

1 **Peptide and nucleic acid-directed self-assembly of cationic nanovehicles through giant**  
2 **unilamellar vesicle modification: targetable nanocomplexes for *in vivo* nucleic acid delivery**

3  
4 AD Tagalakis<sup>a\*</sup>, R Maeshima<sup>a</sup>, C Yu-Wai-Man<sup>b</sup>, J Meng<sup>a</sup>, F Syed<sup>a</sup>, L-P Wu<sup>c</sup>, AM Aldossary<sup>a</sup>, D  
5 McCarthy<sup>d</sup>, SM Moghimi<sup>c, e</sup> & SL Hart<sup>a</sup>

6  
7  
8 <sup>a</sup>Experimental and Personalised Medicine Section, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child  
9 Health, 30 Guilford Street, London, WC1N 1EH, UK

10 <sup>b</sup>National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye  
11 Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, 11-43 Bath Street,  
12 London, EC1V 9EL, UK

13 <sup>c</sup>Centre for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology and Nanotoxicology, Faculty of Health and Medical  
14 Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 2, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

15 <sup>d</sup>UCL School of Pharmacy, 29–39 Brunswick Square, London, WC1N 1AX, UK

16 <sup>e</sup> School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health, Durham University, Stockton-on-Tees TS17 6BH,  
17 UK

18  
19  
20 \*Corresponding author: [a.tagalakis@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:a.tagalakis@ucl.ac.uk)

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 **Keywords: GUV; vesicles; non-viral vectors; liposomes; peptide; lipopolyplexes; DNA;**  
31 **siRNA**

32 **ABSTRACT**

33 One of the greatest challenges for the development of genetic therapies is the efficient targeted  
34 delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids. Towards this goal, we have introduced a new engineering  
35 initiative in self-assembly of biologically safe and stable nanovesicle complexes (~90-140 nm)  
36 derived from giant unilamellar vesicle (GUV) precursors and comprising plasmid DNA or  
37 siRNA and targeting peptide ligands. The biological performance of the engineered nanovesicle  
38 complexes were studied both *in vitro* and *in vivo* and compared with cationic liposome-based  
39 lipopolyplexes. Compared with cationic lipopolyplexes, nanovesicle complexes did not show  
40 advantages in transfection and cell uptake. However, nanovesicle complexes neither displayed  
41 significant cytotoxicity nor activated the complement system, which are advantageous for  
42 intravenous injection and tumour therapy. On intravenous administration into a neuroblastoma  
43 xenograft mouse model, nanovesicle complexes were found to distribute throughout the tumour  
44 interstitium, thus providing an alternative safer approach for future development of tumour-  
45 specific therapeutic nucleic acid interventions. On oropharyngeal instillation, nanovesicle  
46 complexes displayed better transfection efficiency than cationic lipopolyplexes. The  
47 technological advantages of nanovesicle complexes, originating from GUVs, over traditional  
48 cationic liposome-based lipopolyplexes are discussed.

49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63

## 64 1. Introduction

65 Formulations of cationic lipids that self-assemble into lipoplexes upon mixing with  
66 nucleic acids have received considerable attention. These non-viral vectors have recently become  
67 more popular with the development of small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated silencing and  
68 chemically-modified mRNA [1-4]. Nucleic acid therapy has great potential for the treatment of a  
69 wide range of diseases [5], however, only a small number of formulations used *in vitro*, make it  
70 to clinical trials as there are a number of barriers to *in vivo* delivery and transfection [4, 6].  
71 Previously, we described the use of liposome-peptide receptor-targeted nanoparticles (RTNs) for  
72 both *in vitro* [7-11] and *in vivo* [12-18] nucleic acid delivery to various sites and targets in the  
73 body. These lipopolyplexes are capable of inducing nucleic acid compaction and their protection  
74 against premature degradation in biological fluids.

75 There is always a need to improve the performance of delivery vehicles and giant  
76 unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) have some interesting properties for the development of functional  
77 nucleic acid delivery systems with tunable properties [19, 20]. Earlier, DNA-directed self-  
78 assembly of GUVs has been shown, where DNA was introduced to vesicular surface by covalent  
79 conjugation [21-24]. These GUVs, also proved to be invaluable *in vitro* tools for the mechanistic  
80 understanding of complex and integrated biophysical and biomembrane processes [25-32]. Here,  
81 we exploit the bilayer properties of GUVs as the starting platform for self-assembly of a new  
82 generation of safe and stable lipid-peptide-nucleic acid transfectants with improved biological  
83 performance through the introduction of sugars for improved stabilization as well as targeting  
84 peptide ligands [20]. Indeed, the difference in density between the equiosmolar monosaccharidic  
85 intervesicular (external) and the disaccharidic intravesicular (internal) aqueous solutions offer  
86 vesicular stabilization and shape uniformity [33] as well as optical contrast. In addition, it is  
87 known that cationic transfectants can induce bioenergetic crisis, which dependent on cell type  
88 and mitochondrial polarization state it may initiate cell death [34]. The sugars associated with the  
89 engineered GUVs (e.g. glucose) could potentially help in re-establishing homeostasis with  
90 increased ATP synthesis, thereby overcoming cytotoxicity pertaining to cationic lipoplexes and  
91 lipopolyplexes.

92 GUVs carrying nucleic acids may exhibit limited cell uptake and transfection efficacy  
93 due to their large size compared with conventional large unilamellar vesicles [35]. Accordingly,  
94 we have introduced GUVs as precursors for generating vesicles in the nanoscale range

95 (hereinafter termed “nanovesicles”). Nanovesicles were complexed with nucleic acids (DNA or  
96 siRNA) and functionalized with different targeting peptides. The latter have included: 1) ME27,  
97 which contains the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif capable of targeting integrins and particularly  
98  $\alpha_v\beta_3$ ,  $\alpha_v\beta_5$ , and  $\alpha_5\beta_1$  classes expressed in a wide range of tumours, 2) YGLPHKF (which is  
99 derived from peptide Y, a generic targeting peptide that works well across a range of tissues,  
100 including cells of neuronal origin) [8, 9] and closely resembles part of a targeting protein  
101 expressed by the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila* [36], and 3) peptide E, which  
102 has the SERSMNF motif that displays close similarity to receptor binding proteins of two  
103 intracellular pathogens, rhinovirus and *Listeria monocytogenes* [36]. Rhinoviruses bind the  
104 intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) [37]. ICAM-1 is present in the airway epithelium  
105 and is upregulated in the inflamed epithelium as in cystic fibrosis [37, 38].

106 Collectively, our studies comprise biophysical characterization of targetable nanovesicle  
107 complexes as well as their improved biosafety in relevant *in vitro* and *in vivo* models compared  
108 with conventional cationic lipoplexes and lipopolyplexes.

109  
110

## 111 **2. Experimental section**

112

### 113 **2.1. Materials**

114 1,2-di-O-octadecenyl-3-trimethylammonium propane (DOTMA) and 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-  
115 glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc.  
116 (Alabaster, AL, USA). Peptide Y (K16GACYGLPHKFCG) was synthesized by ChinaPeptides  
117 Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China), peptide E (K16GACSERSMNFCEG) was  
118 synthesized by Zinsser Analytics (Maidenhead, UK), peptide ME27 (K16RVRRGACRGDCLG)  
119 was synthesized by Alta Bioscience (Birmingham, UK) and the linear lysine peptide K16 was  
120 purchased from ImunnoKontakt (Abingdon, UK). Dy677 control siRNA (siRNA-Dy677) was  
121 purchased from GE Healthcare (Amersham, UK). Cy3-labelled control plasmid DNA (DNA-  
122 Cy3) was purchased from Cambridge Bioscience (Cambridge, UK). The plasmid pCI-Luc  
123 consists of the luciferase gene from pGL3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK)  
124 sub-cloned into pCI (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI, USA). The plasmid pEGFP-N1 (4.7

125 kb) containing the gene *GFP* was obtained from Clontech Laboratories, Inc. (Mountain View,  
126 CA, USA).

127

## 128 ***2.2. Nanovesicle formation from GUV precursors***

129 DOTMA and DOPE were dissolved in chloroform to a concentration of 10 mg/mL. Lipids  
130 were mixed at a 1:1 molar ratio. The chloroform was evaporated in a rotary evaporator (BÜCHI  
131 Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland). The lipid film was dissolved in light mineral oil (catalog  
132 number: M5310; Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) to a final concentration of 1.7 mg/mL by heating up  
133 to 50°C, sonicated for 30 min in an ultrasonic water bath (Jencons-PLS, Bedfordshire, UK) and  
134 incubated overnight at room temperature (RT). The lipid solution in mineral oil was then stored  
135 at -20°C prior to further use. For the DOTMA/DOPE (DD) liposome preparation, the lipid film  
136 was dissolved in water followed by sonication. For the nanovesicle (DOTMA/DOPE<sub>ves</sub> or DD<sub>ves</sub>)  
137 preparation, we used a modified version of the water/oil (W/O) emulsion transfer method [20,  
138 26] described in detail by Hadorn et al. [23]. All solutions were prepared using Milli-Q water.  
139 Sucrose (99.5%) and glucose (99.0%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). The  
140 sucrose solution as well as the aqueous phase (glucose solution) was adjusted to 1000 mM  
141 (equiosmolar conditions) to avoid any osmotic pressure that would reduce vesicular stability.  
142 Consequently, the sucrose solution as well as the aqueous phase only differed in their densities.

143 The W/O emulsion was prepared in microtubes by adding 50 µL of the sucrose solution to  
144 400 µL of the lipid solution prepared above and vigorously grated against a microtube rack for 3  
145 min with force to aid emulsification. The intermediate phase was prepared in microtubes by  
146 adding 150 µL of the lipid solution to 300 µL of the aqueous phase and incubation at RT for 10  
147 min. To generate the nanovesicles, the emulsion was then placed on top of the intermediate  
148 phase and centrifuged for 3 min at 1500g at RT. The oil was removed by aspiration and the pellet  
149 was resuspended in the osmotically-adjusted glucose (aqueous phase) and kept at 4°C.

150 To prevent the nanovesicles from adhering to surfaces, microscope slides and coverslips  
151 were treated with PlusOne Repel-Silane ES (GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK) in accordance with  
152 manufacturer's recommendation. Nanovesicle suspension (10 µL) was applied to a microscope  
153 slide and covered with a coverslip and then visualized (20x magnification) using an Olympus  
154 IX70 fluorescent microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

155

156        **2.3. Nanocomplex formation**

157        Cationic receptor-targeted nanocomplex (RTN) formulations (at a weight ratio of 1:4:1,  
158 liposome or nanovesicle: peptide: DNA or siRNA) were made by first adding the peptide to the  
159 liposome or nanovesicle DOTMA/DOPE (DOTMA/DOPE and DOTMA/DOPE<sub>ves</sub>, respectively),  
160 followed by addition of the DNA or siRNA with rapid mixing and incubation for 30 min at RT to  
161 allow for complex formation. The composition and terminology of the nanocomplexes  
162 (lipopolyplexes or nanovesicle complexes) are summarized in Table 1.

163

164        **2.4. Size and zeta potential determinations**

165        Nanocomplex preparations were diluted with distilled water to a final volume of 1 mL at a  
166 concentration of 5 µg/mL with respect to DNA or siRNA. They were then analyzed for size and  
167 electrophoretic mobility measurements using a Malvern Nano ZS (Malvern, UK). The following  
168 specifications were used: automatic sampling time of 10 measurements/sample, refractive index  
169 of 1.330 (water) and 1.340 (5% w/v glucose), dielectric constant 78.5 (water) and 77.37 (5% w/v  
170 glucose), viscosity 0.8872 cP (water) and 1.1450 cP (5% w/v glucose), and temperature of 25°C.  
171 DTS version 5.03, which was provided by the manufacturer, was used for data processing.

172

173        **2.5. Heparin dissociation assay**

174        DNA (0.2 µg) was mixed with PicoGreen reagent (1:150) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) at RT  
175 in Tris-EDTA buffer and the DNA/PicoGreen mixture was then formulated into nanocomplexes  
176 at a 1:4:1 weight ratio (liposome or nanovesicle: peptide: DNA) as described above. Heparin  
177 sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) was added to the PicoGreen-labelled nanocomplexes in a  
178 range of concentrations (0-2 U/mL). In each experiment, naked DNA stained with PicoGreen  
179 was used to normalize the PicoGreen signal detected from the nanocomplexes. Fluorescence was  
180 analyzed using a fluorescence plate reader, FLUOstar Optima (BMG Labtech, Aylesbury, UK).

181

182        **2.6. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

183        For the electron microscopy investigations, the nanocomplexes were prepared as described  
184 above and were placed on a glow-discharged 300-mesh copper grid coated with a  
185 Formvar/carbon support film (Agar Scientific). After a few seconds, the grid was blotted with a  
186 filter paper. The sample was then negatively stained with 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate or 1% (w/v)

187 phosphotungstic acid, before blotting and then air-dried. Imaging was carried out under a Philips  
188 CM120 BioTwin Transmission Electron Microscope and operated at an accelerating voltage of  
189 120 KV.

190

## 191 ***2.7 Cell culture***

192 Murine Neuro-2A and human Kelly neuroblastoma cell lines were obtained from the  
193 American Type Culture Collection (Teddington, UK). Neuro-2A cells were maintained in  
194 Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) supplemented with  
195 10% (v/v) FBS, 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids, and 1% (v/v) sodium pyruvate. Kelly cells  
196 were cultured in RPMI1640+GlutaMAX (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) with 10% (v/v) FBS, 25 mM  
197 HEPES and 100 U/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin. The human bronchial epithelial cells  
198 16HBE14o- (shortened to HBE) were provided by D. Gruenert, (San Francisco, CA, USA) and  
199 were cultured in Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium with HEPES modification (Sigma, Poole,  
200 UK), 10% (v/v) FCS and 2 mM L-glutamine. All cells were maintained in a humidified  
201 atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°C.

202

## 203 ***2.8 DNA transfection***

204 Neuro-2A and HBE cells were seeded in 96-well plates at  $2 \times 10^4$  cells per well 24 h prior to  
205 transfection. Following the removal of growth medium, 200  $\mu$ L of complexes in OptiMEM  
206 containing 0.25  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA were added to the cells in replicates of six. Plates were  
207 centrifuged at 400g for 5 min and incubated for 4 h at 37°C, then transfection medium was  
208 replaced by the complete growth medium and incubated for a further 24 h. Luciferase expression  
209 was measured in cell lysates with a luciferase assay (Promega, Southampton, UK) in a FLUOstar  
210 OPTIMA luminometer (BMG Labtech, Aylesbury, UK). The amount of protein present in each  
211 sample was determined with the Bio-Rad protein assay reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hemel  
212 Hempstead, UK) in a FLUOstar OPTIMA luminometer. Luciferase activity was expressed as  
213 relative light units per milligram of protein (RLU/mg). Each measurement was performed in  
214 groups of six.

215 The same protocol was used for transfections with eGFP plasmid DNA with the only  
216 difference being that the cells following transfection were incubated for 48 h at 37°C. They were  
217 firstly imaged (20 $\times$  magnification) using an Olympus IX70 fluorescent microscope (Olympus,

218 Southend-on-Sea, UK) and then prepared for flow cytometry by detaching cells from the wells  
219 with 50  $\mu$ L Trypsin-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) and re-suspending them with 150  $\mu$ L  
220 Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK). Cells were acquired  
221 with a BD FACSAarray flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK) and analyzed with FlowJo  
222 software v. 8.8.3 (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, Oregon, USA).

223

### 224 ***2.9 Flow cytometry analysis***

225 After 4 h or 24 h of transfection with different nanocomplexes, the Neuro-2A cells were  
226 washed with PBS twice and then trypsinized and re-suspended in culture medium in a 96-well  
227 plate. The uptake of the siRNA-Dy677 or DNA-Cy3 by cells in each well was analyzed using  
228 BD FACSCalibur™. Non-transfected cells were used to set the negative control gate. Acquired  
229 data were analyzed using FlowJo software v. 8.8.3 (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, Oregon, USA) to  
230 determine the percentage of the Dy677-positive or Cy3-positive cells in each treatment group.

231

### 232 ***2.10 In-cell Western analysis***

233 After 4, 24 and 48 h post transfection of Neuro-2A cells with different siRNA-Dy677  
234 nanocomplexes, the 96-well plate was washed twice with PBS and scanned by the Odyssey Clx  
235 infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences, Cambridge, UK) and the intensity of the 700 nm  
236 fluorescent channel for each well was determined using image studio software 3.1.4.

237

### 238 ***2.11 Viable cell assay***

239 Viable cell assay was assessed in 96-well plates using the CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution  
240 Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Southampton, UK). Neuro-2A cells were seeded and  
241 transfected as above. After 24 h the medium was substituted for a growth medium containing 20  
242  $\mu$ L of the CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution reagent. Finally, after incubation for 2 h, the  
243 absorbance at 490 nm was measured on a FLUOstar Optima spectrophotometer (BMG Labtech,  
244 Aylesbury, UK). Viable cells for each formulation treatment were expressed as a percentage of  
245 the viable control cells.

246

### 247 ***2.12 Complement Activation assays***

248 Details for human serum preparation, characterization and functional assessment of



249 complement pathways were described previously [39-41]. To measure complement activation *in*  
250 *vitro*, we determined nanocomplex-induced rise of serum complement activation products C5a  
251 and sC5b-9 using respective ELISA kits (Quidel, San Diego, USA) according to the  
252 manufacturer's protocol as described earlier [39-41]. Complement activation was initiated by  
253 adding the appropriate quantities of nanocomplexes (in 10  $\mu$ L) to undiluted human serum (40  
254  $\mu$ L) in Eppendorf tubes in a shaking water bath at 37°C for 30 min. Reactions were terminated  
255 by addition of ice-cold sample-diluent provided in the assay kit containing 25mM EDTA.  
256 Nanocomplexes were removed by centrifugation, and complement activation products were  
257 measured in ELISA kits. Control serum incubations contained buffers that were used for  
258 liposome suspension. Zymosan was prepared as described before [41] and was used as a positive  
259 control for generating C5a and sC5b-9 at a concentration of 0.2 mg/mL.

260

261

### 262 **2.13 *In vivo* experiments**

263 Female C57Bl6 mice were purchased from Charles River (Margate, UK). All procedures  
264 were approved by UCL animal care policies and were carried out under Home Office Licenses  
265 issued in accordance with the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (UK).  
266 DOTMA/DOPE lipopolyplexes and DOTMA/DOPE<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes were prepared at  
267 a weight ratio of 1:4:1 (lipid: peptide: DNA) as described previously [18] at a final plasmid DNA  
268 concentration of 0.29 mg/mL. 6-week old female C57Bl6 mice were instilled oropharyngeally  
269 with nanocomplexes in 55  $\mu$ L (made in 5% glucose, v/v) containing 16  $\mu$ g pCI-Luc, with  
270 untreated mice used as controls. 24 h following instillation, the mice were culled and their lungs  
271 extracted and snap frozen. Lungs were defrosted on ice, submerged in reporter gene assay lysis  
272 buffer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), homogenized with a Precellys24 tissue homogenizer  
273 (Stretton Scientific, Stretton, Derbyshire, UK) and then centrifuged at 14,170g for 10 min at 4°C.  
274 The supernatant was removed and centrifuged for a further 10 min at 4°C and then used in  
275 luciferase assays. Results were expressed as relative luminescence units per milligram of protein  
276 (RLU/mg).

277 Female NOD-SCID gamma (NSG) mice (Charles River, Margate, UK), 6 to 8 week old,  
278 were injected subcutaneously in the right posterior flank with  $3 \times 10^6$  human neuroblastoma  
279 Kelly cells. After approximately 2 weeks, when tumours had reached 8–10 mm in size, 100  $\mu$ L

280 of RTN complexes made in 5% (v/v) glucose and containing 16 µg of siRNA-Dy677 were  
281 injected into the lateral tail vein. Experiments were performed with replicates of 3 mice. 24 hours  
282 after injection, the mice were killed and tumours and organs (lung, liver, heart, kidneys and  
283 spleen) were resected and imaged using an IVIS Lumina Series III imaging system  
284 (PerkinElmer, Seer Green, UK). The images were processed using the Living Image software  
285 (PerkinElmer, Seer Green, UK). The tumours were then placed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde  
286 (PFA) for 3 h followed by overnight incubation in 15% (w/v) sucrose/PBS and then a brief wash  
287 in 50% (v/v) ethanol and stored briefly in 70% (v/v) ethanol till ready for dissection.

288

#### 289 ***2.14 Preparation of frozen tissue sections***

290 Freshly dissected tissue was placed onto a pre-labelled tissue base mold. Tissue block was  
291 covered with cryo-embedding media OCT (Leica microsystems, Milton Keynes, UK). Base mold  
292 containing tissue block was snap frozen in isopentane (VWR International, Lutterworth, UK),  
293 pre-chilled in liquid nitrogen and then transferred to a cryotome cryostat, which was pre cooled  
294 to -20<sup>0</sup>C. 10 µm tissue sections were prepared using the cryotome and mounted on the Superfrost  
295 Plus glass slides (Fisher Scientific UK, Loughborough, UK). The sections were dried at RT and  
296 then stored at -80<sup>0</sup>C until utilized.

297

#### 298 ***2.15 Staining of frozen sections***

299 Tissue sections were rinsed in PBS briefly to remove any media components and fixed in  
300 pre-cooled (-20<sup>0</sup>C) acetone for 10-15 min. Next, tissue sections were rinsed three times in PBS  
301 and stained with DAPI for 15 min at RT in dark. Finally, tissue sections were washed three times  
302 in PBS and sections were mounted using ProLong® Gold antifade mountant (Thermo  
303 Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Micrographs were taken using Leica upright  
304 fluorescence microscope (Leica DFC310 FX) at 200x magnification.

305

#### 306 ***2.16 Statistical analysis***

307 The data presented in this study are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) and  
308 were analyzed using a two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-test or one-way analysis of variance and  
309 Bonferroni's post hoc analysis, where applicable.

310

311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341

### 3. Results

**3.1. Biophysical characterization.** The sizes and zeta potentials (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1) of nanovesicles, cationic liposomes, nanovesicle complexes and lipopolyplexes were determined first. Nanovesicles were considerably larger than their liposomal counterparts ( $557.0 \pm 82.5$  nm vs  $76.1 \pm 0.9$  nm, respectively) consisting of two particle populations (Fig. S1) and had comparable average zeta potential values ( $66.9 \pm 1.7$  mV for nanovesicles vs  $70.9 \pm 2.4$  mV for liposomes, respectively). These nanovesicles were capable of forming complexes with peptide and nucleic acid (DNA or siRNA) of  $89.6 \pm 1.3$  nm, when mixed with DNA, or under 140 nm, when mixed with siRNA, respectively (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1). There was no statistical difference in the size of the nanocomplexes, however, LYD<sub>ves</sub> had the least cationic surface charge among all nanocomplexes (zeta potential of  $26.2 \pm 0.4$  mV for LYD<sub>ves</sub> vs  $47.7 \pm 3.0$  mV for LYD).

Negative staining transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to further characterize nanovesicles (Fig. 1B) and nanovesicle complexes (LYD<sub>ves</sub>; Fig. 1C). Nanovesicles and nanovesicle complexes were predominantly spherical, however, some rod-shaped objects were also present (in LYD<sub>ves</sub>; Fig. 1C). The majority of the spherical entities observed by TEM for each formulation were in similar size ranges determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS). DOTMA/DOPE liposomes and LYD lipopolyplexes were also visualized (Fig. S2) and they formed some discrete spherical particles with most being aggregated in clusters. These clusters may have been generated during sample preparation and dehydration processes for TEM.

The ability of nanocomplexes to package DNA efficiently and to dissociate following heparin challenge was assessed (Fig. 1D). PicoGreen-labelled DNA was formulated into cationic LYD and LYD<sub>ves</sub>. Packaging was inferred from fluorescence quenching compared with free DNA as 100%. The packaging efficiency refers to the extent of nucleic acid protection. Therefore, the higher the packaging efficiency, the better the protection of the nucleic acid cargo. Both formulations resulted in high packaging efficiency: 81% for LYD lipopolyplexes compared with 94% for LYD<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes. In addition, they had a different heparin release profile. LYD achieved 50% dissociation at 0.41 U/mL heparin, whereas LYD<sub>ves</sub> achieved 50% dissociation at 0.84 U/mL heparin, thus making the latter less responsive to polyanions.

**3.2. Cellular uptake and targeting specificity of siRNA-containing nanocomplexes.** Next, we

342 determined cellular uptake of Dy677-labelled siRNA nanocomplexes following transfection of  
343 Neuro-2A cells in comparison with liposomes. The following complexes were used: nanovesicle  
344 complexes made from fresh nanovesicles and incorporating targeting peptides (LYR<sub>ves-new</sub> and  
345 LMER<sub>ves-new</sub>) or a non-targeting peptide (LK16R<sub>ves-new</sub>), nanovesicle complexes made with  
346 nanovesicles previously stored for 1 year at 4°C and incorporating targeting peptides (LYR<sub>ves-old1</sub>  
347 and LMER<sub>ves-old1</sub>), lipopolyplexes with targeting peptides (LYR and LMER) or non-targeting  
348 peptide (LK16R), peptide/siRNA complexes (Y/ siRNA-Dy677 and ME27/ siRNA-Dy677),  
349 liposome/siRNA (LR) or nanovesicle/siRNA (LR<sub>ves-new</sub>). Two complementary methods were  
350 used for analysis: in-cell Western analysis of the siRNA-Dy677 uptake (Fig. 2A-B) and  
351 measurement of the fluorescent intensity of the Dy677 (which reflects the uptake level of the  
352 siRNA; Fig. 2C). The results show a time-dependent uptake of nanocomplexes. In particular,  
353 lipopolyplexes had a higher and statistically significant uptake than their respective nanovesicle  
354 complex counterparts at 4 h (LYR<sub>ves</sub> uptake was 35.4% vs 53.8% for LYR,  $p < 0.001$ ), but this  
355 was not significant at later time points. Similar observations were made with formulations  
356 incorporating the targeting peptide ME27. The nanocomplexes that were made with nanovesicles  
357 stored for one year in the fridge had approximately a 2.5-fold increased size (215-240 nm)  
358 compared with their fresh counterparts and this might explain why they showed less uptake than  
359 freshly made counterparts. Other comparisons that were significant for all time points were those  
360 of LR (liposome/siRNA) or LR<sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle/siRNA) formulations, which displayed inferior  
361 uptake to lipopolyplexes ( $p < 0.001$ ). Peptide/siRNA (PR) formulations also displayed  
362 significantly less cell uptake compared with lipopolyplexes ( $p < 0.001$ ). Particularly, the  
363 formulations with the targeted peptides resulted in a much higher uptake than those with non-  
364 targeted peptides ( $p < 0.001$  for all comparisons), however, this effect was more pronounced with  
365 the nanovesicle complexes. For example at 24 h, LYR<sub>ves</sub> resulted in approximately 10-fold higher  
366 uptake than LK16R<sub>ves</sub>, which was considerably higher than the difference in uptake of LYR,  
367 which in turn was 2.6-fold higher than that of LK16R.

368 Flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 2C), showed a similar uptake pattern to that of in-cell  
369 Western analysis at 4 h post transfection. The comparisons described above as being significant  
370 (Fig. 2A-B) were also significant in Fig. 2C. For example, the targeted formulations resulted in a  
371 much higher uptake than their non-targeted counterparts ( $p < 0.001$  for all comparisons), however  
372 again this effect was more pronounced with the nanovesicle complexes. FACS analysis was also

373 performed to investigate the uptake of Cy3-labelled DNA nanocomplexes in Neuro-2A cells.  
374 The trend was the same as the one found for siRNA uptake; again there was no statistical  
375 difference between nanovesicle complexes and lipopolyplexes at 24 h and the use of targeting  
376 peptides resulted in higher uptake than non-targeting formulations (Fig. S3). However, PR and  
377 peptide/DNA (PD) complexes showed a significantly different nucleic acid uptake profile. For  
378 example at 4 h post-transfection, PD complexes (Fig. S3) achieved 22.5% uptake, which was  
379 significantly more than the 4.1% seen with the PR formulations (Fig. 2C;  $p < 0.05$ ).

380

381 **3.3. *In vitro* transfection efficiencies.** Nanocomplexes were formulated and used for  
382 transfection of Neuro-2A and HBE cells (Fig. 3). LMED formulations were significantly better  
383 in transfection than the LMED<sub>ves</sub> ( $p < 0.05$ ) in Neuro-2A cells (Fig. 3A), however, this difference  
384 was not statistically significant for LYD and LYD<sub>ves</sub> nanocomplexes in HBE cells (Fig. 3B).  
385 Importantly, the receptor-targeted formulations showed considerable differences in transfection  
386 efficiency compared with the non-targeted formulations. Targeted lipopolyplexes LMED  
387 (Neuro-2A cells) and LYD (HBE cells) resulted in a 3.6-fold and a 4.3-fold enhancement of  
388 transfection compared with non-targeting LK16D ( $p < 0.001$  for both Neuro-2A and HBE cells),  
389 whereas the vesicular targeted formulations LMED<sub>ves</sub> (Neuro-2A cells) and LYD<sub>ves</sub> (HBE cells)  
390 resulted in a 10.5-fold and 8.9-fold ( $p < 0.001$  for both Neuro-2A and HBE cells) increase in  
391 transfection efficiency compared with non-targeting LK16D<sub>ves</sub>, respectively.

392 The transfection efficiency was further evaluated with the plasmid expressing enhanced  
393 green fluorescent protein (GFP) in Neuro-2A cells 48 h after transfection. Fluorescent  
394 microscopy images of LMED<sub>ves</sub> (Fig. 3C) and LMED (Fig. 3D) nanocomplexes provided  
395 evidence of the high transfection efficiency of both formulations. Flow cytometry analysis of  
396 GFP transfections was then performed (Fig. 4) and showed that  $28.6 \pm 1.9\%$  and  $33.5 \pm 2.2\%$  of  
397 cells expressed GFP following transfection with LMED<sub>ves</sub> and LMED, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ).

398

399 **3.4. Complement activation assay and cell viability.** We challenged undiluted human  
400 serum with LYD and LYD<sub>ves</sub> formulations and measured the two pathway-independent soluble  
401 end-point complement activation products C5a and sC5b-9, respectively [39, 42]. The  
402 complement system is a key effector of both innate and cognate immunity recognizing danger  
403 signals through pattern recognition [43]. C5a is an anaphylatoxin and chemoattractive agent,

404 whereas soluble sC5b-9 is a measure of whole complement activation. The results in Fig. 5A  
405 show that neither formulations elevated sC5b-9 levels above the background compared on the  
406 basis of equivalent surface area. On the other hand, both formulations caused very small  
407 increases of serum C5a levels (Fig. 5B). For comparison zymosan treatment induced massive  
408 rises of C5a and sC5b-9 levels above background ( $201.3 \pm 10.1$  ng/mL C5a and  $31.7 \pm 1.6$   
409  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  sC5b-9, respectively). On the basis of our findings, our formulations were poor activators  
410 of the complement system and could be used for intravenous applications. Indeed, the extent of  
411 complement activation by these preparations was considerably lower than PEGylated regulatory  
412 approved liposomes (Doxil®) on equivalent surface area ( $46 \text{ cm}^2$ ) basis ( $8560 \pm 108.1$  ng/mL  
413 sC5b-9) [44].

414 The cell viability assessment showed no particular differences between nanocomplexes,  
415 which included lipopolyplexes and nanovesicle complexes (Fig. 5C). However, the cationic  
416 liposomes DOTMA/DOPE (from either a fresh or an older batch) were significantly more  
417 cytotoxic (65% viable cells) compared with untreated controls ( $p < 0.001$  for both batches). On  
418 the contrary, all the batches of the nanovesicles DOTMA/DOPE<sub>ves</sub> did not induce any apparent  
419 cytotoxicity.

420

421 **3.5. *In vivo* lung delivery and tumour distribution.** We further determined whether the *in vitro*  
422 results translate to *in vivo* performance. Firstly, LED (size:  $95.3 \pm 1.4$  nm;  $\zeta$  potential  $54.5 \pm 1.3$   
423 mV) and LED<sub>ves</sub> (size:  $96.3 \pm 0.5$  nm;  $\zeta$  potential  $43.5 \pm 0.6$  mV) nanocomplexes were delivered  
424 to the airways of murine lungs (Fig. 6). 24 h after administration, luciferase assay was performed  
425 on lung extracts. The mean luciferase expression from LED<sub>ves</sub> was higher than that of LED (6160  
426 RLU/mg protein for LED<sub>ves</sub> vs 4596 RLU/mg protein for LED), but this was not statistically  
427 significant.

428 Finally, we investigated whether LMER<sub>ves</sub> nanocomplexes can be delivered to tumours  
429 following systemic administration in xenograft mouse models of neuroblastoma. 24 h after  
430 intravenous administration, the organs and the tumours were removed and imaged using the IVIS  
431 III system for siRNA-Dy677 distribution. The LMER<sub>ves</sub> nanocomplexes showed high retention in  
432 tumours (17.5% of the initial injected dose; the radiant efficiency of the initial dose was  
433 measured at  $1.9 \times 10^{10}$  photons  $\text{s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  steradian $^{-1}$  per  $\mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ ), while leaving other normal  
434 tissues with extremely low (heart, liver, kidneys and spleen) or moderate uptake (lung; 5.2% of

435 the initial injected dose) (Fig. 7A-B). The fluorescent radiant efficiency was 3.39-fold higher in  
436 tumours than in the lungs (Fig. S4,  $p<0.01$ ). Immunostaining of the tumours (Fig. 7C-H)  
437 revealed that the siRNA-Dy677 was strongly present throughout the tumour mass and the  
438 staining was very intense in mice injected with targeted LMER<sub>ves</sub>, whereas the intracellular  
439 fluorescence signals were not detected in the tumour tissues collected from control untreated  
440 mice.

441

442

#### 443 **4. Discussion**

444 In this study, we replaced the liposomal component of lipopolyplexes, which was derived  
445 through sonication of multi-lamellar vesicles [8, 15-18, 45], with GUVs as initial templates for  
446 vector assembly (nanovesicles and nanovesicle complexes). Following further processing, these  
447 preparations were characterized and compared with sonicated liposomes. These modifications  
448 resulted in formation of large unilamellar vesicles, with the majority being less than 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . The  
449 engineered vesicles comprise of a bilayer that isolates the aqueous lumen of the intermediate  
450 vesicles loaded with sucrose from the external hosting glucose solution [19, 46, 47]. When  
451 complexed with peptide ligands and nucleic acids, we were able to produce nanovesicle  
452 complexes that were less than 140 nm. The electrostatic forces involved between nanovesicles,  
453 peptides and nucleic acids most likely play a role in compaction processes and, hence, the  
454 observed reduction in size of the nanovesicle complexes compared with native nanovesicles.  
455 Indeed, the size of the nanocomplexes should preferentially be less than 200 nm to allow for  
456 efficient internalization through different endocytic processes as well as for tumour targeting  
457 [48].

458 While extracellular stability is an essential requirement for formulation of an efficient  
459 nucleic acid delivery system, effective transfection is also influenced by the extent of cargo  
460 release intracellularly. For instance, cationic lipopolyplexes may interact favourably with actin  
461 during internalization causing destabilization and partial release of nucleic acids directly into the  
462 cytoplasm [49, 50]. To compare particle stability and nucleic acid dissociation, nanocomplexes  
463 were incubated with heparin, which mimics actin [9, 49]. Both types of nanocomplexes achieved  
464 approximately 65% maximum release at a heparin concentration of 2 U/mL, thus suggesting  
465 their suitability for nucleic acid delivery and release.

466 Next we compared cell uptake of the engineered formulations. The higher positive charge of  
467 the lipopolyplexes compared with nanovesicles could explain the differences in cell uptake,  
468 either through better plasma membrane destabilization (causing direct nucleic acid release into  
469 the cytoplasm) and/or improved interaction with anionic components of cell surface  
470 proteoglycans [51]. Furthermore, it has been shown that shape is an important factor in cellular  
471 uptake and that rods may enter cells more readily than spheres under static conditions and  
472 particularly from a side-on mode of contact with plasma membrane [52, 53]. Indeed, nanovesicle  
473 particles were mostly spherical in shape, whereas lipopolyplexes contained a high number of  
474 rods and torroids [9, 16, 45, 54] as well as particle clusters, which could explain their higher  
475 uptake. Our results further showed that the nanocomplexes that were made with nanovesicles  
476 after prolonged storage had larger size and reduced cell uptake. It is likely that fusion processes  
477 may have caused vesicular destabilization and partial release of complexed nucleic acids prior to  
478 cell incubation.

479 The initial barriers to transfection are cell binding and uptake [54, 55]. Differences in  
480 efficiencies of these two processes could potentially explain the different transfection  
481 efficiencies of the nanocomplexes. LPD complexes had similar biophysical characteristics with  
482 LPD<sub>ves</sub>, but displayed improved cellular uptake and this may explain the differences in their  
483 transfection efficiencies, providing that cell viability is not compromised. Our results show that  
484 plasmid DNA in LPD<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes is more tightly packaged (94%) than in LPD  
485 lipopolyplexes (81%;  $p < 0.001$ ), however, DNA was more easily released from LPD  
486 lipopolyplexes than from LPD<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes. Thus LPD having a greater cell uptake,  
487 while resulting in better DNA release within the cell, achieves the greater transfection  
488 efficiencies observed. The targeted formulations were more efficient than their non-targeting  
489 counterparts due to the presence of the targeting peptide, which resulted in a higher uptake in the  
490 cells as corroborated in Fig. 2.

491 Although nanovesicle complexes did not show advantages in transfection and cell uptake  
492 compared with lipopolyplexes, they were considerably less cytotoxic than their liposomal  
493 counterparts. This is most likely due to their lesser cationic charge. In addition, cells may use the  
494 glucose that was present in the nanovesicle complexes (e.g., DOTMA/DOPE<sub>ves</sub>) to maintain  
495 oxidative phosphorylation and ATP synthesis. It is well documented [56, 57] that cationic  
496 liposomes are cytotoxic and this could explain the reduced cell viability observed in our assay



497 for DOTMA/DOPE. The LPD lipopolyplexes were also found to be significantly less cytotoxic  
498 than the cationic DOTMA/DOPE liposome, which implies that DNA or siRNA may have  
499 sequestered the cationic lipid reducing its damaging effect on cells.

500 Our *in vivo* studies further showed the suitability of LED<sub>ves</sub> in nucleic acid delivery. For  
501 instance, following oropharyngeal administration nanovesicle complexes were more effective in  
502 nucleic acid delivery and transfection than lipopolyplexes (LED). This observation contrasted the  
503 *in vitro* findings where the lipopolyplexes showed superiority. We have previously shown that  
504 nanocomplexes target mainly the airway epithelia [18], thus a plausible explanation for these  
505 differences may arise from a relatively higher destabilization of LED at the apical surface of the  
506 lung cells compared with the sugar-containing LED<sub>ves</sub>. As for tumour targeting, we used a near-  
507 infrared fluorescent probe, Dy677, which results in low autofluorescence and scattering of light  
508 and enables good tissue penetration of light, which is ideal for *in vivo* imaging [58]. Our  
509 nanocomplexes, following intravenous administration, were mainly localized to tumours and  
510 showed less deposition to the lungs. Others have also shown that at 24 h following intravenous  
511 administration, cationic nanoparticles coupled to  $\alpha_v\beta_3$  ligands were mainly expressing luciferase  
512 in tumours with minimal activity detected in the lung and none in other organs [59]. This  
513 distribution pattern is very important as the nanocomplexes were able to largely avoid the  
514 reticuloendothelial system (RES), which is the major clearance mechanism of nanoparticles from  
515 the circulation [60]. This might be attributed to the targeting peptide utilized here and to the  
516 enhanced permeation and retention effect (EPR) and the leakiness of the tumour neovasculature  
517 in our *in vivo* model [61]. Another contributing factor may be poor complement opsonization of  
518 the engineered nanocomplexes and hence their poor recognition by macrophages of the RES,  
519 thereby allowing more nanoparticles to reach tumours. These results collectively indicate that the  
520 targeted nanovesicle complexes could efficiently deliver siRNA to the tumour tissue, and thus  
521 might have potential applications in therapeutic oncology. Finally, considering the high level of  
522 nanovesicle complex accumulation in tumour interstitium, their poor complement activating  
523 nature is clinically advantageous. Indeed, intratumoural complement activation has been  
524 suggested to accelerate tumour growth [62, 63].

525

526

527 **5. Conclusion**

528 Giant liposomes have been used for biophysical investigations, namely the interaction of  
529 cytoskeleton proteins with membranes, the dynamic structures of biomembranes and the change  
530 of liposomal shapes [64-66]. These vesicles have the advantage over traditional smaller  
531 liposomal preparations of being easier to prepare in small quantities and by high throughput  
532 procedures [19, 23, 26, 47, 67, 68]. Here, we initially modified the procedure of making GUVs  
533 that resulted in nanovesicles of less than 1  $\mu\text{m}$  in size and then for the first time, we reported the  
534 development of nanovesicle complexes using these nanovesicles (derived from GUV  
535 precursors). These engineered vesicles exhibited good transfection efficiency, however, unlike  
536 conventional cationic lipoplexes, nanovesicles and nanovesicle complexes neither exhibited  
537 considerable cytotoxicity nor activated the complement system. These observations are of  
538 importance, since nanovesicle complexes were able to deliver nucleic acids to both lung and  
539 tumour tissues *in vivo*. Nanovesicle complexes therefore represent a promising tool for  
540 improving our arsenal of safer non-viral vectors for site-specific delivery of therapeutic nucleic  
541 acids.

542

#### 543 **Acknowledgement**

544 We would like to thank the Maeshima Medical Office, Action Medical Research and  
545 Cystic Fibrosis Trust for funding this work. This work was further supported by the National  
546 Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Great Ormond Street Hospital for  
547 Children NHS Foundation Trust and University College London. We would like to thank Dr  
548 Boenzli for helpful discussions and for proofreading the manuscript.

549

#### 550 **References**

551 [1] N. Pardi, S. Tuyishime, H. Muramatsu, K. Kariko, B. L. Mui, Y. K. Tam, T. D. Madden, M.  
552 J. Hope, D. Weissman. Expression kinetics of nucleoside-modified mRNA delivered in lipid  
553 nanoparticles to mice by various routes, *J. Control. Release* 217 (2015) 345-351.

554 [2] S. C. Semple, A. Akinc, J. X. Chen, A. P. Sandhu, B. L. Mui, C. K. Cho, D. W. Y. Sah, D.  
555 Stebbing, E. J. Crosley, E. Yaworski, I. M. Hafez, J. R. Dorkin, J. Qin, K. Lam, K. G. Rajeev, K.  
556 F. Wong, L. B. Jeffs, L. Nechev, M. L. Eisenhardt, M. Jayaraman, M. Kazem, M. A. Maier, M.  
557 Srinivasulu, M. J. Weinstein, Q. M. Chen, R. Alvarez, S. A. Barros, S. De, S. K. Klimuk, T.  
558 Borland, V. Kosovrasti, W. L. Cantley, Y. K. Tam, M. Manoharan, M. A. Ciufolini, M. A.

559 Tracy, A. de Fougerolles, I. MacLachlan, P. R. Cullis, T. D. Madden, M. J. Hope. Rational  
560 design of cationic lipids for siRNA delivery, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28 (2010) 172-176.

561 [3] A. D. Tagalakis, I. A. Diakonov, I. R. Graham, K. A. Heald, J. D. Harris, J. V. Mulcahy, G.  
562 Dickson, J. S. Owen. Apolipoprotein E delivery by peritoneal implantation of encapsulated  
563 recombinant cells improves the hyperlipidaemic profile in apoE-deficient mice, *BBA-Mol. Cell.*  
564 *Biol. L.* 1686 (2005) 190-199.

565 [4] H. Yin, R. L. Kanasty, A. A. Eltoukhy, A. J. Vegas, J. R. Dorkin, D. G. Anderson. Non-viral  
566 vectors for gene-based therapy, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 15 (2014) 541-555.

567 [5] C. Sheridan. Gene therapy finds its niche, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29 (2011) 121-128.

568 [6] Y. Zhang, A. Satterlee, L. Huang. In vivo gene delivery by nonviral vectors: overcoming  
569 hurdles?, *Mol. Ther.* 20 (2012) 1298-1304.

570 [7] A. Kwok, D. McCarthy, S. L. Hart, A. D. Tagalakis. Systematic Comparisons of  
571 Formulations of Linear Oligolysine Peptides with siRNA and Plasmid DNA, *Chem. Biol. Drug*  
572 *Des.* 87 (2016) 747-763.

573 [8] A. D. Tagalakis, L. He, L. Saraiva, K. T. Gustafsson, S. L. Hart. Receptor-targeted liposome-  
574 peptide nanocomplexes for siRNA delivery, *Biomaterials* 32 (2011) 6302-6315.

575 [9] A. D. Tagalakis, L. Saraiva, D. McCarthy, K. T. Gustafsson, S. L. Hart. Comparison of  
576 Nanocomplexes with Branched and Linear Peptides for SiRNA Delivery, *Biomacromolecules* 14  
577 (2013) 761-770.

578 [10] A. Weng, M. D. I. Manunta, M. Thakur, R. Gilibert-Oriol, A. D. Tagalakis, A. Eddaoudi,  
579 M. M. Munye, C. A. Vink, B. Wiesner, J. Eichhorst, M. F. Melzig, S. L. Hart. Improved  
580 intracellular delivery of peptide- and lipid-nanoplexes by natural glycosides, *J. Control. Release*  
581 206 (2015) 75-90.

582 [11] C. Yu-Wai-Man, A. D. Tagalakis, M. D. Manunta, S. L. Hart, P. T. Khaw. Receptor-  
583 targeted liposome-peptide-siRNA nanoparticles represent an efficient delivery system for MRTF  
584 silencing in conjunctival fibrosis, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 21881.

585 [12] G. D. Kenny, C. Villegas-Llerena, A. D. Tagalakis, F. Campbell, K. Welsler, M. Botta, A. B.  
586 Tabor, H. C. Hailes, M. F. Lythgoe, S. L. Hart. Multifunctional receptor-targeted nanocomplexes  
587 for magnetic resonance imaging and transfection of tumours, *Biomaterials* 33 (2012) 7241-7250.

588 [13] Q. H. Meng, S. Irvine, A. D. Tagalakis, R. J. McNulty, J. R. McEwan, S. L. Hart.  
589 Inhibition of neointimal hyperplasia in a rabbit vein graft model following non-viral transfection

590 with human iNOS cDNA, *Gene Ther.* 20 (2013) 979-986.

591 [14] M. M. Munye, A. D. Tagalakis, J. L. Barnes, R. E. Brown, R. J. McAnulty, S. J. Howe, S.  
592 L. Hart. Minicircle DNA Provides Enhanced and Prolonged Transgene Expression Following  
593 Airway Gene Transfer, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 23125.

594 [15] A. D. Tagalakis, S. M. Grosse, Q. H. Meng, M. F. M. Mustapa, A. Kwok, S. E. Salehi, A. B.  
595 Tabor, H. C. Hailes, S. L. Hart. Integrin-targeted nanocomplexes for tumour specific delivery  
596 and therapy by systemic administration, *Biomaterials* 32 (2011) 1370-1376.

597 [16] A. D. Tagalakis, G. D. Kenny, A. S. Bienemann, D. McCarthy, M. M. Munye, H. Taylor,  
598 M. J. Wyatt, M. F. Lythgoe, E. A. White, S. L. Hart. PEGylation improves the receptor-mediated  
599 transfection efficiency of peptide-targeted, self-assembling, anionic nanocomplexes, *J. Control.*  
600 *Release* 174 (2014) 177-187.

601 [17] A. D. Tagalakis, D. H. D. Lee, A. S. Bienemann, H. Y. Zhou, M. M. Munye, L. Saraiva, D.  
602 McCarthy, Z. X. Du, C. A. Vink, R. Maeshima, E. A. White, K. Gustafsson, S. L. Hart.  
603 Multifunctional, self-assembling anionic peptide-lipid nanocomplexes for targeted siRNA  
604 delivery, *Biomaterials* 35 (2014) 8406-8415.

605 [18] A. D. Tagalakis, R. J. McAnulty, J. Devaney, S. E. Bottoms, J. B. Wong, M. Elbs, M. J.  
606 Writer, H. C. Hailes, A. B. Tabor, C. O'Callaghan, A. Jaffe, S. L. Hart. A receptor-targeted  
607 nanocomplex vector system optimized for respiratory gene transfer, *Mol. Ther.* 16 (2008) 907-  
608 915.

609 [19] M. Hadorn, E. Boenzli, K. T. Sorensen, D. De Lucrezia, M. M. Hanczyc, T. Yomo. Defined  
610 DNA-mediated assemblies of gene-expressing giant unilamellar vesicles, *Langmuir* 29 (2013)  
611 15309-15319.

612 [20] S. Pautot, B. J. Frisken, D. A. Weitz. Engineering asymmetric vesicles, *Proc. Natl. Acad.*  
613 *Sci. U S A* 100 (2003) 10718-10721.

614 [21] P. A. Beales, J. Nam, T. K. Vanderlick. Specific adhesion between DNA-functionalized  
615 "Janus" vesicles: size-limited clusters, *Soft Matter* 7 (2011) 1747-1755.

616 [22] M. Hadorn, E. Boenzli, M. M. Hanczyc. Specific and Reversible DNA-Directed Self-  
617 Assembly of Modular Vesicle-Droplet Hybrid Materials, *Langmuir* 32 (2016) 3561-3566.

618 [23] M. Hadorn, P. Eggenberger Hotz. DNA-mediated self-assembly of artificial vesicles, *PLoS*  
619 *One* 5 (2010) e9886.

620 [24] L. Parolini, B. M. Moggetti, J. Kotar, E. Eiser, P. Cicuta, L. Di Michele. Volume and

621 porosity thermal regulation in lipid mesophases by coupling mobile ligands to soft membranes,  
622 Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 5948.

623 [25] A. S. Cans, M. Andes-Koback, C. D. Keating. Positioning lipid membrane domains in giant  
624 vesicles by micro-organization of aqueous cytoplasm mimic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008)  
625 7400-7406.

626 [26] M. Hadorn, E. Boenzli, P. E. Hotz. A quantitative analytical method to test for salt effects  
627 on giant unilamellar vesicles, Sci. Rep. 1 (2011) 168.

628 [27] R. Kwok, E. Evans. Thermoelasticity of large lecithin bilayer vesicles, Biophys. J. 35  
629 (1981) 637-652.

630 [28] M. Mally, J. Majhenc, S. Svetina, B. Zeks. The response of giant phospholipid vesicles to  
631 pore-forming peptide melittin, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1768 (2007) 1179-1189.

632 [29] C. Mauroy, T. Portet, M. Winterhalder, E. Bellard, M. C. Blache, J. Teissie, A. Zumbusch,  
633 M. P. Rols. Giant lipid vesicles under electric field pulses assessed by non invasive imaging,  
634 Bioelectrochemistry 87 (2012) 253-259.

635 [30] S. L. Veatch, O. Soubias, S. L. Keller, K. Gawrisch. Critical fluctuations in domain-forming  
636 lipid mixtures, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 104 (2007) 17650-17655.

637 [31] Y. Yu, J. A. Vroman, S. C. Bae, S. Granick. Vesicle budding induced by a pore-forming  
638 peptide, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 195-201.

639 [32] J. Zhou, A. L. Loftus, G. Mulley, A. T. Jenkins. A thin film detection/response system for  
640 pathogenic bacteria, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 6566-6570.

641 [33] R. Dimova, S. Aranda, N. Bezlyepkina, V. Nikolov, K. A. Riske, R. Lipowsky. A practical  
642 guide to giant vesicles. Probing the membrane nanoregime via optical microscopy, J. Phys.  
643 Condens. Matter 18 (2006) S1151-1176.

644 [34] A. Hall, L. Parhamifar, M. K. Lange, K. D. Meyle, M. Sanderhoff, H. Andersen, M.  
645 Roursgaard, A. K. Larsen, P. B. Jensen, C. Christensen, J. Bartek, S. M. Moghimi.  
646 Polyethylenimine architecture-dependent metabolic imprints and perturbation of cellular redox  
647 homeostasis, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1847 (2015) 328-342.

648 [35] L. R. Montes, A. Alonso, F. M. Goni, L. A. Bagatolli. Giant unilamellar vesicles  
649 electroformed from native membranes and organic lipid mixtures under physiological conditions,  
650 Biophys. J. 93 (2007) 3548-3554.

651 [36] M. J. Writer, B. Marshall, M. A. Pilkington-Miksa, S. E. Barker, M. Jacobsen, A. Kritz, P.

652 C. Bell, D. H. Lester, A. B. Tabor, H. C. Hailes, N. Klein, S. L. Hart. Targeted gene delivery to  
653 human airway epithelial cells with synthetic vectors incorporating novel targeting peptides  
654 selected by phage display, *J. Drug Target.* 12 (2004) 185-193.

655 [37] J. Bella, P. R. Kolatkar, C. W. Marlor, J. M. Greve, M. G. Rossmann. The structure of the  
656 two amino-terminal domains of human ICAM-1 suggests how it functions as a rhinovirus  
657 receptor and as an LFA-1 integrin ligand, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 95 (1998) 4140-4145.

658 [38] S. C. Chan, D. K. Shum, G. L. Tipoe, J. C. Mak, E. T. Leung, M. S. Ip. Upregulation of  
659 ICAM-1 expression in bronchial epithelial cells by airway secretions in bronchiectasis, *Respir.*  
660 *Med.* 102 (2008) 287-298.

661 [39] S. M. Moghimi, I. Hamad, T. L. Andresen, K. Jorgensen, J. Szebeni. Methylation of the  
662 phosphate oxygen moiety of phospholipid-methoxy(polyethylene glycol) conjugate prevents  
663 PEGylated liposome-mediated complement activation and anaphylatoxin production, *FASEB J.*  
664 20 (2006) 2591-2593.

665 [40] A. J. Andersen, J. T. Robinson, H. Dai, A. C. Hunter, T. L. Andresen, S. M. Moghimi.  
666 Single-walled carbon nanotube surface control of complement recognition and activation, *ACS*  
667 *Nano* 7 (2013) 1108-1119.

668 [41] N. K. Banda, G. Mehta, Y. Chao, G. K. Wang, S. Inturi, L. Fossati-Jimack, M. Botto, L. P.  
669 Wu, S. M. Moghimi, D. Simberg. Mechanisms of complement activation by dextran-coated  
670 superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) nanoworms in mouse versus human serum, *Part. Fibre*  
671 *Toxicol.* 11 (2014)64.

672 [42] I. D. Azmi, L. Wu, P. P. Wibroe, C. Nilsson, J. Ostergaard, S. Sturup, B. Gammelgaard, A.  
673 Urtti, S. M. Moghimi, A. Yaghmur. Modulatory effect of human plasma on the internal  
674 nanostructure and size characteristics of liquid-crystalline nanocarriers, *Langmuir* 31 (2015)  
675 5042-5049.

676 [43] S. M. Moghimi, A. J. Andersen, D. Ahmadvand, P. P. Wibroe, T. L. Andresen, A. C.  
677 Hunter. Material properties in complement activation, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 63 (2011) 1000-  
678 1007.

679 [44] P. P. Wibroe, D. Ahmadvand, M. A. Oghabian, A. Yaghmur, S. M. Moghimi. An integrated  
680 assessment of morphology, size, and complement activation of the PEGylated liposomal  
681 doxorubicin products Doxil(R), Caelyx(R), DOXOrubicin, and SinaDoxosome, *J. Control.*  
682 *Release* 221 (2016) 1-8.

683 [45] A. D. Tagalakis, S. Castellaro, H. Y. Zhou, A. Bienemann, M. M. Munye, D. McCarthy, E.  
684 A. White, S. L. Hart. A method for concentrating lipid peptide DNA and siRNA nanocomplexes  
685 that retains their structure and transfection efficiency, *Int. J. Nanomed.* 10 (2015) 2673-2683.

686 [46] T. Bhatia, P. Husen, J. Brewer, L. A. Bagatolli, P. L. Hansen, J. H. Ipsen, O. G. Mouritsen.  
687 Preparing giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) of complex lipid mixtures on demand: Mixing small  
688 unilamellar vesicles of compositionally heterogeneous mixtures, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1848  
689 (2015) 3175-3180.

690 [47] M. Hadorn, E. Boenzli, P. Eggenberger Hotz, M. M. Hanczyc. Hierarchical unilamellar  
691 vesicles of controlled compositional heterogeneity, *PLoS One* 7 (2012) e50156.

692 [48] H. Gao, W. Shi, L. B. Freund. Mechanics of receptor-mediated endocytosis, *Proc. Natl.*  
693 *Acad. Sci. U S A* 102 (2005) 9469-9474.

694 [49] M. Mannisto, M. Reinisalo, M. Ruponen, P. Honkakoski, M. Tammi, A. Urtti. Polyplex-  
695 mediated gene transfer and cell cycle: effect of carrier on cellular uptake and intracellular  
696 kinetics, and significance of glycosaminoglycans, *J. Gene Med.* 9 (2007) 479-487.

697 [50] C. Schweiger, R. Hartmann, F. Zhang, W. J. Parak, T. H. Kissel, P. Rivera Gil.  
698 Quantification of the internalization patterns of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with  
699 opposite charge, *J. Nanobiotechnol.* 10 (2012) 28.

700 [51] X. X. Zhang, T. J. McIntosh, M. W. Grinstaff. Functional lipids and lipoplexes for improved  
701 gene delivery. *Biochimie* 2012;94:42-58.

702 [52] S. E. Gratton, P. A. Ropp, P. D. Pohlhaus, J. C. Luft, V. J. Madden, M. E. Napier, J. M.  
703 DeSimone. The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways, *Proc. Natl. Acad.*  
704 *Sci. U S A* 105 (2008) 11613-11618.

705 [53] J. M. Williford, J. L. Santos, R. Shyam, H. Q. Mao. Shape Control in Engineering of  
706 Polymeric Nanoparticles for Therapeutic Delivery, *Biomater. Sci.* 3 (2015) 894-907.

707 [54] Z. X. Du, M. M. Munye, A. D. Tagalakis, M. D. I. Manunta, S. L. Hart. The Role of the  
708 Helper Lipid on the DNA Transfection Efficiency of Lipopolyplex Formulations, *Sci. Rep.* 4  
709 (2014) 7107.

710 [55] M. M. Munye, J. Ravi, A. D. Tagalakis, D. McCarthy, M. G. Ryadnov, S. L. Hart. Role of  
711 liposome and peptide in the synergistic enhancement of transfection with a lipopolyplex vector,  
712 *Sci. Rep.* 5 (2015) 9292.

713 [56] B. Ballarin-Gonzalez, K. A. Howard. Polycation-based nanoparticle delivery of RNAi

714 therapeutics: adverse effects and solutions, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 64 (2012) 1717-1729.

715 [57] K. Romoren, B. J. Thu, N. C. Bols, O. Evensen. Transfection efficiency and cytotoxicity of  
716 cationic liposomes in salmonid cell lines of hepatocyte and macrophage origin, *Biochim.*  
717 *Biophys. Acta* 1663 (2004) 127-134.

718 [58] X. B. Xiong, A. Lavasanifar. Traceable multifunctional micellar nanocarriers for cancer-  
719 targeted co-delivery of MDR-1 siRNA and doxorubicin, *ACS Nano* 5 (2011) 5202-5213.

720 [59] J. D. Hood, M. Bednarski, R. Frausto, S. Guccione, R. A. Reisfeld, R. Xiang, D. A.  
721 Cheresh. Tumor regression by targeted gene delivery to the neovasculature, *Science* 296 (2002)  
722 2404-2407.

723 [60] S. D. Li, L. Huang. Nanoparticles evading the reticuloendothelial system: role of the  
724 supported bilayer, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1788 (2009) 2259-2266.

725 [61] W. L. Monsky, D. Fukumura, T. Gohongi, M. Ancukiewicz, H. A. Weich, V. P. Torchilin, F.  
726 Yuan, R. K. Jain. Augmentation of transvascular transport of macromolecules and nanoparticles  
727 in tumors using vascular endothelial growth factor, *Cancer Res.* 59 (1999) 4129-4135.

728 [62] M. M. Markiewski, R. A. DeAngelis, F. Benencia, S. K. Ricklin-Lichtsteiner, A.  
729 Koutoulaki, C. Gerard, G. Coukos, J. D. Lambris. Modulation of the antitumor immune response  
730 by complement, *Nat. Immunol.* 9 (2008) 1225-1235.

731 [63] S. M. Moghimi. Cancer nanomedicine and the complement system activation paradigm:  
732 Anaphylaxis and tumour growth, *J. Control. Release* 190 (2014) 556-562.

733 [64] M. Honda, K. Takiguchi, S. Ishikawa, H. Hotani. Morphogenesis of liposomes  
734 encapsulating actin depends on the type of actin-crosslinking, *J. Mol. Biol.* 287 (1999) 293-300.

735 [65] J. Kas, E. Sackmann. Shape transitions and shape stability of giant phospholipid vesicles in  
736 pure water induced by area-to-volume changes, *Biophys. J.* 60 (1991) 825-844.

737 [66] O. Sandre, L. Moreaux, F. Brochard-Wyart. Dynamics of transient pores in stretched  
738 vesicles, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 96 (1999) 10591-10596.

739 [67] S. H. C. Askes, N. L. Mora, R. Harkes, R. I. Koning, B. Koster, T. Schmidt, A. Kros, S.  
740 Bonnet. Imaging the lipid bilayer of giant unilamellar vesicles using red-to-blue light  
741 upconversion, *Chem. Commun.* 51 (2015) 9137-9140.

742 [68] S. Pautot, B. J. Frisken, D. A. Weitz. Production of unilamellar vesicles using an inverted  
743 emulsion, *Langmuir* 19 (2003) 2870-2879.

744



745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778

<b>Name</b>	<b>Components</b>
LYD (lipopolyplex)	DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide Y/DNA
LED (lipopolyplex)	DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide E/DNA
LMED (lipopolyplex)	DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide ME27/DNA
LK16D (lipopolyplex)	DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide K16/DNA
LYD <sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle complex)	DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide Y/DNA
LED <sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle complex)	DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide E/DNA
LMED <sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle complex)	DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide ME27/DNA
LK16D <sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle complex)	DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide K16/DNA
LYR (lipopolyplex)	DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide Y/siRNA
LMER (lipopolyplex)	DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide ME27/siRNA
LYR <sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle complex)	DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide Y/siRNA
LMER <sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle complex)	DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide ME27/siRNA

779

780 **Table 1.** Terminology of nanocomplexes (lipopolyplexes or nanovesicle complexes).

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

795 **Fig. 1.** Biophysical characteristics of nanovesicles, liposomes, nanovesicle complexes and  
796 lipopolyplexes (A) Size and surface charge measurements of cationic liposomes, nanovesicles,  
797 nanovesicle complexes and lipopolyplexes. Particle size was measured by dynamic light  
798 scattering. DD=DOTMA/DOPE, LYD=DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide Y/DNA, LYR=  
799 DOTMA/DOPE/Peptide Y/siRNA, DD<sub>ves</sub>= DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicles, LYD<sub>ves</sub>=  
800 DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide Y/DNA and LYR<sub>ves</sub>= DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/Peptide  
801 Y/siRNA. (B) Negative staining TEM with 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate was used to visualize  
802 DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicles. Scale Bar= 2  $\mu$ m. (C) Negative staining TEM with 1% (w/v)  
803 uranyl acetate was used to visualize LYD<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes. Scale Bar= 500 nm. (D) The  
804 dissociation properties of nanocomplexes LYD and LYD<sub>ves</sub> were investigated. PicoGreen  
805 fluorescence of complexes, after incubation with heparin (0-2 U/mL), was expressed as a  
806 percentage of relative fluorescence units (RFU) relative to free DNA. All experiments were  
807 repeated at least 3 times.

808  
809 **Fig. 2.** In-cell Western and flow cytometry analysis of the siRNA-Dy677 uptake of different  
810 nanocomplexes. Neuro-2A cells were transfected with different nanocomplexes and 4 h (A) or  
811 24 h (B) later the plates were scanned for in-cell Western analysis. The plates are shown in the  
812 left panel. The graphs (right panel) show the relative fluorescence units (RFU) of each  
813 formulation to that of the naked siRNA, which is set at 1. A1-A6= LYR<sub>ves-new</sub>, A7-A12= LYR,  
814 B1-B6= LMER<sub>ves-new</sub>, B7-B12= LMER, C1-C6= LYR<sub>ves-old1</sub>, C7-C12= LMER<sub>ves-old1</sub>, D1-D6=  
815 LK16R, D7-D12= LK16R<sub>ves-new</sub>, E1-E6= LR, E7-E12= LR<sub>ves-new</sub>, F1-F6= DOTMA/DOPE<sub>ves-new</sub>,  
816 F7-F12= DOTMA/DOPE, G1-G6= peptide Y/siRNA-Dy677, G7-G12= peptide ME27/siRNA-  
817 Dy677, H1-H6=siRNA-Dy677, H7-H12= control untransfected cells. Ves-old1 refers to  
818 nanovesicles made one year earlier and stored at 4°C and ves-new refers to freshly made  
819 nanovesicles. (C) The uptake of siRNA-containing nanocomplexes following transfection of  
820 Neuro-2A cells was measured 4 h post-transfection by flow cytometry. In all the graphs each  
821 column represents the mean  $\pm$  SD from six wells. Asterisks indicate comparison of  
822 specific formulations with statistical significance (\*\*\*,  $p < 0.001$ ).

823  
824 **Fig. 3.** *In vitro* transfections of nanovesicle complexes and lipopolyplexes. (A) Nanocomplexes

825 LMED<sub>ves</sub> and LMED (with targeting peptide ME27) and LK16D<sub>ves</sub> and LK16D (with non-  
826 targeting peptide K16) were used in luciferase transfections in Neuro-2A cells (B)  
827 Nanocomplexes LYD<sub>ves</sub> and LYD (with targeting peptide Y) and LK16D<sub>ves</sub> and LK16D (with  
828 non-targeting peptide K16) were used in luciferase transfections in HBE cells. The cells were  
829 assessed for luciferase expression 24 h later. Each column represents the mean  $\pm$  SD from six  
830 wells, and the experiment was repeated 3 times. Asterisks indicate comparison of  
831 specific formulations with statistical significance (\*,  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*\*,  $p < 0.001$ ). (B) (C-D) GFP  
832 transfection efficiency of the LMED<sub>ves</sub> and the LMED nanocomplexes. Two formulations,  
833 LMED<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes (C) and LMED lipopolyplexes (D) were used to transfect  
834 Neuro-2A cells. GFP expression was observed by epifluorescence microscopy 48 h later  
835 (representative cells are shown in phase-contrast on the left and transfected cells appear green on  
836 the right images; Scale Bar = 100  $\mu$ m). Peptide ME27 was used for all formulations.

837

838 **Fig. 4.** Flow cytometry analysis of GFP expression in Neuro-2A cells. The intensity of GFP  
839 expression was evaluated at 48 h following transfection. (A) Control untransfected cells. (B)  
840 Cells transfected with LMED<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes. (C) Cells transfected with LMED  
841 lipopolyplexes. FL1= fluorescence intensity, SSC= side scatter. Each experiment was performed  
842 in triplicate wells.

843

844 **Fig. 5.** Complement activation assays and cell viability show a lack of cytotoxicity. (A)  
845 Quantification of complement activation product of sC5b-9 in human serum after incubation  
846 with different concentration of nanocomplexes. Blank (PBS) and positive control (200  $\mu$ g/mL  
847 Zymosan, sC5b-9: 31678 ng/mL serum) were tested during the experiment, as were glucose  
848 (used in LYD<sub>ves</sub>) and water (used in LYD). (B) Quantification of complement activation product  
849 of C5a in human serum after incubation with different concentration of nanocomplexes. Blank  
850 (PBS) and positive control (200  $\mu$ g/mL Zymosan, C5a: 201 ng/mL serum) were tested during the  
851 experiment, as were glucose (used in LYD<sub>ves</sub>) and water (used in LYD). Asterisks indicate  
852 comparisons of specific formulations with statistical significance (\*,  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  
853  $p < 0.001$ ). (C) Viability of Neuro-2A cells following transfection for 24 h with different  
854 nanocomplexes. Viability values were normalized to the untransfected control cells. All  
855 transfections were performed in groups of six. Asterisks indicate comparisons of

856 specific formulations to the control untransfected cells with statistical significance (\*\*\*,  
857  $p < 0.001$ ). DD=DOTMA/DOPE, LYD<sub>old</sub>=DOTMA/DOPE liposome one year old/peptide  
858 Y/DNA, LYD<sub>new</sub>=DOTMA/DOPE fresh liposome/peptide Y/DNA, LYD<sub>ves-old1</sub>= DOTMA/DOPE  
859 nanovesicles 1 year old/peptide Y/DNA, LYD<sub>ves-old2</sub>= DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicles 6 months  
860 old/peptide Y/DNA, LYD<sub>ves-new</sub>= DOTMA/DOPE fresh nanovesicle/peptide Y/DNA, LD<sub>ves-</sub>  
861 <sub>old1</sub>=DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicles 1 year old/DNA, LD<sub>ves-new</sub>=DOTMA/DOPE fresh  
862 nanovesicle/DNA, PD=peptide Y/DNA, LYR<sub>ves</sub>=DOTMA/DOPE fresh nanovesicle/peptide  
863 Y/siRNA.

864

865 **Fig. 6.** Transgene expression following *in vivo* transfections of mice lungs. Luciferase activity in  
866 mice lungs was detected 24 h following oropharyngeal instillation of LED<sub>ves</sub> (nanovesicle  
867 complexes) or LED lipopolyplexes. Values are background subtracted and the bar represents  
868 mean RLU/mg.

869

870 **Fig. 7.** Tumour uptake of formulations following intravenous administration. 24 h later the mice  
871 were culled (n=3 per group) and tumours and organs were extracted and imaged for  
872 fluorescence. (A) organs (heart, lung, liver, kidneys, spleen) and tumour of a mouse that received  
873 LMER<sub>ves</sub> (DOTMA/DOPE nanovesicle/peptide ME27/siRNA-Dy677) nanovesicle complexes  
874 and (B) mice tumours: control tumour (mouse was not injected) and tumour from a mouse that  
875 received LMER<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes. The fluorescence signal was also investigated in  
876 histological sections of tumours of control mice (C-E) or from mice following tail-vein injections  
877 of LMER<sub>ves</sub> nanovesicle complexes (F-H). The tumours were removed 24 h after the injection  
878 and the fluorescence recorded. The cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) and the siRNA-  
879 Dy677 in red. (C, F) DAPI staining, (D, G) siRNA-Dy677 and (E, H) merged images. Scale Bar  
880 = 50  $\mu$ m.

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888