

Correlates of Children's Moderate and Vigorous Physical Activity during Weekdays and Weekends

Manuscript type: original research

Keywords: activity intensity, accelerometry, determinants, gender, multi-level analysis, youth

Abstract word count: 169

Main text word count: 4,628

Date of revised submission: 7th September 2010

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

Abstract

Background: Vigorous intensity physical activity (VPA) may confer superior health benefits for children than moderate intensity physical activity (MPA) but the correlates of MPA and VPA may differ. The study purpose was to investigate associations between selected enabling, predisposing, and demographic physical activity correlates, and MPA and VPA during weekdays and at weekends.

Methods: Data were gathered from 175 children (aged 10-11 years). MPA and VPA were assessed using accelerometers. Correlates were measured at child and school levels. Multi-level analyses identified correlates that significantly predicted MPA and VPA.

Results: Gender significantly predicted weekday MPA ($p < .001$), and weekend MPA ($p = .022$) and VPA ($p = .035$). Weekday VPA was predicted by gender ($p < .001$), indices of multiple deprivation score ($p < .003$), BMI ($p = .018$), and school playground area ($p = .046$).

Conclusions: Gender was the most significant correlate of MPA and VPA. Children most likely to engage in weekday VPA were boys with lower deprivation scores and BMI values, with access to larger playground areas.

17

Introduction

18 Regular engagement in appropriate amounts of physical activity is important for child
19 growth and development and confers benefits to cardiovascular, skeletal, and psychological
20 health ¹. Physical activity may be particularly important in addressing the increasing
21 prevalence of childhood overweight and obesity, which in developed countries is a major
22 public health concern, not least because obesity tracks at moderate levels through to
23 adulthood ². Considerable efforts have been made to develop effective ways of promoting
24 physical activity in youth but few studies have demonstrated efficacy. Moreover, even fewer
25 studies have demonstrated potential for broader dissemination ³. To advance research on
26 youth activity promotion it is important to better understand factors that can be targeted in
27 behavioral interventions ⁴.

28 Recent recommendations suggest that efforts to promote children's physical activity
29 must take into account the developmental, psychological, and behavioral characteristics of
30 children ⁵, and recognize the multi-dimensional correlates of youth physical activity ⁶. Such
31 correlates are organized in a hierarchical framework within the Youth Physical Activity
32 Promotion Model (YPAPM) ⁷. The YPAPM is based on the fundamental principles of the
33 PRECEDE-PROCEED model of health program planning and evaluation ⁸. Within this model
34 emphasis is placed on the proposition that health and risks to health are caused by multiple
35 factors, and it is for this reason efforts to effect behavior and environmental change must also
36 be multi-dimensional ⁸. The YPAPM categorizes physical activity correlates as enabling (e.g.,
37 motor skills, environment), reinforcing (e.g., parents, teachers), and predisposing factors (e.g.,
38 attitudes, perceived competence). Demographic factors (e.g., age, gender) are positioned at
39 the base of the model because these correlates directly influence how individuals assimilate
40 other variables encapsulated in the enabling, predisposing, and reinforcing factors ⁷. By virtue
41 of the promotional nature of the model, the emphasis is placed on those correlates which are
42 potentially related to youth physical activity and are most amenable to change ⁷. The YPAPM

43 provides a framework for this study as the correlates of interest reflect the enabling,
44 predisposing, and demographic factors described therein.

45 Activity promotion efforts among young people typically focus on moderate-to-
46 vigorous intensity physical activity (MVPA)⁹⁻¹⁰. The majority of children's health-enhancing
47 physical activity comes from the moderate end of this intensity spectrum during free-living¹¹.
48 Moderate intensity physical activity (MPA) provides significant health benefits, is accessible
49 and achievable by the majority of children, can be easily built into children's every day
50 routines, and carries a relatively low risk of injury¹. These factors are important
51 considerations for public health guidelines so physical activity recommendations commonly
52 relate to MPA as the minimum intensity level required for children to achieve health benefits
53⁹. Recent evidence however suggests that vigorous intensity physical activity (VPA) may
54 confer greater benefits than MPA in relation to cardiovascular¹², musculoskeletal¹³, and
55 psychological health¹⁴. It is acknowledged though that for overweight children or those with
56 low cardiorespiratory fitness the energy cost of VPA may be greater than for leaner or fitter
57 peers¹⁵. As a consequence, compared to MPA some children may find VPA more challenging
58 to engage in and maintain, and VPA that is especially tiring may lead to decreases in
59 adherence to physical activity participation on subsequent days¹⁶. Though VPA may
60 potentially be more beneficial to health than MPA, lack of adherence and/or reductions in
61 overall physical activity levels and affect are counterproductive to health promotion efforts.

62 Correlates of young people's physical activity are commonly described in relation to
63 MVPA^{6,17} as this outcome variable is consistent with public health recommendations.
64 However, considering the contrasting characteristics of different forms of MPA (e.g., walking
65 to school) and VPA (e.g., running, some sports participation), it is plausible that the correlates
66 of physical activity at these intensities also differ¹⁸. The study objective was to investigate the
67 association between selected youth physical activity correlates, and primary school children's
68 MPA and VPA during weekdays and weekends. As the selected correlates represented

69 enabling, demographic, and predisposing factors⁷, the YPAPM provided an appropriate
70 conceptual framework for the study. Weekday and weekend comparisons were made to
71 account for the contrasting structure and available recreational choices available to youth
72 during these periods of the week. Reinforcing correlates relating to parents, teachers, coaches,
73 etc were not investigated due to resource constraints during data collection.

74 **Methods**

75 **Participants**

76 Data were gathered from 10 to 11 year old children from a large north-west England
77 town. All primary schools in the town were informed about the study and invited to
78 participate. Of the schools that expressed an interest one was randomly selected from each of
79 10 geographically representative Township areas. Prior to the project commencing two
80 schools withdrew and due to time pressures were not replaced. A verbal explanation of the
81 project along with written information and consent forms were given to all children in school
82 Year 6 (age 10 to 11 years; n = 307) in the remaining 8 schools, which were situated in urban
83 and suburban areas. The mean number of children enrolled in each school was 347.8 ± 143.8 ,
84 ranging from 149 in the smallest school to 517 in the largest one. The proportion of children
85 eligible for free school meals in these schools averaged $7.8 \pm 3.6\%$ (range = 3.4% to 15.1%)
86 which was less than the national average of 16.1%. Completed parental informed consent and
87 child assent with home postcodes were returned from 230 children (116 girls; 74.4% response
88 rate). Ethical approval was obtained from the University Ethics Committee. Data were
89 collected on one day in one school per week between October and December 2008.

90 **Instruments and procedures**

91 *Enabling factors*

92 **School spatial areas.** An aerial view of each school was located using Google™
93 Earth Pro (GEP) software [version 4.2.0205.5730] in order to quantify available outdoor

94 spatial areas for physical activity participation. Spatial areas identified by teachers as being
95 accessible and usable for activity (grass and playground areas) were calculated using the GEP
96 polygon tool. The GEP application has been used previously in geo-coding studies¹⁹ and
97 provides a simple, cost-effective means of quantifying spatial areas. The area of each of the
98 polygons was calculated by the software and then recorded and summed for each school to
99 provide an estimate of total outdoor spatial area, and playground spatial area. To the best of
100 our knowledge this is the first time this resource has been used in youth physical activity
101 research.

102 **Anthropometry.** Stature and sitting height were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using
103 a portable stadiometer (Leicester Height Measure, Seca, Birmingham, UK). Leg length was
104 calculated by subtracting sitting height from stature. Body mass was measured to the nearest
105 0.1 kg using calibrated scales (Seca, Birmingham, UK). All measurements were taken by
106 trained research staff using standard procedures.

107 **Maturity status.** Somatic maturity status was estimated by determining years from
108 attainment of peak height velocity (APHV). Years from APHV for each child were predicted
109 using gender-specific regression equations that included stature, sitting height, leg length,
110 chronological age and their interactions²⁰. Chronological age was calculated by subtracting
111 each child's date of birth from the measurement date.

112 *Demographic factors*

113 **Socio-economic status.** Socio-economic status was calculated using the 2007 Indices
114 of Multiple Deprivation which are comprised of seven domains of deprivation which relate to
115 income, employment, health, education, housing, environment, and crime²¹. Deprivation
116 scores were derived from the children's main home postcodes using the National Statistics
117 Postcode Directory database²². Higher socio-economic status was represented by lower
118 deprivation scores.

119 *Predisposing factors*

120 **Physical self-perceptions.** Physical self-perceptions were assessed using the
121 Children and Youth version of the Physical Self-Perception Profile ²³. This instrument has
122 been shown to be an appropriate measure of physical self-perceptions among North American
123 ²⁴ and European youth ²⁵. The Children and Youth Physical Self-Perception Profile follows a
124 hierarchical structure with global self-esteem at the apex and physical self-worth positioned at
125 the domain level. Subordinate to physical self-worth are four sub-domains of sport
126 competence, physical condition, body attractiveness, and physical strength. Each domain is
127 measured on a 1 (low perceptions) to 4 (high perceptions) scale by six items that utilize a
128 structured alternative format to reduce socially desirable responses. Strong internal
129 consistencies were demonstrated for physical self-worth and each sub-domain. Cronbach's
130 alpha coefficients were .81 (physical self-worth), .75 (sport competence), .80 (physical
131 condition), .86 (body attractiveness), and .83 (physical strength). The questionnaire was
132 administered in the children's classrooms by research staff who provided verbal and visual
133 examples of how and where to respond to items on the profile.

134 *Outcome measures*

135 **Physical activity.** Physical activity was objectively measured every 5 seconds for
136 five consecutive days (Friday through to Tuesday) using ActiGraph accelerometers (GT1M,
137 ActiGraph LLC, Pensacola, FL). The ActiGraph is a common tool to assess the volume and
138 intensity of physical activity, and it has previously been validated with children ²⁶. The
139 children were instructed to wear the ActiGraph over the right hip using a waist mounted nylon
140 belt, during all waking hours. At the end of the data collection period the ActiGraphs were
141 downloaded using Actlife software (ActiGraph LLC, Pensacola, FL). Downloaded files were
142 initially checked for compliance to the monitoring protocol using customized software
143 (MAHUFFE; www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk). Sustained 20 minute periods of zero counts were
144 deemed to indicate that the ActiGraph had been removed, and total 'missing' counts for those

145 periods represented the duration that monitors were not worn²⁷. For inclusion in the analyses,
146 each child was required to have produced counts for ≥ 629 min and ≥ 605 min on each
147 weekday and weekend day, respectively. These figures represented 'non-missing' counts for
148 at least 80% of a standard measurement day, which was defined as the length of time that at
149 least 70% of the sample wore the monitor²⁷.

150 Data from children with at least 3 valid measurement days (including a minimum of 1
151 weekend day) were retained for further analysis, as this has previously been deemed a reliable
152 minimum wear time for children of this age²⁸. Fifty-five children (19 girls) did not meet the
153 minimum wear time criteria and so were excluded from the data set, leaving a final sample
154 size of 175 (97 girls). The number of minutes of MPA and VPA were calculated using cut-
155 points of 2000 and 3000 counts per minute, respectively, which have previously been used in
156 this age group to study associations between physical activity intensity and metabolic risk
157 factors¹¹. Number of counts per minute ($\text{count} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) during weekdays and weekends were
158 also calculated as a raw measure of physical activity.

159 **Data analysis**

160 Preliminary Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests confirmed that the physical activity variables
161 were excessively skewed. Base-10 logarithm transformations were performed to normalize
162 the data, which were subsequently back-transformed for interpretation and presentation
163 purposes. Individual and school level descriptive statistics ($M \pm SD$) were then calculated for
164 all measured variables and independent *t*-tests were used to compare child level variables
165 between boys and girls and between children who were included and excluded from the data
166 analysis. These analyses were conducted using SPSS version 15 (SPSS inc., Chicago, IL). To
167 account for the nested nature of the child data within the 8 schools, multi-level modeling was
168 performed for the main analysis²⁹. A two-level data structure was used where children were
169 defined as the first level unit of analysis and schools as the second level unit³⁰. School was
170 included as a second level unit to control for the effect that this particular context could have

171 on the children's physical activity behaviors and self-perceptions³⁰. The data were analyzed
172 using MLwiN 1.10 software (Institute of Education, University of London, UK). Separate
173 multi-level prediction models were constructed to identify correlates that were significantly
174 associated with MPA and VPA during weekdays and weekends (4 models in total). The
175 correlates included outcome variables from the school level (e.g., number on roll), and child
176 level (i.e., deprivation score, anthropometric variables, maturity status, and physical self-
177 perception measures). Correlates were retained in the models when they were significant
178 predictors of MPA and VPA and remained significant when subsequent correlates were
179 retained in the models. In addition, potential effect modification (interaction effects) was
180 assessed for selected correlates in order to investigate whether differences existed between
181 different subgroups. Where appropriate, interaction terms were added separately to the
182 analyses to determine their effects on MPA and VPA³⁰. Regression coefficients in the models
183 were assessed for significance using the Wald statistic³⁰. Statistical significance was set at p
184 $< .05$ except for the interaction terms where it was $p < .10$ ³⁰.

185 **Results**

186 The descriptive statistics for boys and girls are presented in Table 1. The children
187 were well matched in relation to their anthropometric characteristics and deprivation scores.
188 Boys were significantly older than girls, but girls were significantly closer to APHV than
189 boys. Boys reported more positive physical self-perception ratings than girls in all domains
190 including self-esteem. Similarly, boys accumulated more physical activity than girls during
191 weekdays and weekends, with the greatest differences in physical activity occurring during
192 weekdays. No significant differences between children included and excluded from the
193 analyses were found for any variables with the exception of years from APHV (included $>$
194 excluded; $t(228) = 2.8$, $p = .006$). Total area available for physical activity in the schools was
195 $10,265.4 \pm 4,691.7 \text{ m}^2$ and playground space was $1,929.6 \pm 1,110.8 \text{ m}^2$.

196 TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

197 Table 2 shows that gender was the sole significant predictor of weekday MPA, with
198 boys more likely to engage in 10.9 minutes more activity at this intensity than girls ($p < .001$).
199 The prediction model for weekday VPA included enabling and demographic factors. The
200 strongest predictor was gender ($p < .001$), followed by deprivation score ($p = .003$). BMI ($p =$
201 $.018$) and playground area ($p = .046$) were the other significant predictor variables. The model
202 suggests that the children most likely to engage in weekday VPA were boys with lower
203 deprivation scores, lower BMI values and those who had access to the largest playground
204 areas. The only correlate to significantly predict weekend MPA ($p = .022$) and VPA ($p =$
205 $.035$) was gender, with boys more likely than girls to spend time being active at each intensity
206 (Table 3). Compared to girls, at the weekend boys engaged in 6.2 and 2.8 minutes more MPA
207 and VPA respectively.

208 TABLES 2 AND 3 ABOUT HERE

209 Within each multi-level analysis perceptions of sport competence significantly
210 improved the model fit, though this correlate did not significantly predict the outcome
211 variables. This observation suggests that perceived sport competence had an influence on the
212 significant correlates. To test this supposition, interaction terms were constructed consisting
213 of the interaction between sport competence and the significant predictor variables from each
214 of the four models. These analyses revealed a significant interaction effect between sport
215 competence and gender for weekday VPA (β (SE) = 3.77 (2.01), $p = .06$), demonstrating that
216 the effect of sport competence perceptions on weekday VPA was stronger in boys than girls.
217 Overall, boys with the highest perceptions of competence accumulated almost 16 minutes
218 more VPA on weekdays compared to girls with the lowest perceptions of competence.

219 Discussion

220 This study provides new insight into individual and environmental correlates of MPA
221 and VPA in youth which reflect the enabling, predisposing, and demographic factors
222 described in the YPAPM⁷. From the range of correlates assessed gender was the most

223 consistently significant predictor of MPA and VPA on weekdays and weekend days. In
224 agreement with recent reviews of youth physical activity correlates, boys were more likely to
225 engage in most physical activity^{6, 17}. These well established gender differences are most
226 likely influenced by biological, environmental, and psychosocial factors. Maturation effects
227 during early adolescence may influence boys and girls differently and explain some of the
228 gender differences. Recent research reported that objectively assessed physical activity was
229 similar when boys and girls of the same biological age were compared³¹, suggesting that the
230 earlier maturation of girls and the combined biological, psychosocial, and emotional changes
231 experienced throughout maturation influence physical activity levels,³¹.

232 The structure and context of the days when physical activity was assessed may also
233 partly explain the significant influence of gender on MPA and VPA. During weekdays when
234 the children were at school, differences in MPA and VPA were greater than those observed at
235 the weekend. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) accumulated during the UK
236 school day has been shown to account for 56% of total daily MVPA³², but values in excess of
237 70% have been reported in France where the school day is somewhat longer³³. During the
238 school day, distinct opportunities for MPA and VPA typically centre on physical education
239 classes and recess periods, as well as before and after-school activities³⁴. During elementary
240 school physical education boys and girls usually participate in similar volumes of physical
241 activity³⁵ often by virtue of classes being taught co-educationally. On occasions when there
242 are gender differences in activity, boys typically are the more active³⁵, possibly due to them
243 possessing superior motor skills³⁶ and intrinsic motivation in physical education mediated by
244 perceived competence and enjoyment³⁷. Perceptions of competence and enjoyment in
245 physical education are heavily influenced by teachers who plan and deliver lesson content,
246 and provide children with feedback on their participation³⁸. Gender differences in physical
247 activity tend to be more apparent during recess than physical education as boys typically
248 dominate the playground space playing competitive games (e.g., soccer), while girls are more
249 likely to take part in sedentary play and socializing³⁹. Though less research has been

250 conducted in after-school contexts, there is also evidence to demonstrate that in this setting
251 boys do more MPA and especially VPA than girls during free play and structured activities ⁴⁰.
252 Taken together, such typical gendered activity engagement in these settings may explain why
253 boys had higher levels of both MPA and VPA during weekdays. Boys and girls were less
254 active at weekends and the effect of gender on physical activity was largely attenuated.

255 The discrepancy between weekday and weekend physical activity is consistent with
256 other recent work in the UK ⁴¹ and United States ⁴². It is suggested that the lower weekend
257 activity levels may be influenced by less frequent bouts of light and more intense physical
258 activity ⁴¹, which are possibly mediated by the greater choice of recreational (and often
259 sedentary) pursuits available to youth at weekends. Moreover, during weekends there are
260 fewer organized clubs and activities available for girls compared to boys, and girls are less
261 likely than boys to use community sports and physical activity facilities ⁴³. For some boys and
262 girls the absence of the structured school environment and its regular opportunities for
263 physical activity may explain the lower weekend activity levels ⁴². Our data were collected
264 during autumn and winter when reduced daylight hours limited afternoon and evening
265 opportunities for outdoor physical activity. It is well established that children's physical
266 activity is lowest during the winter months ⁴⁴ so seasonality may also contribute to the lower
267 physical activity levels of our sample during weekends.

268 Deprivation score was a highly significant predictor of weekday VPA, suggesting that
269 the least deprived children were the most active. This inverse relationship between physical
270 activity and deprivation level has been demonstrated previously. In their study of Scottish
271 youth Inchley and colleagues ⁴⁵ found that the lowest levels of VPA were reported by children
272 from the least affluent families, and that this effect was more pronounced among girls. Similar
273 results were observed among young people in London, but a significant association between
274 VPA and deprivation level was only evident in girls, but not boys ⁴⁶. The results of these large
275 UK studies suggest that girls' VPA may be more strongly influenced by socio-economic

276 status than boys', possibly because greater opportunities exist for boys to participate in
277 structured and unstructured forms of VPA, such as sports clubs⁴³ and active play,
278 respectively. The fact that our data revealed how gender and deprivation score were the most
279 significant predictors of weekday VPA lends some support to the supposition that there may
280 be an additive effect of gender and socio-economic status putting girls from low socio-
281 economic backgrounds at particular risk of low physical activity⁴⁵. This perspective though
282 should be considered cautiously as a significant interaction effect between gender and socio-
283 economic status was not reported by Inchley et al.⁴⁵ or ourselves.

284 While such trends between socio-economic status and physical activity are quite
285 consistent, the mechanisms for them are less obvious. Children aged 10-11 years are still
286 relatively dependent on family members to facilitate and reinforce physically active
287 behaviors. A recent qualitative study demonstrated that parental encouragement for physical
288 activity differed depending on socio-economic status⁴⁷. It was concluded that parents of
289 children from high to middle socioeconomic backgrounds used more proactive methods of
290 encouragement (e.g., logistical and financial support, modeling, etc) than parents of children
291 from less affluent backgrounds, who relied more on verbal instructions and demands⁴⁷.
292 Parental encouragement is required for all children regardless of family circumstances, but for
293 it to be effective there needs to be greater investment in safe, open play spaces⁴⁸, and physical
294 activity initiatives that are within all families' fiscal means. Furthermore, low cost
295 interventions such as active travel schemes have potential to influence activity levels of all
296 children, particularly on school days⁴⁹.

297 Weekday VPA was inversely associated with BMI suggesting that children with
298 higher BMI values were likely to spend the least time in VPA. Similar observations were
299 reported by Trost et al.⁵⁰ who found that obese 11 year olds took part in approximately 15
300 minutes and 5 minutes less MPA and VPA per day, respectively than non-obese peers.
301 Correlates of physical activity were also measured in this study and it was found that obese

302 children had significantly lower levels of self-efficacy, less involvement in community
303 physical activity promoting initiatives, and less likelihood of having their father or male
304 guardian model physical activity⁵⁰. This suggests that there are social and environmental
305 factors that may explain lower activity levels of overweight youth. Overweight children of
306 upper primary or middle school age have also been shown to possess lower levels of
307 fundamental movement skills than peers with healthy weight status⁵¹. As fundamental
308 movement skill proficiency is associated with participation in organized physical activities⁵²
309 this may explain in part the inverse relationship between adiposity and physical activity
310 levels. Consistent with the YPAPM, lack of movement skill competence may lead to reduced
311 physical activity enjoyment⁵³ perceived competence⁵⁴, and self-efficacy⁵⁰. Thus, it is
312 probable that a number of interlinked factors mediate the impact of weight status on VPA.

313 Playground spatial area was the fourth significant predictor of weekday VPA, which
314 concurs with previous studies reporting positive associations between the size of school
315 environments and physical activity⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶. The significance of playground area reinforces the
316 important role of recess periods and outdoor physical education classes as regular
317 opportunities for health-enhancing physical activity. The data were collected during the
318 autumn and winter months when grassed areas were often wet and as a result children were
319 only allowed to use the tarmac playground areas during recess and outdoor physical
320 education. The positive association between playground area and VPA supports the notion
321 that children are more likely to be active when outdoors¹⁷ and with optimal amounts of space
322 to play in⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶. However, during recess in particular, interactions between area type, adult
323 supervision, and equipment have been shown to have stronger effects on MVPA than area
324 size alone⁵⁶, suggesting that space may be only one aspect of the school environment that can
325 facilitate physical activity. On the basis of these results, a combination of strategies to engage
326 children in physical activity during unstructured settings such as recess is required. Simple
327 cost effective methods like maximizing playtime duration and installing playground markings
328 have been shown to be effective⁵⁷. Other approaches such as making play and sports

329 equipment available have impacted on physical activity, particularly among girls⁵⁸, though
330 the implementation of such approaches during short recess periods may be problematic and
331 not necessarily increase activity levels.

332 It was interesting to note that perceived sport competence was a significant predictor
333 of weekday VPA in the model before playground space was added, but not after (though in all
334 cases it actually improved the overall model fit). This analysis suggests that the size of the
335 playground area had more influence on weekday VPA than perceived sport competence. This
336 implies that the size of the playground space facilitates children's VPA independent of
337 children's perceptions of technical or physical competence. Potentially girls may benefit most
338 from having more playground space, which typically is dominated by boys playing games
339 such as soccer³⁹. Larger playground spaces may allow girls greater opportunities for VPA
340 away from boys, and without the need for girls to engage in sport related activities⁵⁹. As a
341 result of the significant role played by sport competence in each of the models, interaction
342 terms were constructed between sport competence and each of the significant predictors. The
343 only significant interaction was between sport competence and gender, signifying that
344 perceived sport competence had a greater influence over boys' rather than girls' weekday
345 VPA. Previous studies have also reported stronger associations for boys compared to girls
346 between perceived sport competence and, MVPA²⁵, and change in pedometer step counts⁶⁰.
347 The exact reasons for these gender differences are not clear. It is possible that differences in
348 perceived sport competence reflect boys' superior actual competence²⁵. Alternatively, it has
349 been suggested that boys and girls have similar perceptions of sport competence but that girls
350 are more modest, and boys more extravagant when rating themselves on this self-perception
351 sub-domain⁶¹.

352 The strengths of this study were the use of objectively assessed physical activity to
353 describe MPA and VPA and the division of the week into weekdays and weekends. In
354 addition, the multi-level analyses accounted for the nested nature of the children within the

355 schools and also allowed school level correlates to be analyzed. Furthermore, the study
356 included a range of enabling, predisposing, and demographic correlates, which according to
357 the YPAPM⁷ work in combination to influence youth physical activity behavior. There were
358 also limitations, the most important was the use of a cross-sectional research design which
359 precludes conclusions being made about causality. The children were sampled from 8 schools,
360 which may have contributed to a lack of power in the analyses. Had the sample been larger,
361 more correlates may have demonstrated significant associations with the outcome variables. A
362 greater range of correlates, and in particular the inclusion of reinforcing factors would have
363 better reflected the range of influential correlates proposed in the YPAPM⁷. The number of
364 children excluded from the data analysis due to insufficient number of valid days of
365 accelerometer wear suggests that procedures to ensure compliance to the monitoring protocol
366 required improvement. Indeed, the lack of consensus over the minimum number of required
367 days of valid accelerometer data may raise a doubt over whether a minimum of 3 days
368 accelerometer data were sufficiently representative, particularly in relation to the weekend
369 period. While more stringent inclusion criteria were an option, 3 days is a commonly used
370 standard that has been applied in similar studies^{41, 62, 63}, possibly because it strikes a
371 pragmatic balance between representativeness of the data and inclusion of participants for
372 analysis.

373 Of the correlates measured gender was the most significant predictor of physical
374 activity regardless of intensity or period of the week. In addition to gender, weekday VPA
375 was significantly associated with deprivation scores, BMI values, and playground area,
376 suggesting that the most vigorously active children were boys from the least deprived
377 families, who were relatively lean, and who had access to the most playground space. The
378 results reinforce the identification of girls as a target population for intervention programs.
379 Moreover, the findings underline the utility of theoretical frameworks such as the YPAPM to
380 inform and develop such programs.

382

References

- 383 1. Department of Health. *At Least Five a Week*. London: Crown Copyright;
384 2004.
- 385 2. Singh AS, Mulder C, Twisk JW, van Mechelen W, Chinapaw MJ. Tracking of
386 childhood overweight into adulthood: a systematic review of the literature.
387 *Obes Rev*. Sep 2008;9:474-488.
- 388 3. van Sluijs EMF, McMinn AM, Griffin SJ. Effectiveness of interventions to
389 promote physical activity in children and adolescents: Systematic review of
390 controlled trials. *Br Med J*. 2007;335:703. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39320.843947.BE
- 391 4. Baranowski T, Jago R. Understanding the mechanisms of change in children's
392 physical activity programs. *Exerc Sports Sci Rev*. 2005;33:163-168.
- 393 5. Council for Physical Education for Children. *Physical Activity for Children: A
394 Statement of Guidelines*. Reston, VA: NASPE Publications; 1998.
- 395 6. Van der Horst K, Paw MJCA, Twisk JWR, Van Mechelen W. A brief review
396 on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. *Med Sci Sports
397 Exerc*. 2007;39:1241-1250.
- 398 7. Welk GJ. The Youth Physical Activity Promotion Model: A conceptual bridge
399 between theory and practice. *Quest*. 1999;51:5-23.
- 400 8. Green LW. A resource for instructors, students, health practitioners, and
401 researchers using: The PRECEDE-PROCEED model for health program
402 planning and evaluation 2007. Available at: <http://lgreen.net/index.html>.
403 Accessed 27th October, 2009.
- 404 9. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Promoting Physical
405 Activity for Children and Young People*. London: NICE; 2009.

- 406 **10.** United States Department of Health and Human Services. *2008 Physical*
407 *Activity Guidelines for Americans*. Washington, DC: ODPHP Publications;
408 2008.
- 409 **11.** Ekelund U, Anderssen SA, Froberg K, Sardinha LB, Andersen LB, Brage S.
410 Independent associations of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness with
411 metabolic risk factors in children: the European Youth Heart Study.
412 *Diabetologia*. 2007;50:1832-1840.
- 413 **12.** Hopkins ND, Stratton G, Tinken TM, et al. Relationships between measures of
414 fitness, physical activity, body composition and vascular function in children.
415 *Atherosclerosis*. May 2009;204:244-249.
- 416 **13.** Sardinha LB, Baptista F, Ekelund U. Objectively measured physical activity
417 and bone strength in 9 year old boys and girls. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122:e728-
418 e736.
- 419 **14.** Parfitt G, Pavey T, Rowlands AV. Children's physical activity and
420 psychological health: the relevance of intensity. *Acta Paediatr*. 2009;98:1037-
421 1043.
- 422 **15.** Spadano JL, Must A, Bandini LG, Dallal GE, Dietz WH. Energy cost of
423 physical activities in 12 y old girls: MET values and the influence of body
424 weight. *Int J Obes*. 2003;27:1528-1533.
- 425 **16.** Kriemler S, Hebestreit H, Mikami S, Bar-Or T, Ayub BV, Bar-Or O. Impact
426 of a single exercise bout on energy expenditure and spontaneous physical
427 activity of obese boys. *Pediatr Res*. 1999;46:40-44.
- 428 **17.** Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity
429 of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2000;32:963-975.

- 430 **18.** Morgan PJ, Okely AD, Cliff DP, Jones RA, Baur LA. Correlates of
431 objectively measured physical activity in obese children. *Obes.* 2009;16:2634-
432 2641.
- 433 **19.** Lovasi GS, Weiss JC, Hoskins R, et al. Comparing a single stage geocoding
434 method to a multi-stage geocoding method: How much and where do they
435 disagree? *Int J Health Geographics.* 2007;6(12). doi:10.1186/1476-072X-6-
436 12.
- 437 **20.** Mirwald RL, Baxter-Jones ADG, Bailey DA, Beunen GP. An assessment of
438 maturity from anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
439 2002;34:689-694.
- 440 **21.** Department for Communities and Local Government. *The English Indices of*
441 *Deprivation 2007.* Wetherby: Communities and Local Government
442 Publications; 2008.
- 443 **22.** Census.ac.uk. National Statistics Postcode Directory. 2008. Available at
444 http://census.ac.uk/guides/Lookup_tables.aspx. Accessed 15th January, 2009.
- 445 **23.** Whitehead JR. A study of children's physical self-perceptions using an
446 adapted physical self-perception profile questionnaire. *Ped Exerc Sci.*
447 1995;7:132-151.
- 448 **24.** Crocker PRE, Ekelund RC, Kowalski KC. Children's physical activity and
449 physical self-perceptions. *J Sports Sci.* 2000;18:383-394.
- 450 **25.** Raudsepp L, Liblik R, Hannus A. Children's and adolescents' physical self-
451 perceptions as related to moderate to vigorous physical activity and physical
452 fitness. *Ped Exerc Sci.* 2002;14:97-106.

- 453 **26.** Trost SG, Ward DS, Moorehead SM, Watson PD, Riner W, Burke JR.
454 Validity of the Computer Science and Application (CSA) activity monitor in
455 children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998;30:629-633.
- 456 **27.** Catellier DJ, Hannan PJ, Murray DM, et al. Imputation of missing data when
457 measuring physical activity by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
458 2005;37(Suppl):S555-S562.
- 459 **28.** Mattocks C, Ness AR, Leary SD, et al. Use of accelerometers in a large field-
460 based study of children: Protocols, design issues, and effects on precision. *J*
461 *Phys Activity Health.* 2008;5(Suppl. 1):S98-S111.
- 462 **29.** Goldstein H. *Multilevel Statistical Models.* 2nd ed. London: Arnold; 1995.
- 463 **30.** Twisk JWR. *Applied Multilevel Analysis.* Cambridge: Cambridge University
464 Press; 2006.
- 465 **31.** Sherar LB, Esliger DW, Baxter-Jones ADG, Trembaly MS. Age and gender
466 differences in youth physical activity: Does physical maturity matter? *Med Sci*
467 *Sports Exerc.* 2007;39:830-835.
- 468 **32.** Fairclough SJ, Butcher ZH, Stratton G. Primary school children's health
469 enhancing physical activity patterns: the school as a significant environment.
470 *Educ 3-13.* 2008;36:371-381.
- 471 **33.** Guinhouya BC, Lemdani M, Apete GK, Durocher A, Vilheim C, Hubert H.
472 How school time physical activity is the 'big one' for daily activity among
473 schoolchildren: a semi experimental approach. *J Phys Activity Health.*
474 2009;6:510-519.
- 475 **34.** Beighle A, Morgan CF, Le Masurier GC, Pangrazi RP. Children's physical
476 activity during recess and outside school. *J School Health.* 2006;76:516-520.

- 477 **35.** Fairclough SJ, Stratton G. A review of physical activity levels during
478 elementary school physical education. *J Teach Phys Educ.* 2006;25:240-258.
- 479 **36.** Booth ML, Okley T, McLellan L, et al. Mastery of fundamental motor skills
480 among New South Wales school students: prevalence and sociodemographic
481 distribution. *J Sci Med Sport.* 1999;2:93-105.
- 482 **37.** Carroll B, Loumidis J. Children's perceived competence and enjoyment in
483 physical education and physical activity activity outside school. *Eur Phys
484 Educ Rev.* 2001;7:24-43.
- 485 **38.** Hilland TA, Stratton G, Vincon D, Fairclough SJ. The Physical Education
486 Predisposition Scale: Preliminary development and validation. *J Sports Sci.*
487 2009;27:1555-1563..
- 488 **39.** Ridgers ND, Stratton G, Fairclough SJ. Physical activity levels of children
489 during school playtime. *Sports Med.* 2006;36:359-371.
- 490 **40.** Trost SG, Rosenkranz RR, Dzewaltowski DA. Physical activity levels among
491 children attending after-school programs. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40:622-
492 629.
- 493 **41.** Rowlands AV, Pilgrim EL, Eston RG. Patterns of habitual activity across
494 weekdays and weekend days in 9-11 year old children. *Prev Med.*
495 2008;46:317-324.
- 496 **42.** Treuth MS, Catellier DJ, Schmitz KH, et al. Weekend and weekday patterns of
497 physical activity in overweight and normal-weight adolescent girls. *Obes.*
498 2007;15:1782-1788.
- 499 **43.** Sport England. *Young People and Sport in England, 2002.* London: Sport
500 England;2003.

- 501 **44.** Riddoch CJ, Mattocks C, Deere K, et al. Objective measurement of levels and
502 patterns of physical activity. *Arch Dis Child*. 2007;92:963-969.
- 503 **45.** Inchley JC, Currie DB, Todd JM, Akhtar PC, Currie CE. Persistent socio-
504 demographic differences in physical activity among Scottish schoolchildren
505 1990-2002. *Eur J Pub Health*. Aug 2005;15:386-388.
- 506 **46.** Brodersen NH, Steptoe A, Boniface DR, Wardle J. Trends in physical activity
507 and sedentary behaviour in adolescence: ethnic and socioeconomic
508 differences. *Br J Sports Med*. 2007;41:140-144.
- 509 **47.** Brockman R, Jago R, Fox KR, Thompson JL, Cartwright K, Page AS. "Get off
510 the sofa and go and play": family and socioeconomic influences on the
511 physical activity of 10-11 year old children. *BMC Pub Health*.
512 2009;9(253):doi:10.1186/1471-2458-1189-1253.
- 513 **48.** Babey SH, Hastert TA, Yu H, Brown ER. Physical activity among
514 adolescents. When do parks matter? *Am J Prev Med*. Apr 2008;34:345-348.
- 515 **49.** Faulkner GEJ, Buliung RN, Flora PK, Fusco C. Active school transport,
516 physical activity levels and body weight of children and youth: A systematic
517 review. *Prev Med*. 2009;48:3-8.
- 518 **50.** Trost SG, Kerr L, Pate RR. Physical activity and determinants of physical
519 activity in obese and non-obese children. *Int J Obes*. 2001;25:822-829.
- 520 **51.** Okely AD, Booth M, Chey T. Relationships between body composition and
521 fundamental movement skills among children and adolescents. *Res Q Exerc*
522 *Sport*. 2004;75:238-247.
- 523 **52.** Okely AD, Booth ML, Patterson JW. Relationship of physical activity to
524 fundamental movement skills among adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
525 2001;33:1899-1904.

- 526 **53.** Okely AD, Booth ML. Relationship of enjoyment of physical activity and
527 preferred activities to fundamental movement skill proficiency in young
528 children. *Int J Behav Med.* 2000;7(Suppl.):151.
- 529 **54.** Stodden DF, Goodway JD, Langendorfer SJ, et al. A developmental
530 perspective on the role of motor skill competence in physical activity: An
531 emergent perspective. *Quest.* 2008;60:290-306.
- 532 **55.** Craddock AL, Melly SJ, Allen JG, Morris JS, Gortmaker SL. Characteristics of
533 school campuses and physical activity among youth. *Am J PrevMed.*
534 2007;33:106-113.
- 535 **56.** Sallis JF, Conway TL, Prochaska JJ, McKenzie TL, Marshall S, Brown M.
536 The association of school environments with youth physical activity. *Am J*
537 *Pub Health.* 2001;91:618-620.
- 538 **57.** Ridgers ND, Stratton G, Fairclough SJ, Twisk JWR. Long-term effects of
539 playground markings and physical structures on children's recess physical
540 activity levels. *Prev Med.* 2007;44:393-397.
- 541 **58.** Verstraete SJM, Cardon GM, De Clercq DLR, De Bourdeadhuij I. Increasing
542 children's physical activity levels during recess periods in elementary schools:
543 The effects of providing games equipment. *Eur J Pub Health.* 2006;16:415-
544 419.
- 545 **59.** Pellegrini AD, Smith PK. School recess: Implications for education and
546 development. *Rev Educational Res.* 1993;63:51-67.
- 547 **60.** Morgan CF, Vincent Graser S, Pangrazi RP. A prospective study of
548 pedometer-determined physical activity and physical self-perceptions in
549 children. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2008;79:133-140.

- 550 **61.** Ladd GW, Price JM. Promoting children's cognitive and social competence:
551 The relation between parents' and children's perceived and actual physical
552 competence. *Child Dev.* 1986;57:446-460.
- 553 **62.** Cooper AR, Wedderkop N, Jago R, et al. Longitudinal associations of cycling
554 to school with adolescent fitness. *Prev Med.* 2008;47:324-328.
- 555 **63.** Jago R, Fox KR, Page AS, Brockman R, Thompson JL. Physical activity and
556 sedentary behaviour typologies of 10-11 year olds. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.*
557 2010;7:59, doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-7-59.
- 558
559
560

Table 1. Boys' and girls' descriptive data ($M \pm SD$)

	Boys ($n = 78$)	Girls ($n = 97$)	p	d
Age (yr)	10.7 (0.3)	10.6 (0.3)	.013	0.33
Stature (cm)	145.1 (6.8)	144.3 (6.4)	.43	0.12
Body mass (kg)	39.2 (8.3)	37.3 (8.0)	.14	0.23
BMI ($m \cdot kg^{-2}$)	18.5 (3.1)	17.8 (3.2)	.17	0.22
Years from APHV (yr)	-2.8 (0.5)	-1.3 (0.5)	< .0001	3.14
Deprivation score	19.1 (11.1)	16.5 (9.8)	.10	0.25
Physical self-perceptions				
Sport competence	3.13 (0.61)	2.87 (0.58)	.005	0.44
Physical condition	3.14 (0.64)	2.92 (0.60)	.021	0.35
Attractive body	2.80 (0.66)	2.58 (0.67)	.036	0.33
Physical strength	2.96 (0.62)	2.59 (0.56)	<.0001	0.63
Physical self-worth	3.08 (0.62)	2.90 (0.65)	.080	0.28
Self-esteem	3.28 (0.53)	3.10 (0.63)	.049	0.30
Physical activity				
Weekday MPA (min)	59.6 (13.2)	52.2 (10.8)	<.001	0.62
Weekday VPA (min)	22.8 (9.6)	18.5 (7.0)	.001	0.52
Weekend MPA (min)	53.8 (17.6)	46.9 (13.2)	.003	0.45
Weekend VPA (min)	16.0 (10.2)	13.1 (7.3)	.044	0.33

Weekday count • min ⁻¹	534.5 (142.2)	471.8 (121.2)	.002	0.62
Weekend count •min ⁻¹	466.2 (208.5)	424.4 (147.4)	.123	0.23

563 Table 2. Multi-level correlates of weekday MPA and VPA

Weekday MPA				Weekday VPA			
Correlate	<i>B (SE)^a</i>	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>	Correlate	<i>B (SE)^a</i>	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>
Constant	38.27 (1.68)	34.98 to 41.56	< .001	Constant	25.96 (3.89)	18.34 to 33.58	< .001
Gender	10.86 (1.53)	7.86 to 13.86	< .001	Gender	5.38 (1.16)	3.11 to 7.65	< .001
				BMI	-0.45 (0.19)	-0.82 to -0.08	.018
				Deprivation score	-0.18 (0.06)	-0.30 to -0.06	.003
				Playground area	0.002 (0.001)	0.00004 to 0.004	.046
Random				Random			
School level	13.29 (9.19)			School level	3.97 (3.38)		
Child level	99.89 (10.93)			Child level	55.95 (6.12)		
Deviance	1312.83			Deviance	1208.12		

574 ^aThe Beta values reflect differences in minutes of MPA and VPA for every one measured unit of each correlate. Girls are the reference group.

575

576 Table 3. Multi-level correlates of weekend MPA and VPA

Weekend MPA				Weekend VPA			577
Correlate	<i>B (SE)^a</i>	95% <i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>	Correlate	<i>B (SE)^a</i>	95% <i>CI</i>	578
Constant	37.88 (2.24)	33.49 to 42.27	< .001	Constant	13.14 (0.89)	11.40 to 14.88	< .001 579
Gender	6.17 (2.69)	0.90 to 11.44	.022	Gender	2.81 (1.33)	0.20 to 5.42	.035 580 581
Random				Random			582 583
School level	12.56 (13.72)			School level	0.0 (0.0)		584
Child level	310.11 (33.91)			Child level	75.40 (8.08)		585 586
Deviance	1505.50			Deviance	1245.95		587

588

589 ^aThe Beta values reflect gender differences in minutes of MPA and VPA. Girls are the reference group.