flora had 45 of the genera and 33 of the species listed by Palmer (1969).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The composition, abundance, and seasonal periodicity of the phytoplankton at Lake Winnepesaukee were determined. Eight stations were established in order to identify their phytoplankton

floras and trophic levels. The following general conclusions were reached:

1) Lake Winnepesaukee is a dimictic, soft water drainage basin characterized by a Myxophycean Plankton. A large flora was apparent, for 453 taxa were recorded. Two hundred and thirty-seven taxa alone were members of the Chlorophyceae. The Chlorophycean species usually dominated each monthly count.

2) The nutrient levels at Winnepesaukee exceeded all of those previously recorded for Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes, (Gruending and Mathieson, in press). Thus, aside from nutrients, the most influential factors affecting algal growth were light, temperature, and organic pollution. Nutrient enrichment experiments were conducted to evaluate their effect on primary productivity of natural phytoplankton populations.

3) A typical pattern of phytoplankton abundance was apparent with peak counts occurring in late summer and minimal values during the winter (under ice). The rise or fall of the phytoplankton counts usually coincided with an increase or decrease in nutrient levels, water temperatures, or light penetration. High cell counts were associated with high primary productivity levels and vice versa.

4) The phytoplankton was primarily comprised of four classes of algae: the Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, and Chrysophyceae. The Cyanophyceae usually dominated the

phytoplankton in the number of cells although not in the number of species.

5) The phytoplankton quotients of Thunmark (1945) and Nygaard (1949) were applied to each station and to the overall lake. A mesotrophic status was accorded the Weirs, Winter Harbor, Meredith, and Paugus Bay Stations. The Diatom and Euglenophyte Indices usually gave oligotrophic values to the stations, whereas the Compound Index values were mesotrophic for the four stations cited above. The Chlorophycean Index values also approximated a mesotrophic rating. When applied to the entire lake, the indices collectively designated a mesotrophic status to Lake Winnepesaukee. Thus it is seen that different trophic levels may exist in the same lake.

6) The Compound Index was the most useful in designating the trophic levels. The application of this index, together with a knowledge of the phytoplankton associations described by Hutchinson (1967), allowed the fairest evaluation of trophic levels.

7) Alton, Wolfeboro, Center Harbor, and Melvin Bay Stations were classed as eutrophic. Wolfeboro and Melvin Bay were the most striking examples of eutrophy.

8) Of the 100 taxa listed by Gruendling and Mathieson (1969) for Newfound Lake and the 142 taxa cited for Lake Winnisquam, 92 and 121 taxa respectively were common to Winnepesaukee. Thus,

the three lakes have similar floras except that Winnepesaukee has a far greater number of species. The greater species diversity and the presence of residual oligotrophic algal associations (Hutchinson, 1967) lend support for postulating a former oligotrophic state of Winnepesaukee.

9) The presence of 45 of the 60 algal genera listed by Palmer (1969) as being most tolerant to organic pollution indicates the large impact of organic pollution in Winnepesaukee. Inadequate treatment of sewage effluent in the vicinity of the stations is indicated.

10) The Weirs Station exhibited the greatest productivity of all the stations. Center Harbor and Winter Harbor were the least productive in total phytoplankton cell counts.

11) Several potentially troublesome taxa of algae (Aphanizomenon flos-aquae, Anabaena flos-aquae, Gloeotrichia echinulata, Polycystis aeruginosa, and Anabaena scheremetievi) were found throughout the Lake. Further enrichment of the lake may result in serious blooms and obnoxious odors similar to those experienced at Winnisquam.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anonymous. 1961. Standard methods for the examination of water, sewage, and industrial wastes. (11th Ed.) American Public Health Association, New York.
- Billings, M. P. 1956. The geology of New Hampshire part II Bedrock geology. New Hampshire State Planning and Development Commission. Concord. 203 p.
- Blaisdell, P. H. 1936. Three centuries on Lake Winnepesaukee. Rumford Press. Concord. 77 p.
- Bourelly, P. 1957. Recherches sur les Chrysophycees. Revue Algologique, Memoires hors-series No. 1, Paris. 412 p.
- _____ 1968. Les algues d'eau douce. Editions N. Boubee & Cie. 3, Place Saint-Andre-Des-Arts, Paris - VI. 438 p.
- Boyer, C. S. 1916. The Diatomaceae of Philadelphia and vicinity. J. B. Lippincott Company. East Washington Square, Philadelphia. 143 p.
- Brandt, K. and C. Apstein. 1964. Nordisches plankton, Neudruck A. Asher & Co., Amsterdam. 354 p.
- Cleve, P. T. 1894. Synopsis of the naviculoid diatoms. Kgl. Svensk. Vetensk. - Ak. Handle. Ny Foljd, 26(2):1-194; 27(3): 1-219.
- Cleve-Euler, Astrid. 1932. Die Kieselalgen des Takernsees in Schweden. Kungl. Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar. Tredje Serien. Band 11. N:02. 224 p.

- Croasdale, H. T. 1935. The fresh-water algae of Woods Hole, Massachusetts. University of Pennsylvania Chapter Sigma Xi. Philadelphia. 134 p.
- Desikachary, T. V. 1959. Cyanophyta. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. National Printing Works 10 Daryaganj. New Delhi. 686 p.
- Drouet, F. 1968. Revision of the classification of the Oscillatoriaceae. Monograph 15. The Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. 370 p.
- _____, and W. A. Daily. 1956. Revision of the coccoid Myxophyceae. Butler Univ. Bot. Stud. XII:1-218.
- Forest, H. S. 1954. Handbook of algae. The University of Tennessee Press. Knoxville. 467 p.
- Frey, D. G. 1963. Limnology in North America. The University of Wisconsin Press. Madison, Wisconsin. 734 p.
- Gentile, J. H. 1966. A limnological study of planktonic primary producers in a shallow eutrophic reservoir. Ph.D. thesis, University of New Hampshire, 159 pp.
- Goldman, C. R. 1961. The measurement of primary productivity and limiting factors in freshwater with carbon-14. In: M. S. Doty (Ed.), Proceedings of the Conference on Primary Productivity Measurement, Marine and Freshwater, U. S. Atomic Energy Comm., TID-7633, pp 103-113.

Goldthwait, J. W., L. Goldthwait, and R. P. Goldthwait. 1951.

The Geology of New Hampshire part I Surficial geology. New Hampshire State Planning and Development Commission. Concord. 83 p.

Gruendling, G. K., and A. C. Mathieson. 1969. Phytoplankton flora of Newfound and Winnisquam Lakes, New Hampshire.

Rhodora. 71:444-477.

_____. Phytoplankton populations in relation to trophic levels in New Hampshire lakes. U.S.A. Nova Hedwigia (in press).

Hoover, E. E. 1938. Biological survey of the Merrimack Watershed. New Hampshire Fish and Game Department. Concord. 238 p.

Hustedt, F. 1930. Die Kieselalgen Deutschlands, Osterreichs und der Schweiz mit Berucksichtigung der ubrigen Lander Europas sowie der angrenzenden Meeresgebiete. In Dr. L. Rabenhorsts' Kryptogamen - Flora von Deutschland, Osterreich und der Schweiz. Vol. 7. Johnson Reprint Corporation. New York. 920 p.

Hutchinson, G. E. 1957. A treatise on limnology. Vol. I Geography, physics, and chemistry. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 1015 p.

_____. 1967. A treatise on limnology. Vol. II Introduction to lake biology and the limnoplankton. John Wiley & Sons., Inc., New York. 1115 p.

- Irene-Marie, Fr. 1938. Flore desmidiale de la region de Montreal. Laprairie, Canada. 547 p.
- Jackson, D. G. 1964. Algae and man. Plenum Press. New York. 434 p.
- Lund, J. W. G., C. Kipling, and E. D. Le Cren. 1958. The inverted microscope method of estimating algal numbers and the statistical basis of estimation by counting. *Hydrobiologia* 11(2):143-170.
- Menzel, D. W., and N. Corwin. 1965. The measurement of total phosphorus in seawater based on the liberation of organically bound fractions by persulphate oxidation. *Limnol. & Oceanog.*, 10:280-282.
- Murphy, J., and J. P. Riley. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Chim. Acta.*, Vol. 27. pp. 31-36.
- Newell, A. E. 1960. Biological survey of the lakes and ponds in Coos, Grafton, and Carroll counties. New Hampshire Fish and Game Department. Survey Report No. 8a, Concord, 297 p.
- Nygaard, G. 1949. Hydrobiological studies of some Danish ponds and lakes. II. The quotient hypothesis and some new or little known phytoplankton organisms. *Kongl. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Biol. Skr.* 7(1):1-293.
- Palmer, C. M. 1959. Algae in water supplies; an illustrated manual on the identification, significance, and control of algae in water supplies. Public Health Serv. Pub. No. 657.

- R. A. Taft Sanitary Engin. Center. Cincinnati. 88 p.
- Palmer, C. M. 1969. A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. *J. Phycol.* 5, 78-82.
- Prescott, G. W. 1951. Algae of the western great lakes area. Cranbrook Institute of Science Bull. #31. Michigan. 946 p.
- Ralfs, J. 1848. The British Desmideae. Reeve, Benham, and Reeve. King William Street, Strand. London. 226 p.
- Randhawa, M. S. 1959. Zygnemaceae. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. National Printing Works. 10 Daryaganj. New Delhi. 478 p.
- Rawson, D. S. 1956. Algal indicators of trophic lake types. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 1(1):18-25.
- Ruttner, F. 1963. Fundamentals of limnology. 3rd ed. University of Toronto Press. Canada. 295 p.
- Smith, G. M. 1950. The freshwater algae of the United States. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York. 719 p.
- Strickland, J. D. H., and T. R. Parsons. 1960. A manual of seawater analysis. *Bull. Fisheries. Res. Board Can.*, 125:1-185.
- Thunmark. S. 1945. Zur Soziologie des Susswasserplanktons. Eine methodologisch-okologische Studie. *Felia. Limnol. Scandin.* 3:1-66.
- Tilden, J. 1910. Minnesota algae. Report of the survey botanical series. VIII. Minneapolis, Minnesota. 319 p.

- Uherkovich, G. 1966. Die Schenedesmus-Arten Ungarns. Verlag Der Ungarischen Akademie Der Wissenschaften. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest. 173 p.
- Van Heurck, H. F. 1896. A treatise on the Diatomaceae. Trans. by W. E. Baxter. William Wesley and Son, London. 558 p.
- West, G. S., and F. E. Fritsch. 1927. A treatise on the British fresh-water algae. New and revised edition. Cambridge. 534 p.
- Whitford, L. A., and G. J. Schumacher. 1969. A manual of the fresh-water algae in North Carolina. The North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station. Tech. Bul. No. 188. 313 p.
- Wolle, F. 1887. Fresh-water algae of the United States. Vol. 1 and 2. The Comenius Press. Bethlehem, Pa. 364 p.
- _____ 1892. Desmids of the United States. Moravian Publications Office. Bethlehem, Pa. 182 p.
- Wood, E. D., F. A. J. Armstrong, and F. A. Richards. 1967. Determination of nitrate in sea water by cadmium-copper reduction to nitrate. J. mar. biol. Assoc. U.K., Vol. 47. pp. 23-31.

LIST OF TABLES

- I. Nutrient enrichments for Carbon-14 experiments
- II. Seasonal occurrence of phytoplankton at Lake Winnepesaukee
- III. Combined monthly totals in numbers of species per class at stations 1-4
- IV. Combined monthly totals in numbers of species per class at stations 5-8
- V. Total number of species per class at each station
- VI. Monthly totals of species found at each station
- VII. Percent Composition of total cell numbers per classes at stations 1-8
- VIII. Summary of phytoplankton indices and proposed trophic status of Lake Winnepesaukee
- IX. Summary of phytoplankton indices and proposed trophic status for stations 1-8

Table I
Nutrient Enrichments for Carbon-14 Experiments

Sources:

Silicates: $\text{NaSiO}_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Nitrates: NaNO_3

Phosphates: KH_2PO_4

Levels of additions for nitrates, phosphates and silicates:

(1)	2000 mg/l	= 2000 PPT*
(2)	1000 mg/l	= 1000 PPT
(3)	200 mg/l	= 200 PPT
(4)	100 mg/l	= 100 PPT
(5)	20 mg/l	= 20 PPT
(6)	10 mg/l	= 10 PPT
(7)	2 mg/l	= 2 PPT
(8)	1 mg/l	= 1 PPT

*PPT = parts per thousand

Table II
Seasonal Occurrence of Phytoplankton at Lake Minnipesaukee

	1969				1970												
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A
CHLOROPHYCEAE																	
<i>Actinastrum hantzschii</i> var. <i>elongatum</i> G.M. Smith														4			
<i>Actinastrum hantzschii</i> var. <i>fluviale</i> Schroeder.	2	1,2,4	2,3	1											2	2	
<i>Actidinium hookeri</i> Reinsch					4												
<i>Ankistrodesmus braunii</i> (Neseg.) Brunthaler.						3	3								3	3	
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i> (Corda) Ralfs.	2-4	1-4	2,3	3,4		2,3	1-3		1,3		1,2,4	1-4	1-3	3,4	6,7	6-8	5-8
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i> var. <i>scicularis</i> (A. Braun) G.S. West.	2-4	2,4	2		2	2	2				1				2		
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i> var. <i>mirabilis</i> (West & West) G.S. West.							1								4		
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i> var. <i>stipitatus</i> (Chod.) Lemmermann.		2															
<i>Ankistrodesmus falcatus</i> var. <i>tumidus</i> (West & West) G.S. West.	1,2	1,2	1,2	1-3	1	1	1	1			1		3	1			7
<i>Ankistrodesmus spiralis</i> (Turner) Lemmermann.											1						
<i>Arthrodesmus constrictus</i> G.M. Smith			3														
<i>Arthrodesmus incus</i> (DeBreb.) Hass.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1,2,4		3,4		4	2,4	1-4	1-4	5,6,8	5-8	5-8
<i>Arthrodesmus phisus</i> Turner.				4							1,2						
<i>Arthrodesmus quiriferus</i> W. & G.S. West.		2,3				3							3	3		5,7,8	
<i>Arthrodesmus ralfsii</i> W. West.				1							1,2				6	5	5,7
<i>Arthrodesmus ralfsii</i> forma <i>latiuscula</i> West & G.S. West.															2		
<i>Arthrodesmus subulatus</i> Keutzing.			1			3						1,2,4				7	
<i>Arthrodesmus subulatus</i> var. <i>nordstedtii</i> var. <i>nov.</i> Keutzing.												3				5,8	7
<i>Arthrodesmus triangularis</i> Lagerheim.	1-3										4	1-4		1		5-8	
<i>Arthrodesmus triangularis</i> var. <i>subtriangularis</i> (Borge) W. & G.S. West.			2		2	2											5
<i>Botryococcus braunii</i> Keutzing.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	2	3,4		1,4	2-4	1,3,4	2	6-8	6-8	5-8
<i>Botryococcus protuberans</i> var. <i>minor</i> G.M. Smith	1-4	1-4	2,3	1-4	2,4	1-3	1-4		4		1,2,4	1-4	2,3	2-4	5-8	5-8	5-8
<i>Botryococcus sudeticus</i> Lemmermann.											2	2			5		
<i>Bulbochaete scrobiculata</i> (Tiff.) Tiffany		3					3					3					
<i>Characium gracilipes</i> Lambert.	1													4			5
<i>Characium limneticum</i> Lemmermann.						3									7	7	7
<i>Characium stipitatum</i> (Bachm.) Wille.												1			6		
<i>Chlamydomonas dinobryonii</i> G.M. Smith											4	1	1				

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Dactylococcus infusionum</i> Naegeli.	2-4	2-4	2,3	2-4	2	2	2					2,4					7	
<i>Demidium baileyi</i> (Ralfs) Nordst.														2				
<i>Demidium swartzii</i> C.A. Agardh.																	8	
<i>Dictyosphaerium ehrenbergianum</i> Naegeli.		3	3								2		1,3		6	5,7	6,8	
<i>Dictyosphaerium pulchellum</i> Wood.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	2	1,3		4	1,2	2	1-3	8	5,6,8	5-8	
<i>Disporhoccocus lunatus</i> A. Braun.		1	1,2	2		1-3						2	1,3	3,4	8	7	6,7	
<i>Dispora crucigenoides</i> Printz.																		
<i>Elakatothrix gelatinosa</i> Wille.	2	2		1	1		1		3									
<i>Elakatothrix viridis</i> (Snow) Printz.			1															
<i>Euastrum denticulatum</i> (Kirch.) Gay.	1												4	4	1,3,4	6	7,8	
<i>Euastrum pulchellum</i> DeBrebisson.	2-4	2-4	2,3	1-3													7	
<i>Eudorina elegans</i> Ehrenberg.	2,3	2,3	1,3	1-3	2	3	2,4				4	1-3	1-3	2-4	5,6	6-8	6-8	
<i>Eudorina unicocca</i> G.M. Smith														2			7	
<i>Gloeoactinium limneticum</i> G.M. Smith																	7	
<i>Gloeoecystis ampla</i> (Kuetz.) Lagerheim.	1	1	3	3								3			6,7	6,7	7,8	
<i>Gloeoecystis gigas</i> (Kuetz.) Lagerheim.				4			2						2	2			8	
<i>Gloeoecystis major</i> Gerneck.	3																6	
<i>Gloeoecystis planctonica</i> (West & West) Lemmermann.	2,4	1,2	1	4								2					7	
<i>Gloeoecystis vesiculosa</i> Naegeli.	1,3,4	1-4	1-3	2-4	2,4	2,3	2-4		3		4	4	1-4	1-4	6-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Golenkinia paucispina</i> West & West.													1,2,4	4			8	
<i>Golenkinia radiata</i> (Chod.) Wille.	4	1-4	1,2	1-4	1		2				1,2,4	1,3,4	1,2,4	1,2,4	5,7,8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Gonatozygon aculeatum</i> Hastings.																	5	
<i>Gonatozygon kinslandi</i> (Arch.) Rabenhorst.												3			5			
<i>Gonatozygon monotaenium</i> DeBary.	4							2			2,4	4		2		5	6	
<i>Gonatozygon pilosum</i> Wille.												2					5	
<i>Gonium pectorale</i> Mueller.			3															
<i>Cyanozyga scordiliformis</i> ehrenb. forma maxima f. nov.															4			
<i>Horaidium klebsii</i> G.M. Smith																	8	
<i>Hyalotheca dissiliens</i> (Smith) DeBrebisson.														2				
<i>Hyalotheca mucosa</i> (Dillwyn) Ehrenberg.													1					
<i>Kerchneriella contorta</i> (Schmidle) Bohlin.	3	2	3											3				

Table II - continued

	1969				1970												
	J	A	S	O	M	D	J	P	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A
<i>Chlamydomonas polypyrenoides</i> Prescott.																	
<i>Chlamydomonas sphaenocolea</i> Fritsch & Takeda.			3														5,8
<i>Chlorella ellipsoidea</i> Gerneck.		2	1	2													
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> Beyerinck.	3		1,2	3	2	1,2	1										
<i>Cladophora oligoclonia</i> Kuetzing.	2																
<i>Closterium aciculare</i> (Tuffen) West.												1					
<i>Closterium kuetzingii</i> DeBrebisson.	1										4						
<i>Closterium rostratum</i> Ehrenberg.						2	2	1	3		1,2,4	2-4	2		5,7,8	5	
<i>Coelastrum augustae</i> var. <i>ornatum</i> Skuja.											1,4						
<i>Coelastrum cambricum</i> Archer.			1								4	2					
<i>Coelastrum microporum</i> Naegele.	1,2,4	1,2,4	2	2,4		3			3,4			2			8		
<i>Coelastrum scabrum</i> Reinsch.						2									5	8	
<i>Coleochaete pulvinata</i> A. Braun						3										6	
<i>Cosmarium bioculatum</i> DeBrebisson.	2,4	1,2,4	1,2	1,2,4	1		1										8
<i>Cosmarium bipunctatum</i> Borge.															1-3		6
<i>Cosmarium contractum</i> Kirchner.																	
<i>Cosmarium contractum</i> var. <i>papillatum</i> forma <i>minor</i> G.M. Smith		1															
<i>Cosmarium depressum</i> (Nag.) Lundall.		3		4	1,4	2	2						3	1-4			8
<i>Cosmarium moniliforme</i> (Turp.) Ralfs.													3	1,2,4			7
<i>Cosmarium norvegicum</i> Strom.	1-4	1,4	1,2	4								2,3					5,7
<i>Cosmarium obtusatum</i> Schaidle.											4		3,4	1-3			5,6,8
<i>Cosmarium ornatum</i> Ralfs.		3				4											
<i>Cosmarium phaseolus</i> breb. fa. <i>minor</i> Boldt.																	
<i>Cosmarium punctulatum</i> DeBrebisson.															3		5
<i>Cosmarium scrobicula</i> Kuetzing																	
<i>Cosmarium trilobulatum</i> Reinsch.																	
<i>Cosmoecidium hitchcockii</i> Wille G.M. Smith	1,2	1,2,4	1,2	1-4	2			2									7
<i>Crucigenia quadrata</i> Morren.	3,4	3,4	3	3,4	4	3	3,4		3,4				1-4	1-4			7
<i>Crucigenia rectangularis</i> (A. Braun) Gay.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1,2,4	2,4	2	2,3	1,2			2,4	2-4	1,3,4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8
<i>Crucigenia tetrapedia</i> (Kirch.) West & West	1-4	1,4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1,2	1,2	1		1,2	1	3,4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8
<i>Crucigenia truncata</i> G.M. Smith	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1	1,3,4		2	2,4	3	1	5-8	6-8	6,8

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Kerchneriella elongata</i> G.M. Smith																		6
<i>Kerchneriella lunaris</i> (Kirch) Moebius.	2	3	3															8
<i>Kerchneriella lunaris</i> var. <i>dianae</i> Bohlén.		2										2			8			h
<i>Kerchneriella obesa</i> (W. West) Schwidle	1,2	1,2,4	1,3	1,2	2,4	1,2						2	1-4	2,3	8	5,8		6-8
<i>Kerchneriella obesa</i> var. <i>aperta</i> (Teil.) Brunthaler				3		2,3												
<i>Kerchneriella subsolitaria</i> G.S. West		2	1,2	1-4		3						4		2				6
<i>Micrasterias pinnatifida</i> (Kuetz.) Raifs.																		8
<i>Micrasterias radiosa</i> Raifs.	1						2											
<i>Micractinium pusillum</i> Presentius.	1,2	2,4	1-3	1									2					8
<i>Micractinium pusillum</i> var. <i>elegans</i> G.M. Smith			1															
<i>Mougeotia</i> spp.		1-3		1,2	1,4	1	2	3		2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5,8	5,6,8		
<i>Nephroclytium agardhianum</i> Naegeli.			3	2,3	2,4						4	1,3	2		5,7,8	6,7		
<i>Nephroclytium ecdysiscepanum</i> W. West.																		
<i>Nephroclytium limneticum</i> (G.M. Smith) G.M. Smith	1-3	2-4	2,3	1-3	1,4	2,3						3	1,4	2,3		5,8		5-8
<i>Nephroclytium lunatum</i> W. West.												2			8			
<i>Nephroclytium obesum</i> West & West.												3	1			8		7,8
<i>Netrium digitus</i> Itzigsohn et Rothe.																5		
<i>Oedogonium</i> spp.												4	3		5-7	7		5,7,8
<i>Oocystis boraei</i> Snow.										2				3		8		
<i>Oocystis elliptica</i> W. West.	1	1	1	2		2								1,2,4		5		5-7
<i>Oocystis gigas</i> Archer.	4			4										3				5
<i>Oocystis lacustris</i> Chodat.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-3	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8		5-8
<i>Oocystis nodulosa</i> West & West.	2																	
<i>Oocystis parva</i> W. & G.S. West.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	2,4	1-3	1-4		4		1,2,4	1,4						8
<i>Oocystis pusilla</i> Hansgirg.				3		4							2	3				
<i>Oocystis pyriformis</i> Prescott.				3														
<i>Palmella mucosa</i> Kuetzing.	2	3		3			3						4	1,2		6-8		8
<i>Palmodictyon varium</i> (Naeg.) Lemmermann	2																	
<i>Palmodictyon viride</i> Kuetzing.	1																	
<i>Pandorina morum</i> (Müll.) Bory.	1,2	1,2	1	3														
<i>Pediastrum araneosum</i> (Racib.) G.M. Smith											1	1,2,4	1,3,4	2,3		7,8		5,7,8

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Pediastrum araneosum</i> var. <i>rugulosum</i> (G.S. West) G.M. Smith	1,2																	
<i>Pediastrum boryanum</i> (Turp.) Meneghini.	1-3	3,4		3,4	2,4	1-3	1,3											
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> Meyen.	1,3	2,3	1		2,4	1-3	2					4	3,4	3,4	2	6	6,7	
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> var. <i>clathratum</i> (A. Braun) Lagerheim.												1,4	3,4	3,4	1,2,4	5,8	5,7,8	
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> var. <i>cohaerens</i> Bohlin.															2,3		7	
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> var. <i>gracillimum</i> West & West.	2	2					1					2	3				5	
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> var. <i>reticulatum</i> Lagerheim.	1											2	2	2			6	
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> var. <i>rotundatum</i> Lucks.												2						
<i>Pediastrum duplex</i> var. <i>rugulosum</i> Racibroski.												2						
<i>Pediastrum Kewalskyl</i> Schmidle.												2	4			5,7	6	
<i>Pediastrum sculptatum</i> G.M. Smith			3	3								2	3	2	4	6		
<i>Pediastrum simplex</i> var. <i>duodenarium</i> (Bailey) Rabenhorst.																7	6	
<i>Pediastrum tetras</i> (Ehren.) Ralfs.		1,2	2,3		2											6	6,8	
<i>Pediastrum tetras</i> var. <i>tetraodon</i> (Corda.) Rabenhorst.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,4	3	1					4	3	4	2,4	5-8	6,8	
<i>Pleodorina californica</i> Shaw.			3														5-8	
<i>Pleurotaenium ehrenbergii</i> (DeBrebisson) Debary.							2										5	
<i>Quadrigula chodatii</i> (Tanner-Pullman) G.M. Smith				4								2						
<i>Quadrigula closterioides</i> (Bohlin) Printz.	1-4	1-4	1,2	1-4	1,2,4	2,3	2					2,4	1,2,4	2,3	1,2,4	6,8	5,7,8	
<i>Quadrigula lacustris</i> (Chod.) G.M. Smith	3,4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	2	3			4	1,3,4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	
<i>Rhizoclonium</i> spp.					1												5	
<i>Scenedesmus acuminatus</i> (Lagerh.) Chodat.			3															
<i>Scenedesmus acutus</i> f. <i>costulatus</i> (Chod.) Uherkov.															2	3		
<i>Scenedesmus arcuatus</i> var. <i>platydisca</i> G.M. Smith	2,3	3	1,3	1-3			3						2		3	8	6	
<i>Scenedesmus bicaudatus</i> (Hansg.) Chodat.	2																6,7	
<i>Scenedesmus bijuga</i> (Turp.) Lagerheim.	1																6	
<i>Scenedesmus bijuga</i> var. <i>alternans</i> (Reinsch) Hansg.ing.		3															8	
<i>Scenedesmus brasiliensis</i> Bohlin.				3														
<i>Scenedesmus coallitus</i> Hortob.																		
<i>Scenedesmus ecarinis</i> (Ralfs.) Chodat.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,4	1,3	4	3	1				1	1,2,4	1-4	5-8	5,6,8	
<i>Scenedesmus denticulatus</i> Lagerheim.															2		6	
<i>Scenedesmus granulatus</i> W. & G.S. West.															2			

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Scenedesmus incrassatulus</i> Bohlin.	1,3	1,3,4	3	1,3														
<i>Scenedesmus incrassatulus</i> var. marginatus G.M. Smith	2-4	1-4	1-3	1,2	1,2,4							4	1,2	1,2,4	6-8	6	5,6,8	
<i>Scenedesmus longus</i> var. <i>naegeli</i> (DeBreb.) G.M. Smith													2	1,2			6	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turp.) DeBrebisson.													2	2				
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> var. <i>longispina</i> (Chod.) G.M. Smith	2												2	2	6	8	6	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> var. <i>maximus</i> West & West.													2	2			6	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> var. <i>parvus</i> G.M. Smith	3,4											2	2	2	6,7		6	
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> var. <i>quadrispina</i> (Chod.) G.M. Smith			2										2		6			
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> var. <i>westii</i> G.M. Smith														2				
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> var. <i>westii</i> f. <i>heterospinosus</i> (Hortob) Uherkov.														2				
<i>Shroederia ancora</i> G.M. Smith								3		3								
<i>Shroederia judayii</i> G.M. Smith	3,4	3,4		3,4	4	3	3,4		3		4	3,4	1,3,4	1,3,4	5,6,8	5-7	6-8	
<i>Shroederia setigera</i> (Schroed.) Lemmermann.				3		3	3	2	3		2			2,3	5,8	8	6,8	
<i>Sphaerocystis shroeteri</i> Chodat.	2-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1	2,3	1,3					2,3	1,3,4	2-4	8	7,8	5-8	
<i>Sphaerozozma excavata</i> Ralfs.										4								
<i>Sphaerozozma excavatum</i> var. <i>subquadratum</i> (Ralfs.) W. & G. S. West.												3						
<i>Sphaerozozma exiguum</i> Turner.								1					1	2,3	6	5,7	5,7,8	
<i>Sphaerozozma granulatum</i> Roy & Bissett.	1,2	1,2,4		1,3,4	1,4	1-3	2-4	2	3				1,4	2,3	8	7	5,6	
<i>Spirogyra</i> spp.																		
<i>Spondylosium moniliforme</i> Lundell.												4						
<i>Spondylosium planum</i> (Wille) W. & G.S. West.	2,3	3			2	2,3	1-3	1	3,4		1,2	1-4	1,3,4	2	5,8	7,8	7,8	
<i>Spondylosium secedens</i> (DeBary) Archer.		3		2,4	2								3			5		
<i>Staurastrum americanum</i> (W. & G.S. West) G.M. Smith	2	3																
<i>Staurastrum anatinum</i> var. <i>denticulatum</i> var. nov. G.M. Smith				4								3						
<i>Staurastrum anatinum</i> var. <i>longibrachiatum</i> W. & G.S. West.																		
<i>Staurastrum arctiscon</i> (Ehr.) Landell.		2										1			8	7		
<i>Staurastrum brachiatum</i> Ralfs.		1		1-3	4	1-3								2-4			7,8	
<i>Staurastrum brevispinum</i> DeBisson.			3			3						4	3				7	
<i>Staurastrum brevispinum</i> var. <i>retusum</i> Borge.																	5	
<i>Staurastrum cumbicum</i> West.											2							
<i>Staurastrum cuspidatum</i> DeBrebisson.	3,4	1-3	2	4	1,2,4	1,2							3	3		5-8	7,8	

Table II -continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<u>Staurastrum denticulatum</u> (Neg.) Arch.	3	3	1	1-3	2,4									1,2			5,8	5-8
<u>Staurastrum granulosum</u> (Ehrenb.) Ralfs.											1							
<u>Staurastrum johnsonii</u> W. & G.S. West	1-3	1,4		2,3	1,4	2,3	3					1-4	1,2	2-4			6-8	5-8
<u>Staurastrum johnsonii</u> var. <u>depauperatum</u> G.M. Smith		3	3		2	3					4		3	1	8			7,7
<u>Staurastrum leucistre</u> G.M. Smith				2												5		
<u>Staurastrum leptocladum</u> var. <u>denticulatum</u> G.M. Smith																		
<u>Staurastrum limneticum</u> Schädle.	1-3	1,3	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1,2	1	1,3				1,2,4	1,3			5,6	5-7
<u>Staurastrum limneticum</u> var. <u>cornutum</u> G.M. Smith	2	1-3	3	1	2	1,2								1-4				5-8
<u>Staurastrum longirostratum</u> W. & G.S. West.			2	1	1	1						3						6-8
<u>Staurastrum lunatum</u> Ralfs.													1					
<u>Staurastrum lunatum</u> var. <u>planctonicum</u> W.			3										3	2			7	
<u>Staurastrum manfeldtii</u> Delp.	1,2	1-3		1-3	4	2							1,2,4	1-3			5,6,8	5,7,8
<u>Staurastrum megacanthum</u> Lundell.	1-4	1-4	1,3	2-4	2,4	1-4	3		3		4	3,4	1-4	1-3			5-8	5-8
<u>Staurastrum megacanthum</u> var. <u>scoticum</u> W. & G.S. West.			1		2	1,2							2	3,4				5,7,8
<u>Staurastrum muticum</u> DeBrebisson.	1,2,4	1-3	3	3,4		2	2				1			3,4				5,7,8
<u>Staurastrum ophiura</u> Lundell.											1							
<u>Staurastrum orbiculare</u> Ralfs.														3				7
<u>Staurastrum pschyrhynchum</u> Nordst.													3					
<u>Staurastrum paradoxum</u> Meyen.	1-4	1-3	1-3	1,2,4	1,2,4	1-3	1		3		1,2,4	1,3,4	1,3,4	1-4	5,6,8	6-8		5-8
<u>Staurastrum paradoxum</u> var. <u>longipes</u> Nordst.	1	2			4		2					2	1,3,4	1-4				5,8
<u>Staurastrum paradoxum</u> var. <u>pervum</u> W. West			1									2						8
<u>Staurastrum pentacerum</u> (Wolle) G.M. Smith											1,4							5-8
<u>Staurastrum pentacerum</u> var. <u>tetracerum</u> (Wolle) G.M. Smith	1-4	1-4	1,3	1,3	1,2,4	2,3	1-4	1	3,4		4	4	1-4	1-4	5,8	5-8		5-8
<u>Staurastrum pingue</u> Telling	1-3	1	3											1-4	8			8
<u>Staurastrum punctulatum</u> var. <u>kjellmani</u> Wille.															8			
<u>Staurastrum setigerum</u> Cleve.				1,3		3							1,3,4	3	8		7,8	5,8
<u>Staurastrum spiculiferum</u> G.M. Smith					2								3					
<u>Staurastrum sublaevispinum</u> W. West.			4										2,4	1-3				
<u>Staurastrum subpygmaeum</u> var. <u>subangulatum</u> var. <u>nov.</u> West.											2							
<u>Staurastrum tetracerum</u> Ralfs.											2,4	1-3			6			
<u>Stichococcus bacillaris</u> Naegeli.			3															

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Stylosphaeridium stipitatum</i> (Bachw.) Geitler & Gimesi.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2	2,3	1,2	1,2	3,4									
<i>Tetraedron asymmetricum</i> Prescott.												3						
<i>Tetraedron caudatum</i> (Corda) Hansgirg.			2		1,4	3	1				1	2						7
<i>Tetraedron limneticum</i> Boeye.	2												4					7
<i>Tetraedron lobulatum</i> var. <i>polyfurcatum</i> G.M. Smith.																		
<i>Tetraedron minus</i> (A. Braun) Hansgirg.	3,4	1	1,3			3										6	6	8
<i>Tetraedron muticum</i> fa. <i>punctulatum</i> (Reinsch) DeToni														2				
<i>Tetraedron planctonicum</i> G.M. Smith			2											2		2		5
<i>Tetraedron regulare</i> Kuetzing.																		6,8
<i>Tetraspora lamellosa</i> Prescott.															1			8
<i>Tetraspora staurogeniaeformis</i> (Shroeder) Lemmermann.																		
<i>Treubaria setigerum</i> (Archer) G.M. Smith		2																
<i>Trochiscia obtusa</i> (Reinsch) Hansgirg.												4						7
<i>Ulothrix subconstricta</i> G.S. West.				3		1												7
<i>Ulothrix subtilissima</i> Rabenhorst.		3	1,3			2							2	1,2				5-7
<i>Ulothrix variabilis</i> Kuetzing.		1	1,3	2		2							1	1	3		5	7,8
<i>Volvox cartius</i> A. Meyer.												2						
<i>Westella botryoides</i> (W. West) de Wildemann.							3		1,3								3	
<i>Westella linearis</i> G.M. Smith		1															3	
<i>Xanthidium antilopaeum</i> var. <i>polymazum</i> Nordst.											4	2					3	6,7
<i>Xanthidium cristatum</i> DeBrebisson.													2					5-7
<i>Xanthidium subhastiferum</i> W. West.											4							6,7
<i>Zygnema</i> spp.																		8
BACILLARIOPHYCEAE																		
<i>Achnanthes inflata</i> (Kuetzing) Grunow.				1														
<i>Asphora ovalis</i> Kuetzing.	3		1			3		2	4									
<i>Asterionella bleakeleyi</i> W. Smith.											2							
<i>Asterionella formosa</i> Hass.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	3,4		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8
<i>Asterionella formosa</i> var. <i>gracillima</i> (Hantz.) Grunow.	1-4	2,3	1,2	2,3	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4		1,2,4	1,3,4	1-4	1	5-8	5-8	5-8	5-8
<i>Caloneis amphibaena</i> (Bory.) Cleve.						2												

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	P	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Cocconeis diminuta</i> Ehrenberg.	3	3		3	2,4	3	1,2,4	1	1,4			1,2	1,3	1,4	1,2,4	6-8	5,7	5,7,8
<i>Cyclotella bodanica</i> Eulenst.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-3	1,2	3,4			1,2,4	1-4	1,3	6-8	5-8	8	
<i>Cyclotella comta</i> (Ehrenberg) Kuetzing.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4			1,2,4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Cyclotella glomerata</i> Kuetzing.	1	1		2		2	2					2		2			5	
<i>Cyclotella kuetzingiana</i> W. Smith																		
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> Kuetzing	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1	4			1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	6,8	5-8	5,6,8
<i>Cyclotella stelligera</i> Cleve & Grunow.																0		
<i>Cyatopleura solea</i> (Breb.) W. Smith		4			2	2	2		4							7	7	6
<i>Ombella affinis</i> Kuetzing.	3	2,3			2	3	2		4			2,4	3,4		4	6		
<i>Ombella cistula</i> (Hemp.) Grunow	4		2		2	3												
<i>Ombella gracilis</i> (Rabh.) Cleve.																		
<i>Ombella mexicana</i> Ehrenberg.														3				
<i>Ombella naviculiformis</i> Auerwald.												1						
<i>Ombella obtusiuscula</i> (Kutz.) Grunow.					4								3					
<i>Ombella tumida</i> (Breb.) Van Heurck.		4																
<i>Ombella turgida</i> (Greg.) Cleve.																	5	
<i>Ombella turgidula</i> Grunow.																		
<i>Ombella ventricosa</i> Kuetzing.	1,4	4			4							1,2	2,4		8	5,8	5,8	
<i>Diatoma anceps</i> (Ehrenb.) Kirchn.													4		8			
<i>Diatoma hiemale</i> (Lyngb.) Hieb.												2	2		8			
<i>Diatoma vulgare</i> Bory												2						
<i>Diploneis smithi</i> Boyer.												2						
<i>Eunotia pectinalis</i> (Kuetz.) Rabenhorst.				1	2	1		1					1		1			
<i>Eunotia pectinalis</i> var. <i>minor</i> Rabenhorst.				3				1										
<i>Eunotia pectinalis</i> var. <i>minor</i> forma <i>impressa</i> Rabenhorst.								2										
<i>Eunotia pectinalis</i> var. <i>undulata</i> Ralfs.	1	4						1,2	4			2						
<i>Fragillaria brevistriata</i> Grunow.	4			3				2										
<i>Fragillaria brevistriata</i> var. <i>inflata</i> (Pant.) Hustedt.												2						
<i>Fragillaria brevistriata</i> var. <i>trigibbe</i> Grunow.																		
<i>Fragillaria construens</i> (Ehrenb.) Grunow.	3																	
<i>Fragillaria crotonensis</i> Kitton.	1-3	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	3,4			1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Stauroneis phoenicentron</i> Ehrenberg.	2			3			1				4				5		5	
<i>Surirella ovalis</i> DeBrebisson.	1	3	3	2	4	2,3			4		1	1,4	4	1,4	6			
<i>Surirella ovata</i> Kuetzing.							1,2									6		
<i>Surirella robusta</i> Ehrenberg.				3								3						
<i>Synedra actinastroides</i> Lemmermann.	1,2,4	1,2,4	1,2	1,2,4	1,2	1,2	1,2,4	1,2	1,3,4		1,2	1-4				5-7	6	
<i>Synedra acus</i> Kuetzing.	1																	
<i>Synedra acus</i> var. <i>angustissima</i> Grunow.	2-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Synedra fasciculata</i> (Aj.) Kuetzing.	1																	
<i>Synedra parasitica</i> A. Boyer.				2														
<i>Synedra rumpens</i> Kuetzing.		1	1															
<i>Synedra ulna</i> (Nitzsch) Ehrenberg.	1-4	1-4	3	2-4	2,4	2	1,2,4	1	4		1,2,4	1,4	1-3	1,2,4	8	6-8	6,8	
<i>Synedra vaucheriae</i> Kuetzing.															8			
<i>Tabellaria fenestrata</i> (Lyngbye) Kuetzing.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Tabellaria flocculosa</i> (Roth) Kuetzing.	1-4	1-4	2,3	1-3	1,4	1,3	1-3		1		1,2	2-4	1,2	1	5,6,8	6,8	6,8	
CYANOPHYCEAE																		
<i>Anabaena circinalis</i> Rabenhorst.	1-3	1-4	1-3	1,3	1,4	1-3		1			1	4	2,3	1-3			5,7,8	
<i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i> (Lyngb.) DeBrebisson.	1-4	2,3	1,3	3,4	2	2	1-4	1	3			1,2,4	1-3	1-4		5-8	5-8	
<i>Anabaena levanderi</i> Lemmermann.	3	3	3	3										3			7,8	
<i>Anabaena scheremetievi</i> Elenkin.		3	1-3	1,3	1,2	3								3			7,8	
<i>Anacystis rupestris</i> (Lyngb.) Drouet & Dally.	1-3	1-4		1,2,4	1,2,4	1	1,2					4	1-3	1-4		5-8	5-8	
<i>Aphanizomenon flos-aquae</i> (L.) Rølfes.	1-3	1,3,4	3	3	2	3	3		1,3			3	2,3	3,4	8		7,8	
<i>Aphanocapsa delicatissima</i> West & West.	1-3	1-3	2,3	3		2	3		1		1,4					6	5-7	
<i>Aphanocapsa elachista</i> West & West.	1-4	1-4	2,3	1-4	2,4	2,3	2-4	1	1		4	2,4	1-4	1-4	5,8	5-7	5-8	
<i>Aphanocapsa elachista</i> var. <i>conferta</i> West & West.	4	1-3	1	2-4	2,4	2								4	1,3	7	5-7	
<i>Aphanocapsa elachista</i> var. <i>planctonica</i> G.M. Smith.	1-4	1-4	1,2	1-4	1,2,4	1	1,4				4	1,2	3	2,3	5	5-8	6,7	
<i>Aphanocapsa grevillei</i> (Hass.) Rabenhorst.	2	2-4	2,3	4	1,2,4	1,2	1-4	1	1		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5	
<i>Aphanocapsa rivularis</i> (Carm.) Rabenhorst.	1	1	1,3	1	1	1								1			5	
<i>Aphanothece clathrata</i> G.S. West.	1	3			4	2	1,4				4	2,3			5		7,8	
<i>Aphanothece gelatinosa</i> (Henn.) Lemmermann.	1-3	2,3	3	3,4		1,2	1				2,4	3			6			
<i>Aphanothece microscopica</i> Naegele.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,4	1-3	2-4		3,4				1-4	1,3,4	5,8	5-8	5-7	

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Aphanothece microspora</i> (Menegh.) Rabenhorst.							3	3	3			3,4	4	1-4			5,6,8	5-8
<i>Aphanothece nidulans</i> P. Richter.	3	3	3	3		2	3		3				4	1-4			8	6
<i>Aphanothece pulverulenta</i> Bachmann.	3	3	3	3									2	1				
<i>Chroococcus dispersus</i> (Keissl.) Lemmermann.	2	1	1	3	4	3	4		4					2				5
<i>Chroococcus dispersus</i> var. <i>minor</i> G.M. Smith	1	2-4	2,3	3								4						
<i>Chroococcus giganteus</i> W. West.					2,4	1-3	2,4		3		1		1-4	1-4	6,8		5,6,8	5-8
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i> Lemmermann.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4									1-4	1-4			5,6,8	5-8
<i>Chroococcus limneticus</i> var. <i>elegans</i> G.M. Smith	1													1-4			5-7	5-7
<i>Chroococcus minimus</i> (Keissl.) Lemmermann.	1	2																7
<i>Chroococcus minor</i> (Kuetz.) Naegeli.	1	1					1							1	6			5
<i>Chroococcus minutus</i> (Kuetz.) Naegeli.		1				2						4		2,3				6
<i>Chroococcus prescottii</i> Drouet & Dailly.		2		1														7
<i>Chroococcus turgidus</i> (Kuetz.) Naegeli.					1,2,4	3	1,4	2	1		1,4	1	3,4	1,2,4	7,8		5,7	5,6,8
<i>Chroococcus varius</i> A. Braun.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1,2,4				1	4						6			
<i>Coelosphaerium kuetzingianum</i> Naegeli.	1				1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	3,4		2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8		5-8	5-8
<i>Coelosphaerium naegelianum</i> Unger.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4		2,4	1-4	1,3	1-4	8		5-8	5-8
<i>Coelosphaerium pallidum</i> Lemmermann.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4														
<i>Dactylococcopsis acicularis</i> Lemmermann.			2				1						1,2					
<i>Dactylococcopsis fascicularis</i> Lemmermann.	2,3	2,3	1				2						1				8	5
<i>Dactylococcopsis raphidioides</i> Hansgirg.	2		22	2			2											
<i>Dactylococcopsis sadhilli</i> Chodat & Chodat.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	2	2	1		2	2,3	1-4	1-4	5-8		5-8	5-8
<i>Glaucocystis nostochinearum</i> (Itz.) Rabenhorst.			3															
<i>Gloeocapsa punctata</i> Naegeli.		1		1														
<i>Gloeocapsa rupestris</i> Kuetzing.	1-4	2-4	1,2	1	1,2,4	2	1,2,4	1,2	1		1	1,2	2,3					6
<i>Gloeotheca linearis</i> Naegeli.														2			5,6	
<i>Gloeotrichia echinulata</i> (J.E. Smith) P. Richter.	2	1,4												2,4			5,8	
<i>Gloeotrichia pisum</i> (C.A. Ag.) Thuret.	1	2																
<i>Gomphosphaeria aponima</i> Kuetzing.	2	2	2										4					
<i>Gomphosphaeria aponima</i> var. <i>cordiformis</i> Wolle.													4		3			
<i>Gomphosphaeria aponima</i> var. <i>delicatula</i> Virieux.	1,3	1						3					1	3,4				8
<i>Gomphosphaeria aponima</i> var. <i>gelatinosa</i> Prescott.				4														

Table II - continued

	1969				1970													
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Gomphosphaeria lacustris</i> Chodat.	2-4	2-4	1-3	1-4	1,2	2,3	1,2,4	1	1,3,4		1,2	1-4	1-3	1-3	7,8	5-8	5,6,8	
<i>Gomphosphaeria lacustris</i> var. <i>compacta</i> Lesmermann.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1,2,4		3,4		2,4	2-4	1-4	1-4	5,8	5,7,8	5-8	
<i>Molopedium irregulare</i> Lagerheim.				3	1				3			3					7	
<i>Lynbyya putealis</i> Montagne.																		
<i>Merisporiella elegans</i> Lesmermann.	2				1									1				
<i>Merisporiella elegans</i> A. Braun.		2	2			3												
<i>Merisporiella elegans</i> var. <i>major</i> G.M. Smith																		
<i>Merisporiella glauca</i> (Ehrenb.) Waegell.	3	2-4	2,3	2-4	2,4	2,3	1,2							3,4				
<i>Merisporiella punctata</i> Meyen.	1	3			1						4		1,2,4	1-4	6	5-8	5-8	
<i>Merisporiella tenuissima</i> Lesmermann.	2-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1,3					3		3,4		6		
<i>Oscillatoria angustissima</i> W. & G.S. Smith	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1	1,3,4		1,4	1-4	1,4	1-4	5,6,8	5-7	5-8	
<i>Oscillatoria rubescens</i> De Candolle.				3			2				2	2			5			
<i>Oscillatoria splendida</i> Greville.					4		2							3			8	
<i>Oscillatoria teres</i> C.A. Agardh.	1				1,2,4	1-3	2-4	1,2	3,4			2-4	1,3	1-4	5,8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Polycystis seruginosa</i> Kuetzing.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1-3	1-4		3,4		1,2		1,2,4	2-4		1	6,8	
<i>Polycystis incerta</i> Lesmermann.	1-3	1-4	1-3	1-4					3,4								8	
<i>Rhabdoderma gorskii</i> Woloszynska.					1				1									
<i>Rhabdoderma irregulare</i> (Moussier) Gellner.		1,2,4	1	1								1					5,7	
<i>Rhabdoderma lineare</i> Schmidle & Lauterborn.	3	1-3	1-3	3					1				1,4	1-4	6,8	5,8	5-8	
<i>Rhabdoderma sigmoidea</i> fa. <i>minor</i> Moore & Carter.	2	2	2,3												8			
<i>Spirulina major</i> Kuetzing.														4				
<i>Spirulina princeps</i> (West & West) G.S. West.											4							
CHRYSOPHYCEAE																		
<i>Chrysocapsa planctonica</i> (West & West) Pascher.			1		1,2	1-3	3				1	1,2,4	1-4	1-4				7
<i>Chrysosphaerella longispina</i> Lauterborn.	1-4	1-4	1-8	1-4	1,2,4	2,3	1,2,4	1,2	1,3,4		1,4	1,4	2,3	1-3	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Diceras phaseolus</i> Pott.	2,3	2,4	1-3	1-4													7,8	6,8
<i>Dinobryon</i> spp.		2											2-4				5,6,8	5
<i>Dinobryon</i> spp.	2	4	2,3								2	3	1,2				8	5,6
<i>Dinobryon acuminatum</i> Ruttner.	3,4	2,4		3,4							1,2,4	1					8	7
<i>Dinobryon bavaricum</i> Imhof.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	3,4		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	

Table II - continued

	1969					1970												
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A	
<i>Dinobryon californis</i> Bachmann.																		
<i>Dinobryon crenulatum</i> W. & G. West.	3	3	2,3	3,4	4	3	3,4		4			2-4	1,3,4	4	6-8	5-7	6	
<i>Dinobryon cylindricum</i> Imhof.	1-4	1-4	1,3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	3,4		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-3	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Dinobryon divergens</i> Imhof.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4		1,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Dinobryon sertularia</i> var. <i>protuberans</i> Krieger (Lemmermann.)	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4		3		1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Dinobryon vanhoeffenii</i> (Krieg.) Bachmann.	1-4	1-4	1,3	1-4	1,2,4	1,2	1-4	1,2	3,4		2,4	1-4	1-4	1,2,4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Epipyxis tabellariae</i> (Lemm.) Pascher.	3	2,4	2,3	2,3		3	1		4		2,4	1-4	1-3	1,2,4	5-8	5-8	6,8	
<i>Epipyxis urticulus</i> Ehrenberg.	1		3				1						3,4				6,7	
<i>Hyalobryon mucicola</i> (Lemm.) Pascher.	3						1		1									
<i>Mallomonas acaroides</i> Perty.	1,3	1	1	3	2	2	1				1,2,4	1,2	1-3	1	6,8	6-8	5-7	
<i>Mallomonas alpina</i> Paschner & Ruttner.	1,2,4	1,2,4	3	2-4	2	2,3	2	2	3		2,4	1,2,4		2	5	7		
<i>Mallomonas apochromatica</i> Conrad.			3				3	2	3		1			2	8	6-8		
<i>Mallomonas caudata</i> Conrad.	1,2	1,2	1,2	2,4	2	2	3				1,2	1	2	2	8	6-8		
<i>Mallomonas fastigata</i> Zacharias.	1,4	1,3,4	1,3	1,3,4	1	3	3				1,2,4	1-4	3	1,2,4	6-8	5,6	6-8	
<i>Mallomonas producta</i> Iwanoff.	1,3	3	1	3	4	1,3	3,4	2	3		4	3	1,4	2	5,8	8	5-7	
<i>Mallomonas pseudocoronata</i> Prescott.	1-4	1-4	1,3	2			1				2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5,6	
<i>Mallomonas tonsurata</i> Teiling.	1-4	3					1				1	1	1		6	6		
<i>Ophiocytium elongatum</i> West & West.																		
<i>Ophiocytium mucronatum</i> (A. Braun) Rabenhorst.							3				1,2	1-4	2,4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Rhizochrysis lisnetica</i> G.M. Smith	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-4	1,4		3				4	1-4	2,4	1-4	7	6-8	6,7	
<i>Synura adamsii</i> G.M. Smith	3,4	1,3	1,3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	2,3	2	3		4	2,4	2,4	2,4	7	6-8	6,7	
<i>Synura petersenii</i> Korshikov.	2,3				2	2,3	2,3	2			1,2	1,2,4	3	3	8	7	7	
<i>Synura uvella</i> Ehrenberg.	1-4	1-3	1-3	1-4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	3,4		1,2,4	1,3,4	1,4	1,2,4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Synsphyta janeli</i> nov. sp.			3				4				4						7	
<i>Uroclena volvox</i> Ehrenberg.	3						4						1,2	2			7	
<i>Uroclenopsis americana</i> (Calkins) Lemmermann.	1-4	1-4	1-3	2-4	2,4	2,3	2-4	2	3		1,2,4	1-4	1,2,4	2	5-8	6,8	5-8	
<i>Vaucheria</i> spp.																		
DINOPHYCEAE																		
<i>Ceratium hirundinella</i> (O.F. Muell.) Dujardin.			3									1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<i>Glenodinium armatum</i> Levander.													1	1				

Table II - continued

	1969				1970														
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	J	J	A		
<u>Glenodinium gymnodinium</u> Penard.	1,2,4	1-3											2	1				6	
<u>Glenodinium palustre</u> (Lemm.) Schiller.	1,3		3			3						1	1	1	2,3	6,7		6,8	
<u>Glenodinium pulvisculum</u> (Ehrenb.) Stein.																8			
<u>Gonyaulax polyedra</u> Stein.																	2	8	
<u>Gymnodinium fuscum</u> (Ehr.) Stein.		2																5,6,8	
<u>Gymnodinium palustre</u> Schilling.			3			3							1					8	
<u>Hemidinium nasutum</u> Stein.	1																2	8	
<u>Peridinium cinctum</u> (Muell.) Ehrenberg.	1,4	2,3	2,3	1,3	2,4	2,3	2,3	1,2	3,4			1,4	1-3	1-4	1-3	5,6	7,8	5,6,8	
<u>Peridinium cinctum</u> var. <u>tuberosum</u> (Meunier) Lindemann.								1,3,4	1			4				5			
<u>Peridinium gaslaviense</u> Wlozowska.																		5	
<u>Peridinium inconspicuum</u> Lemmermann.	1,3	3	3		1,2,4	2,3	2,3	1	3			2,4	1-4	1,2,4	1,3,4	5,6	6,8	6	
<u>Peridinium limbatum</u> (Stokes) Lemmermann.	1,2		1	3									1-4	1-4	2-4	5,7,8	5,7,8	5-8	
<u>Peridinium pusillum</u> (Penard) Lemmermann.	1-4	1-4	1-3	2,4	2							2,4	1,2,4	1-4	1,3,4	5,6,8	5-8	5-8	
<u>Peridinium willei</u> Huitfeld-Kass.												2	4	3		5,7	5,8	8	
<u>Peridinium wisconsinense</u> Eddy.		1,3	1											1	2			5,7,8	
CRYPTOPHYCEAE																			
<u>Cryptomonas erosa</u> Ehrenberg.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1,3,4	1,2,4	1-3	1-4	1,2	1,3,4			1,2,4	1-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-8	
<u>Cryptomonas marssonii</u> Skuja.	3,4	3,4	3	3,4	4	3	4					4	2-4	1-4	1-4	5-8	5-8	5-7	
<u>Cryptomonas ovata</u> Ehrenberg.	1-4	1-4	1-3	1-3	1,2,4	1-3	1,3	1	1,3			1,2,4	1,3,4	4	2,3	5-7	6,7	6,8	
<u>Rhodomonas lacustris</u> Pascher & Ruttner.		2-4	3	4	4	2	3					2		2-4	1-4	6		6-8	
EUGLENOPHYCEAE																			
<u>Euglena gracilis</u> Klebs.	1,4												2		2			5,7	5
<u>Colacium arbuscula</u> Stein.	4	1-3	1-2	2	4							4	4	1,2,4				5-8	
<u>Colacium vesiculosum</u> Ehrenberg.	1,2	3			2,4								4		3			7,8	5,7,8
<u>Trachelomonas volvocina</u> Ehrenberg.	2					2						2							
XANTHOPHYCEAE																			
<u>Gloeobotrys linneticus</u> (G.M. Smith) Pascher.																		8	8
<u>Ophiocytium elongatum</u> West & West.									2	4									
<u>Ophiocytium mucronatum</u> (A. Braun) Rabenhorst.																			
<u>Feroniella planctonica</u> G.M. Smith															1				
<u>Stipitococcus capense</u> Prescott.	3,4	3	1	2,4	1				2			2		2-4	1			5,6,8	5-7
<u>Vaucheria</u> spp.																			

Table III

Combined Monthly Totals in Numbers of Species Per Class at Stations 1-4

	1969						1970							
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A
Chlorophyceae	81	82	82	82	63	69	51	20	28		61	86	92	100
Cyanophyceae	48	48	39	39	32	34	32	14	23		20	29	30	40
Bacillariophyceae	37	31	23	37	31	32	35	18	24		35	33	19	20
Chrysophyceae	26	23	24	20	18	18	24	12	16		24	22	24	21
Dinophyceae	8	7	7	4	4	3	3	3	3		6	7	10	11
Cryptophyceae	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2		4	3	4	4
Euglenophyceae	4	2	1	1	2	1					2	3	0	3
Xanthophyceae	1	1	1	1	1			2	1		1	0	2	1
Total	208	198	181	188	155	161	149	71	97		153	183	181	200

Table IV
 Combined Monthly Totals in Numbers of Species Per Class
 at Stations 5-8

	1970		
	June	July	August
Chlorophyceae	65	97	111
Cyanophyceae	24	28	38
Bacillariophyceae	33	24	27
Chrysophyceae	21	25	24
Dinophyceae	11	9	13
Cryptophyceae	4	3	4
Euglenophyceae	0	1	3
Xanthophyceae	1	2	2
Total	159	189	222

Table V

Total Number of Species per Class at Each Station
Lake Winnepesaukee

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Chlorophyceae	118	138	121	103	86	81	87	92
Bacillariophyceae	44	55	36	40	22	28	19	21
Cyanophyceae	49	46	43	44	33	30	31	30
Chrysophyceae	28	27	28	27	20	21	23	22
Dinophyceae	10	7	11	10	11	8	8	13
Cryptophyceae	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
Euglenophyceae	3	4	2	3	3	1	2	2
Xanthophyceae	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	2
Total	259	283	246	233	180	174	175	186

Table VI

Monthly Totals of Species Found at Each Station

	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A
Station No. 1	116	108	113	92	84	70	83	52	35	-	74	83	108	107
2	126	127	104	113	107	108	93	46	-	-	97	101	102	126
3	108	131	126	129	118	-	77	-	67	-	-	89	102	118
4	102	102	103	-	104	-	63	-	59	-	98	102	92	104
5												78	83	109
6												95	89	131
7												69	112	112
8												107	110	113

Table VII
Percent Composition of Total Cell Numbers per Classes at Stations 1-8

	1969					1970					Yearly Av.					
	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A			M	J	J	A
Chlorophyceae	12.	08.	09.	05.	04.	02.	03.	07.	04.		05.	07.	04.	12.	6.3	Sta. 1
Cyanophyceae	76.	77.	77.	90.6	90.	86.	76.	51.	84.		41.	47.	68.	85.	73.	
Bacillariophyceae	10.	03.1	03.	02.	03.	09.	18.1	40.	10.6		33.	23.	12.	02.	13.	
Chrysophyceae	1.	11.	10.1	02.	02.7	02.6	02.7	01.6	00.8		20.	22.2	15.2	00.7	7.2	
Dinophyceae	.1	00.2	00.2	-	-	-	-	-	-		00.1	00.2	00.5	00.1	.1	
Cryptophyceae	.3	00.6	00.6	00.3	00.2	00.3	00.1	00.3	00.5		00.8	00.5	00.2	00.1	.3	
Euglenophyceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Xanthophyceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Chlorophyceae	20.	08.	05.	03.	06.	04.	03.	11.2			03.	07.	16.	06.	7.7	Sta. 2
Cyanophyceae	62.	81.4	89.4	90.8	84.7	84.6	77.4	10.			23.6	43.	46.	76.6	65.9	
Bacillariophyceae	09.5	03.	02.3	05.	06.	07.2	18.4	45.			44.	20.6	09.	02.	14.	
Chrysophyceae	08.	07.	03.	01.	03.	04.	01.	33.			29.	29.	27.4	15.	12.	
Dinophyceae	00.2	00.1	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	00.1	01.	00.1	.1	
Cryptophyceae	00.2	00.4	00.2	00.1	00.2	00.1	-	00.6			00.2	00.2	00.4	00.1	.2	
Euglenophyceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	
Xanthophyceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	
Chlorophyceae	06.	06.5	06.4	05.		04.	02.		03.2			10.	07.1	10.	6.	Sta. 3
Cyanophyceae	79.7	90.	81.	89.4		75.3	78.		73.			21.	69.1	88.2	74.	
Bacillariophyceae	06.	02.	05.	02.3		10.2	17.2		17.			44.6	14.	01.2	12.	
Chrysophyceae	08.	01.	07.	03.		10.	02.6		06.5			24.	09.	00.3	7.5	
Dinophyceae	-	-	00.1	-		-	-		-			-	00.1	-	-	
Cryptophyceae	00.2	00.3	00.4	00.2		00.3	-		00.1			00.2	00.5	00.1	.2	
Euglenophyceae	-	-	-	-		-	-		-			-	-	-	-	
Xanthophyceae	-	-	-	-		-	-		-			-	-	-	-	

Table VII - continued

	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	P	M	A	M	J	J	A	Yearly Av.	St. 4
Chlorophyceae	07.	06.		07.3	05.		09.		06.3		15.5	07.1	08.	06.	7.7	
Cyanophyceae	78.2	77.3		77.2	79.1		66.4		66.4		22.1	59.2	67.	78.	66.9	
Bacillariophyceae	03.2	03.1		03.2	02.1		13.2		21.		30.	21.	09.	03.	11.	
Chrysophyceae	11.	13.		12.	13.4		11.1		06.		32.	12.1	15.	12.7	14.	
Dinophyceae	00.1	00.1		-	-		-		-		00.1	00.1	00.3	-	-	
Cryptophyceae	00.3	00.4		00.1	00.2		00.1		-		00.1	00.3	00.6	00.2	.2	
Euglenophyceae																
Xanthophyceae																
		St.5		Y.A.		St.6		Y.A.		St.7		Y.A.		St.8		
	J	J	A	J	J	A	J	J	A	J	J	A	J	J	A	Av.
Chlorophyceae	09.	02.4	08.	6.4	23.	14.2	09.	15.3	09.3	08.1	05.1	7.	18.	15.	67.2	13.3
Cyanophyceae	42.	82.1	70.3	64.6	12.1	74.1	78.1	54.6	14.2	68.2	82.5	55.5	48.2	64.	62	58.7
Bacillariophyceae	35.	10.2	05.4	16.6	27.2	05.2	03.2	11.6	47.	14.1	02.	21.3	22.4	06.	00.4	9.7
Chrysophyceae	13.2	05.	16.	12.	36.	06.	09.3	17.7	29.	08.2	10.	15.6	11.	14.2	30.1	18.
Dinophyceae	00.2	00.1	00.1	.1	00.2	00.1	-	.1	00.1	-	-	-	00.1	00.1	-	-
Cryptophyceae	00.4	00.1	00.1	.2	01.4	00.3	00.3	.6	00.2	01.2	00.2	.5	00.2	00.4	-	.2
Euglenophyceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xanthophyceae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	00.1	-	-

- denotes less than .1%

Table VIII

Summary of Phytoplankton Indices and Proposed Trophic Status of
Lake Winnepesaukee

	Composite	Summer	Winter	Trophic Status
Chlorophycean Index	1.34	1.37	-	eutrophic
Myxophycean Index	.79	.83	-	eutrophic
Diatom Index	.14	.14	.17	oligotrophic
Euglenophyte Index	.01	.02	-	oligotrophic
Compound Index	2.40	2.37	-	mesotrophic

Table IX

Summary of Phytoplankton Indices and Proposed Trophic Status for Stations 1-8

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Chlorophycean Index	1.11	1.63	1.02	1.29	.89	1.96	1.03	1.20
	E	E	E	E	O	E	E	E
Myxophycean Index	1.28	1.07	.85	1.19	.94	1.30	.86	.86
	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E
Diatom Index	.33	.30	.22	.21	.38	.42	.27	.24
	E	O	O	O	E	E	O	O
Euglenophyte Index	.022	.024	.013	.024	.025	.009	.025	.016
	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Compound Index	2.67	2.95	2.04	2.74	2.09	3.65	2.08	2.23
	E	E	M	E	M	E	M	M

E denotes eutrophy

O denotes oligotrophy

M denotes mesotrophy

LIST OF FIGURES

1. Map of Lake Winnepesaukee, New Hampshire
2. Seasonal variation of methyl orange alkalinity (HCO_3) at stations 1-4
3. Free CO_2 and methyl orange alkalinity (HCO_3) at stations 5-8
4. Seasonal variation of free CO_2 at stations 1-4
5. Seasonal variation of pH at station 1
6. Seasonal variation of pH at station 2
7. Seasonal variation of pH at station 3
8. Seasonal variation of pH at station 4
9. Hydrogen ion (pH) values at stations 5-8
10. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 1
11. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 2
12. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 3
13. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 4
14. Dissolved oxygen values at stations 5-8
15. Seasonal temperature variations at station 1
16. Seasonal temperature variations at station 2
17. Seasonal temperature variations at station 3
18. Seasonal temperature variations at station 4
19. Water temperatures at stations 5-8
20. Seasonal variation of light penetration - 1% level
21. Light penetration (1% level) at stations 5-8
22. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 1

23. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 2
24. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 3
25. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 4
26. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at stations 5-8
27. Seasonal variation of orthophosphate values at stations 1-4
28. Seasonal variation of total phosphorous at station 1-4
29. Total phosphorous values at stations 5-8
30. Seasonal variation of nitrite- nitrogen at stations 1-4
31. Nitrite- Nitrogen values at stations 5-8
32. Seasonal variation of nitrate- nitrogen at stations 1-4
33. Seasonal variation of silicon dioxide at stations 1-4
34. Mean values of nutrients at stations 1-8
35. In situ primary productivity at station 1
36. In situ primary productivity at station 2 - 1969
37. In situ primary productivity at station 2 - 1970
38. In situ primary productivity at station 3
39. In situ primary productivity at station 4
40. In situ primary productivity at station 5
41. In situ primary productivity at station 6
42. In situ primary productivity at station 7

43. In situ primary productivity at station 8
44. Primary productivity of unenriched laboratory cultures from station 1 and 2
45. Primary productivity of unenriched laboratory cultures from station 3 and 4
46. Typical carbon-14 nutrient enrichment experiments - ortho-phosphates
47. Typical carbon-14 nutrient enrichment experiments - nitrates
48. Typical carbon-14 nutrient enrichment experiments - silicates
49. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 1
50. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 2
51. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 3
52. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 4
53. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 5
54. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 6
55. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 7
56. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 8
57. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 1
58. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 2
59. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 3

60. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 4
61. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 1
62. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 2
63. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 3
64. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 4
65. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 3
66. Monthly variation in numbers of species at all stations
67. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 1
68. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 1
69. Seasonal variation in numbers of cells at stations 1-4
70. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 2
71. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 2
72. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 3
73. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 4
74. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 4
75. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 5-8
76. Seasonal variation in number of cells at station 5-8
77. Seasonal variation in number of species per class at station 5-8


FIGURE I

MAP OF LAKE WINNIPESAUKEE, NEW HAMPSHIRE

LEGEND FOR FIGURE I

Stations:

1. Alton Bay
2. Wolfeboro Bay
3. Weirs
4. Center Harbor
5. Winter Harbor
6. Melvin Bay
7. Meredith Bay
8. Paugus Bay






Figure 2. Seasonal variation of methyl orange alkalinity (HCO₃) at stations 1-4.




Figure 3. Free CO_2 and methyl orange alkalinity (HCO_3) at stations 5-8.




Figure 4. Seasonal variation of free CO₂ at stations 1-4.




Figure 5. Seasonal variation of pH at station 1.




Figure 6. Seasonal variation of pH at station 2.




Figure 7. Seasonal variation of pH at station 3.




Figure 8. Seasonal variation of pH at station 4.




Figure 9. Hydrogen ion (pH) values at stations 5-8.




Figure 10. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 1.




Figure 11. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 2.




Figure 12. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 3.




Figure 13. Seasonal variation of dissolved oxygen at station 4.




Figure 14. Dissolved oxygen values at stations 5-8.




Figure 15. Seasonal temperature variations at station 1.




Figure 16. Seasonal temperature variations at station 2.




Figure 17. Seasonal temperature variations at station 3.




Figure 18. Seasonal temperature variations at station 4.





Figure 19. Water temperatures at stations 5-8.




Figure 20. Seasonal variation of light penetration - 1% level.




Figure 21. Light penetration (1% level) at stations 5-8.




Figure 22. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 1.




Figure 23. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 2.




Figure 24. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 3.




Figure 25. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at station 4.




Figure 26. Percentage of surface light throughout the water column at stations 5-8.




Figure 27. Seasonal variation of orthophosphate values at stations 1-4.




Figure 28. Seasonal variation of total phosphorous at stations 1-4.




Figure 29. Total phosphorous values at stations 5-8.




Figure 30. Seasonal variation of nitrite-nitrogen at stations 1-4.




Figure 31. Nitrite-Nitrogen values at stations 5-8.





Figure 32. Seasonal variation of nitrite-nitrogen values at stations 1-4.

STATION 1 ———
 STATION 2 - - -
 STATION 3 - · - ·
 STATION 4 - / - /






Figure 34. Mean values of nutrients at stations 1-8.





Figure 35. In situ primary productivity at station 1.

Station 2

Mg Carbon / m³






Figure 37. In situ primary productivity at station 2 - 1970




Figure 38. In situ primary productivity at station 3.





Figure 39. In situ primary productivity at station 4.



Figures 40-42. In situ primary productivity at stations 5, 6 and 7.




Figure 43. In situ primary productivity at station 8.




Figure 44. Primary productivity of unenriched laboratory cultures from stations 1 and 2.




Figure 45. Primary productivity of unenriched laboratory cultures from stations 3 and 4.




Figure 46. Typical carbon-14 nutrient enrichment experiments - orthophosphates.




Figure 47. Typical carbon-1⁴ nutrient enrichment experiments - nitrates.




Figure 4E. Typical carbon-14 nutrient enrichment experiments - silicates.




Figure 49. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 1.




Figure 50. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 2.




Figure 51. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 3.




Figure 52. Summary of nitrate enrichment experiments for station 4.




Figure 53. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 5.




Figure 55. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 7.




Figure 54. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 6.




Figure 57. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 1.






Figure 56. Summary of nitrate, phosphate and silicate enrichment experiments for station 8.




Figure 58. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 2.




Figure 59. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 3.




Figure 60. Summary of phosphate enrichment experiments for station 4.




Figure 61. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 1.




Figure 62. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 2.




Figure 63. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 3.




Figure 64. Summary of silicate enrichment experiments for station 4.




Figure 65. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 3.




Figure 66. Monthly variation in numbers of species at all stations.




Figure 67. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 1.




Figure 68. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 1.




Figure 69. Seasonal variation in numbers of cells at stations 1-4.




Figure 70. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 2.




Figure 71. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 2.




Figure 72. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 3.




Figure 73. Seasonal variation in numbers of species per class at station 4.




Figure 74. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at station 4.




Figure 75. Monthly variation in numbers of cells per class at stations 5-8.




Figure 76. Seasonal variation in number of cells at stations 5-8.




Figure 77. Seasonal variation in number of species per class at stations 5-8.