#### **Original Research Paper** 1

#### 2 Title: The Relationship between Malnutrition Risk and Clinical Outcomes in a

#### **Cohort of Frail Older Hospital Patients** 3

- Dr Adrian Slee <sup>a\*</sup>, Deborah Birch <sup>b</sup>, Dr David Stokoe <sup>c</sup> 4
- <sup>a</sup>Research and Development Department, Lincoln County Hospital, ULHT, Lincoln, 5
- Lincolnshire, LN2 5QY, United Kingdom. 6
- <sup>b</sup>Clinical Nurse Specialist for Older People, Lincoln Hospital, ULHT, Lincoln, 7
- 8 Lincolnshire, LN2 5QY, United Kingdom.
- 9 <sup>c</sup>Consultant Geriatrician, St Barnabas Hospice, ULHT, Lincoln, Lincolnshire, LN2
- 1RE, United Kingdom. 10
- 11
- \*Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: adrianslee@hotmail.co.uk (A. Slee), 12
- 13 deborah.birch@ulh.nhs.uk (D. Birch), david.stokoe@stbarnabashospice.co.uk (D. Stokoe). 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21

#### 22 Abstract

Background & Aims: Malnutrition has an adverse effect on clinical outcomes and
frail older people may be at greater risk of malnutrition. The purpose and aims of this
study was to investigate the relationship between markers of malnutrition risk and
clinical outcomes in a cohort of frail older hospital patients.

Methods: 78 frail older hospital patients had the following measurements recorded; 27 length of stay (LOS), time to medical fitness for discharge (TMFFD), body mass 28 index (BMI), malnutrition universal screening tool (MUST) and mini-nutritional 29 assessment short-form (MNA-SF) scores, blood urea, C-reactive protein (CRP), 30 albumin, CRP-albumin ratio; and bioelectrical impedance assessment (BIA) 31 32 measurements (n=66). Patients were grouped by mortality status 12 months post hospital admission. Grouping by albumin classification was performed (n=66) 33 whereby, <30 g/l indicated severe malnutrition, 30-34.9, moderate and >35, low. 34 35 Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed on variables 36 as potential predictors of mortality.

**Results:** After 12 months, 31% (n=24) of patients died. LOS was significantly greater 37 in this group (25.0±22.9 vs 15.4±12.7d, P<0.05). BMI (23.8±4.9 vs 26.4±5.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>); 38 fat mass (FM) (17.2±9.9 vs 25.5±10.5kg), fat mass index (FMI) (9.3±4.1 vs 39 17.9±2.4kg/m<sup>2</sup>); and MNA-SF score (6.6±2.4 vs 8.6±2.7) were significantly lower 40 (P<0.05), and urea significantly higher (11.4±8.7 vs 8.8±4.4mmols/l, P=0.05). 41 42 Albumin was typically low across the entire group (30.5±5.9 g/l) and a potential relationship was identified between albumin and MNA-SF score. MNA-SF, FM, and 43 44 FMI were significant predictors of mortality outcome by ROC curve analysis, whereas MUST was a poor predictor. 45

Conclusion: This study highlights a potential relationship between indicators of
 malnutrition risk and clinical outcomes in frail older hospital patients which should be
 studied in larger cohorts with an aim to improve patient care.

49 (275 words)

50

Keywords: malnutrition, frailty, cachexia, malnutrition universal screening tool
(MUST), mini nutritional assessment (MNA), bioelectrical impedance assessment.

53

### 54 Introduction

55 Frail older people may be admitted to hospital wards suffering from a range of acute and chronic disease/s, with signs and symptoms of physical and/or cognitive frailty 56 57 and be on multiple medications. Identifying possible nutritional risk/malnutrition is important and may affect trajectory of health, morbidity, and mortality<sup>1-4</sup>. Different 58 screening methods exist including the 'malnutrition universal screening tool' 59 (MUST)<sup>1,5</sup>, the '*mini-nutritional assessment*' (MNA)<sup>1,6-8</sup> and the '*geriatric nutritional* 60 risk index', (GNRI)<sup>9</sup>. In the United Kingdom (UK), the MUST is the standard routine 61 method of screening in all hospital wards and care homes, although in reality there is 62 no universal gold standard tool<sup>4</sup>. We showed recently in a cohort of frail older 63 hospital patients that there is a significant discordance between MUST and 'MNA-64 short form' (MNA-SF) malnutrition screening categorisation<sup>10</sup>. The MUST 65 predominantly categorized patients as 'low risk' (77%) and MNA-SF predominantly 66 as 'at risk' (46%) and 'malnourished' (45%). Reliability assessment found poor 67 reliability between the screening tools and bioelectrical impedance assessment (BIA) 68

assessment was in general agreement with MNA-SF scoring patterns, especially in 69 male patients. A potential body mass index (BMI) paradox was also highlighted 70 whereby some patients who were 'at risk' or 'malnourished' by MNA-SF scores had 71 72 normal BMI and depleted/borderline BIA measurements of fat free mass (FFM) / fat mass (FM) and specifically indices (FFMI and FMI, in kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Potential reasons for 73 the observed MUST-MNA-SF discordance include: the MUST uses World Health 74 Organization (WHO) BMI grading criteria, and there maybe difficulty in obtaining 75 accurate weight loss information in this patient group. Further, the MNA-SF has 76 77 additional screening questions on 'mobility' and 'neuropsychological problems' which would create a tendency to score worse in a frail older patient group. 78

An important area to address which overlaps malnutrition is 'cachexia'/'cachexia-79 risk', as acute and chronic illness has a typical effect upon food intake (anorexia) and 80 81 metabolism (e.g. hypermetabolism and raised protein breakdown), principally through actions of circulating proinflammatory cytokines<sup>11,12</sup>. Other measurable 82 domains of nutritional status which are sensitive to malnutrition and inflammation 83 include important blood markers such as albumin, which is utilised in the GNRI<sup>9</sup>, and 84 is a well known prognostic marker<sup>13-16</sup>. C-reactive protein (CRP) is another routine 85 blood marker indicating inflammatory status and has known prognostic potential<sup>17,18</sup>. 86 Recently, the CRP/albumin ratio has been used to better predict mortality risk in 87 septic patients<sup>19</sup>. 88

A better understanding of the relationship between malnutrition risk screening, body composition assessment and blood markers in heterogeneous groups of frail older hospital patients on clinical outcomes may improve coordinated hospital nutritional care in the UK.

This study was undertaken in a heterogeneous group of frail older adults admitted to wards specialising in elder care in the UK. We examined outcome of hospital admission, length of stay (LOS), time to medical fitness to discharge (TMFFD) and mortality at 12 months post admission and related them to inpatient measurements of MUST, MNA-SF and BIA. Further, examination was made of routine blood markers, urea, albumin, CRP, and the CRP/albumin ratio to investigate their importance in relation to malnutrition risk and outcomes.

100 (497 words)

101

#### 102 Methods

#### 103 Participants and study design

104 This cohort study was undertaken between September 2012 and May 2013 and recruits were from a purposive sampling from admissions to two hospital wards in 105 Lincoln, UK specializing in care of frail older patients<sup>10</sup>. Full ethical approval was 106 obtained from NHS Leicester, East Midlands Research Ethics Committee (ref: 107 12/EM/0186) prior to study commencement, ethical guidelines followed and informed 108 consent sought from all patients. Exclusion criteria from the study were: patients 109 unable or unwilling to give informed consent and patients who were nil by mouth or 110 111 tube fed. BIA measures were contraindicated in patients with defibrillation or cardiac pacemaker devices. The aim was to recruit 100-150 patients in-line with other similar 112 studies; however the exclusion criterion of ability to consent and designated study 113 time restraints dictated the current number. Patients were followed from admission to 114 12 months post admission with outcomes recorded including: TMFFD, LOS in 115

hospital (days), and deaths at 12 months. Blood measurements were also recordedwhere available.

### 118 Nutritional assessment

# 119 MUST tool and MNA-SF<sup>®</sup> screening

- 120 MUST and MNA-SF<sup>®</sup> screening was performed as described previously<sup>10</sup>, whereby
- 121 screening scores were converted into categories for nutritional status using MUST
- and MNA-SF<sup>®</sup> scoring criteria either 'low risk'/'normal'(0 points-MUST, 12-14 MNA-
- 123 SF), 'medium risk/at risk' (1 point-MUST, 8-11 MNA-SF) and 'high
- risk'/'malnourished' (≥2 points-MUST, 0-7 MNA-SF).

## 125 Anthropometric measurements

- 126 Height (m) and weight (kg) measurements were performed as described
- 127 previously<sup>10.</sup>

### 128 Bioelectrical impedance measurements

- 129 BIA measurements were performed as described previously<sup>10</sup>, using the Kyle et al<sup>20</sup>
- equation for estimation of FFM (kg) and FM (kg) and index values, FFMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and
- 131 FMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and compared to reference values<sup>21</sup>.

## 132 Blood markers

- 133 Routine blood markers were collected and measured in-line with normal patient care
- in hospital. Ethical clearance was obtained to utilise these as part of the research
- 135 study. Markers utilised and analysed included; urea, albumin, C-reactive protein
- 136 (CRP) and the CRP-albumin ratio. Patients were also classified according to albumin

level and 'malnutrition severity', using an adapted method from paper by Bouillanne
et al<sup>9</sup>, i.e. <30 g/l: severe; 30-34.9 g/l: moderate; and >35 g/l low+absent combined.

139

### 140 Data analysis

Data is presented as mean average measurements ± standard deviation (SD) with a 141 range (minimum-maximum) and [median] values. Data has been grouped into 'alive' 142 and 'deceased' at 12 months post admission and where relevant into nutritional 143 screening categories by albumin. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM 144 SPSS Statistics, version 21, New York, USA. T-tests and Pearson correlations were 145 used for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney-U and Spearman correlations 146 test for nonparametric data. ANOVA and Bonferronni post-hoc test were performed 147 148 on more than two groups of data. Categorical differences were analysed using Chisquared testing. Receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis methods 149 were performed on raw data of variables to evaluate their predictive performance on 150 the prediction of mortality outcome in patients<sup>22</sup>. A P value of < 0.05 was considered 151 statistically significant. 152

153

#### 154 **Results**

Data was recorded for 78 patients and followed up 12 months post admission. Within patient medical notes, blood markers were available for the following: albumin (n=66 patients), urea (n=76), CRP (n=73), and CRP/albumin ratio (n=65). Patients were grouped according to mortality status at 12 months and data is presented in Table 1. LOS and urea measurements were significantly higher in the deceased group; and

- 160 BMI and MNA-SF score significantly lower. Patients had BIA measured (n=66) as
- 161 completed previously<sup>10</sup> and grouped by mortality status (Table 2). FM and FMI by

162 BIA were found to be significantly lower in patients who died.

163

**Table 1.** Table to show differences in patients grouped by mortality status, 12

165 months after hospital admission. Mean ± SD is presented with (minimum-maximum)

and [median] values for comparison.

	Alive	Deceased
N	54 (69%)	24 (31%)
Males/females	30/24 (56%/44%)	19/5 (79%/21%)
Age, y	81.7±7.4 (65-93) [83]	83.0±8.8 (62-96) [84]
TMFFD, d	8.5±7.6 (0-37) [7]	10.4±13.8 (0-66) [6]
LOS, d	15.4±12.7 (2-68) [10]	25.0±22.9 (6-102) [19]*
BMI, kg/m²	26.4±5.5 (17.2-45.1) [26.3]	23.8±4.9 (16.6-37.2) [23.3]*
MUST score	0.4±0.8 (0-4) [0]	0.6±1.1 (0-4) [0]
MUST – 'Low risk'	43 (80%)	17 (71%)
MUST – 'Medium risk'	5 (9%)	2 (8%)
MUST – 'High risk'	6 (11%)	5 (21%)
MNA-SF score	8.6±2.7 (3-14) [8.5]	6.6±2.4 (2-11) [7]*
MNA-SF – 'Normal'	7 (13%)	0 (0%)
MNA-SF – 'At risk'	26 (50%)	9 (46%)
MNA-SF – 'Malnourished'	21 (37%)	15 (54%)
Urea (mmols/l)	8.9±4.3 (3.1-21.1) [7.8]	11.4±8.7 (1.7-43.9) [10]*
CRP (mg/l)	56.1±67.4 (0.6-287) [25]	78.6±73.6 (2.1-221) [44.5]
Albumin (g/l)	31.0±6.1 (15-43) [31]	29.4±5.2 (20-39) [29]
CRP-albumin ratio	2.1±2.6 (0.05-11.04) [1]	3.1±2.7 (0.06-9.39) [2.4]

167 \*significantly different compared to patients alive at 12 months: LOS, (P=0.018); BMI, (P=0.018); MNA-SF,

168 (P=0.001); Urea, (P=0.05).

- 171 **Table 2.** Comparison of BIA data for FFM and FM and index values (FFMI and FMI
- in kg/m<sup>2</sup>) for patient mortality status, 12 months after hospital admission. Mean  $\pm$  SD
- is presented with (minimum-maximum) and [median] values for comparison.

	Alive (n = 48)	Deceased (n = 18)
Males/Females	27/21 (56%/44%)	15/3 (83%/17%)
FFM, kg	49.4±9.2 (31.7-72.0) [49.6]	51.5±9.7 (37.5-72.7) [50.7]
FFMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	17.5±2.5 (13.2-23.5) [17.5]	17.9±2.4 (13.5-22.2) [17.8]
FM, kg	25.5±10.5 (3.4-50.6) [22.5]	17.2±9.9 (3.1-42) [18.1]*
FMI, kg/m²	9.3±4.1 (1.1-22.5) [8.1]	6.1±3.8 (1.3-16.8) [6.4]*

174

175 \*significantly different compared to patient group alive at 12 months: FM, (P=0.005); FMI, (P=0.006).

176

177

# 178 Classification by albumin level

179 Grouping patients by albumin level as a potential indicator of nutritional status is

shown in Table 3. The relationship of albumin level against MNA-SF score is

depicted in Figure 1 with cut-off points shown. The nonparametric correlation

between albumin and MNA-SF was statistical significant (r=0.025, P=0.046).

183

184

185

187 **Table 3.** Patients grouped by albumin classification of malnutrition status. Mean ±

188	SD is presented with	(minimum-maximum)	) and [median]	values for	comparison
-----	----------------------	-------------------	----------------	------------	------------

	Plasma albumin & malnutrition status			
	<30 g/l – severe	30-34.9 g/l - moderate	>35 g/l – low/absent	
Albumin, g/l	25±3.3 (15-29) [26]*	31.6±1.2 (30-33) [32]	37.5±2.6 (35-43) [37]	
N (%)	28 (42%)	19 (29%)	19 (29%)	
Deaths, N (%)	11 (39%)	6 (32%)	5 (26%)	
TMFFD, d	12.4±13.7 (0-66) [8]*†	8.3±6.2 (1-22) [6.5]	6.5±6.6 (0-26) [4]	
LOS, d	25±21.6 (4-102) [19]*†	17.6±15.4 (2-68) [16]	14.1±9.5 (2-33) [12]	
BMI, kg/m²	24.8±5.2 (17-35.2) [23.2]	27.1±6.2 (18.6-45.1) [26.1]	25.4±5.2 (16.6-33.3) [25.6]	
MUST- 'Low risk'	23 (82%)	16 (84%)	14 (74%)	
MUST – 'Medium	2 (7%)	2 (11%)	1 (5%)	
risk'				
MUST – 'High risk'	3 (11%)	1 (5%)	4 (21%)	
MNA-SF score	7.0±2.5 (2-11) [7]	8.2±2.4 (3-12) [8]	8.6±3.3 (2-14) [8]	
MNA-SF – 'Normal'	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	3 (16%)	
MNA-SF – 'At risk'	11 (39%)	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	
MNA-SF –	17 (61%)	6 (32%)	8 (42%)	
'Malnourished'				
Urea, mmols/l	10.7±8.6 (1.7-43.9) [8.0]	9.8±4.4 (3.1-18.5) [9.4]	8.7±4.6 (3.2-19.1) [7.6]	
CRP, mg/l	96.7±80.6 (4-287) [86]*	65.6±71.8 (1.6-232) [45]	29.2±38.1 (2.5-172) [17]	

189 \*†: raw uncorrected data significantly different to >35 g/l albumin group (P<0.05), although after Bonferroni

190 correction no statistical significance remained. \*: Bonferroni corrected data <30 g/l albumin significantly different

to >35 g/l albumin group (P=0.005); and CRP significantly different between all groups (P<0.001).

192



**Figure 1.** The relationship between plasma albumin (g/l) and MNA-SF score in patients where albumin data was available (n=66). Relevant cut-points indicating malnutrition are shown for both MNA-SF and albumin. Patients alive at 12 months depicted with closed circles (n=44) and deceased open circles (n=22). Note overall group correlation was statistically significant (Spearmans, r = 0.25, P=0.046). In addition, trend-lines are visible for (1): patients alive and (2): deceased.

194

#### 202 **ROC curve analysis**

ROC curve analysis was performed on data variables evaluating their relative
performance as mortality predictors and is presented as follows: MNA-SF and MUST
scores, BMI, FM and FMI, Figure 2; and blood markers, urea, CRP, albumin and
CRP-albumin ratio, in Figure 3.



208

Figure 2. ROC curves for variables: MNA-SF, MUST, BMI, FM and FMI; Statistical data for area under the curve is presented in Table below graph. Standard error is under nonparametric assumption and asymptotic significance and 95% confidence intervals (lower and upper bound) are shown. Null hypothesis: true area = 0.5.



Variable	Area	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
				Lower	Upper
urea	0.543	0.080	0.578	0.386	0.700
crp	0.594	0.078	0.224	0.442	0.747
albumin	0.582	0.074	0.290	0.437	0.727
crpValb	0.603	0.077	0.186	0.452	0.753

214

Figure 3. ROC curves for variables: urea, CRP, albumin and the CRP-albumin ratio
(crpValb). Statistical data for area under the curve is presented in Table below
graph. Standard error is under nonparametric assumption and asymptotic
significance and 95% confidence intervals (lower and upper bound) are shown. Null
hypothesis: true area = 0.5.

220

221 Variables found to be significantly different from the reference line indicating that

they are significant predictors of mortality were MNA-SF score, FM, and FMI. BMI

had a trend to significance (P=0.062). MUST was not found to be a significant

predictor of mortality outcome. FFM and FFMI were not included in the presentation

of data as there was no statistical significance. Blood markers were analysed and
have been presented in Figure 3 as a comparison. None were significantly different
to the reference line however, the CRP-albumin ratio performed numerically better.
However, note the confidence intervals (lower and upper bound) would suggest for
all variables relatively high sampling error which is most likely due to the low patient
number and data set, and high variability in the blood markers.

231

# 232 Discussion

233 Previously, we showed a potential discordance between MUST and MNA-SF scoring in frail older hospital patients<sup>10</sup>. In this report, we show that 12 months after hospital 234 admission a total of 31% of the participants had died. Those patients who died had a 235 236 significantly longer hospital LOS (P=0.018) and a trend for an increase in TMFFD (Table 1). The mortality group had a significantly lower MNA-SF score (P=0.001) and 237 there was a visible discordance in relative balance of MUST, MNA-SF categorisation 238 between the alive and deceased patients. ROC curve analysis (Figure 2) found that 239 the MNA-SF was a significant predictor of mortality outcome, whereas MUST was 240 241 not. Rasheed and Woods also found that the MNA-SF categorised more people admitted to hospital as malnourished/at risk of malnutrition than MUST<sup>23</sup>. They noted 242 that both tools have relative ability to predict mortality, but MNA-SF was better at 243 predicting LOS. Van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren et al discussed in a recent 244 systematic review of current nutrition screening tools for the hospital setting, that the 245 MNA generally fairs better in older patients compared to the MUST, and that MUST 246 247 is a not a good predictor of outcome in older patients<sup>4</sup>. Further, Soderstrom et al, showed in a large cohort of older people (n=1767) that the MNA is predictive of 248

249 mortality (a 50 month follow-up period) after taking into account confounding factors<sup>3</sup>. However, Vischer et al, failed to show a predictive effect of MNA-SF in 250 hospitalised older patients with a heavy disease burden<sup>24</sup>. This is interesting as the 251 252 patient group studied here also had a high disease burden (although this was not recorded as a 'comorbidity/severity index'). The Vischer et al study was performed in 253 a larger patient group (n=444), over a longer 4 year period<sup>24</sup>. They also observed 254 that BMI was a significant predictor of mortality. In the data presented here BMI was 255 found to be significantly lower in the mortality group, despite still being within a 256 257 'normal weight' BMI category (by WHO and MUST). Estimation of FM and FMI by BIA was found to be significantly lower, whilst FFMI was similar (17 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). ROC 258 curve analysis (Figure 2) found that both FM and FMI were significant predictors of 259 260 mortality outcome (P=0.005), whereas BMI had a trend towards significance (P=0.062). This data may be supportive of a potential BMI or obesity paradox<sup>25</sup>, and 261 is in-line with a study by Bouillanne et al, which showed a protective effect of FM as 262 opposed to FFM with mortality in older hospital patients<sup>26</sup>. This may be viewed as 263 unexpected as it has been assumed that FFM has a more important role. For 264 example, the breakdown of FFM body protein tissue to fuel the acute phase stress 265 response to illness and infection and the concomitant production of circulating acute 266 phase proteins and glucose etc. We previously showed that high proportions of male 267 268 patients had low/depleted FFMI values (and also skeletal muscle index-unpublished data), whilst having a normal BMI (e.g. 20-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>)<sup>10</sup>. The low FFMI values may 269 be due to the effects of complicated overlapping malnutrition, sarcopenia and 270 271 cachexia states common in the frail older hospitalised patient. This is important to adequately address in clinical practice, however, the relationship of FM with clinical 272 outcomes and mortality in this group requires further study and may relate to other 273

factors. Possible reasons for the observed phenomena may relate to the diverse
function of the FM/adipose tissue organ, for example, acting as an energy resource
during illness and potentially acting in a protein sparring manner; and/or due to other
endocrine and immune functions of the tissue.

Routine blood markers have been previously shown to indicate changes in relative 278 nutritional status, inflammation and have prognostic abilities. In this study, albumin, 279 CRP, the CRP-albumin ratio and urea were measured and related to clinical 280 outcomes in patients. Albumin levels were found to be typically low across all 281 282 patients (30.5±5.9 g/l), potentially indicating a combination of malnutrition and inflammation burden. However, there was no significant difference with patients 283 grouped by mortality at 12 months (Table 1), or significant predictive ability by ROC 284 curve analysis (Figure 3). Grouping patients by albumin classification of malnutrition 285 (Table 3) showed that there were a greater proportion of people who died with lower 286 albumin scores, with a trend for TMFFD and LOS to be higher and a highly 287 significant relationship with CRP (lower albumin, higher CRP). There was also a 288 significant correlation relationship between albumin and MNA-SF score (Figure 1). 289 Furthermore, there were 7 patient deaths in hospital of which 6 had albumin data 290 available (24.5±3.7 g/l), and was found to be significantly lower (P<0.05) than the 291 patients who were alive at 12 months or those that died post hospital discharge. This 292 is in-line with other observations that albumin is a known predictor of mortality<sup>14-16</sup>. 293 Albumin may be an important measurable nutritional domain which should be 294 considered in relation to inflammation burden and weight loss, despite recently being 295 296 observed to not be related to body composition-related nutritional status<sup>27</sup>. Albumin levels are also utilised within clinically determining cachexia presence (along with 297 weight loss, BMI, presence of inflammation etc.), and is a key component of the 298

GNRI<sup>9,12</sup>. In particular, the GNRI has been shown to have good prognostic abilities
including within a recent Egyptian study which found that the GNRI had better
prognostic ability than the MNA<sup>28</sup>.

302 CRP is another known prognostic indicator and CRP data was collected and 303 assessed in patients (Table 1) as an indicator of inflammatory stress. Levels were 304 clinically significant across the group indicating effects of illness, but there was no 305 significant difference between patients grouped by mortality at 12 months. ROC 306 curve analysis confirmed that neither CRP nor the CRP-albumin albumin were 307 significant predictors of mortality in this group.

308 Finally, urea was significantly higher in the patients who died at 12 months (Table 1), but was found not to be a significant predictor of mortality outcome by ROC curve 309 analysis. Increases in urea may be predictable in this setting indicating higher whole 310 body protein catabolism, due to illness and associated inflammatory stress, and 311 alterations in kidney function. Blood urea nitrogen has been observed to be an 312 independent predictor of mortality outcome in different patient groups including in 313 cardiovascular diseases and acute coronary syndromes<sup>29</sup>. Pan et al showed recently 314 in older ICU patients that both albumin and urea act as independent and synergistic 315 predictors of mortality<sup>30</sup>. 316

Study limitations include the patient number which may have meant that some analyses were underpowered (e.g. ROC curve analysis of mortality prediction). The lack of significant relationships with the specific blood markers (e.g. ROC curve analysis, Figure 3) is not surprising as circulating concentrations are highly variable (e.g. albumin and CRP) with many factors affecting them<sup>14-18,28</sup>. In addition, this was a single sample collection. The use of BIA and the Kyle equation for FFM estimation is discussed elsewhere as a potential limitation<sup>10</sup>. Furthermore, another criticism may

be the high heterogeneity of frail older people, but this study reflects 'real-world'
medicine and chosen screening and assessment tools must be practically effective
in this population.

In conclusion, we previously showed discordance between MUST and MNA-SF risk 327 categorisation in frail older hospital patients<sup>10</sup>. This paper suggests that discordance 328 is not only theoretical but may have practical implications for outcome in this group. 329 The MNA-SF is a simple tool and in combination with body composition 330 measurements and blood markers may better categorise frail older patients with 331 respects to their nutritional status and possible clinical outcomes, including mortality 332 333 risk. Further research is necessary in larger patient cohorts as there are potential healthcare, clinical outcome and economic factors implicated. 334

335

### 336 Funding

337 There was no funding source.

338

### 339 Statement of Authorship

Adrian Slee was the lead author and designated study Chief Investigator, David
Stokoe was the designated clinical Principal Investigator; Deborah Birch was a
clinical co-investigator involved in data collection and critical input into both the study
and manuscript preparation.

344

347	The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
348	
349	Acknowledgements
350	The authors wish to acknowledge the ward staff at Lincoln County hospital during the
351	study; and Dr Phil Assheton, University of Lincoln for statistical support.
352	
353	
354	
355	
356	
357	
358	
359	
360	
361	
362	
363	
364	

**Conflict of Interest** 

# 365 **References**

366	1)	Kondrup J, Allison S,P, Elia M, Vellas B, and Plauth M. ESPEN Guidelines for
367		Nutritional Screening 2002. Clin Nutr 2003; 22(4): 415–421.
368	2)	Norman K, Pichard C, Lochs H, Pirlich M. Prognostic impact of disease-related
369		malnutrition. <i>Clin Nutr</i> 2008; <b>27</b> : 5–15.
370	3)	Söderström L, Rosenblad A, Adolfsson ET, Saletti A, Bergkvist L. Nutritional
371		status predicts preterm death in older people. Clin Nutr 2014; <b>33</b> : 354-359.
372	4)	Van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MAE, Guaitoli PR, Jansma EP and de Vet
373		HCW. Nutrition screening tools: does on size fit all? A systematic review of
374		screening tools for the hospital setting. <i>Clin Nutr</i> 2014; <b>33</b> : 39-58.
375	5)	Elia M. Screening for malnutrition: a multidisciplinary responsibility, development
376		and use of the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool ('MUST') for adults. 2003.
377		BAPEN, Redditch, United Kingdom.
378	6)	Vellas B, Villars H, Abellan G, Soto ME, Rolland Y, Guigoz Y, et al. Overview of
379		the MNA®- Its history and challenges. J Nutr Health and Aging 2006; 10: 456–
380		465.
381	7)	Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salva A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B. Screening for
382		undernutrition in geriatric practice: Developing the short-form Mini Nutritional
383		Assessment (MNA-SF). <i>J Geront</i> 2001; <b>56A</b> : M366–377.
384	8)	Kaiser MJ, Bauer JM, Ramsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, Thomas DR,
385		Anthony P, Charlton KE, Maggio M, Tsai AC, Grathwohl D, Vellas B, Sieber CC;
386		MNA-International Group. Validation of the mini nutritional assessment short-form
387		(MNA-SF): a practical tool for identification of nutritional status. J Nutr Health
388		Aging 2009; <b>13</b> (9): 782-8.

- Bouillanne O, Morineau G, Dupont C, Coulombel I, Vincent J-P, Nicolis I et al,
   Geriatric nutritional risk index: a new index of evaluating at-risk elderly hospital
- 391 patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; **82**: 777-83.
- 10)Slee A, Birch D and Stokoe D. A comparison of the malnutrition screening tools,
- 393 MUST, MNA and bioelectrical impedance assessment in frail older hospital
- <sup>394</sup> patients. *Clin Nutr* 2014; Article in Press DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2014.04.013.
- 11)Evans WJ, Morlery JE, Argilés J, Bales C, Baracos V, Guttridge D et al,
- 396 Cachexia: a new definition. *Clin Nutr* 2008: **27**: 793-799.
- 12) Muscaritoli M, Anker S.D, Argile' s J, Aversa Z, Bauer JM, Biolo G, et al,
- 398 Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: Joint document
- elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) "cachexia-anorexia in chronic
- 400 wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". *Clin Nutr*
- 401 2010;doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2009.12.004.
- 402 13)Sahyoun NR, Jacques PF, Dallal G and Russell RM. Use of albumin as a
- 403 predictor of mortality in community-dwelling and institutionalized elderly
- 404 populations. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1996; **49**(9): 981-988.
- 14)Goldwasser P and Feldman J. Association of serum albumin and mortality risk. J
   *Clin Epidemiol* 1997; **50**(6): 693-703.
- 407 15)Schalk BWM, Visser M, Bremmer MA, Penninx BWJH, Bouter LM and Deeg
- 408 DJH. Change in serum albumin and risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause 409 mortality. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006; **164**: 969-977.
- 410 16)Barchel D, Almoznino-Sarafian D, Schteinschnaider M, Tzur I, Cohen N and
- 411 Gorelik O. Clinical characteristics and prognostic significance of serum albumin
- 412 changes in an internal medicine ward. *Eur J Intern Med* 2013; **24**: 772-778.

17)Strandberg TE and Tilvis RS. C-reactive protein, cardiovascular risk factors, and
mortality in a prospective study in the elderly. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*.
2000; **20**: 1057-1060.

18)Zacho J, Tybjærg-Hansen A and Nordestgaard BG. C-reactive protein and al-

417 cause mortality-the Copenhagen city heart study. *Eur Heart J* 2010 **31**: 1624-

418 1632.

419 19)Ranzani OT, Zampieri FG, Forte DN, Azevedo LCP, Park M. C-reactive

420 protein/albumin ratio predicts 90-day mortality of septic patients. *PLoS ONE* **8**(3):

421 e59321. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059321.

422 20)Kyle UG, Genton L, Karsegard L, Slosman DO, Pichard C. Single prediction

423 equation for bioelectrical impedance analysis in adults aged 20-94. *Nutrition* 

424 2001; **17**: 248–253.

425 21)Schutz Y, UUG Kyle UUG and Pichard C. Fat-free mass index and fat mass

426 index percentiles in Caucasians aged 18 – 98 y. *Int J Obes* 2002; **26**: 953 – 960.

427 22)Zho KH, O'Malley J and Mauri L. Receiver-operating characteristic analysis for

evaluating diagnostic tests and predictive models. *Circulation* 2007; **115**: 654-

429 657.

430 23)Rasheed S and Woods RT. Predictive validity of 'malnutrition universal screening

431 tool' (MUST) and short form mini nutritional assessment (MNA-SF) in terms of

survival and length of stay. *E-SPEN journal* 2013; **8**: 44-50.

433 24) Vischer UM, Frangos E, Graf C, Gold G, Weiss L and Herrmann FR. The

434 prognostic significance of malnutrition as assessed by the mini nutritional

435 assessment (MNA) in older hospitalized patients with a heavy disease burden.

436 *Clin Nutr* 2012; **31**: 113-117.

- 25)Weiss A, Beloosesky Y, Boaz M, Yalov A, Kornowski R and Grossman E. Body
  mass index is inversely related to mortality in elderly subjects. *J Gen Intern Med*2007; 23(1): 19–24.
- 26)Bouillanne O, Dupont-Belmont C, Hay P, Hamon-Vilcot B, Cynober L, Aussel C,
- 441 et al. Fat mass protects hospitalized elderly persons against morbidity and
- 442 mortality. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009; **90**: 505–10.
- 27)Bouillanne O, Hay P, Liabaud B, Duché C, Cynober L and Aussel C. Evidence
  that albumin is not a suitable marker of body composition-related nutritional
- status in elderly patients. *Nutrition* 2011; **27**: 165-169.
- 28) Abd-El-Gawad WM, Abou-Hashem RM, El Maraghy MO and Amin GE. The
- validity of geriatric nutrition risk index: simple tool for prediction of nutrition-related
- 448 complications of hospitalized elderly patients. *Clin Nutr* 2014; IN PRESS:1-
- 449 9.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2013.12.005.
- 450 29)Kirtane AJ, Leder DM, Waikar SS, Chertwo GM, Ray KK, Pinto DS et al. Serum
- 451 blood urea nitrgoen as an independent marker of subsequent mortality among
- 452 patients with acute coronary syndromes and normal to mildly reduced glomerular
- 453 filtration rates. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; **45**: 1781–6.
- 454 30)Pan S-W, Kao H-K, Yu W-K, Lien T-C, Chen Y-W and Wang J-H. Synergistic
- impact of low serum albumin on intensive care unit admission and high blood
- 456 urea nitrogen during intensive care unit stay on post-intensive care unit mortality
- in critically ill elderly patients requiring mechanical ventilation. *Geriatr Geront Int*,
- 458 2012; **13**: 107–115. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0594.2012.00869.

460

# 461 Highlights

462	٠	The standard routine tool used for malnutrition risk screening in the United
463		Kingdom, the 'MUST', may lack diagnostic accuracy and predictive ability in
464		determining mortality risk in frail older hospital patients.
465	•	The MNA-SF tool appears to be a more accurate tool in determining malnutrition
466		risk and prediction of mortality risk in this patient group.
467	•	A potential BMI paradox is highlighted whereby mortality is greater in patients
468		who have a normal range BMI compared to overweight.
469	•	The fat mass and fat mass index measurements may be predictive of mortality
470		risk in this patient group and requires further study.
471	•	A combination of methods (e.g. the MNA-SF, body composition assessment and
472		blood markers) may be clinically useful in determining nutritional
473		status/malnutrition risk in this patient group and possible clinical outcomes, such
474		as mortality.