1 <u>Title Page</u>

2	Title: Cardiore	spiratory	fitness	predicts	clustered	cardiomet	abolic r	risk in	10-11.9	year olds
---	-----------------	-----------	---------	----------	-----------	-----------	----------	---------	---------	-----------

3 <u>Article Type:</u> Original Research Article

4	Authors:	Emma L. Houston ¹ , ehouston@rlbuht.nhs.uk			
5		Julien S. Baker ² , jsbaker@uws.ac.uk			
6		Duncan S. Buchan ² , Duncan.Buchan@uws.ac.uk			
7		Gareth Stratton ^{3,4} , <u>G.Stratton@swansea.ac.uk</u>			
8		Stuart J.Fairclough ⁵ , <u>S.J.Fairclough@ljmu.ac.uk</u>			
9		Lawrence Foweather ⁵ , <u>L.Foweather@ljmu.ac.uk</u>			
10		Rebecca Gobbi ⁶ , <u>Gobbib@hope.ac.uk</u>			
11		Lee E.F. Graves ⁵ , <u>L.E.Graves@ljmu.ac.uk</u>			
12		Nicola Hopkins ⁵ , <u>N.D.Hopkins@ljmu.ac.uk</u>			
13		Lynne M. Boddy ⁵ , <u>L.M.Boddy@ljmu.ac.uk</u> **			
14	1. Royal Live	erpool University Hospital, Prescot Street, Liverpool L7 8XP, UK.			
15 16	2. Institute o	f Clinical Exercise and Health Science, University of the West of Scotland, Hamilton, e ML3.01B UK			
17 19	3. Applied Split	ports Technology, Exercise and Medicine Research Centre, College of Engineering, Swansea			
19	4 School of S	Sports Science Exercise and Health the University of Western Australia Perth Australia			
20	5. The Physi	cal Activity Exchange, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John			
21	Moores Ur	niversity, 62 Great Crosshall Street, Liverpool, L3 2AT, UK.			
22	6. Departmen	t of Health Sciences, Liverpool Hope University, Hope Park, Liverpool, L16 9JD, UK.			
23					
24	** Correspon	ding Author: Dr. Lynne M. Boddy,			
25	-	The Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences,			
26		Tom Reilly Building,			
27		Byrom Street,			
28		Liverpool,			
29		L3 3AF			
30		Email: L.M.Boddy@ljmu.ac.uk			
31		Tel: 0151 231 4275			
32					
33	<u>Contributor</u>	<u>s</u>: ELH and LMB; wrote and conceived this manuscript. JSB and DB; conceived			
34	and designed	I the Benefits of Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations. LMB and GS;			
35	designed and	d conceived the REACH Y6 study. SJF; substantial contribution to writing and			
36	critical revie	w of the article, LMB, LF, RG, LEFG and NH: acquisition and analysis of			
37	REACH Y6	data.			
38					
39	Funding: T	he REACH Y6 study was funded by Liverpool John Moores University. The			
40	Benefits of Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations was funded by the University of				
41 42	the West of S	Scotland.			
43	Competing	Interests: None.			
44					

45 **Word Count:** 2490 excluding Abstract, references and table.

Abstract 46

The aim of this study was to investigate levels of clustered cardiometabolic risk, and the odds 47 of being 'at risk' according to cardiorespiratory (CRF) fitness status in children. Data from 88, 48 10-11.9 year old children (mean age 11.05 ± 0.51 years), who participated in either the 49 REACH Year 6 or the Benefits of Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations studies 50 were combined. Waist circumference, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure 51 (DBP), glucose, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), adiponectin, and 52 C-reactive protein (CRP) were assessed and used to estimate clustered cardiometabolic risk. 53 Participants were classified as 'fit' or 'unfit' using recently published definitions (46.6 54 55 mL/kg/min and 41.9 mL/kg/min for boys and girls respectively) and continuous clustered risk scores between fitness groups were assessed. Participants were subsequently assigned to a 56 'normal' or 'high' clustered cardiometabolic risk group based on risk scores, and logistic 57 regression analysis assessed the odds of belonging to the increased cardiometabolic risk 58 group, according to fitness.

The unfit group exhibited significantly higher clustered cardiometabolic risk scores (p < p60 0.001) than the fit group. A clear association between fitness group and being at increased 61 cardiometabolic risk (B = 2.509, p = 0.001) was also identified, and participants classed as 62 being unfit were found to have an odds of being classified as 'at risk' of 12.30 (95% CI= 63 2.64-57.33). 64

Assessing cardiorespiratory fitness is a valid method of identifying children most at risk of 65 cardiometabolic pathologies. The ROC thresholds could be used to identify populations of 66 children most at risk, and may therefore be used to effectively target a cardiometabolic risk 67 68 reducing public health intervention.

69

70 Introduction

71 Adverse cardiometabolic risk factors are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease related morbidity and mortality in adults [12; 24; 29]. Cardiovascular disease is a 72 pathological process that begins in childhood [26], and children with established adverse risk 73 profiles already show markers of sub clinical cardiovascular disease [21]. Cardiometabolic 74 risk factors that originate in childhood and increase the risk of early morbidity and mortality 75 such as obesity, hypertension, insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia track from childhood into 76 adulthood [18; 23]. In the current era when cardiovascular disease associated morbidity and 77 mortality represents a significant disease burden worldwide [20], the early detection of 78 79 children with increased cardiometabolic risk factors would therefore be extremely beneficial. 80 Such early detection would allow the introduction of targeted interventions aimed at reducing cardiometabolic risk in children and subsequent morbidity. 81

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is as a key determinant of health and emerging evidence 82 describes a direct relationship between poor CRF and increased cardiometabolic risk in 83 children [4; 5; 13]. Worryingly, levels of CRF have declined in children in recent years, 84 suggesting more children may be at cardiometabolic risk than in previous decades [9]. CRF 85 assessments could offer a valid and pragmatic method of accurately estimating 86 cardiometabolic risk in the paediatric population. Furthermore, CRF has potential as a 87 method of stratifying children into groups that may require further investigation and 88 intervention [1]. 89

A recent study by Boddy et al [10] developed ROC generated thresholds for CRF related to obesity in 10-11.9 year old children. The thresholds provided cutpoints for field-assessed CRF, using a 20m multi-stage shuttle runs test, and also detailed equivalent VO_{2peak} thresholds. When the cutpoints were applied in an independent population of adolescents,

participants classified as unfit demonstrated significantly increased clustered cardiometabolic 94 risk scores in comparison to those classified as fit [10]. The paper highlighted the potential 95 utility of using CRF values or scores to identify children and adolescents as at increased 96 97 cardiometabolic risk. To date these thresholds have not been applied to primary-school aged children, or used to calculate the odds of children being classified as 'at risk' of 98 cardiometabolic disease. This type of analysis is particularly important as it has the potential 99 to provide a method for identifying children at risk of cardiometabolic disease non-invasively. 100 This would be particularly beneficial in clinical settings where detecting children at risk of 101 102 cardiometabolic disease as early as possible is vital to facilitate effective, timely and targeted risk reducing intervention. Furthermore, CRF assessments can be conducted on a large scale, 103 therefore offering an opportunity to screen for 'at risk' children at the population level. 104

The aim of this study was therefore to investigate levels of clustered cardiometabolic risk and the odds of being 'at risk' according to fitness status in 10-11.9 year old schoolchildren classified using published ROC generated CRF thresholds.

108

109 Materials and Methods

Data were generated by the REACH Year 6 study based in Liverpool UK and the Benefits of
Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations study based in western Scotland UK.

112

113 **REACH Y6 Study:**

After gaining informed parental consent, participant assent and medical screening 62 10-11.9 year old participants agreed to take part in the study in summer 2010. Prior to recruitment institutional ethical approvals for all procedures were received, in addition, Local Research Ethics Committee approvals were received for blood sampling protocols and analysis that involved the local Children's Foundation NHS Trust. Participants attended the laboratories on
 one occasion to complete assessments of anthropometrics, blood pressure and
 cardiorespiratory fitness and one school based blood sampling morning.

121

Laboratory Measures: Stature (Seca Ltd., Birmingham, UK) to the nearest 0.1cm and body 122 mass to the nearest 0.1kg (Seca Ltd. Birmingham, UK) were assessed using standard 123 techniques [22]. Waist circumference (WC) was measured by passing a non-elastic 124 anthropometric tape around the mid-point between the bottom of the ribs and the iliac crest. 125 126 Blood pressure (BP) was assessed once after a 15 minute rest period with the participant in a supine position(GE DINAMAP ProCare 100-400 Series, UK). Cardiorespiratory fitness 127 (peak oxygen uptake $(V0_{2peak})$) was assessed using an individually calibrated, continuous 128 129 incremental treadmill (H P Cosmos, Traunstein, Germany) test to volitional exhaustion using breath by breath gas analysis (Jaeger Oxycon Pro, Viasys Health Care, Warwick, UK). All 130 participants wore a heart rate monitor (Polar, Kempele, Finland) throughout. To account for 131 differences in biological age and limb length, VO_{2peak} test speeds were individually calibrated 132 by anchoring treadmill speeds to set Froude (Fr) numbers. This approach has been described 133 previously, please refer to this reference for further information [17]. Peak VO₂ was defined 134 as the highest 15seconds averaged oxygen uptake achieved during the test when participants 135 reached volitional exhaustion, and the subjective endpoints were met (respiratory exchange 136 137 ratio > 1.05 and/or HR > 199 beats.min-1).

Blood sampling morning: Participants attended one blood sampling morning at their school site. After verbal confirmation of overnight fast, samples were drawn from the vena antecubitus by one experienced phlebotomist. Samples were taken between 8.30-10.30am and were transported to the pathology laboratories at the local Children's Foundation NHS Trust for analysis.

143

144 Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations Study:

After gaining informed parental consent and participant assent 55 10-11.9 year old participants were involved in this study, which was conducted in 2011. Prior to recruitment ethical approval was received from the University of the West of Scotland Ethics Committee. Testing sessions occurred on school sites on two separate occasions, all fitness, anthropometric and blood pressure measures were taken on day one, and blood sampling was conducted two days later.

151

Stature, was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm (Seca Stadiometer, Seca Ltd, Birmingham, UK). 152 Body mass was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using calibrated electronic weighing scales 153 154 (Seca 880, Digital Scales, Seca Ltd, Birmingham, UK). Waist circumference, was measured at the midpoint between the lower ribs and the iliac crest. Blood pressure was measured once 155 with an automated monitor (Omron M10-IT Blood Pressure Monitor HEM-7080IT-E, 156 Omron Healthcare UK Ltd, Milton Keynes, UK) after each participant had sat quietly for a 157 period of 10 min [11]. Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) was estimated with the 20 m shuttle 158 run test (20mSRT) [19]. VO_{2peak} was calculated from 20 multi-state shuttle runs 159 performances using previously validated, widely used equations [19]. 160

161

Blood Sampling: Blood samples were collected between 9:00 am and 11:00 am by two experienced paediatric phlebotomists after an overnight fast in all participants. Blood samples were obtained from an antecubital vein and analyses were subsequently completed within five months of collection.

166

167 Clustered Cardiometabolic Risk:

The following variables from both studies were used in the present study to estimate clustered 168 cardiometabolic risk: waist circumference, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood 169 pressure (DBP), glucose, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), 170 adiponectin, and C-reactive protein (CRP). Data were examined for normality by sex, and 171 the following variables were normalised by log transformation: waist circumference, SBP 172 (boys only), DBP (boys only), glucose (boys only), triglycerides, adiponectin and CRP. 173 Adiponectin and HDL were inverted using a constant of -1, and standardized z-scores were 174 calculated separately by sex for the risk score components. This method of estimating 175 176 cardiometabolic risk has been used numerous times within pediatric exercise science research, including the European Youth Heart Study [3; 6]. These z-scores were then summed to create 177 a continuous risk score. Selection of risk variables was based on the International Diabetes 178 179 Federation definition for metabolic syndrome [2], with CRP and adiponectin included as both are potent markers of cardiovascular disease risk [15; 28]. 180

181

182 Statistical analysis

Participants were classified as 'fit' or 'unfit' using recently published definitions (46.6 183 mL/kg/min and 41.9 mL/kg/min for boys and girls respectively) [10]. Analysis of covariance 184 was completed to assess differences in continuous clustered risk score between the fitness 185 groups, controlling for age and sex. Following ANCOVA analysis, participants were assigned 186 187 to a 'normal' or 'high' clustered cardiometabolic risk group, with increased risk defined as ≥ 1 SD in risk score above the pooled (boys and girls) mean. This method has been used 188 previously in similar aged children [4; 6; 16]. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess 189 the odds of belonging to the increased risk group according to fitness status (low fit vs fit). 190 All analyses were completed using SPSS V20.0 (SPSS Statistics, IBM Corp.), and an alpha 191 value of $p \le 0.05$ was used to denote statistical significance. 192

195 Results

Eighty-eight participants (42 girls, 46 boys) had complete data for all clustered risk components and VO_{2peak} . Table 1 displays the descriptive characteristics for anthropometrics, V O_{2peak} and risk score components by sex. Girls were less fit, and had higher body mass, body mass index, and triglyceride values than boys.

200

201 TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE.

202

In total 18 participants were classed as 'unfit' ANCOVA analysis revealed that the unfit 203 204 group exhibited significantly higher clustered cardiometabolic risk scores in comparison to the fit group after controlling for sex and decimal age (estimated marginal mean risk score fit 205 group = -0.63, SE = 0.37; unfit group mean = 2.74, SE = 0.75; F = 15.83, p < 0.001). 206 Participants with a clustered risk score of \geq 3.25 (unadjusted mean plus 1 SD) were classed as 207 'at risk'. Fourteen participants were classified as 'at risk'. Logistic regression found an 208 association between fitness group and being 'at risk' (B = 2.509, p = 0.001) after controlling 209 for age and sex. For participants classed as unfit, the odds of being classified as 'at risk' were 210 12.30 (95% CI= 2.64-57.33) in comparison to those classed as fit. 211

212

213 Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate levels of clustered cardiometabolic risk and the odds of being 'at risk' according to fitness status in 10-11.9 year old schoolchildren classified using recently published ROC generated CRF thresholds. Importantly, the results of this study showed that the unfit group (VO_{2peak} <46.6 mL/kg/min and <41.9 mL/kg/min for boys and girls respectively) exhibited significantly higher clustered cardiometabolic risk scores in comparison to the fit group (p < 0.001), and for participants classed as unfit, the odds of

being classified as 'at risk' were 12.30 (95% CI= 2.64-57.33). As cardiorespiratory fitness 220 represents the capacity of the respiratory and cardiovascular systems [25], these findings are 221 somewhat intuitive. The association between CRF and clustered cardiometabolic risk likely 222 reflects the broad physiological effects of regular physical activity and adequate CRF 223 including cardiovascular (structural and functional), metabolic (including energy balance) 224 and hormonal parameters [8]. Though some debate exists surrounding fitness levels in 225 children due to the influence of maturation and genetics, it is possible to improve levels of 226 CRF in children if the physical activity stimulus is of sufficient intensity, frequency and 227 228 duration [7].

229 Increasing cardiometabolic risk in the paediatric population is a global concern [20] and evidence demonstrates that risk factors for cardiometabolic disease track from childhood to 230 adulthood [18]. In order to effectively manage and reduce this public health problem, the 231 introduction of targeted health interventions for the 'at risk' groups are of crucial importance. 232 This is particularly so when the treatment of children exhibiting cardiometabolic risk has 233 been found to be more effective than the treatment of adults [14]. The findings of the present 234 study are of significant clinical importance as they provide further evidence of the strong 235 association between CRF and cardiometabolic disease risk in children. Furthermore, this 236 study lends support to the use of the published CRF thresholds [10] as a method of 237 identifying children at risk of cardiometabolic disease before clinical manifestations are 238 apparent. These data provide a significant contribution towards the development of a valid 239 risk stratification tool to identify children that may benefit from a health intervention aimed at 240 reducing their cardiometabolic risk profile. 241

Clustered cardiometabolic risk scores for children have been successfully calculated in previous studies [3; 6; 16]. Composite risk scores may be more representative of the constellation of disturbances associated with cardiometabolic disease [6], are less sensitive to daily changes in individual risk markers, and may provide a better estimate of risk than individual markers [6; 16]. Despite these advantages, the data required to calculate clustered risk scores are labour intensive, invasive, and costly to obtain, therefore limiting the appropriateness of these scores for assessing risk in children on a large scale. Our findings suggest that CRF provides an accurate representation of cardiometabolic risk that could feasibly be measured on a large scale, for example using a 20m multi-stage shuttle runs test [9; 27].

This study is limited by a number of factors. Primarily the data were merged from two studies, 252 and although procedures were very similar across the studies they were not standardised. 253 254 However, the range of markers included in the study are difficult to assess on a large scale, therefore data were combined for compatible variables to maximise statistical power and 255 ensure these valuable data were utilised to their maximum potential. Secondly, CRF was 256 measured using the 20mSRT in the Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations and 257 VO_{2peak} was estimated using equations [19], rather than directly assessed via treadmill 258 VO_{2peak} protocol. Despite this the equations used to calculate VO_{2peak} from 20mSRT scores 259 have been widely used and are validated for use in this age-group of children [19]. 260 Furthermore, direct assessments of VO_{2peak} require specialised equipment and are time 261 262 consuming, therefore 20mSRT assessments may be more feasible for use on a large scale. Finally, the composite clustered risk score assumes equal risk rating for each component and 263 1 SD above the mean was used to signify 'at risk'. Whether this value actually represents risk 264 clinically is open to debate, but in the absence of published cut points for clustered 265 cardiometabolic risk this was deemed the most appropriate method and has been previously 266 used in studies assessing clustered cardiometabolic risk in children [4; 6]. 267

The major strength of this study is the range of measures included within the clustered risk score, which include emerging risk markers such as adiponectin and CRP, as well as more established functional (BP) and metabolic variables. Furthermore, this is the first study to
apply the recently published ROC cutpoints for CRF in similar aged children [10], and lends
support to their use as a tool to identify children at risk of cardiometabolic disease. Further
studies should aim to develop similar cutpoints across the age-range.

274

275 *Conclusions*

The findings of this study suggest that CRF could potentially be used as a valid method of identifying children most at risk of cardiometabolic pathologies. The ROC thresholds could be used to identify the populations of children who stand to benefit the most from a targeted cardiometabolic risk reducing public health intervention.

Conflicts of Interest

The research team confirm that there are no conflicts of interest for the current study.

283 Acknowledgments

- We would like to thank all the schools, parents, participants and research teams involved in
- the REACH Y6 and the Fitness Circuits for Primary School Populations studies. We would
- also like to thank Nicola Lyons (Phlebotomist), Dr. Marcus Auth, Paul Newland and Dr. Jeff
- 287 Jones from Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust.

289 <u>References</u>

- Adegboye AR, Anderssen SA, Froberg K, Sardinha LB, Heitmann BL, Steene-Johannessen J,
 Kolle E, Andersen LB (2011) Recommended aerobic fitness level for metabolic health in
 children and adolescents: a study of diagnostic accuracy. Br J Sports Med 45:722-728
- 293 2. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J (2005) The metabolic syndrome--a new worldwide definition.
 294 Lancet 366:1059-1062
- Andersen L, Sardinha L, Froberg K, Riddoch C, Page A, Andersen S (2008) Fitness, fatness and clustering of cardiovascular risk factors in children from Denmark, Estonia and Portugal: The European Youth Heart Study. International Journal of Pediatric Obesity 3:58-66
- 4. Andersen LB, Hasselstrom H, Gronfeldt V, Hansen SE, Karsten F (2004) The relationship between
 physical fitness and clustered risk, and tracking of clustered risk from adolescence to young
 adulthood: eight years follow-up in the Danish Youth and Sport Study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys
 Act 1:6
- 5. Anderssen SA, Cooper AR, Riddoch C, Sardinha LB, Harro M, Brage S, Andersen LB (2007) Low
 cardiorespiratory fitness is a strong predictor for clustering of cardiovascular disease risk
 factors in children independent of country, age and sex. European journal of cardiovascular
 prevention and rehabilitation : official journal of the European Society of Cardiology,
 Working Groups on Epidemiology & Prevention and Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise
 Physiology 14:526-531
- 308 6. Bailey DP, Boddy LM, Savory LA, Denton SJ, Kerr CJ (2012) Associations between
 309 cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity and clustered cardiometabolic risk in children and
 310 adolescents: the HAPPY study. Eur J Pediatr 171:1317-1323
- 7. Baquet G, van Praagh E, Berthoin S (2003) Endurance training and aerobic fitness in young people.
 Sports Med 33:1127-1143
- 8. Blair SN, Kohl HW, Gordon NF, Paffenbarger RSJ (1992) How much physical activity is good for
 health? Annu Rev Public Health 13:99-126
- 9. Boddy LM, Fairclough SJ, Atkinson G, Stratton G (2012) Changes in Cardiorespiratory Fitness in
 9- to 10.9-Year-Old Children: SportsLinx 1998-2010. Med Sci Sports Exerc 44:481-486
- Boddy LM, Thomas NE, Fairclough SJ, Tolfrey K, Brophy S, Rees A, Knox G, Baker JS, Stratton
 G (2012) ROC generated thresholds for field-assessed aerobic fitness related to body size and
 cardiometabolic risk in schoolchildren. PLoS One 7:e45755
- 320 11. Buchan DS, Ollis S, Young JD, Thomas NE, Cooper SM, Tong TK, Nie J, Malina RM, Baker JS
 321 (2011) The effects of time and intensity of exercise on novel and established markers of CVD
 322 in adolescent youth. American Journal of Human Biology 23:517-526
- 12. Doshi KB, Kashyap SR, Brennan DM, Hoar BM, Cho L, Hoogwerf BJ (2009) All-cause mortality
 risk predictors in a preventive cardiology clinic cohort-examining diabetes and individual
 metabolic syndrome criteria: a PRECIS database study. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism
 11:102-108
- 327 13. Eisenmann JC (2007) Aerobic fitness, fatness and the metabolic syndrome in children and
 328 adolescents. Acta Paediatr 96:1723-1729
- 14. Epstein L, Valoski A, Kalarchian M, McCurley J (1995) Do children lose and maintain weight
 easier than adults: A comparison of child and parent weight changes from six months to ten
 years. Obes Res 3:411-417
- 15. Giannessi D, Maltinti M, Del Ry S (2007) Adiponectin circulating levels: A new emerging
 biomarker of cardiovascular risk. Pharmacological research : the official journal of the Italian
 Pharmacological Society 56:459-467
- 16. Gobbi R, Davies IG, Fairclough SJ, Mackintosh KA, Warburton GL, Stratton G, George KP,
 Hackett AF, Boddy LM (2012) Clustered cardiometabolic risk, cardiorespiratory fitness and
 physical activity in 10-11 year-old children. The CHANGE! project baseline. Archives of
 Exercise in Health and Disease 3:207-213
- 17. Hopkins N, Stratton G, Maia J, Tinken TM, Graves LE, Cable NT, Green DJ (2010) Heritability
 of arterial function, fitness, and physical activity in youth: A study of monozygotic and
 dizygotic twins. Journal of Pediatrics 157:943-948

- 18. Katzmarzyk PT, Perusse L, Malina RM, Bergeron J, Despres JP, Bouchard C (2001) Stability of
 indicators of the metabolic syndrome from childhood and adolescence to young adulthood:
 the Quebec Family Study. Journal of clinical epidemiology 54:190-195
- 19. Leger LA, Mercier D, Gadoury C, Lambert J (1988) The multistage 20 metre shuttle run test for
 aerobic fitness. J Sports Sci 6:93-101
- 20. Lloyd-Jones D, Adams R, Carnethon M, De Simone G, Ferguson TB, Flegal K, Ford E, et al.
 (2009) Heart disease and stroke statistics--2009 update: a report from the American Heart
 Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Circulation 119:480486
- 21. Lobelo F, Pate RR, Dowda M, Liese AD, Daniels SR (2010) Cardiorespiratory fitness and
 clustered cardiovascular disease risk in US adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health 47:352 353 359
- 22. Lohman T, Roche AF, Martorell R (1988) Anthropometric standardization reference manual.
 Human Kinetics, Champaign, Illinois
- 356 23. Morrison JA, Friedman LA, Wang P, Glueck CJ (2008) Metabolic syndrome in childhood predicts
 adult metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus 25 to 30 years later. The Journal of
 pediatrics 152:201-206
- Reppert A, Steiner BF, Chapman-Novakofski K (2008) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and
 associated risk factors in Illinois. American journal of health promotion : AJHP 23:130-138
- Ruiz J, Ortega F, Rizzo N, Villa I, Hurtig-Wennlof A, Oja L, Sjöström M (2007) High
 cardiovascular fitness is associated with low metabolic risk score in children: The European
 Youth Heart Study. Pediatric Research 61:350-355
- 364 26. Stary HC (1989) Evolution and progression of atherosclerotic lesions in coronary arteries of
 365 children and young adults. Arteriosclerosis 9:I19-32
- 366 27. Stratton G, Canoy D, Boddy LM, Taylor SR, Hackett AF, Buchan IE (2007) Cardiorespiratory
 367 fitness and body mass index of 9-11-year-old English children: a serial cross-sectional study
 368 from 1998 to 2004. Int J Obes 31:1172-1178
- 369 28. Thomas NE, Baker JS, Graham MR, Cooper SM, Davies B (2008) C-reactive protein in
 370 schoolchildren and its relation to adiposity, physical activity, aerobic fitness and habitual diet.
 371 Br J Sports Med 42:357-360
- Wang J, Ruotsalainen S, Moilanen L, Lepisto P, Laakso M, Kuusisto J (2007) The metabolic
 syndrome predicts cardiovascular mortality: a 13-year follow-up study in elderly non-diabetic
 Finns. European heart journal 28:857-864

375

376

378 Table 1. Mean (SD) cardiorespiratory fitness, anthropometric and clustered risk score

379 <u>components for boys and girls</u>

Measure	Boys	Girls	P Value
Decimal Age (years)	11.08 (0.53)	11.02 (0.49)	0.56
VO _{2peak} (ml/kg/min)	50.39 (5.29)	45.69 (5.69)	< 0.01
Stature (cm)	148.13 (7.25)	148.25 (7.28)	0.94
Body mass (kg)	39.73 (7.73)	44.17 (11.61)	0.03
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	18.09 (2.75)	19.79 (4.27)	0.02
Body mass index SD score	0.31 (1.13)	0.57 (1.35)	0.32
Waist circumference (cm)	61.14 (7.33)	63.50 (9.37)	.17
Systolic BP (mmHg)	115.0 (13.02)	109.61 (14.42)	0.06
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	65.8 (6.42)	63.93 (9.05)	0.25
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.78 (0.42)	4.74 (0.33)	0.64
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	0.56 (0.24)	0.70 (0.33)	0.02
HDL (mmol/L)	1.98 (0.72)	1.77 (0.61)	0.15
CRP (mg/L)	0.60 (1.06)	1.00 (1.33)	0.11
Adiponectin (µg/L)	10.64 (6.88)	9.66 (5.62)	0.46