# 1 Variation in referral and access to new psychological therapy services by age

- 2 Sophie Pettit<sup>1</sup>, Adam Qureshi<sup>2</sup>, William Lee<sup>3</sup>, Alex Stirzaker<sup>4</sup>, Alex Gibson<sup>5</sup>, William Henley<sup>6</sup>,
- 3 Richard Byng<sup>3\*</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup>Department of Health Promotion and Public Health, University of West London, London, England
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, Edge Hill University, Lancashire, England
- 6 <sup>3</sup> Plymouth University Peninsula Colleges of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, England
- <sup>4</sup> Avon and Wiltshire Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust, Chippenham, England
- <sup>5</sup>School of Government, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, England
- 9 <sup>6</sup> University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter University, Exeter, England
- 11 \*Corresponding author:
- 12 Professor Richard Byng
- 13 ITTC Building, Rm N32,
- 14 Tamar Science Park
- 15 Derriford
- 16 Plymouth
- 17 PL6 8BX
- 18 Email: <u>richard.byng@plymouth.ac.uk</u>
- **19** Telephone number: 01752 764 258

Word count main body: 2,582

- 53 Abstract
- 54
- Background: Older people with common mental health problems (CMHPs) are known to havereduced rates of referral to psychological therapy.
- Aim: We aimed to assess referral rates to the Improving Access to Psychological Therapies
  (IAPT) service, contact with a therapist and clinical outcome by age.
- 59 **Design and Setting:** Empirical research using patient episodes of care from South West IAPT.
- 60 **Method:** By analysing 82,513 episodes of care (2010-2011), referral rates and clinical
- 61 improvement were compared to both total population and estimated prevalence in each age group
- 62 using IAPT data. Probable recovery of those completing treatment were calculated for each
- 63 group.
- 64 **Results:** Estimated prevalence of CMHPs peaks in 45–49 year olds (20.59% of population). The
- proportions of patients identified with CMHPs being referred peaks at 20-24 years (22.95%) and
- reduces with increase in age thereafter to 6.00% for 70-74 year olds. Once referred, the
- 67 proportion of those attending first treatment increases with age between 18 years (57.64%) and
- 68 64 years (76.97%). In addition, the percentage of those having a clinical improvement gradually
- 69 increases from the age 20 years (12.94%) to 69 years (20.74%).
- 70 Conclusion: Younger adults are more readily referred to IAPT services. However, as a
- 71 proportion of those referred, probabilities of attending once, attending more than once, and
- 72 clinical improvement, increase with age. It is uncertain whether optimum levels of referral have
- been reached for young adults. It is important to establish whether changes to service
- configuration, treatment options, and GP behaviour can increase referrals for middle-aged and
- 75 older adults.
- 76
- 77 Keywords: IAPT, Age Factors; Mental Health; Referral; Psychological Therapy 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90
- 91

# 92 How this fits in

93 94 95	• We aimed to assess referral and access rates to the Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) service, as well as clinical outcome, by age.
96 97	• Older adults with common mental health problems are known to have reduced rates of referral to psychological therapy.
98	
99 100	• This research shows that older adults with common mental health problems are being under referred but benefit largely once obtaining access to the service.
101	
102	• This research highlights an imbalance in referral rates across age which should be
103	addressed at the referral stage by health care practitioners.
104	
105	
106	
107	
108	
109	
110	
111	
112	
113	
114	
115	
116	
117	
118	
119	
120	
121	
122	
123	
124	
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	
131	
132	
133	
134	

#### 135 INTRODUCTION

136

In 2009, the Royal College of Psychiatrists suggested the UK currently provides more mental health services for those younger than 65 years of age than older people[1]. Barriers to mental health treatment are known to differ by age[2] and concerns that discrimination against older adults may cause reduced access to mental health treatments including talking therapies are expressed[3]. Data available from the Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) programme, which aimed to equitably improve access, allows us to explore the degree to which inequalities persist across different age groups.

144

The Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS) provides data on the prevalence of psychiatric 145 146 disorder (treated and untreated) in the English adult population. 'Common Mental Health 147 Problems' (CMHPs) is an umbrella term describing difficulties in low mood and anxiety and is a 148 descendent of the term 'common mental disorders'. The APMS describes common mental disorders as conditions comprising of different types of depression and anxiety[4]. Data from the 149 APMS suggest prevalence of depression reduces with age from middle-age onwards[4], a finding 150 supported by others[5] but not by Stordal and colleagues[6] who reported a linear increase of 151 152 depression with increasing age after carefully adjusting for confounders for individuals in 153 Norway. Whatever the truth about prevalence, inequity of access appears clear. In the 1990's 154 fewer than 3% of adults aged over 65 years reported seeing a mental health professional[7]. Using data from the APMS (2007), Cooper and colleagues[8] found younger adults (16-34 years) 155 to be 80% more likely than older adults (75+ years) with the same severity of common mental 156 157 health problems (CMHPs) to be receiving talking therapy. In contrast, older adults were more likely than younger adults to receive anti-depressants or anxiolytics and hypnotics, suggesting 158 159 older adults are being prescribed medication rather than talking therapy and vice versa.

160

161 Inequities in access to talking therapies across age may be dependent on patient attitudes, 162 practitioner attitudes and/or system factors. Depressive symptoms are common in older adults, 163 but psychological adjustment to aging and chronic illness may mean symptoms are not 164 acknowledged or revealed[9]. It may be assumed by some health care professionals that older 165 adults experience psychological distress as a natural and inevitable consequence of aging[9, 10]. Ross and Hardy[11] found GP decisions were influenced by patients' help-seeking behaviours as 166 well as their representations of mental health problems. GPs may believe older adults are less 167 168 responsive to cognitive behavioural therapy than younger adults [12, 13]. Patients believing they

169 can 'manage themselves' increases with age, making it less likely they will disclose their mental 170 health problems to a GP, less likely they will be referred, and less likely they will accept offered 171 treatment[14, 15]. It is possible that older adults attribute their symptoms to physical complaints, 172 whereas younger adults have greater awareness of psychological problems[10]. Older adult's 173 inability to express their psychological problems, and greater self-stigma reduces the likelihood 174 they are to seek help[10], or be offered appropriate help by health care professionals[11], creating 175 further inequities in access to mental health treatment dependant on age.

176

In 2008, the development of new psychological therapy services across England under the IAPT 177 178 programme, improved access to treatments for CMHPs[2] which included individuals with 179 obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) as well as the more prevalent anxiety and depression. By 2011, 142 Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) had an IAPT 180 service and £400 million was being invested up to 2015. Targets for rates of access to 181 182 psychological therapy included services providing enough therapists to meet the needs of the whole PCT population, with half of those who complete the programme moving to recovery[16]. 183 IAPT services include routine collection of session by session outcome data for all individuals 184 referred. The IAPT data allows exploration of differences in access to mental health treatments 185 across age, allowing comparisons of referrals, access to the services and responses to treatment. 186

187

Previous audits and monitoring suggest access to talking therapies is greater for younger individuals[8]. This paper aimed to accurately estimate differences in referral and access rates to the IAPT service and to compare pathway through treatment across age bands, controlling for predicted prevalence of CMHPs.

192

## 193 METHOD

194

195 Our overall design was to derive figures in each age band for total population, estimated

196 prevalence and numbers referred, seen, and patients who achieved the minimal clinical important

197 difference (MCID) in symptoms; and then to calculate rates using different key denominators.

- 198 The most recent APMS (survey three, 2007) data were analysed with data collected from IAPT
- services in thirteen Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) from 2010-2011. The dataset was created for a
- 200 service evaluation project of the IAPT services commissioned by the South West Strategic Health
- 201 Authority.
- 202

203 Population and prevalence data were obtained from the APMS survey which used a robust stratified, multi-stage probability sample of households which assessed and diagnosed psychiatric 204 205 disorder according to diagnostic criteria where possible. Participants completed the revised 206 Clinical Interview Schedule (CIS-R)[17] which measures symptoms linked to the diagnosis of 207 anxiety and depression, and provides an overall score for the presence of CMHPs (indicated by a score of 12 or above). The APMS series is the largest, most detailed, and most recent (2007) data 208 available for comparison of IAPT service use. The APMS provided numbers on estimated 209 prevalence of CMHPs across gender (which we combined), as well as total population, in each of 210 211 the thirteen PCTs individually. Using this data, the numbers of people in each age group in the 212 South West and the numbers of people in each age group in the South West who were estimated to have CMHPs were totalled, and these were used as denominators to calculate rates and 213 214 proportions.

215

216 Numbers of people referred, obtaining access and the associated clinical outcomes were derived 217 from the South West IAPT evaluation database which includes information from the IAPT service providers' databases relating to 13 South West PCTs. We included individuals aged 218 between 18 and 74 years of age in the study to reflect data taken from the APMS (which excludes 219 those in care homes). The anonymised referral, access and outcome data were generated from 220 221 82,513 individual episodes of care (76,734 patients). Patients' demographic information 222 (including age) and details of attendance, and health outcomes were recorded at every clinical contact. The latter included the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)[18] as a measure of 223 224 depression, and the Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7)[19] questionnaire as a measure of 225 anxiety.

226

227 We estimated the proportions of the total populations having CMHPs; being referred to IAPT; obtaining access by attending their first session with an IAPT therapist; treatment engagement by 228 229 attending at least one further session; and those achieving MCID in both the PHQ-9 and the GAD-7. We calculated the proportions of those estimated to have CMHPs being referred to 230 231 IAPT; obtaining access by attending their first session with an IAPT therapist; treatment 232 engagement by attending at least one further session; and those achieving MCID. We then calculated the proportions of referred patients obtaining access; treatment engagement by 233 attending at least one further session; and those achieving MCID. MCID was also shown as a 234 235 proportion of those with two or more treatment sessions.

236

237 To calculate the MCID patients must have attended two or more (valid) clinical contacts and

238 improvement was measured by comparing final therapy session score with baseline session score

on the following outcome measures. The MCID value for PHQ-9 is a reduction of 5 or more

240 points between first and last session and for GAD-7 it is a reduction of 4 or more points between

first and last session[20]. The proportion achieving the MCID in both PHQ-9 and GAD-7 by

those with more than two therapy sessions in each age group was then calculated.

243

### 244 **RESULTS**

245

There were 82,513 treatment episodes recorded across the 13 IAPT services in the South West of England. The number of people in each age group in the study area is shown in table one along with the estimated number of people with CMHPs and the numbers of people referred to IAPT services, attending at least one session with an IAPT practitioner, uptake of treatment by at least one further session and achieving MCID. The numbers are also expressed as proportions of estimated prevalence, of referrals and of those seen.

252

Estimated prevalence of CMHPs peaks in 45-49 year olds (20.59%), with lowest estimated

prevalence in 70-74 year olds (9.47%). Referral rates as a proportion of CMHPs peak in 20-24

year olds (22.95%) and then decreases from this point until 74 years of age (6.00%). Attendance

rates as a proportion of referrals peak in 60-64 year olds (79.97%) with lowest attendance rates in

257 20-24 year olds (57.34%). For those with two or more treatment sessions, there is a peak in

MCID in 65 - 69 year olds (46.17%) with lowest improvement rates in 20-24 year olds (37.08%).

259 Further detail can be found in table one.

260

The proportions of the population being referred, obtaining access, engaging with treatment and achieving MCID each peak in 25-29 year olds and decline thereafter, with lowest numbers for 70-74 year olds. Figure one shows the contrast graphically. Figure two depicts a *vertically magnified* version of Figure one showing the proportion of the population referred, obtaining access,

continuing with treatment and achieving MCID from the total population.

266

267 Access uptake, continued treatment and achieving MCID, represented as a proportion of referrals

is depicted in figure three. Of those referred: proportions of people obtaining access increases

until 64 years (76.97%); proportions of people with 2+ treatment sessions increases until 59 years

270 (35.31%); proportions of people achieving MCID increases until 69 years (15.86%).

271 The age group with the lowest proportion achieving MCID is 70-74 year olds (32.77%) but

otherwise the percentage with MCID gradually increases from those aged 20-24 (37.08%) to

those 65-69 years (46.17%), this age group having the highest proportion achieving MCID. This

is depicted in Figure four.

275

In summary, more referrals are made for younger adults with a peak age of 25-29 years as a

proportion of the total population and a peak age of 20-24 years as a proportion of those with

CMHPs, but once referred, a higher proportions of older adults are engaging with and benefitingfrom treatment than 20-29 year olds.

280

## 281 DISCUSSION

282

## 283 Summary

284 Concerns about discrimination against older adults leading to reduced access to talking therapies have been widely shared [2, 3]. Our research has shown, taking estimated prevalence into account, 285 that access is indeed lower for older adults and middle age compared to younger adults. The 286 estimated prevalence of CMHPs peaks in 45-49 year olds but the proportions of those being 287 referred peaks in 20-24 year olds. This is an important finding as it is possible that, given lower 288 289 uptake, retention and improvement, there is over-referral of younger patients who either find it 290 difficult to engage, or who are less likely to improve if they do engage or do not see the value of talking therapies at this point in their lives. 291

292

We have also shown that, once referred, older adults may benefit more from the IAPT service. Of those referred; the proportion of those obtaining access increases until 64 years, engaging with treatment increases until 59 years and achieving MCID increases until 69 years. Once referred, adherence to and recovery from treatment increases with increase in age and higher proportions of older adults are accessing and engaging with treatment than the 20-24 year olds who are being referred more frequently.

299

#### 300 *Comparison with existing literature*

Patients can be signposted to the IAPT service by any appropriate referrer, and the main source of referrals is the GP. As such, GP decision making and practices regarding referral to psychological therapy services will have a large impact on access for patients. Cooper and colleagues[8] found

304 younger adults (16-34 years) more likely than older adults (75+ years) with the same severity to

305 have seen their GP regarding a mental health issue and to be receiving talking therapy treatment. A combination of factors may contribute to lower referral rates of older adults by health care 306 307 professionals: self-stigma towards mental health in older adults leading to reduced disclosure and 308 requests for help[11, 14, 15]; increased likelihood in receiving prescribed medication(s)[8]; 309 professional attitudes[10]; multi-morbidity reducing recognition; and system factors which 310 prevent access to those who are frail or homebound. It seems likely that reasons for the reduced 311 referral we have shown are multi-factorial. However given the greatly higher contact rates between older adults and GPs than for younger adults, the reduced referral rates are even more 312 313 striking. While there are good grounds for believing that GPs may not be offering the opportunity 314 of referral to older adults, it is also likely that patients may believe they can 'manage themselves', 315 making them less likely to disclose information to their GP, or accept suggested help[14, 15].

316

317 The estimated capacity of the patient to benefit from psychological therapy is a prominent feature 318 included in a GP's referral decision[21] and they may also assume certain age groups will not engage with the service. Our study should demonstrate to GPs that older patients are both more 319 likely to attend and more likely to benefit once engaged in treatment. GPs should perhaps 320 therefore work to discuss mental health problems with older adults and increase awareness of the 321 322 different available therapies, and their potential benefits. IAPT services may also need to take 323 note. While those who were referred attended more reliably it is also possible that the nature of 324 services are more suited to young people and that this is a disincentive to referral. These 325 recommendations may also apply to those in mid-age. It is harder to draw conclusions about vounger people and it is not clear as to whether, in some high referring PCTs, more numbers of 326 327 young people are presenting with distress or access for younger people has achieved an optimum. It is also possible that if we can improve therapy engagement in these younger age groups they 328 329 will be more likely to benefit from these types of treatments.

330

### 331 *Limitations and Strengths*

The data represent populations who have been referred to the IAPT service, and not those using other primary or secondary care services. It is important to note that the APMS only included those living in private households, excluding those in care homes[22]. Nonetheless, the APMS series is the most recent and detailed data available for comparison of IAPT service use.

336

The data described above is purely quantitative and although we can speculate, it is difficult toexplain why these differences in referral, engagement and outcome exist between patients from

339 different age groups. Other studies have indicated some of the potential reasons in relation to

service configuration but have not focussed on older adults[23].

341

# 342 *Implications for practice*

343 A number of factors are likely to affect access to IAPT services. Where possible, these need to be considered when evaluating the ability of new IAPT services to achieve their access targets. 344 When considering age and specific age groups facing barriers to IAPT service use, the main 345 inequity seems to be at the referral stage. Although 20-29 year olds are being referred in the 346 347 largest numbers, the proportion remaining engaged with treatment increases with age. Barriers to 348 engagement with the IAPT service in younger populations may be overcome by using different technologies, for example. Older adults are being under referred but benefit largely once 349 350 obtaining access to the service. This suggests these inequities need to be acknowledged and addressed. Several barriers to treatment associated with age have been identified in recent work, 351 352 including older patients' own perceptions, attitudes and behaviours towards mental health and associated talking treatments, and communication problems between the patient and doctor. 353

- 354
- 355

# 356 Acknowledgements and funding body

This work was conducted as part of the South West of England IAPT Evaluation Project, commissioned by the South West Strategic Health Authority, with additional contributions from the National Institute for Health Research's Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care for the South West Peninsula. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS or the NIHR. None of the authors have a conflict of interest to declare.

363

# 364 Ethical approval

The dataset was created for a service evaluation project of the IAPT services commissioned by
the South West Strategic Health Authority. Ethical approval was sought from and granted by the
Cornwall and Plymouth Research Ethics Committee (Approval no. 09/H0203/91).

369 370

**Declaration of interest:** This work was conducted as part of the South West of England IAPT Evaluation Project, commissioned by the South West Strategic Health Authority, with additional contributions from the NIHRs PenCLAHRC. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS or the NIHR. None of the authors have a conflict of interest to declare.

- 376
- 377
- 378 379
- 380
- 381

382	REFERENCES
383 384	1 Anderson D, Banjerjee S, Barker A, et al. The need to tackle age discrimination in mental health. A compendium of evidence. <i>Royal Col Psychiatr.</i> 2009[cited 2015 Jun 29] Available
385	from:
386	http://docplayer.net/107785-The-need-to-tackle-age-discrimination-in-mental-health-a-
387	compendium-of-evidence html
388	
380	2 Improving access to psychological therapies: Implementation plan: National guidelines for
300	regional delivery. Department of Health 2008
201	regional derivery. Department of freature. 2000.
202	2 Older people: positive practice guide Improving Access to Developpical Therapies National
202	Health Service 2000
201	Treatili Service. 2007.
205	A McManus S. Meltzer H. Brugha T. et al. Adult Psychiatric Morbidity in England 2007: Results
292	of a Household Survey. The NHS Information Contro for Health and Social Care. 2000.
207	of a flousehold Survey. The INTS finormation Centre for fleatur and Social Care. 2007.
200	5 Handerson A. Jorm A. Korton A. at al. Symptoms of depression and anviaty during adult life:
398	S Henderson A, John A, Kohen A, et al. Symptoms of depression and anxiety during adult me.
399	evidence for a decime in prevalence with age. <i>Psychol Med.</i> 1998;28:1521-1528.
400	6 Stordal E. Multilatur A. Dahl A. The according batware and depression in the constant
401	o Stordar E, Mykletun A, Dani A. The association between age and depression in the general
402	population: a multivariate examination. Acta Psychiatr Scana. 2005;107:152-141.
403	7 Olfson M. Dingus H. Outratiant montal health care in nonhagnital settings, distribution of
404	7 Onson M, Pincus H. Outpatient mental nearth care in nonnospital settings: distribution of
405	patients across provider groups. Am J Psychiatry. 1990,155.1555-1550.
406	Cooper C. Doddington D. McManus C. et al. The treatment of common mental health disorders
407	across age groups: Posults from the 2007 adult psychiatric morbidity survey. LAffect Disord
408	across age groups. Results from the 2007 adult psychiatric morbidity survey. J Ajject Disora.
409	2010,127.90-101.
410	0 Maphanan I Mantal Haalth Duchlams in Old Agas Double Discrimination on Evenybody's
411	Pusiness <sup>2</sup> 2008
412	Busiliess? 2008.
415	10 Murray I Banarias S Bung P at al Primary cara professionals' parcentions of depression in
414 415	older people: a qualitative study. See Sei Med. 2006:63:1363-1373
415	older people. a qualitative study. <i>Soc Sci Mea.</i> 2000,05.1505-1575.
410	11 Poss H. Hardy G. CP referrals to adult psychological services: a research aganda for
417	promoting needs lad practice through the involvement of montal health clinicians. Br I Med
410	Psychol 1000.72.75 01
419	1 Sychol. 1999,72.75-91.
420	12 Meltzer H. Bebbington P. Dennis M. et al. Feelings of loneliness among adults with mental
421	disorder. See Dsychiatry Dsychiatr Epidemiol 2012:48:5-13
422	disorder. Soc I sychian y I sychian Epidemion. 2012,48.5-15.
425	13 Serfety M. Heworth D. Buszewicz, M. Clinical effectiveness of Individual Cognitive
424	Behaviour Therapy for depressed older people in primary care. Arch Can Psychiatry
425	2000.66.1332 1340
420	2007,00.1552-1540.
427	14 Mitchell A. Salmas T. Why don't nationts attend their appointments? Maintaining
+∠0 120	engagement with psychiatric services Adv Psychiatr Treat 2007.13.123_131
423	engagement with psychiatric services. <i>Tuv I sychiati 11eat.</i> 2001,15.425-454.
-130 /121	15 Wetherell I. Kanlan R. Kallenberg G. et al. Mental health treatment preferences of older and
432	volunger primary care patients Int I Psychiatry Mod 2004:34:219-233
132	Jourger primary care parents. In \$ 1 Sychamy free. 2007, 57, 217-255.

- 433434 16 The IAPT pathfinders: Achievements and Challenges. Department of Health. 2008.
- 435
  436 17 Lewis G, Pelosi A, Araya R, et al. Measuring psychiatric disorders in the community: a
  437 standardised assessment for use by lay interviewers. *Psychol Med.* 1992;22:465-486.
- 438
- 18 Spitzer R, Kroenke K, Williams J. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIMEMD: the PHQ primary care study. *JAMA*. 1999;10:1737-1744.
- 441
- 442 19 Spitzer R, Kroenke, Williams B, et al. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety
  443 disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Int Med.* 2006;166:1092-1097.
- 445 20 Copay A, Subach B, Glassman S, et al. Understanding the minimum clinically important 446 difference: a review of concepts and methods. *Spine J*. 2007;7:541-546.
- 447

444

- 448 21 Stavrou S, Cape J, Barker, C. Decisions about referrals for psychological therapies: a 449 matched-patient qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2009;59:289-298.
- 449 matched-patient qualitative stuc450
- 451 22 Estimating the prevalence of common mental health problems in PCTs in England. Mental452 Health Observatory Briefs. 2008
- 453
- 454 23 Marshall D, Quinn C, Child S, et al (2015). What IAPT services can learn from those who do
- 455 not attend. *J Ment Health*. 2015;3:1-6.

Age	(years)	18-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74
Population	(count)	253,936	293,070	260,578	299,820	354,084	361,746	324,621	307,731	345,264	285,479	243,231	214,057
Estimated CMHP	(count)	35,001	44,942	49,269	51,344	63,188	72,673	66,849	62,190	55,179	43,676	23,892	20,270
cases	(% of pop.)	13.78	15.33	18.91	17.12	17.85	20.09	20.59	20.21	15.98	15.30	9.82	9.47
Referrals	(count)	3,527	10,313	10,199	9,568	9,582	10,071	8,885	6,681	5,152	3,595	2,321	1,217
	(% of pop)	1.39	3.52	3.91	3.19	2.71	2.78	2.74	2.17	1.49	1.26	0.95	0.57
	(% of CMHP cases)	10.08	22.95	20.70	18.64	15.16	13.86	13.29	10.74	9.34	8.23	9.71	6.00
Attenders	(count)	2,033	5,913	6,205	6,155	6,438	6,916	6,400	4,868	3,954	2,767	1,774	905
	(% of pop)	0.80	2.02	2.38	2.05	1.82	1.91	1.97	1.58	1.15	0.97	0.73	0.42
	(% of CMHP cases)	5.81	13.16	12.59	11.99	10.19	9.52	9.57	7.83	7.17	6.34	7.43	4.46
	(% of referrals)	57.64	57.34	60.84	64.33	67.19	68.67	72.03	72.86	76.75	76.97	76.43	74.36
Completers (>=2	(count)	675	2,384	2,492	2,486	2,716	2,949	2,723	2,139	1,819	1,266	797	412
sessions)	(% of pop)	0.27	0.81	0.96	0.83	0.77	0.82	0.84	0.70	0.53	0.44	0.33	0.19
	(% of CMHP cases)	1.93	5.30	5.06	4.84	4.30	4.06	4.07	3.44	3.30	2.90	3.34	2.03
	(% of referrals)	19.14	23.12	24.43	25.98	28.34	29.28	30.65	32.02	35.31	35.22	34.34	33.85
	(% of attenders)	33.20	40.32	40.16	40.39	42.19	42.64	42.55	43.94	46.00	45.75	44.93	45.52
Reliable	(count)	263	884	983	994	1,121	1,269	1,143	906	789	560	368	135
improvement	(% of pop)	0.10	0.30	0.38	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.35	0.29	0.23	0.20	0.15	0.06
	(% of CMHP cases)	0.75	1.97	2.00	1.94	1.77	1.75	1.71	1.46	1.43	1.28	1.54	0.67
	(% of referrals)	7.46	8.57	9.64	10.39	11.70	12.60	12.86	13.56	15.31	15.58	15.86	11.09
	(% of attenders)	12.94	14.95	15.84	16.15	17.41	18.35	17.86	18.61	19.95	20.24	20.74	14.92
	(% of completers)	38.96	37.08	39.45	39.98	41.27	43.03	41.98	42.36	43.38	44.23	46.17	32.77

Table 1: Detail of population and referrals in the South West across age including: total population; total number of referrals; referrals as a proportion of population; and estimated prevalence



Figure 1: Estimated percent of CMHPs and number of those: referred, with access, with 2+ sessions, achieving MCID as a proportion of total population across age in the South West



Figure 2: Number of those: referred, with access, with 2+ sessions, achieving MCID as a proportion of total population across age in the South West



Figure 3: Number of those: with access, with 2+ sessions, achieving MCID as a proportion of those referred across age in the South West



Figure 4: Those achieving MCID as a proportion of those with 2+ treatment sessions across age in the South West