

Anaesthesia

Good intra-operative anaesthesia is more than an “ABCD with a three, two and a one”. --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	ANAE.2019.00109R1
Full Title:	Good intra-operative anaesthesia is more than an “ABCD with a three, two and a one”.
Article Type:	Editorial
Corresponding Author:	Nicholas Levy, MB BS West Suffolk Hospital Cambridge, Cambridgeshire UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	West Suffolk Hospital
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Daniel Stubbs
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Daniel Stubbs Nicholas Levy, MB BS Suneetha Ramani Moonesinghe
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Was written informed consent obtained for the study (and not just for anaesthesia/surgery, etc.) from all participants (including where skills are assessed in manikin studies), as detailed in the Instructions for Authors?	N/A
Research Ethics Committee approval for the study has been obtained.	Not applicable
Please confirm if any of the authors have competing interests data (e.g. personal, financial or academic). Please refer to the form at http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf for examples of potential competing interests (though we do not require you to complete that form).	The authors do not have any competing interests.
Is your manuscript a Clinical Trial?	No

Dr Nicholas Levy
Consultant in Anaesthesia and Peri-operative Medicine
Department of Anaesthesia and Peri-operative Medicine
West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust
Hardwick Lane
Bury St Edmunds
Suffolk, UK

Tel: +44 (0)1284 712819
Fax: +44 (0)1284 712819
Email: Nicholas.levy@wsh.nhs.uk

Editorial

Good intra-operative anaesthesia is more than an “ABCD with a three, two and a one”.

D. Stubbs¹, N. Levy², SR Moonesinghe³

1 Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Fellow, Specialist Registrar in Anaesthesia, University of Cambridge Division of Anaesthesia, Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ

2 Consultant, Department of Anaesthesia and Peri-operative Medicine, West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk

3 Professor of Perioperative Medicine, University College London; Honorary Consultant Anaesthetist, UCL Hospitals. Centre for Perioperative Medicine, Charles Bell House, 43-47 Foley Street, London W1W 7TS.

Keywords: Quality measures; patient care; Anaesthesia; checklist; functional return.

Word count 1767

Declaration of Interest

None declared

Twitter Handles

@djramsaystubbs ;@nicholasalevy ;@rmoonesinghe

Summary

The adoption of checklists within healthcare leads to improvements in patient safety. Perioperative management of patients with comorbidity and polypharmacy is complex and whilst physical checklists, aviation style computerised checklists, and mnemonics can all be used to make anaesthesia safer, these cannot cover the entirety or the complexity of perioperative care, and in particular, the principles by which anaesthetists work to promote restoration of function. Restoration of function is increasingly being seen as the marker of good anaesthetic care.

Good intra-operative anaesthesia is more than an “ABCD with a three, two and a one”.

I shall not today attempt further to define the kinds of material , and perhaps I could never succeed in intelligibly doing so. But I know it when I see it.

Judge Potter Stewart. Supreme Court Judge

In this month's *Anaesthesia*, Jelacic and colleagues report that the risk of missing critical pre-induction items and the risk of non-routine events can both be reduced through the use of an aviation-style computerised checklist [1]. In their study, a second anaesthesia provider verified the satisfactory completion of 16 pre-induction steps. It is noteworthy that nine of these steps are either part of the sign in section of the WHO checklist [2] or part of the AAGBI safety guideline on checking anaesthetic equipment [3]. Many of the prompts that are part of neither of the aforementioned checklists include confirmation that a physiological measurement is actually being recorded and as such moves beyond the simple verification of the monitor being present, for instance the presence of an end tidal CO₂ tracing on the monitor. This specific prompt encourages both pre-oxygenation and also mitigates against inadvertent oesophageal intubation, especially if the anaesthetists adhere to the “No Trace = Wrong Place” campaign [4], and is therefore is a useful addition.

The use of checklists has been shown to improve human performance in many situations both within and external to healthcare. [5] Jelacic et al's study complements work recently published by Ramsey et al, finding a reduction in

surgical mortality in Scotland associated with the introduction of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist [6]. However, not all studies of checklist implementation at scale have been able to demonstrate clinical benefits, particularly when evaluating implementation outside the research setting and at scale across multiple centres [7]. In part this may be due to a lack of fidelity of this 'simple' intervention when applied at scale – i.e. ticking a box does not necessarily imply true compliance with a process. Challenges with encouraging behavioural change, supporting implementation processes and avoiding checklist fatigue may all be contributory [8]. The design of the intervention in Jelacic's study – that is, the involvement of two people in the process, to complete and then verify the checklist – provides a neat methodology for avoiding some of these problems, and has been used in other industries where verification is critical. Importantly therefore, while this study provides a signal that this methodology can improve processes and outcomes, it is important to note its limitations – as a single centre study (and therefore of uncertain generalisability) and that despite the significant benefits observed, over 17% of cases still had no checklist completed – implying incomplete adoption, and reinforcing the need for continuous, focused support for implementation of even seemingly straightforward interventions. Further validation of these findings, both through sustained evaluation in their vanguard centre, and in other centres which reflect different clinical and organisational settings, would be beneficial.

Despite these challenges, the rationale of Jelacic's study will be familiar to all involved in anaesthetic practice. As anaesthetists we have used checklists for promoting safe anaesthesia since at least 1990, when the Association of Anaesthetists published its first edition of the anaesthetic machine checklist [9]. A novel question might be to ask whether checklists can be used to help promote good as well as safe intra-operative care? Comprehensively defining good intra-operative care may be difficult but, to paraphrase Supreme Court judge Potter Stewart, "we know it when we see it". We appreciate that good intra-operative anaesthetic care supports better clinical outcomes, and that an absence of complications is beneficial for both the patient and society [10,11]. It is also known from day-surgery and enhanced recovery pathways, that anaesthesia which promotes a rapid return to normal function is beneficial [12,13]. The changing demographics of the surgical population, with increasing age and multimorbidity, necessitates multidisciplinary

collaboration to ensure that complex patients are supported to early restoration of function including Drinking, Eating and Mobilising (DrEaMing)[14]. This demands the provision of good intra-operative anaesthetic care. Therefore, it is imperative that we define what constitutes 'good' intra-operative anaesthetic care. At its simplest, we can consider this to be the avoidance of end-organ dysfunction, accidental awareness during general anaesthesia (AAGA), and the creation of a physiological state which facilitates rapid restoration of normal homeostatic, physical and psychological function. Early restoration of function is promoted by enhanced recovery, and good analgesia and anaesthesia are critically important in achieving these goals [15].

In 2014, the Fifth National Audit Project (NAP5) was published which examined the incidence of AAGA as well as examining the risk factors for its occurrence [16]. This has been supplemented by the NAP5 handbook [17]. Both documents recognise the importance of preventing end-organ dysfunction and AAGA by advocating the routine use of the mental checklist/ mnemonic: "ABCD" (airway, breathing, circulation, drugs). The "D" is to prompt the anaesthetist to ensure ongoing delivery of anaesthetic drugs. They argued that this mnemonic should be used after induction, on transfer, after positioning and just prior to incision. The suggestion of the NAP5 authors in using a mnemonic to promote safe anaesthesia is a natural progression as the profession embraces the routine use of checklists.

In January 2018, the Association of Anaesthetists released the Quick Reference Handbook (QRH) [18]. The QRH is designed to be used only during critical incidents and is a collection of checklists to manage most of the critical incidents that an anaesthetist might be expected to competently deal with. The QRH uses the ABCD mnemonic in the management of many critical incidents - however here "D" reflects "depth" of anaesthesia. The ABCD mnemonic is a useful aide memoire. However, contemporary studies have demonstrated that the presence of an ABC is not always sufficient to prevent end-organ dysfunction, as amongst other clinical variables, hypotension, tachycardia and dysglycaemia are associated with poor outcome [19-26]. This concept is also in line with the aviation checklist proposed by Jelacic et al, as they advocate the necessity of agreeing blood pressure and heart rate goals before commencement of anaesthesia [1]. Therefore, for the ABCD mnemonic to be

useful in preventing complications, rather than purely a simple emergency prompt, it must evolve and take into account the requirement to maintain physiological variables within an optimal range.

The maintenance of adequate perfusion pressure to cardiac, cerebral, and renal vascular beds is vital for the maintenance of end-organ function. However, the relationship between perioperative blood pressure and outcome is more nuanced than a simple unidirectional relationship, as elevated blood pressures and increased blood pressure variability are also associated with complications [19-22]. As well as considering absolute blood pressure values, or variation in values there is also the question of which blood pressure index carries the most useful prognostic information. Physiological arguments can be made to focus either on the diastolic blood pressure (DBP) for coronary perfusion or mean arterial pressure (MAP) for neurological, and renal perfusion. However, the VISION study highlights the increased odds of myocardial injury after non-cardiac surgery (MINS) and mortality that occur with an intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) of less than 100mmHg [19]. Thus, it can be argued that in the absence of other competing clinical requirements the SBP should be maintained in three sensible digits. This is also compatible with the findings of the Perioperative Quality Initiative group [22]. Maintaining the SBP in **three sensible digits** has other advantages, including tangibility for novice anaesthetists.

The presence of tachycardia under anaesthesia can be an important physiological indicator of multiple events such as overt, or concealed haemorrhage, sepsis, pain or inadequate levels of analgesia [23]. Previous research has highlighted the association between intraoperative HR >100 bpm and MINS, myocardial infarction and mortality. This effect is compounded if the tachycardia was associated with an SBP <100 mmHg [19]. Many anaesthetists would view tachycardia as a sign heralding risk of myocardial injury, AAGA and pain. Thus, within reason, taking action to ensure that the heart rate in adults remains in **two sensible digits** has merit.

There is a well-recognised association between a perioperative blood sugar > 10 mmol.l⁻¹ and the risk of peri-operative complications including death in patients with either diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes [24,25]. In addition, as a blood glucose of <4 mmol.l⁻¹ is associated with excess morbidity, mortality and increased length of stay [26], it is recommended that the blood sugar on patients on glucose lowering medication should be kept above 5 mmol.l⁻¹ [27]. Thus, there is a rationale to keeping the blood sugar to **one sensible digit**.

With increasing comorbidity, coupled with increasing frailty and age of the surgical population, the goal of early restoration of function has never been more important. To achieve this, it is necessary to ensure that patients have other physiological variables optimised. These variables are diverse and include: avoidance of remnant neuromuscular blockade [28]; prevention of the deadly triad (acidosis, coagulopathy and hypothermia) [29]; procedure specific analgesia to facilitate mobilisation [30]; consideration of age-related dose of anaesthesia to reduce the risk of perioperative neurocognitive disorders (PNCD) [31]; avoidance of drugs that may precipitate/aggravate PNCD [31]; and strategies to avoid perioperative gastrointestinal dysfunction and promotion of early drinking and eating [14].

So – can we deconstruct the complicated process of delivering the highest quality anaesthesia to a simple mnemonic – an ABCD with a three, two and a one? Clearly this 7-character mnemonic does not encompass all the facets that are known to contribute to good intraoperative care, as it does not encompass restoration of function. However, this mnemonic can be used as a tool for prompting the consideration of the vitally important aspects of anaesthesia that are important at every juncture: induction, transfer, start of surgery, insufflation, maintenance, emergence, and handover i.e. it can be considered the ‘backstop’ of safe anaesthesia. In addition, as advocated in the QRH, the mnemonic has a role in managing critical incidents, when the patient’s condition, and the clinician’s ability to think logically and clearly, might be deteriorating.

The care of humans with multiple health issues undergoing anaesthesia for surgery is not just complicated, it is complex. Checklists and mnemonics can all be used to make anaesthesia safer - but these cannot cover the entirety or the complexity of

perioperative care, and in particular, the principles by which anaesthetists work to promote restoration of function. Whilst acknowledging the importance of maintaining ABCD with a sensible three, two, and one, there is still the need for anaesthetists to exercise their professional judgement regarding the specific needs of individual patients, in order to ensure the anaesthetic is not only safe but is *good*, by promoting restoration of function.

References

1. Jelacic S, Bowdle A, Nair BG et al. The effects of an aviation-style computerized pre-induction anaesthesia checklist on pre-anaesthetic set-up and non-routine events. *Anaesthesia*; 2019: *Ahead of print*
2. World Health Organisation. *Surgical safety checklist (first edition)* 2008; https://www.who.int/patientsafety/safesurgery/tools_resources/SSSL_Checklist_finalJun08.pdf?ua=1 (accessed 2nd July 2019)
3. Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Checklist for anaesthetic equipment 2012. *Anaesthesia* 2012; 66: 662–63. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2044.2012.07163.x/abstract> (accessed 2nd July 2019)
4. The Royal College of Anaesthetists. Capnography: No Trace = Wrong Place. Available from <https://www.rcoa.ac.uk/standards-of-clinical-practice/capnography-no-trace-wrong-place> . (Accessed: 2nd July 2019)
5. Gawande A. *The Checklist Manifesto*. London: Profile, 2010.
6. Ramsay G, Haynes AB, Lipsitz SR et al. Reducing surgical mortality in Scotland by use of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist. *British Journal of Surgery* 2019 Apr 16; doi 10.1002/bjs.11151

7. Urbach DR, Govindarajan A, Saskin R, Wilton AS, Baxter NN. Introduction of surgical safety checklists in Ontario, Canada. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2014; **370**:1029-1038
8. Grigg E. Smarter clinical checklists: how to minimize checklist fatigue and maximize clinician performance. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 2015; **121**: 570-3.
9. Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Checklist for Anaesthetic machines: *A recommended procedure based on the use of an oxygen analyser*. London: Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland, 1990
10. Khuri SF, Henderson WG, DePalma RG, Mosca C, Healey NA, Kumbhani DJ. Determinants of long-term survival after major surgery and the adverse effect of postoperative complications. *Annals of surgery* 2005; **242**: 326 - 43
11. Moonesinghe SR, Harris S, Mythen MG et al. Survival after postoperative morbidity: a longitudinal observational cohort study. *British journal of anaesthesia*. 2014 ;**113**:977-84.
12. Awad IT, Chung F. Factors affecting recovery and discharge following ambulatory surgery. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia* 2006; **53**: 858-72.
13. Kehlet H. Postoperative pain, analgesia, and recovery—bedfellows that cannot be ignored. *Pain* 2018; **159**: S11-6.
14. Levy N, Mills P, Mythen M. Is the pursuit of DREAMing (drinking, eating and mobilising) the ultimate goal of anaesthesia? *Anaesthesia* 2016; **71**: 1008-12
15. Kehlet, H. Postoperative pain relief—what is the issue?. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 1994; **72**: 375–78

16. Pandit JJ, Andrade J, Bogod DG et al. 5th National Audit Project (NAP5) on accidental awareness during general anaesthesia: summary of main findings and risk factors. *British journal of anaesthesia*. 2014; **113**: 549-59.

17. Association of Anaesthetists, Royal College of Anaesthetists *The 'NAP5 Handbook'. Concise practice guidance on the prevention and management of accidental awareness during general anaesthesia* 2019; doi <http://dx.doi.org/10.21466/g.TNHCPGO.2019> (accessed 19/05/2019)

18. Association of Anaesthetists. *Quick Reference Handbook. Guidelines for crises in anaesthesia*. 2019; https://anaesthetists.org/Portals/0/PDFs/QRH/QRH_complete_January_2019.pdf?ver=2019-05-05-171156-577 (accessed 19/05/2019)

19. Abbott TE, Pearse RM, Archbold RA et al.. A prospective international multicentre cohort study of intraoperative heart rate and systolic blood pressure and myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: results of the VISION study. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*.2018; **126**: 1936-45

20. Mascha EJ, Yang D, Weiss S, Sessler DI. Intraoperative mean arterial pressure variability and 30-day mortality in patients having noncardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2015; **123**: 79-91.

21. Wesselink EM, Kappen TH, Torn HM, Slooter AJ, van Klei WA. Intraoperative hypotension and the risk of postoperative adverse outcomes: a systematic review. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2018; **121**: 706-21

22. Sessler DI, Bloomstone JA, Aronson S et al. Perioperative quality initiative consensus statement on intraoperative blood pressure, risk and outcomes for elective surgery. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2019; **122**: 563-74

23. Watterson LM, Morris RW, Williamson JA, Westhorpe RN. Crisis management during anaesthesia: tachycardia. *BMJ Quality & Safety* 2005;**14**: e10.
24. Kotagal M, Symons RG, Hirsch IB et al. Perioperative hyperglycemia and risk of adverse events among patients with and without diabetes. *Annals of Surgery* 2016; **261**: 97-103.
25. Frisch A, Chandra P, Smiley D et al. Prevalence and clinical outcome of hyperglycemia in the perioperative period in noncardiac surgery. *Diabetes Care* 2010; **33**:1783-8.
26. Garg R, Hurwitz S, Turchin A, Trivedi A. Hypoglycemia, with or without insulin therapy, is associated with increased mortality among hospitalized patients. *Diabetes Care* 2013; **36**:1107-10
27. Levy N, Hall GM. National guidance contributes to the high incidence of inpatient hypoglycaemia. *Diabetic Medicine* 2019; **36**: 120-21
28. Hunter JM. Reversal of residual neuromuscular block: complications associated with perioperative management of muscle relaxation. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2017; **119(suppl 1)**: 53-62.
29. Fadden S, Prior K. Anaesthetic priorities in pre-hospital trauma care. *Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine* 2017; **18**: 375-9.
30. White PF, Kehlet H. Improving Postoperative Pain Management. What Are the Unresolved Issues?. *Anesthesiology* 2010;**112**: 220-5.
31. White S, Griffiths R, Baxter M et al. Guidelines for the peri-operative care of people with dementia: Guidelines from the Association of Anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia*. 2019; **74**: 357-72.

