1

# Somatic evolution and global expansion of an ancient transmissible cancer lineage

2 3

36

4 Adrian Baez-Ortega<sup>1</sup>, Kevin Gori<sup>1\*</sup>, Andrea Strakova<sup>1\*</sup>, Janice L. Allen<sup>2</sup>, Karen M. Allum<sup>3</sup>, 5 6 Leontine Bansse-Issa<sup>4</sup>, Thinlay N. Bhutia<sup>5</sup>, Jocelyn L. Bisson<sup>1</sup>, Cristóbal Briceño<sup>6</sup>, Artemio 7 Castillo Domracheva<sup>7</sup>, Anne M. Corrigan<sup>8</sup>, Hugh R. Cran<sup>9</sup>, Jane T. Crawford<sup>10</sup>, Eric Davis<sup>11</sup>, Karina F. de Castro<sup>12</sup>, Andrigo B. de Nardi<sup>13</sup>, Anna P. de Vos<sup>14</sup>, Laura Delgadillo Keenan<sup>15</sup>, 8 Edward M. Donelan<sup>2</sup>, Adela R. Espinoza Huerta<sup>16</sup>, Ibikunle A. Faramade<sup>17</sup>, Mohammed 9 Fazil<sup>18</sup>, Eleni Fotopoulou<sup>19</sup>, Skye N. Fruean<sup>20</sup>, Fanny Gallardo-Arrieta<sup>21</sup>, Olga Glebova<sup>22</sup>, 10 Pagona G. Gouletsou<sup>23</sup>, Rodrigo F. Häfelin Manrique<sup>24</sup>, Joaquim J. G. P. Henriques<sup>25</sup>, 11 Rodrigo S. Horta<sup>26</sup>, Natalia Ignatenko<sup>27</sup>, Yaghouba Kane<sup>28</sup>, Cathy King<sup>3</sup>, Debbie Koenig<sup>3</sup>, 12 Ada Krupa<sup>29</sup>, Steven J. Kruzeniski<sup>16</sup>, Young-Mi Kwon<sup>1</sup>, Marta Lanza-Perea<sup>8</sup>, Mihran 13 Lazyan<sup>30</sup>, Adriana M. Lopez Quintana<sup>31</sup>, Thibault Losfelt<sup>32</sup>, Gabriele Marino<sup>33</sup>, Simón 14 Martínez Castañeda<sup>34</sup>, Mayra F. Martínez-López<sup>35</sup>, Michael Meyer<sup>36</sup>, Edward J. Migneco<sup>37</sup>, 15 Berna Nakanwagi<sup>38</sup>, Karter B. Neal<sup>39</sup>, Winifred Neunzig<sup>3</sup>, Máire Ní Leathlobhair<sup>1</sup>, Sally J. 16 Nixon<sup>40</sup>, Antonio Ortega-Pacheco<sup>41</sup>, Francisco Pedraza-Ordoñez<sup>42</sup>, Maria C. Peleteiro<sup>43</sup>, 17 Katherine Polak<sup>44</sup>, Ruth J. Pye<sup>45</sup>, John F. Reece<sup>46</sup>, Jose Rojas Gutierrez<sup>47</sup>, Haleema Sadia<sup>48</sup>, 18 Sheila K. Schmeling<sup>49</sup>, Olga Shamanova<sup>50</sup>, Alan G. Sherlock<sup>45</sup>, Maximilian Stammnitz<sup>1</sup>, 19 Audrey E. Steenland-Smit<sup>4</sup>, Alla Svitich<sup>51</sup>, Lester J. Tapia Martínez<sup>16</sup>, Ismail Thoya Ngoka<sup>52</sup>, 20 Cristian G. Torres<sup>53</sup>, Elizabeth M. Tudor<sup>54</sup>, Mirjam G. van der Wel<sup>55</sup>, Bogdan A. Vitălaru<sup>56</sup>, 21 Sevil A. Vural<sup>57</sup>, Oliver Walkinton<sup>45</sup>, Jinhong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Alvaro S. Wehrle-Martinez<sup>58</sup>, Sophie A. 22 E. Widdowson<sup>59</sup>, Michael R. Stratton<sup>60</sup>, Ludmil B. Alexandrov<sup>61</sup>, Iñigo Martincorena<sup>60</sup>, 23 24 Elizabeth P. Murchison<sup>1§</sup> 25 26 <sup>1</sup> Transmissible Cancer Group, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, 27 28 Cambridge, UK <sup>2</sup> Animal Management in Rural and Remote Indigenous Communities (AMRRIC), Darwin, 29 30 Australia <sup>3</sup> World Vets, Gig Harbor, USA 31 <sup>4</sup> Animal Shelter, Stichting Dierenbescherming Suriname, Paramaribo, Suriname 32 <sup>5</sup> Sikkim Anti-Rabies and Animal Health Programme, Department of Animal Husbandry, 33 Livestock, Fisheries and Veterinary Services, Government of Sikkim, India 34 35 <sup>6</sup> ConserLab, Animal Preventive Medicine Department, Faculty of Animal and Veterinary

Sciences, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

37	<sup>7</sup> Corozal Veterinary Hospital, University of Panamá, Panama City, Republic of Panama
38	<sup>8</sup> St. George's University, True Blue, Grenada
39	<sup>9</sup> The Nakuru District Veterinary Scheme Ltd, Nakuru, Kenya
40	<sup>10</sup> Animal Medical Centre, Belize City, Belize
41	<sup>11</sup> International Animal Welfare Training Institute, UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine,
42	Davis, USA
43	<sup>12</sup> Centro Universitário de Rio Preto (UNIRP), São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brazil
44	<sup>13</sup> Department of Clinical and Veterinary Surgery, São Paulo State University (UNESP), São
45	Paulo, Brazil
46	<sup>14</sup> Ladybrand Animal Clinic, Ladybrand, South Africa
47	<sup>15</sup> Veterinary Clinic Sr. Dog's, Guadalajara, Mexico
48	<sup>16</sup> World Vets Latin America Veterinary Training Center, Granada, Nicaragua
49	<sup>17</sup> National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria
50	<sup>18</sup> Animal Clinic, Mombasa, Kenya
51	<sup>19</sup> Intermunicipal Stray Animals Care Centre (DIKEPAZ), Perama, Greece
52	<sup>20</sup> Animal Protection Society of Samoa, Apia, Samoa
53	<sup>21</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Zulia, Maracaibo, Venezuela
54	<sup>22</sup> Veterinary Clinic BIOCONTROL, Moscow, Russia
55	<sup>23</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Thessaly,
56	Karditsa, Greece
57	<sup>24</sup> Veterinary Clinic El Roble, Animal Healthcare Network, Faculty of Animal and Veterinary
58	Sciences, University of Chile, Santiago de Chile, Chile
59	<sup>25</sup> OnevetGroup, Hospital Veterinário Berna, Lisboa, Portugal
60	<sup>26</sup> Universidade Vila Velha, Vila Velha, Brazil
61	<sup>27</sup> Veterinary Clinic Zoovetservis, Kiev, Ukraine
62	<sup>28</sup> École Inter-états des Sciences et Médecine Vétérinaires de Dakar, Dakar, Senegal
63	<sup>29</sup> Department of Small Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University,
64	Utrecht, The Netherlands
65	<sup>30</sup> Vetexpert Veterinary Group, Yerevan, Armenia
66	<sup>31</sup> Veterinary Clinic Lopez Quintana, Maldonado, Uruguay
67	<sup>32</sup> Clinique Veterinaire de Grand Fond, Saint Gilles les Bains, Reunion, France
68	<sup>33</sup> Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Messina, Messina, Italy
69	<sup>34</sup> Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de
70	México, Toluca, Mexico
71	<sup>35</sup> School of Veterinary Medicine, Universidad de las Américas, Quito, Ecuador
72	<sup>36</sup> Touray & Meyer Vet Clinic, Serrekunda, The Gambia
73	<sup>37</sup> Hillside Animal Hospital, St. Louis, USA

74	<sup>38</sup> The Kampala Veterinary Surgery, Kampala, Uganda
75	<sup>39</sup> Asavet Veterinary Charities, Tucson, United States
76	<sup>40</sup> Vets Beyond Borders, Bylakuppe, India
77	<sup>41</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Autonomous University of Yucatan, Merida, Mexico
78	<sup>42</sup> Laboratorio de Patología Veterinaria, Universidad de Caldas, Manizales, Colombia
79	<sup>43</sup> Interdisciplinary Centre of Research in Animal Health (CIISA), Faculty of Veterinary
80	Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal
81	<sup>44</sup> Four Paws International, Vienna, Austria
82	<sup>45</sup> Vets Beyond Borders, The Rocks, Australia
83	<sup>46</sup> Help in Suffering, Jaipur, India
84	<sup>47</sup> Veterinary Clinic Dr José Rojas, Los Andes, Chile
85	<sup>48</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Balochistan University of Information Technology,
86	Engineering and Management Sciences, Quetta, Pakistan
87	<sup>49</sup> Corozal Veterinary Clinic, Corozal Town, Belize
88	<sup>50</sup> Veterinary Clinic Vetmaster, Ramenskoye, Russia
89	<sup>51</sup> State Hospital of Veterinary Medicine, Dniprodzerzhynsk, Ukraine
90	<sup>52</sup> Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Juja, Kenya
91	<sup>53</sup> Laboratory of Biomedicine and Regenerative Medicine, Department of Clinical Sciences,
92	Faculty of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile
93	<sup>54</sup> Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne,
94	Australia
95	<sup>55</sup> Animal Anti Cruelty League, Port Elizabeth, South Africa
96	<sup>56</sup> Clinical Sciences Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Bucharest, Bucharest,
97	Romania
98	<sup>57</sup> Department of Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ankara University, Ankara,
99	Turkey
100	<sup>58</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, National University of Asuncion, San Lorenzo, Paraguay
101	<sup>59</sup> Lilongwe Society for Protection and Care of Animals (LSPCA), Lilongwe, Malawi
102	<sup>60</sup> Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK
103	<sup>61</sup> Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La
104	Jolla, USA
105	* These authors contributed equally
106	<sup>§</sup> Corresponding author, epm27@cam.ac.uk

#### 107 Structured Abstract

#### 108 INTRODUCTION

109 The canine transmissible venereal tumour (CTVT) is a sexually transmitted cancer that 110 manifests as genital tumours in dogs. This cancer first arose in an individual 'founder dog' 111 several thousand years ago, and has since survived by transfer of living cancer cells to new 112 hosts during coitus. Today, CTVT affects dogs around the world and is the oldest and most 113 prolific known cancer lineage. CTVT thus provides a unique opportunity to explore the 114 evolution of cancer over the long-term, and to track the unusual biological transition from 115 multicellular organism to obligate conspecific asexual parasite. Furthermore, the CTVT 116 genome, acting as a living biomarker, has recorded the changing mutagenic environments 117 experienced by this cancer throughout millennia and across continents.

#### 118 RATIONALE

To capture the genetic diversity of the CTVT lineage, we analysed somatic mutations extracted from the protein-coding genomes (exomes) of 546 globally distributed CTVT tumours. We inferred a time-resolved phylogenetic tree for the clone and used this to trace the worldwide spread of the disease and to select subsets of mutations acquired at known geographical locations and time-periods. Computational methods were applied to extract mutational signatures and to measure their exposures across time and space. In addition, we assessed the activity of selection using ratios of non-synonymous and synonymous variants.

126 RESULTS

127 The CTVT phylogeny reveals that the lineage first arose from its founder dog 4,000–8,500 128 years ago, likely in Asia, with the most recent common ancestor of modern globally distributed 129 tumours occurring ~1,900 years ago. CTVT underwent a rapid global expansion within the last 130 500 years, likely aided by intensification of human maritime travel. We identify a highly specific 131 mutational signature dominated by C>T mutations at GTCCA pentanucleotide contexts which 132 operated in CTVT up until ~1,000 years ago. The number of mutations caused by ultraviolet 133 light exposure is correlated with latitude of tumour collection, and we identify CTVTs with 134 heritable hyperactivity of an endogenous mutational process. Several 'driver' mutation 135 candidates are identified in the basal trunk of the CTVT tree, but there is little evidence for 136 ongoing positive selection. Although negative selection is detectable, its effect is largely 137 confined to genes with known essential functions, thus implying that CTVT predominantly 138 evolves via neutral processes.

139 CONCLUSION

We have traced the evolution of a transmissible cancer over several thousand years, tracking its spread across continents and contrasting the mutational processes and selective forces that moulded its genome with those described in human cancers. The identification of a highly context-specific mutational process that operated in the past but subsequently vanished, as 144 well as correlation of ultraviolet light-induced DNA damage with latitude, highlight the potential 145 for long-lived, widespread clonal organisms to act as biomarkers for mutagenic exposures. 146 Our results suggest that neutral genetic drift is the dominant evolutionary force operating on 147 cancer over the long-term, in contrast to the ongoing positive selection which is often observed 148 in short-lived human cancers. The weakness of negative selection in this asexual lineage may 149 be expected to lead to the progressive accumulation of deleterious mutations, invoking 150 Muller's Ratchet and raising the possibility that CTVT may be declining in fitness despite its 151 global success.

152

## 153 Abstract

154 The canine transmissible venereal tumour (CTVT) is a cancer lineage that arose several 155 millennia ago and survives by 'metastasising' between hosts via cell transfer. The somatic 156 mutations in this cancer record its phylogeography and evolutionary history. We constructed 157 a time-resolved phylogeny from 546 CTVT exomes and describe the lineage's worldwide 158 expansion. Examining variation in mutational exposure, we identify a highly context-specific 159 mutational process that operated early but subsequently vanished, correlate ultraviolet-light 160 mutagenesis with tumour latitude, and describe tumours with heritable hyperactivity of an 161 endogenous mutational process. CTVT displays little evidence of ongoing positive selection, 162 and negative selection is detectable only in essential genes. We illustrate how long-lived clonal 163 organisms capture changing mutagenic environments, and reveal that neutral drift is the 164 dominant feature of long-term cancer evolution.

165

#### 166 Introduction

167 Transmissible cancers are malignant somatic cell clones that spread between individuals via 168 direct transfer of living cancer cells. Analogous to the metastasis of cancer to distant tissues 169 within a single body, transmissible cancers 'metastasise' as allogeneic grafts between 170 individuals within a population (1). Such clones have been observed only eight times in nature, 171 suggesting that they arise rarely; however, once established, transmissible cancers can 172 spread rapidly and widely and persist through time (1, 2). Such cancers provide a unique 173 opportunity to explore the evolution of cancer over the long-term, and to track the unusual 174 biological transition from multicellular organism to obligate conspecific asexual parasite.

175

The canine transmissible venereal tumour (CTVT) is the oldest and most prolific known contagious cancer (2, 3). It is a sexually transmitted clone that manifests as genital tumours in dogs. This cancer first arose from the somatic cells of an individual 'founder dog' that lived several thousand years ago (2). The cancer survived beyond the death of this original host by transfer of cancer cells to new hosts. Subsequently, this cancer has spread around the world, and is a common disease in dog populations globally, although it declined and largely
disappeared from many Western countries during the twentieth century due to the
management and removal of free-roaming dogs (*4*).

184

185 Similar to cancers that remain in a single individual, CTVT accumulates somatic mutations. 186 These result from the activities of endogenous and exogenous mutational processes, and 187 genetically imprint a cancer's history of mutagenic exposures (5). Thus, the CTVT genome 188 can be considered a living biomarker that records the changing mutagenic environments 189 experienced by this cancer throughout millennia and across continents. Although most 190 somatic mutations in cancer have no functional effect and are considered neutral 'passenger' 191 mutations, a subset of mutations are positively selected 'driver' mutations that confer the 192 proliferation and survival advantages that spur cancer growth (6). Ordinary cancers, which 193 remain in a single host, often acquire additional driver mutations during tumour progression 194 (7); however, it is unknown whether transmissible cancers that survive for hundreds or 195 thousands of years similarly continue to adapt. It seems possible that the evolution of long-196 lived cancers such as CTVT may instead be dominated by negative selection acting to remove 197 deleterious mutations. Finally, in addition to recording a history of exposures and signatures 198 of selection, somatic mutations provide a tool for tracing CTVT phylogeography, potentially 199 revealing how dogs, together with humans, moved around the world over the last centuries. 200 Here, we use somatic mutations extracted from the protein-coding genomes (exomes) of 546 201 globally distributed CTVT tumours to trace the history, spread, diversity, mutational exposures 202 and evolution of the CTVT clone.

203

#### 204 CTVT phylogeny

205 We sequenced the exomes (43.6 megabases, Mb; mean sequencing depth ~132×) of 546 206 CTVT tumours collected between 2003 and 2016 from 43 countries across all inhabited 207 continents (Data sets S1 and S2). Candidate somatic mutations were defined as single 208 nucleotide variants (SNVs) or short insertions and deletions (indels) identified in one or more 209 CTVT tumours, but not found in 495 normal dog exomes from the CTVT tumours' matched 210 hosts. This approach yielded 160,207 variants (148,030 SNVs, 3,392 per Mb; 12,177 indels, 211 279 per Mb; Table S1). The features of this set, including its variant allele fraction distribution, 212 phylogenetic structure, comparison with the distribution of private germline variants in the dog 213 population, mutational signature composition, and non-synonymous to synonymous mutation 214 ratio (details in (8)), suggest that it is very highly enriched for somatic mutations. However, 215 some minimal germline variation may remain, possibly including rare germline variants from 216 the founder dog and residual contaminating alleles from matched hosts.

218 We identified the subset of the candidate somatic mutations belonging to a clock-like 219 mutational process (specifically, cytosine-to-thymine (C>T) substitutions at CpG sites (8, 9)), 220 and used these to construct a time-resolved phylogenetic tree for the CTVT lineage (Fig. 1A). 221 The mutation rate was inferred by applying a Bayesian Poisson model to previously 222 ascertained empirical observations (10), and was estimated as  $6.87 \times 10^{-7}$  C>T mutations per 223 CpG site per year (8). The topology of the CTVT phylogenetic tree reveals a long basal trunk 224 (Fig. 1A), representing the chain of CTVT transmissions from its origin ~6,220 years ago (95% 225 highest posterior density interval, HPDI, 4,148–8,508 years ago) to the earliest detected node 226 ~1,938 years ago (95% HPDI 993–3,055 years ago). This node splits a set of five tumours 227 collected in India from the remaining population (groups labelled 57 and 58; Fig. 1A). The 228 second and third most basal nodes (respectively ~1,004 years ago, 95% HPDI 497-1,570 229 years ago, and ~829 years ago, 95% HPDI 424–1,310 years ago) separate sixteen tumours 230 from Eastern Europe and the Black Sea region, and three tumours from Northern India, from 231 the remaining set, respectively (groups labelled 54–56 and 1; Fig. 1A). Together with evidence 232 that the founder dog shared ancestry with ancient dog remains recovered in North-East 233 Siberia and North America (10), the CTVT phylogeny supports a model whereby CTVT 234 originated ~4,000–8,500 years ago in Central or Northern Asia, and remained within the area 235 for the subsequent 2,000–6,000 years. Starting less than ~2,000 years ago, CTVT escaped 236 from its founding population, perhaps due to contact between previously isolated dog groups, 237 and spread to several locations in Asia and Europe (Fig. 1B).

238

239 The more recent history of CTVT is marked by rapid global expansion (11) (Figs. 1C and S1). 240 CTVT was introduced to the Americas with early colonial contact (~500 years ago, 95% HPDI 241 284-888 years ago), probably initially to Central America, and further into North and South 242 America (red sublineage 1; Fig. 1, A and C). About 300 years ago, this sublineage spread out 243 of the Americas in an almost polytomous global sweep which brought CTVT into Africa at least 244 five times and re-introduced the disease to Europe and Asia (black sublineage 1; Fig. 1, A and 245 C). In parallel, a second tumour sublineage spread out of Asia or Europe into Australia and 246 the Pacific (sublineage 2; Fig. 1, A and D). This second sublineage is also detected in North 247 America, and its tumours were introduced to Africa on at least two occasions. By ~100 years 248 ago, CTVT was present in dog populations worldwide, establishing local lineages that have 249 since remained largely in situ. The CTVT phylogeny thus suggests that dogs, together with 250 their neoplastic parasites, were extensively transported around the world in the fifteenth to 251 early twentieth centuries, probably via sea travel.

252

## 253 Mutational processes in CTVT

254 The CTVT mutational spectrum, a representation of the six substitution types together with 255 their immediate 5' and 3' base contexts, is dominated by C>T mutations, as previously 256 described (12, 13) (Fig. 2A). Applying Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling on a Bayesian 257 model of mutational signatures (8, 14), we extracted signatures of five mutational processes 258 from the CTVT mutation load. These include three signatures that closely resemble COSMIC 259 (15) signatures 1, 5 and 7 (Fig. 2B). These signatures, which have previously been described 260 in CTVT (12), reflect endogenous mutational processes (signatures 1 and 5) and exposure to 261 ultraviolet light (UV, signature 7) (5). A fourth signature displaying some similarity (cosine 262 similarity 0.81) to COSMIC signature 2, which is associated with activity of APOBEC enzymes 263 (5), was also detected (labelled signature 2\*, Fig. 2B).

264

265 The fifth signature extracted from CTVT does not resemble any previously described 266 mutational pattern. This signature, which we designate signature A, is characterised by C>T 267 mutations at NCC contexts and shows striking pentanucleotide sequence preference for 268 GTCCA (TGGAC on the complementary strand; Figs. 2, B and C, and S2). This extended 269 sequence preference is markedly more pronounced than previously reported pentanucleotide 270 context biases, such as those associated with UV light or DNA polymerase epsilon deficiency 271 (Fig. 2C) (16-18), and is not explained by the sequence composition of the canine exome (Fig. 272 S3). It is possible that signature A's causative mutagen is highly context-specific, or, 273 alternatively, that this signature's associated repair processes are ineffective at certain 274 sequence contexts ('repair shielding') (19). In addition, signature A displays strong 275 transcriptional strand bias, with more mutations of guanine on the untranscribed compared to 276 the transcribed strand of genes, indicating that its causative lesion is likely a guanine adduct 277 subject to transcription-coupled repair (TCR). Interestingly, the guanine-directed 278 transcriptional strand bias of signature A at TCC contexts counteracts the cytosine-directed 279 transcriptional strand bias of signature 7 at TCC, such that no overall transcriptional strand 280 bias is observed at this context in the CTVT mutational spectrum (Fig. 2A).

281

282 Using the CTVT phylogenetic tree to isolate subsets of mutations, we explored variation in 283 mutational signature exposure across time and space (Figs. S4 and S5, and Data set S3). 284 Remarkably, this revealed that signature A was highly active prior to ~2,000 years ago 285 (causing ~35% of mutations in the basal trunk of the tree, branch A1), and persisted in parallel 286 at lower levels in the two basal branches after the first node (~12% and ~9% of mutations in 287 branches A2 and A3, respectively), but then abruptly vanished (Figs. 2C and S5). Importantly, 288 signature A is not detectable within the germline of a global population of 495 dogs (Fig. S6). 289 It is possible that signature A reflects the activity of an exogenous mutagen that was uniquely 290 present in the environment that CTVT inhabited prior to its escape from its founding

population. Alternatively, it is plausible that signature A may result from an endogenous DNAdamaging agent that occurred in CTVT cells early during the lineage's history, but which ceased to accumulate from ~1,000 years ago, perhaps due to a cellular metabolic change. Although the nature of such a change is unknown, the replacement of possibly defective mitochondrial DNA by horizontal transfer, which likely occurred in parallel in branches A2 and A3 within the last ~1,690 years (*11*), may have altered the metabolic environment within CTVT cells.

298

299 Although CTVT usually occurs within the internal genital tract, it may sometimes protrude from 300 the genital orifice or spread to perineal skin, resulting in sporadic exposure to solar UV 301 radiation (12, 13). The amount of UV radiation reaching the Earth, however, varies significantly 302 across global environments (20). We investigated whether latitude influenced the degree of 303 UV exposure in CTVT tumours by estimating signature 7 contribution within subsets of 304 mutations acquired at known latitudes. Indeed, qualitative assessment of mutational spectra 305 of location-specific CTVT mutation subsets suggests substantial variation in UV exposure; for 306 example, the mutational spectra of tumours collected in Mauritius show considerably more 307 evidence of signature 7 compared with those of tumours collected in Russia (Fig. S4). Using 308 CC>TT dinucleotide mutations (21) as a proxy for signature 7 (Fig. S7), we identified a non-309 linear association between latitude and UV exposure (Spearman's correlation -0.40, 95% 310 HPDI [-0.65, -0.14]; Fig. 2D). By fitting CC>TT mutations observed in the basal trunk of the 311 CTVT tree to this curve, we estimated the latitude of the CTVT founder population (Fig. 2, D 312 and E) (8).

313

314 Examining the contribution of signature 5 across the CTVT lineage, we observed three 315 independent phylogenetic groups of tumours that appear to have acquired signature 5-316 hyperactivity phenotypes (groups labelled 12–16, 20 and 40; Figs. 2, F and G, S4 and S5). In 317 one case, involving tumours collected in several South and Central American countries 318 (groups 12–16), the phenotype has been maintained for  $\sim$ 150 years. This phenotype is likely 319 to result from signature 5, and not from the double-strand DNA repair deficiency-mediated 320 COSMIC signature 3, which presents a similar mutational profile (5, 22), as we failed to 321 observe the enrichment for indels which co-occurs with signature 3 (22, 23). It is, however, 322 possible that these tumours were exposed to another, as yet undescribed, mutational process. 323 Signature 5 is widespread in cancer and normal tissues and has unknown aetiology, although 324 it may be partly associated with endogenously generated adducts subject to nucleotide 325 excision repair (5, 9, 18). We annotated non-synonymous mutations occurring in the three 326 groups' respective clonal ancestors, providing a catalogue of genes which may play a role in 327 generation or suppression of signature 5 (Data set S4).

328

## 329 **CTVT mutations and gene expression**

The prevalence of substitution mutations in CTVT decreases with increasing gene expression, likely reflecting the activity of TCR operating on DNA damage associated with signatures 7 and A, as well as a signature 1 preference for genes with lower expression (*16, 24, 25*) (Fig. S8, A and B). We observed that exons have a higher substitution prevalence than introns, possibly due to sequence context (Figs. S8A and S9). The prevalence of indels is positively correlated with increasing gene expression, as has been observed in human cancers, and may reflect transcription-associated damage (*26*) (Fig. S8A).

337

338 We assessed the contribution of TCR in two temporally distinct subsets of mutations: those 339 acquired prior to the earliest detectable node in the phylogenetic tree (~8,500–2,000 years 340 ago; branch A1 in Fig. 1A), and those acquired subsequent to this node (~2,000 years ago to 341 present). Interestingly, although C>T mutations acquired at TCC contexts in highly expressed 342 genes in branch A1 have little strand bias, likely due to the opposing transcriptional strand 343 preferences of signatures 7 and A at this context, those genes with very low expression 344 predominantly show the transcriptional strand bias associated with signature A (Fig. S8C). 345 Assuming that the transcriptional strand bias observed in these low-expressed genes reflects 346 earlier expression and subsequent silencing of genes, this suggests that there may have been 347 an early period in CTVT evolution when the lineage was exposed to signature A more intensely 348 than it was to signature 7. This may reflect variation in the climate or environment to which 349 CTVT was exposed early in its history.

350

#### 351 Selection in CTVT

352 CTVT has a massive mutation burden, which exceeds that observed in even the most highly 353 mutated human cancer types (Fig. 3A). Each CTVT tumour carries on average 37,800 SNVs 354 across its predominantly diploid (12) exome (~2 million SNVs genome-wide; Table S2). 355 Indeed, the tally of somatic mutations that have accumulated in CTVT since it departed its 356 original host is comparable with the number of germline variants that distinguish some pairs 357 of outbred dogs (Fig. S10). Within the set of 546 tumours, 14,412 (~73%) protein-coding genes 358 carry at least one non-synonymous mutation, and 5,704 (~29%) have mutations predicted to 359 cause protein truncation (Fig. 3B).

360

We searched for evidence of positive selection in CTVT. The driver mutations which initially caused CTVT, and which promoted its transmissible phenotype, will have occurred in the basal trunk of the CTVT tree. *SETD2*, *CDKN2A*, *MYC* (previously described (*12*)), *PTEN* and *RB1*, known cancer genes that frequently harbour driver mutations in human cancers (*15*), 365 carry biallelic loss-of-function or potential activating mutations in the trunk and may be early 366 drivers of CTVT (Fig. 3C and Table S3). To search for late drivers, which may have been 367 acquired in more recent parallel CTVT lineages, we identified independent mutations that 368 occurred repeatedly across the tree, and measured the normalised ratio of non-synonymous 369 to synonymous mutations (dN/dS) per gene after correcting for mutational biases and context 370 effects (8). This approach only yielded two uncharacterised genes with dN/dS > 1 (*q*-value < 371 0.05), predicted to encode a neuroligin precursor and a roundabout homologue (Data set S5). 372 The potential for these genes to act as late drivers in CTVT cannot be assessed, and it is 373 possible that local sequence structures may result in higher than expected recurrent mutation rates at these loci (27). Overall, we find little evidence that CTVT is continuing to adapt to its 374 375 environment.

376

377 Negative selection, which acts to remove deleterious mutations, is very weak in human 378 cancers (17, 28, 29). Human cancers have short life-spans, and their evolution is dominated 379 by sweeps of strong positive selection, thus reducing the potential for negative selection to act 380 (17). Given its long life-span, high mutation burden and lack of ongoing positive selection, it is 381 possible that negative selection may be a more dominant force in CTVT evolution. Further, 382 unlike in ordinary cancers, in CTVT inter-tumour competition may offer more opportunities for 383 negative selection to manifest, purging lineages less able to infect new hosts and spread 384 through the host population. Indeed, negative selection has been detected operating on CTVT 385 mitochondrial genomes (11). Our analysis of dN/dS in CTVT across all genes, however, 386 yielded dN/dS  $\approx$  1 for both missense and nonsense mutations, indicating near-neutral 387 evolution (Fig. 3D and Data set S5). Similarly, dN/dS did not differ from neutrality in genes 388 categorised by expression level (Fig. 3D). Negative selection, acting both on missense and 389 nonsense mutations, could be detected, however, in sets of genes with known essential 390 functions (Fig. 3D), and was particularly pronounced for nonsense mutations in essential 391 genes occurring in haploid regions (dN/dS = 0.33, p-value <  $10^{-4}$ ). A slight signal of negative 392 selection acting on nonsense mutations in haploid regions (dN/dS = 0.88, p-value = 0.027) is 393 explained by 269 essential genes, as negative selection was not detected after removal of 394 these genes (Fig. 3D and Data set S5). These results imply that CTVT largely evolves via 395 neutral genetic drift. This may partly reflect functional obsolescence of many mammalian 396 genes in this relatively simple parasitic cancer, as well as the buffering effect of CTVT's largely 397 diploid genome (12). However, it is also likely that transmission bottlenecks between hosts 398 render weak selection inefficient. This may be expected to lead to the progressive 399 accumulation of deleterious mutations in the population (Muller's ratchet) (30), raising the 400 possibility that CTVT may be declining in fitness despite its global success.

#### 402 Discussion

Studies of cancer evolution typically focus on how malignant clones alter during the first years,
or perhaps decades, of their existence. We have tracked the evolution of a cancer over several
thousand years, and compared the mutational processes and selective forces that moulded
its genome with those described in short-lived human cancers.

407

408 Our results suggest that neutral genetic drift may be the dominant evolutionary force operating 409 on cancer over the long-term, in contrast to the ongoing positive selection which is often 410 observed in human cancers (7, 17). Thus, our results suggest that CTVT may have optimised 411 its adaptation to the transmissible cancer niche early. Subsequently acquired advantageous 412 mutations may have offered incremental change of minimal benefit, such that they were 413 insufficient to overcome the neutral effects of drift. Importantly, since the 1980s, CTVT has 414 been routinely treated with vincristine, a cytotoxic microtubule inhibitor (31). Despite the strong 415 selection pressure imposed by vincristine treatment, we find no evidence of convergent 416 evolution of vincristine resistance mechanisms in CTVT at the level of point mutations or 417 indels.

418

419 The mechanisms whereby CTVT is tolerated by the host immune system, despite its status 420 as an allogeneic graft, are poorly understood (32, 33). The weakness of negative selection 421 beyond genes essential for cell viability implies that there are negligible selective pressures 422 imposed via immunoediting of somatic neoepitopes at a genome-wide level. This is perhaps 423 unsurprising, given the massive antigenic burden already presented by allogeneic epitopes. 424 These findings support evidence that CTVT largely circumvents the adaptive immune system, 425 at least during its initial stages of progressive tumour growth, perhaps in part via down-426 regulation of major histocompatibility complex molecules (13, 33-35).

427

428 Our analyses reveal a mutational signature, signature A, which occurred in the past, but 429 ceased to be active from about 1,000 years ago. Interestingly, a recent study (36) detected 430 evidence for an excess of C>T mutations at TCC contexts, the mutation type most prevalent 431 in signature A, accumulating in the human germline between 15,000 and 2,000 years ago. If 432 this human mutation pulse is due to signature A, it could indicate a shared environmental 433 exposure which was once widespread, but which has now disappeared. However, we find no 434 evidence of an excess of C>T mutations at GTCCA pentanucleotides in the dog germline, 435 suggesting that dogs as a whole were not systemically exposed to signature A in their past. 436 Further research will be required to elucidate the biological origin of signature A and the 437 mechanism of its striking pentanucleotide sequence bias; however, this study highlights the

potential for long-lived, widespread clonal organisms to act as biomarkers for the activity ofmutational processes.

440

Genomic instability and ongoing positive selection are often considered key hallmarks of carcinogenesis (*37*). CTVT does not have an intrinsically high point mutation rate ('genomic instability'), at least at the level of SNVs, and its vast mutation burden simply reflects the lineage's age. We find no clear evidence for continued positive selection beyond initial truncal events. Thus, CTVT illustrates that, once spawned and sufficiently well-adapted to its niche, neither hallmark is necessary to sustain cancer over the long term.

447

448 CTVT is a remarkable biological entity. It is the oldest, most prolific and most divergent cancer 449 lineage known in nature; it has spread throughout the globe and has seeded its tumours in 450 many thousands of dogs. Here, we have traced this cancer's route through the steppes of 451 Asia and Europe and as an unwelcome stowaway on global voyages. We have observed the 452 patterns in its mutational profiles reflecting the dynamics of its exogenous and endogenous 453 environment. Further, we have shown that CTVT largely evolves via neutral processes, and 454 that the mutations that it continues to acquire may pose a threat, rather than an advantage, to 455 its long-term fitness.

456

## 457 **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- M. J. Metzger, S. P. Goff, A Sixth Modality of Infectious Disease: Contagious Cancer
   from Devils to Clams and Beyond. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005904 (2016).
- 460 2. A. Strakova, E. P. Murchison, The cancer which survived: insights from the genome
  461 of an 11000 year-old cancer. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **30**, 49-55 (2015).
- 462 3. D. P. Blaine, A domestic treatise on the diseases of horses and dogs. (T. Boosey,
  463 London, 1810).
- 464 4. A. Strakova, E. P. Murchison, The changing global distribution and prevalence of 465 canine transmissible venereal tumour. *BMC Vet Res* **10**, 168 (2014).
- 466 5. L. B. Alexandrov *et al.*, Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature*467 **500**, 415-421 (2013).
- 468 6. M. R. Stratton, P. J. Campbell, P. A. Futreal, The cancer genome. *Nature* 458, 719469 724 (2009).
- 470 7. N. McGranahan, C. Swanton, Clonal Heterogeneity and Tumor Evolution: Past,
  471 Present, and the Future. *Cell* **168**, 613-628 (2017).
- 472 8. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials.
- 473 9. L. B. Alexandrov *et al.*, Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. *Nat*474 *Genet* 47, 1402-1407 (2015).

- 475 10. M. Ní Leathlobhair *et al.*, The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas. *Science*476 **361**, 81-85 (2018).
- 477 11. A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a
  478 canine transmissible cancer. *Elife* 5, (2016).
- 479 12. E. P. Murchison *et al.*, Transmissible dog cancer genome reveals the origin and
  480 history of an ancient cell lineage. *Science* **343**, 437-440 (2014).
- 481 13. B. Decker *et al.*, Comparison against 186 canid whole-genome sequences reveals
  482 survival strategies of an ancient clonally transmissible canine tumor. *Genome Res*483 25, 1646-1655 (2015).
- 484 14. K. C. Gori, A. Baez-Ortega, sigfit: flexible Bayesian inference of mutational
  485 signatures. *bioRxiv* **372896**, (2018).
- 486 15. S. A. Forbes *et al.*, COSMIC: exploring the world's knowledge of somatic mutations
  487 in human cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43, D805-811 (2015).
- 488 16. E. D. Pleasance *et al.*, A comprehensive catalogue of somatic mutations from a
  489 human cancer genome. *Nature* 463, 191-196 (2010).
- 490 17. I. Martincorena *et al.*, Universal Patterns of Selection in Cancer and Somatic
  491 Tissues. *Cell* **171**, 1029-1041 e1021 (2017).
- 492 18. L. B. Alexandrov *et al.*, The Repertoire of Mutational Signatures in Human Cancer.
  493 *bioRxiv*, (2018).
- 494 19. S. S. Lange, K. M. Vasquez, HMGB1: the jack-of-all-trades protein is a master DNA
  495 repair mechanic. *Mol Carcinog* 48, 571-580 (2009).
- 496 20. R. L. McKenzie, P. J. Aucamp, A. F. Bais, L. O. Bjorn, M. Ilyas, Changes in
  497 biologically-active ultraviolet radiation reaching the Earth's surface. *Photochem*498 *Photobiol Sci* **6**, 218-231 (2007).
- 499 21. G. P. Pfeifer, Y. H. You, A. Besaratinia, Mutations induced by ultraviolet light. *Mutat*500 *Res* 571, 19-31 (2005).
- 501 22. S. Nik-Zainal *et al.*, Landscape of somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-502 genome sequences. *Nature* **534**, 47-54 (2016).
- 50323.S. Morganella *et al.*, The topography of mutational processes in breast cancer504genomes. Nat Commun 7, 11383 (2016).
- 50524.N. J. Haradhvala *et al.*, Mutational Strand Asymmetries in Cancer Genomes Reveal506Mechanisms of DNA Damage and Repair. *Cell* **164**, 538-549 (2016).
- 507 25. E. Letouze *et al.*, Mutational signatures reveal the dynamic interplay of risk factors 508 and cellular processes during liver tumorigenesis. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1315 (2017).
- 509 26. S. Jinks-Robertson, A. S. Bhagwat, Transcription-associated mutagenesis. *Annu Rev*510 *Genet* 48, 341-359 (2014).

- 51127.X. Zou *et al.*, Short inverted repeats contribute to localized mutability in human512somatic cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 11213-11221 (2017).
- 513 28. D. Weghorn, S. Sunyaev, Bayesian inference of negative and positive selection in
  514 human cancers. *Nat Genet* 49, 1785-1788 (2017).
- 515 29. J. Van den Eynden, S. Basu, E. Larsson, Somatic Mutation Patterns in Hemizygous
  516 Genomic Regions Unveil Purifying Selection during Tumor Evolution. *PLoS Genet*517 **12**, e1006506 (2016).
- 518 30. H. J. Muller, The Relation of Recombination to Mutational Advance. *Mutat Res* 106,
  519 2-9 (1964).
- 520 31. E. I. Amber, R. A. Henderson, J. B. Adeyanju, E. O. Gyang, Single-drug
  521 chemotherapy of canine transmissible venereal tumor with cyclophosphamide,
  522 methotrexate, or vincristine. *J Vet Intern Med* 4, 144-147 (1990).
- 523 32. D. Frampton *et al.*, Molecular Signatures of Regression of the Canine Transmissible 524 Venereal Tumor. *Cancer Cell* **33**, 620-633 e626 (2018).
- 525 33. H. V. Siddle, J. Kaufman, Immunology of naturally transmissible tumours.
  526 *Immunology* 144, 11-20 (2015).
- 527 34. C. Murgia, J. K. Pritchard, S. Y. Kim, A. Fassati, R. A. Weiss, Clonal origin and 528 evolution of a transmissible cancer. *Cell* **126**, 477-487 (2006).
- 52935.A. Fassati, N. A. Mitchison, Testing the theory of immune selection in cancers that530break the rules of transplantation. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* **59**, 643-651 (2010).
- 531 36. K. Harris, J. K. Pritchard, Rapid evolution of the human mutation spectrum. *Elife* 6,
  532 (2017).
- 533 37. P. C. Nowell, The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Science* 194, 23-28
  534 (1976).
- 53538.M. P. Hoeppner *et al.*, An improved canine genome and a comprehensive catalogue536of coding genes and non-coding transcripts. *PLoS One* **9**, e91172 (2014).
- 53739.H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler538transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).
- 539 40. A. Rimmer *et al.*, Integrating mapping-, assembly- and haplotype-based approaches 540 for calling variants in clinical sequencing applications. *Nat Genet* **46**, 912-918 (2014).
- 54141.M. E. Skinner, A. V. Uzilov, L. D. Stein, C. J. Mungall, I. H. Holmes, JBrowse: a next-542generation genome browser. *Genome Res* **19**, 1630-1638 (2009).
- 543 42. D. Jones *et al.*, cgpCaVEManWrapper: Simple Execution of CaVEMan in Order to
  544 Detect Somatic Single Nucleotide Variants in NGS Data. *Current protocols in*545 *bioinformatics* 56, 15.10. 11 (2016).
- 546 43. R. Rahbari *et al.*, Timing, rates and spectra of human germline mutation. *Nat Genet*547 48, 126-133 (2016).

549 (2016). 550 45. A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis 551 of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312-1313 (2014). 552 46. P. Skoglund, E. Ersmark, E. Palkopoulou, L. Dalen, Ancient wolf genome reveals an 553 early divergence of domestic dog ancestors and admixture into high-latitude breeds. 554 Curr Biol 25, 1515-1519 (2015). 555 47. L. R. Botigue et al., Ancient European dog genomes reveal continuity since the Early 556 Neolithic. Nat Commun 8, 16082 (2017). 557 48. B. Carpenter et al., Stan: A probabilistic programming language. Journal of statistical 558 software 76, (2017). 559 A. J. Drummond, M. A. Suchard, D. Xie, A. Rambaut, Bayesian phylogenetics with 49. 560 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Mol Biol Evol 29, 1969-1973 (2012). 561 50. A. J. Drummond, A. Rambaut, B. Shapiro, O. G. Pybus, Bayesian coalescent 562 inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. Mol Biol Evol 22, 563 1185-1192 (2005). 564 51. L. B. Alexandrov, S. Nik-Zainal, D. C. Wedge, P. J. Campbell, M. R. Stratton, 565 Deciphering signatures of mutational processes operative in human cancer. Cell Rep 566 3, 246-259 (2013). 567 A. Baez-Ortega, K. Gori, Computational approaches for discovery of mutational 52. 568 signatures in cancer. Brief Bioinform, (2017). 569 53. K. Suzuki, D. Tonien, K. Kurosawa, K. Toyota, Birthday paradox for multi-collisions. 570 IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer 571 Sciences 91, 39-45 (2008). 572 R. Patro, G. Duggal, M. I. Love, R. A. Irizarry, C. Kingsford, Salmon provides fast and 54. 573 bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods 14, 417-419 (2017). 574 55. G. Tischler, S. Leonard, biobambam: tools for read pair collation based algorithms on 575 BAM files. Source Code for Biology and Medicine 9, 13 (2014). 576 56. C. Soneson, M. I. Love, M. D. Robinson, Differential analyses for RNA-seq: 577 transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 4, 1521 (2015). 578 57. J. Rainer, L. Gatto, C. X. Weichenberger, ensembldb: an R package to create and 579 use Ensembl-based annotation resources. Bioinformatics, (2019). 580 58. M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion 581 for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15, 550 (2014). 582 59. S. Durinck, P. T. Spellman, E. Birney, W. Huber, Mapping identifiers for the 583 integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nat 584 Protoc 4, 1184-1191 (2009).

W. McLaren et al., The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. Genome Biol 17, 122

548

44.

585	60.	R. Nielsen, Z. Yang, Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid
586		sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene. Genetics 148, 929-936 (1998).
587	61.	L. D. Hurst, The Ka/Ks ratio: diagnosing the form of sequence evolution. Trends
588		Genet 18, 486 (2002).
589	62.	C. Roadmap Epigenomics et al., Integrative analysis of 111 reference human
590		epigenomes. <i>Nature</i> <b>518</b> , 317-330 (2015).
591	63.	T. Hart, K. R. Brown, F. Sircoulomb, R. Rottapel, J. Moffat, Measuring error rates in
592		genomic perturbation screens: gold standards for human functional genomics. Mol
593		Syst Biol <b>10</b> , 733 (2014).
594	64.	V. A. Blomen et al., Gene essentiality and synthetic lethality in haploid human cells.
595		<i>Science</i> <b>350</b> , 1092-1096 (2015).
596	65.	T. Wang et al., Identification and characterization of essential genes in the human
597		genome. S <i>cience</i> <b>350</b> , 1096-1101 (2015).
598	66.	J. D. Arroyo et al., A Genome-wide CRISPR Death Screen Identifies Genes
599		Essential for Oxidative Phosphorylation. Cell Metab 24, 875-885 (2016).
600	67.	P. A. Futreal et al., A census of human cancer genes. Nat Rev Cancer 4, 177-183
601		(2004).
602	68.	D. Hanahan, R. A. Weinberg, Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144,
603		646-674 (2011).

604

## 605 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

606 We acknowledge the Core Sequencing Facility, IT groups and members of the Cancer 607 Genome Project at the Wellcome Sanger Institute. We thank the following individuals for 608 useful information and for their help obtaining samples for this project: Ilona Airikkala-Otter, 609 Juliana Alzate-Ocampo, Diana Argüello, Jose Ignacio Arias, Clara Lee Arnold, Sue Barrass, 610 Ekaterina Batrakova, Rafaela Bortolotti Viéra, Nikki Brown, Fernando Constantino Casas, 611 John Cooper, Amici Cannis Cotacachi, Stephen M. Cutter, Johan de Vos, Lytvynenko Dmytro, 612 Phillip Farnham, Ariberto Fassati, Andres Fernandez-Riomalo, Ricardo Gaitan, David 613 Hanzlíček, Rafael Ricardo Huppes, John M. Igundu, Matilde Jimenez-Coello, Debra 614 Kamstock, Patrick Kelly, Tatiana Korytina, Anna Kuznetsova, Gleidice Eunice Lavalle 615 (Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais), Olakunle AbdulRasaq Lawal, Thabo Lerotholi, Marco 616 Lima-Maigua, Jimmy Loayza-Feijoo, Mayra López-Bucheli, Mwangi Maina, Margarita 617 Mancero-Albuja, Cynthia Marchiori Bueno, Luis Martínez-López, Alfredo Martínez-Meza, 618 Bedan M. Masuruli, Talita Mariana Morata Raposo, Jude Mulholland, Claudio Murgia, Alvira 619 Murison Swartz, Fran Nargi, Marsden M. Onsare, Edwin Ortiz-Rodríguez, Elisabeth Peach, 620 Lisa Pellegrini, Gerry Polton, Freddy Proaño-Pérez, Juan Carlos Ramirez-Ante, Cameron 621 Raw, Ceseltina Semedo, Ivan Stoikov, Irina Swarisch, Mirela Tinucci Costa, Emily Turitto, M.

622 Rifat Vural, David Walker, Robin Weiss, Kevin Xie, Maurice Zandvliet, staff at Animal Medical 623 Centre Belize City (Belize), veterinary surgeons and staff at Help in Suffering (Jaipur, India), 624 staff at Hopkins Belize Humane Society (Belize), veterinary workers at Pet Centre (UVAS, 625 Lahore, Pakistan), students from St. George's University (True Blue, Grenada, West Indies) 626 who assisted with sample collection, staff at Veterinary Clinic "El Roble" (Chile), staff and 627 volunteers at World Vets (Gig Harbor, USA) and staff at the WVS International Training Centre 628 in Ooty (India). We are grateful to the following organisations for helpful information: American 629 College of Veterinary Internal Medicine (ACVIM), Animal Balance, Animal Care Association 630 (The Gambia), Animal Management in Rural and Remote Indigenous Communities 631 (AMRRIC), Associacao Bons Amigos de Cabo Verde, Humane Society of Cozumel, Humane 632 Society Veterinary Medical Association-Rural Area Veterinary Services (HSVMA-RAVS). 633 Israel Veterinary Medical Association, Italian Veterinary Oncology Society, Rural Vets South 634 Africa, Veterinary Cancer Society, Veterinary Society of Surgical Oncology (VSSO), 635 VetPharma, Vets Beyond Borders, ViDAS and Coco's Animal Welfare, The Spanky Project, 636 VWB/VSF Canada, West Arnhem Land Dog Health Program (WALDHeP), World Small 637 Animal Veterinary Association (WSAVA), МИР ВЕТЕРИНАРИИ (World Veterinary Medicine). 638 Funding: This work was supported by Wellcome (102942/Z/13/A) and by a Philip Leverhulme 639 Prize from the Leverhulme Trust. A.S. was supported by a Postgraduate Student Award from 640 the Kennel Club Charitable Trust. Author contributions: E.P.M. designed and directed the 641 project. A.B.-O. developed methods and led computational data analysis. K.G. developed 642 methods and assisted with computational analysis. A.St. collected samples, performed 643 laboratory work, designed exome probes, oversaw sequencing and provided conceptual 644 advice. J.L.A., K.M.A., L.B.-I., T.N.B., J.L.B., C.B., A.C.D., A.M.C., H.R.C., J.T.C., E.D., K.F.d.C., A.B.D.N., A.P.d.V., L.D.K., E.M.D., A.R.E.H., I.A.F., M.F., E.F., S.N.F, F.G.-A., O.G., 645 646 P.G.G., R.F.H.M., J.J.G.P.H., R.S.H., N.I., Y.K., C.K., D.K., A.K., S.J.K., M.L.-P., M.L., 647 A.M.L.Q., T.L., G.M., S.M.C., M.F.M.-L., M.M., E.J.M., B.N., K.N., W.N., S.J.N., A.O.-P., F.P.-648 O., M.C.P., K.P., R.J.P., J.F.R., J.R.G., H.S., S.K.S., O.S., A.G.S., A.E.S.-S., A.Sv., L.J.T.M., 649 I.T.N., C.G.T., E.M.T., M.G.v.d.W., B.A.V., S.A.V., O.W., A.S.W.-M. and S.A.E.W. provided 650 clinical samples. Y.-M.K., M.N.L. and M.S. assisted with analysis and contributed to 651 interpretation of results. J.W. contributed to sample management and curation. M.R.S., L.B.A. 652 and I.M. provided technical advice and assisted with interpretation of results. A.B.-O. and 653 E.P.M. wrote the manuscript and designed the figures. All authors commented on the 654 manuscript. Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests. Data and 655 materials availability: Whole-exome sequence data have been deposited in the European 656 Nucleotide Archive (ENA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under overarching accession number 657 ERP109580. Variant calling data and other data supporting analyses have been deposited in 658 the University of Cambridge Repository (https://www.repository.cam.ac.uk) under DOI

- 10.17863/CAM.24962. Custom algorithms employed for data processing and analysis are
   available in GitHub (https://github.com/baezortega/TCG2019).
- 661

#### 662 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

- 663 Materials and Methods
- 664 Figs. S1 to S16
- 665 Tables S1 to S4
- 666 Data sets S1 to S6
- 667 References (38–68)
- 668

## 669 Main figure legends

670 Fig. 1. Phylogeny and geographical expansion of CTVT. (A) Time-resolved phylogenetic 671 tree inferred from clock-like exonic somatic variation in CTVT. Each tip is a tumour and 672 sampling locations are labelled. Numbers refer to phylogenetic groups displayed on maps in 673 B-D. Sublineages 1 and 2, referred to in C and D respectively, are marked. Three groups of 674 ancestral somatic variation (A1, A2, A3) and their respective numbers of single nucleotide 675 variants (SNVs) are indicated. The estimated age of the CTVT founder tumour and the earliest 676 detected node are indicated in years before present (BP), with grey error bars depicting 677 Bayesian 95% HPDI. (**B** to **D**) Maps presenting likely routes of early (prior to ~500 years BP) 678 and late (from ~500 years BP) expansion of CTVT. Numbered circles indicate the 679 geographical locations of phylogenetic groups labelled in A; arrows represent inferred 680 geographical movements. Circle and arrow colours indicate different sets of geographical 681 movements, as labelled in A. Thin arrows indicate expansion routes for which there is limited 682 phylogenetic evidence; dots without numbers denote tumours that are not represented in the 683 tree. C.V., Cape Verde; Gr., Greece; Guat., Guatemala; Hond., Honduras; Ken., Kenya; Rom., 684 Romania; Tan., Tanzania; Tur., Turkey.

685

686 Fig. 2. Mutational processes in CTVT. (A) Trinucleotide-context mutational spectrum of 687 somatic SNVs in a single CTVT tumour. Horizontal axis presents 96 mutation types displayed 688 in pyrimidine context. Relevant trinucleotide mutation contexts are indicated. (B) Trinucleotide-689 context mutational spectra of extracted mutational signatures 1, 5, 2\*, A and 7, with relevant 690 trinucleotide mutation contexts indicated. (C) Pentanucleotide-context mutational spectra of 691 signature A (top) and signature 7 (bottom). Horizontal axis presents 256 C>T mutation types 692 with relevant mutation contexts indicated. The inset tree shows the phylogenetic branches 693 with exposure to signature A. (D) Bayesian logarithmic regression and Spearman's correlation 694 between absolute mean latitude and normalised CC>TT mutations in phylogenetic groups 695 shown in Fig. 1A. Normalised CC>TT mutations represent the ratio between group-unique

696 CC>TT mutations and group-unique C>T changes at CpG dinucleotides. The black line and 697 shadowed area indicate the regression curve and associated 95% HPDI. The orange dot and 698 bars represent predicted absolute mean latitude and associated 90% prediction interval for 699 the basal trunk ancestral variation (group A1). Posterior median and 95% HPDI of the 700 correlation coefficient are shown. (E) Map showing the latitude range corresponding to the 701 90% prediction interval for group A1, presented in **D**, in the northern hemisphere. (F) 702 Trinucleotide-context mutational spectra of a phylogenetic tumour group showing evidence of 703 signature 5 hyperactivity (top) and a closely related group without signature 5 hyperactivity 704 (bottom). (G) Diagram indicating the phylogenetic situation of the tumour groups displaying 705 signature 5 hyperactivity.

706

707 Fig. 3. Selection in CTVT. (A) Somatic SNV prevalence across six human cancer types and CTVT. 708 Dots represent individual tumours; red lines indicate median SNV prevalence. ALL, acute 709 lymphoblastic leukaemia. (B) Bars showing the percentage of protein-coding genes in the CTVT 710 genome harbouring  $\geq 1$  non-synonymous somatic mutation (SNV or indel; 14,412 genes) and  $\geq 1$ 711 somatic protein-truncating somatic mutation (5,704 genes). (C) Diagram presenting the putative 712 driver events found in the set of basal trunk ancestral variants (group A1, Fig. 1A). A description of 713 each somatic alteration is shown next to the corresponding gene symbol. (D) Exome-wide dN/dS 714 ratios estimated for somatic SNVs in all protein-coding genes (left) and in sets of genes defined 715 according to gene essentiality, copy number state and expression level. Estimates of dN/dS are 716 presented for missense (blue) and nonsense (orange) mutations in each gene group. The dashed 717 line indicates dN/dS = 1 (neutrality); error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.





