Bond University Research Repository



### Can A Student's Ability to Critically Self-Reflect, Predict Their Work-Readiness as a Physiotherapist?

Nguyen, Duc Tien; Milne, Nikki; Orr, Rob Marc; Terry, Rebecca

Published: 01/10/2017

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Bond University research repository.

Recommended citation(APA): Nguyen, D. T., Milne, N., Orr, R. M., & Terry, R. (2017). Can A Student's Ability to Critically Self-Reflect, Predict Their Work-Readiness as a Physiotherapist?. APA National Physiotherapy Conference MOMENTUM 2017, Sydney, Australia.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.





The relationship between a student's ability to critically self-reflect and their workreadiness as a physiotherapist: A retrospective cohort study

Presented by: Peter Duc Tien Nguyen

Peter Duc Tien Nguyen<sup>1</sup> Nikki Milne<sup>1</sup> Rob Orr<sup>1</sup> Rebecca Terry<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond Institute of Health and Sport, Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia





## Introduction



- The Australian Physiotherapy Council (APC) examines entry level physiotherapy programs in Australia to ensure key practice thresholds are fulfilled.
- The Physiotherapy Board of Australia (PhysioBA) & The Physiotherapy Board of New Zealand (PBNZ) have established self-directed learning & reflective practice as threshold competencies to ensure life long learning<sup>6</sup>.
- An overarching focus of clinical education for heath professional students is practical learning.
- However, practical learning alone is inadequate for producing health professionals that are flexible, self-aware & understanding of alternate perspectives<sup>4</sup>.
- Critical self-appraisal is widely recognised as a method of analysing practical performance and reinforcing perishable skills<sup>1,4</sup>.
- Critical reflective practice promotes complex thought processing to assist individuals with effective management of dynamic situations and environments<sup>2</sup>.





# How To Critically Self Reflect







### **Research Aims**



- i) To investigate the relationship between a students ability to critically selfreflect and their work readiness as a physiotherapist;
- ii) Determine if these relationships differed for males compared to females





### Methods



#### Design:

• Retrospective Cohort study

### Recruitment process based on inclusion criteria:

- Students enrolled in an Australian Doctor of Physiotherapy program from 2011-2015
- Students must have completed core clinical placements and the Clinical Internship

### Objective measures analysed:

- Critical reflective Task (CRT) marks (during 5-week clinical placements)
- Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice Clinical Internship (CI\_APP) scores





## Methods: Analysis



 Critical Reflection Task (CRT) marks (from 5 week clinical placements) and Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice scores during the final Clinical Internship (CI\_APP) from 122 physiotherapy students (F = 57, M = 65) were analysed.

### Analyses:

- Independent samples t-tests to explore gender differences in CRT marks and CI\_APP scores
- One way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc analysis of cohort data
- Linear regression analysis of total combined cohort data
- Pearson's correlations of combined cohort date
- Multiple regressions of combined cohort data





Critical reflection task (CRT) marks and Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice (APP\_CI) scores for total group and by gender.



	Total Group			Fem	Female			Male		
Core Clinical Subject	n	CRT Mean	APP Mean	n	CRT Mean	APP Mean	n	CRT Mean	APP mean	
		% (SD)	% (SD)		% (SD)	%		%	%	
						(SD)		(SD)	(SD)	
Cardiorespiratory	95	73.88	-	42	75.18	-	53	72.85	-	
		(11.40)			(11.58)			(11.27)		
Musculoskeletal Inpatients	95	77.55	-	42	78.99	-	53	76.14	-	
(Orthopaedics)		(13.08)			(11.86)			(13.98)		
Neurological	122	76.20	-	57	75.91	-	65	76.46	-	
		(13.05)			(12.73)			(13.41)		
Musculoskeletal Outpatients	122	79.85	-	57	81.34	-	65	78.54	-	
		(12.45)			(11.07)			(13.50)		
Community or Chronic	122	80 75	-	57	91.74	-	65	88.00	-	
Rehabilitation		(10.00)			(10.03)			(16.46)		
Final Elective	122	76.22†	-	57	78.52	-	65	74.20	-	
		(14.61)			(13.59)			(15.26)		
Clinical Internship	122	-	87.18	57		00.20*	65		94.44	
			(11.65)			(9.13)			(12.93)	

\* Significant difference when compared to other gender (significance level p<0.05) †Significant differences between cohorts on ANOVA (significance level p<0.05).





Relationships between Critical Reflective Task (CRT) marks and Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice (APP) scores on the Clinical Internship (an indicator of work-readiness).



	Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice on Clinical Internship (Work-readiness indicator)									
	Total Group	)	Female				Male			
Critical Reflective Task	n	r	r <sup>2</sup>	n	r	r <sup>2</sup>	n	r	r <sup>2</sup>	
(by clinical subject)		(p-value)			(p-value)			(p-value)		
Cardiorespiratory CRT	95	.129	.016	42	075	.006	53	.224	.050	
		(0.212)			(0.637)			(0.107)		
Musculoskeletal Inpatients	95	.147	.021	42	237	.056	53	.312*	.097	
(Orthopaedics) CRT		(0.154)			(0.130)			(0.023)		
Neurological CRT	122	.159	.025	57	.088	.008	65	.221	.049	
		(0.079)			(0.513)			(0.077)		
Musculoskeletal Outpatients	122	.233	.054	57	.030	.001	65	.307*	.094	
CRT		(0.010)			(0.824)			(0.013)		
Community or Chronic	122	.166	.027	57	004	.000	65	.191	.036	
Rehabilitation CRT		(0.068)			(0.978)			(0.127)		

n= number of participants

r = Pearson's Correlation

r<sup>2</sup>= Squared correlation coefficient (linear regression)

\* Significant relationship with APP scores (significance level p<0.05)





Predictive models for male students: Critical Reflective Task Marks to predict work-readiness using Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice (APP) scores in the Clinical Internship



	Assessment of Physiotherapy Practice during Clinical Internship							
Predictive Model of Critical Reflective Tasks	R <sup>2</sup>	SEE	F	DF (Regression, Residual)	(p-value)			
Model 1: Musculoskeletal Inpatients (Orthopaedics) +	.158	12.94	2.252	4,48	0.077			
Cardiorespiratory + Musculoskeletal Outpatients + Neurological								
Model 2: Musculoskeletal Inpatients (Orthopaedics) + Cardiorespiratory + Musculoskeletal Outpatients	.158	12.81	3.057	3,49	0.037*			
Model 3: Musculoskeletal Inpatients (Orthopaedics) + Musculoskeletal Outpatients	.155	12.70	4.587	2,50	0.015*			
Model 4: Musculoskeletal Outpatients	.121	12.83	7.003	1,51	0.011*			

Degrees of Freedom (DF): Regression followed by residual.

R<sup>2</sup>= Squared correlation coefficient

\* Significant relationship with APP scores (significance level p<0.05)



Musculoskeletal Outpatients Critical Reflective Tasks Grades and Clinical Internship APP scores (%) for Male students









### Discussion



- A weak, however significant positive predictive relationship exists between male students' critical reflective ability (represented by CRT marks) and their work-readiness in the physiotherapy profession (represented by Clinical Internship APP scores).
- This relationship is considered educationally important as the ability to critically reflect (determined by CRT marks) could essentially account for an entire grade change in male students Clinical Internship APP scores.
- These findings promote the use of critical reflective tasks as a predictive tool which identifies critical reflective ability in students to provide insight into one's strengths/weaknesses and advance their understanding of clinical concepts.
- Past studies revealed relationships of critical reflective ability on the same experience/subject, whereas the current study demonstrated a predictive relationship enabling the CRT at a point in time (musculoskeletal outpatients) to identify male students who may benefit from additional support to enhance their work-readiness by completion of their program.





### Implications









## **Strengths & Limitations**



Valid performance assessment tool used (APP).

Able to control variables used in analyses

Similar gender ratio explored

Single Critical Reflective task used

Study did not account for confounding factors in analyses (e.g. student variables, external variables and timing of CRT)

Determining cause and effect

Data unavailable for one analyses





### References



- 1. Baxter, P., & Norman, G. (2011). Self-assessment or self-deception? A lack of association between nursing students' self-assessment and performance. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 67(11), 2406-2413.
- 2. Boud, Cressey, Docherty, Cressey, Peter, & Docherty, Peter. (2006). *Productive reflection at work: Learning for changing organizations*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 3. Boyd, E. and Fales, A. (1983). Reflective Learning: Key to Learning from Experience. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 23(2), pp.99-117.
- 4. Delany, C., & Watkin, D. (2009). A study of critical reflection in health professional education: 'learning where others are coming from'. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, *14*(3), 411-429.
- 5. Donaghy, M., & Morss, K. (2007). An evaluation of a framework for facilitating and assessing physiotherapy students' reflection on practice. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice, 23*(2), 83-94.
- 6. Physiotherapy Board of Australia & Physiotherapy Board of New Zealand. (2015). *Physiotherapy practice thresholds in Australia and Aotearoa New Zealand* (pp. 1-37).





## Questions



