



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***GENETICS AND QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI MAPPING OF BIOMASS
YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS IN MAIZE (*Zea mays* L.) FOR FORAGE
UTILIZATION***

NAZATUL SHIMA BINTI NAHARUDIN

FP 2017 41



**GENETICS AND QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI MAPPING OF BIOMASS
YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS IN MAIZE (*Zea mays* L.) FOR FORAGE
UTILIZATION**

By

NAZATUL SHIMA BINTI NAHARUDIN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfillments of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy**

June 2017

COPYRIGHT

All materials contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to:

my backbones:

*Naharudin Musa
and
Kamarul Ashikin Muhd Piah,*

my other half:
Nor Imran Shahabudin,

and the apples of my eyes:
*Ammar Mujahid
and
Sumayyah Syahidah*



© COPYRIGHT

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

GENETICS AND QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI MAPPING OF BIOMASS YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS IN MAIZE (*Zea mays* L.) FOR FORAGE UTILIZATION

By

NAZATUL SHIMA BINTI NAHARUDIN

June 2017

Chairman : Professor Ghizan bin Saleh, PhD.
Faculty : Agriculture

Genetic studies and quantitative traits loci (QTL) mapping on maize (*Zea mays* L.) for forage yield and quality traits for animal feed are lacking, especially those conducted in the tropical region. Efficient breeding and selection strategies of maize for forage utilization require sound knowledge and understanding of the genetics associated with biomass yield and forage quality. A series of experiments and analyses were conducted to elucidate important genetic parameters and to map QTLs for biomass yield and forage quality traits in maize. Two crosses between inbred lines (CML 152 × CML 383 and CML 491 × CML 331) with contrasting values for biomass yield and quality traits were made to produce two F₂ populations. Heterosis, inbreeding depression and broad-sense heritability of 16 biomass yield and forage quality traits were estimated from evaluation of the hybrids, the F₂ populations and the parents involved. In general, Cross 1 showed higher heterosis and inbreeding depression for biomass yield traits compared to Cross 2. The broad sense heritability estimates in both populations were moderate to high for plant height, dry leaf yield, protein content and acid detergent lignin, indicating that these traits can be used as the selection criteria. Predicted genetic gain from selection were found high for fresh and dry biomass yield and moderate for plant height and protein content in both populations. Correlation analysis on biomass yield and quality traits revealed that all biomass yield components were significantly correlated. For forage quality traits, moderate positive correlations were found among the traits related to cell wall composition (neutral and acid detergent fiber and acid detergent lignin contents). Moreover, these traits were also found to be moderately correlated with dry plant yield. Mapping of QTLs linked to biomass yield and forage quality traits was done on two F₂ mapping populations derived from CML 152 × CML 383 and CML 491 × CML 331 crosses. Out of 180 SSR markers used in screening, 61 markers were polymorphic in Cross 1 and 62 markers were polymorphic in Cross 2, which were then used to construct linkage maps. Ten linkage groups were detected in both populations with the size of 822.2 cM in Population 1 and 740.5 cM in Population 2. Data were analyzed using single marker

regression analysis and composite interval mapping analysis to detect markers and regions with significant QTLs. For biomass yield traits, eight QTLs were identified in Population 1 and 10 QTLs were detected in Population 2 based on single marker regression analysis. When combined in multiple loci model, these QTLs accounted for up to 30.41% (Population 1) and 85.89% (Population 2) of the phenotypic variation explained (PVE). QTLs on Chromosomes 1 and 8 in Population 1, and QTLs on Chromosomes 1 and 9 in Population 2 were associated with multiple traits, suggesting the presence of pleiotropic effects. Composite interval mapping analysis detected three intervals on Chromosomes 1 and 8 in Population 1 and four on Chromosomes 1, 3, 4 and 9 in Population 2 associated with biomass yield traits, some of which coincide with the markers with high PVE in single marker regression analysis. Epistatic interactions among the loci for plant height were identified, contributing 13.02% to PVE. Most of the QTLs detected for biomass yield in Population 1 were found to have dominance effects, while QTLs in Population 2 had additive effects, thus explaining the higher expressions of heterosis for biomass yield traits in Population 1 compared to Population 2. For forage quality traits, in Population 1, single marker regression detected three putative QTLs to be associated with protein content on Chromosomes 1 and 2, and eight putative QTLs for cell wall components on Chromosomes 3, 5, 8, and 9. In Population 2, four putative QTLs were detected for protein content, whereas for cell wall components, one QTL was detected for neutral detergent fiber, acid detergent fiber and acid detergent lignin contents, respectively. Composite interval mapping analysis revealed three QTLs with main effects and epistatic interactions on Chromosomes 1, 6 and 8 for acid detergent lignin in Population 1. Although no main effect QTLs were detected for neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber, regions with epistatic interactions were detected for these traits. QTLs for protein content were only detected in Population 2 and none in Population 1. Some of the QTLs detected for biomass yield were also present in other inbred lines in the germplasm collection, and could be confirmed by association mapping analysis. The identified QTLs could be utilized in marker assisted breeding programs or high resolution mapping after QTL validation in various environmental condition using different populations for future forage maize improvement in the tropical region.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**GENETIK DAN PEMETAAN LOKUS CIRI KUANTITATIF BAGI HASIL
BIOJISIM DAN KUALITI JAGUNG (*Zea mays* L.) UNTUK KEGUNAAN
FORAJ**

Oleh

NAZATUL SHIMA BINTI NAHARUDIN

Jun 2017

Pengerusi : Professor Ghizan bin Saleh, PhD
Fakulti : Pertanian

Kajian genetik dan pemetaan lokus ciri kuantitatif (QTL) ke atas jagung (*Zea mays* L.) untuk hasil dan kualiti foraj bagi kegunaan makanan haiwan masih ketinggalan, terutama di kawasan tropika. Program biakbaka dan pemilihan yang efektif ke atas jagung bagi kegunaan foraj memerlukan pengetahuan dan pemahaman yang lengkap mengenai kawalan genetik bagi hasil biojisim dan kualiti foraj. Beberapa kajian dan analisa telah dijalankan untuk menerangkan parameter genetik yang penting dan memetakan QTL berkaitan hasil biojisim dan beberapa kualiti foraj bagi tanaman jagung. Dua kacukan antara titisan inbred (CML 152 × CML 383 dan CML 491 × CML 331) yang berlainan bagi sifat-sifat hasil biojisim dan kualiti dilakukan sehingga membentuk dua populasi F₂. Nilai heterosis, kemelesetan inbred dan kebolehwarisan luas bagi 16 sifat hasil biojisim dan kualiti foraj dianggar dari analisis populasi hibrid, populasi F₂ dan induk masing-masing. Secara amnya, Kacukan 1 menunjukkan nilai heterosis dan kemelesetan inbred yang lebih tinggi bagi hasil biojisim berbanding Kacukan 2. Nilai kebolehwarisan luas bagi kedua-dua populasi bagi sifat ketinggian tumbuhan, hasil daun kering, kandungan protein dan kandungan lignin detergen asid adalah sederhana tinggi, menunjukkan sifat-sifat ini boleh digunakan sebagai kriteria pilihan yang utama. Nilai taksiran bagi kemajuan genetik untuk hasil biojisim segar dan kering adalah tinggi manakala bagi sifat ketinggian tumbuhan dan kandungan protein adalah sederhana bagi kedua-dua populasi. Analisis korelasi antara sifat-sifat hasil biojisim dan kualiti menunjukkan yang kesemua komponen hasil biojisim berkorelasi secara signifikan. Bagi ciri kualiti foraj, kolerasi positif dan sederhana ditemukan di antara ciri-ciri berkaitan komposisi dinding sel (kandungan serat detergen neutral, serat detergen asid dan lignin detergen asid). Sifat-sifat ini juga berkorelasi secara sederhana dan positif dengan hasil biojisim kering. Pemetaan QTL yang terangkai dengan sifat hasil biojisim dan kualiti ditaksir ke atas dua populasi pemetaan F₂ yang diperolehi daripada kacukan CML 152 × CML 383 dan CML 491 × CML 331. Daripada 180 penanda SSR yang digunakan untuk saringan, 61 penanda SSR didapati polimorfik bagi Kacukan 1 dan 62 penanda SSR didapati polimorfik bagi

Kacukan 2. Penanda-penanda terpilih ini kemudiannya digunakan untuk membina peta rangkaian. Sepuluh kumpulan rangkaian telah dikesan bagi kedua-dua populasi, dengan saiz 822.2 cM bagi Populasi 1 dan 740.5 cM bagi Populasi 2. Data fenotip dan genotip dianalisa menggunakan regresi satu penanda dan pemetaan silang komposit untuk mengesan QTL yang signifikan. Bagi sifat hasil biojisim, lapan QTL dikenalpasti di Populasi 1 dan sepuluh QTL dikenalpasti di Populasi 2. Apabila digabung dalam model berbilang lokus, QTL tersebut menyumbangkan sehingga 30.41% (Populasi 1) dan 85.89% (Populasi 2) kepada varian fenotip. QTL di Kromosom 1 dan 8 bagi Populasi 1, dan QTL di Kromosom 1 dan 9 bagi Populasi 2 mengandungi QTL bagi pelbagai sifat, justeru menunjukkan kesan pleiotropi. Analisis pemetaan silang komposit mengesan tiga selang penanda di Kromosom 1 dan 8 bagi Populasi 1 dan empat selang penanda di Kromosom 1, 3, 4 dan 9 di Populasi 2 yang berkaitan dengan ciri hasil biojisim, di mana sebahagiannya merupakan penanda yang mempunyai nilai varian fenotip yang tinggi bagi analisis regresi satu penanda. Interaksi epistatik antara lokus bagi sifat ketinggian tumbuhan telah dikenalpasti dan menyumbang 13.02% kepada varian fenotip. Kebanyakan QTL yang dikesan bagi Populasi 1 mempunyai kesan dominan, manakala QTL bagi Populasi 2 mempunyai kesan aditif, justeru menerangkan nilai heterosis yang tinggi untuk hasil biojisim bagi Populasi 1 berbanding Populasi 2. Bagi ciri kualiti foraj, bagi Populasi 1, analisis regresi satu penanda mengenalpasti dua QTL putatif bagi kandungan protein dan lapan QTL putatif bagi ciri berkaitan komposisi dinding sel. Bagi Populasi 2, empat QTL dikenalpasti bagi kandungan protein dan satu QTL dikenalpasti untuk serat detergen neutral, serat detergen asid dan lignin detergen asid masing-masing. Analisis pemetaan silang komposit menunjukkan tiga QTL dengan kesan utama dan interaksi epistatik di Kromosom 1, 6 dan 8 bagi kandungan lignin detergen asid bagi Populasi 1. Walaupun tiada QTL kesan utama dikesan bagi kandungan serat detergen neutral dan serat detergen asid, beberapa lokus dikesan dengan interaksi epistatik bagi sifat-sifat ini. QTL bagi kandungan protein hanya dikesan bagi Populasi 2 dan tiada QTL bagi kandungan protein bagi Populasi 1. Sebahagian QTL yang berkaitan dengan hasil biojisim juga dikesan di titisan inbred lain di dalam koleksi germplasma dan boleh dipastikan melalui analisis pemetaan perkaitan. Semua QTL yang dikesan boleh digunakan untuk program biakbaka berbantu penanda atau program pemetaan beresolusi tinggi selepas pengesanan QTL dijalankan di persekitaran yang berbeza ke atas populasi yang berbeza, untuk program pembiakbakaan jagung foraj di kawasan tropika.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, my utmost gratefulness is to Allah SWT for giving me the strength to persevere throughout this long journey.

To Professor Dr. Ghizan Saleh, my mentor and supervisor, thank you for your guidance and advices on academic writing, experimental designs and analyses. You have shared your wisdom not only on sciences, but on life and people. I have developed my passion in this field of research and academia and I hope I can make you proud.

To Dr. Nur Ashikin Psyquay Abdullah, thank you for your kind and constructive opinions and your share of experiences in helping me to obtain the inputs for my work. To Dr. Maheran Abd Aziz, thank you for your guidance in molecular and cellular analyses, as well as your encouragement and friendship.

To Dr. Pedram Kashiani of UPSI, thank you for the times you spent and all of the critical advices you shared in scientific writing and analyses. Special thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education for the scholarship, Universiti Putra Malaysia for the study leave, lecturers, laboratory and management staff of Faculty of Agriculture for their encouragements and motivation. To my fellow plant breeder-to-be, Maizura, Nor Fadzillah, Neyaz, thank you for this beautiful relationship.

Nazatul Shima

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 15 June 2017 to conduct the final examination of Nazatul Shima binti Naharudin on her thesis entitled "Genetics and Quantitative Trait Loci Mapping of Biomass Yield and Quality Traits in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) for Forage Utilization" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Halimi bin Mohd Saud, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Rafii bin Yusop, PhD

Professor
Institute of Tropical Agriculture and Food Security
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohamad bin Osman, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Irwin L. Goldman, PhD

Professor
University of Wisconsin
United States
(External Examiner)



NOR AINI AB. SHUKOR, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 August 2017

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ghizan Bin Saleh, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nur Ashikin Psyquay Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Maheran binti Abd Aziz, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published in form of written, printed, or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature:

Date:

Name and Matric No: Nazatul Shima Binti Naharudin (GS 26612)

Declaration by the Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Ghizan Bin Saleh

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Nur Ashikin Psyquay Abdullah

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Maheran binti Abd Aziz

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Maize Botany, Growth and Consumption	4
2.2 Maize for Forage Utilization	9
2.3 Biomass Yield Traits in Maize and Their Genetic Control	10
2.4 Quality Traits for Forage Maize and Their Genetic Control	11
2.4.1 Protein Content	11
2.4.2 Cell Wall Composition	12
2.5 Breeding and Selection for Forage Traits	13
2.6 Molecular Breeding Techniques	14
2.6.1 Microsatellite Markers	16
2.7 Genetic Map Construction	16
2.7.1 Mapping Populations	16
2.7.2 Linkage Mapping	17
2.8 QTL Detection and Estimation	18
2.9 QTLs for Biomass Yield and Quality Traits in Maize	20
2.10 Marker Assisted Selection in Maize Breeding Program	23
3 GENETIC ANALYSES OF FORAGE YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS IN MAIZE	25
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Materials and Methods	28
3.2.1 Plant Materials and Location of Experiment	28
3.2.2 Data Collection	29
3.2.3 Phenotypic Data Analyses	31
3.3 Results	34
3.3.1 Performance of Basic Generations	34
3.3.2 Heterosis and Inbreeding Depression	40
3.3.3 Broad-sense Heritability (h ² _B) of Forage Traits	41
3.3.4 Genetic Advance for Forage Yield and Quality Traits	43
3.3.5 Phenotypic and Genetic Correlations among Forage Traits	49

3.4	Discussion	52
3.5	Conclusion	54
4	MAPPING OF QTLs FOR BIOMASS YIELD TRAITS IN MAIZE FOR FORAGE UTILIZATION	56
4.1	Introduction	56
4.2	Materials and Methods	57
4.2.1	Development of Mapping Populations and Measurement of Traits	57
4.2.2	DNA Extraction	57
4.2.3	PCR Amplification and Product Visualization	58
4.2.4	Molecular Marker Evaluation	58
4.2.5	Linkage Map Construction	59
4.2.6	Quantitative Trait Loci Analysis	60
4.2.7	QTL Nomenclature	61
4.3	Results	61
4.3.1	Polymorphic SSR Markers	61
4.3.2	Gel Images and Linkage Maps Generated	67
4.3.3	Quantitative Trait Loci for Maize Biomass Yield Traits	71
4.3.3.1	QTLs Detected by Single Marker Regression Analysis	71
4.3.3.2	QTLs Detected by Composite Interval Mapping	79
4.4	Discussion	86
4.4.1	Reliability of Linkage Maps	86
4.4.2	Inheritance Patterns of Biomass Yield Traits	87
4.5	Conclusion	88
5	MAPPING QTLs FOR QUALITY TRAITS IN MAIZE FOR FORAGE UTILIZATION	90
5.1	Introduction	90
5.2	Materials and Methods	91
5.3	Results	92
5.3.1	Linkage Maps	92
5.3.2	Quantitative Traits Loci for Forage Maize Quality Traits	92
5.3.2.1	QTLs Detected by Single Marker Regression Analysis	92
5.3.2.2	QTLs Detected by Composite Interval Mapping	97
5.3.2.3	Epistatic Interactions of the QTLs Associated with Quality Traits	98
5.4	Discussion	105
5.5	Conclusion	106
6	PRESENCE OF QTL-MARKERS ASSOCIATED WITH BIOMASS YIELD AND QUALITY TRAITS IN MAIZE INBRED LINES	107
6.1	Introduction	107
6.2	Materials and Methods	108

6.2.1	Plant Materials and Field Location	108
6.2.2	Phenotypic Data Collection	108
6.2.3	DNA Extraction and SSR Analysis	109
6.3	Results	111
6.3.1	Performance of Inbred Lines	111
6.3.2	Presence of QTL-Markers for Forage Maize Yield and Quality Traits	113
6.4	Discussion	116
6.5	Conclusion	117
7	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	118
7.1	Summary	118
7.2	Implication in Forage Maize Breeding Program in Malaysia	121
7.3	Recommendations for Future Work	121
	REFERENCES	123
	APPENDICES	139
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	144
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	145

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Maize types, kernel composition, area grown and common usage	7
2.2	Average nutrient composition per 100g of edible portion of maize	8
2.3	Comparison of grain maize and silage maize in terms of crude protein content and fiber content	12
2.4	General features of commonly used DNA molecular markers	15
2.5	List of QTLs reported for biomass yield and forage quality traits in maize using various populations, different molecular markers in different environmental conditions	21
3.1	List of maize parental inbred lines for Cross 1 and Cross 2 and derivation of F1 and F2 generations used in the study	28
3.2	Description and measurements of soil characteristics at the experimental site (Field 2, UPM)	29
3.3	List of maize biomass yield traits and other related traits measured in the study, and their description	30
3.4	List of important forage maize quality traits measured in the study, and their description	31
3.5	Results of ANOVA for traits measured in the basic generations from maize inbred lines cross of CML 152 × CML 383	35
3.6	Results of ANOVA for traits measured in the basic generations from maize inbred lines cross of CML 491 × CML 331	36
3.7	Mean performance (and standard error) of traits measured on maize basic generations of Cross 1 (CML 152 × CML 383)	38
3.8	Mean performance (and standard error) of traits measured on maize basic generations of Cross 2 (CML 491 × CML 331)	39
3.9	Mid-parent heterosis, better parent heterosis and inbreeding depression values for maize biomass yield and forage quality traits in two crosses	41
3.10	Variance components and heritability estimates for maize forage traits in two crosses	42

3.11	Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation of maize forage traits for two F ₂ populations derived from Cross 1 and Cross 2	43
3.12	Genetic advance (at 5% selection pressure) and as percentage of mean of maize forage traits for two F ₂ populations derived from Cross 1 and Cross 2	44
3.13	Phenotypic and genetic correlation coefficients among 16 maize forage yield quality traits for Cross 1 (CML 152 × CML 383)	50
3.14	Phenotypic and genetic correlation coefficients among 16 maize forage yield quality traits for Cross 2 (CML 491 × CML 331)	51
4.1	List of polymorphic SSR microsatellite markers that are used to genotype the two segregating maize populations based on the results of markers screening	62
4.2	List of QTLs detected from Population 1 (derived from CML 152 × CML 383) for biomass yield traits and yield components in maize based on single marker regression analysis	72
4.3	List of QTLs detected from Population 2 (derived from CML 491 × CML 331) for biomass yield traits and yield components in maize based on single marker regression analysis	75
4.4	List of QTLs detected for maize biomass yield traits by CIM in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383), the marker intervals, the position, range, and additive or dominance effects of each interval for the respective traits	80
4.5	List of QTLs detected for maize biomass yield traits by CIM in Population 2 (CML 491 × CML 331), the marker intervals, the position, range, and the additive or dominance effects of each interval for the respective traits	82
4.6	Epistatic interactions between QTLs for plant height in forage maize for Population 2 (CML 491 × CML 331)	84
5.1	List of QTLs detected from Population 1 (derived from CML 152 × CML 383) for forage quality traits in maize based on single marker regression analysis	93
5.2	List of QTLs detected from Population 2 (derived from CML 491 × CML 331) for forage quality traits in maize based on single marker regression analysis	95
5.3	List of QTLs detected for forage maize quality traits in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383) based on CIM analysis	97

5.4	List of QTLs detected for forage maize quality traits in Population 2 (CML 491 × CML 331) based on CIM analysis	98
5.5	Epistatic interactions between QTLs for forage maize neutral detergent fiber content in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383)	98
5.6	Epistatic interactions between QTLs for acid detergent fiber content in forage maize Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383)	100
5.7	Epistatic interactions between QTLs for acid detergent lignin content detected in forage maize Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383)	102
6.1	List of maize inbred lines used to check the identified QTL markers for biomass yield and quality traits	108
6.2	List of identified QTL-markers, the chromosomes where markers are located, the traits they associated with, and the population in which the QTLs were detected	110
6.3	Mean performance of biomass yield, yield components and quality traits of 17 maize inbred lines	112
6.4	Presence of QTL-markers associated with biomass yield and quality traits on 17 maize inbred lines	115

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Diagram of typical maize plant with the enlarged view on root structure	5
2.2	Diagram of maize developmental stages from seedling emergence to ripening of ear (harvesting period)	6
2.3	Image of cell wall composition important in forage quality indication	13
3.1	Phenotypic distributions for maize biomass yield traits measured in F ₂ population derived from Cross 1. The mean values of both parents (CML 152 and CML 383) were indicated in each histogram plot.	45
3.2	Phenotypic distributions for maize forage quality traits measured in F ₂ population derived from Cross 1. The mean values of both parents (CML 152 and CML 383) were indicated in each histogram plot.	46
3.3	Phenotypic distributions for maize biomass yield traits measured in F ₂ population derived from Cross 2. The mean values of both parents (CML 491 and CML 331) were indicated in each histogram plot.	47
3.4	Phenotypic distributions for maize forage quality traits measured in F ₂ population derived from Cross 2. The mean values of both parents (CML 491 and CML 331) were indicated in each histogram plot.	48
4.1	Examples of documented gel images of SSR markers screening procedure	59
4.2	The screenshot from MapDisto program showing the arrangement of markers into groups	60
4.3	Gel images showing (A) banding patterns of 48 of 156 individuals from Population 1 amplified by marker SSR BNLG1025 located on Chromosome 1 (B) banding patterns of 48 of 156 individuals from Population 1 amplified by marker SSR phi328175 located on Chromosome 7	67
4.4	Gel images showing (A) banding patterns of 48 of 144 individuals from Population 2 amplified by marker SSR UMC 1029 located on Chromosome 7. (B) banding patterns of 48 of 144 individuals from Population 2 amplified by marker SSR BNLG 1094 located on Chromosome 7	68

4.5	Linkage map showing 61 polymorphic SSR markers in Population 1 derived from the crossing of maize inbred lines CML 152 and CML 383	69
4.6	Linkage map showing 62 polymorphic SSR markers in Population 2 derived from the crossing of maize inbred lines CML 491 and CML 331	70
4.7	QTL positions detected for maize biomass yield and yield components in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383) based on single marker regression analysis	73
4.8	QTL positions detected for maize biomass yield and yield components in Population 2 (CML 491 × CML 331) based on single marker regression analysis	78
4.9	QTLs for maize biomass yield traits identified in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383), based on composite interval mapping analysis	80
4.10	QTLs for maize biomass yield traits identified in Population 2 (CML 491 × CML 331), based on composite interval mapping analysis	83
4.11	Plot showing (a) the genome scan for QTLs with individual effects. (b) the predicted QTL architecture of plant height in forage maize Population 2. (c) the 2D genome scan for significant epistatic interactions between QTLs.	85
5.1	QTL positions detected for maize forage quality traits in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383) based on single marker regression analysis	94
5.2	QTL positions detected for maize forage quality traits in Population 2 (CML 491 × CML 331) based on single marker regression analysis	96
5.3	Plots showing (a) predicted QTL architecture of neutral detergent fiber in maize Population 1 (b) 2D genome scan for epistasis	99
5.4	Plots showing (a) predicted QTL architecture of acid detergent fiber in maize Population 1 (b) 2D genome scan for epistasis	101
5.5	Plots showing (a) predicted QTL architecture of acid detergent lignin in maize Population 1 (b) 1D genome scan for QTL	103
5.6	QTLs for maize forage quality traits identified in Population 1 (CML 152 × CML 383), based on composite interval mapping analysis	104
6.1	Examples of documented gel images showing banding patterns on DNA markers SSR BNLG1863, UMC1653 and BNLG1798	114

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADF	Acid detergent fiber
ADL	Acid detergent lignin
AFLP	Amplified fragment length polymorphism
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
Bin	A sub-region on corn chromosome
bp	Base pairs
CIMMYT	Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center)
cM	centiMorgan
CIM	Composite interval mapping
CTAB	Cetyl – trimethylammonium bromide
DH	Doubled haploid
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	2'-Deoxyribonucleic acid 5'-triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
GML	Ground magnesium limestone
h_B^2	Broad-sense heritability
ISSR	Inter simple sequence repeats
LOD	Likelihood of odds
MAS	Marker assisted selection
MgCl	Magnesium chloride
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOAc	Sodium acetate

NDF	Neutral detergent fiber
NIRS	Near infra-red spectrometer
ng	nanogram
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
r	Correlation coefficient
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA
RCBD	Randomized complete block design
RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism
RIL	Recombinant inbred lines
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rpm	Revolution per minute
SMA	Single marker analysis
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphisms
SSR	Simple sequence repeats
TBE	Tris-borate/EDTA
Taq	<i>Thermus aquaticus</i>
TE	Tris EDTA buffer

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the important cereal crops planted in Malaysia, with the current planting area of 28,000 hectares in 2013-2014 (FAOSTAT, 2015). Although the domestic consumption and demand of fresh and processed maize increase every year both for food and feed industries, the local maize production still lags behind. Apart from sweet corn and grain maize breeding programs, which are the two main focuses in Malaysia's maize breeding scenario, the development of forage maize with high biomass yield, good nutritional properties and digestibility for the utilization of livestock feed and silage has become a new interest in the current maize breeding objectives. Besides the common use as food for human consumption, maize is also a preferred forage crop to be utilized as fresh fodder, or to be ensiled as quality animal feed, and this is a wide and common practice in developed countries such as the US, EU and Australia (Farnham *et al.*, 2003). It is accepted that a high quality forage or enriched silage for animal feed can increase the quality and quantity of meat production. Nevertheless, in Malaysia, animal feed are ensiled and processed from either forage crop such as grass, grass-related species and Napier (Chin and Idris, 2000; Chin, 2001), or agriculture wastes and by-products such as rice straw and oil palm fronds (Abdalla *et al.*, 1998; Abdalla *et al.*, 2001). There is not much focus given on developing specific maize variety for animal feed purposes with the aim of increasing its biomass yield and feed quality aspects.

This study was undertaken as a part of an extensive silage maize breeding program, with the ultimate goal of producing high yielding and high quality hybrid varieties for forage utilization and silage production. It is primarily pivotal to understand and elucidate the genetics biomass yield and forage quality traits in maize for forage utilization, since these traits do not get much attention in maize local breeding programs. Particularly for forage maize, the biomass yield and forage quality traits are determined by the interaction between their genetic constitutions with the environment, thus, the phenotypic measurements alone do not reveal the real genetic potential of the plant. Furthermore, the genetics of yield and yield related traits of maize for silage production has not been studied particularly in the tropical region. Understanding the genetic control of these traits could be achieved by obtaining important genetic parameters, such as heritability, heterosis and inbreeding depression, gain from selection, and the phenotypic and genetic correlations amongst the traits. Knowledge of such information is crucial in comprehending the overall genetic control for these complex traits.

The incorporation of molecular markers approach in the breeding program serves as a catalyst to expedite the selection process, together with phenotypic-based selection method. Molecular markers are useful tools in Marker Assisted Breeding (MAB) programs because they enable the association of DNA markers with the traits of interest. By screening and mapping markers covering the genome, through statistical

analysis, markers that are tightly linked to favorable traits can be identified. Mapping and identification of quantitative traits loci (QTL) related to agronomically important traits that usually have complex genetic control is a helpful strategy that can accelerate the selection process of quantitative traits in breeding populations. With the use of molecular markers, demarcation of loci for important traits related to biomass yield, protein content and digestibility can be made and can further be utilized in molecular assisted breeding. QTL mapping provides useful information for breeding programs since it allows estimation of genomic locations and genetic effects of chromosomal regions tagged by molecular markers that are associated with the expression of any traits. The outcome for this study is detailed information on association of markers and yield related traits with defined QTL regions along the chromosomes. The markers associated to the traits can be used in marker assisted breeding programs for the development of high yielding and high quality forage maize varieties.

It is hopeful that from this study, the genetic control of biomass yield and quality traits in maize for forage utilization can be elucidated and understood. Alleles for highly digestible cell wall were reduced or perhaps lost by genetic drift after generations of selections for stalk standability and breakage resistance (Barriere *et al.*, (2005), which resulted in lignified stems. The estimates for phenotypic and genetic correlation analyses of traits involved in biomass yield and quality can also provide direction of selection in breeding programs. Combined with heritability estimates, effective genetic advance for these traits can be made to improve maize for forage utilization. Molecular analysis particularly QTL mapping can identify chromosomal regions associated with biomass yield and quality traits. As a preliminary mapping study of forage traits in maize in tropical environment, this can open more in depth analyses on fine mapping up to gene isolation and identification. Molecular markers that are tightly linked to the traits analyzed can be exploited in MAB programs.

Therefore, the general objectives for this study were:

1. To understand the genetics of biomass yield and quality traits in maize for forage utilization
2. To identify informative microsatellite markers and QTLs highly associated with biomass yield and quality traits for the purpose of marker assisted breeding programs.

In order to achieve the general objectives, several specific objectives were set. These objectives were:

1. To estimate genetic parameters of yield and quality trait of maize for forage utilization,
2. To map quantitative traits loci for biomass yield and yield related components in maize for forage utilization,
3. To map quantitative trait loci for quality and quality related components in maize for forage utilization,

4. To compare the genetic control of biomass yield and quality traits in two populations based on QTL analyses, and
5. To check presence of QTLs associated with biomass yield and protein content in maize inbred lines.



REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M.K., Tahir, M.M. and Rahim, N. (2013). Effect of N fertilizer source and timing on yield and N use efficiency of rainfed maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Kashmir-Pakistan. *Geoderma*, 195-196: 87-93.
- Abdalla, S.A., Abdullah, N., Liang, J.B., Shamsudin, A.B. and Ho, Y.W. (1998). Effect of treatment of fronds of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) and nipah (*Nepa fruticans*) with molasses and urea during ensiling. Proceedings 20th MSAP Conference. pp. 57–58. Malaysia: Putrajaya.
- Abdalla, S.A., Abdullah, N., Hoyw, L.J.B. and Shamsudin, A.B. (2001). Degradation and rumen fermentation characteristics of frond silages in sheep. Proceedings 23th MSAP Conference. pp. 180-181. Malaysia: Langkawi.
- Allard, R.W. (1963). Evidence for genetic restriction of recombination in the Lima bean. *Genetics* 48: 1389-1395.
- Allen, R.A., McKee, GW. And McGahen J.H. (1972). Leaf number and maturity in hybrid corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 65(2): 233-235.
- Andorf, C.M., Lawrence, C.J., Harper, L.C., Schaeffer, M.L., Campbell, D.A., and Sen, T.Z. (2010). The Locus Lookup tool at MaizeGDB: identification of genomic regions in maize by integrating sequence information with physical and genetic maps. *Bioinformatics*, 26: 434-436.
- Anshuman, V., Dixit, N.N., Dipika, N.N., Sharma, S.K. and Marker, S. (2013). Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimates in maize genotypes. *Bioscience Discovery*, 4(2): 165-168.
- Araujo, M.R.A. and Coulman, B. (2004). Genetic variation and correlation of agronomic traits in meadow brome grass (*Bromus riparius* Rehm) clones. *Animal Production*, 34(2): 505-510.
- Arumuganathan, K. and Earle, E.D. (1991). Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, 9: 208-218.
- Asghar, M.J. and Mehdi, S.S. (1999). Variability for grain yield, its components and quality traits in a sweet corn population. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2(4): 1366-1370.
- Babu, R., Nair, S.K., Prasanna, B.M. and Gupta, H.S. (2004). Integrating marker-assisted selection in crop breeding prospects and challenges. *Current Science*, 87: 607-619.

- Ballard, C.S., Thomas, E.D., Tsang, D.S., Mandebvu, P., Sniffen, C.J., Endres M.I. and Carter, M.P. (2001). Effect of corn silage hybrid on dry matter yield, nutrient composition, in vitro digestion, intake by dairy heifers, and milk production by dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 84: 442-452.
- Barbosa, A.M.M., Geraldi, I.O., Benchimol, L.L., Garcia, A.F.F., Souza Jr., C.L and Souza, A.P. (2003). Relationship of intra- and interpopulation tropical maize single hybrid performance and genetic distances computed from AFLP and SSR markers. *Euphytica*, 130: 87-99.
- Barrière, Y., Traineau, R., Emile, J.C. and Hebert, Y. (1992). Variation and covariation of silage maize digestibility estimated from digestion trials with sheep. *Euphytica*, 59: 61-72.
- Barrière, Y. and Argillier, O. (1997). In vivo silage feeding value of early maize hybrids released in France between 1958 and 1994. *Euphytica*, 99: 175-182.
- Barrière, Y., Guillet, C., Goffner, D. and Pichon, M. (2003). Genetic variation and breeding strategies for improved cell wall digestibility in annual forage crops: A review. *Animal Research*, 52(3): 193-228.
- Barrière, Y., Alber, D., Dolstra, O., Lapiere, C., Motto, M., Ordas, A., van Waes, J., Vlasminkel, L., Welcker, C. and Monod, J.P. (2005). Past and prospects of forage maize breeding in Europe: I. The grass cell wall as basis of genetic variation and future improvements in feeding value. *Maydica*, 50: 259-274.
- Barrière, Y., Thomas, J. and Denoue, D. (2008). QTL mapping for lignin content, lignin monomeric composition, p-hydroxycinnamate content, and cell wall digestibility in the maize recombinant inbred line progeny F838 × F286. *Plant Science*, 175(4): 585-595.
- Barrière, Y., Méchin, V., Lafarguette, F., Manicacci, D., Guillon, F., Wang, H., Laouressgues, D., Pichon, M., Bosio, M. and Tatout, C. (2009). Towards discovery of maize cell wall genes involved in silage quality and capacity to biofuel production. *Maydica*, 54(2): 161-198.
- Barrière, Y., Méchin, V., Denoue, D., Bauland, C. and Laborde, J. (2010). QTL for yield, earliness, and cell wall quality traits in topcross experiments of the F838 × F286 early maize RIL progeny. *Crop Science*, 50(5):1761-72.
- Barrière, Y., Méchin, V., Lefevre, B. and Maltese, S. (2012). QTLs for agronomic and cell wall traits in a maize RIL progeny derived from a cross between an old Minnesota13 line and a modern Iodent line. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 125(3): 531-549.
- Bernado, R. (2008). Molecular markers and selection for complex traits in plant: Learning from the last 20 years. *Crop Science*, 48: 1649-1664.

- Brummer, E.C. (1999). Capturing heterosis in forage crop cultivar development. *Crop Science*, 36: 943-954.
- Becker, W.A. (1984). Manual of quantitative genetics (4th ed.) Academic Enterprises, Pullman, WA, p. 196.
- Bekele, T.A. and Rao, N. (2014). Estimates of heritability, genetic advance and correlation study for yield and its attributes in maize (*Zea Mays L.*). *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2(1): 1-4.
- Bello, O.B., Ige, S.A., Azeez, M.A., Afolabi, M.S., Abdulmalik, S.Y. and Mahamood, J. (2012). Heritability and genetic advance for grain yield and its component characters in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *International Journal of Plant Research*, 2(5): 138-145.
- Boyer, C.D. and Hannah, L.C. (1994). Kernel mutants of corn. Chapter 1. In: AR Hallauer AR ed. Specialty corns. CRC Press Inc Boca Raton, USA. pp 1-28.
- Broman, K.W., Wu, H., Sen S. and Churchill G.A. (2003) R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics*, 19(7): 889-890.
- Bryan, G., Collins, A., Stephenson, P., Orry, A., Smith, J. and Gale, M. (1997) Isolation and characterisation of microsatellites from hexaploid bread wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 94(5): 557-563.
- Carpenter, J. A., and Casler, M. D. (1990). Divergent phenotypic selection response in smooth bromegrass for forage yield and nutritive value. *Crop Science*, 30: 17–22
- Casler, M. D., Pedersen, J. F., Eizenga, G. C., and Stratton, S. D. (1996). Germplasm and cultivar development. In “Cool-Season Forage Grasses” (L. E. Moser, D. R. Buxton, and M. D. Casler, Eds.), pp. 413–469. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI
- Casler, M. D. (1998). Breeding annual and perennial cool-season grasses. In “Grass for Dairy Cattle” (J. H. Cherney and D. J. H. Cherney, Eds.), pp. 23–47. CAB Intl. Wallingford, Oxon, UK.
- Cardinal, A.J., Lee, M. and Moore, K. J. (2003) Genetic mapping and analysis of quantitative trait loci affecting fiber and lignin content in maize. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 106: 866-874.
- Carpici, E.B., Celik, N. and Bayram, G. (2010) Yield and quality of forage maize as influenced by plant density and nitrogen rate. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 15(2): 128-132.
- Carpita, N.C. and McCann, M.C. (2008) Maize and sorghum: genetic resources for bioenergy grasses. *Trends in Plant Science*, 13(8):415-420

- Cauderon, A., Lascols, X., Marie, R. and Touvin, H. (1951) Essais de maïs fourrage dans la région de Paris. In La culture du maïs hybride en France. *Actualités agronomiques INRA*, 1:106-111.
- Chabbert, B., Toiller, M.T., Monties, B., Barriere, Y., and Argillier, O. (1994) Biological variability in lignifications of maize: Expression of the brown midrib bm2 mutation. *Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture*, 64(4): 455-460.
- Chao, L., Song, Y., Gao, L., Yao, Q., Zhou, R., Xu, R. and Jia, J. (2014) Intergration of QTL detection and marker assisted selection for improving resistance to Fusarium head blight and important agronomic traits in wheat. *The Crop Journal*, 2(1): 70-78.
- Chen, Y. and Lubberstedt, T. (2010) Molecular basis of trait correlation. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15: 454-461.
- Chin, F. and Idris, A. (2000) Silage making activities of the department of veterinary services, Malaysia. *FAO, Plant Production and Protection Papers*, pp 7-8.
- Chin, F. (2001) Silage production and techniques in Malaysia. In forage development in soutgest Asia: Strategies and impacts. *7th Meeting of the Regional Working Group on Grazing and Feed Resources*, Manado, Indonesia, 2-7 July 2001.
- Churchill, G.A. and Doerge, R.W. (1994) Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics*, 138: 963–971.
- Collard, B.C.Y., Jahufer, M.Z.Z., Brouwer, J.B., and Pang, E.C.K. (2005) An introduction to markers, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping and marker-assisted selection for crop improvement: The basic concepts. *Euphytica*, 142:169-196.
- Collard, B.C.Y. and Mackill D.J. (2008) Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1491): 557-572.
- Colless, J.M. (1992) Maize growing. Report No. P3.3.3 - Agdex 111, 2nd edition, NSW Agriculture Grafton
- Coors, J. (1999) Selection methodology and heterosis: Genetics and exploitation of heterosis in crops. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 225-245.
- Courtial, A., Soler, M., Chateigner-Boutin, A.L., Reymond, M., Méchin, V., Wang, H., Grima-Pettenati, J. and Barrière, Y. (2013) Breeding grasses for capacity to biofuel production or silage feeding value: An updated list of genes involved in maize secondary cell wall biosynthesis and assembly. *Maydica*, 58: 67-102.

- Dahmardeh, M., Ghanbari, A., Syasar, B. and Ramroudi, M. (2009) Effect of intercropping maize (*Zea mays* L.) with cow pea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) on green forage yield and quality evaluation. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, 8(3): 235-239.
- Darby, H.M. and Lauer, J.G. (2002) Harvest Date and Hybrid Influence on Corn Forage Yield, Quality, and Preservation. *Agronomy. Journal*, 94:559–566.
- Darrah, L.L., McCullen, M.D. and Zuber, M.S. (2003) Breeding, genetics, and seed corn production. Chapter 2. In: White, P.J. and Johnson, L.A. eds. *Corn: Chemistry and technology*, Edition 2nd. American Association of Cereal Chemists Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota. pp 35-68.
- de Vicente, M.C and Tanksley S.D. (1993) QTL analysis of transgressive segregation in an interspecific tomato cross. *Genetics*, 134(2): 585-596.
- Dewhurst, R. J. (2013) Milk production from silage: Comparison of grass, legume and maize silages and their mixtures. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 22(1): 57–69.
- Dhugga K.S. (2007) Maize biomass yield and composition for biofuels. *Crop Science*, 47(6): 2211-2227.
- Doebley, J. (1990) Molecular evidence for gene flow among *Zea* species. *Bioscience*, 40: 443-448.
- Doerge, R. W., and Lafayette, W. (2002) Mapping and analysis of quantitative trait loci in experimental populations. *Nature Reviews: Genetics*, 3: 43-52.
- Doyle, J. J. and Doyle, J. L. (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus*. 12:13-15.
- Elberse I.A.M., Vanhala, T.K., Turin, J.H.B., Stam, P., van Damme, J.M.M. and van Tienderen, P.H. (2004) Quantitative trait loci affecting growth-related traits in wild barley (*Hordeum spontaneum*) grown under different levels of nutrient supply. *Heredity*, 93: 22-33.
- Enoki, H., Sato, H. and Koinuma, K. (2002) SSR analysis of genetic diversity among maize inbred lines adapted to cold regions of Japan. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 104(8): 1270-1277.
- Esau, K. (1977a) The leaf: Variations in structure. Chapter 19. In: *Anatomy of seed plants*, Edition 2. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York. pp 351-374.
- Eshed, Y., and Zamir, D. (1995) An introgression line population of *Lycopersicon pennellii* in the cultivated tomato enables the identification and fine mapping of yield-associated QTL. *Genetics*, 141: 1147–1162.
- Falconer D.S., and Mackay, T.F.C. (1996) *Introduction to quantitative genetics*, Longman, Essex, England.

- FAOSTAT (2015) Statistical data base. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, Rome. from <http://www.faostat.fao.org> (25 February 2015)
- Farnham, D.E., Benson, G.O. and Pearce, R.B. (2003) Corn perspective and culture. Chapter 1. In: PJ White, LA Johnson, eds. *Corn: chemistry and technology*, Edition 2nd. American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA. pp 1- 33.
- Ferreira, A., da Silva, M. F., da Costa e Silva, L. and Cruz C.D. (2006) Estimating the effects of populations size and type on the accuracy of genetic maps. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 29: 187-192.
- Francia, E., Tacconi, G., Crosatti, C., Barabaschi, D., Bulgarelli, D., Dall'Aglio, E. and Vale, G. (2005) Marker assisted selection in crop plants. *Plant, Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 82: 317-342.
- Frascaroli, E., Cane, M. A., Landi, P., Pea, G. G. and Villa, M. (2007) Classical genetic and quantitative trait loci analyses of heterosis in a maize hybrid between two elite inbred lines. *Genetics*, 176: 625–644.
- Ghassemi-Golezani K. and Tajbakhsh Z. (2012) Relationship of plant biomass and grain filling with grain yield of maize cultivars. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Science*, 4(20): 1536-1539.
- Gibbon B.C. and Larkins B.A. (2005) Molecular genetic approaches to developing quality protein maize. *Trends in Genetics*, 21(4):227-233.
- Gomez K.W. and Gomez A.A. (1984) *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John Wiley and Sons Inc, New York.
- Gopalan C., Rama Sastri B.V. and Balasubramanian S.C. (2007) In: *Nutritive value of Indian foods*. National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India, pp 48-98.
- Grabber, J.H., Ralph, J., Lapierre, C. and Barriere, Y. (2004) Genetic and molecular basis of grass cell-wall degradability. I. Lignin- cell wall matrix interactions. *Comptes Rendue Biology* 327: 455-465.
- Graybill, J.S., Cox, W.J. and Otis, D.J. (1991) Yield and quality of forage maize as influenced by hybrid, planting date, and plant density. *Agronomy Journal*, 83(3): 559-564.
- Hackett, C. (2002) Statistical methods for QTL mapping in cereals. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 48: 585-599.
- Hake, S. and Walbot, V. (1980) The genome of *Zea mays*, its organization and homology to related grasses. *Chromosoma* 79: 251-270.

- Haldane, J.B.S. (1919) The combination of linkage values, and the calculation of distances between the loci of linked factors. *Journal of Genetics*, 8: 299-309.
- Haley, C.S. and Knott, S.A. (1992) A simple regression method for mapping quantitative trait loci in line crosses using flanking markers. *Heredity*, 69: 315-324.
- Hallauer, A.R. and Miranda, J.B. (1988) Quantitative genetics in maize breeding, Iowa States Univ., Ames.
- Hansey, C.N., Lorenz, A.J., and Leon, N.D. (2010) Cell Wall Composition and Ruminant Digestibility of Various Maize Tissues Across Development. *Bioenergy*, 3:28–37.
- Holland, J. B. (2006) Estimating genotypic correlations and their standard errors using multivariate restricted maximum likelihood estimation with SAS Proc MIXED. *Crop Science*, 46: 642–654.
- Jain, R. and Bharadwaj, D.N. (2014) Heterosis and inbreeding depression for grain yield and yield contributing characters in quality protein maize. *Agricultural Communications*, 2(1):8-16.
- Jansen, R.C. (1993) Interval mapping of multiple quantitative trait loci. *Genetics*, 135(1): 205.
- Javanmard, A., Nasab, A.D.M., Javanshir, A., Moghaddam, M. and Janmohammadi, H. (2009) Forage yield and quality in intercropping of maize with different legumes as double-cropped. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, 7(1): 163-166.
- Jones, Jr., B.J. (1991) Kjeldahl method for nitrogen determination. Micro-Macro Publishing, Athens.
- Jones, J.S., and Bingham, E.T. (1995) Inbreeding depression in alfalfa and cross-pollinated crops. In J. Janick (ed.) Plant breeding reviews, John Wiley & Sons, New York. pp. 209-233.
- Jung, H. G., Smith, R. R., and Endres, C. S. (1994). Cell wall composition and degradability of stem tissue from lucerne divergently selected for lignin and in vitro dry-matter digestibility. *Grass Forage Science* 49, 295–304.
- Kao, C.H., Zeng, Z.B. and Teasdale, R.D. (1999) Multiple interval mapping for quantitative trait loci. *Genetics*, 152(3): 1203.
- Kashiani, P. and Saleh G. (2010) Estimation of genetic correlation on sweet corn inbred lines using SAS mixed model. *American Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences* 5(3): 309-314.

- Kashiani, P., Saleh, G., Abdullah, N.A.P. and Abdullah, S.N. (2010) Variation and genetic studies on selected sweet corn inbred lines. *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, 2(2):78-84.
- Kashiani, P., Saleh, G., Panandam, J. M., Psyquay, N. A., and Selamat, A. (2012) Molecular characterization of tropical sweet corn inbred lines using microsatellite markers. *Maydica*, 57(2): 154-163.
- Keady T.W.J., Lively F.O., Kilpatrick D.J. and Moss B.W. (2007) Effects of replacing grass silage with either maize or wheat silages on performance and meat quality of beef cattle offered two levels of concentrates. *Animal*, 1:613-623.
- Kearsey, M.J. (1998) The principles of QTL analysis (a minimal mathematics approach). *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 49 (327): 1619-1623.
- Kearsey, M. J. and Pooni, H. S. (2004) The genetical analysis of quantitative traits. Chapman and Hall, London. p. 400.
- Koon, L.L. (1993) Production of Silawrap silage from fodder grass species for dry season feeding. pp. 99-101, in: Strategies for suitable forage-based livestock production in Southeast Asia. *Proceedings of the Third Meeting of the Regional Working Group on Grazing and Feed Resources of Southeast Asia*. 31 January-6 February 1993, Khon Kaen, Thailand.
- Kosambi, D.D. (1944) The estimation of map distances from re-combination values. *Annals of Eugenics*, 12:172-175.
- Krakowsky, M., Lee, M., Beeghly, H. and Coors, J. (2003) Characterization of quantitative trait loci affecting fiber and lignin in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Maydica* 48(4):283-292.
- Kuehn, C.S., Linn, J.G., Johnson, D.G., Jung, H.G. and Endres, M.I. (1999) Effect of feeding silages from corn hybrids selected for leafiness or grain to lactating dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82(12): 2746-275.
- Kumar Srivas, S. and Singh, U.P. (2004) Genetic variability, character association and path analysis of yield and its component traits in forage maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Range Management and Agroforestry*, 25(2): 149-153.
- Kumar, N., Markar, S. and Kumar, V. (2014) Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimates in timely sown bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Bioscience Discovery*, 5(1): 64-69.
- Laghari, K. A., Sial, M. S., Arain, M. A., Dahot, M. U., Mangrio, M. S. and Pirzada, A. J. (2010) Comparative performance of wheat advance lines for yield and its associated traits. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 8: 34-37.

- Lander, E.S., Green, P., Abrahamson, J., Barlow, A., Daly, M.J., Lincoln, S.E. and Newburg, L. (1987) MAPMAKER: An interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. *Genomics*, 1:174-181.
- Lander, E.S. and Botstein, D. (1989) Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. *Genetics*, 121:185-199.
- Lauer, J.G., Coors, J.G. and Flannery, P.J. (2001) Forage yield and quality of corn cultivars developed in different eras. *Crop Science*, 41(5): 1449-1455.
- Li, L., Lu, K., Chen, Z., Mu, T., Hu, Z. and Li, X. (2008) Dominance, overdominance and epistasis condition the heterosis in two heterotic rice hybrids. *Genetics*, 180(3): 1725-1742.
- Lima, M.L.A., de Souza Jr, C.L., Bento, D.A.V., de Souza, A.P. and Carlini-Garcia, L.A. (2006) Mapping QTL for grain yield and plant traits in a tropical maize population. *Molecular Breeding*, 17: 227-239.
- Liu, K., Goodman M., Muse S., Smith J.S., Buckler E., Doebley, J. (2003) Genetic structure and diversity among maize inbred lines as inferred from DNA microsatellites. *Genetics*, 165(4): 2117-2128.
- Lorieux, M. (2012) MapDisto: Fast and efficient computation of genetic linkage maps. *Molecular Breeding*, 30: 1231-1235.
- Lu, H. and Bernardo, R. (2001) Molecular marker diversity among current and historical maize inbreds. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 103(4): 613-617.
- Lübberstedt, T., Melchiger, A.E., Schon, C.C., Utz, H.F. and Klein, D. (1997) QTL mapping in tectcross of European flint lines of maize. 1. Comparison of different testers for forage yield traits. *Crop Science*, 37(3): 921-931.
- Luo, Z.W. and Kearsey, M.J. (1989) Maximum likelihood estimation of linkage between a marker gene and a quantitative locus. *Heredity*, 63: 401-408.
- Maruthi, R.J. and Rani, K.J. (2015) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance estimated in maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 7(1): 149-154.
- Mather, K. and Jinks, J. L. (1982) Introduction to Biometrical Genetics: The Study of Continuous Variation, Chapman and Hall. pp.396.
- Mangelsdorf, P.C. and Reeves, R.G. (1931) Hybridization of maize, tripsacum and euchlaena. *Journal of Heredity*, 22: 329-343.
- Manly, K.F., Cudmore, R.H. and Meer, J.M. (2001) Map Manager QTX, cross-platform software for genetic mapping. *Mammalian Genome*, 12:930-932.

- Matsuoka, Y., Mitchell, S., Kresovich, S., Goodman, M. and Doebley, J. (2002) Microsatellites in *Zea*-variability, patterns of mutations, and use for evolutionary studies. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 104(2): 436-450.
- McCouch, S.R., Teytelman, L., Xu, Y., Lobos, K.B., Clare, K., Walton, M., Fu, B., Maghirang, R., Li, Z. and Xing, Y. (2002) Development and mapping of 2240 new SSR markers for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *DNA Research*, 9(6): 199-209.
- Mayer, M. (2005) A comparison of regression interval mapping and multiple interval mapping for linked QTL. *Heredity*, 94:599-605.
- Méchin, V., Argillier, O., Hebert, Y., Guingo, E., Moreau, L., Charcosset, A. and Barrière, Y. (2001) Genetic analysis and QTL mapping of cell wall digestibility and lignification in silage maize. *Crop Science*, 41: 690-697.
- Melchinger, A.E., Utz, H.F. and Schon, C.C. (1998) Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping using different testers and independent population samples in maize reveals low power of QTL detection and large bias in estimates of QTL effects. *Genetics*, 149(1):383-403.
- Menkir A. (2008) Genetic variation for grain mineral content in tropical-adapted maize inbred lines. *Food Chemistry*, 110: 454-64.
- Meyers B.C., Tingey S.V. and Morgante, M. (2001) Abundance, distribution, and transcriptional activity of repetitive elements in the maize genome. *Genome Research*, 11: 1660Y1676.
- Miller, P.A. and Marani, A. (1963) Heterosis and combining ability in diallel crosses of maize. *Crop Science*, 3:441-444.
- Mohan, M., S. Nair, A. Bhagwat, T.G. Krishna, M. Yano, C.R. Bhatia and T. Sasaki, (1997). Genome mapping, molecular markers and marker-assisted selection in crop plants. *Molecular Breeding*, 3: 87-103.
- Moose, S.P. and Mumm, R.H. (2008) Molecular plant breeding as the foundation for 21st century crop improvement. *Plant Physiology*, 147: 969-977.
- Mörchen, M., Cuguen, J., Michaelis, G., Hanni, C. and Saumitou-Laprade, P. (1996) Abundance and length polymorphism of microsatellite repeats in *Beta vulgaris* L. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 92: 326-333.
- Muttoni, G., Palacios-Rojas, N., Galicia, L., Rosales, A., Pixley, K.V. and de Leon, N. (2012) Cell wall composition and biomass digestibility diversity in Mexican maize (*Zea mays* L.) landraces and CIMMYT inbred lines. *Maydica*, 58: 21-33.
- O'Mara F.P., Fitzgerald J.J., Murphy J.J. and Rath M. (1998) The effect on milk production on replacing grass silage with maize silage in the diet of dairy cows. *Livestock Production Science*, 55:79-87.

- Paliwal, R.L. (2000b). Introduction to maize and its importance. Chapter 1. In: Tropical Maize: Improvement and Production. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. pp 1-3.
- Paliwal, R.L. (2000c). Maize types. In: Paliwal, R.L., Granados, G., Lafitte, H.R., Vlolc, A.D. eds. Tropical Maize: Improvement and Production. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. pp 39-43.
- Paliwal, R.L. (2000d). Origin, evolution and spread of maize. In: Paliwal, R.L., Granados, G., Lafitte, H.R., Vlolc, A.D. eds. Tropical Maize: Improvement and Production. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome. pp 5-11.
- Parida, S.K., Kalia, S.K., Sunita, K., Dalal, V., Hemaprabha, G., Selvi, A., Pandit, A., Singh, A., Gaikwad, K., Sharma, T.R., Srivastava, P.S., Singh, N.K. and Mohapatra, T. (2009) Informative genomic microsatellite markers for efficient genotyping applications in sugarcane. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 118:327-338.
- Paterson, A., Lander, E., Hewitt, J., Peterson, S., Lincoln, S. and Tanksley, S. (1988) Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete map of restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Nature*, 335: 721-726.
- Pinter, J., Glenn, F., Pen, S., Pok, I., Heygi, S., Zsubori, Z.T., Hadi, G., and Marton, C.L. (2011) Utilizing Leafy gene as resources in quality silage maize breeding. *Maydica*, 56:243-250.
- Piperno, D.R. and Flannery, K.V. (2001). The earliest archaeological maize (*Zea mays* L.) from highland Mexico: New accelerator mass spectrometry dates and their implications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98:2101-2103.
- Powell, W., Morgante, M., Andre, C., Hanafey, M., Vogel, J., Tingey, S., and Rafalski, A. (1996) The comparison of RFLP, RAPD, AFLP and SSR (microsatellite) markers for germplasm analysis. *Molecular Breeding*, 2: 225-238.
- Praveen Kumar, G., Narsimha Reddy, V., Sudheer Kumar, S. and Venkateshwara Rao, P. (2014) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in newly developed maize genotypes (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, 2(1): 272-275.
- Price, A.H. (2006) Believe it or not, QTLs are accurate! *Trends in Plant Science*. 11(5): 213-216.
- Purseglove, J.W. (1972) Tropical Crops: Monocotyledons. Longman Scientific and Technical New York.
- Ribaut, J.M. and Hoisington, D. (1998) Marker-assisted selection: New tools and strategies. *Trends in Plant Science*, 3(6): 236-239.

- Riboulet, C., Fabre, F., Denou, D., Martinant, J.P., Lefevre, B. and Barriere, Y. (2008) QTL mapping and candidate gene research for lignin content and cell wall digestibility in a top-cross of a flint maize recombinant inbred line progeny harvested at silage stage. *Maydica*, 53:1-9.
- Rincen, R., Nicolas, S., Bouchet, S., Altmann, T., Brunel, D., Revilla, P., Malvar, R.A., Moreno-Gonzalez, J., Campo, L., Melchinger, A.E., Shipprack, W., Bauer, E., Schoen, C.C., Meyer, N., Ouzunova, M., Dubreuil, O., Giauffret, C., Madur, D., Combess, V., Dumas, F., BAuland, C., Jamin, P., Laborde, J., Flament, P., Moreau, L. and Charcosset, A. (2014) Dent and flint maize diversity panels reveal important genetic potential for increasing biomass production. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 127(11):2313-31.
- Risch, N. (1992) Genetic linkage: Interpreting LOD scores. *Science*, 255(5046): 803-804.
- Ritland, K. (1996) Inferring the genetic basis of inbreeding depression in plants. *Genome*, 39:1-8.
- Roder, M.S., Korzun, V., Wendehake, K., Plaschke, J., Tixier, M.H., Leroy, P. and Ganal, M. W. (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. *Genetics*, 149(4): 2007-2020.
- Russell, W. A. (1976) Genetic effects and genetic effect x year interactions at three gene loci in sublines of a maize inbred line. *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology*, 18:23-33.
- Sabadin, P.K., De Souza Jr., C.L., de Souza, A.P. and Garcia, A.A.F. (2008) QTL mapping for yield components in a tropical maize population using microsatellite markers. *Hereditas*, 145: 194-203.
- Sachs, M., Brutnell, T., Dooner, H., Du, C., Kellogg, T., Lawrence, C., Schaeffer, M. and Stinard, P. (1996) A standard for maize genetics nomenclature. <http://www.maizedb.org/nomenclature> (27 January 2015).
- Saleh G.B., Yusop, M.R. and Yap. T.C. (1993) Inbreeding depression and heterosis in sweet corn varieties Manis Madu and Bakti-1. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agriculture Science*, 16 (3): 209-214.
- Saleh, G.B., Alawi, S.A.S. and Panjaitan, K. (2002) Performance correlation and heritability studies on selected sweet corn synthetic populations. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5: 251-254.
- Saleh G.B., Abdullah D. and Anuar A.R. (2002). Performance, heterosis and heritability in selected tropical maize single, double and three-way cross hybrids. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 138: 21-28.

- Sami A.S., Augustini C. and Schwarz F.J. (2004) Effects of feeding intensity and time on feed on performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of Simmental bulls. *Meat Science*, 67:195-201.
- Sardar Ali, Hidayat-ur-Rahman, Raziuddin, S. Salim Shah and G. Hassan (2006) Estimates of variability, heritability and genetic advance for fodder traits in two maize populations. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 9: 2618-2623.
- Shaver, D.L. (1983) Genetics and breeding of maize with extra leaves above the ear. In Proceedings of Annual Corn and Sorghum Ind. Res. Conference, pp. 161-180.
- Smulders, M.J.M., Bredemeijer, G., Rus-Kortekaas, W., Arens, P. and Vosman, B. (1997) Use of short microsatellites from database sequences to generate polymorphisms among *Lycopersicon esculentum* cultivars and accessions of other *Lycopersicon* species. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 97: 264-272.
- Stam, P. (1993) Construction of integrated genetic linkage maps by means of a new computer package: Join Map. *The Plant Journal*, 3(5): 739-744.
- Stansfield, W.D. (1986) Theory and Problems of Genetics. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA.
- Stuber, C.W., Edwards, M.D. and Wendel, J.F. (1987) Molecular marker-facilitated investigation of quantitative trait loci in maize. II. Factors influencing yields and its component traits. *Crop Science* 27: 639-648.
- Sujiprihati, S., Saleh, G. and E.S. Ali E.S. (2003) Heritability, performance and correlation studies on single cross hybrids of tropical maize. *Asian Journal of Plant Science*, 2(1): 51-57.
- Tanksley, S.D. (1993) Mapping polygenes. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 27(1): 205-233.
- Thomas, J., Guillaumie, S., Verdu, C., Denoue, D., Pichon, M. and Barrière, Y. (2010) Cell wall phenylpropanoid-related gene expression in early maize recombinant inbred lines differing in parental alleles at a major lignin QTL position. *Molecular Breeding*, 25(1):105-24.
- Thompson, J.N. and Thoday, J.M. (1974) A definition and standard nomenclature for "polygenic loci". *Heredity*, 33: 430.
- Tilley, J.M.A. and Terry, R.A. (1963) A two-stage technique for in vitro digestion of forage crops. *Journal of Breeding Grassland Society*, 18: 104-111.
- Torres, A.F., Noordam-Boot, C.M.M., Dolstra, O., van der Weijde, T., Combes, E., Dufour, P., Vlaswinkel, L., Visser, R.G.F., and Trindade, L.M. (2015) Cell

- wall diversity in forage maize: Genetic complexity and bioenergy potential. *Bioenergy Resources*, 8:187-202.
- Tucak, M., Popovic, S., Cupic, T., Spanic, V. and Meglic, V. (2013) Variation in yield, forage quality and morphological traits of red clover (*Trifolium pretense* L.) breeding populations and cultivars. *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture*, 100(1): 63-70.
- Tukey, J.W. (1949) Comparing individual mean in the analysis of variance. *Biometrics*, 5(2): 99-114.
- Turk, M., Albayrak, S. and Bozkurt, Y. (2015) Determination of the relationships between crude protein content and other forage quality parameters in grazed and nongrazed pastures by correlation and path analyses. *Yyu Tar Agriculture Science*, 25(2): 207-213.
- Truntzler, M., Barriere, Y., Sawkins, M.C., Lespinasse, D., Betran, J., Charcosset, A. and Moreau, L. (2010) Meta-analysis of QTL involved in silage quality of maize and comparison with the position of candidate genes. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 121(8): 1465-1482.
- Undersander, D. J., Howard, W. T., and Shaver, R. D. (1993). Milk per acre spreadsheet for combining yield and quality into a single term. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 6: 231–235.
- USDA (2015) Germplasm Resources Information Network - (GRIN) [Online Database]. United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville, <http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl> 14- 3-2015
- van der Knaap, E., Lippman, Z.B. and Tanksley, S.D. (2002) Extremely elongated tomato fruit controlled by four quantitative trait loci with epistatic interactions. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 104: 241-247.
- Van Soest, P. J. (1982). "Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant." O&B Books, Corvallis, OR.
- Veldboom, L.R., Lee, M. and Woodman, W.L. (1994) Molecular marker-facilitated studies in an elite maize population: I. Linkage analysis and determination of QTL for morphological traits. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 88: 7-16.
- Voorrips, R.E. (2002) MapChart: Software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. *Journal of Heredity*, 93(1): 77-78.
- Wallace, J., Larsson, S. and Buckler, E. (2013) Entering the second century of maize quantitative genetics. *Heredity*, 112:30-38.
- Wang, C.S., Rutledge, J.J and Gianola, D. (1994) Bayesian analysis of mixed linear model via Gibbs sampling with an application to litter size in Iberian pigs. *Genetics Selection and Evolution*, 26: 91-115.

- Wang, H., Li, K., Hu, X., Lui, Y. and Huang C. (2016) Genome-wide association analysis of forage quality in maize mature stalk. *BMC Plant Biology*, 16:227.
- Wannows, A.A., Azzam, H.K. and Al-Ahmad, S.A. (2010) Genetic variances, heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in yellow maize crosses (*Zea mays* L.). *Agricultural Biology Journal North America*, 1: 630-637.
- Watson, L. and Dallwitz, M.J. (1992) The grass genera of the world: descriptions, illustrations, identification, and information retrieval; including synonyms, morphology, anatomy, physiology, phytochemistry, cytology, classification, pathogens, world and local distribution, and references. Version: 7th April 2008, Online publication available at <http://delta-intkey.com/grass/> 20 April 2013.
- Waqar-Ul-Haq, M., Malik, F., Rashid, M., Munir, M. and Akram, Z. (2008) Evaluation and estimation of heritability and genetic advancement for yield related attributes in wheat lines. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 40(4): 1699-1702.
- Weising, K., Nybom, H. Wolff, K. and Kahl, G. (2005) DNA Fingerprinting in Plants. Principles, Methods and Applications. 2nd Edition. Taylor & Francis Group, pp. 444.
- Weller, J.I. (1986) Maximum likelihood techniques for mapping and analysis of quantitative trait loci with the aid of genetic markers. *Biometrics*, 42: 627-640.
- Wheeler, J.L. and Corbett, J.L. (1989). Criteria for breeding forages of improved feeding value: Results of a Delphi survey. *Grass Forage Sciences*. 44: 77–81.
- Widdicombe, W.D. and Thelen, K.D. (2002) Row width and plant density effect on corn forage hybrids. *Agronomy Journal*, 94(2): 326-330.
- Williams, A.K., Mathias, F., Yeboah, S.E., Henry, T.O and Francis, T.M. (2014) Responsiveness of Obaatanpa maize grain yield and biomass to soil, weather and crop genetic variations. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, 02(01):2014-2143.
- Wolf, D.P., Coors, J.G., Albrecht, K.A., Undersander, D.J. and Carter, P.R. (1993) Forage quality of maize genotypes selected for extreme fiber concentrations. *Crop Science*, 33(6): 1353-1359.
- Wong C.C. (1999) The place of silage in ruminant production in the humid tropics. p 10-12 in: Silage making in the tropics with particular emphasis on smallholders. Proceedings of the FAO Electronic Conference on Tropical Silage, September 1999, Rome, Italy. pp.1 – 15.
- Wu, K.S. and Tanksley, S.D. (1993) Abundance, polymorphism and genetic mapping of microsatellites in rice. *Molecular and General Genetics*, 241(1): 225-235.
- Yang, J., Hu, C.C., Hu, H., Yu, R.D., Xia, Z., Ye, X.Z. and Zhu, J. (2008) QTLNetwork: mapping and visualizing genetic architecture of complex traits in experimental populations. *Bioinformatics*, 24: 721-723.

- Yu, S.B., Li, J.X., Tan, Y.E., Gao, Y.J., Li, X.H., Zhang, Q. F. and Saghai-Marooof M.S. (1998) Importance of epistasis as the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. *Proceedings of Natural Academy of Sciences*, 94:9226-9231.
- Zeng, Z.B. (1994) Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. *Genetics*, 136:1457-1468.
- Zhang, Y. (2006) Advances on methods for mapping QTL in plant. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 51: 2809-2818.
- Zhang, J., Lu, X.Q., Yan, J.B., Song, T.M., Dai, J.R., Rocherford, T. and Li, J.S. (2008) Mapping quantitative trait loci for oil starch, and protein concentrations in grain with high-oil maize by SSR markers. *Euphytica*, 162:335-344.

