

Extremes of homogeneous Gaussian random fields

Krzysztof Dębicki¹, Enkelejd Hashorva², Natalia Soja-Kukieła³

1. *Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland,*

2. *Department of Actuarial Science, Faculty of Business and Economics,
University of Lausanne, UNIL-Dorigny 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

3. *Nicolaus Copernicus University, ul. Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland*

December 11, 2013

Abstract

Let $\{X(s, t) : s, t \geq 0\}$ be a centered homogeneous Gaussian field with a.s. continuous sample paths and correlation function $r(s, t) = \text{Cov}(X(s, t), X(0, 0))$ such that

$$r(s, t) = 1 - |s|^{\alpha_1} - |t|^{\alpha_2} + o(|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2}), \quad s, t \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 2]$, and $r(s, t) < 1$ for $(s, t) \neq (0, 0)$. In this contribution we derive an exact asymptotic expansion (as $u \rightarrow \infty$) of

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{(sn_1(u), tn_2(u)) \in [0, x] \times [0, y]} X(s, t) \leq u \right),$$

where $n_1(u)n_2(u) = u^{2/\alpha_1 + 2/\alpha_2} \Psi(u)$, which holds uniformly for $(x, y) \in [A, B]^2$ with A, B two positive constants and Ψ the survival function of an $N(0, 1)$ random variable. We apply our findings to the analysis of asymptotics of extremes of homogeneous Gaussian fields over more complex parameter sets and a ball of random radius. Additionally we determine the extremal index of the discretised random field determined by $X(s, t)$.

Key words: Gaussian random fields; supremum; tail asymptotic; extremal index; Berman condition; strong dependence.

1 Introduction

One of the seminal results in extreme value theory of Gaussian processes is the asymptotic behaviour of the distribution of supremum of a centered stationary Gaussian process $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with correlation function satisfying

$$r(t) = \text{Cov}(X(t), X(0)) = 1 - |t|^\alpha + o(|t|^\alpha) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ with } \alpha \in (0, 2], \quad (1)$$

over intervals of length proportional to

$$\mu(u) = P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} X(t) > u \right)^{-1} (1 + o(1)),$$

see, e.g., Leadbetter et al. [8, Theorem 12.3.4], Arendarczyk and Dębicki [1, Lemma 4.3], Tan and Hashorva [15, Lemma 3.3]. The following theorem gives a preliminary result concerning the aforementioned asymptotics.

Theorem 1. Let $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a centered stationary Gaussian process that satisfies (1), and let $0 < A_0 < A_\infty < \infty$ and $x > 0$ be arbitrary constants. If $r(t) \log t \rightarrow r \in [0, \infty)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, x\mu(u)]} X(t) \leq u \right) \rightarrow E \left(\exp \left(-x \exp(-r + \sqrt{2r}\mathcal{W}) \right) \right) \in (0, \infty),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $x \in [A_0, A_\infty]$, with \mathcal{W} an $N(0, 1)$ random variable.

The main goal of this paper is to derive an analogue of the above result for Gaussian random fields; see part (i) of Theorem 2 which constitutes a 2-dimensional counterpart of Theorem 1.

As an application of our findings, in Section 3 we investigate asymptotics of the tail of supremum of a homogeneous Gaussian field over a parameter sets that are approximable by simple sets (part (ii) of Theorem 2) and a ball of random radius. Additionally we analyze the existence of the *extremal index* for discrete-parameter fields associated with homogeneous Gaussian fields with covariance structure satisfying some regularity conditions; see Proposition 2.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\{X(s, t) : s, t \geq 0\}$ be a centered homogeneous Gaussian field with a.s. continuous sample paths and correlation function $r(s, t) = \text{Cov}(X(s, t), X(0, 0))$ such that

A1: $r(s, t) = 1 - |s|^{\alpha_1} - |t|^{\alpha_2} + o(|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2})$ as $s, t \rightarrow 0$ with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 2]$;

A2: $r(s, t) < 1$ for $(s, t) \neq (0, 0)$;

A3: $\sup_{(s, t) \in \mathcal{S}(0, d)} |r(s, t) \log d - r| \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, with $r \in [0, \infty)$,

where $\mathcal{S}(0, d)$ denotes the sphere of center $(0, 0)$ and radius $d > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 with Euclidean metric.

We distinguish two separate families of Gaussian fields

- *weakly dependent fields*, satisfying **A3** with $r = 0$,
- *strongly dependent fields*, satisfying **A3** with $r \in (0, \infty)$.

Let \mathcal{H}_α denote the Pickands constant (see [11]), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E \exp(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \chi(t))}{T}$$

where $\chi(t) = B_{\alpha/2}(t) - |t|^\alpha$, with $\{B_{\alpha/2}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ being a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $\alpha/2 \in (0, 1]$. We note in passing that \mathcal{H}_α appears for the first time in Pickands theorem [11]; a correct proof of that theorem is first given in Piterbarg [12].

For a standard normal random variable \mathcal{W} we write $\Phi(u) = P(\mathcal{W} \leq u)$, $\Psi(u) = P(\mathcal{W} > u)$. Recall that

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u} \exp(-u^2/2)(1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

Following Piterbarg [13, Theorem 7.1] we recall that for a centered stationary Gaussian field $\{X(s, t)\}$ satisfying **A1**, **A2**, for arbitrary $g, h \in (0, \infty)$,

$$P \left(\max_{(s, t) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]} X(s, t) > u \right) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2} g h u^{2/\alpha_1} u^{2/\alpha_2} \Psi(u) (1 + o(1)), \quad (2)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $m_1(u) \rightarrow \infty$ and $m_2(u) \rightarrow \infty$ be functions such that

$$m_1(u) = a_1(u)/\sqrt{\Psi(u)} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2(u) = a_2(u)/\sqrt{\Psi(u)}$$

for some positive functions $a_1(u), a_2(u)$ satisfying $a_1(u)a_2(u) = (\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}u^{2/\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_2})^{-1}$, $\log a_1(u) = o(u^2)$ and $\log a_2(u) = o(u^2)$. We note that then

$$m(u) := m_1(u)m_2(u) = P\left(\max_{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2} X(s,t) > u\right)^{-1} (1 + o(1)),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

By $\mathcal{B}(0, x)$ we denote a ball in \mathbb{R}^2 of center at $(0, 0)$ and radius x .

3 Main results

The aim of this section is to prove the following 2-dimensional counterpart of Theorem 1. Recall that \mathcal{W} denotes an $N(0, 1)$ random variable. For a given Jordan-measurable set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with Lebesgue measure $\text{mes}(\mathcal{E}) > 0$ let $\mathcal{E}_u := \{(x, y) : (x/m_1(u), y/m_2(u)) \in \mathcal{E}\}$. One interesting example is $\mathcal{E}_u = [0, xm_1(u)] \times [0, ym_2(u)]$ for x, y positive, hence $\mathcal{E} = [0, x] \times [0, y]$ and $\text{mes}(\mathcal{E}) = xy$. For such \mathcal{E}_u we shall show below an approximation which holds uniformly on compact intervals of $(0, \infty)^2$. If the structure of the set is not specified, considering thus the supremum of a Gaussian field over some general measurable set $\mathcal{T}_u \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ an ϵ -net $(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon, \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)$ approximation of \mathcal{T}_u will be assumed. Specifically, the ϵ -net $(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon, \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)$ here means that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist two sets \mathcal{L}_ϵ and \mathcal{U}_ϵ which are *simple sets* (i.e., finite sums of disjoint rectangles of the form $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$) such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \text{mes}(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \text{mes}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) = c \in (0, \infty) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon, u} = \{(x, y) : (x/m_1(u), y/m_2(u)) \in \mathcal{L}_\epsilon\} \subset \mathcal{T}_u \subset \mathcal{U}_{\epsilon, u} = \{(x, y) : (x/m_1(u), y/m_2(u)) \in \mathcal{U}_\epsilon\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Next we formulate our main results for these two cases.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{X(s, t) : s, t \geq 0\}$ be a centered homogeneous Gaussian field with covariance function that satisfies **A1**, **A2** and **A3** with $r \in [0, \infty)$. Then,*

(i) *for each $0 < A < B < \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, xm_1(u)] \times [0, ym_2(u)]} X(s, t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\exp(-xy \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W}))),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $(x, y) \in [A, B]^2$.

(ii) *for $\mathcal{T}_u \subset \mathbb{R}^2, u > 0$ such that there exists an ϵ -net $(\mathcal{L}_\epsilon, \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)$ satisfying (3)*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{T}_u} X(s, t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\exp(-c \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W}))), \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

The complete proof of Theorem 2 is given in Section 5.1.

Remark 1. *Following the same reasoning as given in the proof of Theorem 2, assuming that **A1-A3** holds, for each $0 < A < B < \infty$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0, x\sqrt{m(u)})} X(s, t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W}))), \quad (4)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $x \in [A, B]$; $\mathcal{B}(0, x)$ is a ball in \mathbb{R}^2 of center at $(0, 0)$ and radius x .

4 Applications

In this section we apply results of Section 3 to the analysis of the asymptotic properties of supremum of a Gaussian field over a random parameter set and to the analysis of dependence structure of homogeneous Gaussian fields.

4.1 Extremes of homogeneous Gaussian fields over a random parameter set

In this section we analyze asymptotic properties of the tail distribution of $\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0,T)} X(s,t) > u$, where T is a nonnegative, independent of X random variable. One-dimensional counterpart of this problem was recently analyzed in [1] and [15].

Proposition 1. *Let $\{X(s,t) : s, t \geq 0\}$ be a centered homogeneous Gaussian field with covariance function that satisfies **A1-A3** with $r \in [0, \infty)$, and let T be an independent of X nonnegative random variable.*

(i) *If $ET^2 < \infty$, then, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0,T)} X(s,t) > u\right) = \pi ET^2 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2} u^{2/\alpha_1} u^{2/\alpha_2} \Psi(u) (1 + o(1)).$$

(ii) *If T has a regularly varying survival function at infinity with index $\lambda < 2$, then as $u \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0,T)} X(s,t) > u\right) = 2\pi C P(T > \sqrt{m(u)}) (1 + o(1)),$$

where $C = \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} E(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r) + \mathcal{V}_r)) dx$ and $\mathcal{V}_r = 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W} - 2r$.

(iii) *If T is slowly varying at ∞ , then, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0,T)} X(s,t) > u\right) = P(T > \sqrt{m(u)}) (1 + o(1)).$$

The proof of Proposition 1 is given in Section 5.2.

4.2 Extremal indices for homogeneous Gaussian fields

Following [5], we say that $\theta \in (0, 1]$ is the *extremal index* of a homogeneous discrete-parameter stationary random field $\{X_{j,k} : j, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$, if

$$P\left(\max_{j \leq a_n, k \leq b_n} X_{j,k} \leq z_n\right) - P(X_{1,1} \leq z_n)^{a_n b_n \cdot \theta} \rightarrow 0, \quad (5)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each sequence $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and all sequences $(a_n), (b_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $1/C \leq a_n/b_n \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$. The notion of extremal index θ originated in investigations concerning relationship between the dependence structure of discrete-parameter stationary sequences of random variables and their extremal behaviour [7, 8]; see also [10, 3, 4, 6, 9, 16].

For a given centered homogeneous Gaussian field $\{X(s,t) : s, t \geq 0\}$ that satisfies **A1-A3** introduce a discrete-parameter random field $\{\tilde{X}_{j,k} : j, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$, with

$$\tilde{X}_{j,k} := \sup_{(s,t) \in [j-1, j] \times [k-1, k]} X(s,t).$$

The following proposition points out how the difference in the dependence structure between weakly- and strongly-dependant Gaussian fields influences the existence of the extremal index of the associated field $\{\tilde{X}_{j,k}\}$.

Proposition 2. Assume that **A1-A3** holds for a centered homogeneous Gaussian field $\{X(s, t) : s, t \geq 0\}$.

(i) If $r = 0$, then the extremal index of $\{\tilde{X}_{j,k} : j, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ equals to 1.

(ii) If $r > 0$, then $\{\tilde{X}_{j,k} : j, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not have an extremal index.

The proof of Proposition 2 is deferred to Section 5.3.

5 Proofs

Before we prove Theorem 2, we need some auxiliary results. The first one is a 2-dimensional version of Lemma 12.2.11 in [8].

Lemma 1. Assume that **A1**, **A2** hold and $q_1 = q_1(u) = au^{-2/\alpha_1}$, $q_2 = q_2(u) = au^{-2/\alpha_2}$ for some $a > 0$. Then for any $x, y \geq 0$, $g, h > 0$ and rectangle $I = (x, y) + [0, g] \times [0, h]$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in I) - P(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in I) \leq \frac{gh\rho(a)}{m(u)} + o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right),$$

where $\rho(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. From the homogeneity of the field $\{X(s, t)\}$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq P(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in I) - P(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in I) \\ &\leq ([g/q_1] + [h/q_2] + 1)P(X(0, 0) > u) + P(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]) \\ &\quad - P(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant K such that

$$([g/q_1] + [h/q_2] + 1)P(X(0, 0) > u)m(u) \leq \frac{K(u^{2/\alpha_1} + u^{2/\alpha_2})\Psi(u)}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}u^{2/\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_2}\Psi(u)},$$

which implies that $([g/q_1] + [h/q_2] + 1)P(X(0, 0) > u) = o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right)$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $T > 0$ be given. We divide the set $[0, g] \times [0, h]$ into small rectangles with the side-lengths q_1T and q_2T in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{1,1} &:= [0, q_1T] \times [0, q_2T], \\ \Delta_{l,m} &:= ((l-1)q_1T, (m-1)q_2T) + \Delta_{1,1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $l = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{g}{q_1T} \rfloor$ and $m = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{h}{q_2T} \rfloor$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]) - P(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]) \\ &\leq P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0,g] \times [0,h]} X(s, t) > u\right) - \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{q_1T} \rfloor} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{h}{q_2T} \rfloor} P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{l,m}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(l,m) \neq (l', m')} P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{l,m}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u, \max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{l', m'}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From [13, Lemma 7.1], as $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0,g] \times [0,h]} X(s, t) > u\right) = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}ghu^{2/\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_2}\Psi(u)(1 + o(1)). \quad (7)$$

Moreover, by homogeneity of $X(\cdot, \cdot)$,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{q_1T} \rfloor} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{h}{q_2T} \rfloor} P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{l,m}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right) \sim \frac{ghu^{2/\alpha_1}u^{2/\alpha_2}}{a^2T^2} P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{1,1}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right). \quad (8)$$

We focus on the asymptotics of $P(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{1,1}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u)$. Following line-by-line the idea of the proof of Lemma D.1 in [13] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{1,1}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right) \\ & \sim \Psi(u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{w-w^2/(2u^2)} P\left(\max_{(ja, ka) \in [0, aT]^2} \chi_u(ja, ka) > w \mid X(0,0) = u - \frac{w}{u}\right) dw, \\ & \sim \Psi(u) H_{\alpha_1}(T, a) H_{\alpha_2}(T, a), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{\alpha_i}(T, a) := E \exp(\max_{j \in [0, T]} B_{\alpha_i/2}(ja) - |ja|^{\alpha_i})$, with $B_{\alpha_i/2}(\cdot)$ being a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $\alpha_i/2$ for $i = 1, 2$ (see also (12.2.6) in proof of [8, Lemma 12.2.11]).

The above implies that, by (8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{q_1 T} \rfloor} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{h}{q_2 T} \rfloor} P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{l,m}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right) \\ & = gh u^{2/\alpha_1} u^{2/\alpha_2} \Psi(u) \left(\frac{H_{\alpha_1}(T, a)}{aT}\right) \left(\frac{H_{\alpha_2}(T, a)}{aT}\right) (1 + o(1)) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

In the next step we prove that the double sum that appears in (6) is negligible, i.e., it is $o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right)$. Indeed, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(m,l) \neq (m', l')} P\left(\max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{m,l}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u, \max_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \Delta_{m', l'}} X(jq_1, kq_2) > u\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{(m,l) \neq (m', l')} P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \Delta_{m,l}} X(s, t) > u, \sup_{(s,t) \in \Delta_{m', l'}} X(s, t) > u\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where (10) follows from the proof of [13, Lemma 6.1].

Now, combining (7), (9) and (10), we conclude that for any $T > 0$ and $a > 0$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]) - P(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in [0, g] \times [0, h]) \\ & \leq gh u^{2/\alpha_1} u^{2/\alpha_2} \Psi(u) \left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2} - \left(\frac{H_{\alpha_1}(T, a)}{aT}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{H_{\alpha_2}(T, a)}{aT}\right)\right) (1 + o(1)) \\ & = gh \frac{1 - \left(\frac{H_{\alpha_1}(T, a)}{aT} \cdot \frac{H_{\alpha_2}(T, a)}{aT}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}^{-1}}{m(u)} + o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{\alpha}(T, a)}{aT} = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha},$$

see e.g. [8, Lemmas 12.2.4(i), 12.2.7(i)], the thesis of the lemma is satisfied with

$$\rho(a) := 1 - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{H_{\alpha_1}(T, a)}{aT} \cdot \frac{H_{\alpha_2}(T, a)}{aT}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}^{-1}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Let

$$\rho_T(s, t) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \max(|s|, |t|) < 1; \\ |r(s, t) - \frac{r}{\log T}|, & 1 \leq \max(|s|, |t|) \leq T, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$$\varrho_T(s, t) := \begin{cases} |r(s, t)| + (1 - r(s, t)) \frac{r}{\log T}, & 0 \leq \max(|s|, |t|) < 1; \\ \frac{r}{\log T}, & 1 \leq \max(|s|, |t|) \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

The next lemma combines a 2-dimensional counterpart of Lemma 12.3.1 in [8], for weakly dependent fields, and Lemma 3.1 in [15] for strongly dependent fields.

Lemma 2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $q_1 = q_1(u) = au^{-2/\alpha_1}$ and $q_2 = q_2(u) = au^{-2/\alpha_2}$. Suppose that $T_1 = T_1(u) \sim \tau m_1(u)$ and $T_2 = T_2(u) \sim \tau m_2(u)$ for some $\tau > 0$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Then, providing that conditions **A1**, **A2** and **A3** with $r \in [0, \infty)$ are fulfilled,*

$$\frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in [-T_1, T_1] \times [-T_2, T_2] - (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^2} \rho_{T_{\max}}(jq_1, kq_2) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(jq_1, kq_2)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(jq_1, kq_2))}\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, where $T_{\max} = \max(T_1, T_2)$.

PROOF. Let $T_1(u) \sim \tau m_1(u)$ and $T_2(u) \sim \tau m_2(u)$ for some $\tau > 0$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$\log(T_1 T_2) + \log\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha_1} + \frac{2}{\alpha_2} - 1\right) \log u - \frac{u^2}{2} \rightarrow 2 \log \tau.$$

Thus

$$u^2 \sim 2 \log(T_1 T_2)$$

and

$$\log u = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \log(T_1 T_2) + o(1).$$

Moreover

$$u^2 = 2 \log(T_1 T_2) + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha_1} + \frac{2}{\alpha_2} - 1\right) \log \log(T_1 T_2) - 4 \log \tau + 2 \log\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha_2} 2^{1/\alpha_1 + 1/\alpha_2}}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\right) + o(1). \quad (13)$$

For $T > 0$ put $\delta_T = \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \max(|s|, |t|) \leq T} \max(|r(s, t)|, \varrho_T(s, t))$. It is straightforward to see that there exists $\delta < 1$ such that for sufficiently large T we get

$$\delta_T = \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \max(|s|, |t|) \leq T} \max(|r(s, t)|, \varrho_T(s, t)) < \delta < 1,$$

since δ_T is decreasing in T for large T . Let β be such that $0 < \beta < \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}$. Divide $Q := [-T_1, T_1] \times [-T_2, T_2] - (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^2$ into two subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} S^* &:= \{(s, t) \in Q : |s| \leq T_1^\beta, |t| \leq T_2^\beta\}, \\ S &:= Q - S^*. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we show that

$$\frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S^*} \rho_{T_{\max}}(jq, kq) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(jq, kq)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(jq, kq))}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad (14)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$. By (13) there exists a constant K such that $\exp(-u^2/2) \leq \frac{K}{T_1 T_2}$. Applying the fact that $u^2 \sim 2 \log(T_1 T_2)$ and $u^{2/\alpha_1} q_1 = u^{2/\alpha_2} q_2 = a$, for u large enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S^*} \rho_{T_{\max}}(jq, kq) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(jq, kq)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(jq, kq))}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \left(\frac{2T_1^\beta}{q_1} + 1\right) \left(\frac{2T_2^\beta}{q_2} + 1\right) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \delta}\right) \sim 4 \frac{(T_1 T_2)^{\beta+1}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}} \\ &\leq 4K^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}} \frac{(T_1 T_2)^{\beta+1 - \frac{2}{1+\delta}}}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \sim \frac{2^{2/\alpha_1 + 2/\alpha_2 + 2} K^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}}{a^4} (\log(T_1 T_2))^{2/\alpha_1 + 2/\alpha_2} (T_1 T_2)^{\beta - \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we choose $\beta < \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}$, then (14) holds.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(j q_1, k q_2) \in S} \rho_{T_{\max}}(j q_1, k q_2) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(j q_1, k q_2)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(j q_1, k q_2))}\right) \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

In order to do it observe that there exist constants $C > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$\max(|r(s, t)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(s, t)) \cdot \log\left(\sqrt{s^2 + t^2}\right) \leq K$$

for all u sufficiently large and (s, t) satisfying $C \leq \max(|s|, |t|) \leq T_{\max}$. Put $T_{\min} := \min(T_1, T_2)$. Since $T_{\min}^\beta > C$ for u large enough, then for $(j q_1, k q_2)$ such that $\max(|j q_1|, |k q_2|) \geq T_{\min}^\beta$ we have

$$\max(|r(j q_1, k q_2)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(j q_1, k q_2)) \leq \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}.$$

Hence

$$\exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(j q_1, k q_2)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(j q_1, k q_2))}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}}\right) \leq \exp\left(-u^2 \left(1 - \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}\right)\right),$$

which implies the following chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(j q_1, k q_2) \in S} \rho_{T_{\max}}(j q_1, k q_2) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(j q_1, k q_2)|, \varrho_{T_{\max}}(j q_1, k q_2))}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{T_1 T_2}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(j q_1, k q_2) \in S} \left| r(j q_1, k q_2) - \frac{r}{\log T_{\max}} \right| \exp\left(-u^2 \left(1 - \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}\right)\right) \\ & \leq 4 \frac{T_1^2 T_2^2}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \exp\left(-u^2 \left(1 - \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \times \frac{q_1 q_2 \log T_{\min}^\beta}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(j q_1, k q_2) \in S} \left| r(j q_1, k q_2) - \frac{r}{\log T_{\max}} \right| \\ & =: I_1 \times I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we show that factor I_1 is bounded. Indeed, using that

$$u^2 = 2 \log(T_1 T_2) + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha_1} + \frac{2}{\alpha_2} - 1\right) \log \log(T_1 T_2) + O(1),$$

there exists a constant K' such that for u large enough

$$-u^2 \left(1 - \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}\right) = -u^2 + K \frac{2 \log(T_1 T_2) + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha_1} + \frac{2}{\alpha_2} - 1\right) \log \log(T_1 T_2) + O(1)}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \leq -u^2 + K'.$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $\frac{\log(T_1 T_2)}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \rightarrow 2/\beta$. Moreover,

$$\exp\left(-u^2 \left(1 - \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}\right)\right) \leq K'' \exp(-u^2) \leq K''' (T_1 T_2)^{-2} (\log(T_1 T_2))^{1-2/\alpha_1-2/\alpha_2},$$

for some constants K'' , K''' . Using that $u^2 \sim 2 \log(T_1 T_2)$ and $u^{2/\alpha_1} q_1 = u^{2/\alpha_2} q_2 = a$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq 4 \frac{T_1^2 T_2^2}{q_1^2 q_2^2} \exp\left(-u^2 \left(1 - \frac{K}{\log T_{\min}^\beta}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \\ & \leq 4 \frac{T_1^2 T_2^2}{q_1^2 q_2^2} K''' (T_1 T_2)^{-2} (\log(T_1 T_2))^{1-2/\alpha_1-2/\alpha_2} \frac{1}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \\ & = 4 K''' 2^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2} \frac{1}{a^4} (\log(T_1 T_2))^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2} (\log(T_1 T_2))^{1-2/\alpha_1-2/\alpha_2} \frac{1}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \sim \frac{K''' 2^{2/\alpha_1+2/\alpha_2+3}}{a^4 \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that I_1 is bounded.

In the next step we show that I_2 tends to 0 as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{q_1 q_2 \log T_{\min}^\beta}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| r(jq_1, kq_2) - \frac{r}{\log T_{\max}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| r(jq_1, kq_2) \log(\sqrt{(jq_1)^2 + (kq_2)^2} - r) \right| \\ &\quad + \beta r \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| 1 - \frac{\log T_{\max}}{\log(\sqrt{(jq_1)^2 + (kq_2)^2})} \right| =: J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining **A3** with the fact that $a_n \rightarrow a$ implies the convergence $(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)/n \rightarrow a$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [14]), we conclude that J_1 tends to 0, as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Additionally, see [8, p. 135],

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \frac{\beta r}{\log T_{\min}^\beta} \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| \log \sqrt{(jq_1)^2 + (kq_2)^2} - \log T_{\max} \right| \\ &= \frac{r}{\log T_{\min}} \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{(jq_1)^2 + (kq_2)^2}}{T_{\max}} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $T_{\max} = T_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{(jq_1)^2 + (kq_2)^2}}{T_{\max}} \right) \right| &= \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left| \log \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{jq_1}{T_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{kq_2}{T_2} \right)^2} \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1} \right)^2 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{q_1 q_2}{T_1 T_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in S} \left(\left| \log \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{jq_1}{T_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{kq_2}{T_2} \right)^2} \right) \right| + \left| \log \left| \frac{jq_1}{T_1} \right| \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$J_2 \leq \frac{r}{\log T_{\min}} O \left(\int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \left| \log(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) \right| dx dy + \int_{-1}^1 |\log |x|| dx \right)$$

and (15) holds. The combination of (14) with (15) completes the proof. \blacksquare

Lemma 3. *Let $q_1 = q_1(u) = au^{-2/\alpha_1}$, $q_2 = q_2(u) = au^{-2/\alpha_2}$ and suppose that $T = T(u) \rightarrow \infty$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Then, providing that conditions **A1** and **A2** are fulfilled, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(u)}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left[(1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \left(1 - \left(r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} \right. \\ \left. \times \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{1 + r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T}} \right) \right] \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Firstly, note that for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough

$$\frac{1}{2} (|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2}) \leq 1 - r(s, t) \leq 2(|s|^{\alpha_1} + |t|^{\alpha_2}), \quad (16)$$

for $0 \leq \max(|s|, |t|) < \varepsilon$, due to **A1**. Thus for u large, ε small enough and $0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \left(r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} \\ & \leq \left(1 - \left(r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right) \right)^{-1/2} = \left((1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \left(1 - \frac{r}{\log T} \right) \right)^{-1/2} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}}{4} \right)^{-1/2} \leq \left(\frac{\max(|jq_1|^{\alpha_1}, |kq_2|^{\alpha_2})}{4} \right)^{-1/2} \leq \left(\frac{\min(q_1^{\alpha_1}, q_2^{\alpha_2})}{4} \right)^{-1/2} = Ku, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $K > 0$. Combining the above inequality with (16) and definitions of $m(u)$, q_1 and q_2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m(u)}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left[(1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \left(1 - \left(r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{1 + r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T}} \right) \right] \\ & \leq K' u e^{u^2/2} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left[(|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}) (1 + \delta) \frac{ru}{\log T} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{2 - (|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}) (1 - \delta - \frac{r(1+\delta)}{\log T})} \right) \right] \\ & = K' \frac{ru^2}{\log T} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left[(|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}) (1 + \delta) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp \left(- \frac{u^2 (|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}) (1 - \delta - \frac{r(1+\delta)}{\log T})}{4 - 2 (|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}) (1 - \delta - \frac{r(1+\delta)}{\log T})} \right) \right] \\ & \leq K' \frac{ru^2}{\log T} (1 + \delta) \frac{8}{u^2} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \frac{u^2}{8} (|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2}) \exp \left(- \frac{u^2 (|jq_1|^{\alpha_1} + |kq_2|^{\alpha_2})}{8} \right) \\ & = \frac{8rK'(1 + \delta)}{\log T} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left(\frac{|aj|^{\alpha_1}}{8} + \frac{|ak|^{\alpha_2}}{8} \right) \exp \left(- \left(\frac{|aj|^{\alpha_1}}{8} + \frac{|ak|^{\alpha_2}}{8} \right) \right) \\ & = O \left(\frac{K''}{\log T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|x|^{\alpha_1} + |y|^{\alpha_2}) e^{-(|x|^{\alpha_1} + |y|^{\alpha_2})} dx dy \right), \end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\log T(u) \rightarrow \infty$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and an integral in the last statement is finite, the proof is completed. \blacksquare

5.1 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of (i). Let $\{X^{(j,k)}(s, t)\}_{j,k}$ be independent copies of $X(s, t)$ and let $\eta(s, t)$ be such that $\eta(s, t) = X^{(j,k)}(s, t)$ for $(s, t) \in [j-1, j] \times [k-1, k]$. For a fixed T we define a Gaussian random field Y_T as follows

$$Y_T(s, t) := \left(1 - \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^{1/2} \eta(s, t) + \left(\frac{r}{\log T} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{W}, \quad \text{for } (s, t) \in [0, T]^2, \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{W} is an $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of $\eta(s, t)$. Then the covariance of Y_T equals

$$\text{Cov}(Y_T(s_0, t_0), Y_T(s_0+s, t_0+t)) = \begin{cases} r(s, t) + (1 - r(s, t)) \frac{r}{\log T}, & \text{when } [s_0] = [s_0 + s], [t_0] = [t_0 + t]; \\ \frac{r}{\log T}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $s_0, t_0, s, t \geq 0$.

Let $n_x := \lfloor xm_1(u) \rfloor$ and $n_y := \lfloor ym_2(u) \rfloor$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, n_x+1] \times [0, n_y+1]} X(s, t) \leq u \right) \\ & \leq P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, xm_1(u)] \times [0, ym_2(u)]} X(s, t) \leq u \right) \leq P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, n_x] \times [0, n_y]} X(s, t) \leq u \right), \end{aligned}$$

we focus on the asymptotics of $P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, n_x] \times [0, n_y]} X(s, t) \leq u \right)$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Divide $[0, n_x] \times [0, n_y]$ into $n_x n_y$ unit squares and then split them into subsets $I_{l,m}^*$ and $I_{l,m}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_{l,m} &= [(l-1) + \varepsilon, l] \times [(m-1) + \varepsilon, m], \\ I_{l,m}^* &= [l-1, l] \times [m-1, m] - I_{l,m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $l = 1, \dots, n_x$, $m = 1, \dots, n_y$.

Step 1. In the first step we prove that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left| P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, n_x] \times [0, n_y]} X(s, t) \leq u \right) - P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}} X(s, t) \leq u \right) \right| \leq \rho_1(\varepsilon), \quad (18)$$

uniformly for $(x, y) \in [A_0, A_\infty]^2$ with $\rho_1(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This is a consequence of the following sequence of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}} X(s, t) \leq u \right) - P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, n_x] \times [0, n_y]} X(s, t) \leq u \right) \\ & \leq n_x n_y P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in I_{1,1}^*} X(s, t) > u \right) \leq A_\infty^2 m(u) P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in I_{1,1}^*} X(s, t) > u \right) = (2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2) A_\infty^2 (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in I_{1,1}^*} X(s, t) > u \right) = \frac{2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2}{m(u)} (1 + o(1)),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, by [13, Theorem 7.1].

Step 2. Let $a > 0$ and $q_1 = q_1(u) := au^{-\alpha_1/2}$, $q_2 = q_2(u) := au^{-\alpha_2/2}$. We show that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left| P \left(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m} \right) - P \left(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m} \right) \right| \leq \rho_2(a), \quad (19)$$

uniformly for $(x, y) \in [A_0, A_\infty]^2$, with $\rho_2(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, (19) follows from the fact

that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq P\left(X(s, t) \leq u; (s, t) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}\right) - P\left(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}\right) \\
&\leq n_x n_y \max_{l,m} \left[P\left(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in I_{l,m}\right) - P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in I_{l,m}} X(s, t) \leq u\right) \right] \\
&\leq n_x n_y (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \left(\frac{\rho(a)}{m(u)} + o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right) \right) \\
&\leq A_\infty^2 \rho(a) + A_\infty^2 m(u) o\left(\frac{1}{m(u)}\right) \rightarrow A_\infty^2 \rho(a),
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$ with $\rho(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. Inequality (20) is due to Lemma 1.

Step 3. In this step we show that for $T = T(u) := \max(A_\infty m_1(u), A_\infty m_2(u))$ we have

$$\left| P\left(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}\right) - P(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}) \right| \rightarrow 0, \tag{21}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $(x, y) \in [A_0, A_\infty]^2$.

Indeed, note that for sufficiently large T we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Cov(X(jq_1, kq_2), X(j'q_1, k'q_2)) - Cov(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2), Y_T(j'q_1, k'q_2))| &\leq \rho_T((j - j')q_1, (k - k')q_2), \\
|Cov(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2), Y_T(j'q_1, k'q_2))| &\leq \varrho_T((j - j')q_1, (k - k')q_2),
\end{aligned}$$

for functions ρ_T and ϱ_T defined by (11).

Moreover, for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(jq_1, kq_2), (j'q_1, k'q_2) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m}$ satisfying $\max(|j - j'|q_1, |k - k'|q_2) < \varepsilon$ we get

$$|Cov(X(jq_1, kq_2), X(j'q_1, k'q_2)) - Cov(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2), Y_T(j'q_1, k'q_2))| = (1 - r((j - j')q_1, (k - k')q_2)) \frac{r}{\log T}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max(|Cov(X(jq_1, kq_2), X(j'q_1, k'q_2))|, |Cov(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2), Y_T(j'q_1, k'q_2))|) \\
&= Cov(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2), Y_T(j'q_1, k'q_2)) \\
&= r((j - j')q_1, (k - k')q_2) + (1 - r((j - j')q_1, (k - k')q_2)) \frac{r}{\log T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta_T = \sup\{\max(|r(s, t)|, \varrho_T(s, t)); \max(|s|, |t|) \geq \varepsilon\}$. Observe that $\delta_T < \delta < 1$ for suffi-

ciently large T . Applying [8, Theorem 4.2.1] we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| P \left(X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_x} \bigcup_{m=1}^{n_y} I_{l,m} \right) - P \left(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l,m} I_{l,m} \right) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{n_x n_y}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left[(1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(1 - \left(r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{1 + r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T}} \right) \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - \delta^2)^{-1/2} \frac{n_x n_y}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in [-n_x, n_x] \times [-n_y, n_y] - (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^2} \left[\rho_T(jq_1, kq_2) \right. \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(jq_1, kq_2)|, \varrho_T(jq_1, kq_2))} \right) \Big] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{A_\infty^2 m(u)}{q_1 q_2} \sum_{0 < \max(|jq_1|, |kq_2|) < \varepsilon} \left[(1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left(1 - \left(r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^2 \right)^{-1/2} \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{1 + r(jq_1, kq_2) + (1 - r(jq_1, kq_2)) \frac{r}{\log T}} \right) \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - \delta^2)^{-1/2} \frac{A_\infty^2 m(u)}{q_1 q_2} \times \sum_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in [-A_\infty m_1(u), A_\infty m_1(u)] \times [-A_\infty m_2(u), A_\infty m_2(u)] - (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^2} \left[\rho_T(jq_1, kq_2) \right. \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(- \frac{u^2}{1 + \max(|r(jq_1, kq_2)|, \varrho_T(jq_1, kq_2))} \right) \Big] \\
& =: I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that, due to Lemma 3, I_1 tends to 0 as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Analogously, by Lemma 2, I_2 tends to 0 as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we have shown (21).

Step 4. By definition of the random field Y_T , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P \left(Y_T(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l,m} I_{l,m} \right) \\
& = P \left(\left(1 - \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^{1/2} \eta(jq_1, kq_2) + \left(\frac{r}{\log T} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{W} \leq u; (jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l,m} I_{l,m} \right) \\
& = P \left(\left(1 - \frac{r}{\log T} \right)^{1/2} \sup_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l,m} I_{l,m}} \eta(jq_1, kq_2) + \left(\frac{r}{\log T} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{W} \leq u \right) \\
& = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P \left(\sup_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l,m} I_{l,m}} \eta(jq_1, kq_2) \leq \frac{u - (r/\log T)^{1/2} z}{(1 - r/\log T)^{1/2}} \right) d\Phi(z). \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_z & := \frac{u - (r/\log T)^{1/2} z}{(1 - r/\log T)^{1/2}} \\
& = \left(u - (r/\log T)^{1/2} z \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(r/\log T) + o(r/\log T) \right) \\
& = u + \frac{-2\sqrt{r}z + 2r}{u} + o(1/u),
\end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and thus

$$\frac{1}{m(u_z)} = \frac{\exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}z)}{m(u)}(1 + o(1)).$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in \bigcup_{l,m} I_{l,m}} \eta(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u_z\right) &= \prod_{l,m} P\left(\sup_{(jq_1, kq_2) \in I_{l,m}} X(jq_1, kq_2) \leq u_z\right) \\ &= P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2} X(s,t) \leq u_z\right)^{n_x n_y} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(u_z)}\right)^{xy m(u)} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= \exp(-xy \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}z))(1 + o(1)), \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $(x, y) \in [A_0, A_\infty]^2$. Combining (18), (19), (21), (22) and (23) and passing with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $a \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that the proof of (i) is completed.

Proof of (ii). Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be Jordan-measurable with Lebesgue measure $\text{mes}(\mathcal{T}) > 0$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be *simple sets* (i.e. finite sums of disjoint rectangles of the form $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$) such that $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ and $\text{mes}(\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon) > \text{mes}(\mathcal{T}) - \varepsilon$, $\text{mes}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon) < \text{mes}(\mathcal{T}) + \varepsilon$. Then, following line-by-line the same argument as given in the proof of part (i) of Theorem 2, for $\mathcal{T}_u = \{(x, y) : (x/m_1(u), y/m_2(u)) \in \mathcal{T}\}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, u} = \{(x, y) : (x/m_1(u), y/m_2(u)) \in \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\}$, $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, u} = \{(x, y) : (x/m_1(u), y/m_2(u)) \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon\}$ we have

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon, u}} X(s,t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow E(\exp(-\text{mes}(\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon) \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W})))$$

and

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, u}} X(s,t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow E(\exp(-\text{mes}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon) \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W}))),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{T}_u} X(s,t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow E(\exp(-\text{mes}(\mathcal{T}) \exp(-2r + 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W}))),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

5.2 Proof of Proposition 1

Since the proof of Proposition 1 is analogous to proofs of Theorems 3.1-3.3 in [1], see also Theorem A in [15], we focus only on arguments for (ii).

Let $0 < A_0 < A_\infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0, T)} X(s,t) > u\right) &= \\ &= \int_0^{A_0 \sqrt{m(u)}} P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0, x)} X(s,t) > u\right) dF_T(x) + \int_{A_0 \sqrt{m(u)}}^{A_\infty \sqrt{m(u)}} P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0, x)} X(s,t) > u\right) dF_T(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{A_\infty \sqrt{m(u)}}^\infty P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{B}(0, x)} X(s,t) > u\right) dF_T(x) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, due to Remark 1, for sufficiently large u , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{A_0}^{A_\infty} (1 - E(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) dF_T(x\sqrt{m(u)}) \\
&= (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{A_0}^{A_\infty} 2\pi x E(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r) + \mathcal{V}_r)) P(T > x\sqrt{m(u)}) dx \\
&\quad - (1 + \varepsilon) (1 - E(\exp(-\pi A_\infty^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) P(T > A_\infty \sqrt{m(u)}) \\
&\quad + (1 + \varepsilon) (1 - E(\exp(-\pi A_0^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) P(T > A_0 \sqrt{m(u)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_r = 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W} - 2r$. Hence, using the fact that T is regularly varying,

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_2}{P(T > \sqrt{m(u)})} &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) 2\pi \int_{A_0}^{A_\infty} x^{1-\lambda} E(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r) + \mathcal{V}_r)) dx \\
&\quad - (1 + \varepsilon) (1 - E(\exp(-\pi A_\infty^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) A_\infty^{-\lambda} \\
&\quad + (1 + \varepsilon) (1 - E(\exp(-\pi A_0^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) A_0^{-\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

In an analogous way we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_2}{P(T > \sqrt{m(u)})} &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) 2\pi \int_{A_0}^{A_\infty} x^{1-\lambda} E(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r) + \mathcal{V}_r)) dx \\
&\quad - (1 - \varepsilon) (1 - E(\exp(-\pi A_\infty^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) A_\infty^{-\lambda} \\
&\quad + (1 - \varepsilon) (1 - E(\exp(-\pi A_0^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))) A_0^{-\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [1], we conclude that $I_1 + I_3 = o(P(T > \sqrt{m(u)}))$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, passing with $A_0 \rightarrow 0$, $A_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$I_2 = 2\pi \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} E(\exp(-\pi x^2 \exp(\mathcal{V}_r) + \mathcal{V}_r)) dx P(T > \sqrt{m(u)}) (1 + o(1)),$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

5.3 Proof of Proposition 2

Proof of (i). Assume that **A3** is satisfied with $r = 0$. Then, by definition of $\{\tilde{X}_{j,k}\}$, it suffices to show that for the original Gaussian field $\{X(s, t) : s, t \geq 0\}$

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, f(u)] \times [0, g(u)]} X(s, t) \leq z(u)\right) - P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, 1]^2} X(s, t) \leq z(u)\right)^{f(u)g(u)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (24)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, for each function $z : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and all pairs of functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $f(u) \rightarrow \infty$ and $g(u) \rightarrow \infty$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and $1/C \leq f(u)/g(u) \leq C$ for some fixed $C > 0$. Observe that it suffices to consider two cases: continuous $z(u) \nearrow \infty$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and $z(u) < \text{Const}$. We focus on the first case and suppose that $z(u)$ increases to infinity. Then (24) is equivalent to

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, f^*(u)] \times [0, g^*(u)]} X(s, t) \leq u\right) - P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, 1]^2} X(s, t) \leq u\right)^{f^*(u)g^*(u)} \rightarrow 0, \quad (25)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, with z^{-1} being the inverse function for z and $f^*(u) := f(z^{-1}(u))$, $g^*(u) := g(z^{-1}(u))$.

By (i) of Theorem 2,

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, x\sqrt{m(u)}] \times [0, y\sqrt{m(u)}]} X(s, t) \leq u\right) \rightarrow e^{-xy}, \quad (26)$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(C) := \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 1/C \leq s/t \leq C\} \cup \{0, 0\}$, for an arbitrary constant $C > 0$. Moreover the uniform convergence

$$P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2} X(s, t) \leq u \right)^{xy \cdot m(u)} \rightarrow e^{-xy} \quad (27)$$

occurs on the set $\mathcal{F}(C)$.

Let $\bar{f}(u) := f(z^{-1}(u)) / \sqrt{m(u)}$ and $\bar{g}(u) := g(z^{-1}(u)) / \sqrt{m(u)}$. The fundamental observation is that it is sufficient to prove (24) for $f(u)$ and $g(u)$ satisfying the additional assumption: $\bar{f}(u) \rightarrow a \in [0, \infty]$ and $\bar{g}(u) \rightarrow b \in [0, \infty]$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that $1/C \leq f(u)/g(u) \leq C$ implies $1/C \leq \bar{f}(u)/\bar{g}(u) \leq C$. Since the convergence in (26) is uniform, we obtain

$$P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, f^*(u)] \times [0, g^*(u)]} X(s, t) \leq u \right) = P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0, \bar{f}(u)\sqrt{m(u)}] \times [0, \bar{g}(u)\sqrt{m(u)}]} X(s, t) \leq u \right) \rightarrow e^{-ab},$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by (27),

$$P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2} X(s, t) \leq u \right)^{f^*(u)g^*(u)} = P \left(\sup_{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2} X(s, t) \leq u \right)^{\bar{f}(u)\bar{g}(u) \cdot m(u)} \rightarrow e^{-ab},$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$, which gives (24).

Proof of (ii). Let us consider the case $r > 0$. Note that for $\mathcal{V}_r = 2\sqrt{r}\mathcal{W} - 2r$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\exp(-\exp(\mathcal{V}_r))) &= E(\exp(-2\exp(\mathcal{V}_r))) - E(\exp(-\exp(\mathcal{V}_r)))^2 \\ &= P \left(\max_{j \leq 2 \lfloor \sqrt{m(u)} \rfloor, k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{m(u)} \rfloor} \tilde{X}_{j,k} \leq u \right) - P \left(\max_{j,k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{m(u)} \rfloor} \tilde{X}_{j,k} \leq u \right)^2 + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

due to Theorem 2. By contradiction, assume that the extremal index exists and equals $\theta \in (0, 1]$. Then for any sequence $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P \left(\max_{j \leq \lfloor 2\sqrt{m(z_n)} \rfloor, k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{m(z_n)} \rfloor} \tilde{X}_{j,k} \leq z_n \right) - P \left(\max_{j,k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{m(z_n)} \rfloor} \tilde{X}_{j,k} \leq z_n \right)^2 \\ &= \left(P \left(\max_{j \leq 2 \lfloor \sqrt{m(z_n)} \rfloor, k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{m(z_n)} \rfloor} \tilde{X}_{j,k} \leq z_n \right) - P \left(\tilde{X}_{1,1} \leq z_n \right)^{2m(z_n) \cdot \theta} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(P \left(\max_{j,k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{m(z_n)} \rfloor} \tilde{X}_{j,k} \leq z_n \right)^2 - \left(P \left(\tilde{X}_{1,1} \leq z_n \right)^{m(z_n) \cdot \theta} \right)^2 \right) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\text{Var}(\exp(-\exp(\mathcal{V}_r))) = 0$. Keeping in mind that $r > 0$ and \mathcal{W} is an $N(0, 1)$ random variable, we obtain a contradiction.

Acknowledgement: K. Dębicki was partially supported by NCN Grant No 2011/01/B/ST1/01521 (2011-2013). The first two authors kindly acknowledge partial support by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 200021-140633/1 and by the project RARE -318984 (an FP7 a Marie Curie IRSES Fellowship)

References

- [1] Arendarczyk, M., Dębicki, K. (2012) Exact asymptotics of supremum of a stationary Gaussian process over a random interval. *Statistics & Probability Letters* **82**, 645–652.
- [2] Billingsley, P. (1995) Probability and Measure. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- [3] Hsing, T. (1993) Extremal index estimation for a weakly dependent stationary point sequence. *Ann. Statist.* **21** (4) 2043–2071.
- [4] Hsing, T., Hüsler, J., Leadbetter, M.R. (1988) On the exceedance point process for stationary sequence. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **78** 97–112.
- [5] Jakubowski, A., Soja-Kukieła, N.. (2013) Managing local dependencies in limit theorems for maxima of stationary random fields, *in preparation*.
- [6] Jakubowski, A. (1991) Relative extremal index of two stationary processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **37** (2), 281–297.
- [7] Leadbetter M.R. (1983) Extremes and local dependence in stationary sequences. *Z. Wahr. Ver. Geb.* **65** 291–306.
- [8] Leadbetter, M.R., Lindgren, G., Rootzén, H. (1983) Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes. Springer-Verlag.
- [9] Leadbetter, M.R., Weissman, I., de Haan, L., Rootzén H. (1989) On clustering of high levels in statistically stationary series. In: J. Samson (Ed.), *Proc. 4th Int. Meet. Statistical Climatology New Zealand Meteorological Service*, Wellington.
- [10] O’Brien, G. (1987) Extreme values for stationary and Markov sequences. *Ann. Probab.* **15** 281–191.
- [11] Pickands, J.III (1969) Asymptotic properties of maximum in a stationary Gaussian process. *Trans. Amer. Soc.* **145**, 75–86.
- [12] Piterbarg, V.I. (1972) On the paper by J. Pickands ”Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes”. *Vestnik Moscow. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **27**, 25–30. English translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, **27**.
- [13] Piterbarg, V.I. (1996) Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Gaussian Processes and Fields. In: *Transl. Math. Monographs*, vol. 148. AMS, Providence, RI.
- [14] Rudin, W. (1976) Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [15] Tan Z., Hashorva E. (2013) Limit theorems for extremes of strongly dependent cyclostationary χ -processes. *Lithuanian Math. J.*, **53**, 91–102.
- [16] Weissman, I., Novak, S.Yu. (1998) On blocks and runs estimators for the extremal index. *J. Stat. Plan. Inference* **66** 281–288.