

1 **ER $\beta$ -mediated induction of cystatins results in suppression of TGF $\beta$  signaling and**  
2 **inhibition of triple negative breast cancer metastasis**

3  
4 Jordan M. Reese<sup>1,2</sup>, Elizabeth S. Bruinsma<sup>2</sup>, Adam W. Nelson<sup>3</sup>, Igor Chernukhin<sup>3</sup>, Jason S.  
5 Carroll<sup>3</sup>, Ying Li<sup>4</sup>, Malayannan Subramaniam<sup>2</sup>, Vera J. Suman<sup>4</sup>, Vivian Negron<sup>5</sup>, David G.  
6 Monroe<sup>6</sup>, James N. Ingle<sup>7</sup>, Matthew P. Goetz<sup>1,7</sup>, John R. Hawse<sup>2\*</sup>

7  
8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Mayo Clinic,  
9 Rochester, MN, USA.

10 <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA.

11 <sup>3</sup>Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1TN, UK.

12 <sup>4</sup>Department of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905,  
13 USA.

14 <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905. USA.

15 <sup>6</sup>Robert and Arlene Kogod Center on Aging and Endocrine Research Unit, Mayo Clinic,  
16 Rochester, MN, USA

17 <sup>7</sup>Department of Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA.

18  
19 *\*Corresponding author and person to who reprint requests should be addressed:*

20  
21 John R. Hawse, Ph.D.  
22 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology  
23 Mayo Clinic  
24 200 First St. SW  
25 Guggenheim Building, Room 13-21B  
26 Rochester, MN 55905  
27 Ph. 507-284-4268  
28 Fax 507-284-2053  
29 [hawse.john@mayo.edu](mailto:hawse.john@mayo.edu)

30  
31 **Abstract**

32  
33 Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) accounts for a disproportionately high number of deaths  
34 due to lack of targeted therapies and increased likelihood of distant recurrence. Estrogen receptor  
35 beta (ER $\beta$ ), a well characterized tumor suppressor, is expressed in 30% of TNBCs and its  
36 expression is associated with improved patient outcomes. We demonstrate that therapeutic  
37 activation of ER $\beta$  elicits potent anti-cancer effects in TNBC through the induction of a family of  
38 secreted proteins known as the cystatins, which function to inhibit canonical TGF $\beta$  signaling and  
39 suppress metastatic phenotypes both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These data reveal the involvement of

40 cystatins in suppressing breast cancer progression and highlight the value of ER $\beta$  targeted  
41 therapies for the treatment of TNBC patients.

## 42 **Significance Statement**

43 Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) is the most aggressive form of breast cancer and patients  
44 exhibit high rates of recurrence and mortality in part due to lack of treatment options beyond  
45 standard of care chemotherapy regimens. In the subset of TNBCs that express estrogen receptor  
46 beta (ER $\beta$ ), ligand-mediated activation of ER $\beta$  elicits potent anti-cancer effects. We report here  
47 the elucidation of the ER $\beta$  cistrome and transcriptome in TNBC and identify a novel mechanism  
48 whereby ER $\beta$  induces cystatin gene expression resulting in inhibition of canonical TGF $\beta$   
49 signaling and blockade of metastatic phenotypes. These findings suggest that ER $\beta$ -targeted  
50 therapies represent a new treatment option for the subset of women with ER $\beta$  expressing TNBC.

51 **/body**

## 52 **Introduction**

53 In the United States, breast cancer is the second most common cancer among women and is  
54 responsible for over 40,000 deaths each year (1). As with all cancers, breast cancer is a  
55 heterogeneous disease composed of genomically distinct subtypes defined clinically by the  
56 presence of three key biomarkers: estrogen receptor alpha (ER $\alpha$ ), the progesterone receptor (PR)  
57 and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) (2, 3). Triple negative breast cancer  
58 (TNBC) accounts for 10-20% of all breast cancers and is defined by the absence of these three  
59 biomarkers (4). TNBC typically presents in younger women, is more prevalent in individuals of  
60 African-American and Hispanic ancestry, and is clinically unique due to its aggressive and  
61 metastatic nature (2, 4-7). The standard of care for early stage TNBC patients includes  
62 chemotherapy, most commonly delivered prior to surgery. For patients with residual disease after

63 chemotherapy, upwards of 50% will develop recurrent disease (8) and nearly all patients who  
64 develop metastases succumb to their disease. For these reasons, identifying novel therapeutic  
65 strategies for these patients is of critical importance.

66

67 Estrogen receptor beta (ER $\beta$ ) is highly expressed in normal breast tissue; however, during the  
68 process of breast carcinogenesis, ER $\beta$  levels typically decrease (9-15). In cohorts of women with  
69 breast cancer, tumoral ER $\beta$  expression is associated with smaller tumor size, lymph-node  
70 negativity and lower histological grade (16, 17), supporting a tumor-suppressive role for this  
71 hormone receptor. ER $\beta$  has also been shown to decrease proliferation and to inhibit epithelial to  
72 mesenchymal transition (EMT) in TNBC cells (18-22).

73

74 Using an antibody validated in a number of laboratories (23-26), we and others have identified  
75 that 30% of TNBCs express ER $\beta$  (21, 27, 28). ER $\beta$  expression in TNBC is associated with  
76 prolonged disease free survival and overall survival relative to patients with ER $\beta$  negative  
77 disease (27). These findings indicate that therapeutically targeting ER $\beta$  is a relevant strategy that  
78 should be further explored for TNBC patients. However, the mechanisms by which ER $\beta$  elicits  
79 tumor-suppressive effects in TNBC is not well understood. Such information is critical for  
80 monitoring response to therapy and for identifying sub-sets of patients that are most likely to  
81 benefit from ER $\beta$  targeted therapies.

## 82 **Results**

### 83 **Identification of the ER $\beta$ cistrome in triple negative breast cancer cells**

84 Given our previous findings that approximately 30% of all triple negative breast cancers  
85 (TNBCs) express ER $\beta$  and that estrogen (E2) treatment of ER $\beta$  expressing TNBC cell lines

86 substantially inhibits cell growth (21), we first sought to assess the distribution of ER $\beta$   
87 expression amongst the known molecular subtypes of TNBC: basal-like 1 and 2, mesenchymal  
88 and luminal androgen receptor (29). Using a cohort of TNBC patients extensively characterized  
89 at the DNA and RNA level (30), our data suggest that ER $\beta$  is expressed across all TNBC  
90 subtypes (SI Appendix, Table S1).

91  
92 We then sought to elucidate the mechanisms by which ER $\beta$  elicits its tumor-suppressive effects  
93 in TNBC cells. As a first step, we utilized ChIP-Seq to delineated the ER $\beta$  cistrome in MDA-  
94 MB-231 cells that stably express ER $\beta$  following three hours of treatment with ethanol vehicle, 1  
95 nM E2, or 10 nM LY500307, an ER $\beta$ -selective agonist. Results of these studies identified 2,911  
96 ligand-independent ER $\beta$  binding sites in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells (Figure 1A and B). A total of  
97 26,896 and 10,059 sites were identified following E2 and LY500307 treatment, respectively  
98 (Figure 1A and B). ER $\beta$  binding sites were distributed throughout the genome with the majority  
99 of sites localizing within introns followed by intergenic regions and transcriptional start sites  
100 (Figure 1C). Very few ER $\beta$  binding sites were localized within exons (Figure 1C). Ligand-  
101 independent ER $\beta$ -bound chromatin regions showed significant enrichment of N-Myc and ER  
102 motifs, while the top two motifs enriched within both E2- and LY500307-induced ER $\beta$  binding  
103 sites were ER and AP1 response elements (Figure 1D). Comparison of all ER $\beta$  bound chromatin  
104 regions in vehicle, E2 and LY500307 treated cells demonstrated that nearly all of the ligand-  
105 independent binding sites were also identified in ligand treated cells (Figure 1E). As expected,  
106 nearly all of the LY500307-induced ER $\beta$  binding sites were conserved within the sites identified  
107 following E2 treatment (Figure 1E). However, 5,762 ER $\beta$  bound regions were only present

108 following E2 treatment (Figure 1E). Similar patterns were observed when comparing ER $\beta$  bound  
109 regions that were located specifically within gene promoters and enhancers (Figures 1F & G).

110

### 111 **ER $\beta$ mediated gene expression profiles in TNBC cells**

112 In parallel with the ChIP-Seq experiments, we sought to characterize changes in the gene  
113 expression profiles of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells following E2 treatment. Microarray analyses  
114 were performed using Illumina HT-12 BeadChips and the complete list of genes that met a p-  
115 value  $\leq 0.05$  and an absolute fold change of 1.5 cut off between vehicle and E2 treated cells is  
116 provided in SI Appendix (Table S2). In total, 976 genes were differentially expressed in MDA-  
117 MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells following estrogen treatment, with 578 genes being up-regulated and 398  
118 genes down-regulated (Figure 2A). Heat map analysis of the top 20 most induced and repressed  
119 genes following E2 treatment are depicted in Figure 2B. Multiple interleukins and other  
120 inflammation-related factors were enriched in the group of genes shown to be the most inhibited  
121 by E2 treatment while four members of the cystatin superfamily of cysteine proteases were  
122 among the top 20 most estrogen-induced genes (Figure 2B). Confirmation of the microarray  
123 dataset was performed using genes chosen at random (SI Appendix, Figure S1). A Venn diagram  
124 was constructed to reveal the overlap between the ChIP-Seq and microarray results (Figure 2D).  
125 Once replicate genes (i.e. genes containing multiple ER $\beta$  binding sites) were removed from the  
126 ChIP-Seq data set, a total of 11,401 genes were assigned as being associated with at least one  
127 ER $\beta$  binding site. Of the 976 genes shown to be regulated by estrogen treatment, 585 were  
128 shown to have a nearby ER $\beta$  binding site (Figure 2D). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was  
129 performed using a pre-ranked gene list comprising the 976 genes identified in the microarray  
130 analysis and revealed significant associations with phenotypes pertaining to breast cancer grade

131 and cancer metastasis (Figure 2C). Specifically, genes induced by E2 in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$   
132 cells were also shown to exhibit increased expression in non-basal like breast cancer, low-grade  
133 breast cancer and non-metastatic cancers (Figure 2C). All four of the cystatins shown to be  
134 induced by estrogen in ER $\beta$ -expressing cells were found at the leading edge of each of the gene  
135 sets identified by GSEA. A dendrogram depicting the relationship between cystatins 1, 2, 4, and  
136 5 within the cysteine protease family is shown in Figure 2E as well as their expression levels in  
137 vehicle and estrogen treated MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells as determined by microarray analysis  
138 (Figure 2F). Regulation of cystatin gene expression by E2 was confirmed by RT-PCR in MDA-  
139 MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells, as well as in a second ER $\beta$ -expressing TNBC cell line (Hs578T), and  
140 compared to the effects elicited by the ER $\beta$ -selective agonist LY500307 following 5 days of  
141 treatment (Figure 2G and H). In both models, all 4 cystatins were significantly induced by  
142 estrogen and LY500307 and there were no appreciable differences between the two ligands.  
143 However, the fold-increase for all 4 cystatin genes was approximately 10-fold less in the  
144 Hs578T-ER $\beta$  cells (Figure 2G and H). To examine the basis for this difference, we first assessed  
145 the basal expression levels of the 4 cystatins between these two cell lines in the absence and  
146 presence of doxycycline (dox) treatment. As shown in SI Appendix (Figure S2A), the basal  
147 expression levels of the cystatins were extremely low in the absence of dox in both cell lines.  
148 Following dox treatment, the expression levels increased approximately 2-fold in MDA-MB-  
149 231-ER $\beta$  cells and 10-50-fold in Hs578T-ER $\beta$  cells. Interestingly, ER $\beta$  mRNA levels follow dox  
150 treatment were actually 10-fold lower in Hs578T-ER $\beta$  cells (SI Appendix, Figure S2B)  
151 suggesting that the apparent differences in cystatin induction by ER $\beta$  in these two models is  
152 explained by the more robust ligand-independent effects observed in the Hs578T-ER $\beta$  cell line.  
153

154 **Cystatins are highly induced by ER $\beta$  and correlate with relapse-free survival in TNBC**

155 Given the above findings, we next performed a time-course analysis of cystatin gene expression  
156 following E2 treatment of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. Cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5 were shown to be  
157 significantly induced by E2 within 2-8 hours of exposure (Figure 3A), and continued to increase  
158 during extended treatment times of 1-5 days (Figure 3B). To confirm these findings at the protein  
159 level, immunohistochemistry for the most highly-induced cystatin, cystatin 5, was performed in  
160 MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cell line pellets. Cystatin 5 staining was absent in vehicle treated cells,  
161 slightly positive following dox-induced ER $\beta$  expression and highly positive in the setting of E2  
162 treatment (Figure 3C). These ligand-independent effects of ER $\beta$  on cystatin gene expression  
163 were confirmed at the mRNA level, where dox treatment of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells was  
164 shown to significantly induce the expression of cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5 relative to no dox treated  
165 cells even in the absence of a ligand (Figure 3D). In addition, the E2-mediated induction of  
166 cystatin 1, 2, 4, and 5 expression was completely abolished by the pure anti-estrogen, fulvestrant  
167 (ICI 182,780) (Figure 3E). To determine if the induction of cystatins by E2 was unique to ER $\beta$ ,  
168 we also analyzed their expression levels in ER $\alpha$ + MCF7 and T47D breast cancer cells after 24  
169 hours of treatment (Figure 3F). Cystatins 1, 4 and 5 were completely undetectable by RT-PCR in  
170 both MCF7 and T47D cells while cystatin 2 demonstrated basal expression in T47D cells that  
171 was repressed with estrogen treatment (Figure 3F). Further, estrogen treatment of ER $\alpha$ -  
172 expressing MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells had no effect on the expression levels of cystatins 1,  
173 2, 4 and 5 with the exception of a slight induction of cystatin 1 in the MDA-MB-231 model (SI  
174 Appendix, Figure S3). To determine the potential relevance of these cystatins in breast cancer,  
175 we examined their association with patient outcomes using the online Kaplan Meier plotter  
176 program in the breast cancer dataset (31). Using the multigene classifier for cystatins 1, 2, 4 and

177 5, high cystatin expression was significantly associated with improved relapse-free survival  
178 (RFS) in TNBC patients but not in ER<sup>+</sup>/PR<sup>+</sup> patients (Figure 3G). Together these data indicate  
179 that cystatins are highly induced by E2 in an ER $\beta$ -specific manner and are positively correlated  
180 with improved RFS in TNBC patients.

181

### 182 **Direct regulation of cystatin gene expression by ER $\beta$**

183 To identify the mechanisms by which ER $\beta$  induces cystatin gene expression, we interrogated the  
184 ChIP-Seq data and identified ER $\beta$ -bound regions within the promoter of all four cystatins as well  
185 as the first intron of cystatins 1, 4 and 5 (Figure 4A). An ER $\beta$ -bound region far upstream of the  
186 transcriptional start site of cystatin 2 was also indicated (Figure 4A). ER $\beta$  was shown to be  
187 associated with the promoter of cystatin 4 and 5 both in the absence and presence of a ligand,  
188 while its association with the cystatin 1 and 2 promoter was ligand-dependent (Figure 4A). ER $\beta$   
189 association with intron 1 was also ligand-dependent for cystatins 4 and 5 but ligand independent  
190 for cystatin 1 (Figure 4A). These binding sites were centered over estrogen response elements  
191 (EREs) and a schematic showing the homology of a consensus ERE with the identified cystatin  
192 specific EREs are shown in Figure 4B. ChIP-PCR using the ER $\beta$  specific MC10 antibody was  
193 used to confirm ER $\beta$  association with selected EREs (Figure 4C). Given the nearly identical  
194 sequence homology between cystatins 1, 2 and 4, and their divergence from cystatin 5, we  
195 focused on cystatins 4 and 5 in future analyses. E2 treatment was shown to enhance ER $\beta$   
196 association on the cystatin 4 and 5 promoters with only a trend towards increased binding in the  
197 setting of E2 on the ERE within intron 1 of cystatin 5 (Figure 4C).

198



199 To assess the activity of the identified EREs, a 500 base pair region centered on the ER $\beta$  binding  
200 site in the cystatin 4 and 5 promoter and intron were cloned into a luciferase reporter construct.  
201 With the exception of the cystatin 4 promoter construct, E2 treatment was shown to induce  
202 luciferase activity following transfection into MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells, effects that were  
203 completely abolished by ICI (Figure 4D). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to eradicate the  
204 EREs identified in the cystatin 5 promoter and cystatin 4 and 5 introns. Mutation of these EREs  
205 was also shown to abolish estrogen-induced luciferase activity (Figure 4E) confirming the  
206 involvement of these sites in ER $\beta$ -mediated induction of cystatin gene expression.

207

### 208 **Biological effects of cystatins in TNBC cells**

209 Given these findings, we sought to better understand the potential biological effects of cystatins  
210 in TNBC cells. Ingenuity pathway analysis of our microarray data revealed significant changes  
211 in multiple canonical signaling pathways (SI Appendix, Table S3). The top pathway identified  
212 pertained to fibrosis and consisted of numerous genes known to be involved in TGF $\beta$  signaling.  
213 Furthermore, upstream regulator analysis identified core components of the TGF $\beta$  signaling  
214 pathway including Smad4 and TGF $\beta$ 1 (SI Appendix, Table S4) suggesting that ligand-mediated  
215 activation of ER $\beta$  impacts the TGF $\beta$  signaling pathway in TNBC cells. Based on these findings,  
216 we constructed a heat map consisting of known TGF $\beta$  pathway genes from the microarray data  
217 (Figure 5A). We also examined the effects of 24 hours or 5 days of estrogen treatment on the  
218 TGF $\beta$  signaling pathway using a TGF $\beta$  pathway PCR array. These data confirmed that estrogen  
219 treatment of ER $\beta$  expressing MDA-MB-231 cells significantly alters the expression of multiple  
220 genes within the canonical TGF $\beta$  pathway (Figure 5B). In order to determine if estrogen-  
221 mediated induction of cystatins impacted TGF $\beta$  signaling, we next analyzed the phosphorylation

222 levels of Smad2 and Smad3 following TGF $\beta$  stimulation in the presence and absence of a  
223 combination of recombinant cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5. Pre-treatment of non-ER $\beta$  expressing  
224 parental MDA-MB-231 cells with recombinant cystatins resulted in blockade of Smad2 and  
225 Smad3 phosphorylation by TGF $\beta$  (Figure 5C). In parallel, recombinant cystatins were also  
226 shown to suppress the ability of TGF $\beta$  to induce a Smad-binding element (SBE) luciferase  
227 reporter construct (Figure 5D), demonstrating that cystatins inhibit TGF $\beta$  signaling.  
228 Interestingly, TGF $\beta$ R2 and cystatin 5 were shown to co-localize in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells  
229 following E2 treatment (Figure 5E). An interaction between cystatin 5 and TGF $\beta$ R2 in the  
230 setting of estrogen treatment was confirmed using a duolink proximity assay as indicated by the  
231 punctate red staining (Figure 5F). Taken together, these data indicate that cystatins interact with  
232 TGF $\beta$ R2 to inhibit canonical TGF $\beta$  signaling in TNBC cells.

233

#### 234 **ER $\beta$ -mediated induction of cystatins inhibit TNBC cell invasion and migration**

235 In light of the invasive and migratory properties of TNBC, and the known roles of TGF $\beta$   
236 signaling in driving breast cancer cell invasion and migration (32-35), we analyzed the impact of  
237 ER $\beta$  on these cellular properties. Invasion and migration of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  and Hs578T-  
238 ER $\beta$  cells were analyzed using transwell assays following treatment with no dox vehicle control,  
239 dox vehicle, dox + 1 nM estrogen, or dox + 10 nM LY500307. Dox-induced expression of ER $\beta$   
240 resulted in suppression of both MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  and Hs578T-ER $\beta$  cell invasion and  
241 migration, even in the absence of a ligand (Figure 6A-H). These inhibitory effects were  
242 significantly magnified following treatment with either E2 or LY500307 (Figure 6A-H).

243

244 Since cystatins are secreted proteins that are highly induced by ER $\beta$  and are capable of inhibiting  
245 canonical TGF $\beta$  signaling, we sought to determine their ability to suppress TNBC cell invasion.  
246 siRNA-mediated knockdown was optimized for each individual cystatin and all siRNAs were  
247 shown to block E2-mediated induction of the cystatins in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells (Figure 6I).  
248 Combinatorial knockdown of all 4 cystatins was shown to block the ability of E2 to suppress cell  
249 invasion (Figure 6J). Treatment of parental MDA-MB-231 cells with recombinant cystatins was  
250 also shown to completely suppress TGF $\beta$ -mediated invasion, effects that were significantly  
251 greater than that of a TGF $\beta$ -specific inhibitor, SB431542 (Figure 7A and B). Combined, these  
252 data show that ligand-mediated activation of ER $\beta$  substantially inhibits the invasive and  
253 migratory properties of TNBC cells in part through the actions of cystatins and suppression of  
254 TGF $\beta$  signaling.

255

### 256 **ER $\beta$ activation prevents lung metastasis of MDA-MB-231 cells *in vivo***

257 A common site of TNBC metastasis is the lung; therefore, we sought to determine the effect of  
258 ER $\beta$  activation on the ability of TNBC cells to establish lung colonization *in vivo* as a model of  
259 metastasis. MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$ -Luc cells were injected into the tail vein of ovariectomized  
260 athymic nude mice and randomized to one of three treatment arms: normal chow/placebo pellet,  
261 dox chow/placebo pellet or dox chow/estrogen pellet with eight mice in each group. Mice were  
262 monitored for the development of lung metastases via IVIS2000 xenogen imaging.  
263 Representative images from animals in each treatment arm are shown prior to sacrifice (**Error!**  
264 **Reference source not found.** 8A). Following sacrifice, metastatic lesions were quantitated in the  
265 lungs under a dissecting scope, and representative images of tumor nodules in the no dox placebo  
266 and dox placebo groups (10x magnification) are shown (Figure 8B). No macroscopic lung

267 nodules were observed in any of the animals in the dox estrogen group, effects that were  
268 confirmed following histological analysis for microscopic lesions (Figure 8C). Percent tumor  
269 incidence (Figure 8D), number of lung nodules (Figure 8E) and average tumor volume (Figure  
270 8F) were also evaluated for each treatment group. These studies confirm the *in vitro* findings  
271 presented above and demonstrate that ligand-mediated activation of ER $\beta$  is capable of preventing  
272 the development of metastatic lesions *in vivo*.

### 273 **Discussion**

274 In this study we sought to characterize the biological effects of targeting ER $\beta$  in TNBC cells and  
275 to elucidate the mechanisms of action through which it functions in this form of the disease. For  
276 the first time, we have characterized the ER $\beta$  cistrome in TNBC cells and have determined the  
277 effects of E2 on the global gene expression profiles of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. Microarray  
278 analysis revealed estrogen-mediated induction of a family of genes known as cystatins and ChIP-  
279 Seq analysis identified ER $\beta$  binding sites within the promoter and intronic regions of these  
280 genes. These ER $\beta$  binding sites were confirmed to be occupied by ER $\beta$  and were shown to be  
281 transcriptionally active following exposure to ER $\beta$  agonists. Ligand-mediated activation of ER $\beta$   
282 with estrogen or LY500307 resulted in decreased invasion and migration of TNBC cells *in vitro*  
283 and prevented the formation of lung metastasis *in vivo*. Taken together, we propose a novel  
284 mechanism through which ER $\beta$  elicits tumor-suppressive effects, particularly with regard to  
285 suppression of metastatic phenotypes, which is characterized by the induction of cystatins and  
286 the subsequent inhibition of canonical TGF $\beta$  signaling.

287

288 ChIP-Seq analysis identified nearly 30,000 ER $\beta$  binding sites across the genome of which the  
289 large majority were ligand-dependent. Estrogen treatment of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells resulted

290 in significantly more enrichment of ER $\beta$  on DNA compared to the ER $\beta$  specific agonist  
291 LY500307. This discrepancy could be a result of differential receptor conformation when these  
292 two ligands are bound and/or alterations in co-factor recruitment. However, it is worth noting  
293 that nearly all of the LY500307-induced ER $\beta$  binding sites were conserved among the E2-  
294 induced binding sites suggesting that these differences could also be explained by a difference in  
295 the IC<sub>50</sub> for these two ligands. As is the case with ER $\alpha$  (36, 37), the large majority of ER $\beta$   
296 binding sites were located in introns or intergenic regions of chromatin indicating that ER $\beta$   
297 primarily occupies enhancer regions across the genome.

298

299 In parallel to the ChIP-Seq studies, microarray analysis was performed to identify genes  
300 differentially regulated by ER $\beta$  following estrogen treatment. Through this analysis, we  
301 identified over 900 genes that were significantly induced or repressed and demonstrated that  
302 genes whose expression was up-regulated by ER $\beta$  were associated with less aggressive breast  
303 cancer phenotypes and decreased metastatic potential. Of the most highly induced transcripts,  
304 four were members of a superfamily of genes known as the cystatins, specifically cystatins 1, 2,  
305 4 and 5. These four genes were shown to be specifically induced by E2 in an ER $\beta$ -specific  
306 manner as their expression levels were either completely absent or repressed by E2 in ER $\alpha$   
307 positive breast cancer cells and since they were not induced by estrogen in ER $\alpha$ -expressing  
308 MDA-MB-231 or Hs578T cells. Cystatins are small secreted proteins that have previously been  
309 shown to function as cysteine protease inhibitors (38-40). While extensive research has been  
310 performed on a closely related family member, cystatin 3 (41), relatively little is known about  
311 cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5. Further, nothing is known about the expression levels or function of these  
312 four cystatins in breast cancer.

313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334

ER $\beta$  has previously been shown to be a prognostic factor in TNBC, as high expression of this receptor is associated with improved overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), and distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) (27). Given our findings that ligand mediated activation of ER $\beta$  results in substantial increases in cystatin gene expression in TNBC, we analyzed the association of cystatin 1, 2, 4 and 5 expression levels with relapse-free survival of TNBC patients. Intriguingly, high expression of all four cystatins correlated with improved relapse-free survival, but only in TNBC patients and not in breast cancer patients expressing ER $\alpha$  and PR. These findings are in agreement with the results of the present study implicating important tumor-suppressive effects of cystatins in TNBC. Furthermore, these data suggest that monitoring cystatin expression levels may have prognostic and/or predictive value in this form of the disease.

Utilizing our ChIP-Seq dataset, we were able to identify ER $\beta$  binding sites within the promoter and intronic regions of each of the four cystatin genes shown to be induced by E2 treatment in TNBC cells. These binding sites encoded functional EREs that were activated by ER $\beta$  in a ligand-dependent manner. ER $\beta$  was also shown to occupy some of these binding sites in a ligand independent fashion. This likely explains our findings that expression of ER $\beta$  alone, even in the absence of a ligand, is capable of causing a slight induction of cystatin gene expression. These findings demonstrate that ER $\beta$  directly associates with these regulatory elements to enhance cystatin gene expression in TNBC cells.

335 Although the cystatins were among the most highly regulated genes following E2 treatment of  
336 TNBC cells, it was not immediately obvious as to what effect, if any, they may have on TNBC  
337 cell biology. We therefore returned to our gene expression dataset and identified alterations in  
338 the TGF $\beta$  signaling pathway through the use of Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Furthermore, these  
339 analyses predicted that our gene expression signature correlated with decreased TGF $\beta$  ligand  
340 activity. TGF $\beta$  signaling is a known driver of metastasis, disease progression, and resistance to  
341 chemotherapy in TNBC (42, 43) and activation of TGF $\beta$  signaling is associated with worse  
342 outcomes for breast cancer patients (33, 44). Given that a previous study has linked the closely  
343 related family member, cystatin 3, with decreased TGF $\beta$  pathway activity (45) we speculated  
344 that increased expression of cystatins by ER $\beta$  may result in suppression of TGF $\beta$  signaling in  
345 TNBC cells. Indeed, we demonstrated that cystatins block canonical TGF $\beta$  signaling in breast  
346 cancer cells likely due to their ability to directly interact with the TGF $\beta$ R2 resulting in inhibition  
347 of TGF $\beta$  ligand occupancy.

348

349 Given that activation of the TGF $\beta$  pathway drives invasiveness in TNBC (42, 43), and in light of  
350 our findings that ER $\beta$  induces the expression of cystatins capable of inhibiting canonical TGF $\beta$   
351 signaling, we sought to determine the effects of ER $\beta$  on TNBC cell invasion and migration. Our  
352 data demonstrate that the presence of ER $\beta$ , even in the absence of a ligand, suppresses both  
353 invasion and migration of TNBC cells, effects that are dramatically magnified by treatment with  
354 E2 or the ER $\beta$  selective agonist, LY500307. ER $\beta$ -mediated inhibition of invasion and migration  
355 is dependent on the biological activities of the cystatins since suppression of cystatin gene  
356 expression reverses these effects. Furthermore, we demonstrated that cystatins are capable of  
357 blocking TGF $\beta$ -induced cell invasion, effects that were even stronger than a TGF $\beta$  inhibitor.

358 These *in vitro* effects were confirmed in an *in vivo* metastatic mouse model, where ligand  
359 mediated activation of ER $\beta$  was shown to completely block lung colonization of TNBC cells.

### 360 **Conclusion**

361 In conclusion, our data elucidate the ER $\beta$  cistrome in TNBC and identify and characterize the  
362 functional roles of cystatins in this disease. The present report builds upon prior data that ER $\beta$  is  
363 expressed in approximately 30% of TNBC patients and that ligand mediated activation of ER $\beta$   
364 suppresses TNBC cell proliferation (21) and tumor progression (18, 46). Our results lend further  
365 support to the notion that therapeutic targeting of ER $\beta$  may elicit clinical benefit for TNBC  
366 patients, and that this effect is dependent on ER $\beta$  to induce tumoral expression of cystatins.  
367 These results lay the foundation for future studies aimed at analyzing the anti-tumor activity of  
368 estrogen and ER $\beta$  selective agonists in ER $\beta$  positive TNBC patients.

369

### 370 **Materials and Methods**

371 Detailed information regarding the cell lines, chemical, reagents and procedures utilized in these  
372 studies are described in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

373

### 374 **Authors' contribution**

375 Concept and design: JMR, MS, JNI, MPG, and JRH. Collection and assembly of data: JMR,  
376 ESB, VJS, AWN, IC, MS, MPG, and JRH. Data analysis and interpretation: JMR, ESB, VJS,  
377 AWN, IC, DGM, JSC, MPG, and JRH. Manuscript writing: JMR and JRH. All authors read and  
378 approved the final manuscript.



379 **Acknowledgements**

380 We would like to thank Molly Nelson-Holte for her expertise in assisting with the animal work,  
381 Dr. Ed Leof for generously supplying the phospho-Smad3 antibody, Drs. Steve Johnsen and  
382 Vivek Mishra for their insight and help with the GSEA, and Dr. Rani Kalari for the statistical  
383 analysis from the BEAUTY project. Research reported in this publication was supported by the  
384 National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under through the Mayo Clinic  
385 Breast Cancer SPORE: P50CA116201 (MPG and JRH), as well as the Prospect Creek  
386 Foundation (JRH), the Eisenberg Foundation (JRH), the Mayo Graduate School (JMR), the  
387 Medical Research Council: MR/L00156X/1 (AWN), The Urology Foundation Scholarship:  
388 RESCH1302 (AWN) and a grant from the NIH: R01 AR068275 (DGM). The content is solely  
389 the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH  
390 or other funding agencies. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

391 **References**

- 392 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, & Jemal A (2017) Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin*  
393 67(1):7-30.
- 394 2. Sorlie T, *et al.* (2003) Repeated observation of breast tumor subtypes in independent gene  
395 expression data sets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100(14):8418-8423.
- 396 3. Rakha EA, *et al.* (2007) Prognostic markers in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer*  
397 109(1):25-32.
- 398 4. Papa A, *et al.* (2015) Triple-negative breast cancer: investigating potential molecular  
399 therapeutic target. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 19(1):55-75.
- 400 5. Rakha EA, *et al.* (2009) Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: Distinguishing between Basal  
401 and Nonbasal Subtypes. *Clinical Cancer Research* 15(7):2302-2310.
- 402 6. Carey LA, *et al.* (2006) Race, breast cancer subtypes, and survival in the Carolina Breast  
403 Cancer Study. *Jama* 295(21):2492-2502.
- 404 7. Amirikia KC, Mills P, Bush J, & Newman LA (2011) Higher population-based incidence  
405 rates of triple-negative breast cancer among young African-American women :  
406 Implications for breast cancer screening recommendations. *Cancer* 117(12):2747-2753.
- 407 8. von Minckwitz G, *et al.* (2012) Definition and impact of pathologic complete response on  
408 prognosis after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in various intrinsic breast cancer subtypes. *J*  
409 *Clin Oncol* 30(15):1796-1804.

- 410 9. Roger P, *et al.* (2001) Decreased expression of estrogen receptor beta protein in  
411 proliferative preinvasive mammary tumors. *Cancer Res* 61(6):2537-2541.
- 412 10. Dotzlaw H, Leygue E, Watson PH, & Murphy LC (1999) Estrogen receptor-beta  
413 messenger RNA expression in human breast tumor biopsies: relationship to steroid  
414 receptor status and regulation by progestins. *Cancer Res* 59(3):529-532.
- 415 11. Iwao K, Miyoshi Y, Egawa C, Ikeda N, & Noguchi S (2000) Quantitative analysis of  
416 estrogen receptor-beta mRNA and its variants in human breast cancers. *Int J Cancer*  
417 88(5):733-736.
- 418 12. Leygue E, Dotzlaw H, Watson PH, & Murphy LC (1998) Altered estrogen receptor alpha  
419 and beta messenger RNA expression during human breast tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res*  
420 58(15):3197-3201.
- 421 13. Shaw JA, *et al.* (2002) Oestrogen receptors alpha and beta differ in normal human breast  
422 and breast carcinomas. *J Pathol* 198(4):450-457.
- 423 14. Skliris GP, *et al.* (2003) Reduced expression of oestrogen receptor beta in invasive breast  
424 cancer and its re-expression using DNA methyl transferase inhibitors in a cell line model.  
425 *J Pathol* 201(2):213-220.
- 426 15. Zhao C, *et al.* (2003) Expression of estrogen receptor beta isoforms in normal breast  
427 epithelial cells and breast cancer: regulation by methylation. *Oncogene* 22(48):7600-  
428 7606.
- 429 16. Rizza P, *et al.* (2014) Estrogen receptor beta as a novel target of androgen receptor action  
430 in breast cancer cell lines. *Breast Cancer Research* 16(1).
- 431 17. Heldring N, *et al.* (2007) Estrogen receptors: How do they signal and what are their  
432 targets. *Physiol Rev* 87(3):905-931.
- 433 18. Shanle EK, *et al.* (2013) Research resource: global identification of estrogen receptor  
434 beta target genes in triple negative breast cancer cells. *Mol Endocrinol* 27(10):1762-1775.
- 435 19. Lazennec G, Bresson D, Lucas A, Chauveau C, & Vignon F (2001) ER beta inhibits  
436 proliferation and invasion of breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* 142(9):4120-4130.
- 437 20. Secreto FJ, Monroe DG, Dutta S, Ingle JN, & Spelsberg TC (2007) Estrogen receptor  
438 alpha/beta isoforms, but not betacx, modulate unique patterns of gene expression and cell  
439 proliferation in Hs578T cells. *J Cell Biochem* 101(5):1125-1147.
- 440 21. Reese JM, *et al.* (2014) ERbeta1: characterization, prognosis, and evaluation of treatment  
441 strategies in ERalpha-positive and -negative breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* 14:749.
- 442 22. Thomas C, *et al.* (2012) ERbeta1 represses basal breast cancer epithelial to mesenchymal  
443 transition by destabilizing EGFR. *Breast Cancer Res* 14(6):R148.
- 444 23. Wu X, *et al.* (2012) Development, characterization, and applications of a novel estrogen  
445 receptor beta monoclonal antibody. *J Cell Biochem* 113(2):711-723.
- 446 24. Weitsman GE, *et al.* (2006) Assessment of multiple different estrogen receptor-beta  
447 antibodies for their ability to immunoprecipitate under chromatin immunoprecipitation  
448 conditions. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 100(1):23-31.
- 449 25. Wimberly H, *et al.* (2014) ERbeta splice variant expression in four large cohorts of  
450 human breast cancer patient tumors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 146(3):657-667.
- 451 26. Nelson AW, *et al.* (2017) Comprehensive assessment of estrogen receptor beta antibodies  
452 in cancer cell line models and tissue reveals critical limitations in reagent specificity. *Mol*  
453 *Cell Endocrinol* 440:138-150.

- 454 27. Wang J, *et al.* (2015) ERbeta1 inversely correlates with PTEN/PI3K/AKT pathway and  
455 predicts a favorable prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*  
456 152(2):255-269.
- 457 28. Guo L, *et al.* (2014) Significance of ERbeta expression in different molecular subtypes of  
458 breast cancer. *Diagn Pathol* 9:20.
- 459 29. Lehmann BD, *et al.* (2016) Refinement of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Molecular  
460 Subtypes: Implications for Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Selection. *PLoS One*  
461 11(6):e0157368.
- 462 30. Goetz MP, *et al.* (2017) Tumor Sequencing and Patient-Derived Xenografts in the  
463 Neoadjuvant Treatment of Breast Cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 109(7).
- 464 31. Szasz AM, *et al.* (2016) Cross-validation of survival associated biomarkers in gastric  
465 cancer using transcriptomic data of 1,065 patients. *Oncotarget* 7(31):49322-49333.
- 466 32. Bholra NE, *et al.* (2013) TGF-beta inhibition enhances chemotherapy action against triple-  
467 negative breast cancer. *J Clin Invest* 123(3):1348-1358.
- 468 33. Bierie B, *et al.* (2009) Abrogation of TGF-beta signaling enhances chemokine production  
469 and correlates with prognosis in human breast cancer. *J Clin Invest* 119(6):1571-1582.
- 470 34. Giampieri S, *et al.* (2009) Localized and reversible TGFbeta signalling switches breast  
471 cancer cells from cohesive to single cell motility. *Nat Cell Biol* 11(11):1287-1296.
- 472 35. Matisse LA, Pickup MW, & Moses HL (2009) TGF-beta helps cells fly solo. *Nat Cell Biol*  
473 11(11):1281-1284.
- 474 36. Hurtado A, Holmes KA, Ross-Innes CS, Schmidt D, & Carroll JS (2011) FOXA1 is a  
475 key determinant of estrogen receptor function and endocrine response. *Nat Genet*  
476 43(1):27-U42.
- 477 37. Welboren WJ, *et al.* (2009) ChIP-Seq of ERalpha and RNA polymerase II defines genes  
478 differentially responding to ligands. *Embo J* 28(10):1418-1428.
- 479 38. Cox JL (2009) Cystatins and cancer. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 14:463-474.
- 480 39. Mohamed MM & Sloane BF (2006) Cysteine cathepsins: multifunctional enzymes in  
481 cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer* 6(10):764-775.
- 482 40. Dickinson DP, Zhao Y, Thiesse M, & Siciliano MJ (1994) Direct mapping of seven  
483 genes encoding human type 2 cystatins to a single site located at 20p11.2. *Genomics*  
484 24(1):172-175.
- 485 41. Wegiel B, *et al.* (2009) Cystatin C is downregulated in prostate cancer and modulates  
486 invasion of prostate cancer cells via MAPK/Erk and androgen receptor pathways. *PLoS*  
487 *One* 4(11):e7953.
- 488 42. Lebrun JJ (2012) The Dual Role of TGFbeta in Human Cancer: From Tumor Suppression  
489 to Cancer Metastasis. *ISRN Mol Biol* 2012:381428.
- 490 43. Farina AR, *et al.* (1998) Transforming growth factor-beta 1 enhances the invasiveness of  
491 human MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells by up-regulating urokinase activity. *Int J*  
492 *Cancer* 75(5):721-730.
- 493 44. Buck MB & Knabbe C (2006) TGF-beta signaling in breast cancer. *Ann Ny Acad Sci*  
494 1089:119-126.
- 495 45. Sokol JP & Schiemann WP (2004) Cystatin C antagonizes transforming growth factor  
496 beta signaling in normal and cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Res* 2(3):183-195.
- 497 46. Reese JM, *et al.* (2017) ERβ inhibits cyclin dependent kinases 1 and 7 in triple negative  
498 breast cancer. *Oncotarget* 8(57):96506-96521.

499

## 500 **Figure Legends**

### 501 **Figure 1. Identification of the ER $\beta$ cistrome in MDA-MB-231 TNBC cells.**

502 (A) Heat map of ER $\beta$  tag densities in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells treated with vehicle, estrogen or  
503 LY500307 for 3 hours. (B) Total peaks called for each treatment. (C) Global distribution of  
504 binding sites among treatments. (D) Top 2 motifs identified, and their peak distributions, for  
505 each condition. Venn diagrams indicating overlap of all binding sites (E), promoter region-  
506 specific binding sites (F) and enhancer regions (G) between treatment conditions.

### 507 **Figure 2. ER $\beta$ regulated gene expression signatures in TNBC cells.**

508 (A) Pie chart depicting the number of estrogen regulated genes in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells  
509 following 5 days of treatment. (B) Heat map depicting expression levels of the top 20 most  
510 highly up- and down-regulated genes following estrogen treatment. (C) Gene Set Enrichment  
511 Analysis of the microarray data indicating associations of ER $\beta$  specific gene signatures with  
512 multiple cancer related phenotypes. (D) Venn diagram indicating overlap between genes  
513 identified as having nearby ER $\beta$  binding sites via ChIP-Seq and genes significantly regulated by  
514 estrogen treatment from the microarray data sets. (E) Dendrogram indicating relationship of  
515 cystatins identified to be significantly up-regulated by ER $\beta$  in response to estrogen treatment. (F)  
516 RT-PCR confirmation of the microarray data for cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5 in response to estrogen  
517 treatment of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. (G & H) Independent RT-PCR confirmation of cystatin  
518 induction following 1 nM E2 or 10 nM LY500307 treatment for 5 days in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$   
519 and Hs578T-ER $\beta$  cells. Data are represented as average  $\pm$  SEM. \*denotes p-value <0.05 relative  
520 to vehicle treated control cells.

### 521 **Figure 3. ER $\beta$ specific regulation of cystatins in TNBC cells.**

522 (A-B) RT-PCR analysis of cystatin 1, 2, 4 and 5 expression levels following estrogen treatment  
523 of MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells for indicated times. (C) Immunohistochemistry analysis of cystatin  
524 5 protein levels in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cell pellets treated as indicated for 5 days. (D) mRNA  
525 expression levels of cystatin 5 as detected by RT-PCR in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells treated as  
526 indicated for 5 days, images taken at 40x magnification. (E) RT-PCR analysis demonstrating  
527 blockade of estrogen induced cystatin gene expression by the pure anti-estrogen, ICI. (F) RT-  
528 PCR analysis of cystatin expression levels in ER $\alpha$  positive MCF7 and T47D cells following  
529 vehicle and estrogen treatment for 24 hours. (G) Kaplan-Meier plots depicting relapse-free  
530 survival (RFS) as a function of high and low cystatin 1, 2, 4 and 5 expression levels in ER/PR  
531 positive breast cancer vs TNBC. Data are represented as average  $\pm$  SEM. \* denotes p-value  
532 <0.05 relative to vehicle control treated cells.  $\delta$  denotes p-value <0.05 between indicated  
533 treatments.

534 **Figure 4. Identification of ER $\beta$  regulatory elements responsible for mediating estrogen**  
535 **induced expression of cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5.**

536 (A) Screen shots from the UCSC genome browser of ER $\beta$  signals from ChIP-Seq experiments on  
537 the promoters and first introns of indicated cystatin genes in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. (B)  
538 Comparison of a consensus ERE half-site to the EREs identified in the promoters and first  
539 introns of cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5 via ER $\beta$  ChIP-Seq. (C) ChIP-PCR confirmation of ChIP-Seq  
540 data for indicated sites following pulldown with an ER $\beta$ -specific antibody (MC10) in MDA-MB-  
541 231-ER $\beta$  cells. (D) Luciferase assays indicating basal and estrogen induced (24 hours) activity of  
542 indicated cystatin promoter and intronic regions encoding identified ER $\beta$  binding sites in MDA-  
543 MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. (E) Luciferase assays depicting the estrogen mediated activity of indicated  
544 cystatin promoter and intronic elements following site-directed mutagenesis of the identified

545 ERs relative to wild type controls. Data are represented as average  $\pm$  SEM. \* denotes p-value  
546  $<0.05$  compared to vehicle treated control cells.  $\delta$  denotes p-value  $<0.05$  compared to estrogen  
547 treated cells.

548 **Figure 5. Estrogen and cystatin mediated regulation of canonical TGF $\beta$  signaling in TNBC**  
549 **cells.**

550 (A) Heat map analysis of known TGF $\beta$  pathway genes shown to be differentially regulated by  
551 estrogen treatment in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  expressing cells. (B) Heat maps generated from a  
552 TGF $\beta$  qPCR array indicating the effects of 24 hours or 5 days of estrogen treatment on indicated  
553 genes in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. (C) Western blot for phospho-Smad2, phospho-Smad3, total  
554 Smad2/3 and tubulin in MDA-MB-231 cell extracts. Cells were pre-treated with a combination  
555 of recombinant cystatins 1, 2, 4 and 5 for 6 hours followed by TGF $\beta$  (2ng/mL) or vehicle  
556 treatments for 30 minutes. (D) Luciferase assays indicating the activity of a Smad Binding  
557 Element reporter construct in parental MDA-MB-231 cells treated as indicated. (E)  
558 Immunofluorescent analysis of TGF $\beta$ R2 (red) and cystatin 5 (green) proteins in MDA-MB-231-  
559 ER $\beta$  cells treated as indicated for 24 hours. Representative images are shown at 20x  
560 magnification. (F) Proximity based duolink assay indicating interaction between cystatin 5 and  
561 TGF $\beta$ R2 proteins (red dots) in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells treated as indicated for 24 hours.  
562 Representative images are shown at 20x magnification.

563 **Figure 6. Cystatins mediate ER $\beta$  suppression of TNBC cell migration and invasion.**

564 Cell migration and invasion assays were performed with MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  and Hs578T-ER $\beta$   
565 cell lines. Representative images following indicated treatments are shown (A, C, E and G) and  
566 quantification of triplicate experiments  $\pm$  SEM are indicated (B, D, F, H). (I) RT-PCR analysis  
567 indicating the efficacy of cystatin 1, 2, 4 and 5 siRNAs with regard to suppressing estrogen

568 induced cystatin gene expression in MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cells. (J) Effects of siRNA mediated  
569 silencing of cystatin 1, 2, 4 and 5 expression on MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$  cell invasion in the  
570 presence of indicated treatments. Data are represented as average  $\pm$  SEM. \* denotes p-value  $\leq$   
571 0.05 relative to -Dox/Veh controls. \*\* denotes p-value  $\leq$  0.01 relative to Dox/Veh cells  
572 following adjustment for multiple comparisons.

573 **Figure 7. Cystatins inhibit TGF $\beta$ -induced invasion in TNBC cells.**

574 (A) Representative images of parental MDA-MB-231 cell invasion assays following treatment  
575 with TGF $\beta$  ligand (2ng/mL), TGF $\beta$  + recombinant CST proteins (125 ng/ml of each recombinant  
576 cystatin), or TGF $\beta$  + SB431542 (10  $\mu$ M/ml), a TGF $\beta$  specific inhibitor. (B) Quantification of  
577 triplicate invasion experiments  $\pm$  SEM following indicated treatments. \* denotes p-value  $\leq$  0.05  
578 relative to vehicle control treated cells. \*\* denotes p-value  $\leq$  0.01 relative to TGF $\beta$  treated cells  
579 following adjustment for multiple comparisons.

580 **Figure 8. Estrogen treatment inhibits lung colonization of ER $\beta$ -positive TNBC cells.**

581 MDA-MB-231-ER $\beta$ -Luciferase cells were injected into ovariectomized nude mice via the tail  
582 vein and randomized to indicated treatments. (A) IVIS2000 xenogen imaging indicating the  
583 presence of lung metastasis in mice randomized to the no dox placebo and dox placebo groups,  
584 but not in the dox estrogen group. (B) Gross images of lung nodules from representative animals  
585 in the indicated treatment groups (10x magnification). (C) Representative images depicting  
586 histological analysis of FFPE mouse lungs from animals in each treatment group following H&E  
587 staining and IHC analysis for ER $\beta$  at 40x magnification. Quantification of the incidence of lung  
588 nodules (D), the number of lung nodules (E) and the tumor volume of lung nodules (F) in mice  
589 randomized to the indicated treatment groups. \* denotes p-value  $\leq$  0.01 relative to between  
590 indicated treatment groups following adjustment for multiple comparisons.