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## ABSRACI

The design of optical fibre cables has for many years been a laborious manual task involving geometrical mathematics, a knowledge of materials, and past experience. The objectives of the work were to develop a series of design aids which would both automate the process of physical cable design and optimise the optical fibre performance within the cable.

The computer aided design system developed was restricted to the design of 'loose tube' fibre optic cables as this represents the main cable type manufactured by the company. of several potential computing methods, an expert-system-shell was chosen as this presented the most effective way to harness all relevant information. The resulting knowledge base enables a user to design any variation of a 'loose-tube' cable, and obtain immediate data regarding its weight and dimensions. The expert-system was interfaced to software which subsequently generates a cross-sectional diagram of the eventual cable.

To optimise fibre performance within a cable, an investigation was made of the fibre overfeed or excess within the 'loose-tubes'. Too little excess may cause excessive fibre strain, whilst too much may result in fibre bends below a critical radius. As fibres become very lossy below this radius, experiments were performed to quantify this phenomenon. Comparisons were made between four commercially available fibre types, and the results found the Chemical-Vapour-Deposition, depressed cladding fibre type, to be the most bend-insensitive.

The expert system to design cables is fully functional within the technical department, and is estimated to save over 4000 man-hours per annum. Software has also been written to relate the maximum working tensions of a cable to the fibre excess, whilst the experimental results on fibre bend characteristics are presented in graphical form.

## NOMENCLATURE

| APD | Avalanche Photodiode |
| :--- | :--- |
| APL | Aluminium Plastic Laminate |
| BSI | British Standards Institution |
| CCITT | International Telegraph and Telephone |
|  | Consultative Committee |
| CVD | Chemical Vapour Deposition |
| DC | Depressed Cladding |
| DOS | Disk Operating System |
| ESI | Equivalent Step Index |
| FEM | Finite Element Method |
| GRP | Glass Reinforced Plastic |
| GSWA | High Density Polyethylene |
| HDPE | Hewlett Packard - Graphics Language |
| HPGL | International Electrotechnical Commission |
| IEC | Intelligent Knowledge Based System |
| IKBS | Low Density Polyethylene |
| LDPE | Linearly Polarised |
| LP | Personal Computer |
| MC | Matched Cladding |
| MCVD | Modified Chemical Vapour Deposition |
| MDPE | Medium Density Polyethylene |
| NA | Nutside Vapour Deposition |
| NLQ | Polybutyl Teraphthelate |
| PVD |  |


| PIN | Positive - Intrinsic - Negative |
| :--- | :--- |
| PVC | Polyvinyl Chloride |
| SPL | Steel Plastic Laminate |
| STC | Standard Telephones and Cables |
| SWA | Steel Wire Armour |
| V | Normalised Frequency/Propogation Velocity |
|  | Constant |
| VAD | Vapour Axial Deposition |
| Vco | Normalised Frequency at Cut-off Wavelength |
| $\omega 0$ | Mode Field Radius |
| $\lambda$ | Operating Wavelength |
| $\lambda c o$ | Cut-off Wavelength |
| $\Delta$ | Normalisesd Refractive Index Diffference |

## PREFACE

The Teaching Company scheme operates through Teaching Company Programmes in which a University or Polytechnic participate in a company programme to achieve a substantial and comprehensive change in manufacturing.

It aims to develop active partnerships between academic institutions and manufacturing companies in order to:a. raise manufacturing performance by effective use of academic knowledge and capacity.
b. improve manufacturing methods and the effective implementation of advanced technology.
c. train able graduates for careers in manufacturing.
d. develop and re-train existing company and academic staff.
e. give academic staff broad and direct associations with
industry for research and as a background for teaching.

The scheme was divised in $1974 / 1975$ by a working party appointed jointly by the Science Research Council (SRC) and the Department of Industry (DOI). The Council published a consultative document and pilot programmes were initiated at three companies.

A second working party considered the results of consultations and the pilot programmes and recommended a Scheme with a target of 20 companies by 1981.

The Teaching Company Programme which enabled the work described in this Thesis to be undertaken, was the partnership between the Polytechnic of Wales (POW) and Standard Telephones and Cables PLC
(STC). The author was an associate of that programme.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

In twenty years since optical waveguides became a reality for practical applications, there have been tremendous strides in the development of cabling. The goal of cable design and manufacture is to enable the multitude of advantages of optical waveguides to be fully realised.

The benefits of optical cables include such attributes as light weight, small diameter, and excellent transmission characteristics. The enormous information-carrying capacity over long distances offers a capability unmatched by any other guided-communication medium. However, the transmission characteristics of optical waveguides are sensitive to mechanical and environmental influences, and their conservation is a major challenge in cabling.

The brittle nature of the material used for most optical waveguides is in direct contrast to the ductile behaviour of the conductors in almost all electrical cables. The stress resistance of waveguides under tension and bending has improved over recent years due to advances in the waveguide and coating materials and in manufacturing techniques, but an optical conductor cannot be cabled without due consideration of its vulnerability. Nevertheless, an optical cable is expected to withstand as severe handling as equivalent copper cables.

Historically, the approach to cabling of optical waveguides tended to be one of designing the cable structure based on the ability of that structure to be applied to the fibre without impairing the
inherent transmission capability. The degree and area of application for such a cable design was then evaluated. More recently the understanding of cabling technology, materials, and manufacturing methods has improved to the point where the application and environment can be considered first. This progression has led to the development of an increasing variety of cable designs, each with its own set of capability optimised for a given application.

In the early stages of optical-waveguide technology development, economic justifications were rare since new technology almost always cost more than a more conventional approach. Early applications used characteristics of optical waveguide cables that could not be matched by conventional technology at any price. Such characteristics include immunity from electromagnetic and radiofrequency interference (emi and rfi), small size and low weight. Process-control and very specialised scientific, analytical, and military applications were the forerunners for widespread utilization of the technology.

As the cost of cabled fibre came down, the applications where the cost effectiveness of the technology is significant have broadened noticeably. Trunk and toll-grade telephony applications have dominated the recent volume explosion and the cable costs have been driven down.

## l.l. Basic Theory of Operation

Fibre optics can be defined as that branch of optics which deals with communication by transmission of light through ultrapure fibres of glass or plastic. It has become the mainstay or major interest in the world of electro-optics, the blending of the
technology of optics and electronics.
In a fibre-optic system or link three major parts perform this task of communication:
(i) a light source
(ii) an optical fibre, and
(iii) a light detector or receiver

The light source can either be a light-emitting diode (LED) or a semiconductor laser diode. The optical fibre can be a strand as short as $\operatorname{lm}$ or as long as 7 km . The detector can be either an avalanche photodiode (APD) or a positive-intrinsic-negative (PIN) diode.

Basically, a fibre-optic system simply converts an electrical signal to an infrared light signal, launches or transmits this light signal into an optical fibre, and then captures the signal at the other end, where it reconverts it to an electrical signal.

Two types of light-wave modulation are possible: analog and digital. In analog modulation the intensity of the light beam from the laser or LED is varied continuously. That is, the light source emits a continuous beam of varying intensity.

In digital modulation, on the other hand, the intensity is changed impulsively, in an on/off fashion. The light flashes on and off at an extremely fast rate. In the most typical system, pulsecode modulation (PCM), is used where the analog input signals are sampled for wave height. For voice signals this is usually at a rate of 8000 times a second. Each wave height is then assigned an 8 -bit binary number which is transmitted in a series of individual time slots or slices to the light source. In transmitting this binary number, a 1 can be represented as a pulse of light and a 0 by the absence of light in a specific time slice.

Digital modulation is far more popular, as it allows greater transmission distances, for the same power intensity as analog modulation.

### 1.2. Advantages of Fibre Optics

In a number of situations fibre optics' advantages over conventional transmition media are so compelling that they cannot be ignored:
i. Extremely wide bandwidth
ii. Smaller - diameter, lighter - weight cables
iii. Absence of crosstalk between parallel fibres
iv. Immunity to inductive interference
v. Potential of delivering signals at a lower cost

But these are merely the primary advantages, there are also important secondary advantages:
i. Greater security
ii. Greater safety
iii. Longer life span
iv. High tolerance to temperature extremes as well as to liquids and corrosive gases.
v. Greater reliability and ease of maintenance
vi. No externally radiated signals
vii. Ease of expansion of system capability
viii.Use of common natural resources.

### 1.3. Fibre Optic Cables

A fibre-optic cable is one or more optical fibres formed into a cable for convenience and protection. Whether it is buried directly in the ground, hung on telephone poles, pulled through underground ducts,
or dropped to the bottom of a lake or ocean, this cable is likely to receive much abuse and mistreatment during its lifetime.

While being installed, it may be stepped on and mistreated. Trucks and drums may roll over it. As it is being pulled through ducts, it may be stressed beyond expectation. Once in place it may be subjected to a very cold Canadian winter or a hot Mediterranean Summer. Ice may load it, causing it to sag or break. Gophers and other rodents may try to chew through it. In ice-clogged ducts, technicians may hit it with steam as they clear the ducts. It may be submerged in water in flooded manholes. The cable must be able to survive this abuse, yet it must be reasonably easy to repair if it breaks, be economically competitive with conventional cables, and be space efficient. Numerous designs or configurations have been developed to meet these requirements. These designs differ in materials and arrangements, but practically all of them include coatings to protect individual fibres, strength-bearing materials, filler or buffer materials, and an external protective jacket. In addition, for specific applications some cables include armour protection against rodents and copper wires for carrying electrical power.

The optical fibre is coated with soft silicone immediately upon fabrication to prevent damage from abrasion and moisture. This coating is considered part of the fibre, not the cable. An additional coating or jacket of a durable plastic may be added for still further protection. The jacket may be colour coded for easy identification during installation and repair.

The strength or tension member minimises or eliminates stretching force (tensile stress) applied to the optical fibres. It
is also called the load-bearing member. It is made of either steel, glass reinforced plastic (GRP), or braided Kevlar aramid yarn. As both GRP and Kevlar are non-conducting, they are better than steel in places where the cable would be susceptible to lightning damage. Polyethylene cable fillers or buffers help to cushion the fibres.

The outer protective jacket may be made of polyethylene, polyurethane, polyvinyl chloride (PVC), or Tefzel. It protects the fibres from dirt, moisture, sunlight, abrasion, crushing, and temperature variations. Like the individual fibres, it may also be colour-coded. Length markers and cable type may be imprinted on this jacket. Flame-retardant types are available. In some cases this jacket may carry some of the load, just as the strength members do.

### 1.4 Optical Fibre Waveguides

Optional fibres are dielectric waveguiding structures used to confine and guide light. They consist of an inner dielectric material called a core which is surrounded by another dielectric (called a cladding) with a smaller refractive index. A plastic and lossy jacket is commonly applied to the outside of the fibre to prevent crosstalk with other guides and to keep the fibre strong by preventing chemical and abrasive attack on its surface.

Optical fibres are manufactured by three main processing techniques, which are:
i. Modified chemical vapour deposition (MCVD)
ii. Outside vapour deposition (OVD)
iii. Vapour Axial deposition (VAD)

The underlying mechanism for all vapour-phase fibre fabrication techniques can be summarised as:
i. Generation of a gas phase flow of source compound.
ii. Direction of that flow to a zone where oxidation occurs.
iii. Deposition of the resultant oxides onto a subtrate to produce a solid preform.
iv. Subsequent drawing of the preform into fibre.

Optical fibres manufactured by MCVD, OVD and VAD techniques are noticeably different in terms of their refractive index profiles as a consequency of the variations in processing techniques. This leads to significantly differing bending characteristics for fibres with nominally similar equivalent-step-indexes (1).

It is an inherent feature within the majority of optical fibres that when they are bent beyond a critical radius, light is radiated from the cladding causing a significant power reduction. The value of the critical radius depends upon the dimensions and shape of the fibre refractive index profile, which itself depends on the fibre manufacturing process.

### 1.5. Optimisation of Cabled-Fibre Performance

The main cable type manufactured by STC is of Loose Tube construction. These cables consist of either single or multiple fibres loosely contained within the tubes. The tubes are then helically laid around a central strength member, wrapped with tape and then sheathed to form a cable. Longitudinal freedom and cushioning within the tubes ensures the fibres are protected against stresses applied to the cables during handling and installation operations.

The degree of longitudinal freedom depends on the amount of excess fibre placed within the tubes during manufacture. Too little
results in added losses due to tensile stress, whilst too much causes attenuation from fibre bends.

It is essential to be able to fully characterise the performance of optical fibres under cabled conditions. With this knowledge the cable design can be optimised so that the fibre excess level is kept within a safe operating window.

## l.6. Objectives of the Investigation

Currently the design of optical fibre cables at STC is a manually intensive task, with no systematic method of relating new customer enquiries to previous cable specifications. The design philosophy is very much dependent on the expertise of key personnel, and is often based on experience acquired over a long period of time.

The company has recognised the need to introduce Computer Aided Engineering/Design techniques into the cable design section, and integrate these methods within the infrastructure of the company. From an initial investigation into the whole design procedure, covering all aspects from technical/production requirements to cable performance, the following objectives were identified.
i. To implement an expert system to design optical fibre cables from a specification of customer requirements.
ii. To implement a database so that existing cable designs may be retrieved quickly and efficiently.
iii. To develop advanced cable design rules so that the performance of cabled fibre under tension and bend is fully characterised.
iv. Investigate the various fibre designs available today, and compare their respective bend characteristics.
v. Combine objectives (i), (iii) and (iv) so that the physical cable construction is optimised to give the best possible optical waveguide performance.

The following chapters give an introduction to fibre optic transmission with a view to cabling, and subsequently the developments made in cable design aids.

## CHAPTER 2

## THEORY OF FIBRE OPTIC TRANSMISSION

Optical fibres are inherently brittle and fragile but have to be made into cables that will withstand installation and the often hostile environments in which they must operate reliably. Working environments that have been met range from the almost constant $2-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the sea bed for deep-sea submarine cables to rapid changes from $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for some avionic applications; from normal pressures up to $70 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}$; from ambient air to hot corrosive liquids. The number of fibres in a cable may vary from one in rack wiring to several thousand in distribution cables now being proposed. The cable may be all-dielectric containing only fibres or may incorporate numerous copper conductors or other more complex elements.

Such diversity can only be met with a wide variety of cable designs and the state of the art is such that even some straight forward applications can be met by several designs. However, all optical fibre cables can be judged by their success in meeting two basic criteria. First, they must not significantly degrade the transmission properties of the fibre and second, they must maintain the integrity of the fibre during manufacture, installation and service.

The invention of the cladded waveguide in 1954 by Professor Van Heel (2) was the key that brought dielectric waveguides from the realm of scientific exploration to practical exploitation. A cladding, that is sufficiently thick and has a slightly lower refractive index than that of the core, ensures that any evanescent fields associated with the guided energy will decay to negligible values at the surface of
the composite guide, so that the waveguide can be supported without incurring prohibitive losses. However, despite the enormous step forward that this invention represented, the problems of cabling such waveguides or optical fibres were still formidable.

The cabling engineer has two main targets

- to minimise optical attenuation increments associated with manufacture and use of cables.
- to maintain the physical integrity of the fibre during the cabling process and in service.


### 2.1. Attenuation Increments

To understand these problems it is necessary to examine the propagating characteristics of dielectric waveguides and how these may be modified by the cabling processes.

First, all dielectric waveguides radiate unless their axis is straight. For a particular guide, the amount of radiation is critically dependent on the radius of curvature and can rapidly increase from totally negligible to prohibitive values with a comparatively small reduction in radius (3). Although this rapid change will occur at some radius for every guide, for certain guides it will occur at much greater radius than for others, and this depends on the fibre used. Historically, emphasis has shifted from multimode step index to graded-index fibres and has now moved more towards single mode fibres; however, it is easier to consider the mechanisms that can cause increments in the reverse order.

### 2.2 Single Mode Fibre Waveguides

A single mode fibre waveguide is one where only the primary mode (LPOL) propagates. This differs from multimode fibres where several hundred modes in addition to the LPOl propagate. A qualitative
picture of how radiation occurs on bends can be obtained for a loworder mode waveguide by considering the field distribution associated with guidance by a curved fibre waveguide (Fig. 2.l.). Using a first approximation the field distribution follows a curve similar to the refractive index profile within the core and has an exponential decay within the cladding. Since the associated wavefront remains perpendicular to the fibre axis, there must be some radius ( $R+X_{R}$ in Fig.2.1) at which the phase velocity of the guided radiation reaches the velocity of light in that medium. Beyond this point the wavefront cannot remain perpendicular to the fibre axis and guiding cannot occur. Therefore the evenescent energy represented by the field at greater distances from the centre of curvature will radiate. This simple picture is complicated in reality by:
(i) a shift of the field in relation to the fibre axis if the bend radius is steady and
(ii) by oscillation of the field in relation to the axis when transient conditions pertain.

It is also over-simplified in that it implies loss to a radiating continuum, where as fibre waveguides radiate into discrete modes. Nonetheless, the success of mode spot size as a measure of the susceptibility of single mode fibres to microbending loss does substantiate it to a certain extent (4). Similarly, any energy in the evanescent field may be lost to absorption if this field penetrates into the cladding as far as the transition from deposited material to the more absorbent original silica carrying tube. If, at this point, there is also an increase in the real part of the refractive index, further loss can occur from quantum tunnelling effects (5).


FIG 2.1 FIELD DISTRIBUTION IN A CURVED OPTICAL
FIBRE WAVEGUIDE FOR A BOUND MODE (SOLID CURVE) AND A MODE NEAR CUT-OFF (DOTTED CURVE).

## 2.3. 'W' Guides

For some fibre waveguides a change in refractive index is introduced in the cladding comparatively close to the core. Such stratagems are used by the waveguide designer, for instance, to make significant reductions in waveguide dispersion, which are important to the cable engineer because they modify mode cut-off wavelengths. As mode cut-off is approached a greater proportion of evanescent energy is carried in the cladding, until the point is reached at which a mode is just not cut-off, when it becomes in effect a plane wave travelling in the cladding and hence very susceptible to minor perturbations of the fibre axis. It is quite possible, indeed likely, that a fibre with stepped cladding index (W Guide) will have a cut off point for all modes and no dominant mode, characteristic of normal single mode fibres (6). Unfortunately, steps in cladding refractive index can be introduced during fibre manufacture for quite other reasons and the effect on waveguide performance may not become apparent until an unfortunate cable engineer is faced with disastrous results that he cannot explain.

### 2.4. Multimode Fibre Waveguides

For multimode fibres there are always some modes close to cutoff and clearly more energy radiates from these modes than from the more tightly bound lower order modes. The close connection between cut-off and radiation has been demonstrated by Midwinter and Reeve in an experiment using a small core step-index fibre with a carefully set radius of curvature (7). The waveguide geometry chosen was such that tens rather than hundreds or thousands of modes were carried and a wavelength scan of the scattered power spectrum of this fibre showed
clearly delineated peaks that could be assigned unambiguously to the expected cut-off wavelengths of the individual modes. As well as the bound and radiating modes, a third class of mode can be distinguished for multimode fibres, the so called leaky modes. These modes can be represented by highly skewed rays such that either the critical angle is exceeded in either the circumferential or medidional plane, but not both, or a quantum tunnelling loss of radiation is apparent. For small core fibres the radiation loss is rapid and is not relevant in cabling applications. However, for larger core fibres these modes may radiate over a kilometre or more and can be important because of their influence on measuring results in typical cabling experiments. Fortunately, for today's telecommunication applications, where multikilometre lengths are being considered, their effect is quite negligible.

### 2.5 Maintaining Mechanical Integrity

Despite silica possessing a high Young's Modulus value and quite exceptional elasticity, the small cross-section of a normal fibre means that it contributes very little to the cables strength except in the case of certain very specialised military cables. Usually the load and the Young's Modulus of the strength member determine the strain or elongation of the cable and hence that of the fibre. What matters most is the maximum strain that the fibre will withstand. Unfortunately, the answer to this simple question is very complex.

The following chapter describes a range of cable designs, which over several years of development have been optimised to give maximum fibre protection.

## CHAPTER 3

## FIBRE OPTIC CABLE DESIGNS


#### Abstract

Within STC Cable Products Division at Newport, several variations of fibre optic cables are manufactured. The three major cable construction types are namely Loose Tube, Tight Construction, and Open Channel (Slotted Core). Other types not used as extensively or which are still under development are Fibrespan, Mini Open Channel, Figure of 8 and FOTS-LH (Fibre Optic Transmission System - Long Haul).


### 3.1. Loose Tube

These cables are suitable for trunk, inter-exchange junction and subscriber local loop applications. Various types of multimode and singlemode optical fibres and a wide selection of cable constructions are available for duct and direct buried applications.

Cable variants to suit customers' specifications include steel or dielectric central strain members, steel tape or wire armouring, polyethylene, PVC or low fire risk sheathing compounds. Copper pairs may also be incorporated.

The cable consists of either single or multiple fibres loosely contained within tubes which may be filled with a special compoud to prevent the ingress and transportation of gases and liquids. These tubes are laid around a central strength member, wrapped with tape and then sheathed to form a cable. The cable interstices may also be filled with special compound to provide a genuinely fully filled cable.

Longitudinal freedom and cushioning with the tubes ensures the fibres are protected against stresses applied to the cables during
handling and installation operations. Fibres and tubes may be colour coded to enable easy identification.

Figure 3.1. shows an unarmoured cable as used in the trunk network and installed in ducts. This particular design incorporates 12 optical fibres and two copper pairs. The cable consists of a strength member of compacted steel strand, coated with low density polyethylene. Three tubes, two fillers, and two copper pairs are stranded around the central member and wrapped with paper tape. The tubes are of extruded polybutylteraphthelate, and each tube contains four acrylate coated optical fibres. The fillers are of extruded polyethylene. An aluminium/plastic laminated moisture barrier is applied over the core and the cable is sheathed with low density polyethylene.

Figure 3.2. is also a 12 Fibre Loose Tube cable, but has six tubes (two fibres per tube), and four fillers. There are no copper pairs. Also present in this design is a galvanised steel wire armouring.

### 3.2 Tight Construction

This type of tightly buffered cable is suitable for installation into ducts. Cables are designed with space for up to 10 elements, each of which may be either an optical fibre (secondary coated), filler or insulated copper wire. The cables are small, flexible, lightweight and optimise the use of existing duct space.

The cable construction as seen in Fig. 3.3 which has 10 secondary coated fibres, has a polyethylene coated steel central strain member over which the fibres, copper conductors or filler elements are stranded. The interstices are filled with a water


1. Outer Sheath
2. Galvanised Steel Wire
3. Inner Sheath
4. Aluminium/Plastic Tape
5. Paper Tape
6. Loose Tube
7. Strength Member Coating
8. Steel Strength Member
9. Filler
10. Rip Cord
11. Manufacturer's I.D. Tape

FIG. 3.2 ARMOURED LOOSE TUBE CABLE - 12 FIBRE VERSION


1. Outer Sheath
2. Aluminium plastic Laminate
3. Paper Tape
4. Multimode Secondary Coated Optical Fibre

5. Core
6. Cladding
7. Silicon Resin
8. Nylon Coating

FIG. 3.3 10 FIBRE TIGHT CONSTRUCTION CABLE
blocking compound, except when used in pressurised systems. The unit is wrapped with a paper tape and ripcord included, over which are applied an aluminium/plastic laminate and an outer sheath of polyethylene.

### 3.3. OPEN CHANNEL (Slotted Core)

These cables may be used as an alternative to loose tube designs in similar application areas.

Cable variants to suit customers' specifications include steel or dielectric central strain members, steel tape or steel wire armouring, polyethylene, PVC or low fire risk sheathing compounds. Copper conductors may also be included.

The cable core is a six slot, reversing helix polyethylene former with an integral central strength member. Acrylate coated fibres are laid into the slotted former which protects them from the stresses applied during handling and installation. Fibres may be colour coded to enable easy identification.

The diagram in Fig. 3.4. shows a slotted core cable. For particular duct installations, it may consist of 16 optical fibres and one copper pair, assembled in the slots with a waterblocking compound. A binding yarn secures these elements in place and a paper tape helically applied followed by a longitudinal aluminium/plastic laminate. The cable is sheathed with low denstiy polyethylene.

### 3.4. Fibrespan Aerial Optical Cable

Fibrespan optical cable meets the requirements of electric power utilities as an efficient telecommunications medium for the transmission of data for supervisory, maintenance, control and telephony purposes. Fibrespan cable may be installed on existing
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overhead power lines without the need for power cut-off and provies a simple and cost effective means of establishing a telecommunications data network.

Fibrespan is a low-loss, wide bandwidth, non-inductive, nonmetallic, lightweight, self-supporting aerial cable, suitable for span widths up to 1 Km .

Fibrespan cable (8) has very high strength and withstands the combined effects of icing, wind loads and the high electric fields generated by adjacent power cables. The smooth circular profile of Fibrespan inhibits galloping, with spiral preformed dampers installed on each span to minimise aeolian vibration.

A prime feature of the design is the easy access to the fibres for terminating and splicing. Rapid installation is possible using lightweight equipment due to the low cable weight and tensions. The cable does not kink and preformed spiral clamps and dampers are easily fitted.

The design enables exceptionally high excess fibre lengths to be incorporated by snaking the fibres in the slot which is then filled with soft gel to cushion the fibres against vibration. It also prevents ingress of moisture whilst retaining freedom of movement. The cable design minimises the application of strain on the fibres, thus ensuring optimum and stable optical performance even at maximum working tension.

Fig. 3.5. shows a Fibrespan cable with 24 optical fibres. The fibres are bound together to form a ribbon.

### 3.5. Optical Fibre for Cables

Of the four cable designs described previously, three different

fibrespan cable
Fig. 3.5
types of fibre constructions are used. These are namely acrylate coated fibre, secondary nylon coated fibre and resin coated ribbon fibre. In addition to these three, a triple coated fibre developed by STC is being manufactured to replace secondary nylon coated fibre.

### 3.5.1. Acrylate Coated Fibre

Loose Tube and Open Channel cable designs both use acrylate coated fibre. This type of fibre has a single coating of acrylate outside the fibre core and cladding (see Fig. 3.6.)

The dimensions of a singlemode acrylate fibre is as follows:-

| Fibre Core | - | $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fibre Cladding | - | $125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Acrylate Coating | - | $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

The fibre core is made from doped silicone glass, whilst the fibre cladding is made from a similar material with either a different doping level, or different doping material. The reason for doping is to change, under controlled conditions, the refractive index of the glass.

With advances in science and technology, the quality of the fibre used in cables will only increase. Its performance in terms of optical attenuation and bandwidth will improve with more purified silica, and greater knowledge in the area of doping.

### 3.5.2.Secondary Coated Fibre

Tight construction cable designs use secondary coated fibre, since there are no tubes or slotted cores to protect the optical waveguides. The secondary coating involves an initial coat of Silicone Elastomer over the fibre cladding, and then a coat of Nylon 12 as the outer jacket (Fig. 3.7.)
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Fig. 3.6 ACRYLATE COATED FIBRE
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The dimensions of a singlemode secondary coated fibre is as follows:-

| Fibre Core | - | $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fibre Cladding | - | $125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Silicone elastomer |  |  |
| (Sylgard) | - | 250 mm |
| Nylon 12 | - | 850 |

Seconary coated fibres are also used in single fibre cables. These cables are binded in Kevlar yarn and then sheathed with PVC. Their main use are as terminating cables since they are physically flexible. They are always used internally to either link an external cable to terminal equipment, or to link equipment within a building (i.e. intra-office).

### 3.5.3. Triple Coated Fibre

Triple coated fibre developed by STC is a basic acrylate fibre coated with a thin layer of sylgard and an outer jacket of nylon 12 . (Fig. 3.8).

The advantage of this type of fibre is that only one process is required to make the actual core and cladding. The two further coats (Sylgard \& Nylon) can be applied at a later date by a different process.

The performance, strength, flexibility etc. of triple coated fibre is on par to secondary coated fibre. Therefore due to cost savings in manufacture, it is proving to be a viable alternative.

The dimensions of a singlemode triple coated fibre is as follows:-
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Fig. 3.8 TRIPLE COATED FIBRE

| Fibre Core | $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fibre Cladding - | $125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Acrylate Coating - | $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Silicone Elastomer (Sylgard) | $-350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Nylon l2 |  |

### 3.5.4. Ribbon Fibre

Ribbon fibre is a number of acrylate coated fibres joined together by a resin to form a ribbon. This ribbon would normally be used within a Fibrespan cable. Its main advantages are its neatness and compactness, and the easy manner by which it can be applied within the cable core without the fibres twisting and crossing.

Figure 3.5 shows two layers of ribbon, both with twelve fibres. The fibres are inked to allow easy identifaction when terminating. At present the greatest number of firbes that can be joined to form a ribbon is twelve. This may increase in the future, but since layers of ribbon can be stacked upon one another it is not an urgent requirement.

CHAPTER 4
LOOSE TUBE CABLE DESIGN THEORY

### 4.1. General Cable Construction

A loose tube cable consists of hollow cylinders extruded from Polybutylteraphthelate (PBTP) to carry one or more acrylate coated fibres. Tube sizes to date are $1.5,2.2$ and 3.0 mm , with fibrecounts ranging from 1 in a 1.5 mm tube to a maximum of 8 in a 3.0 mm tube.

These tubes are stranded helically around a central member, which may either be a sheathed steel dyform or sheathed/unsheated glass -reinforced-plastic (GRP) rod. The size of central member used is proportional to the strength requirements of the overall cable. Strengh of steel and GRP increases by a factor of 4 as the diameter doubles. As the size of the central member increases, the number of elements that can be stranded around it increases. Therefore if only a certain number of tubes and/or twisted copper pairs are required, then fillers must be included to allow a perfect lay up.

Paper tape is wrapped helically around the elements which binds them together and prevents any filling compound applied to the element interstices to escape.

The construction thus far is usually the same for most loose tube cables, with the only exceptions being double layered designs. Over the paper tape several options are available before an outer sheath is applied. The options taken will depend on customer requirements and the cable installation.

The options available are:-
(a) an Aluminium Plastic Laminate (APL),
(b) a Corrugated Steel Plastic Laminate (SPL) and bedding sheath,
(c) a Galvanised Steel Wire Armouring and bedding sheath.

### 4.2. Central Member

The material used as the cable strength member i.e. either steel or GRP, is selected as a direct result of the customer requirement.

If a customer specifies a non-metallic or dielectric strength member then GRP will be used, otherwise in all cases steel will be used.

The size of strength member depends upon the strengh requirement, whilst the size of the sheathed centre member depends on the number of outer elements required. The calculations used to find the diameter of centre member to support $N$ elements are as follows (9):-

$$
\text { Number of Elements } N=\frac{360}{2 \theta}
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between the centre and radius of the element (Figure 4.l.)

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Therefore } 2 \theta & =\frac{360}{N} \\
\text { or } \theta & =\frac{180}{N} \\
\text { Now, } \sin \theta & =\frac{r}{R+r}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r$ is the radius of the outer element and $R$ is the radius of the central member.

The radius of the central member is therefore:-

$$
R=\frac{r-r \sin \theta}{\sin \theta}
$$
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Substituting the value of 0 from equation 4.2. into equation 4.4., $R$ becomes:-

$$
R=\frac{r-r \sin (180 / N)}{\sin (180 / N)}
$$

### 4.2.1 Example Calculation

Consider the case of 12 elements of 2.2 mm diameter, stranded around a sheathed steel central member containing a 3 mm steel dyform, then from equation 4.2.:-

$$
\theta=\frac{180}{12}=15^{\circ}
$$

as from equation 4.4 .

$$
R=\frac{2.2-\left(2.2 \times \sin 15^{\circ}\right)}{\sin 15^{\circ}}
$$

Therefore

$$
R=6.3 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

If the steel dyform is 3 mm then the radial thickness of sheath required to support 12 elements is:-

$$
\frac{6.3-3}{2}=1.65 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

### 4.3. Paper Tape

Around the elements are wrapped helically one or two layers of paper tape. The variable parameters involved with the application of paper are as follows (10):-
(a) Length of tape required per length of cable
(b) Width of tape
(c) Angle of application of tape on cable
(d) Tape lay length

The length of tape required per length of cable is:-
$L=\frac{L^{\prime} \pi \quad C}{(1-P / 100)}$
4.6

```
where L is the length of tape (metres)
    L' is the length of cable (metres)
    \pi}\mathrm{ is 3.14159
    C is the cable diameter over the tape (mm)
    P is the percentage tape overlap (%)
```

The width of tape used is a user selectable parameter. Normally, the width chosen is between 10 and 30 mm , but for a very large cable this width may be increased. As we will see the width of tape has a direct influence on the angle of application, therefore the width can be varied to keep the angle within certain limits.

The angle of application (AngApp) of paper tape on a cable is:-

$$
\text { AngApp ( } \theta \text { ) }=\cos ^{-1} \frac{\text { (Effective tape width) }}{\pi \times C}
$$

where AngApp ( $\theta$ ) is the application angle (degrees)
and
Effective tape width $=$ Actual width $\times \frac{(100-P)}{100}$
4.8
where Actual Width is the tape width selected (mm)

The tape lay length is the length of cable covered by one complete helical revolution of the tape. It can be calculated by the equation:-

$$
\text { Tape Lay Length }=\frac{\text { Effective Tape Width }}{\sin (\text { AngApp }(\theta))}(\mathrm{mm})
$$

### 4.4. Aluminium Plastic Laminate (APL)

An aluminium plastic laminate (APL) is often applied to cables as a moisture barrier. It is virtually always applied longitudinally over the paper tape layer.

The width of APL used is the circumference of the APL pitchdiameter (Fig.4.2.) plus the overlap required. The thickness of APL varies due to customer requirements but is usually 0.25 mm . Therefore, the width of APL required is:-

APL width $=\pi \times$ Pitchdiameter $+\chi(\mathrm{mm})$
where X is the overlap in mm .

### 4.5. Steel Plastic Laminate (SPL)

Steel Plastic Laminate is applied to cables as a rodent/moisture barrier. It can be either corrugated or uncorrugated. It is usually applied over a purposely extruded bedding sheath, which itself either lies over the paper tape or an APL.

Like APL, SPL is also applied longitudinally with the width required being calculated from the pitchdiameter and overlap. The thickness of SPL depends upon the plastic coating, whether it is corrugated or not, and the intial thickness of the steel.

An SPL adds considerably to the weight of a cable, but does not contribute directly to the strength. Therefore if any stringent strength/weight ratios are imposed, then SPL must be used with caution.

### 4.6. Galvanised Steel Wire Armour (GSWA)

Steel Wire Armouring is primarilly used to prevent a buried cable from being crushed. This type of armouring takes the form of a number of steel wires applied helically around a cable. Beneath the
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armouring will always lie a bedding sheath to prevent the steel wires from crushing the optical fibres.

The number of steel wires required to fully armour a cable are given by the following equation (11):-

Number of steel wires $N=\frac{180}{\operatorname{sir}^{1} \frac{d}{\text { P.D. } \times \cos \phi}}$
4.11
where
$d$ is the diameter of a single steel wire
P.D. is the pitch diameter of the wires
and $\phi$ is the angle of application of the steel wires away from the horizontal as indicated in Figure 4.3.

The 'laylength' of the steel wires which is the length of cable covered by one completed helical revolution of the steel wires, can be calculated by the equation:-

$$
\text { Laylength }=\frac{\text { P.D. } x P \mathrm{Pi}}{\tan \emptyset}
$$

The length of steel wires required per unit length of cable is calculated by the equation:-

$$
\text { Length of steel wires }=\text { Length of cable } \times \frac{1}{\cos \varphi}
$$

### 4.7. Cable Outer Sheath

The outer sheath applied to loose tube cables is usually a low, medium or high density polyethylene. The thickness of sheath depends upon either a customer requirement or the diameter of the cable beneath the sheath.

Its main function is to prevent the ingress of dirt and moisture and also exposure of the fibres to sunlight. It is also an excellent protection against abrasion and pollution.
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### 4.8. Cable Strength/Weight Ratio

A loose tube cable will have a certain strength/weight ratio depending on its installation. This ratio is given by the factor $n \mathrm{x}$ $W$ where $W$ is the total weight of the cable in Newtons, and $n$ is $a$ multiplying factor to determine the ratio.

Once the strength requirement has been defined, a strength member must then be used which can keep the cable strain within allowed limits. The three main installations for loose tube cables are ducted, direct buried and aerial. Ducted cables usually require a ratio of $2-3(x W)$ since they are physically pulled into ducts by winding machines, whilst direct buried cables only required a ratio of approximately $1 \times W$ since they are laid and not pulled. The strength of an aerial cable depends upon the installation tension.

The main problem arising from specifying the size of strength member, is that the calculation of the cable weight must take into account a particular size of member initially. If this particular member is found not be strong enough, the next size up must be taken, whereby completely altering the weight of the cable. Therefore an iterative process must take place to equate the strength of the cable to its weight.

The following example shows how an iterative process is required to determine the correct size of strength member:-

The cable has a steel dyform strain member sheathed with low density polyethylene. Around the dyform 12 elements comprising ten 2.2 mm tubes and two twisted copper pairs (total diameter of one pair is 2.2 mm ) are stranded.

The elements are wrapped with one layer of paper tape, followed by one layer of Aluminium Plastic Laminate, and finally a 1.6 mm outer
sheath. The cable strength/weight requirement is $2 \times \mathrm{W}$.
Size of central member required to support 12 elements is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { The angle } \begin{aligned}
& =\frac{180}{N}=\frac{180}{12} 15^{\circ} \\
\sin \theta & =\frac{r}{R+r}
\end{aligned} .
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\frac{r-r \sin \theta}{\sin \theta} \\
\text { where } \theta & =15^{0} \text { and } r=2.2 \mathrm{~mm} . \\
\text { then } R & =\frac{2.2-(2.2 \times 0.2588)}{0.2588} \\
R & =6.30 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the central member diameter is 6.3 mm , but the proportions of steel dyform and polyethylene sheath are now yet known.

Weight of 10 tubes is $27 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight of 2 insulated copper pairs is $12 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight of hyvis filling compound within the tube/copper
pair interstices is $18 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight of 1 layer of paper tape is $3 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight of APL moisture barrier is $20 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight of outer sheath is $65 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
We will initially assume a steel dyform of 3 mm in diameter, therefore radial thickness of dyform sheath is 1.65 mm . Weight of 3 mm steel dyform is $49 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$ and the maximum pulling load assuming a modulus of 160 GPa is 4368 newtons. (Assume allowable strain $=0.4 \%$ ).

Weight of 1.65 mm LDPE sheath is $22 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.

```
Therefore total weight of cable is 230 Kgs/Km.
    Weight in newtons is 230 \times9.81=2256.3 N.
```

Since a $2 \times W$ strength/weight ratio is required, this becomes 2 $x 2256.3=4513$ Newtons.

Strength available from 3 mm steel dyform is 4368, therefore the cable is not strong enough.

Possible solution:-
Use a 4 mm steel dyform.

Weight of 4 mm steel dyform is $86 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$ and the maximum pulling load is 7767 Newtons. (Again, assume allowable strain $=$ 0.4\%). Weight of 1.15 mm LDPE sheath is $18 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.

Therefore total weight of cable is $263 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight in newtons is $263 \times 9.81=2580 \mathrm{~N}$
$2 \times \mathrm{W}$ strength $/$ weight $=5160 \mathrm{~N}$.

Since the strength available from a 4 mm steel dyform is 7767 N and the requirement is only 5160 N , the cable would pass any tests on its tensile strength.

The iterative process as shown can be a very time consuming task, especially if the size of strength member required is greater than the 6.3 mm (previous example). If this were to occur then the number of elements would have to be increased (using fillers) to physically enlarge the central member. This would involve the recalculation of all weights and dimensions, to equate the strength/weight ratio required to that available.

The previous example shows therefore that the art of cable design not only requires detailed knowledge of all the parameters
involved, but also much time and effort to iterate and re-calculate weights and dimensions.

Whilst the previous example only showed the process of iteration, the example in Appendix A will give a detailed description of how the weights and dimensions of a loose tube cable are calculated.

Appendix A highlights the work confronted by a design engineer each time a new cable is required. The time taken by an experienced engineer to complete a design can be anything up to five hours. This depends upon the complexity of the customer requirement, and the number of iterations needed to satisfy the cable strength/weight ratio.

The design in Appendix A took three hours to do, and this was with only a single iteration, and the omission of such materials as "Steel Plastic Laminate" and "Galvanised Steel Wire Armouring".

The following chapter will show how the design of fibre optic cables is suited for implementation on a computer system.

## CHAPTER 5

## A COMPUTER AIDED SOLUTION

The task of designing Fibre Optic Cables is a process combining knowledge, experience and the ability to perform mathematical calculations. A computer system running specific software is therefore required to emulate the design stages involved.

### 5.1. Expert Systems

Expert Systems are being developed to solve a range of practical problems. As with fifth-generation computers they represnt a departure from traditional methods of problem solving. Expert systems have been defined as (12): 'programs that solve substantial problems generally conceded as being difficult and requiring expertise. They are called knowledge based because their performance depends critically on the use of facts and heuristics used by experts'.

The body of facts (knowledge) and the heuristics (which may be regarded as 'rules of thumb') are represented in the computer. The program uses the heuristics to operate on the stored knowledge in the light of an use enquiry, and ideally the system's reasoning can be explained to the user toindicate how a particular conclusion was reached.

Any expert system is characterised by three fundamental elements: the Knowledge Manager, the Knowledge Base and the Situation Model. The Knowledge Manager typically uses the information contained in the Knowledge Base to interpret the current contextual data in the Situation Model. Everything which is application-dependent can be kept in the Knowledge Base, allowing the knowledge Manager to function
as a multi- application tool.
The more comprehensive the Knowledge Base, the less strain upon the inferential logic inside the Knowledge Manager when a question has to be answered. This means that the power of the system tends to be defined according to its depth of knowledge rather than its ability to reason. In the event, however, the user will only be interested in receiving a useful response to the initial query. It may be expected that an expert system will develop - as it accumulates more expertise either directly as new information is fed in, or indirectly as the system remembers the results of useful inferences. In addition to operating on the Knowledge Base, the Knowledge Manager will also be concerned with knowledge acquisition (i.e. developing the Knowledge Base), Knowledge updating (i.e. modifying the Knowledge Base), and providing explanations (i.e. explaining system features or details of operations such as inference - making). An expert system can operate at several levels - relatively superficially if a quick answer is sufficient, or more deeply if a more complex analysis is required.

It may be emphasised that expert systems are about software. Expert systems have been written in traditional languages such as FORTRAN and COBOL, but are more suited to a language such as PROLOG. The use of rules (e.g. an if -then relationship) does serve the development of a knowledge base. In this context, no distinction is drawn between a piece of factual information (e.g. an item of data) and a statement rule (e.g. a piece of program).

The various expert systems in existence depend upon accumulating knowledge in the form of rules. One advantage of this approach is that the knowledge concerning the particular specialist area can be expanded incrementally; furthermore, it is in a form
easily expressed by an expert. The rules comprising the knowledge base are equivalent to an application program and they may have many different formats; the if-a-condition-then-an-action format is very common, with the 'then' section able to represent inferences, assertions, probabilities, precepts, etc. The first of several conditions associated with the rule determines whether the rule is potentially valid with respect to the current state of the situation model. A rule can require that a number of conditions be satisfied before an action is authorised.

### 5.2. Expert System Shells

Software packages are now available through which expert knowledge can be suitably entered into a computer and used by other people. The set of information on any one subject that is built up is called a knowledge base. This contains not only the essential rules appertaining to it but also the dialogue that enables the user to communicate with the computer. The knowledge base is refined over a period of time until it is both correct, and clear to the user.

Expert system shells contain the framework of an expert system, where the user only has to enter the rules (i.e. knowledge). The rules are worded in such a way that a future user of the system would be asked a series of questions, whereby the answers given would be used to either make decisions, or complete the unknowns in any equations/formulas.

Expert system shells are written in many languages including PASCAL, $C$ etc. The main advantage of using a shell is that much time is saved in writing the software to control the rules and how they are generated.

There are many expert system shells commercially available on the market. These differ to a true Intelligent Knowledge Based System (IKBS) in that they are unable to develop new heuristic relationships from continous user-interaction. Three popular packages are Crystal by Intelligent environments, PAL by PAL Software and Xi Plus by Expertech. The two packages investigated were Crystal and Xi Plus, with a description of their features and architectures given in the following sections.

### 5.3. Crystal/Xi Plus Expert System Shells

### 5.3.1. Crystal

Crystal makes it easy to organise expertise, knowledge or the stages of a task so that other people can later have access to it. In Crystal knowledge is described in the form of rules which contain connected pieces of reasoning (13).

As a simple example the following rule will decide whether a customer required singlemode or multimode fibre. The three different criteria for selecting singlemode fibre are:

The link requires as few repeaters as possible
AND
The link is long in length
AND
The data capacity required is very high
The conclusion is "the customer requires singlemode fibre" and the conditions are the three that are stated above. A rule can therefore be thought of as the reasoning behind a decision.

Each condition will be either true or untrue in a particular case - an individual customer on this occasion. Crystal will use the conditions and prompt the user for replies. It will reach a conclusion depending on those replies, whether that conclusion is true or false.

The conditions mentioned above are not very precise. Asking if the link requires as few repeaters as possible would be a fair question if the term "few as possible" was fully explained. Crystal can deal with this by letting the user expand a condition to offer or gather further detail, thus turning a particular condition into a rule with conditions in its own right.

The next level of rule will now have the previous condition "the link requires as few repeaters as possible" as its conclusion and new conditions will be required within the new rule so that Crystal may test this next rule. A typical Crystal knowledge base will consist of a network of rules and conditions supporting them, some of which will be expanded into further rules (14). Indeed the same rule maybe used from different places in the same knowledge base: once Crystal has tested the answer it will not need to ask the user again since it already "knows" the answer. (There is an exception called a "Special Rule" which forces Crystal to look at the rule every time.) The rule which decides whether as few repeaters as possible are required has two alternative conditions, which are:
the link is for a submarine application
OR
electricity is unavailable in certain areas of the link
It must be noted that only one of these two methods is required, whereas the previous rule required correct answers about repeaters, link length and data capacity. This rule only requires one way of proving the link requires as few repeaters as possible, and both are alternative ways of reaching that conclusion. The word AND was used in the first rule, whilst 0 R was used in the sub-rule.

The difference between the word AND and the word OR assume a
vital importance in building a knowledge base. Both words have important implications, particularly when used in combination with each other. These implications were not invented by the people who designed CRYSTAL, they were standard logical expressions.

The implications of this aspect of logic is best explained by elaborating on the previous example. Suppose it now reads like this: the link is for a submarine application, AND the sea bed is very deep.
OR
Electricity is unavailable in certain areas of the link
The method shown above links the first two conditions with an AND before using the word $0 R$. That means that if the number of repeaters depends on the fact that the link is undersea (because the cable itself carries power for the repeaters), then the sea bed must be very deep. The essential point is to use the word AND before the word OR. If the word AND were not there then the submarine application would have been just an alternative to the depth of the sea bed.

Crystal also has a series of commands which normally tell the computer to do something while going through rules and testing conditions. An example of a command would be displaying some information on a screen at a particular stage while the user is answering questions.

Commands are mixed into the sequence of conditions within a rule. Whether the commands are carried out or not, and in what order, will be determined by the result of testing the conditions and also on the pattern of AND's and OR's within the rules.

### 5.3.2. Xi Plus

Xi Plus is an expert system shell for the IBM PC designed around
the production rule formalism. This means that knowledge is coded into the system in terms of rules of the form:
if identifier is value
and identifier is value
then identifier is value
and identifier is value
For example, in an expert system for selecting copper or fibre-optic cables we might find the rule:
if data capacity required is very high
and cable is installed in high interference area
then fibre-optic cable is most suitable.
The default inference mechanism in Xi Plus employs what is called backward chaining. This means that to run the system, the user normally selects a query from an initial menu. This query is then set up as the goal for the system to solve. It does this by searching through the knowledge base for a rule which has an identifier in its THEN part which matches the identifier in the goal. For instance, if the current query is 'cable most suitable', the rule above would "fire", meaning that two further sub-goals would be set up for capacity and interference. The value of capacity might then be requested of the user by a question set in the system.

The value of interference might be set by firing a further rule in the system:
if electric field intensity $>E$
then interference is high
This would mean that the inference engine would now try to find a value for electric field intensity.

Backward chaining is often referred to as goal-directed since
reasoning moves back from the goal to initial data. In contrast, Xi Plus also supports forward chaining, so-called because the reasoning process is directed by the data available to the final goal. Here the user directs the inference process by writing explicit contral into the knowledge base. One way of doing this is by means of 'deamons', that is, rules beginning with WHEN rather than IF. These are triggered as soon as the condition they test becomes tru. For example:

> when capacity reaches maximum
> then second cable is required

This is a product of Expertech. It is written in Microprolog and Assembler, and will run on a microcomputer with at least 5l2K of random access memory.

### 5.3.3. Xi Plus Vs Crystal

The primary motivations for implementing an expert system on a microcomputer are low cost, availability and transportability. It is possible to distribute cost-effectively multiple copies of the same system. The small size and robust design of micro-systems make them appropriate for applications where physical space is limited and/or in harsh environments.

These factors influence what is perhaps the primary advantage of implementing expert systems on microcomputers, namely that they are ideal tools for experimentation, exploration, learning and assessment. It is possible for a company to experiment with expert systems without making a sizeable investment in resources. As a result, it afford companies a low risk opportunity for determining the potential of this new area for their applications work.

For the application of designing loose tube cables, both expert system shells considered appeared adequate. There were more features in the Xi Plus shell, but many of them would never be used for the specific need. Crystal proved extremely flexible and easy to use, with menus allowing the user to develop a knowledge base without continually referencing the manual.

The deciding factor in choosing between the two shells was cost. Crystal proved considerably cheaper at the time of purchase, therefore it was selected in preference to Xi Plus.

### 5.4 Loose Tube Cable Expert System

The expert system like most computer programs has been written with an Input-Calculate-Output format. The basic principle of the program is to best fit the number of elements and other cabling materials, around a central strain member.

### 5.4.1. Program Inputs

The "user inputs" to the expert system are as follows:
(i) Number of outer elements?

Total number of tubes, fillers and copper pairs. This input is used in the equation to calculate the size of central member required. (Eqn.4.5)
(ii) Number of tubes?

This input is used to calculate the weight of tubes in the design.
(iii) Number of copper pairs?

Used to calculate weight of copper pairs in the design.
(iv) Diameter of outer elements?

Used in conjunction with the number of outer elements to calculate the size of central member required. Also in conjunction with the number of tubes, to calculate the weight of tube material.
(v) Diameter of insulated copper wires?

Used in conjunction with the number of copper pairs to calculate the weight of insulated copper in the design.
(vi) Laylength of stranded elements?

Used to instruct the process engineers on how the stranding machine should be set up.
(vii) Intersticial filling compound?

If the outer element interstices are filled with a water blocking compound, this input will ascertain which compound, from the choice available, is required.
(viii) Strength Member Material?

Cable strength members are normally made from a steel dyform or glass reinforced plastic. Both materials have different densities and moduli, therefore selecting one or another will greatly affect the cable strength and weight. Usually, a glass reinforced plastic (GRP) strength member will only be selected if its dielectric properties are required.
(ix) Aluminium Plastic Laminate?

If an Aluminium Plastic Laminate (APL) is required, the expert system will calculate the width required. (APL is applied longitudinally and always has a specified overlap). Since various widths are available from suppliers, the user must input the nearest width available to that required. (Eqn 4.10)
(x) Steel Plastic Laminate and Bedding Sheath?

Simularly to an APL, an SPL is applied longitudinally. Nearly always, a bedding sheath is applied under the SPL, the thickness of which is specified by the user. The expert system calculates the width of SPL required, and as with the APL, the user inputs the nearest width
available.
(xi) Steel Wire Armour + Bedding Sheath?

Steel wire armouring entails helically wrapping individual steel wires of user definable diameters, around the cable. A bedding sheath must be present beneath the armouring to avoid any crushing of the existing cable. The user therefore selects the bedding sheath thickness, the diameter of steel wires, and the layangle of the wires. All three inputs are required to calculate the number of steel wires required to give a snug fit. (Eqn's 4.11,4.12,4.13).
(xii) Outer Sheath?

An outer sheath is present on all cables, therefore its thickness and material (LDP/MDP/HDP) are required to calculate its weight.
(xiii) Maximum allowable cable strain?

Normally a cable may be strained by a certain amount before the optical fibres are affected. The input required here is the percentage strain that a cable may be subjected to before the optical fibres see greater than a 0.25\% strain. Obviously the greater the strain relief the greater the tension that can be applied to the cable.
(xiv) Strength/weight ratio (W-Factor) required?

This input is used to define a cable's strength/weight ratio. The $W$ is the weight of the cable in newtons, whilst the input by the user is the number multiplied by the cable weight to give the cable strength required.

### 5.4.2. Crystal Master Rules

Crystal has a series of master rules from which all the other rules in the expert system stem (15). The master rules will be executed in sequence, therefore all the rules relating to one master rule must be
satisfied before moving on to the next.
The master rules of the Loose Tube Cable Expert System are:-

1. Check Ascii Interface is Loaded and System Clear

AND
2. Loose Tube Cables

OR
3. Quit Crystal.

1. The first master rule does a self check to see whether the ASCII interface software has been loaded. Without this software, a feature at the end of the program whereby data is transferred to an external ASCII file cannot be executed.

Crystal also has a clearing function where all the answers and results from the previous run are erased. If this is not done, then the whole program can be corrupted by a previous result not required by the current run. To remind the user of this feature a message is displayed on the screen which must be acknowledged.
2. This is the main body of the expert system which is described in detail after covering the third master rule.
3. The third master rule is an $O R$ condition. It is only ever executed if the ASCII interface has not been loaded. On execution it displays a message to the user stating that it cannot proceed and the reason why.
5.4.3. Second Master Rule-Loose Tube Cables

The Loose Tube Cables master rule is split up into 19 subrules, with each sub-rule having further sub-rules and so on. An explanation of each rule will now be given.

## Loose Tube Cables - Sub Rules

(i) Sub Rule 1- Display Header

This rule simply displays the title of the expert system.
(ii) Sub Rule 2 - Fibrecount

This rule is used to ascertain how many fibres, or what is the range of numbers of fibres that can be incorporated within the cable. (ii) Sub Rule 3 - Fibremode

Asks the question what type of fibre is required? Singlemore/Multimode.
(iv) Sub Rule 4 - Central Member

Calculates the diameter of central member from the number of outer elements and their diameters.

Also asks the questions:
(a) what is the strength member material? (Steel/GRP)
(b) what is the inner sheath material, (LDP/MDP/HDP).

Question (a) is used to assign a modulus and density to the strength member, for strength and weight calculations.

Question (b) is used to find the density of the inner sheath material so that its weight may be calculated.
(v) Sub Rule 5 - Tubes.

This rule calculates the cross-sectional area of any tube, and combined with knowledge of the the material density, the weight is found.
(vi) Sub Rule 6 - Copper Pairs

From details regarding the number of copper pairs, their individual diameters (copper and insulation), the total weight of both materials is found.
(vii) Sub Rule 7 - Outer Element Fillers.

The number of fillers required is calculated by substracting the total number of tubes and copper pairs from the number of outer elements. The diameter of the fillers will be the same as the tubes, therefore from knowledge of the filler material density the weight may be found,
(viii) Sub Rule 8 - Hyvis.

Hyvis, the filling compound used within the loose tubes is a form of liquid gel. The total amount required per tube ( $\mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$ ) is the same as the volume of the internal section of the tube. The weight may therefore be calculated from multiplying the volume by the density of hyvis.
(ix) Sub Rule 9 - Filling Compound.

The filling compound referred to in this rule is the gel used as a water blocking compound in the element interstices. At present one of three compounds are used:
i. Syntec Rheogel 90
ii. Syntec Rheogel 210.
iii. Insojel 1921.

The volume of filling compound required is calculated from the following equation:

Volume of compound $=\pi / 4\left((\text { DIAMI })^{2}-(\text { DIAMO })^{2}\right)-\left(N . \pi . D^{2} / 4\right)$
where DIAMI is the diameter of the cable outside the outer elements.
DIAMO is the diameter of the cable outside the central member. $N$ is the number of outer elements, and $D$ is the diameter of the outer elements.

The above equation gives the volume of filling compound per metre of cable, assuming that all the dimensions are in metres. Therefore the
weight of compound per kilometre:
Weight of filling compound $/ K m=$ Volume of compound $\times 1000 \times$ density.
(x) Sub Rule 10 - Paper Tape

Paper tape is supplied in standard widths starting at 12.7 mm (1/2 inch) and increasing in 6.35 (1/4 inch) steps.

The equations for calculating the length of paper required per Km of cable and the paper tape application angle are given in section 4.3 It is generally recognised that the narrower the paper the better the lay around the elements. An important stipulation is that the application angle of the tape must lie within the range 40 to 65 degrees. The major control of the application angle is the width of tape, therefore the narrowest tape is required which results in an angle within the range.

Crystal performs this by generating a loop, whereby the width of paper tape is incremented from the smallest width in the available steps. Once an angle in the range has been reached, the loop is broken, and the width of tape is noted and used in the other calculations. i.e. length of paper, lay length etc.

Paper is costed by weight, therefore from the paper length, width, thickness and density its weight is calculated.
(xi) Sub Rule 11 - Aluminium Plastic Laminate (APL)

The width of APL required does not always match the supplies available. Therefore CRYSTAL calculates the theoretical width, and asks the user to input the nearest width available. The weight is found from standard figures relating APL area to weight. (These figures were found through practical experiments).

For a 0.15 mm thick APL with a 0.04 mm plastic coating on one side, the weight per square metre is $0.434 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}$. For a 0.20 mm thick

APL with a 0.04 mm plastic coating on both sides the weight is 0.617 $\mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}$.

Crystal allows lmm on the overall diameter of the cable if an APL is applied. The diameter outside the APL(if present) is assigned to the variable DIAMAPL.
(xii) Sub Rule 12 - Steel Plastic Laminate (SPL)

Simularly to APL, the width of SPL does not always match available supplies. In the same manner, the theoretical width is calculated, and the user inputs the closest match.

An option for SPL is the application of anderlying bedding sheath. If required, the radial thickness is entered by the user. The weight of the sheath is found by calculating its cross-sesctional area, and multiplying this by 1000 and the sheath material density. This will give an answer in kilograms per kilometre. The diameter outside the bedding sheath (if present) is assigned to the variable DIAMSPLBS.

The weight of SPL per square metre is $1.326 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}$. (This was found through practical experiments). When SPL is applied to cables it is virtually always corrugated. Therefore since it is purchased in an uncorrugated form, this process must be carried out on site. After corrugation the length of SPL is reduced by $15 \%$, therefore an extra length is required to compensate for this.
(xiii) Sub Rule 13 - Steel Wire Armour (SWA)

The theory involved with Steel Wire Armouring is given in section 4.6

The steel wires used to armour cables come in three different sizes. These are $0.9,1.25$ and 1.6 mm 's. the user inputs the size required, depending on the level of armouring needed. A bedding
sheath must always be present beneath the armour, to prevent any crushing of the underlying fibres on application. The thickness of bedding sheath should nearly always be as thick as the steel wires used. The user should bear this in mind when entering the sheath thickness.

An additional input required from the user is the armour 'layangle'. From the diameter of the wires, the armour pitch diameter (calculated from cable diameter beneath armour), and the 'layangle', the following parameters may be found:-
(a) Number of wires,
(b) 'Laylength'
(c) Length of wire per unit length of cable.

The total weight of the steel wire armour is calculated from the equation:-

$$
W I=N \times A\left(m^{2}\right) \times 1000 \times D
$$

where $N$ is the number of wires.
$A$ is the area of $l$ wire in $m^{2}$
D is the density of galvanized steel wire in $\mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
The weight Wl wil be found in $\mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
The weight of the armour bedding sheath is found from the equation:-

$$
W 2=A\left(m^{2}\right) \times 1000 \times D .
$$

where $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the sheath in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
D is the density of polyethylene (low, medium or high density) in $\mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$

Again, the weight W 2 will be found in Kgs/Km.
(xiv) Sub Rule 14 - Outer Sheath

The cable outer sheath is extruded on to the underlying material. The user inputs are the sheath thickness and material. Outer sheaths typically range from 1 mm thick to 3 mm , whilst the material may be Low, Medium or High density Polyethylene.

The weight of the sheath per Km is found by multiplying the cross-sectional area in $m$ by 1000 and by the material density. The answer will be found in $\mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
(xv) Sub Rule 15 - ID tape and Ripcord.

An ID tape and ripcord are usually applied directly over the paper tape. Both are normally required by the customer but are not essential. The only calculations required are to find the weight of both items.

The ID tape has a standard weight of $0.2 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$, whilst the ripcord has a standardweight of $0.32 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
(xvi) Sub Rule 16 - Cable Strength/Weight.

To date, all the materials used in the design have been accounted for, and their weights recorded. Therefore by adding the weights of the relevant materials used, the total weight of the cable may be found.

The strength of a cable is normally related to its weight in Newtons (i.e. weight in Kgs $\times$ 9.81). A cable's strength when specified is given as $N \times W$, where $W$ is the weight of the cable in newtons, and $N$ is the cable strength factor. If a cable has a particular centre size, then the strength will be directly proportional to the ratio of steel/sheath in the centre.

To save on costs, the smallest possible steel size is used which gives the required strength. Therefore since the weight of
cable will vary with changes in steel size,a process of iteration is required which increases the steel size, calculates the new weight, and either stops or continues depending whether the strength is sufficient.

The two user inputs required are:
(a) Cable strength factor (as described above), and
(b) Maximum allowable cable strain i.e. the strain of the strength member to be tolerated.

The cable strain figure will have a direct influence on the strength of the strain member since:

$$
F=E \times e \times A
$$

where
F is the strength in Newtons.
E is the Youngs Modulus in Pascals.
$A$ is the area in $m$ and e is the strain (fractional).

Once the size of strain member is established, the size (or radial thickness) of the strain member sheath will be known. Its weight will have already been calculated on part of the total weight. (xvii) Sub Rule 17 - Print Out of Results

This rule initially ascertains whether the user requires the output to be directed to the screen, the printer, or both. After this, a full breakdown of the cable materials is given, listing their weights, dimensions and strength.

The information produced should be sufficient so that the cable may be costed and consequently manufactured.
(xvii) Sub Rule 18 - Store Data.

An added feature to this expert system, is the facility to draw a cross-sectional representation of the cable designed, either on the screen or on a plotter. To facilitate this feature, the dimensions of the cable must be transferred from Crystal to an external text file. This is done through the " ASCII interface" package supplied by Intelligent Environments, which allows the cable Information to be accessed by other software.

This rule asks the question whether or not such a file should be created, and the data released.
(xix) Sub Rule 19 - Transfer Parameters to ASCII file.

This rule actually creates an ASCII file called CABLE.ASC and assigns the following variables to it:-

| APL $\$$ | - | APL (YES/NO) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SPL $\$$ | - | SPL (YES/NO) |
| SPLBS $\$$ | - | SPL Bedding Sheath (YES/NO) |
| SR $\$$ | - | Filling compound (YES/NO) |
| SWA $\$$ | - | Steel wire armour (YES/NO) |
| SWABS $\$$ | - | Steel wire armour bedding sheath (YES/NO) |
| BSM\$ | - | Bedding sheath material (LDP/MDP/HDP) |
| ISM $\$$ | - | Inner sheath material (LDP/MDP/HDP) |
| OSM\$ | - | Outer sheath material (LDP/MDP/HDP) |
| SMM\$ | - | Strength member material (Steel/GRP) |
| SWABSM\$ | - | SWA Bedding sheath material (LDP/MDP/HDP) |
| ID $\$$ | - | Identification tape (YES/NO) |
| RIP\$ | - | Ripcord (YES/NO) |
| D | - | Diameter of outer elements |
| DCW | - | Diameter of copper wire |
| DIAMO | - | Diameter of sheathed central member |
| DIAMI | - | Diameter outside elements |
| DIAM2 | - | Diameter outside paper tape |
| DIAM3 | - | Diameter outside APL |
| DIAM4 | - | Diameter of finished cable |
| DIAMETER | - | Diameter of steel/GRP strain member |
| DIAMAPLSPL | - | Diameter outside SPL |
| DIAMIOS | - | Diameter inside outer sheath |
| DIAMSPL | - | Diameter outside SPL if APL does not exist. |
| DIAMSPLBS | - | Diameter outside SPL Bedding Sheath |
| DIAMSWA | - | Diameter outside steel wire armour |
| DIAMSWABS | - | Diameter outside steel wire armour bedding sheath |
| DSW | - | Diameter of steel wires |


| IDT | - | Internal diameter of tubes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | - | Number of outerelements |
| NCP | - | Number of copper pairs |
| NF | - | Number of Fillers |
| NT | - | Number of tubes |
| ANSW | - | Actual number of steel wires |
| OSRT | Outer sheath radial thickness |  |
| RTBS | - | Radial thickness of bedding sheath |
| RTCI | - | Radial thickness of copper insulation |
| RTIS | - | Radial thickness of inner sheath |
| SR | - | Filling Compound type (90/210/1921) |
| TOTALM | - | Total weight of cable |
| STRESS | - | Maximum pulling load on cable |

### 5.4.4. Program Outputs

A complete listing of the Loose Tube Cable Knowledge Base is given in Appendix B, whilst an example of the output to the printer is given in Appendix $C$.

As we can see from Appendix $C$ a summary of the cable is on the title sheet. The sections which follow are complete descriptions of the central member; tubes, fillers and copper pairs; tube filling compound - hyvis; stranding; filling compound - syntec/insojell; paper tape; ID tape and ripcord; APL; SPL bedding sheath; SPL; steel wire armour bedding sheath; steel wire armour; outer sheath; cable strength/weight; cable diameter.

Following the cable description is a separate sheet entitled "Processes and Speeds". This is for the process engineers to list the various stages of manufacture i.e. what machines are to be used and their line speeds.

### 5.5. Graphical Output of Cable Cross-Section

A further requirement to the printer output of weights and dimensions is a target diagram representing the cross section of the cable designed. The diagram should be fully labelled, have a brief technical specification, and an user defined title.

Cable data is available in a text file generated by Crystal. A graphics package, or programming language with graphics capability is required to utilise this information and create the visual representation.

The choices immediately available are:
(a) a Graphics Environment Manager (GEM) package.
(b) Microsoft BASIC or
(c) TURBO PASCAL \& GRAPHIX TOOLKIT

Close inspection of the 3 alternatives identified (c) as the method with most potential.

### 5.5.1 Program Structure

Turbo Pascal programs are written as a series of procedures linked by a main program (16). The Graphix ToolKit is a series of subroutines sold by Borland International that perform various graphical functions. These procedures may be accessed from a Pascal program, thus allowing the integration of text and graphical outputs.

The graphix subroutines are included in a Pascal program by inserting the following three declarations at the start.
(\$i Typedef.sys)
(\$i Graphix.sys)
(\$i Kernel.sys)
A typical example of a graphix subroutine is Drawcircle $(X, Y, R)$. If Drawcircle is executed then three parameters ( $X$ coordinate, $Y$ coordinate and radius) of the circle in question must be stated.

The procedures used within PASCAL are sections of code. They are each given names so that they maybe called as required from the main line. The procedure names in the program "Drawcable" are:-
procedure READ
procedure DRAWSCREEN
procedure PENUP
procedure PLOTABSOLUTE
procedure PLOTCIRCLE
procedure DRAWPLOTTER
procedure LABELPLOTTER
They are now described in detail.
procedure READ
This procedure is used to read in the data from the external ASCII file into the program. The data is initially loaded into arrays, then is assigned to a working variable. The flow of data is shown in Figure 5.l.

The working variables used in the "Drawcable" program are the same as those used in the Crystal expert system.
procedure DRAWSCREEN
The variables read in by the previous procedure are called Global variables i.e. they are recognised throughout the whole program. Variables declared and used only within a particular procedure are called local variables. They are not recognised within any other procedure.

Therefore variables used as program counters, calculated parameters, condition characters etc. may be declared within one or more procedures and still remain separate of one another.

Procedure DRAWSCREEN uses the command Drawcircle to draw initially a series of concentric circles, and then a series of orbital circles. These circles would represent the cable material layers, and tubes, fillers and copper pairs respectively. Whether or not a


FIG. 5.1 PROCEDURE TO READ DATA FROM EXPERT SYSTEM
particular material is present in the cable is initially tested, and if so, its dimensions (read in from the ASCII file) are processed and the circle, whether concentric or orbital is drawn.

The screen drawing is scaled so that the cable outer diameter is always the same size. This ensures maximum utilisation of the screen.

The sequence of commands within the procedure are shown in Figure 5.2.
procedure PENUP
Procedure PENUP is called from within procedure DRAWPLOTTER. Sending commands to the plotter must be done via string variables. This means that any alpha-numeric characters must be converted into a string before they may be read by the plotter. This process of conversion is performed by this procedure.
procedure PLOTABSOLUTE
The plotabsolute command moves the pen from one point to another in absolute co-ordinates. This procedure converts the command and the numerical coordinates into a string.
procedure PLOTCIRCLE
The plotcircle command draws circles on the plotter to a specified radii and coordinates. This procedure again converts the command and following parameters into a string. procedure DRAWPLOTTER

The Hewlett-Packard Colorpro plotter used accepts a series of HP graphics language instructions (HP-GL) passesd to its serial RS232. port via a string variable (17).

An $\mathrm{HP}-\mathrm{GL}$ instruction is a two-letter mnemonic, which may be upper or lowercase. A command is defined as an instruction followed
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by its parameter field, if any, and a terminator. If parameters follow the mnemonic, they must be separated from each other by at least one comma or space, or by a + or - sign which may be preceeded by commas or spaces. Optional commas and/or spaces may be used as separators before, after, and between the mnemonic and before the terminator. An instruction is terminated by a semicolon, nonalphabetic and nonnumeric characters such $\&$ or $\$$, or by the next mnemonic.

Some instructions have optional parameters which, when omitted, assume a default valve. In order to omit a parameter, all subsequent parameters in the same instruction must be omitted.

The label instruction, LB, is a special case; it must be terminated with the label terminator character. This character defaults to the ASCII end-of-text character, ETX, whose decimal equivalent is 3 . The label terminator may be changed from its default value using the define terminator instruction, DT.

The parameter fields must be specified in the format defined by the syntax of each respective $H P-G L$ instruction. The format can be of three types:

1. Integer Format - a parameter in integer format between 32768 and +32767 . Decimal fractions of parameters which must be integers are truncated. If no sign is specified, the parameter is assumed to be positive.
2. Decimal Format - a number between -128 and +127 with an optional decimal point and decimal fraction with up to four significant digits. If no sign is specified, the parameter is assumed to be positive.
3. Label Fields - any combination of text, numeric expressions, or
string variables.
The full plotter instruction set is listed in Appendix F.
To send a command to the plotter using PASCAL the following instruction is used:

WRITELN (AUX, 'STRING');
where AUX is the auxiliary device, i.e. plotter, and STRING is the plotter instruction recognised as a string function.

The sequence of commands within this procedure are virtually identical to those within the DRAWSCREEN procedure. The difference being that the DRAWPLOTTER procedure directs the output to the communications port, whilst the DRAWSCREEN procedure directs the output to the screen. procedure LABELPLOTTER

A cross-sectional drawing of any cable requires three labelling features to complete it to presentation standards. These are:-
(a) An user-defined, underlined title.
(b) The name of each material used with pointing arrows.
(c) A brief technical summary giving the cable diameter, minimum bend diameter, weight and tensile strength.

Figure 5.3. shows a labelled drawing of a 16 fibre buried cable with steelwire armouring. This diagram together with a cable description would be sent to the customer as part of a contract bid.

The procedure reads whether or not a particular element or layer is present, and produces a label accordingly. The data outputed in the technical summary is transferred from the Crystal Expert System via the ASCII file.

The user defined heading is input into the program as a string variable, and is then sent to the plotter to plot at a pre-defined
16 Fibre Buried Cable with Steel Wire Armouring

Cabig Diameter 24.3mm
Canle Weight $840 \mathrm{kga} / \mathrm{km}$
Tensila Strength 34 KN

FIG. 5.3 ACTUAL OUTPUT FROM PLOTTING SYSTEM
starting point. The length of the string is then read using a PASCAL command, after which the length is converted into plotter coordinates to underline the title.

The flow of data within the procedure is shown in Figure 5.4. Program Body

The body of the program is used to initialise certain conditions and then link together the procedures in the required sequence. Questions are asked to ascertain whether or not a particular procedure is required for example in some cases only the drawing is needed and the labelling can be omitted.

The mainline can be loooped so that a repeat plot feature is available.

A complete listing of the Pascal "Drawcable" programe is given in Appendix D.

### 5.6 Sequential Execution of Cable Design Package <br> Using the IBM PC's disk operating system (DOS), batch files can

 be written which execute a series of commands sequentially and automatically. Such a file is used to access and execute the Crystal expert system, copy the ASCII datafile generated from the Crystal directory to the Pascal directory, and finally execute the PASCAL "Drawcable" program.Other commands are present in the batch file such as setting up the plotter to receive data at the required baud rate and configuring the printer to print in Near-Letter-Quality (NLQ) mode.

A list of the batch file written (Crystal.Bat) is given in Appendix E.


FIG 5.4 PROCEDURE TO LABEL CABLE CROSS-SECTION

## CHAPTER 6

## DATABASE TO RETRIEVE EXISTING CABLE-DESIGNS

With the number of existing cable designs increasing daily, the need arose for a database system to keep a record of the designs available. The idea was not to store the design itself, but to have a reference number that can be accessed by selecting a series of cable parameters.

### 6.1. Selecting a Database System

On the market today there are several packages which allow a database to be constructed. These include CARDBOX PLUS, OMNIS and DELTA. These three packages have the database framework already incorporated, with the user definable features being the number of fields and their contents.

Another possibility is a database programming language such as the DBASE series 1,2 and 3. Such a language provides for greater flexibility, but it is not as easy to use and considerable effort is required to learn the language.

It was finally decided that a package would be sufficient for the requirement. On inspection of the options available, CARDBOX PLUS by Business Simulations Limited, was found to possess all the necessary features at reasonable cost.

### 6.2. Defining the Search Fields

To be able to search for a particular cable design or series of compatible designs, a number of search fields are required. These fields must adequately describe a cable, but must not be too many in number, otherwise inputing a record becomes laborious.

After much deliberation the following 13 fields were decided
upon:

1. Construction
2. Number of Fibres
3. Number of Elements
4. Number of Tubes
5. Number of Fillers
6. Number of Coppers
7. Installation
8. Cable Filled/Unfilled
9. Metalic/Non-Metallic
10. Armoured/non-armoured
11. Fibremode
12. Customer reference
13. STC reference.

The options available for each field, and the abbreviations used to described them are now discussed.
(1) Construction

The options available are:
Loose Tube - LT
Open Channel - OC
Tight Stranded - TS
Single Fibre Cable - SFC
Multiple Single Fibre Cable - MSFC
Fibrespan - FS
Figure of Eight F8
Others - ANO
These are the cable types manufactured by STC.
(2) Number of Fibres

The total number of optical fibres contained within the cable.
(3) Number of Elements

The total number of outer orbital elements in the cable. This figure will not exist for Open Channel, Single Fibre Cable and Fibrespan designs, since there are no physical elements.
(4) Number of Tubes

The total number of tubes in a Loose Tube cable design.
(5) Number of Fillers

If a cable has orbital elements and a required centre size for strength purposes, then to have a good fit of elements, fillers may be used. For example, if there is room for 10 elements, but only 8 tubes or fibres are required, then the cheapest solution is to include solid polyethylene fillers. As with the number of elements, this figure will not exist for Open Channel, Single Fibre and Fibrespan designs.
(6) Number of Coppers

The total number of copper wires in the cable. This does not apply to Single Fibre, Multiple Single Fibre and Fibrespan designs.

Copper wires (or "coppers") are usually either a single conductor, or twisted pair. The abbreviation to denote a single conductor is:

Cn - where $n$ is the total number of single conductors in the cable.
whilst the abbreviation to denote a copper pair is:
Pn - where $n$ is the total number of copper pairs in the cable.

## (7) Installation

The options available are:

| Ducted | - | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct Buried | - | B |
| Aerial | - | A |
| Internal | - | I |
| Other | - | 0 |

The various installations have a direct bearing on how a cable is designed. One could usually predict the type of cable design required if the installation was known.
(8) Cable Filled/Unfilled

Whether or not a cable is filled. If a cable is filled then its interstices are flooded with a water blocking compound.

Cable Filled - F
Cable Unfilled - UF
(9) Metallic/Non-Metallic

If a cable has a steel strength member, an aluminium plastic laminate, a steel plastic laminate or steel wire armouring, then it is considered to be metallic. Non-metallic cables normally have a glass reinforced plastic strength member.

| Metallic | - | M |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Non-Metallic | - | NM |

(10) Armoured/Non-Armoured

For a cable to be considered as armoured, it must have either a Steel plastic laminate or a steel wire armour. At present these are the only two forms of armouring used by STC.

| Armoured | - | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Armoured | - | NA |

(11) Fibremode

There are two types of fibremode, namely Singlemode and

Multimode. Variations in the dimensions of the fibre, and the resulting light propagating characteristics, determine whether an optical fibre is singlemode or multimode.
Singlemode - SM

Multimode - MM

## (12) Customer Reference

Customers quite often have their own reference numbers for particular designs. For example, British Telecom has a code of four numbers preceded by the letters CW. (e.g. CW153l is a 16 fibre Loose Tube Cable).
(13) Our Reference

Every design that has been manufactured by STC has a particular code assigned to it. The code is four numbers preceded by the letters RA (e.g. RA 3209).

In addition to the search fields, there is a possibility of adding text in a separate section. This extra text cannot be searched, but can be used to give a brief general description of the cable in question. The extra text can be accessed by pressing a single key.

Each field is given a two letter coding, so that a particular field from each record may be searched. Usually if a particular character or series of characters are required to be searched, then the database will search every field of every record.

If the field in which the data exists, is known, then only that field within each record will be searched. This is advantageous for two reasons:
i. Avoids confusion if two or more fields should have the same

```
            data. For example,two fields containing numerical data such as
            "Number of Fibres" and "Number of Tubes".
ii. If the number of records is large then the speed of search is
    dramatically increased.
```

The codings for each field are shown below:

| Construction | - | AA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Fibres | - | CC |
| Number of Elements | - | EE |
| Number of Tubes | - | GG |
| Number of Fillers | - | II |
| Coppers | KK |  |
| Installation | - | MM |
| Cable Filled/Unfilled - | 00 |  |
| Metallic/Non-Metallic - | QQ |  |
| Armoured/Non-Armoured - | SS |  |
| Fibremode | UU |  |
| Customer Reference | - | WW |
| Dur reference | - | YY |

### 6.3. Database Capacity

Each Cardbox-Plus database can contain up to 65,500 records, and as many independent databases as required can be had on any disk (18). The size of a database is limited only by the disk capacity of the machine used, or any limitations on the file size imposed by the machines's operating system.

At present, the number of fibre optic cable designs is approximately 300 . It is envisaged that in the future, new designs will gradually decrease, with existing designs saturating the cable
requirements. Therefore the maximum capacity of the database is never in danger of being exceeded.

## CHAPTER 7

## LOOSE TUBE CABLES - ADVANCED DESIGN THEORY

### 7.1. Introduction

The local area network market presents a number of problems to the cable designer. Firstly, the large fibre count in such cables means that the probability of a fibre failing per cable is increased, so the manufacturing process needs to be more reliable than that for a low fibre count cable to avoid increased scrap levels. Secondly, competition demands that lead times and costs are minimised, making development programs unviable if they use too much machine time or materials. Optimisation of designs cannot therefore proceed by trial and error, as in the early days of optical cables.

A theory is clearly required that can be used to identify which changes to existing designs and processes are most likely to yield significant improvements, to check the effect of tolerance variations, and to model new materials and procedures. To achieve this goal, the theory must use parameters that are known, or can be practically measured. Since it was felt that existing theories did not meet this criteria, a new approach was developed (20).

### 7.2. Theory

The excess length of fibre in a loose tube cable is linked to the tensile strength. In order to predict the tensile strength one must therefore consider the stages of the manufacturing process that put in and take out fibre excess.
(a) The length of tube in a given length of cable at stranding is calculated from the tube helix to obtain:

$$
Z 1=\frac{\left((\mathrm{Pi}(\mathrm{Dl}+\mathrm{D} 2))^{2}+\mathrm{Ll}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{L 1}
$$

where $Z 1=$ Length of tube at stranding/length of cable at stranding (unitless).

Dl = Diameter of built-up centre (mm)
D2 = Tube Outer Diameter (mm)
$\mathrm{Ll}=$ Laylength at stranding tension (mm)
(b) The diameter on which the fibres lie when the cable is at maximum working tension is then calculated. The fibres move toward the centre of the cable until they cannot move further. Those fibres at the centre touch the tube wall, and the subsequent fibres pack on top (21). As the cable strain increases, the fibre further from the cable centre is strained most. The maximum allowed strain for this fibre defines the maximum working strain for the cable. Thus the equation:

```
K3 = Pi[D1 + D2 - D3 + (2 x K4[N] - 1) D4]
    Where K3 = The circumference of the strained outer
                        fibre helix (mm)
    D3 = The tube inner diameter (mm)
    D4 = The fibre diameter (mm)
    K4(N) = The packing factor (unitless)
    N=The number of fibres in the tube.
    The packing factor is a reflection of the number of fibres
sitting on top of each other in the tube. A single fibre (N=1) would
therefore have a packing factor k4 (l) = l. Two fibres sitting
exactly on top of each other would have a packing factor K4 (2)=2.
In practice, the fibres are more likely to sit side by side, giving a
packing factor for usual tube sizes of around K4 (2) = 1.2. By scale
drawings, guesses for packing factor have been made as follows:
```

| $N$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~K} 4(N)$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Table 7.1.

Fortunately, the packing factor has only a small effect on the fibre helix diameter, so such approximations seem reasonable. For example, a cable with a centre of 5 mm ( $\mathrm{Dl}=5$ ) using $2.2 / 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ tubes and containing 4 fibres of 0.25 diameter where $K 4(N)=2.06$ gives a value of $K 3$ as 20.4. If $K 4(N)$ is taken as 1.5 then $K 3=19.5$, and if $K 4(N)$ is taken as 2.5, then $K 3=21.0$. This means that a $25 \%$ error in K4(N) results in only a 4.4\% error in $K 3$. The errors introduced here are only likely to become significant for cables with very small centres, and small tubes.
(c) The amount of fibre in a tube after manufacture can be measured by cutting the tube between fixed points a set distance $L$ apart at a known tension T5, removing the fibre and measuring the difference between the fibre length and the distance between the set points, with the fibre at a known tension $U$. The difference between the fibre and tube length is the excess, $X$. The ratio $Z$ of strained fibre lengths against strained tube length is given by:

$$
Z=\frac{L+X}{L}
$$

(d) If the optical fibre's tensile modulus is measured on a tensometer or similar, then we can calculate how long the fibre would be if it were not strained.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Generally } T=\xi E A \text { where } & T \text { is the Tension } \\
& \xi \text { is the Strain } \\
E \text { is the Modulus } \\
& A \text { is the Area }
\end{array}
$$

As for a given fibre type, the moduls and area are not expected to vary significantly, and the response of a fibre is near-linear (despite the modifying effect of the coatings), we can define a modulus-area product for the fibre as:-

$$
K l=E \cdot A .
$$

For a fibre tension of $U$,

$$
E=\frac{U}{K I}
$$

For a fibre of original length Lo, then

$$
L=L o(1+\xi)
$$

The tensioned fibre had a length of $L+X$, so using the above equation gives.

$$
L o=\frac{L+X}{1+\xi}=\frac{L+X}{1+(U / K I)}
$$

Therefore, the ratio $Z 2$ of unstrained fibre length Lo against strained tube length L is given by:

$$
Z 2=\frac{L+X}{L(1+U / K I)}
$$

(e) If the loose tube material's tensile properties are measured, a problem is discovered. The polybutyl terephthalate (PBTP) material used by STC does not have a constant modulus, but the modulus varies with strain, i.e. in the rule $T=\xi E A, E=f n(\xi)$. The modulus becomes near linear at strains above $0.128 \%$ but falls off to almost zero at zero strain. With a tube of $2.2 / 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ dimensions, this means that all tensions below 200 g are operating in the non-linear region of the curve. Hence if this tube is stranded at a tension of 500 g , it will be strained by $0.19 \%$, and not by the $0.10 \%$ that would be
obtained using the modulus from the linear region of the graph.
The non-linearity can be coped with readily for single readings, simply by using the graph. For a different area of tube, one can generate an appropriate graph by remembering that the tension is proportional to the tube area for a given strain, so in the case of the graph for the $2.2 / 1.5$ tube of area 2.03 mm and requiring data for a tube of area $A$, with an original data set of ( $T, S$ ) the new graph of tension against strain of the data set is (T.A/2.03,S). The set of data for a 1 mm area tube is given in Table 7.2 .

For a tube of area $A 3$, using the data in Table 7.1, the tension is given by the equation:

$$
T 3=T 2 \times A 3
$$

Clearly, for any new material, the table would need to be altered. Similarly the best results would be obtained by measuring a sample of the tube used, rather than referring to tubes of markedly different dimensions, which may have different properties due to different orientation, cooling rates etc.

| Tension of lmm Tube <br> T2 (N) | Strain of Tube <br> S2(unitless) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0.1207 | 0.00057 |
| 0.2149 | 0.00077 |
| 0.3626 | 0.00090 |
| 0.4833 | 0.00100 |
| 0.6039 | 0.00100 |
| 0.7251 | 0.00117 |
| 0.8458 | 0.00123 |
| 1.4498 | 0.00128 |
| 4.8325 | 0.00150 |

Table 7.2.

To find the strain of tube at given tension, we can either use the area corrected graph, or interpolate/extrapolate between known points on the graph. This method, while less accurate, is reasonably suited to input on a computer.

Using the interpolation based on $y=m x+c$, between data pairs, then it can be shown from the tube stress/strain graph for pair I and I+l, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad M(I)=\frac{T 3(I+1)-T 3(I)}{S 2(I+1)-S 2(I)} \\
& \text { Based on } T=M S+C \text { or } S=\frac{T-C}{M}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
C(I)=T 3(I)-(M(I) \times 52(I)) \quad 7.10
$$

Repeating this for all the data pairs, then one can obtain a
set of $M(I)$ and $C(I)$ that refer to the gradient and intercept of the straight line between $I$ and $I+1$ pairs.

Now, the strain of the tube can be found at a given tension, such as the measurement tension T5, by scanning the data pairs until we find the pairs that $T 5$ lies between, obtaining the corresponding gradient and intercept, and substituting these values into the equation:

$$
S 5=\frac{T 5-C(J)}{M(J)}
$$

In the case of the tension being greater than that of the highest data point, one can use the $M$ and $C$ for the highest tension data pair available, since the modulus is near linear at high tension.

This equation is valid provided one assumes that the fibres did not bear any of the load at the measurement tension. This can only be assured by using a sufficiently low measurement tension, and crosschecking this against the excess. The tension used must be high enough to straighten the tube, and avoid the very low modulus area of tube strain (which would introduce large errors), and low enough not to strain the fibres. Typically a 100 g tension would be used. For example a $2.2 / 1.5$ tube would be strained by $0.057 \%$ at 100 g , and such a tube might have 0.15\% excess fibre measured at a fibre tension of 50 g . Using a fibre modulus-area of $\mathrm{Kl}=886$, the fibre strain at measurement $=0.056 \%$ Hence the excess unstrained fibre at measurement $=1.0015 / 1.00056=1.000935$ i.e. $0.094 \%$. Since 0.094\% $>$ $0.057 \%$, the fibre excess is greater than the tube strain, and so the equation is valid.
(f) Next, the tube strain at stranding tension needs to be
calculated. This is more complex, since the fibres are likely to be strained. This can be checked by calculating $Z S$, the ratio of unstrained fibre length to unstrained tube length.

$$
Z S=((S 5+1) \times Z 2)-1
$$

Z2 is the ratio of unstrained fibre length against strained tube length, and 55 is the tube strain at measurement.
i. The tube strain at stranding can be calculated from:

$$
56=\frac{16-C(J)}{M(J)}
$$

where $T 6$ is the stranding tension of the tubes and $C(J), M(J)$ are the corresponding tensile plot gradient and intercept, by using the method given in section (e), assuming the fibres bear no strain. If then, $Z S$ is greater than S6, the fibres indeed bear no strain, so the value of 56 calculated here is the actual correct tube strain at stranding.
ii. If $Z S$ is less than 56 , then the fibres do bear strain, and so the value of 56 calculated above is incorrect, and must be given by the solution of the equation:

$$
\mathrm{T} 6=\mathrm{M}(J) \cdot 56+\mathrm{C}(J)+\sum_{1}^{N} \mathrm{Kl} \cdot(56-\mathrm{ZS}(N))
$$

where $M(J) .56+C(J)$ is the tube contribution to the tension, and the contribution of the N fibres to the tension is:

$$
\sum_{1}^{N} K l(S 6-Z S(N))
$$

To evaluate the fibre contribution to the excess, we need to know the distribution of the fibre excess in multifibre tubes, i.e. the $Z S(N)$ distribution. This ought to be determined by direct measurement. In the absence of such data, and for simplicity, it is assumed that fibres have the same excess. In this case

$$
T 6=M(J) \cdot S 6+C(J)+N \cdot K l \cdot(S 6-Z S)
$$

Rearranging this equation to obtain 56 gives

$$
S 6=\frac{T 6-C(J)+N \cdot K l . Z S}{M(J)+N \cdot K 1}
$$

To evaluate this equation we need to know which data pairs to use. This is done by looking at the tube contribution to the tension:

$$
Q Q=M(J) \cdot S 6+C(J)
$$

By trying each $M(J), \quad C(J)$ pair in turn, in equation 7.15 we obtain a value of $S 6$ to substitue in 7.16. If the value QQ is between $T(J)$ and $T(J+1)$ then the correct value of $J$ has been chosen, since the modulus used is appropriate for the tube strain. If the value of QQ is not between $T(J)$ and $T(J+1)$, another $J$ is tried. If $Q Q$ is greater than the highest value of $T(J)$, then the $M(J)$ and $C(J)$ for the highest data pair are used.

Note that equation 7.15 cannot be used to determine the tube strain when the fibres are not strained, since this would give a compressive tube strain contribution from the fibres, whereas in reality the fibres simply bend when present in excess, and have no contribution to tube strain. In summary for this section, section $i$. equation 7.13 is used if the fibres are not strained at stranding, and section ii. equation 7.15 is used if the fibres are strained at stranding.
(g) the following are now known:

Z2, ratio of unstrained fibre length against
strained tube length at measurement.
S5, tube strain at measurement.
56, tube strain at stranding.
So the ratio $Z 3$ of unstrained fibre against
strained tube length at stranding is:

$$
Z 3=\frac{72 \cdot(55+1)}{(56+1)}
$$

(h) The following are also known:

Zl, ratio of tube length at stranding against cable length at stranding.

Z3, ratio of unstrained fibre at stranding against tube length at stranding.

So the ratio $Z 4$ of unstrained fibre at stranding against cable length at stranding is

$$
Z 4=Z 1 \times Z 3
$$

(i) Consider one lay length of cable, at the stranding tension. The cable length is Ll and the fibre length is Z4.Ll. When the cable is taken to its maximum working tension, the fibre length is then (Z5.Z4.LI) where $Z 5$ is the maximum allowed fibre strain. Since the fibre helix circumference $K 3$ is known, the new lay length $L 2$ at maximum working tension can be calculated to obtain.

$$
L 2=\left((Z 5 . Z 4 . L 1)^{2}-K 3^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

(j) The maximum working tension is then calculated from the increase in lay length. Using the formula $T=E . A$. on the central strength member (or members) at the stranding tension of the centre T 7 is:

$$
T 7=\zeta . E \quad A 4=57 . \quad E \cdot A 4
$$

where $E$ is the modulus of the centre member $\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$
and A 4 is the area of the centre member ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ )
Simularly at the maximum working tension T8:

$$
T 8=S 8 . E \cdot A 4
$$

If a lay at zero centre tension had length Lo, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L 1 & =\operatorname{Lo}(1+57) \\
\text { and } L 2 & =\operatorname{Lo}(1+58)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting to eliminate Lo gives:

$$
L 2=\frac{(1+58)}{(1+57)}
$$

Substituting for S 7 and 58 gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
L 2 & =\frac{L 1(1+T 8 / E \cdot A 4)}{(1+T 7 / E \cdot A 4)} \\
\text { If } R R & =1+\frac{T 7}{E \cdot A 4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { then } \begin{aligned}
\frac{L 2 \cdot R R}{L l} & =1+\frac{T 8}{E \cdot A 4} \\
\text { If } S S & =\frac{L 2 \cdot R R}{L l}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { then } S S=1+\frac{T 8}{E \cdot A 4}
$$

So,

$$
\text { T8. }=(S S-1) \cdot E \cdot A 4
$$

where $T 8$ is the maximum working tension of the cable.

### 7.3. Computer Program to Calculate Maximum Working Tension of Cable

As mentioned in the previous section, this theory is suited for implementing into a computer program. The format of the theory i.e. input, calculation via several repetetive loops, output, would make working through the required procedure manually a low and laborious task.

The program written to solve the theory is in PASCAL and is made up of five procedures linked by a main line program. The inputs
to the program are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Packing Factor Array:- } \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K} 4[1] & =1 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[2] & =1.2 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[3] & =1.87 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[4] & =2.06 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[5] & =2.25 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[6] & =2.44 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[7] & =2.62 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[8] & =2.81 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[9] & =3.0 \\
\mathrm{~K} 4[10] & =3.19
\end{aligned} \text { 年 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Stress - Strain data array of $1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ tube :-

| T2[1] | $=0$ | S2[1] | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2[2] | $=0.1207$ | S2[2] | $=0.00057$ |
| T2[3] | 0.2149 | S2[3] | 0.00077 |
| T2[4] | $=0.3626$ | S2[4] | 0.00090 |
| T2[5] | 0.4833 | S2[5] | 0.00010 |
| T2[6] | 0.6039 | S2[6] | 0.0011 |
| T2[7] | $=0.7251$ | S2[7] | 0.00117 |
| T2[8] | 0.8458 | S2[8] | 0.00123 |
| T2[9] | $=0.9665$ | S2[9] | $=0.00128$ |
| T2[10] | $=1.4498$ | S2[10] | $=0.0015$ |
| T2[11] | $=4.8325$ | S2[11] | $=0.00285$ |

Tube Measurement Tension (Newtons) - T5
Fibre Measurement Tension (Newtons) - U
Tube Length Measured (mm) - L
Fibre Diameter (mm) - D4
Maximum Allowed Fibre Strain (fractional)Z5
Diameter of strength member (mm) - Dl0
Strength Member Modulus ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \quad$ - E
Diameter of sheathed centre (mm) - Dl
Tube Inner Diameter (mm) - D3
Number of Fibres per Tube (integer) - N
Laylength at Stranding (mm) - Ll
Tube stranding tensions (N) - T )

| Cable Stranding Tension (N) | $-T 7$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fibre Excess (mm) | $-X$ |

The five procedures are:-

1. LOADARRAYS
2. INPUT
3. CALCS
4. CALCULATE
5. PRINT

The main body of calculation within the program is in procedure CALCULATE, with theoretical equations linking the array data and user inputs. The output from the program is the maximum allowed cable tension before the optical fibres see a strain of greater than $0.25 \%$. This is the limit where attenuation increments become significant.

The flow of information within the program is shown in Fig. 7.1. whilst a complete program listing is given in Appendix E.


FIG 7.1 PROGRAM TO CALCULATE MAXIMUM WORKING TENSION OF CABLE

## CHAPTER 8

FIBRE BEND CHARACTERISTICS - THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

### 8.1 Introduction

In estimating the maximum tensile strength of a Loose Tube Cable, the parameter of most interest is the excess fibre placed within the tubes. This can be accurately controlled by the fibre payoff tension and the cooling rate of the extruded tube. At present the fibre excess level is kept to approximately $0.15 \%$. With the maximum allowable working fibre strain being $0.25 \%$ (22) the total available cable strain is $0.25+0.15=0.4 \%$. If the modulus of the cable strength member is known, then from the equation:

$$
\text { Modulus }=\text { Stress } /(\text { Strain } \times \text { Area })
$$

the maximum working tension of the cable can be calculated. Therefore using the fibre excess level and maximum allowable fibre strain a simplistic relationship can be formed.

To obtain an accurate representation of what actually happens to a fibre in a cable, one must take into account such parameters as tube laylength, fibre packing density etc, in addition to fibre excess. Chapter 7 describes a theary which calculates the maximum working tension of a cable from knowledge of these and other easily measured parameters.

Fibres in tubes follow a helical path, with a greater excess resulting in smaller bend diameters,i.e. more helical revolutions per unit distance. The actual bend diameter experienced by a fibre following a helical path in a tube, with the tube being helically wrapped around a central member (double helix) depends upon several parameters, e.g. central member diameter, tube inner and outer
diameters, fibre diameter etc. Houghton et al have related these parameters to the actual fibre bend diameter experiencd (23).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sqrt{r^{2} \sin ^{2} Q}+F \sin Q A+G r d \\
& \text { where } F=2 r s-2 r \operatorname{rsf} Q \\
& G=s^{2}+r^{2} Q^{2}+f^{2} \\
& I=Y L(1+\sigma t) \\
& \text { and } \quad f=N / 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$Q$ is the number of helixes per lay
$\theta$ is the point on the helix at which the curvature is measured,
$N$ is the laylength on the drum at the test temperature
$r$ is the radius of the helix of the fibres within the tube
$s$ is the supporting helix radius
$\sigma$ is the coefficient of thermal expansion
$t$ is the difference between the stranding temperature and test temperature and $Y$ is the fibre excess

It is known that bending an optical fibre beyond a critical radius results in attenuation increments. The value of the critical radius depends upon the dimensions and shape of the fibre refractive index profile, which itself depends on the fibre manufacturing process. The following two chapters describe theoretical aspects involved with fibre bend performance, and also a series of practical tests which attempt to empirically characterise the bend performance of fibre with reference to its index profile and resulting secondary parameters.

Once the fibre bend performance has been characterised, optical fibre cables can then be designed to keep the bend radii experienced by cabled fibres within a safe operating window.

### 8.2. Waveguide Attentuation

The losses due to waveguide structure arise from bending, microbending of the fibre axis, and defects at joints between axes.

The simplest qualitative description of bending losses in a fibre can be obtained by assuming that in the bent fibre the field is not significantly changed compared with the field in the straight fibre. The plane wavefronts associated with the guided mode are pivoted at the centre of the curvature of the bent fibre, and their longitudinal velocity along the local fibre axis increases with the distance from the centre of curvature. As the phase velocity in the core is slightly smaller than that of a plane wave in the cladding, there must be a critical distance from the centre of curvature above which the phase velocity would exceed that of a plane wave in the cladding.

The electromagnetic field resists this phenomenon by radiating power away from the guide, causing radiation losses. The bending losses increase when the radius of curvature decreases. Also, a mode close to cutoff is affected more than a mode far from cutoff. A highindex difference will decrease bending losses. Fig. 8.1 shows how a fibre under bending conditions has a new refractive index profile characterised by the equation (24):-

$$
N(e f f)=n(r)\left(1+\frac{r}{R} \cos \phi\right)
$$

where $N(e f f)$ is the effective refractive index difference between core and cladding, and $N(r)$ is the actual refractive index difference.


Microbending losses correspond to a fibre randomly oscillating around its nominal position with small deviations. Despite the small deviation the typical periods of the oscillations may be small and therefore the fibre may have sharp local bending. There are thus two loss sources, one arising from the permanent coupling between the $L P(01)$ mode (section 2.2) and leaky and radiation modes, and another arising from the pure bending - loss effect of the LP (Ol) mode, which becomes leaky at some radial distance in a curved fibre.

### 8.3. Cutoff Wavelength

The cutoff wavelength of a single-mode fibre generally refers to the wavelength at which the LP(11) mode cuts off, i.e. can no longer propagate. It is important to know this wavelength because, below it the presence of the $L P(11)$ mode can cause bimodal noise as well as a bandwidth decrease due to timodal dispersion. The strict definition of cut-off wavelength corresponds to the theoretical cutoff wavelength, which is only appropriate for very short (millimeteres) straight fibres. A more practical definition allows for the fact that in the region approaching cutoff, the growth of the spot size of the LP(ll) with increasing wavelength contributes to an increasing attentuation excess over the fundamental mode's attenuation. Thus the actual propagation of the LP(ll) mode through a particular length of fibre depends on the distribution (length, radius) of bends in the fibre, the presence of fluctuations in diameter and index, and the fibre length. This leads to an effective cutoff wavelength, co, that is always less than the theoretical value since it takes into account the fact that the fibre in its "environment" tends to suppress the LP(11) mode at wavelengths for which it would be present in a short,
straight fibre. It is the cutoff wavelength that is observed experimentally (e.g. in Fig. 8.2) and is the wavelength at which the bimodal problems referred to above disappear. Since co is a function of the fibre length and environment (bends etc.) any measurement of it must be made under standardised conditions. Perhaps the most precise method of defining effective cutoff wavelength is the wavelength at which a certain suppression (LP(1l) power a given amount of decibels below the LP(01) power at the fibre end) occurs. Fig. 8.3 shows the relationship between cutoff wavelength and cable length for depressed and matched clad fibres.

### 8.4 Mode-Field Diameter

For single-mode fibres the geometric distribution of light in the propagating mode, rather than the core diameter and umerical aperture (NA), is what is important in predicting such operational properties as splice loss, micro and macro bending losses and dispersion (25). In particular, a single parameter, the mode-field diameter (MFD) or spot size, which is a measure of the width of the distribution of electric field intensity, can be used in the prediction of many of these properties.

The first generation single-mode fibres operated near cutoff so as to give minimum micro bending losses, e.g. with co in the 1.2 um range and the operating wavelength near the minimum dispersion wavelength, i.e. near 1.3 um, the electric field distribution can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution $(26,27)$. The width of the distribution has been related to the sensitivity of splice loss, to micro-macro bending loss, and, through its variation with wavelength, to the waveguide dispersion of the fibre. The ability to characterise
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these properties depends on the exact way the width is defined, since the distribution is not exactly Guassian. One technique (28) is to take the width (i.e. the mode field diameter), to be twice the $1 / e$ radius of the optical electric field (1/e.e) radius of optical power) of the Gaussian radial dependence:

$$
\operatorname{Eg}(r)=E o \exp \left(-r^{2} / W 6^{2}\right)
$$

where $r$ is the radius, Eo the field at zero radius and $W 6$ the mode field radius. Fig. 8.4 shows a typical mode field power distribution for a step index fibre. The mode field diameter, taking the previous definition, is the diameter of the field at $1 / e$ of its maximum intensity.

### 8.5 Fabrication Techniques for Optical Fibres

Low-loss optical fibre fabrication techniques, developed in the early to mid 1970's have matured into fully industrialised automated manufacturing processes. All of the processes are based on a common vapour deposition technology. The pre-eminence of this technology is because it produces the highest purity material and has the necessary capability, in refractive index profile and dimensional control, to produce precision waveguide structures. The three most dominant processes used today in manufacturing optical fibres are the modified chemical vapour deposition (MCVD) process, the ouside vapour deposition (OVD) process, and the vapour axial deposition (VAD) process.

All of the vapour deposition processes produce high quality silica fibres, in which different dopants, such as germanium, phosphorus, and flourine, are added to silica to modify refractive
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indices to form the waveguide structure. The raw materials used are vapours or high-vapour pressure liquids of these glass-forming materials. Most raw materials used today are halides, such as silicon tetrachloride, germanium tetrachloride, and phosphorus oxychloride, which are liquids, and boron trichloride, sulphur hexaflouride, and ethylene hexaflouride, which are vapours. The raw materials need to be converted into vapour phase and mixed with oxygen to form the reactant vapour stream.

Reactant generation, control of composition, and transport is normally done with a vapour-delivery system. The vapour-delivery system concept most commonly used is a bubbler system in which liquid raw materials are converted into vapour phase by bubbling a carrier gas through the reactant. This resultant vapour stream is then mixed with vapour reactants and transported to the reaction zone. Care has to be taken to prevent any condensation within the delivery lines. Some manufacturers use nonmetallic vapour delivery systems, in which the engineering materials in contact with the reactants are strictly glass and teflon. This is one to eliminate the possibility of contamination of the reactants of transitional metal ions. The only adverse effect of minor leaks in non metallic systems is pressure buildup. Others use metallic systems primarily made of strainless steel. Such systems have to be leak-tight to prevent corrosion within the system and consequent contamination of the raw materials.

The preform fabrication processes can be divided into two generic groups. The processes where soot is collected to form a porous preform, which is sintered in a separate process step are referred to as the soot processes. The OVD and VAD belong to this category. The simplest and the most used inside process is the MCVD process. In
this process, the reactants are fed into a rotating, fused silica substrate tube. A traversing oxy-hydrogen burner heats the substrate tube to generate soot. The soot deposits downstream of the burner, and, as the burner traverses by, the collected soot layer is sintered into a clear glass layer. Deposition is continued until the desired amount of cladding and the core glass are deposited, after which the tube is heated to a higher temperature. Burner gas pressure and surface tension forces, cause the tube to collapse into a rod.

## 8.5.l.Design Flexibility

The key features of processes that dictate design flexibility are essentially threefold.
(1) The dopants that can be used.
(2) The level of doping possible, and the accuracy of composition control.
(3) The dimensional control and the degrees of freedom in the process dictate the different waveguide structures that can be formed.

All of the established processes have demonstrated the capability of producing the dominant fibre designs being used today.

### 8.6. Single-Mode Fibre Design Considerations

Single mode fibres are primarily designed to operate at a wavelength of 1.3 um . Such fibres have low loss and low dispersion at this wavelength, but also have a low loss at the 1.55 um window. Two alternate designs used for this application are called matchedcladding and depressed cladding. Fig 8.5. shows the refractive index profiles of both fibre desings, whilst Table 8.1 states the fibre secondary parameters, and the design characteristics which influence
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them.
The matched-cladding design is produced by all three processes, MCVD, OVD and VAD, whilst the depressed-cladding design is only produced by the MCVD process. Both designs are used for similar applications, but with different cable and system configurations.

In single-mode fibre design one has to ensure that the signal propagated is indeed single-mode. The condition of single-mode propagation is that the cut-off wavelength (section 8.3.) is less than the operating wavelength. The cut-off wavelength of an optical fibre can be calculated theoretically by the equation:

$$
\lambda c o=2 \pi n a(2 \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} / V_{c o}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=\text { refractive index of core } \\
& a=\text { core radius } \\
& \Delta=\text { normalised refractive-index difference }
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\quad V c o=$ normalised frequency $V$ at the cutoff wavelength.

The value of Vco depends on the refractive-index profile of the core and is 2.404 for a step-index profile.

From this it is apparent that the control parameters for singlemode fibres are the normalised refractive index difference. , the core diameter, the fibre diameter, and the refractive-index profile. The secondary parameters are fibre loss, dispersion, and mode-field radius.

Although all fibres are designed to have a step-index core, process constraints (section 8.5.) cause the actual profiles to have significant deviations from a step profile, and so they can at best be
called nominally step-index fibres.
Because secondary properties such as dispersion and mode-field radius are affected by fibre index profiles, it is important to find a convenient way to represent the arbitrary refractive-index profiles of fibres and express them in terms of step-index profile parameters. This is most elegantly done by converting the fibre parameters into equivalent-step-index (ESI) parameters (29). Thus the equation for cut-off wavelength can be changed to:-

$$
\lambda c o=2 \pi n a(E S I)(2 \Delta(E S I))^{\frac{1}{2}} / V c o
$$

Accuracy of prediction of functional parameters from the ESI parameters depends on the computation technique used. Refinement of the computation technique for ESI parameters to improve modelling of functional parameters of fibres has continued. A recent work that includes the fourth moment of the refractive-index profiles in the computation of the equivalent step-index parameters (30) has improved the predictability of functional parameters a great deal.

### 8.7 Refractive Index Profile Shapes

As mentioned previously, process constraints cause actual profile shapes to deviate from the normal step-index. The index profile shape of fibres manufactured by the CVD process is markedly different from those manufactured by the GVD and VAD processes, which are themselves nominally similar. A major difference between the CVD and OVD/VAD processes is the ability of CVD to produce, under controlled conditions, either a matched or depressed cladding fibre.

Differences in profile shape include the central dip seen in CVD fibres (Figs. 8.6., 8.7) due to the depletion of dopant during preform collapse. Also with $O V D$ and VAD fibres, the ill defined boundary seen
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at the core-clad interface, characterised by a slight grading of the index in that region, (Figs. 8.8.,8.9). This is due to the diffusion of dopant from the core under high temperatures, during the sintering process. This diffusion does not occur with the CVD process since the glass deposition occurs layer by layer, whereby each layer is fused before the next is applied. The DVD and VAD processes deposit all of the glass as a soot, where diffusion can occur before the glass is finally fused.

### 8.8 Practical Investigation

Fibre bend performance can be predicted theoretically using a range of techniques developed by scientists/engineers throughout the world. One such technique relies on the determination of the fibre mode field distribution. This can be done by analysing a fibre refractive index profile using a finite element method. (FEM). Once the field distribution is known, the amount of light radiated (when the field is shifted due to bending) can be calculated.

Predictions of this nature are often inaccurate due to anomolies/imperfections which cannot be accounted for. It was therefore decided to pursue a practical approach which would be a true representation of a fibre's bend characteristics. In an attempt to relate theory to practice, the equivalent-step-index of fibres were calculated, and the propagation velocity constant (V-number) correlated to bend loss. In addition, correlations between mode field radius, mode cut-off wavelength and bend loss were made.

Chapter 9 describes the tests performed, the results obtained, and consequent analysis of the data.
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## CHAPTER 9

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF FIBRE BEND LOSS

### 9.1 Measurement Equipment/Techniques

Where possible measurement techniques compatible with CCITT Recommendations G652 (Red Book, Oct.84) were used. The equipmnent repeatability has been fully characterised and so a tolerance has been stated for each parameter. This is of particular significance in the case of the Refractive-Index profile, where "state of the art" measurement equipment does not have as high a resolution as would be ideal.

Table 9.l. shows the test techniques used to measure relevant parameters, together with their tolerances. The test techniques employed are now discussed in detail.

### 9.1.1. Fibre Refractive Index

One end of approximately one metre of fibre is cleaved such that the end is perfectly flat and has no flaws. The fibre is then inserted in a cell which is filled with liquid of slightly higher index than the cladding. A lens with a numerical aperture much greater than that of the fibre brings a beam of light to focus on the cleaved end of the fibre (31).

Some of the impinging light propagates along the fibre, whilst the remainder appears as a hollow cone of light outside. The inner region of this hollow cone contains leaky modes (whose contribution is difficult to assess), whereas the outer part contains purely refracted light.

The leaky modes are removed by placing a disc in such a manner as to stop them reaching the detector. The remaining light in the

| Technique | Parameters | Tolerance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fibre Refractive | Core Diameter | 0.053 ufil |
| Index by the | Core delta N . | $0.118 \times 10$ |
| Refractive near | Deposited |  |
| Field Technique | Cladding Level | $0.034 \times 10$ |
| Mode Cut-off Wavelength | LP11 Cut-off Wavelength | 7.25 mm |
| Mode Field Radius by Far Field Technique | Diameter of normalised Field @ $1 / e$ | 0.058 um |
| Attenuation | Increment due to Macrobending (a) $1300 \mathrm{~nm} \& 1550 \mathrm{~nm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.009 \mathrm{~dB} \\ & 0.997 \mathrm{~dB} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 9.1 FIBRE PARAMETER TEST TECHNIQUES AND RELEVANT TOLERANCES
cone is a measure of the refractive index at the point of focus. This point of focus can now be scanned across the whole face of the core and cladding; at each point the measured light level is an indication of the index of refraction and a complete picture can be built up of both the core and the cladding.

Calibration of the Refractive Index level is achieved by calibrating the liquid at a specified temperature and extrapolation using tables to other temperatures. The spot of light is focused on the liquid and, with the stop at a pre-determined position (i.e. subtending a pre-determined angle), a power measurement is made. The stop is moved so as to change the angle and the power measurement is taken again.

By knowing the movement of the disc and the change in refracted power, the index of refraction can be calculated. This is an absolute measurement of refractive index - whereas some devices use the silica substrate as a standard value and calibrate from that.

### 9.1.2. Mode Cut-off Wavelength

This is defined as the condition for single mode operation, whereby the usefulness of the high bandwidth of singlemode operation can be exploited.

With both mode-field-radius and mode cut-off wavelength, standard organisations are in somewhat of a quandary as to a definitive measurement technique. Each technique is rather subjective and the results must always be related to the measurement.

A theoretical mode cut-off can be obtained from a preform profile, although this is invariably higher than the effective cutoff of the resultant fibre.

### 9.1.2.1. Principles

The second mode in a fibre can no longer propagate as a guided wave when, with increasing wavelength, the phase index experienced by that mode falls to that of the cladding.

A detailed examination of Maxwell's equations, and their solution (with the boundary conditions defined within an optical fibre), defines the criteria for singlemode operation.
Curl $E=\frac{d B}{d t}$
Curl $H=\frac{d D}{d t}$
$\operatorname{div} B=0$
$\operatorname{div} D=0$
(Maxwells Equations)

The results of the aforementioned analysis yields the following: For singlemode operation the normalised frequency (V) is 2.405.

In general,

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\frac{2 \pi a}{\lambda}\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\text { and } a=\text { core diameter } \\
\lambda=\text { operating wavelength } \\
n_{1}=\text { core refractive index } \\
n_{2}=\text { cladding refractive index }
\end{gathered}
$$

Any deformation of the fibre will cause a shift in effective mode cut-off wavelength. Because of this, it is essential that the operating wavelength is a considerable distance from the effective cut-off wavelength.

Over a long length of fibre, operation near the effective cutoff is feasible - since the natural attenuation of the fibre will
cause the second mode to decay.
It is in short links and pigtails (link between external cable and terminal equipment) that second mode propagation causes problems, since the second mode still present at the end of the link causes modal noise.

### 9.1.2.2. Difficulties

Because of the effects mentioned above, cut-off is dependant upon the condition of the fibre during the measurement:
(i) micro-bending will cause the cut-off to be shifted to a shorter wavelength, and
(ii) a very short length will allow the LP (ll) mode to continue to propagate

Both of which will contribute to producing an unacceptably inaccurate result.

### 9.1.2.3.Equipment

The equipment used to measure the cut-off wavelength is shown in Fig. 9.1. (a), whilst Figs. 9.1. (b) and (c) respectively show the input power and fibre attentuation $V s$ wavelength.

### 9.1.3. Mode Field Radius

The spot size, or mode field radius, of a single mode fibre is an important parameter to measure, since it can be used to calculate the splice loss between two fibres. In addition it has been theoretically related to bend sensitivity.

One technique for measuring spot size if the transverse offset method. This method is recognised as a standard test and can be directly related to splice loss. However, it is a very tedious
(a)
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measurement to perform and a great amount of care needs to be taken in preparation of the fibre ends.

The far field technique on the other hand requires a lot less fibre preparation and also does not require accurate measurement of position to fractions of a micron, as in the transverse offset method. The far field method does not directly measure splice loss, but for this particular applcation, it is not required.

In the far field measurement a detector is placed several centimetres away from the output of a fibre. The detector is then scanned in an arc about the fibre, the apparatus for such a measurement is shown in Figure 9.2.

Having obtained the far field, there are several methods that can be used to calculate the mode field diameter. Three such methods are:
i. the far field inversion integral technique (32)
ii. the far field gaussian beam technique (33)
iii.derivation of spot size from Peterman method (34)

### 9.1.4. Attenuation

A 6 metre length of fibre is set up on a standard spectral attenuation rig (Fig. 9.3) - care being taken to ensure that the minimum bend radius seen by the fibre is not less than 150 mm . Under these conditions a reference power level is taken at the wavelength of interest, or a scan across a wavelength window. Controlled bends are then introduced into the sample; a range of stainless steel polished mandrels are used for this, with radii ranging from 5 mm to 30 mm . Tension is kept to a minimum to ensure that microbending effects do not effect the results. It is estimated that the tension during
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winding is below 30 grammes. Under these conditions a second power reading is taken (or spectral scan), the macrobending loss being defined as:

$$
\text { Macrobending loss } \mathrm{dB}(\lambda)=10 \log \frac{\mathrm{Pl}}{\mathrm{P} 2}(\lambda)
$$

$$
9.1
$$

### 9.2. Macrobend Results

The macrobend characeristics of CVD, OVD and VAD fibres were investigated at the two operating wavelengths, 1300 @ 1550 nm . (Figs. 9.4, 9.5). Both graphs were plotted on a log-linear scale so that the loss region below 1 dB was expanded to show the break points between two obvious regions, hence showing that the loss mechanisms are bifunctional. The relationship between loss and bend diameter for each of the fibre types tested, was found to be linear in each respective region, but the gradients of the lines in all cases was greater in the low bend diameter region.

For CVD fibres (both matched and depressed cladding), the second region, i.e. higher diameters, show 1300 nm losses approaching that of experimental error. This suggests that the accuracy of the gradients are in doubt. However, the existence of this second region is confirmed by the 1550 nm graph which shows significantly greater losses.

From both graphs it is clear that CVD (depressed cladding) fibre is most bend insensitive, followed by CVD (matched cladding), OVD and VAD respectively.

It should be noted that 20 samples of each fibre were tested, with each type being nominally $8 / 125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ fibre, step index germanium doped cores, coated up to $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ with a UV cureable urethane acrylate (double and single layer).


FIG. 9.4 MACROBEND CHARACTERISTICS OF FIBRES AT 1300 nm ( 10 TURNS)


FIG. 9.5 MACROBEND CHARACTERISTICS OF FIBRES AT 1550 nm (10 TURNS)

In addition to the macrobend tests, each fibre was refractive index profiled and measured for spot size and cut-off. Since mode field radius and cut-off wavelength tend to be indicators of bend performance, an attempt has been made to use fibres with similar values although CVD depressed fibre had significantly higher cut off values. The distributions are shown in table 9.2.

### 9.3. Macrobend Analysis

The analysis attempts to provide simplified solutions to the fundamental fibre propagation characteristics by firstly, characterising the profile using an equivalent step index (ESI) algorithm, and then using the ESI parameters (core diameter 'a' and delta $N$ ) to define a value for the propagation velocity constant (V). The ESI profile is defined as (35):

$$
M n=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(n^{2}(r)-n^{2}(a)\right) r n d r
$$

and the propagation velocity constant $V$ :

$$
V=\frac{2 \pi a}{\lambda}(E S I)\left(n_{1}^{2}(E S I)-n_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 9.3
$$

The propagation velocity constant is a single parameter which is dependant upon the two basic fibre design criteria, core radius and core/cladding refractive index difference. $V$ can now be used to characterise parameters such as $W 0, \lambda c o$ and $\lambda o$, since their dependancy upon two variables has been simplified (36).

The theoretical model for cut off wavelength ( $\lambda c o$ ) and mode field radius (Wo) was firstly tested upon the range of fibre samples of each manufactured type.

| Fibre Type | Mode Field Radius |  | Mode Cut-off Wavelength |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mean | Std.Dev | mean | Std.Dev |
| $\operatorname{CVD}(\mathrm{DC})$ | 4.48 | 0.06 | 1253 | 23 |
| CVD (MC) | 4.86 | 0.13 | 1171 | 26 |
| OVD | 5.14 | 0.08 | 1173 | 29 |
| VAD | 5.05 | 0.05 | 1204 | 19 |

### 9.3.1. Cut-off Wavelength

Cut-off wavelength is traditionally a difficult parameter to measure, being extremely dependant upon sample length and fibre conditioning. Consequently, comparison of a measurement of a 3 metre fibre sample with a calculation from a cross section (effectively an infinitesimally short length) is fraught with difficulty.

Fig. 9.6. shows the measured cut-off wavelength of the four fibre designs against $(V * \lambda)$, so making the constant independent of wavelength. Regression analysis showed that good agreement existed between the data points and straight lines for each design. The theoretical line confirms the trend, and so with the exception of the offset, this method of predicting $\lambda_{\text {co }}$ is reliable.

The prediction based on the profile does not take into account the fact that $\lambda \mathrm{co}$ is dependent upon fibre conditioning and length (37). Appendix $H$ shows that $\lambda$ co drops by up to $20 \mathrm{~nm} /$ decade, purely as a result of the length dependence. This would suggest that the theoretical model based upon the equivalent step index (ESI) and $V$, defines the cut-off seen on long lengths of fibre (>l0Km). This is as a result of cable induced bends and/or bends seen at splice housings.

### 9.3.2. Mode Field Radius

The comparison of mode field radius to theory at 1300 nm wavelength provided very little correlation. In fact the trend of increasing $V$ corresponding to a decreasing Wo (for $V<2.405$ ) was shown to be reversed in certain cases.

Comparison of ESI based predictions with predictions based upon a finite element method (FEM) show significant descrepancies (38). Consequently it is hardly surprising that comparisons of ESI based
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predictions with actual measurements are very unreliable. This result shows that the profile structure cannot be ignored, as the ESI does, in the prediction of Wo.

### 9.3.3. Macrobending Performance

As can be seen from Figs.9.4,9.5 in all cases there are two distinct regions of loss. For the CVD fibre design the diameter at which the two regions converge is almost independent of wavelength, the OVD and VAD on the other hand is dependent upon wavelength.

The two regions are due to two distinct effects,

- region A due to bend loss
- region $B$ due to transition loss

Figures 9.7 and 9.8 demonstrate the difference in performance in these two regions by showing the relationship between loss and $V$ number. Generally however, although the absolute values are different, the trend of decreasing ' $V$ ' producing poorer bend performance is common to all the fibres tested, in both regions. The variation in absolute values is highlighted by a comparison between figures 9.7 and 9.8 - 9.7. being bend loss against ' $V$ ' and 9.8 being transition loss against 'V'.

The performance in the transition loss region is very similar from fibre to fibre i.e. similar gradients and offsets. Since measurements in this region are of small losses it would be fair to assume that measurement errors play a significant role in these differences. The true bend loss region however, shows some more significant differences in that bend varies with 'V' to different degrees for each fibre. This is more significant since its effect is greater, and so contributes most to the loss attributable to cabling.


FIG. 9.7 BEND LOSS VS. NORMALISED FREQUENCY, $20 \mathrm{~mm}, 10$ TURNS


FIG. 9.8

The bend loss is characterised by the different propagation characteristics for a bent as compared to a straight fibre. In a straight fibre the primary mode (LPOL) propagation can be defined as a TEM wave with the plane of constant phase being orthogonal to the direction of propagation - or $Z$ axis.

If the fibre is bent in an arc of radius C (Fig 9.9.), the phase velocity of the wave front will be required to vary depending upon its distance from the centre of the arc. The group velocity will be that of the speed of light relative to the medium. For this to be consistent throughout the core and cladding of the fibre, light travelling at a radius greater than that at a particular distance from the core, will have to travel faster than the relative light speed within core/inner cladding region. Since the cladding level is uniform, this is impossible. Light in this region is therefore converted into radiation modes. Attenuation due to this uniform bending is defined as the pure bending loss and is proportional to the length of the fibre bent.

The second mode of loss is experienced as a result of the effects at the interface between the straight and bent fibre. In the straight fibre the field is uniform and positioned in the centre of the fibre, whilst just beyond the interface, the field is distorted as shown in Fig 9.10. To achieve this, the field in the straight section must excite the field that actually propagates in the curved section, and also the radiation modes that exist on the outer edge of the bent fibre. The mismatch in power between the field propagating in the straight and bent section is defined as the transition loss, and is independent of length.


FIG. 9.9 WAVE PROPAGATION IN A UNIFORMLY BENT FIBRE


### 9.4. Discussion of Results

The relationship between the ESI profile and cut-off wavelength has been shown to be consistent, although theoretical analysis based on the ESI produces a cut-off considerably lower than the actual. This phenomena has been explained by consideration of length and bend dependacy of effective cut-off wavelength (39).

The relationship between mode field radius and ESI based predictions has been found to be unreliable, which concurs with previous work (40). Future work will incorporate a technique of finite element analysis (FEM) to take account of profile structure and processing characteristics associated with each design.

The attenuation dependence upon bend has been shown to be consistent with theoretical analysis, highlighting the bi-functional dependence upan diameter exhibited by each design under a particular bending regime. Where possible, fibres with similar performance characteristics were used, although the depressed cladding fibre samples had significantly higher cut-off wavelengths, and the OVD fibre samples had slightly higher mode field radii. By relating the bend performance to the propogation velocity constant (V), these effects are normalised.

Performance in the transition loss region is similar for all fibre designs, any differences being potentially due to measurement error. This effect, however, is considered to be of particular importance to the cabling industry and so it is proposed to investigate the phenomena further. The importance of the bend loss region is the bend diameter at which it takes effect, since as soon as this loss mechanism occurs, severe bend induced losses ensue. This is highlighted in the long wavelength region where changes in wavelength
have a significant effect upon loss.
A third mode of loss has been hypothesised, occuring at relatively large bend diameters. This effect was observed on a relatively small number of fibres. It's existence however, would have significant effect on cabling and so it is proposed to investigate this further.

Since $V$ is related to wavelength, the effect of increasing wavelength can be predicted using Figs.9.7 and 9.8. Using these figures it can be seen that the CVD based designs require lower $V$ values to produce similar bend performances. By comparing this with the cut-off and mode field radii relationship, it would appear that for similar values CVD designs provide a significantly better bend performance.

## CHAPTER 10

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The expertise required in the design of optical cables is a mixture of geometrical mathematics, knowledge of materials, and practical experience. To incorporate these three areas in a computer program using conventional software would be very laborious, in terms of embodying the various design rules used in cable design. Therefore clearly, another solution was needed, one which would allow the basic construction of such a program and then allow quick and efficient entry of additional information.

An expert system approach seemed to offer most promise in that engineers experience and heuristic design principles could be embodied within a computer program in a straight forward manner. Rather than write an inference engine from scratch, a proprietary expert system builder,or "shell" was employed, namely Crystal by Intelligent Environments, a package written in the ' $C$ ' language. It proved very flexible since each rule can be reduced to a hierarchy of sub rules, an essential requirement in the design of optical fibre cables.

The main type of optical cable manufactured by STC Cable Products Division is of the Loose Tube variety, with over 90\% of the total output being of this kind. For this reason it was decided to concentrate on developing an expert system to aid the design of cables of this type.

The expert system implemented to perform the equivalent manual design procedure requires a total of 680 rules. The complete implementation from identifying requirements, learning Crystal and training system users took approximately 10 months. Much of the time
was spent collating cable design aspects from records and design staff. As expected, several conflicting viewpoints on certain 'grey areas' were apparent. Thus a number of 'best approach' decisions were taken before incorporating these results within the knowledge base.

The expert system was written so that interaction with the user led to the progressive solution of rules. The questions asked are designed to allow a non-technical user run the package. Obviously some expertise in cable requirements and materials is necessary, but all the mathematical calculations are performed by the system. The output of results is a three page description of the cable requirements in terms of weights and dimensions, preceeded by a title and summary sheet.

A cross-sectional view of the finished cable design must accompany all specifications issued by the fibre optic cable section, traditionally drawn by hand with stencils and compasses. Crystal itself is not capable of producing a graphical plot of the cable. A data transfer utility was thus used to input cable dimensions to a program written in Turbo Pascal which generates, on a plotter, a fully labelled cross-sectional diagram of the cable designed.

Running Crystal takes approximately five minutes. This compares with anything up to three hours for a manual design. In addition a fully labelled diagram on the plotter may take another five minutes, whilst to physically draw and label a diagram can take two hours therefore resulting in a total time difference of ten minutes against five hours (i.e. 4 hours 40 mins ).

With a total of three design engineers in the department, each performing approximately one design per day, there is a total time saving of 14 hours/day where those engineers can be working on new
designs and developments. If an engineer's time is measured at £20/hour (salary \& overheads) the cost saving per day is £290, i.e. £72,500 per year.

Once a cable has been designed by the system, its description and drawing are filed chronologically under a unique reference number. In the future, the same cable may be required for another contract, or as an extension of the same contract. Even though a duplicate design could be generated very quickly by the expert system, it would be useful to access the previous tender documents to check on any queries, contract details etc.

The solution to this was by means of a database package namely Cardbox Plus. It was configured so that various cable parameters can be input to identify the cable in question. Once adequate identification has occurred, the required cable details will be displayed. Included in the details will be the original reference number, which with its chronological ordering system, will allow quick access to the required documents.

The work done to define the maximum working tension of a loose tube cable was a study of the excess fibre in a tube, and its changes during the various stages of cable manufacture. The excess fibre is initially set in the tube making process, but during the subsequent stranding process and cable installation the fibre excess characeristics change.

The major factors which cause these changes are the tensions of both the tubes and central member during stranding. It has been found however that an accurate control over these changes is the stranding laylength. This can be altered as required to keep the fibre excess level in a safe operating window.

A computer program was written in Pascal to calculate the maximum working tension of a cable. It uses information gathered from each stage of manufacture regarding the cable design, process conditions, line speeds etc., together with theoretical data about the stress-strain characteristics of fibre and tube material. The output is a figure in newtons which represents the maximum tension a cable can withstand before the fibres see a strain of greater than $0.25 \%$.

The bend performance of optical fibres is an important factor when considering that excess fibre in a tube induces bends. These bends decrease in radii as the excess increases, and according to theory will cause a greater loss. The degree of this loss cannot accurately be predicted by theory, due to the various design quirks and imperfections associated with each fibre. Therefore an emipirical study of fibre bend performance was necessary to gain an overall picture of the fibre characteristics in a Loose Tube Cable.

The attenuation dependence upon bend diameter of four fibre types i.e. CVD (M.C.), CVD (D.C.), OVD and VAD were found to be bifuntional. The two modes of loss are explained by a transition region where the loss is experienced as a result of the effects at the interface between the straight and bent fibre, and a pure bend region, where the loss is due to the failure of the wavefront phase-velocity at a distance $X$ from the fibre central axis to travel beyond the speed of light in the respective medium, and keep up with the overall group velocity of the light in the fibre.

From detailed analysis of the effects of mode-field-radius, mode cut-off wavelength and normalised propagation velocity constant (V-number) on fibre bend performance, and the inter-relationships between these performance criteria, it would appear that for similar
fibre parameter values, CVD designs provide a significantly better bend performance.

The four work areas described, namely an expert system for Loose Tube optical fibre cable design, a database to store existing designs, prediction of the maximum working tension of Loose Tube cables, and the bend characteristics of optical fibres, have all been investigated thoroughly and have all been implemented as software for the IBM PC with the exception of bend loss. The optical fibre bend characteristics have thus far been developed as a series of graphs, but it is envisaged that in the future they may be translated to a computer. This would allow quick prediction of fibre bend performance in a cable.

The four working tools can be used progressively to fully design a Loose Tube cable from scratch, or can be used individually to aid particular development programmes.

Further work necessary to enhance the impirical model of fibre bend characteristics, is an analysis of factors affecting microbending sensitivity. Microbends are the small pertubations seen on the surface of an optical fibre, as opposed to the large diameter bends (macrobends).

The objectives of the further work would be to:

- evaluate "state of the art" microbending procedures,
- define effect seen in cablng due to microbending,
- specify a test procedure to characerise the loss,
- promote procedure for inclusion in IEC and CCITT specification
- propose changes to fibre/tube manufacture to improve cable yields and performance.

Since microbending is based upon probabilities and not on a uniquely definable physical characteristic, as is macrobending, it is expected that method dependant parameters must be included in the result. As a consequence of an anlysis of current test procedures and processing effects, a method should be developed that mimics the processing effects. Once proposed, the effectiveness of the test method must be proven across all fibre types - including the dependence upon fibre parameters.

As a direct result of the work undertaken to date, two technical papers have been accepted in the following conferences: "Development of an Expert System for Optical Fibre Cable Design", accepted at Expert Systems and their Applications' 88, Avignon, France. "Bend Characteristics of Fibres Manufactured by CVD, OVD and VAD Techniques", accepted at EFOC/LAN '88, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. They are both listed in Appendix I.
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APPENDIX A

Example of Loose Tube Cable Design

## Example of a Loose Tube Cable Design

## A.l.Requirement

Sheathed steel dyform (sheath-Low density polyethylene) 9 outer elements ( $5 \times$ tubes, $2 \times$ copper pairs, $2 \times$ fillers)

Tubes of 3 mm outer diameter and 2 mm internal diameter. Copper pair is $2 \times 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ copper wires with 0.2 mm insultation twisted together.

Tubes should be filled with a gel, whilst the element interstices should also be filled with a similar water blocking compound.

The elements should be wrapped with l layer of paper tape.
An aluminium plastic laminate moisture barrier is required over the paper tape.

An outer sheath of nominal radial thickness 1.6 mm should be applied over the APL (Material - LDPE).

The cable should have a tensile strength of at least $3 \times W$ where $W$ is the weight of the cable in newtons.

The cable will comprise 20 singlemode fibres, with 4 fibres placed in each tube.

## A.2. Design

Using $9 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ elements, from equation 4.2.4. the radius of central member required is:

$$
R=2.885 \mathrm{~mm} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\text { Diameter }=5.8 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Let us initially assume that a steel dyform of 5 mm is required.

Therefore the dyform sheath will have a radial thickness of

```
(5.8-5)/2 = 0.4 mm.
```


## Steel Dyform

Since a steel dyform is made up from several steel strands, the weight cannot be calculated by the usual Volume $\times$ Density equation.

A formula developed to calculate the weight per kilometre of a steel dyform is:-

$$
\text { Weight }=5.4 \times(\text { diameter })^{2}
$$

where diameter is the diameter of the dyform in mm.
Therefore,

$$
\text { weight }=5.4 . \times 5^{2}=135 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km} .
$$

## Dyform Sheath

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Area of LDPE sheath } & =\operatorname{Pi} \times(2.9)^{2}-\operatorname{Pi} \times(2.5)^{2} \\
& =6.79 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}=65.79 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Volume } / \mathrm{km}=6.79 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
\text { Density of LDPE }=925 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Weight of sheath }=6.79 \times 10^{-3} \times 925 \\
=6.28 \mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{Km}
\end{gathered}
$$

2 Fillers

Fillers are made from solid LDPE rods.

$$
\text { Area of } 3 \mathrm{~mm} \text { filler }=\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(1.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
=7.069 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
$$

Therefore volume $/ \mathrm{Km}=7.069 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Weight of 2 fillers $=2 \times 7.069 \times 10^{3} \times 925$

## Twisted Copper Pairs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\text { Area of } l \text { copper wire } & =\operatorname{Pi} \times(0.45)^{2} \\
& =0.636 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \\
& =0.636 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { Density of copper }=8930 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \text { Volume } / \mathrm{Km} \text { of } 1 \text { copper wire }=0.636 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& \text { Weight of } 4 \text { wires }(2 \text { pairs })=4 \times 0.636 \times 10^{-3} \times 8390 \\
&=22.7 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Radial thickness of copper insulation $=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$
Therefore area of insulation per wire:

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(0.65 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(0.45 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2} \\
=6.91 \times 10^{-7 \mathrm{~m}} 2 \\
\text { Volume } / \mathrm{Km}=6.91 \times 10^{-4 \mathrm{~m}^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Density of polyethylene insulation $=940 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.
Weight of insulation for 4 copper wires:

$$
\begin{gathered}
=4.691 \times 10^{-4} \times 940 \\
=2.60 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore total weight of 2 insulated, twisted copper pairs is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =22.7+2.6 \\
& =25.3 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}
\end{aligned}
$$

Tubes

Area of 1 tube of outer diameter 3 mm and inner diameter 2 mm is:-

$$
=\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(1.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{Pi} \times\left(1 \times 10^{-32}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=3.93 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
\text { Volume } / \mathrm{Km}=3.93 \times 10^{-3 \mathrm{~m}}{ }^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Tube material is vestadour HIl5 and has the density $1290 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$. Therefore the weight of 5 tubes $=55 \times 3.93 \times 10^{-3} \times 1290$

$$
=25.3 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}
$$

## Tube Filling Compound

Within the tubes in addition to the fibres, a water blocking compound is applied. The compound usually used is PBTP.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Area inside tube }=\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2} \\
&=3.142 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
&=3.142 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \\
& \text { Volume } / \mathrm{Km} \\
& \text { Density of Hyvis }=850 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore weight of Hyvis for 5 tubes is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =5 \times 3.142 \times 10^{-3} \times 850 \\
& =13.35 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Element Interstices Filling Compound

A filling compound e.g. Syntec Rheogel, is applied to the interstices of the cable elements to prevent the ingress of water.

Diameter outside elements $=5.8+3.0+3.0=11.8 \mathrm{~mm}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Total area } & =\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(5.9 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2} \\
& =1.094 \times 10^{-4 m^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Area of sheathed steel dyform $=2.64 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Area of 5 tubes +2 fillers $=4.95 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Area of 2 insulated, twisted copper pairs $=5.31 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Therefore Area of element interstices is:

$$
=1.094 \times 10^{-4}-2.64 \times 10^{-5}-4.95 \times 10^{-5}-5.31 \times 10^{-6}
$$

$$
=2.815 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

$$
\text { Volume } / \mathrm{Km}=2.815 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
\text { Density of Syntec Rheogel }=900 \mathrm{Kgs} /
$$

Therefore weight of Interstice filling compound is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2.815 \times 10^{-2} \times 900 \\
& =25.34 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Paper Tape

Diameter of cable outside elements $=11.8 \mathrm{~mm}$
Any width of paper between 10 mm and 30 mm could be used, but for this application we will use a 20 mm tape.

Assume tape overlap $=10 \%$.
Therefore effective paper tape width $=20 \times \frac{(100-10)}{100}$
$=18 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Angle of Application }=\cos ^{-1} \frac{18}{\mathrm{Pi} \times 11.8} \\
& =61 \text { degrees }
\end{aligned}
$$

Length of tape required to cover 1 Km of cable:

$$
=\frac{1000 \times \mathrm{Pi} \times 12.48}{20 \times 0.9}=2178 \mathrm{~m}
$$

where 12.48 is the diameter of the cable outside the paper tape.
Thickness of tape $=0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$, therefore at the overlapping part, increase in diameter due to tape is $0.17 \times 4=0.68 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Therefore diameter of cable outside tape $=11.8+0.68$

$$
=12.48 \mathrm{~mm} .
$$

Volume of paper used per $\mathrm{Km}=2178 \times 20 \times 10^{-3} \times 0.17 \times 10^{-3}$

$$
=7.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

```
                                    Density of paper = 600 Kgs/m
                                    Therefore weight of paper = 7.4 < 10 < 600
                        =4.5 Kgs.
Aluminium Plastic Laminate (APL)
    APL Radial Thickness (including overlap) = 0.5 mm.
            Therefore APL Pitch diameter = 12.48 + 0.5
                                    = 12.98 mm.
Width of APL required = (Pi x Pitchdiameter) + overlap.
            Nominally, overlap = 8 mm.
                Therefore Width = (Pi x 12.98) + 8
                = 49 mm.
                    Area of APL (cross-sectional) =9.31 }\times1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-6}\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{2
                        Volume/Km = 9.31 x 10-6
                        Density of APL =2284 Kgs/m
                    Therefore weight of APL =9.31 < 10 < 2284
                        =21.3 Kgs}/\textrm{Km
```


## Quter Sheath

Diameter of cable inside outer sheath $=13.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ Radial thickness of LDPE sheath required $=1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$

Diameter of cable outside outer sheath $=16.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ Area of sheath $=\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(8.35 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{Pi} \times\left(6.75 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}$ $=7.59 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Volume $/ \mathrm{Km}=7.59 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

Density of Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) $=925 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Therefore weight of outer sheath $=7.59 \times 10^{-2} \times 925$
$=70.2 \mathrm{Kgs} / \mathrm{Km}$.

| Material | Weight (Kgs/Km) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Steel Dyform | 135 |
| Dyform Sheath | 6.3 |
| 2 Fillers | 13.1 |
| 2 Copper Pairs | 25.3 |
| 5 Tubes | 25.3 |
| Tube Filling Compound | 13.4 |
| Element Interstices Filling Compound | 25.4 |
| Paper Tape | 4.5 |
| APL | 21.3 |
| Outer Sheath | 70.2 |
|  | - |
|  | 339.8 |

## Cable Dimensions

Material

```
Steel Dyform
Dyform Sheath
Elements
2 x 3 mm fillers
2 x Copper Pairs - copper wire 0.9 mm
                            - insulation 0.2 mm
5\times3 mm Tubes
Paper Tape 12.48
APL 13.48
Outer Sheath48
```

Outer Sheath ..... 16.7

Cable Strength/Weight
Weight of Cable in newtons $=339.8 \times 9.81$
$=\quad 3334 \mathrm{~N}$
Strength factor required is $3 \times W$, where $W$ is the weight of the cable
in newtons.
Therefore strength required $=3 \times 3334$

Assuming a maximum cable strain of $0.4 \%$, and a modulus of steel of 155
GPa, the maximum pulling force on a 5 mm steel is:

\[\)| $F=P i \times \underset{4}{25} \times$0.4 <br> 100 |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $=12,174 \text { Newtons. }$ |
|  Therefore strength factor available  | $=12174$ |
| 3334 |  |

\]

$=$

This proves that the cable has adequate tensile strength.

## Optical Fibres

The weight of the fibres have been neglected, since the filling compound in the tubes has approximately the same density as silicone glass. Therefore the weight of the filling compound takes into account the weight of the fibres.

The fibres used are singlemode operating at a wavelength of 1300 nm . The maximum allowable attenuation is $0.5 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{Km}$.

Four fibres will be placed in each tube with the following four colours used as identification for each of the fibres respectively.

1. Blue
2. Orange
3. Green
4. Brown

## APPENDIX B

Loose Tube Cable Expert System - Rule List
DIMENSIONS
DO: Assign Variable
DIAMO:=DIAMO+0.049
AND
DO: Assign Variable
DIAM1:=DIAM1+0.049
AND DO: Assign Variable
DIAME:=DIAME+0.049
AND DO: Assign Variable
DIAM3:=DIAM3+0.049
AND DO: Assign Variable
DIAM4: =DIAM4+0.049
AND DO: Assign Variable
TAPELAY: = TAPELAY +0.49
AND DO: Assign Variable
APPANGLE: =APPANGLE +0.49
[2] ALUMINIUM PLASTIC LAMINATE
IF DO: Yes/No Question
IS AN "ALUMINIUM PLASTIC LAMINATE" MOISTURE
BARRIER REQUIRED?
AND DO: Assign Variable
APL\$:="Y"
AND DD: Assign Variable
CIRCUM: $=($ DIAME +1$) * P$ I
AND DO: Assign Variable
APLW: =CIRCUM+8
AND DO: Display form
APL WIDTH REOUIRED IS [APLW.]mm
ENTER NEAREST APL WIDTH AVAILABLE (mm) (NAPLW
DO: Menu Duestion APLTHICRMESS
ENTEF THICKNESS OF APL REQUIRED?
$\{0.15\}$
\{0.20)
+ AND [ 4] APLTHICKNESS
AND
DO: Assign Varizble
MASS4: =NAPLW*APLTHICK
AND DO: ASsign Variable
DO: Assign Varia
AND $\quad D O: A s s i g n ~ V a r i a b l e ~$
DIAMAPLSPL:=DIAMB
OR DO: Assign variable
APL\$: = "N"
[3] APL
IF
DO: Test Expression
APL\$="N"
OR DO: Print Form
AND $D D:$ Print form
APL
WIDTH OF APL REQUIRED IS[APLW.] mm
xi

AND

AND

NEAREST APL WIDTH AVAILABLE IS[NAPLW] mm APL THICKNESS IS[APL.T] mm

WEIGHT OF[NAPLW] mm APL IS[MASS4] kgs/km DO: Print Form

DIAMETER OF [ABLE OUTSIDE APL IS[DIAMG.] mm DO: Print form
$4]$
APLTHICKNESS
IF
AND
AND
OR
AND

DO: Test Expression APL.THICKNESS=1
DO: Assign Variable APLTHICK: $=0.434$
DO: Assign Variable APLT: $=0.15$

DO: Assign Variable APLTHICK: $=0.620$
DO: Assign Variable APLT: =0.20
[ 5] ARMOUR
IF

OR

AND
AND AND

AND AND

```
DO: Test Expression
    SWA$="N"
DO: F!-int Form
DO: Print Form
    STFEL WIRE AFMOUR BEDDIMG SHEATH
    RADIAL THICKNESS DF STEEL WIRE APMOUR BEDDING
    SHEFTH IS[RTSWABS.] mm
    WEIGHT Of STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH
    IS[MASS16] kgS/km
    DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
    BEDDING SHEATH IS[DIAMSWABS.] mm
DO: Print Form
DO: Print Form
DO: Print Form
    STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
    NUMBER OF STEEL WIRES REQUIRED IS[ANSW]
    DIAMETER OF STEEL WIRES ARE[DSW. ] mm
    LAYANGLE OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS[SWALAJ degrees
    LAYLENGTH OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS[SWALL] mm
DO: Print Form
DO: Print Form
    WEIGHT OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS[MASS17] kgs/km
    DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
        xii
```

AND AND

IS［DIAMSWA．］mm
DD：Print Form
DO：Display Furm
END OF PRINT DUT SHEET
WIND ON TO NEXT SHEET AND PRESS ANY KEY

6］ARMOURED
IF
AND
AND

OR

DO：Test Expression SPL $\$=" N$＂
DO：Test Expression SWAs＝＂N＂
DO：Assign Variable ARMOURED $=:=$＂NO＂

DO：Assign Variable ARMOURED\＄：＝＂YES＂
［ 7］BEDDING SHEATH
IF
DO：Test Expression SPLBS $=" Y "$
AND
DO：Print Form
DO：Print Form
SPL BEDDING SHEATH
RADIAL THICKNESS OF BEDDING SHEATH IS［RTBS．］mm
WEIGHT OF［ESM\＄］BEDDING SHEATH IS［MASSI2］kgs／k：
diameter df aable dutside bedding sheath
ISCDIAMSFLES．］mm
GND

QR
DO：Sucreed
［ 8］BEDDING SHEATH MATERIAL－DENSITY
IF $\quad \mathrm{DO}:$ Test Expression BSMD＝＂LDPE＂
AND DO：ASsign variable DENSITYE：$=925$

QR DO：Test Expression BSM $=$＝＂MDPE＂
AND DO：Assign Variable DENSITYE：$=940$

OR
DO：Test Expression BSM $=$＂HDPE＂
AND
DO：Assign Variable DENSITY2：$=950$

DO: Print Form
CENTRAL MEMBER
----------------
DIAMETER OF CORE REQUIRED TO SUPPORT[N] ELEMENTS ISEDIAMO.J mm

DIAMETER OF [SMM\$]STRENGTH MEMBER REQUIRED IS[DIAMETE.R] mm

RADIAL THICKNESS OF [ISM末]INNER SHEATH MATERIAL IS[RTI.SJ mm
AND DO: Print Form
AND

AND

DO: Print Form WEIGHT OF [SMM\$] STRENGTH MEMBER IS[MASS1] kgs/k

WEIGHT OF [ISM末]INNER SHEATH IS[MASSE] kgS/km DO: Print form
[10]
CABLE STRENGTH/WEIGHT
IF DO: Display Form
WHAT IS THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE CABLE STRAIN (\% age) ?
<STRAIN>

+ AND [ 68] STRENGTH MEMBER MATERIAL - MODULUS
AND
DO: Display form
ENTER CABLE STRENGTH FACTOR REDUIRED (xW) ?
+ aND [ З5] INETALLATIDN
+ AND [ 33] INNER SHEATH MATERIAL - DENSITY
+ GNE [ 2O] EIAMETEF OF STRENGTH MEMEEF
AND DO: Assign Variable
W: = LSF *STRESE/CSR
[ 11] CENTRAL MEMBER
IF
DO: Display Form
ENTER NUMEER OF OUTER ELEMENTS REOUIRED?
<N>
AND $D O:$ Menu Question DO
ENTER DIAMETER OF DUTER ELEMENTS (mm) ?
$\{1.5\}\{2.2\}\{3.0\}$
+ AND [ 76] TUBE DIAMETER
AND DD: Assign Variable
PI: $=3.141592654$
AND $\quad D O:$ Assign Variable
$R:=(D / 2) *(1-S I N(P I / N)) / S I N(P I / N)$
AND DO: Assign variable
DIAMO: =2*R+0.3
AND DO: Menu Question SMM
ENTER STRENGTH MEMBER MATERIAL ?
\{STEEL\} \{GRP\}
AND DO: Menu Question ISM\$
ENTER INNER SHEATH MATERIAL?

［ 12］CHECK ASCII INTERFACE IS LOADED AND SYSTEM CLEAR
IF
CAUTION
－－－－－－－
have all answers and results been cleared？
If NUT PRESS＂ESCAPE＂AND USE THE CLEAR FUNCTID ANE DO：User Program

VERIFY（＂CRASC＂）：
［ 13］COPPER PAIRS
IF
DO：Test Expression $N-N T>O$
AND
DO：Display Form
ENTER NUMBER OF COPPER PAIRS REQUIRED ？
〈NCP〉
ANE
D0：Test Expression NCP＞O
AND EO：Display Form
EATER DIAMETER DF SINGLE CDPFEF WIRE？
$\therefore$ DCW：

ENTER FADIGL THICYNESS DF COPPER INSULAT：ON？
$\langle R T C I\rangle$
AND
AND
DO：Assign Variable
$A 7:=($（DCW／2000） 2 ）＊PI

AND
DO：Assign Variable
$A B:=(((2 * R T C I)+D C W) / 2000) \wedge$－$) * F I$
AND DD：Assign Variable A7：＝AB－A7
AND DO：Assign Variable DENCOPP：$=8930$
AND DO：Assign Variable MA557：＝A7＊1000＊DENCOPP＊2＊NCP
AND DO：Assign Variable
DENCOPINS：$=940$
AND DO：Assign Variable MASS日：＝A9＊1000＊DENCOPINS＊2＊NCP

OR
DO：Sucreed
DO: Test Expression
$N C P=0$
OR DO: Print Form
AND $\quad D O:$ Print Form
DIAMETER OF SINGLE COPPER WIRE IS[DCW.] mm RADIAL THICKNESS OF COPPER INSULATION IS［RTC．I］mm WEIGHT OF［NCP］COPPER PAIR（S）IS［MASS7］kgs／km WEIGHT OF COPPER INSULATION FOR［NCP］PAIRS IS ［MASSB］kgs／km

15］DENSITY CF SYNTEC
IF DO：Test E幺pression $5 R=90$
AND $\quad D 0:$ Assign Variable DENSYN：$=900$

OR DO：Test Expression $5 R=210$
AND $D O:$ Assign Variable DENSYN：$=890$

OR DO：Test Expression AND SR＝1921
DO：Assign Variable DENSYN：$=800$
ig．DTAMETER INSIDE DUTEA SHEATH
IF
DO：Test Enpression SWA＝＂iN＂
$A N 0$

AND
D0：Test Expression APL $\$=" N "$
 SPLゅ＝＂N＂
AND $\quad D C:$ Assign Variable DIAMIOS：＝DIAMC

OR
DO：Test Expression SWA $=$＂N＂

AND
DO：Test Expression APL事＝＂Y＂
AND DO：Test Expression SPL $\ddagger=" \curlyvee "$
AND DO：Assign Variable DIAMIOS：＝DIAMAPLSPL

DC：Test Expression SWA $=$＂$N$＂
Da：Test Expression APL $\$=$＂$Y$＂
DO：Test Expression SPLG＝＂N＂
DO：Assign Variable DIAMIOS：＝DIAMB

OR
AND
AND
AND

OR

DO：Test Expression SWA $=$＂N＂
DD：Test Expression APL $\ddagger=$＂N＂
DO：Test Expression SPL $\$=$＂$Y$＂
DO：Assign Variable DIAMIOS：＝DIAM3

DO：Assign Variable DIAMIOS：＝DIAMSWA

```
DIAMETER OF SPL BEDDING SHEATH
    IF
        AND DO: Assign Variable
```



```
    OR DO: Assign Variable
        DIAMSPLBS:=DIAM3+(2*RTBS)
```

181

| DIAMETER OF IF | ```DO: Test Expression LOOP=1``` |
| :---: | :---: |
| AND | ```DO: A5sign Varjable DIAMETER:=1.83``` |
| OR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DO: Test Eipression } \\ & \text { LODP:= } \end{aligned}$ |
| OND | D0：Assian Variable DIAMETER：二． 5 |
| OF | DO：Test Expression $\angle O O P=3$ |
| AND | D0：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=3.0$ |
| OR | DO：Test Expression LOOP＝4 |
| AND | DO：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=4.0$ |
| OR | DO：Test Expression LOOP＝5 |
| FAND | D0：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=5.0$ |
| OR | DO：Test Expression LOOP $=6$ |
| AND | DO：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=6.0$ |
| QR | DO：Test Expression LOOP＝7 |
| AND | D0：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=7.0$ |

If DO：Test Expression

$$
\operatorname{LOOP}=1
$$

0：Assign ソariable DIAMETER $:=1.83$
OR
D0：Test Expression －DOP：＝
00：Assian Variable DIAMETER：二E． 5
DO：TESt Expression LOOP＝3
D口：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=3.0$
DO：Test Expression LOOP＝4 ：Assign varlable DIAMETER：$=4.0$
DO：Test Expression $\operatorname{LOOP}=5$
DO：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=5.0$
DO：Test Expression LOOP＝6
DO：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=6.0$
DO：Test Expression LOOP $=7$
D0：Assign Variable DIAMETER：$=7.0$

```
        OR
        DO: Test Expression
    LOOP=8
        AND DO: Assign Variable
        DIAMETER:=8.0
        OR
        DO: Test Expression
    LOOP=9
DO: Assign Variable
    DIAMETER:=9.0
        OR
                            DO: Test Expression
                            LOOP=10
DO: Assign Variable
    DIAMETER:=10.0
OR
DD: Succeed
19] DIAMETER OF STEEL WIRES
        IF DO: Test Expression
                                DIAMSTEELWIRES=1
            AND DD: Assign Variable
        DSW:=0.9
            OR DO: Test Expression
        DIAMSTEELWIRES=2
            AND DO: Assign Variable
                                DSW:=1.25
            OR DO: TEst Expressioiר
                                DIAMSTEELWIRES== 3
    0口: ASsign variable
                        DSW:=1.6
20] DIFMETER OF STRENGTH MEMBEF
        IF DO: Test Expression
                                SMM$="STEEL"
            AND DD: AsEign Variable
                                LOOP:=0
    + AND [ 63] 5TEEL STRENGTH MEMBER
            OR DO: Test Expression
        SMM$="GRP"
            AND DO: Assign Variable
        DIAMETER: =0.75
    + AND [ 26] GRP STRENGTH MEMBER
21] DIAMETER UNDER STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH
IF
DO: Test Expression APL \(\$=" N "\)
AND DO: Assign Variable DIAMAPLSPL: = DIAME
QR
DO: Succeed
```

22] DISPLAY HEADER
IF DO: Display Form
l.ODSE TUBE CABLE - EXPERT SYSTEM

WRITTEN BY

LLYR ROBERTS

23] FIERECOUNT
IF DO: Yes/No Question
AND
IS THIS A DESIGN FOR A FIXED FIBRECOUNT? DO: Display Form

ENTER NUMBER OF FIBRES REQUIRED IN THE DESIGN?
$\langle F$;
OR DO: Display Form
ENTER LOWEST FIBRECDUNT REQUIRED IN THE DESIGN?

AND DO: Display Form
ENTER HIGHEST FIBRECDUNT REDUIRED IN THE DESIGN?

24] FIBREMODE
IF
DO: Memu Duestion FIBREMODE $\$$ ENTER FIBREMODE?
\{SINGLEMODE\} \{MULTIMODE\}

25] FILLING COMPOUND - SYNTEC/INSOJELL
IF DQ: Yes/No Question
ARE THE OUTER ELEMENT INTERSTICES FILLED?
AND
AND $D D: A s s i g n$ Variable
SR1生:="YES"
AND DO: Menu Question SRO ENTER FILLING COMPOUND REQUIRED?

+ AND［ 73］SYNTE［ TYPE
＋AND［ 15］DENSITY DF SYOTTEC
AND
DO：Assign Varıable
AREASYN1：$=($（DIAM1／2OOO）－ 2$) * P I$
AND DO：Assign variable
AREASYND：$=((\mathrm{DIAMO/2OOO)} \sim 2) * P I$
AND $D D: A 5 s i g n$ variable
AREASYN3：$=(N T+N F) * A 5+(2 * A B * N C P)$
AND
DC：Assign Variable AREASYN：＝AREASYN1－AREASYNR－AREASYN3
AND
DO：Assign Variable MASS11：＝AREASYN＊10OO＊DENSYN
$\square R$
DD：Assign Variabie SR生：＝＂N＂
DO：Assign Variョble SR1生：＝＂ND＇

26．GRP STRENGTH MEMEER

IF
AND
GND
$A M D$
$A M D$

AD
AMSE
ACO
$A \mathrm{HO}$
AlD
AND
AMD
AND

AND

OR
AND

QR

DO：Assign Uariatle DIAMETER：＝DIAMETER＋0．25
DD：Assign Yariable RTIS：＝（DIAMO－DIAMETER）／己
DO：Assign variable MASS1：＝PI＊（（DIAMETER／2000）（2）＊ $1000 * 2100$
DO：Assign Variable A：：＝PI＊（（DIAMO／2OOO）～2）
DO：ASsign धa；istole

 HASDC：＝（A1－AE）\＃DENSITY＋1OOO STFESS
ग刀：Asョign variadle

ग0：Assign varistle TOTA1ME ：＝ASSB＋MASS7＋MASS10 MASS11＋MASS12＋MASS13
10：As5ign variable TOTALMS：＝MASS14＋MASS1S＋MASS16＋MASS17
门口：Assign variable TOTALH：＝TOTALMI＋TOTALME＋TOTALM3
DO：Assign Variable WEIGHT：＝TOTALM＊ 9.81
IC：ÄSEIGn Variable CSR：$=C 5 F * W E I G H T$
DO：Test Expression STRESS＝CSR

DO：Test Expression DIAMETEFく＝D I AMO
DO：Restart Rule
DO：Display Form
$\cdots-\cdots-$ CONCLUSION $-\cdots-\cdots-$

CABLE CANNOT CONFORM TO STRENGTH REQUIREMENT
AND DO：Assign variable PRINT：$=1$

```
DO: Assigm Variable
    MASS10:=NT*A6*1000*850
```

283 HYUIS JELLY
IF AND
DD: Print Form
DO: Print Form
TUBE FILling COMPOUND - hYVIS
WEIGHT OF HYVIS REQUIRED FOR[NT] TUBES
IS[MASS10] $\mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{km}$
AND
DO: Print Form
$29]$ ID
IF DO: Test Expression MASS $14>0$
AND $\quad D Q:$ Print Form
WEIGHT OF IDENTIFICATION TAPE IS[MASS1.4] kgsikm
DO: Print Form
NO IDENTIFICATION TAPE

301 ID AND RIF
IF
GNO

```
Do: Frant Form
DQ: Firint Form
ID TAPE GIND PIPCURD
```

$+\operatorname{AND}[39]$ ID
AHD JO: Frint Form

+ and i 583 FIP
AND EO: Pirint Form

313 ID TAPE
IF
AND
AND

OR
AND
DO: Yes/No Question
IS AN "ID" TAPE REQUIRED?
DO: Assign Variable
MASS14:=0.2
DO: Assign Variable
ID\$:="Y"
DO: Succeed
DO: Assign Variable
ID中:="N"

32] ID TAPE AND RIPCORD

+ IF [ 31$]$ ID TAPE
+ AND [59] RIPCORD
INNER SHEATH MATERIAL - DENSITY
IF DO: Test Expression
I $5 M \$=$ "LDPE"

AND

OR

AND

QR
AND

34] INST
IF
AND

OR
AND

QR
AHID

OR

ARD

DR
AND

DO: Test Expression INST=1
DO: Assign Variable INSTALLATIONS: ="DUCT"

DU: Test Expression INST=?
DO: Assign Variable INSTALLATIONक:="BURIED"

DO: Test Expression INST $=3$
DO: ASsign Vaviable INSTALLATIONs: ="AERIAL

DQ: Test Expression
INST=4
ED: Assign Variable
INGTALLATION $==$ "INTERNAL"

DO: Test Expression
INST=5
D0: Assign Variable
INSTALLATIONま:="口THER"

35] INSTALLATION
IF
DD: Menu Question INST
ENTER CABLE INSTALLATION?
\{1\} DUCT
\{2\} BURIED
(3) AERIAL
\{4\} INTERNAL
(5) DTHER
$+\mathrm{AND}[34]$ INST


37］LAYLENGTH
IF
DO：Display Form
ENTER LAYLENGTH DF STRANDED ELEMENTS（mm）？

AND
DO：Assign Variable PITCHDIAM：＝DIAMO＋D
AND
DO：Assign Variable LAYANGLE1：＝ARCTAN（PITCHDIAM＊PI／LAYLENGTH）
AND
DO：Assign Variable LAYANGLE：＝LAYANGLE1＊18O／PI

こ巳］LCOSE TUBE CABLES
－if i．zej dispiaf hendep
＋Anar 233 FIRRECOUNT
＋GND ：er 1 FIBFEMDDE
＊ANB［ ！！］CENTRAL MEMBER

+ AND［ 77］TUBE
＋ANI［ 133 COPPEFR PAIRS
＋and［ 42］DUTER ELEMENT FILLERS
＋AND［ 27］HYVIS
＋AND［ 25］FILLING COMPOUND－SYNTEC／INSOJELL
＋AND［ 47］PAPER TAPE
+ AND［ 2$]$ AlUMINIUM PLASTIC LAMINATE
＋AND［ b己］steel plastic laminate
+ AND［ 64］STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
＋AND［ 44］OUTER SHEATH
+ AND［ 32］ID TAPE AND RIFCORD
+ AND［ 10］［ABLE STRENGTH／WEIGHT
＋AND［ 75］TRANSFER PARAMETERS TO ASCII FILE
+ AND［ 53］PRINT OUT OF RESULTS

39］MATALLIC
DO：Test Expression SMM $=$＂GRP＂ DO：Test Expression APLक＝＂N＂
AND DO：Test Expression

SPL $5=$ "N"
AND
DD: Test Expression
SWA $=$ = $N$ "
AND $\quad D O:$ Assign Variable
METALLIC $\$:=$ "NU"

DD: Assign Variable
METALLIC末: = "YES"

40] METALLIC/ARMOURED
$+I F$
$+\quad 39]$ MATALLIC
+

+ AND
$41]$ NUMEFICAL JARIAELES OPENW("CABLE"); WRITENUM ("[D. $]^{"}$ ); NEWLINE () ; WRITENUM ("[DCW. ]");NEWLINE(); WRI TENUM ("[DIAMO. ]"); NEWLINE(); WRITENUM("[DIAMI. ]");NEWLINE (): WRI TENUM("[DIAME. ]");NEWLINE(); WRITENUM("[DIAM3. ]");NEWLINE (); WR I TENUM ("[DIAM4.]"); NEWLINE (); WFITENUM("[DIAMETER. ]");NEWLINE(); WRITENUM ("[DIAMAPLSPL. ]");NEWLINE (); CLOSE ();
AND DD: User Program
OPENN("CABLE");
WRITENUM("[DIAMIOS. ]");NEWLINE():
WRITENUMQ"[DIAMCPL . ]"); NEWLINE();
WRITENLH:"EDIAMGPLBS. J"):NEWLINE;):
WFITENUM: "TIAMSWA, J"):NEWLINE () ;
WFITENUM:"[DIGMSWAES. ]": NEWLINE: :
WFITEN!M ("[DSW. ]");NEWLINE ();
WRITENUF ("EIDT, ]"; ; NEWLINE();
WRITENUM ("[N]"); NEWLINE();
WRITENUM("[NCF]");NEWLINE():CLOSE();
GND DO: LSer Frogitam
OPENW("CABLE"):
WRI TENLM ("[NF]") ; NEWLINE ();
WRITENUM("[NT]"); NEWLINE();
WRI TENUM (:[ANSW]");NEWLINE();
WRI TENUM ("[OSRT. ]"); NEWLINE ( ) ;
WRITENUM ("[RTBS. ]");NEWLINE();
WRITENUM("[RTCI. ]");NEWLINE();
WRITENUM("[RTIS. ]");NEWLINE(); WRITENUM("[SR ]");NEWLINE(); CLOSE():
AND DO: User Program OPENW ("CABLE"); WRI TENUM("[TOTALM]");NEWLINE(); WRI TENUM("[STRESS]");NEWLINE(); CLOSE();

NF: $=N-N T-N C P$
AND
DO: Test Expression
$N F>0$
$A N D \quad D O: A s s i g n$ Variable
MAS57: $=45 * 1000 * 925 * N F$

DR DD: Succeed

43] QUTER ELEMENTS
IF DO: Print Form
AND DO: Print Form
TUBES, FILLERS AND COPPER PAIRS
DIAMETER OF TUBES \& FILLERS ARE[D.] mm

WEIGHT OF[NT] TUBES IS[MASSG] $\mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{km}$

+ AND [ 14] COPPERS
+ AND [ 50] POLYETHYLENE FILLERS AND DO: Print form

44] OUTER SHEATH

+ IF [ 16] DIAMETER INSIDE OUTER SHEATH
AND
DG: Display Form
ENTER RADIAL THICKNESS DF DUTER
SHEATH REQUIRED (mm)?

《OSRT>
AND DO: MERU Question OSM\$
ENTER OUTER SHEATH MATERIAL ?
\{LDFE) (MDPE \{HDPE)
GND [ GEI DUTER SHEATH MATERIAL - DENEITY
AND LO: Assign Variatle $A 3:=((2 * D S R T+D I A M I O S) / 2000) \cdots 2) * P I$
AMD DO: Assign Variable A4: =FI*((DIAMIOS/2000)~2)
AND DO: Assign Variable GSVOL: $=(A 3-A 4) * 1000$
AND DO: Assign Vatiable MASS5: =OSVOL *DENSITYI
AND DO: Assign Variable DIAM4: $=(2 *$ OSRT $)+$ DIAMIOS

45] DUTER SHEATH COATING
IF DO: Print Farm
DO: Print Form DUTER SHEATH RADIAL THICKNESS OF [OSM\$]OUTER SHEATH IS[OSRT.] mm WEIGHT OF OUTER SHEATH IS[MASSS] kgS/km DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE OUTER SHEATH IS[DIAM4.] mm


471 PAPER TAPE

+ IF [ 49] PAPER TAPE LAYERS

AND
AND
AND $D D:$ Test Expression ANGLE<1
AND
AND

AND
AND
AND
AMD

ANO
AND

OR
DO: Restart Rule

4B] PAPER TAPE BINDER
IF DD: Print Form AND DO: Print Form PAPER TAPE

NUMBER OF PAPER TAPE LAYERS IS[NPTL]
AND DO: Print Form
AND
DD: Print Form
LENGTH DF [PW]mm WIDE PAPER TAPE REQUIRED PER km OF CABLE IS [PL] m PER LAYER

```
                            ANGLE OF APPLICATION OF PAPER TAPE IS
                                    [APPANGLE] degrees
                                    PAPER TAPE LAY LENGTH IS[TAPELAY] mm
                                    TOTAL WEIGHT OF PAPER TAPE IS[MASS3] kgs/km
            ANID
            AND
                                    DO: Print Form
                                    THICKNESS DF PAPER TAPE IS 0.125 mm
                                    DIAMETER OF CABLE DUTSIDE TAPE IS [DIAMZ.] mm
                                    DD: Print Form
49] PAPER TAPE LAYERS
            IF DO: Menu Question NPTL
                                    ENTER NUMBER OF PAPER TAPE LAYERS REQUIRED
            AND
                DO: Test Expression
                        NPTL=1
            AND DO: Assign Variable
                        PTTH:=0.4
            OR DO: Assign Variable
                        PTTH:=0.6
50] POLYETHYLENE FILLERG
            IF DO: Test Expression
                N-NT-NCP=O
            OR DO: Frint Form
            AND DO: Print Form
                WEIGHT OF[NF] FILLERS IS[MASS9] kgS/km
51] PRINT
IF
AND
AND
Print Form
DO: Print Form DO: Print Form AND DO: Print Form
52] PRINT CABLE SUMMARY
+ IF [ 54] PRINT TITLE
AND \(\quad D D:\) Print Form
SUMMARY
AND DO: Print Form
```



PRESS ANY KEY TO CUNTINUE

53] PRINT QUT OF RESLILTS

+ IF [ 55] PRINTER QRTIONS
AND
DO: Test Expression
PRINTOUT $=0$
+ AND [ 5こ] PRINT CABLE SUMMARY
+ AND [ 1] ACCURACY DF DIMENSIONS
+ AND [ 51] PRINT
+ AND [ 7] CABLE LENTRAL MEMBER
+ AND [ 43] OUTER ELEMENTS
+ AND [ 28] HYVIS JELLY
+ AND [ 66] STRANDING PROCESS
+ AND [ 72] SYNTEC
+ AND [ 48] PAPER TAPE BINDER

```
    + AND [ 30] ID AND RIP
    + AND [ 3] APL
    + AND [ 7] BEDDING SHEATH
    + AND [ 60] SPL
    + AND [ 5] ARMOUR
    + AND [ 45] DUTER SHEATH COATING
    + AND [ 69] STRENGTH/WEIGHT
    + AND [ 56] PROCESSES AND SPEEDS
OR
    DO: Succeed
54] PRINT TITLE
    IF
    DO: Print Form
    DATE : / / 19
        + AND [ 51] PRINT
        AND
        AND
        DO: Test Expression
    F>0
    AND DO: Print Form
    CABLE DESCRIPTION FOR A[F] FIBRE CABLE
    OR
    AND
    AND
    OR
    DQ: Print Form
    INCORRECT FIBRECOLNT INFUT
55] PRINTER OPTIONS
    IF
        AND
    DO: Test Expression
    PRINT=1
    DO: Menu Question PRINTOUT$
                DO YOU REQUIRE A PRINTOUT OF THE DESIGN ?
                WARNING - DATA MAY BE INCORRECT DUE TO
                INSUFFICIENT STRENGTH OF CABLE.
                                    {YES} {NO}
    DO: Test Expression
        PRINTOUT$="NO"
        AND DO: Assign Variable
        xxix
```



```
PROCESS 11
```

PROCESS 12

57] QUIT CRYSTAL
IF
DO: Display. Form

CRYSTAL ASCII INTERFACE HAS NOT BEEN LOADED

QUIT CRYSTAL AND RE-START BY TYPING "CRASC"

58] PIP
IF
DO: Test Expression
MASS $15>0$
AND

OR
DO: Print Form
WEIGHT OF RIPCORD IS[MASS1.5] $\mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{km}$
DO: Print Form
ND RIPCORD
$59]$ PIPCORD
IF
ANI

AND

078
AND

60] SPL
IF
D0: Test Ekpression
SPL $\$=" N "$

OR
DO: Print Form
AND
DO: Print form
SPL
WIDTH OF SPL REQUIRED IS[SPLW.J mm
NEAREST SPL WIDTH AVAILABLE IS[NSPLW] mm
WEIGHT OF[NSPLW] mm SPL IS[MASS13] kgs/km
DIAMETER OF CABLE DUTSIDE SPL IS[DIAMAPLSPL.J mm


AND DO：Assign Variable DIAMAPLSPL：＝DIAM3
AND $\quad D O:$ Assign Variable DIAMSPL：＝DIAM3

OR
DO：Test Expression
SPL $\ddagger=" Y$＂
＋AND［ GI］SPL BEDDING SHEATH AND

DO：Assign Variable DIAMAPLSPL：＝DIAM3＋（2＊RTBS）+2
AND
DO：Assign Variable
CIRCUM1：＝（DIAM3＋（2＊RTBS）+2$) *$ PI
$A N D \quad D O: A s s i g n v a r i a b l e$
SPLW：＝CIRCUM1＋4
DO：Display Form
SPL HIDTH REQUIRED IS［SPLW．J mm

ENTER NEAREST SPL WIDTH PVAILABLE ？«NSPLW》
GMD DO：Assion Variable
MA5S13：＝（NSPLW＊1．326＊15／100）＋NSPLW＊1．326

QR
DO：Assign Variable SFLBS\＄：＝＂N＂
AND
DO：Assign Variable BSM ：$^{\text {－＂DEFAULT＂}}$
＋AND［ 21］DIAMETER UNDER STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH AND DD：Succeed

33］STEEL STRENGTH MEMEER
LOOP: = LOOP + 1
+ aNE : 18$]$ DIAMETER OF STEEL

ABD

AND
Ald

AND

AND

AND
A己：＝PI＊（（ DIAMETER／2000））2 2
DO：Assign Variable MASSE：$=(A 1-A 己) * D E N S I T Y * 1000$

+ AND［ 70］STRESS
AND

AND
AMD

AND

AND
AND DO：Assign variable CSR：＝CSF＊WE I GHT
AND
DO：Test Expression STRESS $>=$ CSR

```
    OR DO: Test E*pression
    DIAMETERC=DIAMO-0.5
    DG: Restart Rule
    DO: Display Form
                                    ---------- CONCLUSION
                            CABLE CANNOT CONFORM TO STRENGTH REQUIREMENT
        AND
DO: Assign Variable
    PRINT:=1
64] STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
    IF DD: Yes/No Duestion
                            IS A STEEL WIRE ARMOUF REGUIRED ?
+ AND [ 65] STEEL WIRE ARMOLIR. BEDDING SHEATH
    AND DD: Menu Buestion DIAMSTEELWIRES
    ENTER DIAMETER OF STEEL WIRES REQUIRED (mm) ?
    {0.9} {1.25} {1.b}
+ AND [ 19] DIAMETER OF STEEL WIRES
    AND
    DO: Assign Variable
            DIAMSWA:=DIAMSWAES+(2*DSW)
        AND
        DO: Assign variable
            SWAPITCHDIAM:=D IAMSWABS+DSW
        AND
        DO: Display Form
            ENTEF STEEL WIRE GRMOUF LAY ANGLE (degrees)?
                EWALA
    Aaj [可G=sigrvariatle
    NSW:=DSW/(SWAPITEHLIAM*COS(SWALA*FI:IBO);
    &ND OC: Assign varisti巨
        SWA!L:=PI #GWAPITCHDIGM/TAN(SWALA*PI: 1EO:
    AMD [IS: f5s:gत yarjable
    NSW:=PI:GRCSIN(NSWI)
    GMD DO: Gssign variable
        ANGW1:=(NSW+9.97)-0.3
    AND DO: ASsign variable
            ANSW:= INT(ANSW1)
    AND DO: fिsigmi variable
        A12:=ANSW*(PI*(DSW/2OOO) 人2)
    AND DO: Assign variable
            !55517:=A12*1000*7700
    AND DO: ASSign variable
        SWA生:="Y"
    GND DD: ASsigm Variable
            SWABS$:="Y"
        OF
        DO: Assign Variable
            SWA$:= "N"
    AND
        DO: Assign Variatle
            SWABS丮:="N"
    AND DO: Assign Variable
        SWABSM$:="DEFAULT"
```

```
b5] STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH
            IF DG: Yes/No Question
                            IS A STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH REQUIRED ?
            AND DO: Display Form
                                ENTER RADIAL THICFNESS OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
                                    BEDDING SHEATH?
                                    <RTSWABS;
            AND DO: Assign variable
        DI AMSWABS:=D IAMAPLSPL+2*RTSWFES
            AND DO: Assign Variable
                                A11A:=PI*((DIAMSWABS/2OOO)`口)
                            DO: Assian Variable
                            A11B:=PI*((DIAMAPLSPL/2000)^2)
                AND DO: Assign Variable
                            A11:=A11A-A11B
                            DO: Menlu Question SWABSM$
                                    ENTER BEDDING SHEATH MATERIAL ?
                                    {LDPE} {MDPE} {HDPE}
            + AND [ 71] SWABSM - DENSITY
            AND
                        DO: Assign Variable
                                    MASS16:=A11*100O*DENSITYB
            OR
                            DO: Assign Variable
                            SWABSM直:="DEFAULT"
66] STRANDING PROCESS
        IF DO: Pi-int Form
            GND DO: Primet Form
                                STFALDING
                                -----------
                                LGY LEMGTH GF GTRANDED ELEMENTS ISILAYLENGTHJ mm
                                LAYANGLE IS[LAYANGLE.] dEgrees
            GND DO: Print Form
```

67] STRENGTH
IF
DO: Test Expression
SWA $=$ = N"
AND
DO: Print Form
CABLE STRENGTH/WEIGHT
MAXIMUM PULLING LOAD ON A[DIAMETER.] mm [SMM\$]
STRENGTH MEMBER AT[STRAI.N]\% STRAIN
IS[STRESS] Newtons
AND
DO: Print Form
OR
DO: Print Form
CABLE STRENGTH/WEIGHT
MAXIMUM PULLING LOAD ON A[DIAMETER.] mm [SMMक]
STRENGTH MEMBER, AND STEEL WIRE ARMOURING
AT[STRAI.N]\% STRAIN IS[STRESS] Newtans
AND
DO: Print Form

```
68] STRENGTH MEMBER MATERIAL - MODULUS
            IF DO: Test Expression
        SMM$="STEEL"
            AND DO: Assign Variable
        MODULUS:=1.55*100000000000
            AND DO: Assign Variable
        MODULUS1:=1.55*100000000000
    DO: Test Expression
        SMM$="GRP"
    DO: Assign Variable
                            MODULUS:=5*10000000000
            AND DO: Assign variable
        MODULUS1:=1.55*100000000000
    69] STRENGTH/WEIGHT
            IF DD: Print Form
        + AND [ b7] STRENGTH
            AND
                                DQ: Print Form
                                OVERALL WEIGHT OF CABLE IS[TOTALM] kgs/km
                        CABLE STRENGTH REQUIRED FOR[CSF.] }\times\mathrm{ W STRESS
                        IS [CSR] Newtons
            AND
        DO: Print Form
            AND
                                DO: Print Form
                            CABLE STRENGTH RATIO AVAILABLE IS [W. ] ix w
            AND DO: Print Form
            AND DO: Print Farm
            AHD DO: Frint Form
                                GABLE DIAMETEF
                            GUEPALL CABLE DIAMETER ISECTAM&}.J mm
                            -..-...-...-- DESIGN COMPLETE -...-...-...-
            AND DO: DiSplar FONm
                    PRESS ANY KEY TO CONTINUE
                    70] STRESS
        DO: Test Expression
        SWA里="N"
            AND DO: Assign Variable
                        STRESS:=MODULUS*PI*((DIAMETER/ZOO0)^2)*STRAIN*O.O1
            OR DO: Assign Variable
            STRESS:=MODULUSI*A12*STRAIN*O.O1
```

[71] SWABSM - DENSITY
DO: Test Expression
SWABSM末="LIFE"

AND

OR AND

OR AND

72] SYNTEC
IF

DR
AND
AND

AND
AND
af

AHD
AND

DO: Test Expression SR $\$=" N$ "

DO: Print Form
DO: Test Expression SRく500
Du: Print Form Filling compound - Syntec rheogel

WEIGHT OF SYNTEC RHEOGEL[SR] IS[MASSI1] hgs/km
DO: Print Form
DO: Display form
END OF PRINT OUT SHEET - WIND ON TO NEXT SHEET

PFEESS ANY hEy to CONTIMUE
Du: Pririt Form
FILLINS COMPDUMD - INSOJELL 1921
WEIGHT OF INSOJELL 1921 [S[MASS:1] kgE/km
DO: Print Form
DO: Display Form
END DF PRINT DUT SHEET - WIND ON TO NEXT SHEET

73] SYNTEC TVPE
IF
AND

QR
AND

OR
AND

> DO: Test Expression SRO=1
> DO: Assign variable SR:=70

DO: Test Expression $5 R O=2$
DO: Assign Variable SR: $=210$

DO: Test Expression $\mathrm{SRO}=3$
DO: Assign Variable SR: $=1921$

74］TEXT VARIABLES
IF

DO：User Frogram
OPENW（＂CABLE＂）；
WRITETXT（＂［APL\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SPL\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SPLBSक］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SR\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SWA末］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SWABS\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［BSM\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［ISM ${ }^{\text {］}}$＂）； $\operatorname{NEWLINE();~}$
CLOSE（）；
DD：User Program
OPENW\｛＂CABLE＂；
WRITETXT（＂［OSME ］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SMM\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
WRITETXT（＂［SWABSM\＄］＂）；NEWLINE（）：
WRITETXT（＂［IDक］＂）；NEWLINE（）； WRITETXT（＂［RIP末］＂）；NEWLINE（）；
CLOSE（）；

75］TRANSFER PARAMETERS TO ASCII FILE

+ IF［ 74］TEXT VARIABLES
+ AND［ 41］NLMERICAL VARIABLES

76］TUBE［IAlAETE？
IF［D：Test Expression DO＝ 1
AND

OR
DO：Test Expression $00=2$

AND

OF
DO：Test Expression DO $=3$
DO：Assign Variable $D:=3.0$

771 TUBES

+ IF［36］INTERNAL DIAMETER DF TUBE
AiND
AND
DO：Assign Variable DENVEST：＝ 1287.97
AND
DO：Assign Variable $A 5:=((D / 2000) \sim 2) * P I$

AND
DO：Assign Variable $A G:=((I D T / 2000) * 己) * P I$
DO：Assign Variable

```
    CSAT:=AS~AG
DO: Assign Variable
    MASS6:=CSAT*1000*DENVEST*NT
```

783

+ IF [ 12] CHECK ASCII INTERFACE IS LOADED AND SYSTEM CLEAR
+ AND [ 38] LDOSE TUBE CABLES
+ OR [ 57] QUIT CRYSTAL


## APPENDIX C

Printer Dutput of Expert System Run


CABLE DESCRIPTION FOR A 16 FIBRE CABLE

SUMMARY


```
CENTRAL. MEMEER
DIAMETER OF CORE REQUIRED TO SUFPORT G ELEMENTS
IS
    4.6 mm
DIAMETER OF STEEL STRENGTH MEMBER REQUIRED
IS 1.83 mm
RADIAL THICKNESS OF LDPE INNER SHEATH MATERIAL
IS 1.35 mm
WEIGHT OF STEEL STRENGTH MEMBER IS 18 kgs/km
WEIGHT OF LDFE INNER SHEATH IS 12 kg5/km
TUBES, FILLERS AND COPPER PAIRS
DIAMETER OF TUBES & FILLERS ARE 2.2 mm
WEIGHT OF 4 TUBES IS 10 kgs/km
DIAMETER OF SINGLE COPPER WIRE IS 0.6 mm
RADIAL THICKNESS OF COPPER INSULATION IS 0.25 mm
WEIGHT OF I COPPER PAIR(S) IS 10 kgS/km
WEIGHT OF COPPER INSULATION FOR I PAIRS
IS 3 kgs/km
WEIGHT OF 3 FILLERS IS 11 kgS/KM
tube filling comfound - hivis
WEIGHT OF HYUIS REGUIRED FOR & TUBES
IS 6 kgs/km
STRANDING
---------
LAY LENGTH OF STRANDED ELEMENTS IS 200 mm
LAYANGLE IS 6.0 degrees
FILLING COMPOUND - INSOJELL 1921
WEIGHT OF INSOJELL 1921 IS 13 kgs/km
```

```
PAPER TAPE
NUMBER OF PAPER TAPE LAYERG IS 2
LENGTH OF 19mm WIDE PAPER TAPE REQUIRED PER fm
OF CABLE IS 1747 m PER LAYER
ANGLE OF APPLICATION OF PAPER TAPE IS
    56 degrees
PAPER TAPE LAY LENGTH IS 21 mm
TOTAL WEIGHT OF PAPER TAPE IS 5 kgs/km
THICKNESS OF PAPER TAPE IS 0.125 mm
DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE TAPE IS 9.6 mm
ID TAPE AND RIPCORD
WEIGHT OF IDENTIFICATION TAPE IS 0.2O kgs/km
WEIGHT OF RIPCORD IS O.32 k:gs/km
APL
WIDTH OF API REOUIRED IS 41.1 mm
NEAREST GPL WIDTH AYAILABLE IS &1 mm
APL THICKNESS IS O.1Sm
WEIGHT OF it mm GPL ls 18 kgs/t:m
DIAMETEF OF CABLE GUTSIDE GFL IS 10.6 mm
SPL BEDDING SHEATH
RADIAL. THICKNESS GF BEDDING SHEATH IS 1.3 mm
WEIGHT OF LDFE BEDDING SHEATH IS 41 kgs/km
DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE BEDDING SHEATH
IS 13.1 mm
SPL
WIDTH OF SPL REQUIRED IS 51.5 mm
NEAREST SPL WIDTH AVAILABLE IS }51\textrm{mm
WEIGHT DF }51\textrm{mm SPL IS 7B kgs/km
DIAMETER OF CABLE DUTSIDE SPL IS 15.1 mm

STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH
RADIAL THICKNESS OF. STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH IS 1.5 mm

WEIGHT OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR EEDDING SHEATH IS \(72 \mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{km}\)

DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE STEEL WIRE ARMOUR BEDDING SHEATH IS 18.1 mm

STEEL WIRE ARMOUR
NUMBER OF .STEEL WIRES REQUIRED IS 45
DIAMETER OF STEEL WIRES ARE 1.25 mm
LAYANGLE OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS 13 degrees
LAYLENGTH OF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS 264 mm WEIGHT DF STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS \(425 \mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{km}\) DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE STEEL WIRE ARMOUR IS 20.6 mm
```

OUTER SHEATH
RADIAL THICKNESS OF LDPE OUTER SHEATH
IS 1.日 mm
WEIGHT OF OUTER SHEATH IS 117.kgs/km
DIAMETER OF CABLE OUTSIDE OUTER SHEATH
IS 24.3 mm
CABLE STRENGTH/WEIGHT
MAXIMUM PULLING LOAD ON A 1.83 mm STEEI
STRENGTH MEMBER, AND STEEL WIRE ARMOURING AT $0.40 \%$ STRAIN IS 34238 Newtons
OVERALL WEIGHT OF CABLE IS $840 \mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{km}$
CABLE STRENGTH REQUIRED FOR $3.00 \times w$ STRESS
IS 24731 Newtons
CABLE STRENGTH RATID AVAILABLE IS $4.15 \times W$
CABLE DIAMETEF
OVERALL CABLE DIAMETEA IS 24.3 mm

```
PROCESSES AND SPEEDS
```

PROCESS 1
PROCESS 2 -
PROCESS 3
PROCESS 4
PROCESS 5
PRCCESS 6
FPOCESS 7
PROCESS B
PROCESS 9
PROCESS 10 -
PROCESS 11

## APPENDIX <br> D

Program Drawcable - Screen and Plotter
Graphics Program

```
program DRAWCABLE;
{कi Typedef.sys}
{$i Graphix.sys)
{$i Kernel 5ys}
const pi=3.141592654;
type strng5=string[5];
    strng10=string[10];
    strng15=string[15];
        strng50=string[50];
var textin:array[1..5] of strng10;
    textin1:array[1..8] of char;
    numbersin:array[1..28] of real;
    DIAMSPL,DSPLBS,DIAMAPLSPL,DIAMIOS:real;
    D,DCW,DIAMO,DIAMI,DIAME,DIAMB,DIAM4,DIAMETER:real;
    DIAMSWA,DIAMSWABS,DSW,IDT,N,NCP,NF,NT, ANSW:real;
    RTIS,OSRT,RTBS,RTCI,SRN,TOTALM,STRESS:rEal;
    BSM,ISM,OSM,SMM,SWABSM:strng5;
    command1, commande, command3:string15;
    APL,SPL,SPLBS,SRT,SWA,SWABS,ID,RIP,PLOT,LABELPLOT,RPT,RPT1:CHAR;
procedure READ;
var i:integer;filvar:text;
begin
    assign(filvar,`cable.asc`);
    "eset(filvar);
    i:=-1 ;
    while (not eof(filvar;) and (i人?) do
    begin
        readlm{filvar,textinl[i]);
        i:= i+1;
    end;
    1:=1;
    while (not eof(filvar)) and (i<t) do
    begin
        readln(filvar,textin[i]);
        i:= i + 1;
    end:
    i:=7;
    while (not eof(filvar)) and (i(9) do
    begin
            readln(filvar,textinl[i]);
            i := i + 1;
    end;
    i :=1;
    while (not eof(filvar)) and (i<29) do
```

    Deqin
        readln(filvar, numbersin[i]);
        i:= i +1;
    end;
    close(filvar);
    D:=(numbersin[1]);
    DCW:=(numbersin[2]);
    DIAMO:=(numbersin[3]);
    DIAM1:=(numbersin[4]);
    DIAM2:=(numbersin[5]);
    DIAM3:=(numbersin[6]);
    DIAM4:=(numbersin[7]);
    DIAMETER:=(numbersin[8]);
    DIAMAPLSPL:=(numbersin[9]);
    DIAMIOS:= (numbersin[10]);
    DIAMSPL:=(numbersin[11]);
    DSPLBS:=(numbersin[1こ]);
    DIAMSWA:=(numbersin[13]);
    DIAMSWABS:=(numbersin[14]);
    DSW:=(numbersin[15]);
    IDT:=(numbersin[16]);
    N:=(numbersin[17]);
    NCP:=(numbersin[18]);
    NF:=(numbersin[19]);
    NT:=(numbersin[20]);
    ANSW:=(numbersin[21]);
    OSRT:=(numbersin[2巳]);
    RTES:=(numbersin[23]);
    RTCI:=(numbersin[24]);
    RTIS:=(mumbersin[25]);
    5RN:=(numbercin[26]);
    TOTALM:=(numbewsin[E7]);
    STRESS:=(numbersin[2马];;
    APL:=(textinl[1]);
    BSM:=(textin[1]);
    ID:= (textiml[7];;
    ISM:=(textin[2]);
    OSM:=(textin[3]);
    RIP:=(textinl[8]);
    SMIM:=(textin[4]);
    SPL:=(textinl[2]);
    SPLBS:=(textinl[3]);
    SFT:=(textini[4]);
    SWA:=(textinl[5]);
    SWABS:= (textinl[b]);
    SWABSM:=(textin[5]);
    end; (* procedure READ *)

```

\section*{procedure DRAWSCREEN：}
var \(x, y, R 1, R 2, R 3, R 4, R 5, s c a l e, a n g l e, a m g l e 1\) ，ang，angl：real；
DIAMSPLBS，\(f, X_{1}, \gamma 1, f i n t, R S 1\), factor，\(Z 2 Z, A A, A A A, B B B, C C C, D D D: r e a l ;\)
num，num 1，num4，num5，EEE，FFF：real；
number，nume，num \(3, X X X, Y Y Y:\) real；
begin
scale：＝2／DIAM4；
anglel：＝0；
angle：\(=0\) ；
```

ang:=2*pi/N;
number:=0;
if NCP>0 then
begin
f:=N/NCP;
fint:=int(f);
end;
orawcircle(320,100,(DIAM4*scale));
if SWA='N' then
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAMIOS*scale));
oraweircle(320,100,(DIAM2*scale));
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAM1*Scale));
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAMO*Scale));
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAMETER*5cale));
if {APL='N'} and {SPL='Y') and (SWA='N') then
drawcircle(320,100,(DSPLBS*scale));
If (APL='Y') and (SPL='Y') and (SWA='N') then
begin
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAM3*Scale));
drawcircle(320,100,(DSPLBS*Scale));
end;
if (APL='N') and (SPL='N') and (SWA='Y') then
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAMSWABS*scale));
if (APL='Y') and (SFL='N') and (SWA='Y') then
drawcircle(320,100,(DIAMЗ*5cale));
If (APL='N') and (SPL='Y') and (SWG='Y') then
ちegin
droweircle(320.100.(DGPLES*SGEle));
drawtirele(3EO,100, (DIAM3*डcale;);
end;
if (APL='Y') and (SPL='Y') and (SWA='Y') then
begin
drawcirrle(300,lo0,(DIGMB*Scale));
drawrircle(320,100,(DSPLBS*Scale));
drawLircle(3こ0,100,(DIAMAPLSPL*scale));
end;
if SWA='Y" then
begin
draweircle(320,100,(DIAMSWABS*Scale));
repeat
ang1:=2*pi/ANSW;
x:=320+((DIAMSWABS/2+DSW/2)*cos(angle1))*200*scale;
Y:=100+((DIAMSWABS/2+DSW/2)*sin(angle1))*日6*scale;
R3:=DSW\#Scale;
drawcircle(X,Y,RZ);
angle1:=anglel+angl;
until anglel>=ट*pi-angl;
end;
if NCP>O then

```
```

Degrn
repeat
R4:=(DCW)*Scale;
R51:=((2*RTCI)+DCW)/2;
RS:=((2*RTCI)+DCW)*Stale;
X:=320+((DIAMO/2+R51)*cos(angle))*200*5cale;
V:=100+((DIAMO/2+R51)*5in(angle))*86*5cale;
X1:=320+((DIAMO/2+(3*R51))*cos(angle))*200*scale;
Y1:=100+((DIAMO/2+(3*R51))*sin(angle))*86*scale;
drawcircle(X,Y,R5);
drawcircle(X,Y,R4);
drawcircle(X1,Y1,R5);
drawcircle(X1,Y1,R4);
number:=number+1;
angle:=angle+(fint*ang);
unti] number=NCP;
end;
angle:=0;
repeat
x:=320+((D/2+DIAMO/2)*cos(angle))*200*scale;
Y:=100+((D/2+DIAMO/巳)*sin(angle))*86*scale;
R1:=D*scale;
drawcircle(X,Y,R1);
angle:=angle+ang;
until angle:=2*pi-ang;
if NCP>0 them
begin
angle:=0;
num:=0;
num1:=0;
nume:=0;
num3:=0:
num4:=0;
factoi:=(N-- fint*NCP:):
XXX:=0;
YYY:=O;
AA:=0;
AGA:=O;
EEE:=0;
if NF>0 then
begin
if NF>(fint-1) then
begin
AA:=NF/(fint-1);
AAA:=int(AA+0.995);
end;
repeat
angle:=angle+ang;
num:=num+1;
num3:=num3+1;
if NF`(fint-1) then
ZZZ:=(fint-1) else
ZZこ:=NF;
until num3=2こZ;
XXX:=1;
erod;

```
```

if AAA>1 then
begin
BBE:=((AAA*(fint-1))-NF):
FFF:=(fint-1)-BBB;
if BEB=0 then
FFF:=(fint-1);
num4:=1;
num5:=0;
repeat
angle:=angle+ang;
num4:=num4+1;
repeat
num5:=num5+1;
angle:=angle+ang;
if num4=AAA then
CCC:=FFF else
ECC:=ifint-1);
until nums=CCC;
num5:=0;
until num4=AAA;
end;
if (NF=0) oi ( }X\timesX=0) the
num:=0;
if AGA>1 then
num1:=AAA-1;
if AAG>l then
num:=FFF;
repeat

```

```

reoert
if {numl=nof: and (factorvo) then
EEE:=1;
if (num=(fint-1)) and (EEE=0) then
begin
angle:=angle+ang:
num:=0;
num1:=num1+1;
end;
angle:=angle+ang;
R2:=IDT*scale;
x:=320+((D/2+DIAMO/2)*cos(angle))*200*5cale;
Y:=100+((D/2+DIAMO/C)*sin(angle))*B6*scale;
drawcircle(X,Y,RE);
num:=num+1;
if EEE=1 then
DDD:=fint+(factor-1) alse
DDD:=fint-1;
until num=DDD;
angle:=angle+ang;

```
```

    Tium:=0;
    until numl=NCP;
    end;
    if (NCP=O) and (NF=O) them
    begin
        angle:=0;
        repeat
                X:=32O+((D/2+DIAMO/2)*cos(angle))*2OO*scale;
                Y:=100+({D/2+DIAMO/2)*sin(angle))*8b*5cale;
                R2:=IDT*Scale;
                drawcircle(X,Y,R己);
                angle:=angle+ang;
            until angle>=こ*pi-ang;
    encd;
    if (NCP=O) قnd {NF>O) then
    begin
        angle:=0:
        repeat
            *:=320+((D/2+DIAMO/2)*=05(\existsngle))*2OO*5cale;
            Y:=100+((D/己+DIAMO/2)*sin(angle))*B6*scale;
            FC:=IDT*Scale;
            drawcircle(X,Y,R己);
            angle:=angle+ang;
            until angle;=e*pi-(NF*ang)-ang;
    end;
    end; (* procedure DRALSGCREEN *)
procedure FENLP:a,D:real;:

```

```

    al,bl:imteger:
    beg1%
Ei:=roln+\:a);
bl:=round(b):
¢tr(al:5,x:
str(bl:5,y):
command3:=`pu'+ + +', ''+y+';';
end;
procedure PLDTABSOLUTE(a,b:real):
var x,y:string[5]:
al,b1:imteger;
begin
a1:=round(a);
b1:=round(b);
str(a1:5,x);
str{b1:5,y);

```
```

    command1:='pa' + x+',''+y+':';
    end:

```
```

procedure PLOTCIRCLE(radius:real);

```
procedure PLOTCIRCLE(radius:real);
var r:string[5];
var r:string[5];
    radiusl:integer;
    radiusl:integer;
begin
begin
    radiusi:=round(radius);
    radiusi:=round(radius);
    str(radiusl:3,r);
    str(radiusl:3,r);
    commande:= 'ci''+r+'*,3;*;
    commande:= 'ci''+r+'*,3;*;
end;
```

end;

```
procedure DRAWPLOTTER;
var \(X, Y, R 1, R 2, R 3, R 4, R 5, S c a l e, a n g l e, ~ a n g l e 1, a n g, a n g 1: r e a l ;\)
    DIAMSPLBS, \(f, X 1, Y 1, f i n t, R S 1, f a c t o r, Z こ 己, A A, A A A, B B B, C C C, D D D: r e a l ;\)
    num, num1, num4, nums, EEE,FFF:real;
    number, nume, num3, \(X X X, Y Y Y: r e a l ;\)
    DIAM4O, DIAMIDSI, DIAMEO, DIAM1O, DIAMOO, DIAMETER1: real;
    DSPLES1, DIAM3O, DIAMSWABS1,DIAMAFLSPL1,DIAMSPLBS1:real;
    TITLE, TITLE1:strngSO;
    TITLE己: integer;
    TITLE3: reョl;
begin
        TITLE: \(=\) ";
    writelmi Please iriput title for plotter drawing - Max 50 characters
    writeln:
    readln(TITLEA):
    TITLE: = = LE' + TITLEL;
    TITLEE: =1 Eng+ワ!TITLEA: :
    TTLE3: =TITLEE*17弓:
    command \(1:=\) =';
    ■ommarad己: =":
    commara \(3:=\) ' ;
    与にale:=2500/DIAM+;
    anglel: \(=0\);
    angle: \(=0\) :
    ang: =2*pi/N;
    number: \(=0\);
        if NCPンo then
    begin
        \(f:=N / N C P ;\)
        fint: =int(f);
    end;
    write (aux, 'spl; ', "puo. o; ', 'pd;');
    write (aux, 'pa0,7650;','pa10900,7650;','pa10900,0;','pa0,0;','pu;');
    write (auk, ' ョi 0.3,0.43;');
    write (aux, 'sp3;', 'PU1000, 7250; ', TITLE, chr (3), 'pu;');
    write(aux,'si 0.19.0.27;');
    if TITLEヨン0 then
    begin
        write (aux,'spe;','pu1000,7150; ','pd;');
        \(X:=1000+\) TITLE3;
```

    Y:=7150
    PLOTABSOLUTE(x,y);
    write(aux,commandl);
    write(aux,'pu;','sp1;','pu3000,3825;');
    End
else
write\aux,'sp1;','pu3000,3825;');
DIAM40:=DIAM4*scale;
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAM4O);
write(aux,commande);
if SWA='N'' then
begin
DIAMIOS1:=DIAMIOS*Scale;
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAMIOS1);
write(aux,commande);
end;
DIAMEO:=DIAM2*Scale;
FLOTCIRCLE(DIAMEO);
write(aux,commandC);
DIAM10:=DIAM1*scale;
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAM1O);
write(aux,commande);
DIAMOO:=DIAMO*scale;
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAMOO);
write(aux,commande);
DIAMETER1:=DIAMETER*Scale;
PLOTCIRCLE(DIANETER1):
write(aux,commande);
DSPLBS1:=DSPLBS*Scale;
DIAM30:=DIAM3*Scale;
DIAMSWABS1:=DIAMSVFFBS\#scale:
DTAMAFLSPL]:= DIAMAPLSFLHS!こ!e;
D:AMGPLESI:=DIAMSPLES*EC\niIE;
if'{AFL=`N`' and (SPR=`Y') and 'SWA='N') then
\llcornerゃgin
FLOTCIFCLE(DSFLES1:;
write{aux, commande):
end;
if (APL='Y') and (SPL='Y') and (SWA='N') then
begin
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAM30);
write(aux,commande);
PLOTCIRCLE(DSPLBSI);
write(aux,commande);
end;
if (APL='N') and (SPL='N') and (SWA='Y') then
begin
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAMSWABS1);
write(aux,commande);
end;
if (APL='Y') and (SPL='N') and (SWA='Y') then
begin
PLOTCIRCLEE(DIAM3O);
write(aux,commande);
end;
if (APL='N') and (SPL='Y') and (SWA='Y') then

```
```

Degin
PLOTCIFCLE(DIAMSPLBSI);
write(au:,commandl);
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAM3O):
write(aux,command己);
end;
if (APL='Y') and (SPL='Y') and (SWA='Y'; then
begin
FLOTCIFCLE(DIAM3O);
write(aux,commandZ);
PLOTCIRCLE(DSPLBS1);
write(aux,command己);
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAMAPLSPLI);
write(aux,command己):
end;
if SWA='Y' then
begin
PLOTCIRCLE(DIAMSWABSL);
write(aux,commande);
end;
repeat
X:=3000+((D/2+DIAMO/己)*cos(angle))*scale*2;
Y:=3825+((D/2+DIAMO/己)*sir(angle))*scale*巳;
R1:=D*Scale;
PENUP( }X,Y)\mathrm{ ;
write(aux,command3);
PLOTCIRCLE(R1):
write(aux, commande);
angle:=arigle+ang;
delay(5000);
untul angle:=2*Fi-ang;
angle:=0;
ir (NCP=0) amo {NF=0; they
begin
angle:=0:
repeat
y:=30ल0+*(D/2+DIAMO/2:*ED(angle:)*scale*2;
Y:=3巴25+((D)(こ+DIAMO/巳)*54m(engle))*5cale*2;
R\:=IDT+Scale;
PENUP(x,y);
write(au%,command3);
PLOTEIRCLE(R2):
write{ヨux,commande\:
angle:=angle+ang:
until angle:=2\#pi-ang;
end;
if (NCF=O) and (NF>O) then
begin
angle:=2*pi;
repeat
x:=3000+((D/Z+DIAMO/2)*cos(angle))*scale*己;
Y:=3825+((D/2+DIAMO/Z)*sin(angle))*scale*2;
R2:=IDT*Scale;
PENUP(X,Y);

```
```

        write(aux,command马:;
        PLOTCIRCLE(R2);
        write(aux,commande);
        angle:=angle-ang;
    until angle<=(NF*ang)+ang;
    end;
if SWA='Y" then
begin
repeat
angl:=2*pi/ANSW;
X:=3000+((DIAMSWABS/2+DSW/ट)*cos(angle1))*scale*2;
Y:=3825+((DIAMSWABS/2+DSW/2)*5in(angle1))*scale*2;
R3:=DSW*Scale;
PENUP(X,Y);
write(aux,command3):
PLOTCIRCLE(R3);
write(auk,commande);
angle1:=angleltang1;
delay(10000);
urtil anglel>=2*pi-angl;
end;
if NCP.O then
begin
repeat
R4:=DCW*Scale;
R51:=((こ\#RTCI)+DCW)/己;
R5:=((2*RTCI)+DCW)*SCale;
x:=3000+((DIAM0/2+R51)*cos(angle))*scale*己;
v:=3825+((DIAMO/2+R51)*sin(angle))*scale*2;
X1:=3000+((DIAMO/2+(3*RS1))*cos(angle))*scale*2;
Y1:=3日25+((DIAMO/2+(3*R51))*sin(angle))*5cale*2;
FENLP ( }X,Y\mathrm{ Y);
wr j te(aux,command3);
FiDTCIFCLE(FS):
w!te\au!,rommatad?:
P_DTCIPCLE\R4:;
w! ite{まux, commande!;
PENUP(X1,Y1);
write(aux, commar.d3);
FIGTCIPCLE(RS):
write(aux.commande):
PLOTCIRCLE(F4;):
write(aux, commande);
number:=number+1;
angle:=angle+(fint*ang);
delay(10000);
until number=NCP;
end;
if NCP:O then
begin
angle:=0;
num:=0;
num1:=0:
nume:=0;
num3:=0;

```
```

пинו4:=0;
fartor:=(N-(fint*NCP);:
XXX:=0;
YYY:=0;
AA:=O;
AAF:=0;
EEE:=0;
if NF>0 then
begin
if NF>(fint-1) then
begin
AA:=NF/(fint-1);
AAA:= int (AA+0.95);
end;
repeat
angle:=angle+anc;
num:= num+1;
num3:=num3+1;
if NF:(fint-1) then
コここ:=(fimt-1) else
2ここ:=NF;
until mum3=2ここ;
xx}x:=1
end:
if AAA>1 them
begin
BBB:={(AAA*: fint-1))-NF);
FFF:=(fint-1)-BEB;
if BBB=0 then
FFF:=(firt...!):
,um公:=1:
唯多:=に;
「EpEat

```

```

            -10,m4: = =1um4+ + 1;
            repeat
                    mums:=num5+1;
                    angle:=angle+ang;
                    if num4=A,AA then
                    CCC:-FFF else
                    CCC:=(tint-i);
            untill num5=CCC;
            nums:=0;
    until mum4=AAA;
    enc:
if (NF=0) or ( }\times\times\timesX=0) the
num:=0;
if AAA>l them
num1:=AAA-1;
if AAA>1 then
num:=FFF;
repeat
num1:=num1+1;
repeat

```
```

if (numl=N[P) arid (factor`) then EEE:=1; if (num=(fint-1)) and (EEE=0) then begin             angle:=angle+ang;             num:=0;             nบm1:= num1+1; end; angle:=angle+ang; R2:=IDT*scale; X:=3000+((D/2+DIAMO/2)*cos(angle))*scale*2; Y:=3日25+((D/2+DIAMO/2)*sin(angle))*scale*2; PENUF(X,Y); write(aux,command3); PLOTCIRCLE(RC); write(aux,command己); num:=nLm+1: if EEE=i thien LDD:=fint+(factor-1) El今e DDD:=firit-1;     until num=DDD;     angle:=angle+ang;     num:=0;     until numl=NCP; end; if ID=`Y ther
begin
x:=3000;
Y:=(380S-D[AMEO):
PENUP(x,Y);
wnite(au:,Gommand3):

```

```

@ทの:
if RIP='y' then
Gegir
x:=3000+(DIf(120)+5).5):
Y:=3日05-- (DIAMCO*). 8660554; ;
PENIJP(
wi te(aux, commarad3);
write(aux,'Pd;',' аа 3000,3825,7,3;','pu;');
end;
end；
procedure LABELPLOTTER；
var diam4己，diam43，MED，x，y：real；
diam41，di am44，MBD1，TOTALM1，STRESS1：integer；
diam400，MED10O，TOTALM100，STRESS100，SMM1，SPLIOO：String［50］；
STRESS10：string［5］；
MBD 10，TOTALM10：string［3］；
d4：string［2］；
d41：string［1］；

```
```

beg1n
diam41:=trunc(diam4);
diam42:=diam4-diam41;
dam43:=diam42*10;
diam44:=round(diam43);
str(diam44:1,d41);
str(diam41:2,d4);
diam400:='lbCable Diameter '+d4+'.'+d41+'mm'+chr'(3);
write(aux,'sp3;','si0.15,0.23;','pu300,900;');
write(aux,diam400,'pu300,650;');
if (SWA='N') and (SPL='N') then
MBD:=12*DIAM4;
if (SPL='Y') and (SWA='N') then
MBD:=15*DIAM4;
if (SWA='Y') then
MBD:=2O*DIAM4;
MBD1:=round(MBD);
str(MBD1:3,MBD10);
MBD100:='lbMin Eend Diameter '+MBD10+'mm'+chr(3);
write{aux,MBD100,'pu300,400;';;
TOTALM1:=round(TOTALM);
str(TOTALM1:3,TOTALM1O);
TOTALM100:='lbCable Weight '+TOTALM10+'kgs/km'+chr(3);
write{\existsux,TOTALM100,'pu300,150:');
STRESS1:=,-ound(STRESS);
str(STRESS1:5,STRESS10);
STRESS100:='1bTEnSile Strength '+STRESS10+'N'+chr(3);
Nrite\aum.STRESS100,'pubst5, e.325;');

```

```

delay:200%%:
x:=0.065;
y:=6725;
y:=y-400;
PENUP ( }x,y\mathrm{ );
wा'1te(aux,command3);
write(aux,'lbPolyethyleme Sheath',chir(3));
If SWA='Y' then
begin
y:=y-400;
PENUP(x,y);
wilte(aux,command3);
write(aux,'lbGalvanized Steel Wire Armour', chr(3));
end;
if SPLBS='Y' then
begin
y:=y-400;
PENUP(x,y);
write(aux,command 3);
write(aux,'IbPolyethylene Bedding Sheath',chr(3));

```
```

Ena:
if SPL=`Y' then
begin
y:=y-400:
PENUP(x,y);
write(aux,command3);
SPL10O:='lbCorrugated Steel Plastic Laminate'+chr(3);
write(aux,SPL100);
end;
if (SWABS='Y') and (SPLBS='N') then
begin
y:=y-400;
PENUP( }x,y\mathrm{ );
write(aux,command3);
write(aux,'IbPolyethylene Bedding Sheath',chr(3)):
end;
If APL='Y' then
begin
y:=y-400;
PENUP(x,y);
write(aux,command3);
write(aux,'lbAluminium Plastic Laminate',chr(3));
end;
y:=y-400;
PENUP (x,y);
write(aux,commend3);
wrlte(aux,'lbPaper Tape",chr(3));
if NF>O then
begin
v:=v-400;
FCNEP{
w!ite(aux, commandき):

```

```

end;
delavi10000);
y:=y-400;
PENUP(x,y:;
write(aux,commandS):
if (SMM='STEEL"; or (SMM='steel*) then
SMM1:= 'lbSheathed Steel Central Strain Member'+chr(3) else
SMM1:='lbSheathed GRP Central Strain Member'+chr(3);
write(aux,SMMi):
if NCP>O then
begin
y:=y-400;
PENUP(x,y);
write(aux, command3);
write(aux,'lbTwisted Copper Pair',chr(3));
end;
y:=y-400;
PENUP(x,y);
write(aux,command3);
write(aux,'lbPolymer Tube',chr(3));
y:=y-400;

```
FENUF(:,Y);
write(aux,command3);
write(aux,'lbOptical Fibres",chr(3));
y:=y-400;
PENUP( }x,y\mathrm{ );
write(aux, command3);
write(aux,'1bWater Blocking Compound', chr(3));
if RIP='Y' then
begin
    y:=y-400;
    PENUP(x,y);
    write(aux,command3);
    write(aux, 'lbRipcord',chr(3));
end;
if ID='Y" then
begin
    y:=y-400;
            PENUP( }x,y\mathrm{ );
            wilite(aux,command3);
            Write(aux,'lbIdentification Tape',chr(3));
end;
end;
begin
```

```
(* main line *)
```

(* main line *)
initgraphic:
initgraphic:
READ:
READ:
clearscreen:
clearscreen:
setaspectiL.i);
setaspectiL.i);
drawborder;
drawborder;
DRAWGEREEN:
DRAWGEREEN:
rep=at until heypressed;
rep=at until heypressed;
íaveglaphic:
íaveglaphic:
clearscreer:
clearscreer:
write("DO you requ:re ق Ha`d Gopy on the plotter (Y/N: ? ? ;     write("DO you requ:re ق Ha`d Gopy on the plotter (Y/N: ? ? ;
readln(PL_OT):
readln(PL_OT):
clearscreen;
clearscreen;
if (PLOT='Y') or (PLDT='y') then
if (PLOT='Y') or (PLDT='y') then
begin
begin
repEat
repEat
write('Do you require a labelled diagram (Y/N) ? ')
write('Do you require a labelled diagram (Y/N) ? ')
readln(LABELPLOT);
readln(LABELPLOT);
clearscreen;
clearscreen;
DRAWPLOTTER;
DRAWPLOTTER;
if (LABELPLOT='Y') Or (LABELPLDT='Y') then
if (LABELPLOT='Y') Or (LABELPLDT='Y') then
LABELPLOTTER;
LABELPLOTTER;
clearscreen;
clearscreen;
write('Do you r"equire another plot (Y/N) ? ');
write('Do you r"equire another plot (Y/N) ? ');
readln(RPT);
readln(RPT);
if (RPT='Y') or (RPT='Y') then
if (RPT='Y') or (RPT='Y') then
begin
begin
RPTL:='Y';
RPTL:='Y';
writeln('Please insert another sheet of paper');
writeln('Please insert another sheet of paper');
writeln;

```
                writeln;
```

```
                        writeln;
                        end
                        else RPT1:='N':
        until RPT1='N';
    enc;
    end. (* main line *)
```

C)

## APPENDIX

Loose Tube Cable - Advanced Design Rules Program
program TUBE；
const pi＝3．141592654；
var K4，M，C，T己，S2，T3：array［1．．20］of real；
T5，U，L，K1，D4， $25, E, D 1, D 2, L 1, D 3, A 3, T 6, A 4, X, T 7, F M, D 10: r e a l ;$ $21, K 3,22,55,56,25, Q Q, 23,24, L 2, R R, S 5, T 8:$ real；
j，i，N，zzz，xxx：integer；
procedure LOADARRAYS；
begin
$k 4[1]:=1$ ；
K4［2］：＝1．2；
K4［3］：＝1．87；
K4［4］：＝2．06；
K4［5］：＝2．25；
K4［6］：＝2．44；
K4［7］：＝e．62；
K4［8］：＝2．81；
$k 4[9]:=3.0$ ；
K4［10］：＝3．19；
Te［1］：＝0；Se［1］：＝0；
$T$ T2［2］：＝0．1207；S2［2］：＝0．00057；
T2［3］：＝0．2149；S2［3］：＝0．00077；
T2［4］：＝0．3626；S2［4］：＝0．00090；
T2［5］：＝0．4833；S2［5］：＝0．001；
T2［6］：＝0．6039；52［6］：＝0．0011；
T2［7］：＝0．7251；S2［7］：＝0．00117；
T2［8］：＝0．845日；52［8］：＝0．00123；
T2［9］：＝0．9665；52［9］：＝0．00128；
T分［10］：＝1．4498；52［10］：＝0．0015；
$7:=1!1]:-4.8325 ; 52[11]:=0.0025 ;$
end；

```
procedure INFUT;
begin
    clrser;
    write('Enter TubE Measurement Tension (N) ? ;);readln(T5);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Fibre Measurement Tension (N) ? ");readln(U);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Tube Length Measured (mm) ? ');readln(L);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Fibre Diameter (mm) ? ');readln(D4);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Maximum Allowed Fibre Strain (fract) ? ');readln(Z5);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Diameter of Strength Member (mm) ? ');readln(D1O);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Strength Member Modulus (N/mm'2) ? ');readln(E);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Diameter of Sheathed Centre (mm) ? ');readln(D1);
    writeln;
    write('Enter Tube Duter Diameter (mxvm) ? ');readln(D2);
```

writeln：
write（＇Enter Tube Inmer Diameter（mm）？＇）：readin（DЗ）；
writeln；
write（＇Enter Number of Fibres per Tube？＇）；readln（N）；
writeln；
write（＇Enter Laylength at Stranding（mm）？＇）；readln（Li）；
writeln：
write（＇Enter Tube Stranding Tension（N）？＇）；readln（TG）；
writeln；
write（＇Enter Cable Stranding Tension（N）？；；eadln（T7）； writeln；
write（＇Enter Fibre Excess（mm）？＇）ireadln（X）；
writeln；
end：

```
Pr゙ocedure CALCS;
begin
    23:=22*(55+1)/(56+1);
        こ4:=21*こ3;
        L2:=5qr-t((sqr-(24*Z5*L1))-(sqr (K3))):
        RR:=1+(T7/(E*A4));
        SS:=L己*RR/L1;
        TB:=(S5-1)*E*A4;
        T8:=int(T8):
end;
```

procedure CAl_L! ATE;
begir
t. 1: = 58.4 :
A3: = С. $130:$
A4: ==(5ar (D10/2i*pi):
$\vec{Z} 1:=(5 q r+((5 q r(p i *(D 1+D P)))+5 q r(L 1))) / L 1$;
$f: 3:=D i *(D 1+D E-[3+((2 * K \cdot 4[1]-1) * D 4)) ;$
こ2: $=(L+X) /(L *(1+U / K 1))$;
for i:=1 to 11 do
begin
$T 3[i]:=T 2[i] * A B ;$
ernd:
for i: $=1$ to 10 do
begin
$M[i]:=(T 3[i+1]-T 3[i]) /(S 2[i+1]-S C[i]) ;$
$C[i]:=T \exists[i]-(M[i] * S 2[i]) ;$
end:
zマコ: = ○;
$x \times x:=0$;
j: $=10$;
repeat
if TS>T3[j] then
$z z z:=1$;
$j:=j-1$;
if $j=0$ then
$x \times x:=1$;

```
    uritil (zzz=1) or (x&:%=1);
    S5:=(TS-C[j])/M[j];
    zこz:=0;
    xxx:=0;
    j:=10;
    repeat
        if T6>T3[j] then
        zzz:=1;
        j:= j-1;
        if j=0 then
        xxx:=1;
    until (zzz=1) or (xxx=1);
    S6:=(Tb-[[[j])/M[j];
    ZS:=((S5+1)*こ己)-1;
    if こSy=So then
    CALCS else
    begin
        zzz:=0;
        <xx:=0;
        j:=10;
        repeat
                S6:=(T6-C[j]+(N*K1*ZS))/(N*K1+M[j]);
                QQ:=M[j]*S6+C[j];
                if QG>T3[j] then
                zzz:=1;
                j:= j-1:
                if j=0 then
                xx:x:=1;
        unti] (z=z=1) or (x:x=1);
        CALCS:
    end;
end:
```

procedure PRINT;
begin
writeln;

writeln!' ' Maximum Allowed Cable Tension = ', TG:6:0,' Newtons :');

writeln;
end;
begin
LOADARRAYS;
INPUT;
callculate;
PRINT;
end．

## APPENDIX <br> $F$

Computer Hardware Configuration


```
1. 1BM PC XI Personal Computer
The Personal Computer available has the following modifications/additions:
```

640K internal memory Dual 360K Floppy disk drives IBM Colour/Graphics card Colour Terminal llard Disk controller board Tape streamer controller board

## 2. Hard Disk

The hard disk system used is an external 10 Mb disk by Sigma Designs. The disk is linked to the controller board located within the computer.

## 3. Tape Streamer

The tape streamer is an external tape backup unit by Sysgen. The Sysgen Image subsystem was designed to create magnetic tape backup for the IBM Personal Computer using PC-DOS. Backing up files with this system will allow quick and easy restorage of large files on disk should a catastrophic failure occur when working with active files. In addition, the Sysgen Image subsystem allows the transfer of large data files between two IBM Personal Computers equipped with the Sysgen Image sybsystem.

The Sysgen Image subsystem contains an 11 - megabyte (formatted) magnetic tape cassette that allows tape-to-disk or disk-to-tape transfers at speeds of over 2.5 million bytes per minute. The user can choose to transfer data by selecting certain files or can backup an entire disk volume on a single cassette.

The Sysgen unit is connected to the lape Streamer controller board located within the computer.

## 4. 8 Pen Plotter

The plotter used is a Hewlett-Packard ColorPro 8 pen plotter. It is connected to the serial RS-232 port of the IBM computer. Details regarding Baud rate options, Parity options and the Plotter interface connector are given overleaf.

An addition to the plotter is a Graphics Enhancement Cartridge. It is a simple add-on unit which plugs into a purpose built slot on the base of the plotter. It is required to upgrade the plotter so that it accepts certain graphics commands, and also to increase the input buffer up to 1024 bytes.
Plotter Interface Connector
The plotter's RS-232-C connector is a standard DB-25 female connector. The following table provides a listing of the interface pin allocations.

| RS-232-C/CCITT V.24 Pin Allocations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wire/Signal <br> Name | Pin \# | AS-232-C | CCITT V.24 |
| Protective <br> Ground <br> Transmitted <br> Data <br> Received <br> Data <br> Request to <br> Send | 1 | AA | 101 |
| Clear to <br> Send | 2 | BA | 103 |
| Data Set <br> Ready | 5 | BB | 104 |
| Signal <br> Ground | 7 | CA | 105 |
| Data Carrier <br> Detect | 8 | CB | 106 |
| Data Terminal <br> Ready | 20 | CF | 107 |

## RS-232-C/CCITT V. 24 Information

Baud rate is selected using the B1, B2, B3, and B4 baud rate switches on the plotter's rear panel. The following table lists the possible baud rates, along with their related switch settings. The plotter is configured to automatically verify and generate one or two stop bits, depending on the setting of the baud rate switches.

| Baud Rate | Switct Settings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Stop Bit |  |  |  | 2 Stop Bits |  |  |  |
|  | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 |
| 75 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 150 | 1 | . 1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| 300 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 600 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1200 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4800 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9600 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Parity Options

Parity is selected using the $\mathbf{s} 1$ and $\mathbf{s 2}$ parity switches on the rear panel. The following table provides a list of parity switch settings. Parity Switch Settings

| Parity | Switch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Settings |  |
| none | S2 |  |
| even | 0 | 0 or $1^{*}$ |
| odd | 1 | 0 |

*Setting S 2 to 0 sets parity bits sent
Setting S2 to 0 sets parity bits sent; to 1 (mark parity).

## 5. Dot-Matrix Printer

The printer used is an IBM Proprinter. This printer used is an IBM Proprinter. This dot-matrix uses a print head with nine pins (wires). The nine wires, under control of the printer, print a group of dots to form each character. For near-letter quality (NLQ) printing, the dots print closer together.

The print head takes the shortest path for the next character to print. The proprinter can print up to 200 characters per second (CPS) at a paper feed rate of 86.4 mm per second.

The printer is connected to the parallel port of the computer. The interface is shown overleaf.

## Parallel Interface

Use the following chart to determine the connections your printer uses.


APPENDIX G

Batch file to sequentially execute cable design package

```
I\becauseFE CFYSTAL.BGT
```

```
ECHO OFF
ERASE CABLE.ASC.
CD ..
BASIC INLO
CD CRYSTAL
CRASC /AZOO CABLE
IF NOT EXIST CABLE.ASE GOTO CONTINJE
CD C:\DOS
MOLE COM1:96,N,\because,1
CD C:\CRYSTAL
COFY CABLE.ASC C:\TURBG\GRAPHIX
CD C: \TUFRO\GRAPHIX
COPY CABLE.ASC CABLE.BAK
PLOT
CLS
ERASE CABLE.AEC
ECHO ON
CD C:\CRYSTAL
ERASE CABLE.ASC
CLS
:CONTINUE
C>
```


## APPENDIX

Variation of cut-off wavelength with length

## Variation of Fibre Cut-off Wavelength with Length

## 1. Introduction

The current British Telecom (BT) specification for singlemode fibre (CW1505), requires that the cut-off wavelength shall lie in the region 1120 to 1250 nm , this is at variance with the CCITT recommendation G652 of 1100 to 1280 nm . The data which follows will show that the effective cut off wavelength of a fibre will drop between 16 and 29 nanometres within the first 10 m of propagation. This effect does not take account of any decrement due to cabling.
2. Experimental Procedure

Eight fibres were chosen to have a cut-off wavelength at either end within 20 nm . (Due to the taper effect of the MCVD process, thia variation will have decreased to that of the measurement error within 2 km of the start end). The effective cut-off of these fibres were measured using the wavelength cutoff method. This method has been correlated with the BT reference technique. These fibres were then cut in half and remeasured, with the process repeated until 3 m of fibre remained. (At each cutting process the 'start'end of the fibre was removed so that the most linear fibre remained).

The effective cut-off wavelength plotted against log length of the eight fibres tested are shown in the following graphs.
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## 3. Results

The tabulated results are shown overleaf, the decrement per decade for each fibre is shown below.

1. 16.7 nm
2. $\quad 20.5 \mathrm{~nm}$
3. $\quad 18.0 \mathrm{~nm}$
4. 21.0 nm
5. 29.7 nm
6. 18.0 nm
7. 18.1 nm

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{x}=20.56 \mathrm{~nm} \\
\sigma \mathrm{n}-1=4.17 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{array}
$$

Over 100 metres of fibre, the cut-off would therefore have dropped by approximately 40 nm . The mean drop in cutoff (expressed as a log) is plotted against $\log$ of length, and the resulting relationship is:

$$
\log (\delta \lambda \operatorname{co})=0.3846 \log (L)+0.4337
$$

for $L \geqslant 10$ metres.

## 4. Conclusions

The effective cut-off wavelength as measured on long fibre lengths has a value up to $29 \mathrm{~nm} /$ decade less than the unit value (reference test on 3 m sample). The minimum decrement/decade was 16.7 nm .

From the above data there is $99 \%$ confidence that a fibre's effective cut-off will be 8.1 nm below that of the 3 metre measurement, within the first 10 metres.

| Fibre no: | 47000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length |  | effective cut off | Change |  |
| 3 | 0.4771 | 1211 |  |  |
| 10 | 1.0000 | 1198 |  | 13 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1189 |  | 11 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1200 | 21 |  |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1190 | 19 |  |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1192 | 38 |  |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1173 | 33 |  |
| 700 | 2.845 | 1178 | 51 |  |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1160 |  | 61 |

Fibre no: 49000

| 3 | 0.4771 | 1203 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1202 | 1 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1199 | 2 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1201 | 6 |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1197 | 12 |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1191 | 21 |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1182 | 28 |
| 700 | 2.845 | 1175 | 42 |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1161 | 56 |

Fibre no: 41000

| 3 | 0.4771 | 1184 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1192 | -8 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1191 | -7 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1181 | 3 |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1180 | 4 |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1168 | 16 |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1159 | 25 |
| 700 | 2.845 | 1165 | 19 |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1154 | 30 |
| 2475 | 3.394 | 1137 | 47 |

Fibre no: 48000

| 3 | 0.477 | 1189 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1171 | 18 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1178 | 11 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1170 | 19 |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1175 | 14 |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1165 | 24 |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1165 | 24 |
| 700 | 2.845 | 1155 | 34 |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1144 | 45 |
| 3000 | 3.4771 | 1134 | 55 |
| 6000 | 3.778 | 1125 | 64 |
| 8288 | 3.9184 | 1124 | 65 |


| Fibre no: Length | 44000 | effective cut off | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.4771 | 1269 |  |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1251 | 18 |
| 21 | 1.3222 | 1249 | 20 |
| 100 | 2.000 | 1259 | 10 |
| 200 | 2.301 | 1230 | 39 |
| 300 | 2.4771 | 1230 | 39 |
| 500 | 2.699 | 1223 | 46 |
| 1000 | 3.000 | 1215 | 54 |
| 2000 | 3.301 | 1207 | 62 |
| 3000 | 3.4771 | 1206 | 63 |
| 6000 | 3.7781 | 1187 | 82 |
| Fibre no: | 42000 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.4771 | 1202 |  |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1204 | -2 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1188 | 14 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1188 | 14 |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1181 | 21 |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1180 | 22 |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1176 | 26 |
| 700 | 2.845 | 1160 | 42 |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1151 | 57 |
| 3000 | 3.4771 | 1147 | 55 |
| 4043 | 3.607 | 1144 | 58 |
| 9602 | 3.982 | 1134 | 68 |

Fibre: 46000

| 3 | 0.771 | 1184 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1176 | 8 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1175 | 9 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1166 | 8 |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1176 | 18 |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1166 | 23 |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1161 | 44 |
| 700 | 2.845 | 1140 | 85 |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1099 | 94 |

Fibre no: 45000

| 3 | 0.4771 | 1190 | 12 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1178 | 7 |
| 20 | 1.301 | 1183 | 15 |
| 50 | 1.699 | 1175 | 16 |
| 90 | 1.954 | 1174 | 24 |
| 175 | 2.243 | 1166 | 30 |
| 350 | 2.544 | 1160 | 34 |
| 750 | 2.875 | 1156 | 52 |
| 1500 | 3.176 | 1138 | 60 |
| 3000 | 3.4771 | 1130 | 70 |
| 3695 | 3.568 | 1120 |  |
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## ABSTRACT

The paper describes the development of an expert system to design Loose Tube optical fibre cables. It shows its advantages both in tems of financial gains and as a training tool.

## 1. INTRODUCIION

STC Cable Products Division has been engaged in the manufacture of optical fibre cables for four years. Over the past year the demand for optic cables has increased dramatically, thus placing a great burden on engineers to quickly produce new designs for specific applications. This has highlighted the need for some form of computer program to harness the knowledge and experience of cable design built up over this period. Such a program would automate the standard design procedure, thus freeing engineers to concentrate on future developments.

The expertise required in the design of optical caves is a mixture of geometrical mathematics, knowledge of materials, and practical experience. To incorporate these three areas in a computer program using conventional software would be very laborious, in terns of emboding the various design rules used in cable design. Therefore clearly, another solution is needed, one which would allow the basic construction of such a program and then allow quick and efficient entry of additional information.

An expert system approach seemed to offer most pronise in that engineers experience and heuristic design principles could be embodied within a computer program in a straight forward manner. Rather than write an inference engine from scratch, a proprietary expert system builder, or "shell" was enployed, namely Crystal by Intelligent Environments, a package written in the ' $C$ ' language (1). It proved very flexible since each rule can be reduced to a hierarchy of sub-rules, an essential requirement in the design of optical fibre cables.

## 2. BACKGROUND

Optical fibres (2) are inherently brittle and fragile but have to be made into cable that will withstand installation and the often hostile environments in which they must operate reliably. Working environments that have been met range from the almost constant $2-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of the sea bed for
deep-sea submarine cables to rapid changes fran -55 C to +155 C for some avionic applications; from normal pressures up to $70 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}$; from ambient air to hot corrosive liquids. The number of fibres in a cable may vary from one in rack wiring to several thousand in distribution cables now being proposed. The cable may be all-dielectric containing only fibres or may incorporate numerous conductors or other more camplex elements.

Such diversity can only be met with a wide variety of cable designs and the state-of-the-art is such that even same straightforward applications can be met by several alternative designs. However, all optical fibre cables can be judged by their success in meeting two basic criteria. First, they must not significantly degrade the transmission properties of the fibre and second, they must maintain the integrity of the fibre during manufacture, installation and service.

The cabling engineer therefore has two main tasks:-
(i) to minimise optical attenuation increments associated with manufacture and use of cables, and
(ii) to maintain the physical integrity of the fibre during the cabling process and in service.

The main type of optical cable manufactured by S'IC Cable products Division is of the Loose Tube variety, with over $90 \%$ of the total output being of this kind. For this reason it was decided to concentrate on developing an expert system to aid the design of cables of this type.

## 3. DESIGN PROCEDURES

Loose Tube cables consist of either single or multiple fibres loosely contained within tubes which nay be filled with a special compound to prevent the ingress and transportation of gases and liquids. These tubes are laid around a central strength member, wrapped with tape and then sheathed to form a cable. The cable interstices may also be filled with special campound to provide a genuinely fully filled cable.

Cable variants to suit custoners specifications include steel or dielectric central strain members, aluminiun moisture barrier, steel tape and/or wire armouring, polyethylene, PVC or low fire risk sheathing campounds. Copper pairs may also be incorporated.

Figure $l$ shows an annoured cable as used in the trunk network and installed buried beneath the ground. This particular design incorporates 12 optical fibres, with two fibres located in each tube. The cable consists of a strength member of campacted steel strand, coated with low density polyethylene. Six tubes and four fillers are stranded helically around the central member and wrapped with paper tape. The tubes are of extruded polybutylteraphthelate, whilst the fillers are of extruded polyethylene. An aluminium/plastic laminated moisture barrier is applied over the whole cable. To provide the crush resistant anmouring required by buried cables, galvanised steel wires are stranded over the inner sheath. A final outer sheath is then extruded over the anmour.

## 4. EXPERT SYSTEM LMPLEMENIATION

The expert system must handle routine mathematical calculations from a cable design specification, together with a sequence of erpirical design decisions that influence the final construction of the cable. A user, not necessarily a fibre optic cable designer is expected to work interactively with the Crystal generated expert system.

The method of designing cables is to fit the required number of tubes and copper pairs around a central member. Then, to apply layers of certain materials which act as protection against all hazards. The cable strength is determined by the size of central member, or the number of galvanised steel wires if the cable is so armoured. The strength of cables is usually quoted by their strength to weight ratio. Cables armoured with galvanised wire are dramatically heavier than those without, but their strength to weight ratio is maintained at a high level, due to the added tensile strength of the amouring itself. Therefore cables with galvanised steel wire armouring rarely require fillers in between the tubes and/or copper pairs to expand the size of central member.

Unarmoured cables do not follow the same pattern and often require one or more fillers amongst the tubes and/or coppers. Larger central members are permissible therefore, to strengthen the cable. Doing this results in an increase in both the tensile strength and weight of the cable. The net effect on the cable's strength to weight ratio is only a minor change. There will be a slight increase however, since the strength of steel or GRP increases proportionally to the square of its radius. An indefinite number of fillers cannot be added since the cost of the cable would rise accordingly, also its dianeter may be out of specification especially if the cable were to be installed in ducts where space is a major constraint.

Therefore there is a fine discrimination drawn between cables which pass or fail a particular specification. It is not uncommon for an engineer to re-iterate a design three or four times for different central member dimensions.

An expert system to perform the equivalent function required a total of 680 rules. The camplete implementation from leaming Crystal to training system users took approximately 6 months. Much of the time was spent collating cable design aspects fron records and design staff. As expected, several conflicting viewpoints on certain 'grey areas' were apparent. Thus a number of 'best approach' decisions were taken before incorporating these rules within the knowledge base.

The expert system was written so that interaction with the user led to the progressive solution of rules. The questions asked were designed to allow a non-technical user to run the package. Obviously same expertise in cable requirements and materials is necessary, but all the mathematical calculations are performed by the system. The output of results is a three page description of the cable requirements in terms of weights and dimensions, proceeded by the title and sumary sheet (Fig. 2).

A cross-sectional view of the finished cable design must accompany all specifications issued by the fibre optic cable section, traditionally drawn by hand with stencils and compasses. Crystal itself is not capable of producing a graphical plot of the cable. A data transfer utility was thus
used to input cable dimensions to a program written in Iurbo Pascal which generated, on a plotter, a fully labelled cross-sectional diagram of the cable designed (Fig. 3).

## 5. OPERATIONAL ASPECTS/BENEFITS

Running Crystal takes approximately five minutes. This compares with anything up to three hours for a manual design. In addition, a fully labelled diagram on the plotter may take another five minutes, whilst to physically draw and label a diagram can take two hours. Therefore resulting in a total time difference of ten minutes against five hours (i.e. 4 hrs 50 mins).

With a total of three design engineers in the department, each performing approximately one design per day, there is therefore a total time saving of 14 hours/day where those engineers can be working on development projects etc. If an engineers time is measured at e20/hour (salary and overheads) the cost saving per day is $E 290$, which amount to £72,500 per year.

As previously mentioned it is possible for a non-technical person, with a broad idea of his requirements, to use the system and obtain a valid design. The system could therefore be used to quickly educate new engineers within the department on the basic principles of cable design and their configurations. They could quickly learn how the variation of one or more parameters affects the cable. This procedure without the expert system could take several weeks.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

The expert system was successfully implenented and has now been fully operational for six months. It is recognised as an invaluable design tool which relieves engineers from laborious, repetetive, time consuming calculations. In the future, no doubt new concepts and materials will have to be added to the expert system to keep it in line with technological advances. In addition to this it is envisaged to extend the scope of the expert system to embrace the parameters that affect the manufacture of the fibre itself. The flexibility of Crystal allows this with minimal effort, thus giving STC a system of designing Loose Tube optical fibre cables for the duration of current telecomunications technology.
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2.5 Bend Characteristics of Fibres Manufactured by CVD, OVD and VAD Techniques<br>J.L.L. Roberts, H.A.T. Summers, S.R. Barnes, STC Telecommunications, Newport, Gwent, UK

ABSTRACT

Qptical fibres manufactured by CVD, OVD and VAD techniques are noticeably different in terms of their index profiles as a consequence of the variations in processing techniques. This difference leads to significantly differing micro and macro bending characteristics for nominally similar ESI normalised frequency (V-number) values. This paper describes macro bending tests carried out on each type of fibre, with resulting bend performances compared and related to the fibre refractive index profiles.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Optical fibre cables have many advantages over coaxial and copper paired cables. These include light weight, small diameter, and excellent transmission characteristics. However, optical waveguides are sensitive to mechanical and environmental influences, and their conservation is a major challenge in cabling. The main cable type manufactured by STC is of Loose Tube construction. These cables consist of either single or multiple fibres loosely contained within tubes. The tubes are then laid around a central strength member, wrapped with tape and then sheathed to form a cable. Longitudinal freedom and cushioning within the tubes ensures the fibres are protected against stresses applied to the cables during handling and installation operations.

The degree of longitudinal freedom depends on the amount of excess fibre placed within the tubes during manufacture. Fibres located in tubes follow a helical path (1) with a greater excess resulting in smaller bend diameters, i.e. more helical revolutions per unit distance. The actual bend diameter experienced by a fibre in a tube, which is subsequently hel ically laid around a central member (fibre is in a double helix) depends upon several parameters, e.g. central member diameter, tube inner and outer diameters, fibre diameter etc. The calculation of this bend diameter is a complex integral (2) whose solution relies on detailed knowledge of fibre and cable dimensions.

It is an inherent feature within the majority of optical fibres that when they are bent beyond a critical radius, light is radiated from the cladding thus causing a significant power reduction. The value of the critical radius depends upon the
dimensions and shape of the fibre refractive index profile, which itself depends on the fibre manufacturing process.

It is essential to be able to fully characterise the performance of optical fibres when curved under cabled conditions. With this knowledge the cable design can be optimised so that bend radii which induce losses greater than a pre-defined limit can be removed. To manufacture a fibre of particular index profile, the type of process involved is a major influence. It is also important to note that the three major manufacturing processes CVD, OVD and VAD all contribute a particular design quirk to the index profile, i.e. central dip in CVD process, ill defined boundary at core-clad interface in OVD and VAD processes.

The macro bend tests were carried out on each of the fibre types; in an attempt to find out which fibre manufacturing process gives the best optical waveguide in terms of bend performance. The ultimate objective of the study was to empirically derive a relationship between fibre bend performance and refractive index profile, to increase the yield of optical fibre cables from failures due to bend loss.

## 2. MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT/TECHNIQUES

## 2.1

Where possible measurement techniques compatible with CCITT Recommendations G652 (Red Book, Oct.84) were used. The equipment repeatability has been fully characterised and so a tolerance has been stated for each parameter, this is of particular sigmificance in the case of the Refractive index profile where "state of the art" measurement equipment does not have as high a resolution as would be ideal.
2.2

| Technique | Parameters | Tolerance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fibre refractive <br> index by the | Core diameter <br> refractive near <br> field technique | Core delta N. <br> cladding level |
| Mode cut off <br> wavelength | LPll cut off <br> wavelength | 0.053 um |


| Technigue (Cont'd.) | Parameters | Tolerance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode field radius by far | Dianeter of nonmalised | . 058 um |
| field technique. | field ¢ 1/e |  |
| Attenuation | Increment due to macrobending ( 1300 nm \& 1550 nm | 0.009 dB |
|  |  | 0.007 dB |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3

The first three techniques mentioned are standard practice for fibre manufacturers and as such are well documented. With regard to the macrobending, however, although the "cut back" attenuation equipment is widely used and documented, the measurement of macrobending performance is very dependent upon technique and conditions, and so a brief description is warranted.


## 2.4

A 6 metre length of fibre is set up on a standard spectral attenuation rig (Fig. 2.1) - care being taken to ensure that the minimum bend radius seen by the fibre is less than 150 mm . Under these conditions a reference power level is taken at the wavelength of interest, or a scan across a wavelength window. Controlled bends are then introduced into the sample; a range of stainless steel polished mandrels are used for this, with radii ranging from 5 mm to 30 mm . Tension is kept to a minimum to ensure that microbending effects do not effect the results. It is estimated that the tension during winding is below 30 grarmes. Under these conditions a second power reading is taken (or spectral scan), the macrobending loss being defined as:

Macrobending loss $(\mathrm{dB}(\lambda))=10 \log _{10} \frac{\mathrm{P} 1}{\mathrm{P} 2}(\lambda)$

## 3. CHARACTERISTICS OF CVD, OVD AND VAD FIBRES

### 3.1 Fabrication Processes

The underlying mechanism for all vapor-phase fibre fabrication techniques can be summarised as:
i) Generation of a gas phase flow of source corpound.
ii) Direction of that flow to a zone where oxidation occurs.
iii) Deposition of the resultant oxides onto a substrate to produce a solid preform.
iv) Subsequent drawing of the preform into fibre.

Vapor - phase techniques can be broken down into two major categories: "inside" processes and "outside" processes. These labels refer to the physical relationship of the reactant - vapor stream to the substrate ( 3 ).

### 3.1.1 Outside Processes

The term OVD (outside vapor deposition) refers to the process whereby the reactant gas stream is fed into a fuel gas - oxygen burner, generating a stream of extremely minute glass spheres which is directed towards a rotating and traversing mandrel. The spheres collect on the target rod in a partially sintered state, forming a cylindrical preform. By programing the reactant gas stream composition appropriately the waveguide structure (core/clad ratio, refractive index profile) is built radially, layer by layer, into the soot preform. After deposition is complete, the target rod is removed and the preform is consolidated into a clear, bubble-free glass preform. Finally the preform is slowly fed into an induction or resistance furnace at approximately 2000 degrees C and waveguide fibre is drawn from its bottom end.

A modification of the OVD method is the vapor-axial deposition (VAD) process. The key difference between the two methods is that the growth of the VAD preform is in the axial direction rather than in the radial direction.

### 3.1.2 Inside Processes

Inside vapor deposition refers to the class of processes (MCVD, PCVD etc), whereby glass deposition occurs on the inside of a tube rather than on the outside surface of a substrate. A rotating fused silica tube is heated to about 1400 degrees C by a torch which traverses the tube's length. The reactant-gas mixture is injected into the tube, and soot formed by thermal oxidation, is deposited downstream of the burner. The moving burner sinters the newly deposited soot layer into a clear glassy film. Each pass of the burner causes a new layer of glass to be deposited and, as in the OVD process, by changing the composition of the reactant-gas stream, the desired preform structure is built up, layer by layer. The tube and deposit are then collapsed at high terperature, into a solid glass preform, from which fibre is drawn.

### 3.2 Refractive Index Profile Shapes

The refractive index profile shape of fibres manufactured by the CVD process is markedly different from those manufactured by the OVD and VAD processes, which are themselves naminally similar. A major difference between the CVD and OVD/VAD processes is the ability of CVD to produce under controlled conditions either a matched or depressed cladding fibre.

Differences in profile shape include the central dip seen in CVU iiores (Figs 3.1, 3.2) due to the depletion of dopant during preform collapse. Also with OVD and VAD fibres, the ill defined boundary seen at the core-clad interface, characterised by a slight grading of the index in that region, (Fig. 3.3). This is due to the diffusion of dopant from the core under high tenperatures during the
3.2 (Cont'd)
sintering process. This diffusion does not occur with the CVD process since the glass deposition occurs layer by layer, whereby each layer is fused before the next is applied. The OVD and VAD processes deposit all of the glass as a soot, where diffusion can occur before the glass is finally fused.



REFRGCTIVE index prorile

4. RESULTS

The macrobend characteristics of CVD, OVD and VAD fibres were only investigated at the two operating wavelengths, 1300 @ 1550 nm . (Figs. 4.1, 4.2). Both graphs were plotted on a log-linear scale so that the loss region below ldB was expanded to show the break points between two obvious regions, hence showing that the loss mechanisms are bi-functional. The relationship between loss and bend diameter for each of the fibre types tested, was found to be linear in each respective region, but the gradients of the lines in all cases was greater in the low bend diameter region.


Fig. 4.1 Macrobend Characteristics of CVD, OVD and VAD Fibres at 1300 nm ( 10 Turns)


Fig. 4.2 Macrobend Characteristics of CVD, OVD and VAD Fibres at 1550 mm ( 10 Turns)

For CVD fibres (both matched and depressed cladding), the second region, i.e. higher diameters, show 1300 nm losses approaching that of experimental error. This suggests that the accuracy of the gradients are in doubt. However, the existence of this second region is confirmed by the 1550 nm graph which shows significantly greater losses.

From both graphs it is clear that CVD (Depressed cladding) fibre is most bend insensitive, followed by CVD (matched cladding), OVD and VAD respectively.

It should be noted that 20 samples of each fibre were tested, with each type being nominally $8 / 125 \mathrm{um}$ fibre, step index germanium doped cores, coated up to 250 um with a UV cureable urethane acrylate (doube and single layer).

In addition to the macrobend tests, each fibre was refractive index profiled and measured for spot size and cut-off. Since mode field radius and cut off wavelength tend to be indicators of bend performance, an attempt has been made to use fibres with similar values although the CVD depressed fibre had significantly higher cut off values. The distributions are shown in Table 4.1.

| Fibre Type |  | Mode Field Radius |  |  | Mode Cut off <br> Wavelength |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mean | Std.dev. | mean | Std.dev. |  |  |
| CVD (DC) | 4.48 | 0.06 | 1253 | 23 |  |  |
| CVD (MC) | 4.86 | 0.13 | 1171 | 26 |  |  |
| OVD | 5.14 | $0.0 B$ | 1173 | 29 |  |  |
| VAD | 5.05 | 0.05 | 1204 | 19 |  |  |

Table 4.1 Paraneter Distribution for Fibre Samples

## 5. ANALYSIS

This analysis attermets to provide simplified solutions to the fundamental fibre propogation characteristics by firstly, characterising the profile using an equivalent step index (ESI) algorithm and then using the ESI parameters (a and delta $n$ ) to define a value for the propogation velocity constant (V).

The ESI profile is defined:
$M n=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(n^{2}(r)-n^{2}(a)\right) r^{n} d r$
and the propogation velocity constant $v$ :
20.5
$V=\frac{2 \pi a(E S I)(n I(E S I)-n 2)}{\lambda}$
The propogation velocity constant is a single parameter which is dependant upon the two basic fibre design criteria, core radius and core/cladding refractive index difference. $V$ can now be used to characterise parameters such as Wo, $\lambda c o$ and $\lambda 0$, since their dependancy upon two variables has been simplified.

The theoretical model for cut off wavelength (reo) and mode field radius (Wo) was firstly tested upon the range of samples of each manufactured type.

### 5.1 Cut off wavelength

Cut off wavelength is traditionally a difficult parameter to measure, being extrenely dependant upon sample length and fibre conditioning.

Consequently comparison of a measurement of a 3 metre fibre sample with a calculation from a cross section (effectively an infinitesimaly short length) is fraught with difficulty.

Fig. 5.1 shows the measured cut off wavelength of various fibre designs against $V * \lambda$, so making the constant independant of wavelength. Regression analysis showed that good agreement existed with the straight lines for each design. The theoretical line confirms the trend and so with the exception of the offset, this method of predicting $\lambda c o$ is reliable.


Fig. 5.1 Cut-off Wavelength Vs $2 \pi a(n 1-n 2)^{2}$
The prediction based on the profile will not take into account the fact that s.co is dependant upon fibre conditioning and length. It has been shown that $\lambda c o$ drops by up to $20 \mathrm{~nm} /$ decade, purely as a result of the length dependance. This would suggest that the theoretical model based upon the ESI and $V$ defines the cut off seen on long lengths of fibre ( $>10 \mathrm{Km}$ ) or as a result of bends induced at splice housings.

### 5.2 Mode Field Radius

The comparison of mode field radius to theory at 1300 nm provided very little correlation, in fact the trend of increasing $V$ corresponding to a decreasing wo(for $v<2.405$ ) was shown to be reversed in certain cases.

Camparison of ESI based predictions with predictions based upon a finite element method (FEM) show significant discrepancies (5). Consequently it is hardly surprising that comparisons of ESI based predictions with actual measurements are very unreliable. This result shows that the profile structure cannot be ignored, as the ESI does, in the prediction of Wo.

### 5.3 Macrobending Performance

As can be seen from Figs. 4.1, 4.2 in all cases there are two distinct regions of loss, for the CVD fibre design the dianeter at which the two regions converge is almost independant of wavelength, the VAD and OVD designs on the other hand is dependant upon wavelength.

The two regions are due to two distinct effects,

- region A due to bend loss
- region $B$ due to transition loss


Fig. 5.2 Bend Loss Vs Normalised Frequency ( $V$-number) Bend Diameter $=20 \mathrm{~mm}$; 10 turns


Fig. 5.3 Bend Loss Vs Normalised Frequency $\frac{\text { (V-number) Bend Diameter } \equiv 40 \mathrm{~mm}}{10 \text { turns }}$

Figures 5.2 and 5.3 demonstrate the significant difference in performance in these two regions, generally however, although the absolute values are
different the trend of decreasing ' $V$ ' producing poorer bend performance is carmon to all the fibres tested, in both regions. The variation in absolute values is highlighted by a comparison between
figures $5.2 \& 5.3-5.2$ being ' $V$ ' against bend loss and 5.3 being ' $V$ ' against transition loss. The performance in the transition loss region is very similar from fibre to fibre, i.e. similar gradients and offsets, and since measurements in this region are of small losses it would be fair to assume that measurement errors play a significant role in these differences. The true bend loss region however, shows some more significant differences in that bend varies with ' $V$ ' to different degrees. This is more significant since its effect is greater and so contributes the most to the loss seen as a result of cabling.


The bend loss is characterised by the different propogation characteristics for a bent to a straight fibre. In a straight fibre the primary mode (LPO1) propogation can be defined as a TEM wave with the plane of constant phase being orthogonal to the direction of propogation - or $Z$ axis. If the fibre is bent in an arc of radius $C$ (Fig. 5.4), the phase velocity of the wave front will be required to vary depending upon its distance from the centre of the arc. The group velocity will be that of the speed of light, for this to be the case light travelling at a radius greater than that of the centre of the fibre will have to travel faster than the speed of light in this region. Since the cladding level is uniform this is impossible and so light in this region is converted into radiation modes. Attenuation due to this uniform bending is defined as the pure bending loss and is proportional to the length of the fibre bent.
A second mode of loss is experienced as a result of the effects at the interfacebetween the straight and bent fibre. In the straight fibre the field is uniform and positioned in the centre of the fibre, just beyond the interface, the field is distorted as shown in Fig. 5.5. To achieve this the field in the straight section must excite the field that actually propogates in the curved section and radiation modes that exist on the outer edge of the bent fibre. The mismatch in power between the field propogating in the straight and bent section is defined as the
transition loss and is independant of length.


## 6. CONCLUSIONS

The relationship between the ESI profile and cut-off wavelength has been shown to be consistant, although theoretical analysis based on the ESI produces a cut-off considerably lower than the actual. This phenomena has been explained by consideration of length and bend dependancy of effective cut-off wavelength (6).
The relationship between mode field radius and ESI based predictions has been found to be unreliable, which concurs with previous work (5). Future work will incorporate a technique of finite element analysis (FEM) to take account of profile structure and processing characteristics associated with each design.
The attenuation dependence upon bend has been shown to be consistent with theoretical analysis, highlighting the bi-functional dependence upon diameter exhibited by each design. It is therefore possible to predict the performance of each fibre design under a particular bending regime. Where possible, fibres with similar performance characteristics were used, although the depressed cladding fibre samples had significantly higher cutoff wavelengths, and the OVD fibre samples had slightly higher mode field radii. By relating the bend performance to the propogation velocity constant ( $V$ ), these effects are nomalised.
Performance in the transition loss region is similar for all fibre designs, any differences being potentially due to measurement error. This effect, however, is considered to be of particular importance to the cabling industry and so it is proposed to investigate the phenomea further. The importance of the bend loss region is the bend diameter at which it takes effect, since as soon as this loss mechanism occurs, severe bend induced losses ensue. This is highlighted in the long wavelength region where changes in wavelength have a significant effect upon loss.
A third mode of loss has been hypothesised, occurring at relatively large bend diameters.

This effect has been observed on a relatively small number of fibres. It's existence however, would have a significant effect on cabling and so it is proposed to investigate this further.
Since $v$ is related to wavelength, the effect of increasing wavelength can be predicted using Fig.5.4. Using this figure it can be seen that the CVD based designs require lower $V$ values to produce similar bend performances. By comparing this with the cutoff and mode field radii relationship it would appear that for similar values CVD designs provide a significantly better bend performance.

## REFERENCES

1. Blanco C.E. Private Communication.
2. Houghton I., Summers A., Barnes S.R., 'An Analysis of Loose Tube Cable Design Theory, Campatible with Physical Measurement', IWCS Washington, December, 1987
3. Weber M.J. 'Handbook of Laser Science and Technology', Volume V, Optical Materials; part 3, 1987.
4. Geittner, P., Lydtin H., Weling F., Wiechert D.U., 'Bend Loss Characteristics of Single Mode Fibres' ECOC 87, Helsinki.
5. Nelson B.P., Wright J.V., 'Problems in the use of ESI Parameters in Specifying Monomode Fibres' Br Telecom Technol J., Vol. 2. No. 1. January, 84.
6. Leping Wei, Lowe R.S., Saravanas C., 'Practical Upper Limits to Cut off Wavelength for Different Single-mode Riber Designs', J. Lightwave Tech., Vol. LT5, No.9. September, 1987.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mr. Brett Conway and his colleagues at the British Telecom Materials and Corponents Centre, Birmingham, for their co-operation in profiling the Fibre samples. Also the Directors of SIC Telecommunications for their permission to publish this paper.

## AUTHORS



SIC Telecommunications Cable Products Division, Wednesbury Street, Newport, Gwent. NP9 OWS
U.K.

