

European Journal of Nutrition

Dietary conjugated α -linolenic acid (CLNA) did not improve glucose tolerance in a neonatal pig model --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Dietary conjugated α -linolenic acid (CLNA) did not improve glucose tolerance in a neonatal pig model
Article Type:	Original Contribution
Keywords:	conjugated linolenic acid; n-3 fatty acid; insulin resistance; pig
Corresponding Author:	Christian-Alexandre Castellano, Ph.D. Research Center on Aging - Université de Sherbrooke Sherbrooke, QC CANADA
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Research Center on Aging - Université de Sherbrooke
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Christian-Alexandre Castellano, Ph.D.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Christian-Alexandre Castellano, Ph.D. Jean-Patrice Baillargeon, M.D Mélanie Plourde, Ph.D. Sandie I. Briand Paul Anger, Ph.D. Alain Giguère J. Jacques Matte, Ph.D.
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Purpose: There is an increased interest in the benefits of conjugated α-linolenic acid (CLNA) on obesity-related complications such as insulin resistance and diabetes. The aim of the study was to investigate whether a 1% dietary supplementation of mono-CLNA isomers (c9-t11-c15-18:3 + c9-t13-c15-18:3) improved glucose and lipid metabolism in neonatal pigs. Methods: Since mono-CLNA isomers combine one conjugated two-double bond system with an n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) structure, the experimental protocol was designed to isolate the dietary structural characteristics of the molecules by comparing a CLNA diet with three other dietary fats: 1) conjugated linoleic acid (c9-t11-18:2 + t10-c12-18:2; CLA), 2) non-conjugated n-3 PUFA and 3) n-6 PUFA. Thirty-two piglets weaned at 3 weeks of age were distributed into the four dietary groups. Diets were isoenergetic and food intake was controlled by a gastric tube. After 2 weeks of supplementation, gastro-enteral (OGTT) and parenteral (IVGTT) glucose tolerance tests were conducted. Results: Dietary supplementation with mono-CLNA did not modify body weight/fat or blood lipid profiles ($p > 0.82$ and $p > 0.57$, respectively) compared with other dietary groups. Plasma glucose, insulin and C-peptide responses to OGTT and IVGTT in the CLNA group was not different from the three other dietary groups ($p > 0.18$ and $p > 0.15$, respectively). Compared to the non-conjugated n-3 PUFA diet, CLNA-fed animals had decreased liver composition in three n-3 fatty acids (18:3n-3; 20:3n-3; 22:5n-3) ($p < 0.001$). Conclusions: These results suggest that providing 1% mono-CLNA is not effective in improving insulin sensitivity in neonatal pigs.</p>
Suggested Reviewers:	Hélène Poirier h.poirier@agrosupdijon.fr

	Ignacio Fernández-Fígares ifigares@eez.csic.es
	Darshan S. Kelley darshan.kelley@ars.usda.gov

1 **Title:** Dietary conjugated α -linolenic acid (CLNA) did not improve glucose tolerance in a neonatal pig model

2

3 **Running title:** CLNA and glucose tolerance in neonatal pigs

4

5 Christian-Alexandre Castellano^{1,2*}, Jean-Patrice Baillargeon³, Mélanie Plourde^{1,3}, Sandie I. Briand^{4,5}, Paul Angers⁶, Alain
6 Giguère⁷ and J. Jacques Matte⁷

7

8 ¹Research Center on Aging, Health and Social Sciences Center, Geriatrics Institute, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

9 ²Department Physiology and Biophysics, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

10 ³Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

11 ⁴Naturia Inc., Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

12 ⁵Institut national de santé publique du Québec, Montréal, QC, Canada

13 ⁶Department of Food Sciences and Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture and Agri-Food Sciences, Université Laval, QC,
14 Canada, G1K 7P4.

15 ⁷Dairy and Swine Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

16

17

18

19

20 *Corresponding author:

21 Research Center on Aging, 1036 Belvedere South, Sherbrooke, (QC, Canada) J1H 4C4.

22 Tel: 1-819-780-2220 - Fax: 1-819-829-7141

23 E-mail: Alexandre.Castellano@USherbrooke.ca

24

25 **Abstract**

26

27 **Purpose:** There is an increased interest in the benefits of conjugated α -linolenic acid (CLNA) on obesity-related
28 complications such as insulin resistance and diabetes. The aim of the study was to investigate whether a 1% dietary
29 supplementation of mono-CLNA isomers (*c9-t11-c15-18:3 + c9-t13-c15-18:3*) improved glucose and lipid metabolism in
30 neonatal pigs. **Methods:** Since mono-CLNA isomers combine one conjugated two-double bond system with an n-3
31 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) structure, the experimental protocol was designed to isolate the dietary structural
32 characteristics of the molecules by comparing a CLNA diet with three other dietary fats: 1) conjugated linoleic acid (*c9-t11-*
33 *18:2 + t10-c12-18:2*; CLA), 2) non-conjugated n-3 PUFA and 3) n-6 PUFA. Thirty-two piglets weaned at 3 weeks of age
34 were distributed into the four dietary groups. Diets were isoenergetic and food intake was controlled by a gastric tube. After
35 2 weeks of supplementation, gastro-enteral (OGTT) and parenteral (IVGTT) glucose tolerance tests were conducted.
36 **Results:** Dietary supplementation with mono-CLNA did not modify body weight/fat or blood lipid profiles ($p>0.82$ and
37 $p>0.57$, respectively) compared with other dietary groups. Plasma glucose, insulin and C-peptide responses to OGTT and
38 IVGTT in the CLNA group was not different from the three other dietary groups ($p>0.18$ and $p>0.15$, respectively).
39 Compared to the non-conjugated n-3 PUFA diet, CLNA-fed animals had decreased liver composition in three n-3 fatty
40 acids (18:3n-3; 20:3n-3; 22:5n-3) ($p<0.001$). **Conclusions:** These results suggest that providing 1% mono-CLNA is not
41 effective in improving insulin sensitivity in neonatal pigs.

42

43 **Key words:** conjugated linolenic acid: n-3 fatty acid: insulin resistance: pig

44 Introduction

45

46 Conjugated fatty acids refer to a set of positional and geometric isomers of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) with
47 conjugated double bonds. Conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) were first identified in the late eighties by Pariza *et al.* [1]. Since
48 then, consumption of CLA was associated to weight loss [2] and improving of insulin sensitivity [3]. Another group of
49 conjugated fatty acids recently received more attention because it combined an n-3 and a conjugated double bond:
50 conjugated α -linolenic acids (CLNA) [4]. CLNA isomers are naturally present in plant seeds (di-CLNA) and in dairy
51 products (mono-CLNA). Mono- and di-CLNA differ by their conjugated double-bond system: mono-CLNA have a single
52 conjugated double-bond system at the n-5 or n-7 carbon, *i.e.* rumelenic acid, *c9-t11-c15-18:3*, whereas di-CLNA have a
53 double conjugated double-bond system at the n-5/n-7 or n-8/n-10 carbons, *i.e.* α -eleostearic acid *c9-t11-t13-18:3*. Mono-
54 CLNA isomers are produced by biohydrogenation of α -linolenic acid by rumen bacteria [5, 6].

55 Because of the worldwide problem of obesity in children and the related health problems such as hypertension and diabetes
56 mellitus [7], there is an increased interest in the development of preventive and therapeutic strategies for improving insulin
57 resistance [8]. Indeed, using antidiabetic drugs is not appropriate for treating diabetes in children unless there is severe
58 glucose intolerance, thereby finding natural strategies such as CLNA isomers is an attractive approach which deserves to be
59 studied. Di-CLNA are able to decrease body weight [9] and fat [10, 11], as well as increase insulin sensitivity in rodents [9,
60 12, 13]. Some studies also reported that either CLA [14] or n-3 PUFA [15] or the combination of the two [16] could
61 improve glucose tolerance. Since a mono-CLNA such as *c9-t11-c15-18:3* isomer combines the conjugated double bond
62 system of CLA and the n-3 double bond of α -linolenic acid, it is reasonable to speculate that this original fatty acid structure
63 may provide similar or even enhanced glucose tolerance than the conjugated or n-3 double bond structure.

64 Bioavailability of mono-CLNA was reported to be high in rodents. The metabolism of mono-CLNA has already been
65 studied in different animal models excluding pigs [5, 6, 17].

66 The objective of the present study was to investigate whether dietary supplementation with mono-CLNA (*c9-t11-c15-18:3* +
67 *c9-t13-c15-18:3*) improves glucose metabolism in neonatal piglets. In order to isolate the role of the conjugated double-
68 bond in combination with the n-3 PUFA structure, mono-CLNA group will be compared with three other dietary treatments:
69 CLA isomers, non-conjugated n-3 and non-conjugated n-6 PUFAs.

70

71 Methods and materials

72

73 *Animals and diets*

74 Thirty two Yorkshire \times Landrace \times Duroc piglets (females and castrated males) weaned at 3 weeks of age were separated
75 into eight groups of four animals. To control for food intake, an oesophageal gastric tube was installed into all animals as
76 previously described by Cortamira *et al.* [18]. Individual adjoining metabolism cages with plastic floors allowed free
77 movement and room temperature was kept at 27°C. At baseline, average body weight was 7.6 ± 0.4 kg. Within each group
78 the piglets (from the same litter) were assigned to one of four dietary treatment groups, fed entirely with a commercial diet
79 (barley (25%), maize (20%), dried whey (20%), soybean meal (10%), extruded soybean (8%) and plasma protein (5%);
80 Table 1) plus either 1% of the caloric intake of the basal diet in the form of one the following lipid emulsion of specific fatty

81 acids: (1) synthetic mixture of two mono-conjugated α -linolenic acids (*c9-t11-c15-18:3* + *c9-t13-c15-18:3*; CLNA); (2)
82 mixture of two conjugated linoleic acids (*c9-t11-18:2* + *t10-c12-18:2*; CLA); (3) n-3 fatty acids (W3); or (4) n-6 fatty acids
83 (W6).

84 Mono-CLNA isomers were synthesized by alkali isomerization of α -linolenic acid. Thereafter, CLNA isomers were purified
85 by preparative chromatography using a reverse phase column, as previously described by Trottier [19]. The fatty acid
86 profile of the four lipid emulsions is shown in Table 2.

87 All diets were isoenergetic. The feeding regime was based on daily increment of 7.1 g feed per kg^{0.75} body weight (g/kg^{0.75})
88 up to the target maximum daily value of 56 g/kg^{0.75}. The daily intake was adjusted three times per week according to
89 changes in body weight in order to maintain the weight stable. Basal diet was mixed with water (1:2) and infused with a
90 syringe into the stomach via the gastric tube. Daily meals were given at 08:00, 11:30 and 16:00 hours; each representing
91 45%, 20% and 35% of the diet caloric intake, respectively. Dietary treatments were given during the morning meal. Before
92 the morning meal on day 0 (before attribution of treatments) and on day 15 post-weaning, body weight was measured and
93 blood samples were collected via jugular venipuncture as previously described by Matte *et al.* [20].

94 On day 9 of the experimental protocol, a jugular catheter was installed by a non-surgical technique described by Matte *et al.*
95 [21]. All animals were tested for insulin resistance by two glucose tolerance tests: a gastro-enteral (OGTT) and a parenteral
96 (IVGTT) test. Briefly, after fasting for 18h, piglets (n=32) were given either an oral (OGTT) or an IV (IVGTT) dose of
97 glucose (1.0g/kg BW) over a period of 120 min. Blood samples were collected every 30 min for 240 min (0, 30, 60, 90, 120,
98 150, 180, 210 and 240 min) starting after the initial glucose infusion. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10
99 min at 4°C and plasma was stored at -20°C until glucose, insulin and C-peptide analyses were performed. Two days after
100 the first glucose test, the protocol was repeated with the other glucose test using the same animal. All animals were
101 sacrificed on day 17. The liver was removed, weighed and samples were stored at -20°C for further analysis. The digestive
102 tract, brain, lungs and heart were removed and stored at -20°C until lipid quantification was performed.

103 Throughout the experimental protocol animals were cared for according to the recommended code of practice of Agriculture
104 Canada [22] and the procedure was approved by the local Animal Care Committee following the guidelines of the Canadian
105 Council on Animal Care [23].

106

107 *Biochemical analyses*

108 Plasma glucose was measured by an enzymatic colorimetric assay (GLU GOD-PAP; Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN,
109 USA) whereas insulin (Porcine Insulin RIA Kit PI-12K; Linco Research Inc., St Charles, MI USA) and C-peptide (Porcine
110 C-peptide RIA kit PCP-22k; Linco Research Inc.) were assayed by commercial RIA kits. The homeostatic model
111 assessment (HOMA2), described by Levy *et al.* [24] was used to estimate insulin sensitivity (HOMA2-%S) and secretion
112 (HOMA2-%B) from baseline plasma parameters measured during OGTT and IVGTT. The area under the curve (AUC) of
113 glucose, insulin and C-peptide were calculated using the trapezoidal method [25] between 0 and 210 min. Matsuda's insulin
114 sensitivity whole-body index (ISI) was also calculated from the data generated during the OGTT [26]. An insulin sensitivity
115 index (SI) derived from the IVGTT was calculated according to a modified method described by Bergman and colleagues
116 [27, 28].

117 SI was determined from 120 to 210 min of the IVGTT, *i.e.* after the end of the infusion to assess the deconvolution of
118 glucose with regards to insulin after the glucose peak. Total cholesterol, triglyceride and non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA)
119 concentration in plasma at day 1 and day 15 were measured by the service diagnostic of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
120 at the Université de Montréal (Montreal, QC, Canada). The fatty acid composition of the four diets and liver was
121 determined by gas chromatography as previously described by Castellano *et al.* [29].

122

123 *Statistical Analysis*

124 According to results obtained from similar porcine studies [15], the calculated sample size per group ($n = 8$) was sufficient
125 to detect a difference in insulin sensitivity of at least 15% with a power of 80% and a level of significance of 0.05.

126 The data were analyzed by using the MIXED procedure implemented in Statistical Analysis Systems software (version 6.11
127 of SAS, Cary, NC, USA) [30] according to a completely randomised design with four treatments (CLNA, CLA, W3, and
128 W6) as the main factor. The piglet was considered as the experimental unit. The following model was used:

$$129 Y_{ij} = \mu + F_i + e_{ij}$$

130 where Y_{ij} is the dependent variable, μ is the overall mean, F_i is the treatment effect and e_{ij} is the residual error. Comparisons
131 among treatments were done using the following *a priori* contrasts (CLNA *vs.* W3 for CLA properties; CLNA *vs.* W6 for
132 both CLA and n-3 PUFA properties; CLNA *vs.* CLA for n-3 PUFA properties) using a Dunnett's correction. All values are
133 presented as mean \pm SEM and differences are considered significant at $p < 0.05$.

134

135 **Results**

136

137 *Anthropometry and blood lipid parameters*

138 Body weight of piglets pre-treatment was 7.6 ± 0.4 kg. After 2 weeks of supplementation (day 15), there was no difference
139 ($p > 0.82$) between treatments for either body weight (10.2 ± 0.4 kg) or fat content (10.0 ± 0.8 %). Total cholesterol,
140 triglyceride and NEFA concentrations in blood plasma for day 1 *vs.* day 15 were, 5.9 ± 0.8 *vs.* 2.0 ± 0.1 mmol/l, 0.7 ± 0.1
141 *vs.* 0.3 ± 0.1 mmol/l and 708.3 ± 146.7 *vs.* 769.6 ± 101.8 $\mu\text{mol/l}$, respectively. There was no difference ($p > 0.34$) according
142 to dietary treatments for these parameters.

143

144 *Liver fatty acid profile*

145 There was no significant difference ($p = 0.67$) in liver weight between dietary treatments. The overall organ weight was 248
146 ± 14 g. Evaluation of liver fatty acid composition was used as an indicator of whole body fatty acid status modification from
147 dietary treatments. Mono-CLNA (*c9-t11-c15-18:3* + *c9-t13-c15-18:3*) liver content, was higher ($p < 0.001$) in the CLNA diet
148 than the other diets (0.25 *vs.* 0.01 g/100g fatty acids, respectively). With regards to n-3 fatty acids, 18:3n-3, 20:3n-3 and
149 22:5n-3 were 20 to 40% lower ($p < 0.001$) in CLNA diets compared to the W3 diet. Total n-3 PUFA was also significantly
150 lower ($p < 0.001$) in the CLNA diet than the W3 diet (9.27 *vs.* 10.77 g/100g fatty acids, respectively). In contrast, the
151 proportion of arachidonic acid (20:4n-6) was 8% higher in the CLNA group compared to the W3 group, resulting in a
152 significantly higher total n-6 PUFA level (CLNA *vs.* W3, 40.61 *vs.* 39.52 g/100g fatty acids, respectively).

153

154 *Basal plasma glucose, insulin and C-peptide concentration*

155 Baseline plasma glucose, insulin and C-peptide concentrations were evaluated before the OGTT or IVGTT load of glucose
156 (Table 3). A significant treatment effect was detected for fasting insulin concentration on the OGTT day ($p=0.03$) and on
157 calculated insulin sensitivity HOMA-%S but the specific contrast test did not allow discrimination between the CLNA
158 group and the other dietary groups ($p>0.22$). There was no other treatment difference for OGTT and IVGTT ($p>0.14$; Table
159 3).

160

161 *Monitoring of glucose, insulin and C-peptide during OGTT and IVGTT*

162 AUC for glucose, insulin and C-peptide monitored between 0 and 210 min are reported in Table 4. Among the four dietary
163 groups, there was no significant difference in glucose, insulin and C-peptide monitoring over the OGTT and the IVGTT.
164 Dietary intake did not improve the Matsuda's ISI ($p=0.71$) calculated from the OGTT nor the minimal model-derived
165 insulin sensitivity calculated from the IVGTT (SI; $p=0.51$).

166

167 **Discussion**

168

169 The present study aimed to investigate whether dietary supplementation with mono-CLNA improves body composition and
170 glucose tolerance in neonatal piglets.

171 This model was chosen because piglets represent 1) an accelerated model of postnatal development to study human neonatal
172 nutrition and development [31], 2) a relevant model for insulin resistance [32] and 3) a suitable model for evaluating
173 nutritional strategies to enhance glucose tolerance and prevent type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases later in life [33].

174

175 *Body composition and blood lipids*

176 Mono-CLNA might combine anti-obesity properties of CLA, along with those of the α -linolenic acid. There is evidence
177 suggesting that CLA decreases body weight, fat accumulation and improves serum lipids in mice [34], rats [35], hamsters
178 [36] and humans [37]. Similarly, α -linolenic acid was reported to improve the same biomarkers in hamsters [38] and
179 humans [39]. Many studies in rodents [10-12, 40-42] showed that dietary di-CLNA supplementation decreases body
180 weight, body fat as well as plasma triglycerides and cholesterol. This study speculates that because mono-CLNA has an
181 original structure compared to di-CLNA, this conjugated fatty acid will have improved or equally effective glucose
182 tolerance than CLA or α -linolenic acid alone. None of them combined an n-3 PUFA and a CLA structure. However, dietary
183 supplementation of piglets with mono-CLNA for 14 days did not improve body weight, body fat nor blood lipid profiles.
184 Our findings extend previous studies in rodents which reported that dietary mono-CLNA did not lower body weight [17,
185 43]. By analogy, dietary di-CLNA isomers did not lower adipose tissue weight as well as total cholesterol and triglycerides
186 in the plasma of animals [12, 17, 42, 44, 45] and humans [46].

187

188 *Liver fatty acid composition*

189 CLNA and CLA concentrations in liver reflected the dietary intake of these fatty acids. This response suggests that a 2-
190 week supplementation was sufficient for stabilization of PUFA status within the piglet's body. Our results are in the line

191 with Chartrand et al. [47] who reported that dietary fatty acid content consumed for at least 14 days was proportional to
192 plasma fatty acid profiles and remained constant up to study completion at 36 days. Our results also showed that giving
193 mono-CLNA to piglets changed the n-3 and n-6 fatty acid balance. More specifically, compared to the W3 diet, feeding
194 mono-CLNA for 2 weeks decreased the proportions of 18:3n-3, 20:3n-3, 20:5n-3, 22:5n-3 together with an increase in
195 20:4n-6 and total n-6 PUFA content. Since a previous study in mice reported that n-3 PUFA chronic depletion in the liver
196 led to the development of hepatic insulin resistance over a 3 month period [48], further studies need to be carried out for an
197 extended period of time in pigs fed CLNA with additional health indicators (blood biochemical, other tissue lipid profile,
198 etc) in order to better assess the safety aspects of consuming dietary mono-CLNA isomers.

199

200 *Glucose tolerance*

201 One of our hypotheses was that combining a conjugated and an n-3 PUFA structure in one fatty acid, like mono-CLNA,
202 would improve insulin sensitivity considering that dietary CLA seems to lower insulinemia in rats [49] and α -linolenic acid
203 intake seems to reduce insulin resistance in rats [50] and in humans [51].

204 Our results suggest a significant treatment effect for fasting insulin concentration and insulin sensitivity on the OGTT day
205 ($p=0.03$). However, we consider that this result is unlikely of biological significance because specific *a priori* contrasts
206 indicate that there is no treatment effect of mono-CLNA diet compared to the three other dietary groups. Moreover, these
207 differences were not confirmed at the day of IVGTT or during the two glucose tolerance tests. One possible explanation
208 could be a higher insulin secretion generated by environmental/psychological stresses during the first experiment, *i.e.*
209 human presence, handling, noise, etc [52, 53]. Also, no treatment effect was seen on C-peptide, a good indicator of
210 endogenous insulin secretion [54], either for OGTT or IVGTT.

211 In regards to the different indexes of insulin sensitivity ($HOMA2\text{-}\%S$, $ISI_{Matsuda}$ and SI) and insulin secretion (Insulin and C-
212 peptide levels, AUCs and $HOMA2\text{-}\%B$), none were improved by ingestion of mono-CLNA for 2 weeks.

213 Results on a closer structural analog to mono-CLNA such as di-CLNA showed some inconsistency. Indeed, although
214 several studies reported that dietary supplementation with di-CLNA isomers can decrease type 2 diabetes risk [12] and
215 improve glucose tolerance [9, 55] in mice, others reported an increase in insulin resistance ($HOMA\text{-}IR$ index) in rats [44]
216 similar to what is reported in mice [56, 57], pigs [58], and humans [59]. Moreover, most of the studies using n-3 PUFA
217 supplement in humans failed to improve insulin sensitivity [60, 61].

218

219 *Limitations of the present study*

220 The present study extends previous findings [9, 40, 44, 55] using a neonatal pig model and randomised experimental design
221 to compare mono-CLNA diet vs. three other dietary treatments (CLA, W3, and W6). Nevertheless, it has some limitations
222 including the duration of the supplementation and the composition of the CLA diet, since we used a mixture of two isomers:
223 $c9\text{-}t11\text{-}18:3 + t10\text{-}c12\text{-}18:3$. Even if most previous studies have used a CLA isomer mixture, recent findings show that
224 purified CLA isomers could have opposite actions on glucose tolerance, with $t10\text{-}c12\text{-}18:3$ reducing insulin sensitivity and
225 $c9\text{-}t11\text{-}18:3$ enhancing insulin tolerance [3]. Mono-CLNA was also a mixture of two isomers and this is mostly because it is
226 not possible to cost effectively separate the two CLNA isomers for generating high doses of single CLNA isomers for

227 feeding animals. Therefore, a direct comparison between CLA and CLNA diets based only on the chemical structure is
228 limited.

229

230 *Conclusions*

231 This study showed that mono-CLNA, combining conjugated and an n-3 double-bound structure, did not provide additive
232 improvement for body composition, glucose tolerance or blood lipid profile in the neonatal piglet model supplemented for a
233 period of 2 weeks. Conversely, mono-CLNA decreased total n-3 PUFA in liver, a finding which merits consideration in
234 regards to neonatal development and safety.

235

236 **Acknowledgments**

237 The authors are grateful to M. Guillette for her invaluable technical assistance; to Mélanie Turcotte and the animal care
238 team under supervision of D. Morrissette; and to S. Methot for his help with statistical analysis. The authors are also
239 grateful to Fonds Québécois de Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies (FQRNT) and Naturia Inc (Sherbrooke,
240 Canada) for a Ph.D scholarship to M. P at the time where the experiment was carried-out and to Fonds de recherche
241 Québec-santé for a current Junior 1 salary award. Mono-CLNA were generously provided by Naturia Inc. (Sherbrooke, QC,
242 Canada). The financial support was provided by Naturia Inc and the Mathing Investment Initiative of Agriculture and Agri-
243 Food Canada. None of the authors has any financial conflict of interest.

244

245 **References**

- 246 1. Pariza MW, Ha YL (1990) Conjugated dienoic derivatives of linoleic acid: a new class of anticarcinogens. *Med*
247 *Oncol Tumor Pharmacother* 7:169-171.
- 248 2. Plourde M, Jew S, Cunnane SC, Jones PJ (2008) Conjugated linoleic acids: why the discrepancy between animal and
249 human studies? *Nutr Rev* 66:415-421. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2008.00051.x
- 250 3. Bhattacharya A, Banu J, Rahman M, Causey J, Fernandes G (2006) Biological effects of conjugated linoleic acids in
251 health and disease. *J Nutr Biochem* 17:789-810. doi:10.1093/ilar.47.3.243
- 252 4. Hennessy AA, Ross RP, Devery R, Stanton C (2011) The health promoting properties of the conjugated isomers of
253 α -linolenic acid. *Lipids* 46:105-119. doi:10.1007/s11745-011-3636-z
- 254 5. Plourde M, Destaillets F, Chouinard PY, Angers P (2007) Conjugated α -linolenic acid isomers in bovine milk and
255 muscle. *J Dairy Sci* 90:5269-5275. doi:10.3168/jds.2007-0157
- 256 6. Destaillets F, Berdeaux O, Sébédio JL, Juaneda P, Grégoire S, Chardigny JM, Bretillon L, Angers P (2005)
257 Metabolites of conjugated isomers of α -linolenic acid (CLnA) in the rat. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*
258 53:1422-1427. doi:10.1021/jf0481958

- 259 7. Levy-Marchal C, Arslanian S, Cutfield W, Sinaiko A, Druet C, Marcovecchio ML, Chiarelli F, Amemiya S,
260 Berenson G, Caprio S, et al. (2010) Insulin resistance in children: Consensus, perspective, and future directions.
261 *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 95:5189-5198. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-1047
- 262 8. World Health Organization (2000) Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. In: Consultation RoaW
263 (ed) WHO Technical Report Series. World Health Organization, Geneva, p 252.
- 264 9. Vroegrijk IOCM, van Diepen JA, van den Berg S, Westbroek I, Keizer H, Gambelli L, Hontecillas R, Bassaganya-
265 Riera J, Zondag GCM, Romijn JA, et al. (2011) Pomegranate seed oil, a rich source of puniceic acid, prevents diet-
266 induced obesity and insulin resistance in mice. *Food Chem Toxicol* 49:1426-1430. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2011.03.037
- 267 10. Koba K, Akahoshi A, Yamasaki M, Tanaka K, Yamada K, Iwata T, Kamegai T, Tsutsumi K, Sugano M (2002)
268 Dietary conjugated linolenic acid in relation to CLA differently modifies body fat mass and serum and liver lipid
269 levels in rats. *Lipids* 37:343-350.
- 270 11. Saha SS, Chakraborty A, Ghosh S, Ghosh M (2012) Comparative study of hypocholesterolemic and hypolipidemic
271 effects of conjugated linolenic acid isomers against induced biochemical perturbations and aberration in erythrocyte
272 membrane fluidity. *Eur J Nutr* 51:483-495. doi:10.1007/s00394-011-0233-0
- 273 12. McFarlin BK, Strohacker KA, Kueht ML (2009) Pomegranate seed oil consumption during a period of high-fat
274 feeding reduces weight gain and reduces type 2 diabetes risk in CD-1 mice. *Br J Nutr* 102:54-59.
275 doi:10.1017/S0007114508159001
- 276 13. Al-Muammar MN, Khan F (2012) Obesity: The preventive role of the pomegranate (*Punica granatum*). *Nutrition*
277 28:595-604. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2011.11.013
- 278 14. Henriksen EJ, Teachey MK, Taylor ZC, Jacob S, Ptock A, Kramer K, Hasselwander O (2003) Isomer-specific
279 actions of conjugated linoleic acid on muscle glucose transport in the obese Zucker rat. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol*
280 *Metab* 285:E98-E105. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00013.2003
- 281 15. Behme MT (1996) Dietary fish oil enhances insulin sensitivity in miniature pigs. *J Nutr* 126:1549-1553.
- 282 16. Winzell MS, Pacini G, Ahrén B (2006) Insulin secretion after dietary supplementation with conjugated linoleic acids
283 and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in normal and insulin-resistant mice. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 290:E347-
284 E354. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00163.2005
- 285 17. Plourde M, Ledoux M, Grégoire S, Portois L, Fontaine JJ, Carpentier YA, Angers P, Chardigny JM, Sébédio JL
286 (2007) Adverse effects of conjugated alpha-linolenic acids (CLnA) on lipoprotein profile on experimental
287 atherosclerosis in hamsters. *Animal* 1:905-910. doi:10.1017/S1751731107000079

- 288 18. Cortamira NO, Seve B, Lebreton Y, Ganier P (1991) Effect of dietary tryptophan on muscle, liver and whole-body
289 protein synthesis in weaned piglets: Relationship to plasma insulin. *Br J Nutr* 66:423-435.
290 doi:10.1079/BJN19910045
- 291 19. Trottier JP (2005) Synthèse et purification d'isomères conjugués des acides linoléique et α -linoléique. In: *Faculté*
292 *des sciences de l'agriculture et de l'alimentation. Université Laval, Quebec, p 78.*
- 293 20. Matte J, Girard C, Sève B (1986) Importance of folic acid administered during gestation on haematological status of
294 piglets. *Can J Anim Sci* 66:523-527.
- 295 21. Matte JJ (1999) A rapid and non-surgical procedure for jugular catheterization of pigs. *Laboratory Animals* 33:258-
296 264.
- 297 22. Agriculture Canada (1993) Recommended Code of Practice for Care and Handling of Pigs. In: *Publication no.*
298 *1771E. Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ont., Canada*
- 299 23. Canadian Council on Animal Care (1993) Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. In: *Vol. 1. Canadian*
300 *Council on Animal Care, Ottawa, Ont., Canada*
- 301 24. Levy JC, Matthews DR, Hermans MP (1998) Correct homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) evaluation uses the
302 computer program. *Diabetes Care* 21:2191-2192.
- 303 25. Allison DB, Paultre F, Maggio C, Mezzitis N, Pi-Sunyer FX (1995) The use of areas under in diabetes research.
304 *Diabetes Care* 18:245-250.
- 305 26. Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA (1999) Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing:
306 Comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. *Diabetes Care* 22:1462-1470.
- 307 27. Bergman RN, Phillips LS, Cobelli C (1981) Physiologic evaluation of factors controlling glucose tolerance in man.
308 Measurement of insulin sensitivity and β -cell glucose sensitivity from the response to intravenous glucose. *J Clin*
309 *Invest* 68:1456-1467.
- 310 28. Boston RC, Stefanovski D, Moate PJ, Sumner AE, Watanabe RM, Bergman RN (2003) MINMOD Millennium: A
311 Computer Program to Calculate Glucose Effectiveness and Insulin Sensitivity from the Frequently Sampled
312 Intravenous Glucose Tolerance Test. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 5:1003-1015. doi:10.1089/152091503322641060
- 313 29. Castellano CA, Audet I, Laforest JP, Chouinard Y, Matte JJ (2010) Fish oil diets do not improve insulin sensitivity
314 and secretion in healthy adult male pigs. *Br J Nutr* 103:189-196. doi:10.1017/S0007114509991590
- 315 30. Littell RC, Henry PR, Ammerman CB (1998) Statistical Analysis of Repeated Measures Data Using SAS
316 Procedures. *J Anim Sci* 76:1216-1231.

- 317 31. Puiman P, Stoll B (2008) Animal models to study neonatal nutrition in humans. *Current Opinion in Clinical*
318 *Nutrition and Metabolic Care* 11:601-606. doi:10.1097/MCO.0b013e32830b5b15
- 319 32. Christoffersen B, Ribel U, Raun K, Golozoubova V, Pacini G (2009) Evaluation of different methods for assessment
320 of insulin sensitivity in Göttingen minipigs: Introduction of a new, simpler method. *American Journal of Physiology*
321 *- Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 297:R1195-R1201. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.90851.2008
- 322 33. Bellinger DA, Merricks EP, Nichols TC (2006) Swine models of type 2 diabetes mellitus: Insulin resistance, glucose
323 tolerance, and cardiovascular complications. *ILAR Journal* 47:243-258.
- 324 34. Park Y, Albright KJ, Liu W, Storkson JM, Cook ME, Pariza MW (1997) Effect of conjugated linoleic acid on body
325 composition in mice. *Lipids* 32:853-858.
- 326 35. Noguchi R, Yasui Y, Suzuki R, Hosokawa M, Fukunaga K, Miyashita K (2001) Dietary effects of bitter gourd oil on
327 blood and liver lipids of rats. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 396:207-212. doi:10.1006/abbi.2001.2624
- 328 36. Gavino VC, Gavino G, Leblanc MJ, Tuchweber B (2000) An isomeric mixture of conjugated linoleic acids but not
329 pure cis-9,trans-11-octadecadienoic acid affects body weight gain and plasma lipids in hamsters. *J Nutr* 130:27-29.
- 330 37. Smedman A, Vessby B (2001) Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation in humans - Metabolic effects. *Lipids*
331 36:773-781.
- 332 38. Yang L, Leung KY, Cao Y, Huang Y, Ratnayake WMN, Chen ZY (2005) α -linolenic acid but not conjugated
333 linolenic acid is hypocholesterolaemic in hamsters. *Br J Nutr* 93:433-438. doi:10.1079/bjn20041365
- 334 39. Baxheinrich A, Stratmann B, Lee-Barkey YH, Tschoepe D, Wahrburg U (2012) Effects of a rapeseed oil-enriched
335 hypoenergetic diet with a high content of α -linolenic acid on body weight and cardiovascular risk profile in patients
336 with the metabolic syndrome. *Br J Nutr* 108:682-691. doi:10.1017/S0007114512002875
- 337 40. Hontecillas R, Diguardo M, Duran E, Orpi M, Bassaganya-Riera J (2008) Catalpic acid decreases abdominal fat
338 deposition, improves glucose homeostasis and upregulates PPAR α expression in adipose tissue. *Clin Nutr* 27:764-
339 772. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2008.07.007
- 340 41. Chen PH, Chen GC, Yang MF, Hsieh CH, Chuang SH, Yang HL, Kuo YH, Chyuan JH, Chao PM (2012) Bitter
341 melon seed oil-attenuated body fat accumulation in diet-induced obese mice is associated with cAMP-dependent
342 protein kinase activation and cell death in white adipose tissue. *J Nutr* 142:1197-1204. doi:10.3945/jn.112.159939
- 343 42. Arao K, Wang YM, Inoue N, Hirata J, Cha JY, Nagao K, Yanagita T (2004) Dietary effect of pomegranate seed oil
344 rich in 9cis, 11trans, 13cis conjugated linolenic acid on lipid metabolism in obese, hyperlipidemic OLETF Rats.
345 *Lipids Health Dis* 3 doi:10.1186/1476-511X-3-24

- 346 43. Plourde M (2006) Étude des effets physiologiques des acides alpha-linoléniques conjugués. In: Sciences de
347 l'alimentation et de la nutrition. Université Laval, Quebec, p 224.
- 348 44. Miranda J, Fernández-Quintela A, Macarulla MT, Churruga I, García C, Rodríguez VM, Simón E, Portillo MP
349 (2009) A comparison between CLNA and CLA effects on body fat, serum parameters and liver composition. *J*
350 *Physiol Biochem* 65:25-32. doi:10.1007/BF03165966
- 351 45. Shinohara N, Ito J, Tsuduki T, Honma T, Kijima R, Sugawara S, Arai T, Yamasaki M, Ikezaki A, Yokoyama M, et
352 al. (2012) Jacaric acid, a linolenic acid isomer with a conjugated triene system, reduces stearyl-CoA desaturase
353 expression in liver of mice. *J Oleo Sci* 61:433-441. doi:10.5650/jos.61.433
- 354 46. Yuan G, Sinclair AJ, Xu C, Li D (2009) Incorporation and metabolism of puniic acid in healthy young humans. *Mol*
355 *Nutr Food Res* 53:1336-1342. doi:10.1002/mnfr.200800520
- 356 47. Chartrand R, Matte JJ, Lessard M, Chouinard PY, Giguere A, Laforest JP (2003) Effect of dietary fat sources on
357 systemic and intrauterine synthesis of prostaglandins during early pregnancy in gilts. *J Anim Sci* 81:726-734.
- 358 48. Pachikian BD, Essaghir A, Demoulin JB, Neyrinck AM, Catry E, de Backer FC, Dejeans N, Dewulf EM, Sohet FM,
359 Portois L, et al. (2011) Hepatic n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid depletion promotes steatosis and insulin resistance in
360 mice: Genomic analysis of cellular targets. *PLoS ONE* 6 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023365
- 361 49. Houseknecht KL, Heuvel JPV, Moya-Camarena SY, Portocarrero CP, Peck LW, Nickel KP, Belury MA (1998)
362 Dietary conjugated linoleic acid normalizes impaired glucose tolerance in the Zucker diabetic fatty fa/fa rat. *Biochem*
363 *Biophys Res Commun* 244:678-682. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1998.8303
- 364 50. Chicco AG, D'Alessandro ME, Hein GJ, Oliva ME, Lombardo YB (2009) Dietary chia seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.)
365 rich in a-linolenic acid improves adiposity and normalises hypertriacylglycerolaemia and insulin resistance in
366 dyslipaemic rats. *Br J Nutr* 101:41-50. doi:10.1017/S000711450899053X
- 367 51. Muramatsu T, Yatsuya H, Toyoshima H, Sasaki S, Li Y, Otsuka R, Wada K, Hotta Y, Mitsuhashi H, Matsushita K,
368 et al. (2010) Higher dietary intake of alpha-linolenic acid is associated with lower insulin resistance in middle-aged
369 Japanese. *Prev Med* 50:272-276. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.02.014
- 370 52. Armario A, Castellanos JM, Balasch J (1985) Chronic noise stress and insulin secretion in male rats. *Physiology and*
371 *Behavior* 34:359-361.
- 372 53. Funderburke DW, Seerley RW (1990) The effects of postweaning stressors on pig weight change, blood, liver and
373 digestive tract characteristics. *Journal of Animal Science* 68:155-162.
- 374 54. Faber OK, Binder C (1986) C-peptide: An index of insulin secretion. *Diabetes/Metabolism Reviews* 2:331-345.

- 375 55. Hontecillas R, O'Shea M, Einerhand A, Diguardo M, Bassaganya-Riera J (2009) Activation of PPAR γ and α by
376 punicic acid ameliorates glucose tolerance and suppresses obesity-related inflammation. *J Am Coll Nutr* 28:184-195.
377 doi:10.1080/07315724.2009.10719770
- 378 56. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Takahashi M, Tanemura K, Kim HJ, Tange T, Okuyama H, Kasai M, Ikemoto S, Ezaki O
379 (2000) Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation reduces adipose tissue by apoptosis and develops lipodystrophy in
380 mice. *Diabetes* 49:1534-1542. doi:10.2337/diabetes.49.9.1534
- 381 57. Kelley DS, Vemuri M, Adkins Y, Gill SHS, Fedor D, Mackey BE (2009) Flaxseed oil prevents trans-10, cis-12-
382 conjugated linoleic acid-induced insulin resistance in mice. *Br J Nutr* 101:701-708.
383 doi:10.1017/S0007114508027451
- 384 58. Fernández-Fígares I, Lachica M, Martín A, Nieto R, González-Valero L, Rodríguez-López JM, Aguilera JF (2012)
385 Impact of dietary betaine and conjugated linoleic acid on insulin sensitivity, protein and fat metabolism of obese
386 pigs. *Animal* 6:1058-1067. doi:10.1017/S1751731111002308
- 387 59. Risérus U, Arner P, Brismar K, Vessby B (2002) Treatment with dietary trans10cis12 conjugated linoleic acid causes
388 isomer-specific insulin resistance in obese men with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 25:1516-1521.
389 doi:10.2337/diacare.25.9.1516
- 390 60. Vessby B (2000) Dietary fat and insulin action in humans. *Br J Nutr* 83:S91-S96. doi:10.1017/S000711450000101X
- 391 61. McAuley K, Mann J (2006) Nutritional determinants of insulin resistance. *J Lipid Res* 47:1668-1676.
392 doi:10.1194/jlr.R600015-JLR200
- 393
- 394

395 Table 1: Composition of basal diet

Ingredients	Calculated concentration
Digestible energy (MJ/kg)	14.98
Total protein (%)	19.94
Crude fibre (%)	1.90
Fat (%)	7.49
Lysine (%)	1.40
Methionine (%)	0.43
Tryptophan (%)	0.24
Calcium (%)	0.80
Phosphorus (%)	0.70

Provided (per kg basal diet): Mn, 40 mg; Zn, 2935 mg; Fe, 299 mg; Cu, 19 mg; I, 2 mg; Se 297 µg; vitamin A, 4.9 mg; vitamin D, 37.5 µg; vitamin E, 66.8 mg; menadione, ; thiamin, 2.7 mg; riboflavin, 8.7 mg; niacin, 31 mg; panthothenic acid 21.2 mg; folic acid, 0.7 mg; pyridoxine, 2.6 mg; biotin, 120 µg; vitamin B12, 25.1 µg; choline, 303 mg

396

397 Table 2: Analytical fatty acid composition (g/100 g fatty acids) of lipid emulsions added to the dietary treatments

Fatty acid	CLNA	CLA	W3	W6
16:0	6.37	6.04	6.10	5.97
16:1n-7	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.08
18:0	3.23	3.39	3.60	3.63
18:1n-9	22.40	21.61	23.39	22.89
18:1n-7	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.05
18:2n-6	15.72	19.49	18.58	53.08
18:3n-3	12.65	13.24	46.99	12.88
18:3n-6	0.19	0.08	0.21	0.10
20:0	0.06	0.14	0.14	0.23
20:1n-9	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.08
20:2n-6	1.72	1.50	0.06	0.07
20:3n-3	0.40	0.04	0.08	0.03
20:3n-6	1.13	0.03	0.04	0.03
20:4n-6	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.01
20:5-n-3	0.62	0.02	0.01	0.01
22:1n-9	0.66	0.04	0.08	0.03
22:5n-3	0.11	0.03	0.12	0.03
22:6n-3	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03
24:0	0.84	0.07	0.06	0.12
24:1n-9	0.59	0.12	0.01	0.03
<i>c9-t11-18:2</i>	0.87	16.82	0.03	0.05
<i>t10-c12-18:2</i>	1.70	16.77	0.02	0.02
<i>c9-t11-c15-18:3 + c9-t13-c15-18:3</i>	30.33	0.25	0.16	0.46
Total conjugated	32.61	33.50	0.24	0.53
SFA	10.24	9.63	9.92	9.95
MUFA	23.86	21.92	23.90	23.34
PUFA	65.87	68.45	66.23	66.81
n-3:n-6 ratio	2.06	0.25	2.50	0.25

SFA = total saturated fatty acids; MUFA = total monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA = total polyunsaturated fatty acids; n-3:n-6 ratio = n-3 PUFA to n-6 PUFA ratio

399 Table 3: Basal plasma concentrations of glucose, insulin and C-peptide during OGTT or IVGTT according to the dietary
400 treatments

Index	Diet				SEM	<i>P-value</i>
	CLNA	CLA	W3	W6		
OGTT						
Glucose (mmol/l)	5.6	5.4	5.5	5.8	0.2	0.74
Insulin (pmol/l)	58.8	50.3	63.9	62.6	5.9	0.03
C-peptide (pmol/l)	53.0	64.4	68.3	49.9	7.9	0.27
HOMA2-%S ^a	94.6	106.6	87.0	89.8	9.0	0.05*
HOMA2-%B ^a	82.0	79.1	89.3	80.7	6.7	0.57
IVGTT						
Glucose (mmol/l)	5.8	5.3	5.3	5.6	0.3	0.26
Insulin (pmol/l)	57.3	64.3	55.2	58.9	6.9	0.62
C-peptide (pmol/l)	43.9	58.8	57.3	66.9	9.0	0.31
HOMA2-%S ^a	88.5	102.3	98.8	92.7	12.5	0.76
HOMA2-%B ^a	82.8	96.6	88.2	81.5	7.4	0.14

W3 = omega-3 fatty acids diet; W6 = omega-6 fatty acids diet; CLNA = conjugated alpha-linolenic acids diet; CLA = linoleic acids diet; OGTT=oral glucose tolerance test; IVGTT = intravenous glucose tolerance test.

^aCalculated insulin sensitivity (HOMA2-%S) and β -cell function (HOMA2-%B) based on homeostatic model assessment [24].

*Specific contrasts *p*-values for CLNA vs. CLA, CLNA vs. W3 and CLNA vs. W6 were 0.22, 0.53 and 0.81, respectively.

402 Table 4: Plasma glucose, insulin and C-peptide responses in OGTT and IVGTT

Index	Diet				SEM	<i>P</i> -value
	CLNA	CLA	W3	W6		
OGTT						
Glucose (mmol × min/l) ^a	23.3	22.6	22.2	22.9	0.6	0.56
Insuline (nmol × min/l) ^a	478.3	441.1	453.0	426.4	36.4	0.70
C-peptide (nmol × min/l) ^a	618.5	550.5	573.8	563.8	52.6	0.68
ISI (0, 210 min) ^b	7.7	8.7	7.7	7.9	0.6	0.21
IVGTT						
Glucose (mmol × min/l) ^a	28.5	27.6	28.2	27.5	0.9	0.81
Insuline (nmol × min/l) ^a	517.5	565.9	530.9	484.6	36.8	0.42
C-peptide (nmol × min/l) ^a	706.1	791.8	744.3	697.8	54.58	0.55
SI (120, 210 min) ^c	5.0	4.5	4.7	5.4	0.68	0.51

W3 = omega-3 fatty acids diet; W6 = omega-6 fatty acids diet; CLNA = conjugated alpha-linolenic acids diet; CLA = linoleic acids diet; OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test; IVGTT = intravenous glucose tolerance test;

^aValues are AUC from 0 to 210 min during OGTT or IVGTT.

^bInsulin sensitivity index (ISI) [26] calculated as follow: $ISI = (Glu_{\text{basal}} \times Ins_{\text{basal}} \times Glu_{\text{mean}} \times Ins_{\text{mean}})^{0.5}$.

^cMinimal model-derived insulin sensitivity index (SI) based on MINMOD Millennium [28].