- 3 Swanberg M, Lidman O, Padyukov L *et al.* MHC2TA is associated with differential MHC molecule expression and susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis and myocardial infarction. Nat Genet 2005;37:486–94.
- 4 Yazdani-Biuki B, Brickmann K, Wohlfahrt K et al. The MHC2TA -168A>G gene polymorphism is not associated with rheumatoid arthritis in Austrian patients. Arthritis Res Ther 2006;8:R97.
- 5 Orozco G, Robledo G, Linga Reddy MV et al. Study of the role of a functional polymorphism of MHC2TA in rheumatoid arthritis in three ethnically different populations. Rheumatology 2006;45:1442–4.
- 6 Eyre S, Bowes J, Spreckley K *et al.* Investigation of the MHC2TA gene, associated with rheumatoid Swedish population, in a UK rheumatoid arthritis cohort. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:3417–22.
- 7 likuni N, Ikari K, Momohara S et al. MHC2TA is associated with rheumatoid arthritis in Japanese patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:274–5.
- 8 Okamoto H, Kaneko H, Terai C, Kamatani N. Protective effect of A at position -168 in the type III promoter of MHCIITA gene in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE). Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:1263–4.
- 9 Okamoto H, Cujec TP, Okamoto M, Peterlin BM, Baba M, Okamoto T. Inhibition of the RNA-dependent transactivation and replication of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by a fluoroquinoline derivative K-37. Virology 2000;272:402–8.
- 10 Martinez A, Sanchez-Lopez M, Varade J et al. Role of the MHC2TA gene in autoimmune diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:325–9.

Rheumatology 2008;47:548–549 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/ken026 Advance Access publication 23 February 2008

Risk of cancer in Turkish patients after treatment with **TNF antagonists**

SIR, There is conflicting evidence whether anti-TNF therapy offers an increased cancer risk in rheumatological disorders. In this study, we aimed to collect pilot data on a possible association between anti-TNF agent use and development of neoplasms among Turkish patients with various rheumatological conditions.

We conducted a nationwide survey pooling reported cases from 26 different rheumatology centers in Turkey. A total of 2199 patients (1247 females, 952 males, mean age: 41.8 ± 13.9 yrs) were reported. Among those patients, 943 had AS (1027.16 patientyears) (mean age: 37.3 ± 10.9 yrs), 931 RA (1145.14 patient-years) (mean age: 49.1 ± 12.7 yrs), 132 PsA (mean age: 43.6 ± 12.5 yrs), 127 JCA (mean age: 23.8 ± 10.9 yrs) and 66 other disorders (62.14 patient-years) (mean age: 30.9 ± 10.3 yrs). Nine-hundred and twenty-three patients had been treated with etanercept (1028.54 patient-years), 853 with infliximab (1014.68 patientyears) and 259 with adalimumab (223.69 patient-years). One-hundred and sixty-four patients had been treated with more than one anti-TNF agent. We used standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) as measures of relative risk were expected number were taken from a regional cancer survey conducted in the Izmir (Western Turkey) region.

Fifteen malignancies (13 solid cancers and 2 lymphoproliferative disorders) were observed (SIR = 1.26, 95% CI 0.70, 2.08). Ten patients had been treated with etanercept (SIR = 2.3, 95% CI 1.10, 4.23), three with infliximab (SIR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.14, 1.92), one with adalimumab (SIR = 0.62, 95% CI 0.02, 3.48) and one with etanercept and then adalimumab. Eleven RA patients (SIR = 1.36, 95% CI 0.68, 2.43), two AS patients (SIR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.08, 2.38) and two patients with other indications developed cancer after anti-TNF agents. Among RA patients who developed cancer, seven patients had been treated with etanercept (SIR = 2.46, 95% CI 0.99, 5.06), two with infliximab (SIR = 0.64, 95% CI 0.02, 3.56), one with adalimumab (SIR = 0.75, 95% CI 0.09, 2.72) and one with etanercept, and then switched to adalimumab (Fig. 1).

The number of studies investigating a possible association between the use of TNF alpha antagonists in RA patients and the development of cancer have been published with inconclusive results. Wolfe and Michaud [1] reported increased risk of lymphoma in RA patients compared with the general population, and the risk was greatest in patients treated with TNF- α antagonists. In a previous study by a regional Swedish cohort,



Fig. 1. SIR for total number of tumours in all, RA and AS patients treated with different anti-TNF agents. ADA: adalimumab; ETA: etanercept; INF: infliximab.

Geborek et al. [2] suggested that the overall incidence of cancer is not increased in patients treated with anti-TNF agents, while there may be an increased risk of lymphomas in those patients compared with RA patients treated with conventional agents. However, in the national Swedish cohort, including the data of the previous regional cohort, Askling et al. [3] could not find any increased risk for lymphomas in RA patients treated with TNF antagonists than other patients with RA. Setoguchi et al. [4] compared the risk of haematological malignancies and solid tumours in elderly RA patients from US and Canada who received biologic agents as compared with those treated with methotrexate. The authors found no significant increase in the risk of cancers in biologic agent users. Chakravarty et al. [5] and Wolfe and Michaud [6] demonstrated an increased risk of nonmelanoma and all skin cancers in patients with RA treated with anti-TNF agents, respectively. In contrast to RA, diseaseassociated cancer risk has been poorly explored in AS. Recently, no overall increased risk for solid cancers or lymphomas were found in AS [7, 8].

Our nationwide survey did not show an increased risk of cancer associated with TNF antagonist use when data with etanercept, infliximab and adalimumab were considered together. On the other hand, there was an increase of cancer risk with etanercept use when these agents were considered separately and this trend was also present among RA patients taken as a separate group. There was no evidence for increased neoplasm among the AS patients.

Our study had several limitations: first, we did not re-confirm the cancer diagnoses neither clinically nor by histology. Second we did not seek information about the background risk factors for cancer, such as smoking status, or family history. Third, the comparator group for the cancer incidence represented only a limited geographic area [9]. Lastly, the total number surveyed as well as the number of cancer cases found is rather small to make robust comparisons.

Our results, which need to be interpreted in the light of shortcomings of our survey we mention above, nevertheless suggest that the risk of malignancy with the use of TNF antagonists might differ in different disease states (i.e. RA, SpAs), and with the use of different anti-TNF agents. Larger prospective collaborative studies with prolonged follow-up and more meticulous methodology will be needed in order to obtain more precise estimates.

The Executive Committee of The Society for Research and Education in Rheumatology would like to thank Dr Salih Pay and Dr Mehmet Akif Öztürk who managed this project, all other members who contributed to the present study, to Dr Mutlu Hayran for statistical assessment and to Dr Hasan Yazıcı for helpful comments in the preparation of the article.

Rheumatology key message

• Risk of malignancy with the use of TNF antagonists might differ in different disease states (i.e. RA, SpAs), and perhaps with the use of different anti-TNF agents.

Acknowledgements

The Executive Committee of The Society for Research and Education in Rheumatology thanks to Dr Salih Pay and Dr Mehmet Akif Özturk who managed this project, all other members who contributed to the present study, to Dr Mutlu Hayran for statistical assessment and Dr Hasan Yazici for helpful comments in the preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosure statement: The author has declared no conflicts of interest.

S. PAY for The Society for Research and Education in Rheumatology of Turkey

GATA, Romatoloji BD, 06118 Etlik, Ankara, Turkey Accepted 11 January 2008

Correspondence to: S. PAY, GATA Romatoloji BD, 06118 Etlik, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: salihp@yahoo.com

- Wolfe F, Michaud K. Lymphoma in rheumatoid arthritis: the effect of MTX and anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in 18,572 patients. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50: 1740–51.
- 2 Geborek P, Bladstrom A, Turesson C *et al.* Turnour necrosis factor blockers do not increase overall turnour risk in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, but may be associated with an increased risk of lymphomas. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:699–703.
- 3 Askling J, Fored CM, Baecklund E *et al.* Haematopoietic malignancies in rheumatoid arthritis: lymphoma risk and characteristics after exposure to tumour necrosis factor antagonists. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:1414–20.
- 4 Setoguchi S, Solomon DH, Weinblatt ME et al. Tumor necrosis factor alpha antagonist use and cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:2757–64.
- 5 Chakravarty EF, Michaud K, Wolfe F. Skin cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, and tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. J Rheumatol 2005;32:2130–5.
- 6 Wolfe F, Michaud K. Biologic treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of malignancy: analyses from a large US observational study. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:2886–95.
- 7 Feltelius N, Ekbom A, Blomqvist P. Cancer incidence among patients with ankylosing spondylitis in Sweden 1965–95: a population based cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis 2003;62:1185–8.
- 8 Askling J, Klareskog L, Blomqvist P, Fored M, Feltelius N. Risk for malignant lymphoma in ankylosing spondylitis: a nationwide Swedish case-control study. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:1184–7.
- 9 Fidaner C, Eser SY, Parkin DM. Incidence in Izmir in 1993-1994: first results from Izmir Cancer Registry. Eur J Cancer 2001;37:83–92.

APPENDIX 1. Complete list of members of The Society for Research and Education in Rheumatology who contributed to the present study (in alphabetical order).

Salih Pay (Gülhane Military Medical School)

M. Akif Öztürk (Gazi University School of Medicine) Gerçek Can (Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine) Ayşe Cefle (Kocaeli University School of Medicine) Veli Çobankara (Pamukkale University School of Medicine) Burak Erer (Istanbul University, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine) Feride Göğüş (Gazi University, School of Medicine) Gülen Hatemi (Istanbul University Cerrahpaşa School of Medicine) Nevsun İnanç (Marmara University School of Medicine) Yaşar Karaaslan (Ankara Numune Education and Research

Hospital) Ömer Karadağ (Hacettepe University School of Medicine) Yüksel Karakoç (Bursa Goverment Hospital)

Esen Kasapoğlu Günal (Maltepe University School of Medicine)

Timuçin Kaşifoğlu (Osmangazi University School of Medicine) Gökhan Keser (Ege University School of Medicine) Serdar Koca (Fırat University School of Medicine) Selda Öktem (Memorial Hospital) Hüseyin TE Özer (Cukurova University School of Medicine) Mehmet Sayarlıoğlu (Sütçü İmam University School of Medicine) Mehmet Soy (Trakya University School of Medicine) Taşkın Şentürk (Adnan Menderes University School of Medicine) Musa Temel (Haseki Education and Research Hospital) Ender Terzioğlu (Akdeniz University School of Medicine) Olcay Tiryaki (Ankara University School of Medicine) Tufan Türk (Denizli Goverment Hospital) Eftal Yücel (Baskent University School of Medicine)

Rheumatology 2008;47:549–551 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/ken051 Advance Access publication 27 February 2008

Shared familial risk factors between cancer and RA patients

SIR, Twin and family studies show that susceptibility to RA has a heritable basis, transmitted in part with some identified candidate genes [1, 2]. As RA patients are at a risk of many cancers [3], a question arises whether RA and cancer share heritable risk factors, which has not been settled. Most studies have analysed the links between RA and lymphohaemato-proliferative diseases, providing some evidence on familial aggregation [4, 5]. Family members of RA patients have not shown an overall risk of lymphoma or cancer, but childhood Hodgkin disease has been in excess and even other autoimmune conditions have been associated with Hodgkin disease [4, 5]. No data have been available on the risk of individual site-specific tumours in family members of RA patients. In the present study, we used the Swedish data resources on registered families and medically diagnosed RA and cancer, all with a national coverage, to analyse risks of cancer in family members of RA patients, with a specific aim to settle the issue on possible shared genetic risk factors for cancer and RA.

The RA research database was constructed by linking the Multigeneration Register (persons born in Sweden in 1932 and later are linked to their parents) to the Swedish Hospital Discharge Register (all hospital discharges with dates of hospitalization and diagnoses since the 1960s with a complete nation-wide coverage since 1986) and to the nation-wide Swedish Cancer Registry (cancers from 1958 to 2004). The database contains 11.5 million individuals among whom a total of 50354 RA patients were identified. Details of the data sources and methods can be found in our previous publications [5, 6]. In the analysis of familial risks, parents were considered probands and standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) were calculated for offspring, who were followed until 31 December 2004. SIRs were calculated as the ratio of observed (O) to expected (E) number of cases. The expected number of cases was calculated for age (5-yr groups), sex, period (5-yr groups), region and socioeconomic status-specific SIRs.

Familial risks between RA and cancer were examined in two ways (Table 1): risk of a specific cancer in offspring whose parents were diagnosed with RA (excluding parent–offspring pairs with the concordant cancers), and risk of RA in offspring whose parents were diagnosed with a specific cancer (excluding parent– offspring pairs with RA). We wanted to make sure that we examined familial risks between discordant diseases, RA and a specific cancer, by excluding families with concordant disease. However, familial diseases were so rare that the exclusions did not change the results. The SIR for no offspring cancer was changed when a parent was diagnosed with RA. SIRs for RA were decreased in offspring whose parents were diagnosed with tumours of the oesophagus (0.53), colon (0.85), breast (0.84) and ovary (0.61). The analysis was repeated for siblings, which

549

© The Author 2008. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Rheumatology. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org