Accepted Manuscript

Conjugated linoleic acid production and probiotic assessment of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from Pico cheese

Susana C. Ribeiro, Catherine Stanton, Bo Yang, R. Paul Ross, Célia C.G. Silva

PII: S0023-6438(17)30959-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.065

Reference: YFSTL 6754

To appear in: LWT - Food Science and Technology

Received Date: 12 July 2017

Revised Date: 14 December 2017

Accepted Date: 26 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Ribeiro, S.C., Stanton, C., Yang, B., Ross, R.P., Silva, Cé.C.G., Conjugated linoleic acid production and probiotic assessment of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from Pico cheese, *LWT - Food Science and Technology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.065.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Conjugated linoleic acid production and probiotic assessment of				
2	Lactobacillus plantarum isolated from Pico cheese				
3					
4					
5	Susana C. Ribeiro ¹ , Catherine Stanton ² , Bo Yang ^{2,3} , R. Paul Ross ^{,4} , Célia C.G. Silva ^{1*}				
6	¹ Instituto de Investigação e Tecnologias Agrárias e do Ambiente dos Açores (IITAA),				
7	Universidade dos Açores, Angra do Heroísmo, Açores, Portugal				
8	² Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland				
9	³ State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, School of Food Science and				
10	Technology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China				
11	⁴ College of Science, Engineering and Food Science, University College Cork, Ireland				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16	*Corresponding author:				
17	Célia Costa Silva				
18	Instituto de Investigação e Tecnologias Agrárias e do Ambiente dos Açores (IITAA)				
19	Universidade dos Açores				
20	Rua Capitão João D'Avila				
21	9700-042 Angra do Heroísmo				
22	Portugal				
23	Tel:+351295402200				
24	Email: celia.cg.silva@uac.pt				
25					

27 Abstract

Lactic acid bacteria isolated from a traditional Azorean cheese were screened for their ability to convert free linoleic acid to conjugated linoleic acid (CLA). Two strains of Lactobacillus plantarum were recognized as potential CLA producers. GC analysis identified *cis*-9, *trans*-11 C18:2 as the predominant isomer (10-14 µg/mL), followed by trans-9, trans-11 C18:2 (4-6 µg/mL). The CLA producing strains demonstrated strong biofilm capacity, high cell surface hydrophobicity and good auto-aggregation ability. These strains were capable of surviving in the presence of bile salts (0.3%) and pancreatin (0.1%), but only the highest CLA producer (L3C1E8) was able to resist low pH (2.5). Moreover, the CLA-producers showed good adhesion capacity to intestinal human cells (Caco-2 and HT-29) and were able to prevent colonization of Escherichia coli. Of the two strains, Lactobacillus plantarum L3C1E8 revealed superior probiotic properties and great potential for producing food products enriched in the two CLA isomers, cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 (60%) and trans-9, trans-11 C18:2 (25%).

- *Keywords:* Functional food, conjugated linoleic acid, CLA, lactic acid bacteria,
- *Lactobacillus plantarum*, probiotics.

51 **1. Introduction**

The 'functional foods' concept originated in Japan, but owing to the positive health 52 53 benefits of such foods, consumer demand has spread globally. These foods are fortified with biologically active compounds that may impart beneficial effects on the body, as 54 55 well as decrease the risk of certain diseases (Annunziata & Vecchio, 2011; Bigliardi & Galati, 2013). Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) refers to a heterogeneous group of 56 positional and geometric (cis or trans) isomers of linoleic acid (LA) with conjugated 57 58 double bonds at multiple carbon positions (Pandit, Anand, Kalscheur, & Hassan, 2012). 59 CLA isomers are considered to be beneficial functional lipids due to their biological activities and health promoting properties, such as anti-cancer, anti-atherogenic, anti-60 obesity and anti-inflammatory (Chinnadurai, Kanwal, Tyagi, Stanton, & Ross, 2013; 61 Coakley et al., 2006; Hennessy, Ross, Devery, & Stanton, 2011; Kobaa & Yanagita, 62 63 2014; Shen et al., 2013; Sluijs, Plantinga, de Roos, Mennen, & Bots, 2010). Dietary CLA can be found primarily in the meat and milk of ruminants as a result of bacterial 64 65 biohydrogenation of lipids in the rumen (Lin & Lee, 1997; Fuke & Nornberg, 2017), 66 with the cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 isomer being the most prevalent (Chin, Liu, Storkson, Ha, & Pariza, 1992). Other isomers present in smaller quantities include trans-7, cis-9 67 C18:2, cis-11, trans-13 C18:2, cis-8, trans-10 C18:2, and trans-10, cis-12 C18:2. 68 69 Nonetheless, the low concentrations of CLA found in these food products (meat, milk, and dairy products) are lower than the level required to obtain health benefits (Gaullier 70 71 et al., 2007). Consequently, increasing the concentration of CLA in food products has 72 been the target of several studies in recent years with a view to developing functional food products (Fuke & Nornberg, 2017; Ozer, Kilic & Kilic, 2016; Shingfield, Bonnet, 73 74 & Scollan, 2013).

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), especially Lactobacillus, may produce CLA by 75 isomerization of linoleic acid (LA) (Alonso, Cuesta, & Gilliland, 2003; Chung et al., 76 2008; Coakley et al., 2003; Jiang, Björck, & Fondén, 1998; Kishino et al., 2003; Ogawa 77 et al., 2005; Zeng, Lin, & Gong, 2009). In this regard, the production of these bioactive 78 79 fatty acid metabolitesmay be considered a probiotic trait. Incorporation of such bacteria into foods offers a viable solution for increasing CLA content. Therefore, the 80 identification of LAB cultures capable of producing CLA from a LA source is a 81 82 worthwhile pursuit for the food industry, particularly in relation to fermented dairy 83 products. (Ozer, Kilic & Kilic, 2016; Vieira et al., 2017).). In addition, CLA production in humans can be performed by the gut microbiota (Raimondi et al., 2016), as CLA 84 production by a probiotic has been observed in the murine gut where it was linked to 85 suppression of colitis (Bassaganya-Riera et al., 2012). Thus, the ability of CLA-86 87 producing strains to exhibit probiotic characteristics such as survival in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract is also of significance given that they may impart beneficial 88 89 effects in the gut.

90 In order to be considered a probiotic, a bacterial strain must be able to survive in the 91 extreme conditions of the GI tract (low pH in stomach, bile salts), adhere to the 92 intestinal mucosa and impart beneficial effects on the host such as antimicrobial and 93 immunomodulatory properties, amongst others (Del Piano et al., 2006; Verna & Lucak, 94 2010). In addition, biofilm production is considered an important characteristic leading 95 to successful colonization (Salas-Jara, Ilabaca, Vega, & García, 2016).

Pico cheese is a traditional cheese with Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) status; it
is produced from raw cow's milk from Pico Island in the Azores, without the addition
of starter-cultures. In small artisanal dairy units the raw milk is coagulated with animal
rennet, the curds are manually cut, placed into molds and left to ripen for approx. 20

100 days. Consequently, the microbial fermentation is carried out by the indigenous microbiota derived exclusively from the raw milk of grazing cows and the production 101 102 environment. Therefore, Pico cheese is a fertile ground for the identification and 103 isolation of novel LAB strains. The present study was aimed to screen LAB previously 104 isolated from Pico cheese (Domingos-Lopes et al., 2017), for their ability to produce CLA. The highest CLA-producing strains were further evaluated for their probiotic 105 106 potential, which included ability to survive to the extreme conditions of the GI tract, 107 adhesion to intestinal cells and anti-adhesion assays against the pathogenic bacterium 108 Escherichia coli.

109

110 2. Materials and methods

111 2.1 Microorganisms

112 The LAB strains under investigation in this study were previously isolated from a traditional Azorean cheese (Pico cheese) and had been phenotypically and genetically 113 114 identified (Domingos-Lopes et al., 2017). One hundred and twelve LAB strains 115 belonging to the genus Lactococcus (3), Lactobacillus (21), Leuconostoc (4) and 116 Enterococcus (84) were selected from the bacterial culture collection isolated from this 117 cheese. LAB cultures were activated by successive subculturing in MRS broth (Difco 118 Laboratories, Detroit, MI) and grown at 30 °C. The strain Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 was used in the assays of bacterial adhesion to intestinal cells and was grown at 119 120 37 °C in Nutrient Broth under aerobic conditions (Fluka, Gillingham, England).

121

122 2.2 Screening of LAB for CLA production

LAB strains were screened for CLA production using a spectrophotometric detectionmethod according to Barrett et al. (2007). Briefly, LAB strains were incubated in MRS

125 broth containing free linoleic acid (0.5 mg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and 2% (w/v) Tween 80, at 30°C for 48 h. After incubation, 1 mL of culture was 126 127 centrifuged at $20,800 \times g$ for 1 min, the pellet was discarded, and the supernatant was 128 mixed with 2 mL of isopropanol by vortexing and allowed to stand for 3 min. The fatty 129 acids were extracted by vortexing the solution and allowing to stand for a further 3 min, following the addition of 1.5 mL of hexane. The presence of CLA in the culture 130 131 supernatant was assayed by dispensing 230 µl of the fat-soluble hexane layer into a UVtransparent 96-well plate (Costar, Corning, NY) and determining the absorbance at 233 132 133 nm using a 96-well plate spectrophotometer (GENios Plus; Tecan, Medford, MA). 134 Measurements were obtained in duplicate.

A standard curve was constructed for the absorbance at 233 nm *versus* the CLA
concentration (mg/mL), using pure *cis*-9, *trans*-11 CLA isomer (Nu-Check Prep.,
Elysian, MN, USA), This method was used for screening LAB for CLA production.
Positive results were further confirmed by gas chromatography.

139

140 2.3 CLA quantification by gas chromatography (GC)

141 2.3.1 Lipid extraction from bacterial supernatant fluids and pellets

142 CLA production by *Lactobacillus plantarum* L2C21E8 and *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8, 143 identified as potential CLA-producing strains from the screening in section 2.2, was 144 quantified by gas chromatography, according to the method described by Yang et al. 145 (2014) with some modifications. Prior to examination of the strains, each culture was 146 subcultured twice in MRS broth. The strains were then cultured (1%) in broth 147 containing 0.5 mg/mL free linoleic acid (Sigma-Aldrich). The stock solution consisting 148 of linoleic acid (30 mg/mL) and 2% (v/v) Tween 80, was previously filter sterilized

through a 0.45 μm filter (Minisart, Sigma-Aldrich) and stored in the dark at -20°C. The
strains were incubated aerobically at 30°C.

151 After 48 h incubation, the LAB cultures were centrifuged at $5000 \times g$ for 10 min at room temperature. The fat was extracted from the culture supernatant fluid as follows: 152 153 An internal standard, C17:0 heptadecanoic acid (99% pure; Sigma-Aldrich), was added to 5 mL of the supernatant fluid to give a final concentration of 0.75 g internal standard 154 155 per sample. Then, 5 mL of isopropanol was added to the supernatant fluid, and the 156 samples were vortexed for 30 s. Five milliliter of *n*-hexane was added to this mixture, 157 vortexed and centrifuged at $3260 \times g$ for 5 min. The resultant hexane layer (containing lipids) was dried under a stream of nitrogen. For bacterial pellet extraction, the pellet 158 from 10 mL of bacterial culture was washed in 2 mL saline solution (0.137 mol/L NaCl, 159 7.0 mmol/L K₂HPO₄ and 2.5 mmol/L KH₂PO₄). The cells were vortexed and 160 centrifuged at $3260 \times g$ for 10 min, and the washing step repeated twice. The cells were 161 suspended in 1 mL saline solution and then the samples were extracted completely as 162 163 described above for the bacterial supernatant fluid. Fat was extracted from supernatant 164 and pellet, independently. The lipids were stored at -20° C prior to preparation of fatty 165 acid methyl esters for GC analysis. Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

166

167 2.3.2 Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters

The extracted lipids were analyzed by gas chromatography following methylation with NaOH-BF₃ in methanol as described by Yang et al. (2014). *Tert*-butyl methyl ether (MTBE, Sigma-Aldrich) (0.5 mL) was added to samples prepared above, together with 10 mL of NaOH (0.5 M) in methanol, and the mixture was vortexed for approx. 30 s and incubated for 12 min at 90 °C. Then, 10 mL of BF₃ in methanol (Sigma-Aldrich) was added and incubated for 12 min at 90 °C. Upon incubation, 2 mL of water saturated

with hexane (1 mL of hexane in 100 mL of water) and 4 mL of hexane were added to
the mixture and vigorously vortexed for 30 s. The upper (organic) phase was collected,
and again, 2 mL of water saturated hexane was added. After standing for sufficient time,
the top layer was collected to a clean methylation tube containing 0.5 g of anhydrous
sodium sulphate and left in the dark for 1h. Aliquots of the samples containing fatty
acid methyl esters (FAME) were stored in a vial at -20 °C for further quantification of
CLA content by GC.

181

182 2.3.3 Gas chromatography analysis

A gas chromatograph (3500, Varian, Harbor City, CA, USA) fitted with a flame ionization detector was used. Helium served as the carrier gas. The GC conditions for separation of CLA isomers were as described by Coakley et al. (2003). The CLA isomers were identified by comparison with the retention time of the reference CLA standard mix (Sigma-Aldrich).

188

189 2.4 Evaluation of biofilm formation

Biofilm formation by Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains was evaluated in 96-190 191 well microtiter plates following the method described by Pérez et al. (2014). Briefly, 192 overnight LAB cultures from MRS broth were used as inoculums and incubated in a 96well microtiter plate without shaking at 30 °C for 24, 48 and 72 h. Then, wells were 193 194 washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and biofilms stained for 30 min with 200 195 μ L 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet. The dye in the cells was then remobilized with 200 μ L of 30% (v/v) glacial acetic acid, and the absorbance of the solution (A_{570}) was determined 196 197 by spectrophotometer (Fluostar Omega, BMG Labtech). Based on the absorbance, the strains were classified into the following categories: no biofilm producer, weak, 198

moderate or strong biofilm producers. Two independent experiments were performed,each with four replicates.

201

202 2.5 Probiotic potential of CLA producers

203

204 2.5.1 Bacterial cell surface hydrophobic/hydrophilic characteristics

205 Cell surface characteristics of Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains were 206 measured according to the method of Bellon-Fontaine et al. (1996). LAB strains were grown in MRS broth and harvested by centrifugation ($4500 \times g$, 10 min), washed twice 207 208 with 0.85% NaCl and resuspended in the same solution. Suspensions were mixed with 209 three different solvents: chloroform, ethyl acetate and n-hexadecane (Sigma-Aldrich), 210 and the two phase systems were mixed by vortexing for 1 min. After the complete separation of two phases, the absorbance was measured (A1) at 600nm. The percentage 211 of bacterial adhesion to solvents was calculated as follow: % Adhesion = $(1-A_1/A_0) x$ 212 213 100, where A_0 and A_1 were the absorbance values before and after extraction with the 214 organic solvent. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

215

216 2.5.2 Auto-aggregation

Auto-aggregation determination was performed according to the protocol described by Todorov & Dicks (2008). *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains were grown for 24h in MRS broth, centrifuged, washed and resuspended in 0.85% sterile saline solution. After 60 min of incubation at room temperature, the cultures were centrifuged at $300 \times g$ for 2 min. Auto-aggregation was calculated by the following equation: % Auto-aggregation= [(A₀-A₁)/A₀] x100, whereas A₀ represents absorbance at time 0, and A₁ absorbance after 60 min. Experiments were conducted in triplicate. 224

225 2.5.3 Resistance to low pH, bile salts and pancreatin

226 Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains were tested for resistance to low pH, bile 227 salts and pancreatin according to Argyri et al. (2013). Overnight cultures of LAB were 228 harvested by centrifugation $(10,000 \times g \text{ for 5 min at 4 }^{\circ} \text{ C})$ and the pellets washed with 229 sterile phosphate-buffer saline (pH 7.3). To determine acid tolerance, the cell pellet was 230 resuspended in PBS adjusted to pH 2.5. For bile salts and pancreatin resistance, the cell 231 pellets were resuspended in PBS solution (pH 7.3), containing 0.3% (w/v) of bile salts 232 (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) and 0.1% (w/v) of pancreatin (Sigma-Aldrich). LAB were then incubated at 37 ° C for 0, 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 h. Enumeration of viable cells were 233 performed on MRS agar (Biokar, Beauvais, France). Assays were carried out as four 234 independent experiments. 235

236

237 2.5.4 Adhesion assays

238 2.5.4.1 LAB adhesion capacity to intestinal human cells

239 The method described by Argyri et al. (2013) was followed to study adhesion of Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains to HT-29 and Caco-2 cells, with some minor 240 modifications. HT-29 cells were grown and maintained in McCoy's 5A medium 241 242 (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% (v/v) gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 ° C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Caco-2 243 244 cells were routinely cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich), 1% 245 (v/v) non-essential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% (v/v) gentamicin (Sigma-246 Aldrich). For the experiments, cells were seeded in 24-well tissue plates at 10^4 cells 247 density and cultured until differentiation and a confluent layer was attained. The culture 248

249 medium was changed every 2-3 days. Medium without antibiotic was used 24 h before the experiments. The ratio of cells to bacteria was \geq 1:100. Overnight cultures of LAB 250 251 strains were centrifuged, washed twice with PBS and diluted in cell culture medium without antibiotic to a concentration of approximately 10^8 - 10^{10} CFU. After co-252 253 incubation for 2 h at 37 °C, wells were washed three times with PBS solution to remove any non-adherent bacteria. Then, 0.1 mL of trypsin-EDTA solution (Sigma-Aldrich) 254 255 was added to detach cells and adhered bacteria. The cells were lysed with Triton X-100 256 (0.25%, Merck) and bacterial counts were carried out in MRS agar (Biokar). The 257 adhesion ability was expressed as the number of adhered bacteria (CFU/mL). Assays were performed in triplicate and three independent experiments were carried out. 258

259

260 2.5.4.2 Effects of LAB on adhesion of *E. coli* to HT-29 cells

261 The ability of Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains to inhibit the adhesion of E. coli ATCC 25922 to HT-29 cells was investigated according to the method described by 262 263 García-Ruiz et al. (2014). Three different assays were conducted: competition, 264 inhibition and displacement. For the competition assay, LAB strains and E. coli were 265 simultaneously added to HT-29 cells (1:1) and incubated for 60 min. In the inhibition assay, LAB strains were previously incubated for 60 min with cells before adding E. 266 267 coli, and incubated for another 60 min with E. coli. For the displacement assay, E. coli was added firstly to cells for 60 min before addition of LAB strains for further 60 min. 268 269 E. coli counts were performed in TBX Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, England). Results were expressed as the percentage of inhibition of E. coli adhesion to cells by each LAB 270 271 strain. Three independent experiments were carried out in triplicate.

272

273 **2.6. Statistical analyses**

274 Analyses of CLA screening were performed in duplicate and results were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) 275 276 was used to compare data obtained for the different strains. Two-way factorial ANOVA was used to determine the effect of strain on CLA isomers production in supernatant 277 278 and pellet. Data on biofilm formation was also processed by ANOVA. Probiotic characteristics of CLA producing strains were analyzed by factorial ANOVA, the 279 280 factors were time or cells (Caco-2 and HT-29) and Lb. plantarum strains. When a 281 significant F was observed (P<0.05), differences between means were evaluated by 282 Bonferroni multiple comparison test. Percentages of microbial adhesion to solvents, auto-aggregation, competition, inhibition and displacement of E. coli in the presence of 283 Lb. plantarum strains were analyzed by the Kruskal-Wallis test. The significant level 284 was set at 0.05. All statistical tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 285 286 22 (IBM Corporation, New York, USA).

287

288 **3. Results**

289 3.1 Screening of LAB for CLA production

290 A standard curve was constructed for the absorbance at 233 nm versus CLA concentration, using pure C18:2 cis-9, trans-11. Results demonstrated that a direct 291 292 relationship could be established between absorbance and CLA concentration $(R^2=0.9959)$, data not shown), up to an absorbance of 2.8. Therefore, the CLA 293 294 concentrations in culture supernatants with an absorbance at 233nm less than or equal to 295 2.8 could be calculated from the linear trend line of the standard curve using the 296 equation y = 0.0575x-0.1187. The results of LAB screening for CLA production are 297 presented in Table 1. Two strains L2C21E8 and L3C1E8, identified as Lb. plantarum, 298 presented significantly (P<0.05) higher CLA concentrations (or the highest percentage

of conversion), at 17.94 and 15.36 µg/mL of CLA, respectively, compared to the other CLA-producing strains. However, it is important to emphasize that this method does not distinguish between isomers of CLA, since it is based on measurement of the conjugated double bond in the fatty acid. Therefore, a more accurate analysis of the CLA-isomers produced by these two strains was performed by gas chromatography.

304

305 3.2 Gas chromatography analysis

The chromatogram profiles obtained by GC for the cell supernatants of Lb. plantarum 306 307 strains L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 are presented in Fig.1. The CLA isomers detected by GC were cis-9, trans-11 C18:2; trans-10, cis-12 C18:2; cis-9, cis-11 C18:2; and trans-9, 308 309 trans-11 C18:2. As expected, the cis-9, trans-11 CLA isomer (rumenic acid) was the 310 most abundant isomer generated and was mainly found in the cell supernatant (Fig.2). 311 The level of *cis*-9, *trans*-11 CLA isomer was significantly (P<0.05) higher than *cis*-9, cis-11 and trans-10, cis-12 isomers. C18:2 trans-9, trans-11 was the second most 312 313 abundant CLA isomer detected in the cell supernatant, for both strains. In contrast, cis-314 9, cis-11 C18:2 was found at equivalent concentrations in the pellet and the supernatant. 315 Other CLA isomers, such as trans-10, cis-12, were also generated, but as minor 316 compounds. Similar profiles were obtained for the two selected strains and no 317 significant (P>0.05) differences were found between them.

318

319 **3.3 Biofilm formation**

Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains were evaluated for their capacity to form
biofilms during 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation. The two *Lactobacillus* strains were able
to form biofilm structures on polystyrene plates after 24 h of growth. However, the
strains differed significantly (P<0.05) since *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8 exhibited the

highest biofilm-forming ability in this regard, from the beginning of incubation (Fig.3).
However, both strains can be considered as strong biofilm producers (increase of OD by
four times) after 48 and 72h of incubation.

327

328 **3.4 Probiotic properties of CLA producer strains**

329 3.4.1 Bacterial cell surface characteristics and auto-aggregation ability

330 The hydrophobic/hydrophilic cell surface properties of Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and 331 L3C1E8 were studied using three solvents: n-hexadecane, a non-polar solvent; 332 chloroform, an acidic solvent; and ethyl acetate, a basic solvent. The results, expressed as percentage of microbial adhesion (Fig.4), indicated that both strains presented a more 333 hydrophobic cell surface. Strain L3C1E8 demonstrated stronger (P<0.05) affinity for 334 chloroform and n-hexadecane compared to strain L2C21E8. Both strains also showed 335 336 more affinity (P<0.05) for chloroform and n-hexadecane than ethyl acetate, a basic solvent and electron donor. The ability of strains to aggregate was studied and results 337 338 are also presented in Fig.4. Both strains showed high percentages of auto-aggregation 339 (>70%).

340

341 **3.4.2** Resistance to low pH, bile salt and pancreatin

The survival of the two strains of *Lb. plantarum* under low pH, bile salt and pancreatin was evaluated. As shown in Table 2, both strains were tolerant to bile salts (0.3%, w/v) and pancreatin (0.1%, w/v), each exhibiting no change (P>0.05) in viability after 3 h of incubation. However, strain L3C1E8 showed significantly higher resistance (P<0.05) to bile salts and pancreatin than L2C21E8. Moreover, strain *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8 was able to survive at pH 2.5 during 2 h of incubation, although a reduction of viable cell counts was observed after 2 h (from 8.84±0.23 to 2.25±0.14 log CFU/mL). On the 349 contrary, strain *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 was susceptible to acidic conditions as no
350 viable cells were found after 0.5 h of incubation.

351

352 **3.4.3** LAB adhesion capacity to intestinal human cells

The *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 strains were further examined for their ability to adhere to Caco-2 and HT-29 cells. Efficiency of each strain's ability to adhere to the different cell lines are presented in Table 2. In general, both strains displayed high adhesion capacity to both cell lines and no differences (P>0.05) were found between strains. Higher adherence (P<0.05) was obtained for Caco-2 cell lines, with LAB counts higher than 7 log CFU/mL. Adherence to HT-29 cells was of approx. 6 log CFU/mL for both strains.

360

361 3.4.4 Effects on adhesion of *E. coli* to HT-29 cells

Results of the anti-adhesion assays (competition, inhibition and displacement) of E. coli 362 363 ATCC 25922 in the presence of Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 are shown in 364 Fig.5. For the competition assay, when LAB strains and E. coli were added simultaneously, both strains were able to reduce adherence of the pathogen in 365 366 comparison to the untreated control (> 50%). In the inhibition assays, when LAB strains 367 were added before the pathogen, strain Lb. plantarum L3C1E8 was the most effective (P<0.05), presenting a high degree of inhibition (>90%). Additionally, both strains 368 369 presented high inhibition percentages (>75%) in the displacement assay when E. coli was added to the cells before the LAB strains. 370

371

372 **4. Discussion**

373 Production of CLA by some LAB and bifidobacteria has been reported in recent years, raising the question of whether CLA production may be regarded as one of the 374 375 mechanisms by which these bacteria exert some of their health promoting effects (Gorissen et al., 2010; Hennessy et al., 2011; Andrade et al., 2012; O'Shea, Cotter, 376 377 Stanton, Ross, & Hill, 2012). In this work, LAB isolated from artisanal cheese were screened for their ability to produce CLA. The screening procedure lead to the selection 378 379 of two CLA producers belonging to Lb. plantarum species (strains L2C21E8 and 380 L3C1E8). Similarly, in the screening of LAB isolated from naturally fermented foods, 381 other authors also identified Lb. plantarum strains as the highest CLA-producers (Liu et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2014). CLA values observed in the present assay were lower 382 compared to values reported by other authors, but several factors could contribute to 383 384 this difference, such as temperature, fermentation time, linoleic acid (LA) concentration 385 and other media components (Kuhl & De Dea Lindner, 2016; Ye et al., 2013). Some authors revealed a positive correlation between CLA formation and the ability to 386 387 tolerate free LA, which suggests that LAB convert LA to CLA as a detoxification 388 mechanism (Adamczak, Bornscheuer, & Bednarski, 2008; Wang, Lv, Chu, Cui, & Ren, 389 2007). In addition, several studies indicated that bacteria usually produced more CLA in 390 whole milk than in MRS medium (Andrade et al., 2012). The CLA produced by the Lb. 391 plantarum strains under study was mainly found in the supernatant compared with the pellets (cells). This result is in agreement with other studies showing that CLA 392 393 production is primarily found in the extracellular phase (Rainio, Vahvaselkä, 394 Suomalainen, & Laakso, 2002), though it can also be found in less amounts in the 395 cellular membrane as a structural lipid (Oh et al., 2003).

When LA is used as substrate, LAB can convert this fatty acid into 10-hydroxy-12 *trans*-octadecadienoic acid and 10-hydroxy-12-*cis*-octadecadienoic acid, ending mainly

398 with C18:2 cis-9, trans-11, although other isomers, such as trans-10, cis-12 C18:2 and trans-9, trans-11 C18:2, may also be produced in lesser amounts (Kishino et al., 2003; 399 400 Kuhl & De Dea Lindner, 2016; Ogawa et al., 2005). The high variation of cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 production in each replication was reflected on the large standard error of the 401 402 mean (SEM) obtained (Fig. 2). Several studies also revealed a great variability in the CLA isomer profile produced by different LAB strains, although, for most 403 404 species/strains, cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 isomer represented more than 70% of the total 405 CLA formed from LA (Kuhl & De Dea Lindner, 2016). In our study, the selected 406 strains of Lb. plantarum produced mainly cis-9, trans-11 C18:2 (approx. 60% of CLA isomers), followed by trans-9, trans-11 C18:2 (approx. 25% of CLA isomers). In 407 addition, small amounts of other CLA isomers, such as trans-10, cis-12 (9-11%) and 408 409 cis-9, cis-11 (3-5%), were also detected in the supernatant. In the pellet, these ratios 410 were maintained for the predominant isomers (cis-9, trans-11 and trans-9, trans-11), but the proportion of cis-9, cis-11 increased to 9-14% of total CLA, while trans-10, cis-411 412 12 reduced to 5-6%. Most of the studies of CLA isomers produced by Bifidobacterium 413 and Lactobacillus strains indicated that LA was mainly converted to the cis-9, trans-11 414 CLA, followed by trans-10, cis-12 CLA and small amounts of trans-9, trans-11 CLA 415 isomers (Hennessy et al., 2012; Gorissen et al., 2010; Gorissen, Leroy, De Vuyst, De 416 Smet & Raes, 2015; Rodríguez-Alcalá, Braga, Malcata, Gomes, & Fontecha, 2011). Nevertheless, some Lb. plantarum strains were found to produce high proportions of 417 418 trans-9, trans-11 C18:2 (Ogawa et al., 2005). In addition, culture conditions, such as low pH and restriction of oxygen, were shown to change the proportion of individual 419 isomers and favor the formation of trans, trans isomers (Macouzet, Lee & Robert, 420 421 2008; Panghyová, Kačenová, Matulová & Kiss, 2009).

422 Several studies have revealed that CLAs exert various health benefits, and there is 423 increasing evidence that these effects are isomer specific (O'Shea et al., 2012). Those 424 studies demonstrated that trans-9, trans-11 C18:2 has a much higher inhibitory and anti-proliferative effect on the growth of the human colon and breast cancer cells, than 425 426 cis-9, trans-11 CLA isomer (Coakley et al., 2006; El Roz, Bard, Huvelin, & Nazih, 2013). Other studies showed that cis-9, trans-11 CLA has extra beneficial effects. such 427 428 as anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic effects (Ecker, Liebisch, Patsch, & Schmitz, 429 2009; Loscher et al., 2005). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the mixture of 430 the two CLA isomers (cis-9, trans-11 and trans-9, trans-11 CLA) had a synergistic antiproliferation effect on a human colorectal carcinoma cell line (Zhong, Luo, Huang, 431 432 Deng, & Lei, 2012). Interestingly, the strains of Lb. plantarum tested in the present work, presented the highest production of both cis-9, trans-11 and trans-9, trans-11 433 434 CLA isomers, exhibiting a great potential for application in health promoting food 435 products.

In the present study, the capacity of the two CLA-producers to form biofilms was also
examined. Based on the results obtained, both *Lb. plantarum* strains were able to form a
well-structured biofilm. Aoudia et al. (2016) demonstrated that *Lactobacillus* strains are
able to form biofilms in a microtiter plate biofilm assay, even under growth conditions
mimicking the gastrointestinal environment. Biofilm capacity of these strains can
prevent colonization of undesirable microorganisms by covering the epithelial receptors
(Martin et al., 2008; Moroni, Kheadr, Boutin, Lacroix, & Fliss, 2006).

The two CLA producers were further evaluated for characteristics concerning other
relevant probiotic features. These characteristics include auto-aggregation capacity,
hydrophilic/hydrophobic surface properties, survival at low pH, resistance to bile salts
and pancreatin, as well as, adhesion to different human cell lines. Both strains of *Lb*.

447 *plantarum* studied possess desirable probiotic characteristics, as demonstrated by the in vitro studies. Firstly, we examined the hydrophobicity and auto-aggregation ability of 448 449 strains. Some cell wall-associated characteristics of probiotics, such as hydrophobicity 450 and auto-aggregation, can contribute to adhesion properties of bacteria to host tissues 451 (Kos et al., 2003; Naidu, Bidlack, & Clemens, 1999; Vinderola & Reinheimer, 2003). 452 Attachment of bacteria to epithelial cells depends on several factors, such as van der Waals attraction, gravitational forces and surface electrostatic charges (Van Loosdrecht, 453 454 Norde, & Zehnder, 1990). The bacterial surface can be qualitatively assessed as either 455 polar or non-polar, by using solvents with different polarity (Ocana & Nader-Macias, 456 2002; Rosenberg, Gutnick, & Rosenberg, 1980). In our study, the Lb. plantarum strains 457 displayed high hydrophobicity values. These results are in agreement with the finding 458 that cell surfaces of lactobacilli are commonly of hydrophobic nature (García-Cayuela 459 et al., 2014). Bacterial aggregation is a desirable property for probiotics and plays an important role in the formation of biofilms (Maria Carmen Collado, Meriluoto, & 460 461 Salminen, 2008). The two Lb. plantarum strains under study demonstrated high 462 capacities to auto-aggregate, conferring them with a survival and proliferation 463 advantage over bacteria lacking this ability (Rickard, Gilbert, High, Kolenbrander, & 464 Handley, 2003). Various lactobacilli have been described in the literature with the 465 ability to form auto-aggregates (García-Ruiz et al., 2014; Hevia et al., 2013; Lozo et al., 466 2007).

467 Two important characteristics of potentially probiotic strains are resistance to low pH of 468 the stomach and to bile salts and pancreatin secreted into the intestine (Hyronimus, Le 469 Marrec, Hadj Sassi, & Deschamps, 2000; Vinderola & Reinheimer, 2003). In this work, 470 only the strain *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8 exhibited ability to survive in simulated 471 gastrointestinal conditions (pH 2.5 and 0.3% w/v bile salts, 0.1% w/v pancreatin).

Nevertheless, strain Lb. plantarum L2C21E8 was also able to survive in the presence of 472 bile salts and pancreatin. With respect to acid resistance, it is reported that bacteria 473 474 sensitive to gastric juice may have high rates of isolation from feces (Del Piano et al., 475 2006). Food intake in vivo has been show to protect bacteria during gastric passage 476 (Saito et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2015). As previously reported, LAB strains were highly 477 resistant to low pH (2.5) after 3 h (survival rate around 100%), when incorporated into 478 fresh cheese (Silva et al., 2015). Therefore, acid sensitive strains can resist 479 gastrointestinal digestion with the use of a food matrix, which can offer protection.

480 In vitro studies on the adhesion capabilities of Lb. plantarum strains to human epithelial cells (Caco-2 and HT-29 lines) were also conducted. This ability is the most commonly 481 482 encountered criteria for the selection of probiotic bacteria (Collado, Isolauri, Salminen, & Sanz, 2009; Lebeer, Vanderleyden, & De Keersmaecker, 2008). Implantation in the 483 484 intestinal mucosa has been considered as the critical feature a strain must possess, in order to influence the intestinal environment (Del Piano et al., 2006). Colonization of 485 486 the gut mucosa by probiotic strains can provide some beneficial health effects, such as 487 the competitive exclusion of pathogens, modulation of immunity and resistance of 488 probiotic to elimination by peristalsis (Pennacchia, Vaughan, & Villani, 2006; 489 Rinkinen, Westermarck, Salminen, & Ouwehand, 2003). Our results showed that the 490 two Lb. plantarum strains studied were able to adhere to Caco-2 and HT-29 cells. Previous studies also reported the capability of adhesion of Lb. plantarum strains to 491 492 these two cell lines (Oguntovinbo & Narbad, 2015; Saxami et al., 2016). The adhesion capacity of probiotic strains has been identified and characterized, and can be explained 493 494 by the binding properties of bacterial cell-surface associated proteins with mucus and 495 intestinal cells (Sánchez, Bressollier, & Urdaci, 2008; Vélez, De Keersmaecker, & Vanderleyden, 2007). Another beneficial trait is the interference of probiotic strains 496

497	with pathogenic adhesion. In this study, both Lb. plantarum strains were effective in
498	inhibiting adhesion of the pathogenic bacterium E. coli. The high values observed could
499	indicate competition of these strains for the same binding sites on epithelial cells and
500	the overlying mucus layer, in a strain-specific manner (Lee & Puong, 2002; Morrow,
501	Gogineni, & Malesker, 2012). In addition, displacement ability of pre-adhered E. coli
502	presented by the Lb. plantarum strains studied, could also be due to the production of
503	anti-adhesion factors (Abedi, Feizizadeh, Akbari, & Jafarian-Dehkordi, 2013).

504

505 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, two Lb. plantarum strains presented the ability to produce CLA isomers 506 507 from free LA, mainly cis-9, trans-11 CLA and trans-9, trans-11 CLA, known for having important biological properties. Application of these strains in fermented food 508 509 products can increase the CLA intake and be beneficial for human health. In addition, these strains presented high adhesion ability to colonic cells and were able to inhibit E. 510 511 coli adhesion. These results support the probiotic character of the two strains and their 512 potential to be used in the production of novel functional foods. However, further in vivo investigations are necessary in order to confirm the role of these potential probiotic 513 strains for promoting human health. 514

515

516 Acknowledgements

This work is financed by National founds from FCT – 'Fundação para a Ciência e
Tecnologia', Project PTDC/ AGR-ALI/104385/2008 and in part by the Science
Foundation of Ireland – funded Centre for Science, Engineering and Technology, the
APC Microbiome Institute. PhD grant of SC Ribeiro was supported by FRCT - 'Fundo
Regional para a Ciência e Tecnologia' project M3.1.2/F/011/2011.

522

523 **References**

- Abedi, D., Feizizadeh, S., Akbari, V., & Jafarian-Dehkordi, A. (2013). In vitro antibacterial and anti-adherence effects of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp *bulgaricus* on *Escherichia coli*. *Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 8(4), 260268.
- Adamczak, M., Bornscheuer, U. T., & Bednarski, W. (2008). Properties and
 biotechnological methods to produce lipids containing conjugated linoleic acid. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 110*(6), 491-504. doi:
 10.1002/ejlt.200800020
- Alonso, L., Cuesta, E. P., & Gilliland, S. E. (2003). Production of free conjugated
 linoleic acid by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* of human
 intestinal origin. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86(6), 1941-1946. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73781-3
- Annunziata, A., & Vecchio, R. (2011). Functional foods development in the European
 market: A consumer perspective. *Journal of Functional Foods*, *3*(3), 223-228.
 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2011.03.011
- Andrade, J. C., Ascenção, K., Gullón, P., Henriques, S. M. S.. Pinto, J. M. S.. RochaSantos, T. A. P., Freitas, A. C.. & Gomes, A. M. (2012). Production of
 conjugated linoleic acid by food-grade bacteria: A review. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*. 65(4) 467-481. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0307.2012.00871.x
- Aoudia, N., Rieu, A., Briandet, R., Deschamps, J., Chluba, J., Jego, G., Guzzo, J.
 (2016). Biofilms of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus fermentum*:
 Effect on stress responses, antagonistic effects on pathogen growth and

- 546 immunomodulatory properties. *Food Microbiology*, *53*, 51-59. doi:
 547 10.1016/j.fm.2015.04.009
- Argyri, A. A., Zoumpopoulou, G., Karatzas, K.-A. G., Tsakalidou, E., Nychas, G.-J. E.,
 Panagou, E. Z., & Tassou, C. C. (2013). Selection of potential probiotic lactic
 acid bacteria from fermented olives by in vitro tests. *Food Microbiology*, *33*(2),

551 282-291. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.10.005

- Barrett, E., Ross, R. P., Fitzgerald, G. F., & Stanton, C. (2007). Rapid screening method
 for analyzing the conjugated linoleic acid production capabilities of bacterial
 cultures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *73*(7), 2333-2337. doi:
 10.1128/aem.01855-06
- Bassaganya-Riera, J., Viladomiu, M., Pedragosa, M., De Simone, C., Carbo, A.,
 Shaykhutdinov, R., Jobin, C., Arthur, J. C., Corl, B. A., Vogel, H., Storr, M., &
 Hontecillas, R. (2012). Probiotic bacteria produce conjugated linoleic acid
 locally in the gut that targets macrophage PPAR gamma to suppress colitis. *Plos One*, 7. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031238
- Bellon-Fontaine, M. N., Rault, J., & van Oss, C. J. (1996). Microbial adhesion to
 solvents: a novel method to determine the electron-donor/electron-acceptor or
 Lewis acid-base properties of microbial cells. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 7(1–2), 47-53. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/09277765(96)01272-6
- Bigliardi, B., & Galati, F. (2013). Innovation trends in the food industry: The case of
 functional foods. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *31*(2), 118-129. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2013.03.006
- 569 Chin, S. F., Liu, W., Storkson, J. M., Ha, Y. L., & Pariza, M. W. (1992). Dietary
 570 sources of conjugated dienoic isomers of linoleic acid, a newly recognized class

571 of anticarcinogens. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 5(3), 185-197.

572 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0889-1575(92)90037-K

- 573 Chinnadurai, K., Kanwal, H., Tyagi, A., Stanton, C., & Ross, P. (2013). High
 574 conjugated linoleic acid enriched ghee (clarified butter) increases the antioxidant
 575 and antiatherogenic potency in female Wistar rats. *Lipids in Health and Disease*,
- 576 *12(1)*, 121. doi: 10.1186/1476-511X-12-121.
- 577 Chung, S. H., Kim, I. H., Park, H. G., Kang, H. S., Yoon, C. S., Jeong, H. Y., Kim, Y. J.
 578 (2008). Synthesis of conjugated linoleic acid by human-derived *Bifidobacterium*579 *breve* LMC 017: Utilization as a functional starter culture for milk fermentation.
 580 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *56*(9), 3311-3316. doi:
 581 10.1021/jf0730789
- Coakley, M., Johnson, M. C., McGrath, E., Rahman, S., Ross, R. P., Fitzgerald, G. F.,
 Stanton, C. (2006). Intestinal bifidobacteria that produce *trans-9*, *trans-11*conjugated linoleic acid: a fatty acid with antiproliferative activity against
 human colon SW480 and HT-29 cancer cells. *Nutrition and Cancer*, *56*(1), 95102. doi: 10.1207/s15327914nc5601 13
- 587 Coakley, M., Ross, R. P., Nordgren, M., Fitzgerald, G., Devery, R., & Stanton, C.
 588 (2003). Conjugated linoleic acid biosynthesis by human-derived
 589 *Bifidobacterium* species. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 94(1), 138-145. doi:
 590 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.01814.x
- Collado, M. C., Isolauri, E., Salminen, S., & Sanz, Y. (2009). The impact of probiotic
 on gut health. *Current Drug Metabolism*, 10(1), 68-78. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/138920009787048437

- Collado, M. C., Meriluoto, J., & Salminen, S. (2008). Adhesion and aggregation
 properties of probiotic and pathogen strains. *European Food Research and Technology*, 226(5), 1065-1073. doi: 10.1007/s00217-007-0632-x
- 597 Del Piano, M., Morelli, L., Strozzi, G. P., Allesina, S., Barba, M., Deidda, F., Capurso,
- 598 L. Probiotics: from research to consumer. *Digestive and Liver Disease*, *38*,
 599 S248-S255. doi: 10.1016/S1590-8658(07)60004-8
- 600 Domingos-Lopes, M. F. P., Stanton, C., Ross, P. R., Dapkevicius, M. L. E., & Silva, C.
- 601 C. G. (2017). Genetic diversity, safety and technological characterization of
- lactic acid bacteria isolated from artisanal Pico cheese. *Food Microbiology*, *63*,
 178-190. doi:10.1016/j.fm.2016.11.014
- Ecker, J., Liebisch, G., Patsch, W., & Schmitz, G. (2009). The conjugated linoleic acid
 isomer *trans-9,trans-11* is a dietary occurring agonist of liver X receptor α. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 388*(4), 660-666. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.08.048
- El Roz, A., Bard, J. M., Huvelin, J. M., & Nazih, H. (2013). The anti-proliferative and
- pro-apoptotic effects of the *trans9,trans11* conjugated linoleic acid isomer on
- MCF-7 breast cancer cells are associated with LXR activation. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids (PLEFA)*, 88(4), 265-272. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plefa.2012.12.006
- Fuke, G., & Nornberg, J. L. (2017). Systematic evaluation on the effectiveness of
 conjugated linoleic acid in human health. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 57(1), 1-7. doi:10.1080/10408398.2012.716800
- García-Cayuela, T., Korany, A. M., Bustos, I., P. Gómez de Cadiñanos, L., Requena, T.,
 Peláez, C., & Martínez-Cuesta, M. C. (2014). Adhesion abilities of dairy *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains showing an aggregation phenotype. *Food*

57,

44-50.

619

Research

620 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.01.010

International,

- García-Ruiz, A., González de Llano, D., Esteban-Fernández, A., Requena, T.,
 Bartolomé, B., & Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2014). Assessment of probiotic
 properties in lactic acid bacteria isolated from wine. *Food Microbiology*, 44,
 220-225. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.06.015
- 625 Gaullier, J.-M., Halse, J., Høivik, H. O., Høye, K., Syvertsen, C., Nurminiemi, M., 626 Gudmundsen, O. (2007). Six months supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid induces regional-specific fat mass decreases in overweight and obese. 627 British Journal Nutrition, 97(03), 550-560. 628 of doi: 629 doi:10.1017/S0007114507381324
- Gorissen, L., Leroy, F., De Vuyst, L., De Smet, S., & Raes, K. (2015). Bacterial
 production of conjugated linoleic and linolenic acid in foods: A technological
 challenge. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 55(11), 1561-1574.
 doi: 10.1080/10408398.2012.706243
- Gorissen, L., Raes, K., Weckx, S., Dannenberger, D., Leroy, F., De Vuyst, L., & De
 Smet, S. (2010). Production of conjugated linoleic acid and conjugated linolenic
 acid isomers by *Bifidobacterium* species. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 87(6), 2257-2266. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2713-1
- Hennessy, A. A., Barrett, E., Paul Ross, R., Fitzgerald, G. F., Devery, R., & Stanton, C.
 (2012). the production of conjugated α-linolenic, γ-linolenic and stearidonic
 acids by strains of bifidobacteria and propionibacteria. *Lipids*, 47(3), 313-327.
 doi: 10.1007/s11745-011-3636-z

doi:

- Hennessy, A. A., Ross, R. P., Devery, R., & Stanton, C. (2011). The health promoting
 properties of the conjugated isomers of α-linolenic acid. *Lipids*, 46(2), 105-119.
 doi: 10.1007/s11745-010-3501-5
- 645 Hevia, A., Martínez, N., Ladero, V., Álvarez, M. A., Margolles, A., & Sánchez, B.
- 646 (2013). An extracellular serine/threonine-rich protein from Lactobacillus
- 647 *plantarum* NCIMB 8826 is a novel aggregation-promoting factor with affinity to
 648 mucin. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 79(19), 6059-6066. doi:
- 649 10.1128/aem.01657-13
- Hyronimus, B., Le Marrec, C., Hadj Sassi, A., & Deschamps, A. (2000). Acid and bile
 tolerance of spore-forming lactic acid bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 61(2–3), 193-197. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S01681605(00)00366-4
- Jiang, Björck, & Fondén. (1998). Production of conjugated linoleic acid by dairy starter
 cultures. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 85(1), 95-102. doi: 10.1046/j.13652672.1998.00481.x
- Kishino, S., Ogawa, J., Ando, A., Iwashita, T., Fujita, T., Kawashima, H., & Shimizu,
 S. (2003). Structural analysis of conjugated linoleic acid produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum*, and factors affecting isomer production. *Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 67(1), 179-182.
- Kobaa, K., & Yanagita, T. (2014). Health benefits of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA). *Obesity Research & Clinical Practice*, 8, e525-e532.
- Kos, B., Šušković, J., Vuković, S., Šimpraga, M., Frece, J., & Matošić, S. (2003).
 Adhesion and aggregation ability of probiotic strain *Lactobacillus acidophilus*M92. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *94*(6), 981-987. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-
- 666 2672.2003.01915.x

27

- Kuhl, C. G., & De Dea Lindner, J. (2016). Biohydrogenation of linoleic acid by lactic
 acid bacteria for the production of functional cultured dairy products: A review. *Foods*, 5(1). doi: 10.3390/foods5010013
- 670 Lebeer, S., Vanderleyden, J., & De Keersmaecker, S. C. J. (2008). Genes and molecules

of lactobacilli supporting probiotic action. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology*

- 672 *Reviews*, 72(4), 728-+. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.00017-08

671

- Lee, Y. K., & Puong, K. Y. (2002). Competition for adhesion between probiotics and
 human gastrointestinal pathogens in the presence of carbohydrate. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 88, S101-S108. doi: UNSP
 BJN88101.sgm10.1079/bjn2002635
- Lin, T. Y., & Lee, F. J. (1997). Conjugated linoleic acid as affected by food source and
 processing. *Scientific Agriculture*, 45(9,10), 284-295.
- Lin, W.-H., Yu, B., Jang, S.-H., & Tsen, H.-Y. (2007). Different probiotic properties for *Lactobacillus fermentum* strains isolated from swine and poultry. *Anaerobe*, *13*(3-4), 107-113. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2007.04.006
- 682 Loscher, C. E., Draper, E., Leavy, O., Kelleher, D., Mills, K. H. G., & Roche, H. M.
- 683 (2005). Conjugated linoleic acid suppresses NF-κB activation and IL-12
 684 production in dendritic cells through ERK-mediated IL-10 induction. *The*685 *Journal of Immunology*, 175(8), 4990-4998. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.8.4990
- Lozo, J., Jovcic, B., Kojic, M., Dalgalarrondo, M., Chobert, J.-M., Haertlé, T., &
 Topisirovic, L. (2007). Molecular characterization of a novel bacteriocin and an
 unusually large aggregation factor of *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei*BGSJ2-8, a natural isolate from homemade cheese. *Current Microbiology*,
- 690 55(3), 266-271. doi: 10.1007/s00284-007-0159-1

- 691 Macouzet, M., Lee, B. H., & Robert, N. (2009). Production of conjugated linoleic acid
- 692 by probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* La-5. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*,

693 106(6), 1886-1891. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04164.x

- 694 Martin, R., Soberon, N., Vaneechoutte, M., Florez, A. B., Vazquez, F., & Suarez, J. E.
- 695 (2008). Characterization of indigenous vaginal lactobacilli from healthy women
- as probiotic candidates. *International Microbiology: The official journal of the Spanish Society for Microbiology*, 11(4), 261-266.

698 Moroni, O., Kheadr, E., Boutin, Y., Lacroix, C., & Fliss, I. (2006). Inactivation of

- adhesion and invasion of food-borne *Listeria monocytogenes* by bacteriocinproducing *Bifidobacterium* strains of human origin. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(11), 6894-6901. doi: 10.1128/aem.00928-06
- Morrow, L. E., Gogineni, V., & Malesker, M. A. (2012). Probiotics in the intensive care
 unit. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice*, 27(2), 235-241. doi:
 10.1177/0884533612440290
- Naidu, A. S., Bidlack, W. R., & Clemens, R. A. (1999). Probiotic spectra of lactic acid
 bacteria (LAB). *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *39*(1), 13-126.
 doi: 10.1080/10408699991279187
- O'Shea, E. F., Cotter, P. D., Stanton, C., Ross, R. P., & Hill, C. (2012). Production of
 bioactive substances by intestinal bacteria as a basis for explaining probiotic
 mechanisms: Bacteriocins and conjugated linoleic acid. *International Journal of Food* Microbiology, 152(3), 189-205. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.05.025
- Ocana, V. S., & Nader-Macias, M. E. (2002). Vaginal lactobacilli: self- and coaggregating ability. *British Journal of Biomedical Science*, *59*(4), 183-190.

715	Ogawa, J., Kishino, S	S., And	o, A., Sugi	moto, S	5., N	Mihara,	К., б	& Shimizu	i, S. (2	2005).
716	Production of	conjug	gated fatty	acids	by	lactic	acid	bacteria.	Journ	ıal of
717	Bioscience	and	Bioeng	ineering	,	100	(4),	355-36	54.	doi:
718	http://dx.doi.or	g/10.12	63/jbb.100.	355						

- Oguntoyinbo, F. A., & Narbad, A. (2015). Multifunctional properties of *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains isolated from fermented cereal foods. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 17, 621-631. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2015.06.022
- Oh, D.-K., Hong, G.-H., Lee, Y., Min, S., Sin, H.-S., & Cho, S. K. (2003). Production
 of conjugated linoleic acid by isolated *Bifidobacterium* strains. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 19(9), 907-912. doi:

725 10.1023/B:WIBI.0000007313.90368.0c

- Ozer, C. O., Kilic, B., & Kilic, G. B. (2016). In-vitro microbial production of
 conjugated linoleic acid by probiotic *L. plantarum* strains: Utilization as a
 functional starter culture in sucuk fermentation. *Meat Science*, 114, 24-31.
 doi:10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.12.005
- Pandit, A., Anand, S., Kalscheur, K., & Hassan, A. (2012). Production of conjugated
 linoleic acid by lactic acid bacteria in milk without any additional substrate. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 65(4), 603-608. doi: 10.1111/j.14710307.2012.00870.x
- Panghyová, E., Kačenová, D., Matulová, M., & KISS, E. (2009). Composition of
 conjugated linoleic acid isomers formed by *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*spp. in conversion media. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Research*, 48(4), 163170.
- Pennacchia, C., Vaughan, E. E., & Villani, F. (2006). Potential probiotic *Lactobacillus*strains from fermented sausages: Further investigations on their probiotic

- 740
 properties.
 Meat
 Science,
 73(1),
 90-101.
 doi:

 741
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2005.10.019

 </t
- Pérez Ibarreche, M., Castellano, P., & Vignolo, G. (2014). Evaluation of anti-*Listeria*meat borne *Lactobacillus* for biofilm formation on selected abiotic surfaces. *Meat* Science, 96(1), 295-303. doi:

745 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.07.010

- Raimondi, S., Amaretti, A., Leonardi, A., Quartieri, A., Gozzoli, C., & Rossi, M.
 (2016). Conjugated Linoleic Acid Production by Bifidobacteria: Screening,
 Kinetic, and Composition. *Biomed Research International*.
 doi:10.1155/2016/8654317
- Rainio, A., Vahvaselkä, M., Suomalainen, T., & Laakso, S. (2002). Production of
 conjugated linoleic acid by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* ssp. *shermanii*. *Lait*, 82(1), 91-101.
- Rickard, A. H., Gilbert, P., High, N. J., Kolenbrander, P. E., & Handle y, P. S. (2003)
 Bacterial coaggregation: an integral process in the development of multi-species
 biofilms. *Trends in Microbiology*, *11*(2), 94-100. doi: 10.1016/S0966842X(02)00034-3
- Rinkinen, M., Westermarck, E., Salminen, S., & Ouwehand, A. C. (2003). Absence of
 host specificity for in vitro adhesion of probiotic lactic acid bacteria to intestinal
 mucus. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 97(1-2), 55-61. doi: 10.1016/s03781135(03)00183-4
- Riquelme, C., Câmara, S., Enes Dapkevicius, M. d. L. N., Vinuesa, P., da Silva, C. C.
 G., Malcata, F. X., & Rego, O. A. (2015). Characterization of the bacterial biodiversity in Pico cheese (an artisanal Azorean food). *International Journal of*

764

doi: Food Microbiology, 192(0), 86-94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.09.031 765

- 766 Rodríguez-Alcalá, L. M., Braga, T., Xavier Malcata, F., Gomes, A., & Fontecha, J. (2011). Quantitative and qualitative determination of CLA produced by 767 768 Bifidobacterium and lactic acid bacteria by combining spectrophotometric and 769 Ag+-HPLC techniques. Chemistry. 1373-1378. Food 125(4), doi: 770 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.10.008
- 771 Rosenberg, M., Gutnick, D., & Rosenberg, E. (1980). Adherence of bacteria to 772 hydrocarbons - a simple method for measuring cell-surface hydrophobicity. Microbiology 29-33. doi: 773 FEMS Letters. 9(1), 10.1111/j.1574-774 6968.1980.tb05599.x
- Saito, V. S. T., dos Santos, T. F., Vinderola, C. G., Romano, C., Nicoli, J. R., Araújo, L. 775 776 S., Uetanabaro, A. P. T. (2014). Viability and resistance of lactobacilli isolated from cocoa fermentation to simulated gastrointestinal digestive steps in soy 777 778 yogurt. Journal of Food Science, 79(2), M208-M213. doi: 10.1111/1750-779 3841.12326
- 780 Salas-Jara, M., Ilabaca, A., Vega, M., & García, A. (2016). Biofilm forming challenges 781 Lactobacillus: New for the development of probiotics. 782 Microorganisms, 4(3), 35.
- Sánchez, B., Bressollier, P., & Urdaci, M. C. (2008). Exported proteins in probiotic 783 784 bacteria: adhesion to intestinal surfaces, host immunomodulation and molecular cross-talking with the host. FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology, 54(1), 785
- 786 1-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00454.x
- 787 Saxami, G., Karapetsas, A., Lamprianidou, E., Kotsianidis, I., Chlichlia, A., Tassou, C., 788 Galanis, A. (2016). Two potential probiotic Lactobacillus strains isolated from

- 789 olive microbiota exhibit adhesion and anti-proliferative effects in cancer cell 790 lines. Journal of Functional Foods, 24, 461-471. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2016.04.036 791 Shen, W., Chuang, C.-C., Martinez, K., Reid, T., Brown, J. M., Xi, L., McIntosh, M. (2013). Conjugated linoleic acid reduces adiposity and increases markers of 792 793 browning and inflammation in white adipose tissue of mice. Journal of Lipid 794 Research, 54(4), 909-922. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M030924 795 Shingfield, K. J., Bonnet, M., & Scollan, N. D. (2013). Recent developments in altering 796 the fatty acid composition of ruminant-derived foods. Animal, 7, 132-162.
- 797 doi:10.1017/s1751731112001681
- 798 Silva, C. C. G., Domingos-Lopes, M. F. P., Magalhães, V. A. F., Freitas, D. A. S. R.,
- 799 Coelho, M. C., Rosa, H. J. D., & Dapkevicius, M. L. N. E. (2015). Latin-style
- fresh cheese enhances lactic acid bacteria survival but not *Listeria monocytogenes* resistance under in vitro simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 98(7), 4377-4383. doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-9420
- 803 Sluijs, I., Plantinga, Y., de Roos, B., Mennen, L. I., & Bots, M. L. (2010). Dietary
- supplementation with *cis*-9,*trans*-11 conjugated linoleic acid and aortic stiffness
- 805 in overweight and obese adults. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition,
- 806 91(1), 175-183. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28192
- Todorov, S. D., & Dicks, L. M. T. (2008). Evaluation of lactic acid bacteria from kefir,
 molasses and olive brine as possible probiotics based on physiological
 properties. *Annals of Microbiology* 58, 661-670.
- Van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., Norde, W., & Zehnder, A. J. B. (1990). Physical chemical
 description of bacterial adhesion. *Journal of Biomaterials Applications*, 5(2), 91-
- 812 106. doi: 10.1177/088532829000500202

- Vélez, M. P., De Keersmaecker, S. C. J., & Vanderleyden, J. (2007). Adherence factors
 of *Lactobacillus* in the human gastrointestinal tract. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 276(2), 140-148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00908.x
- 816 Verna, E. C., & Lucak, S. (2010). Use of probiotics in gastrointestinal disorders: what
 817 to recommend? *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology*, 3(5), 307-319. doi:
- 818 10.1177/1756283X10373814
- Vieira, C. P., Cabral, C. C., Lima, B., Paschoalin, V. M. F., Leandro, K. C., & Conte, C.
 A. (2017). Lactococcus lactis ssp cremoris MRS47, a potential probiotic strain
 isolated from kefir grains, increases cis-9, trans-11-CLA and PUFA contents in
 fermented milk. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 31, 172-178.
- 823 doi:10.1016/j.jff.2017.01.047
- Vinderola, C. G., & Reinheimer, J. A. (2003). Lactic acid starter and probiotic bacteria:
 a comparative "in vitro" study of probiotic characteristics and biological barrier
 resistance. *Food Research International, 36*(9–10), 895-904. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969(03)00098-X
- Wall, R., Ross, R. P., Fitzgerald, G. F., & Stanton, C. (2008). Microbial conjugated
 linoleic acid production a novel probiotic trait? *Food Science & Technology Bulletin Functional Foods*, 4(8), 87-97.
- Wang, L.-M., Lv, J.-P., Chu, Z.-Q., Cui, Y.-Y., & Ren, X.-H. (2007). Production of
 conjugated linoleic acid by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*. *Food Chemistry*,
- 833 *103*(2), 313-318. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.07.065
- Yang, B., Chen, H., Gu, Z., Tian, F., Ross, R. P., Stanton, C., Zhang, H. (2014).
 Synthesis of conjugated linoleic acid by the linoleate isomerase complex in
 food-derived lactobacilli. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *117*(2), 430-439.
 doi: 10.1111/jam.12524

838	Ye, S., Yu, T., Yang, H., Li, L., Wang, H., Xiao, S., & Wang, J. (2013). Optimal culture
839	conditions for producing conjugated linoleic acid in skim-milk by co-culture of
840	different Lactobacillus strains. Annals of Microbiology, 63(2), 707-717. doi:
841	10.1007/s13213-012-0523-7
842	Zeng, Z., Lin, J., & Gong, D. (2009). Identification of lactic acid bacterial strains with
843	high conjugated linoleic acid-producing ability from natural sauerkraut
844	fermentations. Journal of Food Science, 74(4), M154-M158. doi:
845	10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01123.x
846	Zhong, XF., Luo, T., Huang, GD., Deng, ZY., & Lei, L. (2012). Equimolar mixture
847	of c9,t11 and t9,t11 CLA inhibits the growth and induces apoptosis in Caco-2
848	cells. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 114(4), 479-485. doi:

849 10.1002/ejlt.201100338

850

Figure captions

Fig. 1. GC chromatograms of fatty acids of cell supernatant fluid of *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 (A) and *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8 (B) grown in MRS plus linoleic acid, after 48h.

Fig. 2. Isomers profiles (µg/mL) of supernatant and pellet of *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 (A) and *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8 (B) in MRS broth supplemented with 0.5 mg/mL of linoleic acid after 48 h of incubation. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) from four different experiments. CLA isomers marked with different letters differ significantly (P<0.05).

Fig. 3. Biofilm formation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* L2C21E8 and L3C1E8 after incubation at 30 °C for 24, 48 and 72h. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM. The cut-off (ODC) was defined as the mean OD value of the negative control. Based on the OD, strains were classified as non-biofilm producers (OD \leq ODC), weak (ODC < OD \leq 2 \times ODC), moderate (2 \times ODC < OD 4 \times ODC) or strong biofilm producers (4 \times ODC < OD). Different letters within each incubation time represent significant differences (P<0.05) between strains.

Fig. 4. Percentages of microbial adhesion to solvents and auto-aggregation of strains *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 and L3C1E8. Results are shown as the average (\pm SEM) of three independent experiments. Different letters within each solvent represent significant differences (P<0.05) between strains.

Fig. 5. Competition, inhibition and displacement of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 in the presence of *Lb. plantarum* L2C21E8 and *Lb. plantarum* L3C1E8. The data is shown as the average (\pm SEM) of three independent experiments and inhibition of *E. coli* adhesion is expressed as percentage ratios. Different letters represent significant differences (P<0.05) between strains.

Table 1- LAB screened for potential CLA production in MRS broth after 48 h. Values of *cis-9*, *trans-11* CLA concentration (μ g/mL) and percentage of conversion are indicated. Values of CLA concentration are means ± SEM of two replicates.

Strains	Accession No.	cis9. trans11 CLA (ug/mL)	% Conversion
Lactobacillus paracasei			
L2A21R9	KM096813	$3.66 \pm 0.09^{a,b}$	0.73
L2A1K8	KM096814	2.15±0.05 ^a	0.43
L2B21R1a	KM096816	2.56±0.17 ^a	0.51
L2B21R3	KM096817	2.21±0.01ª	0.44
L2B1K8	KM096818	$3.65 \pm 0.12^{a,b}$	0.73
L3A21R8	KM096819	2.73±0.41ª	0.55
L3B1M2	KM096820	2.17±0.05 ^a	0.43
L3B21R1	KM096821	3.00 ± 0.29^{a}	0.60
L3B21R2	KM096822	9.16 ± 1.72^{b}	1.83
L3B21R7	KM096823	3.28 ± 0.19^{a}	0.66
L3B1K1	KM096824	$3.43 \pm 0.75^{a,b}$	0.69
L3B21K4	KM096825	9.96 ± 1.30^{b}	1.99
L3C21M6	KM096826	7.12 ± 0.76^{b}	1.42
L3C1K8	KM096827	3.10 ± 0.55^{a}	0.62
Lactobacillus otakiensis			
L3C1R1	KM096828	$4.31\pm0.78^{a,b}$	0.86
Lactobacillus plantarum			
L2B21R1b	KM103932	3.32 ± 0.20^{a}	0.66
L2C21E8	KM103933	$17.94\pm0.13^{\circ}$	3.59
L2A21R1	KM103931	7.00 ± 2.10^{b}	1.40
L3A21R6	KM103934	$2.24{\pm}0.09^{a}$	0.45
L3C1E8	KM079361	$15.36 \pm 0.15^{\circ}$	3.07
Lactobacillus para	plantarum		
L2B21R5	KM079360	$4.29 \pm 0.24^{a,b}$	0.86
Lactococcus lactis			
L3B1M7	KM079358	2.77±0.08ª	0.55
L3A21M1	KF193424	$5.24{\pm}0.75^{a,b}$	1.05
Lactococcus garvieae			
L3B1M8	KM079359	2.78±0.30ª	0.56
Leuconostoc meser	nteroides		
L2A21E7	KM079353	2.06 ± 0.00^{a}	0.00
L2B21E3	KM079354	2.52±0.07 ^a	0.50
L3A21M4	KM079355	$4.21 \pm 0.20^{a,b}$	0.84
Leuconostoc citreum			
L3C1E7	KM079357	2.97 ± 0.07^{a}	0.59
Enterococcus faecalis			
L2B21K3	KF193420	2.42 ± 0.22^{a}	0.48
L3A1M6	KF193421	2.16±0.07 ^a	0.43
L3A21M3	KF193425	2.44 ± 0.27^{a}	0.49
L3A21M8	KF193426	2.20±0.07 ^a	0.44
L3A21K6	KF193422	$4.66 \pm 0.43^{a,b}$	0.93
L3A21K7	KF193423	$3.38 \pm 0.52^{a,b}$	0.68
L3B1K3	KF193427	$3.37 \pm 0.32^{a,b}$	0.67

* Values of CLA labelled with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 2- Probiotic characteristics of CLA producing strains. Resistance to low pH (2.5), bile salts (0.3%, w/v) and pancreatin (0.1%, w/v) and adhesion to Caco-2 and HT-29 cells. Results are presented as the average values \pm SEM from four independent experiments for resistance to low pH, bile salts and pancreatin assays, and three independent experiments for adhesion assays.

Probiotic characteristics	Time (h)	Bacterial counts (log CFU/mL)*			
		Lb. plantarum L2C21E8	Lb. plantarum L3C1E8		
	0	6.74 ± 0.24^{aA}	8.84±0.23 ^{a B}		
Resistance to	0.5	ND ^{b A}	7.95±0.72 ^{a,b B}		
pm 2.5	1	ND ^{b A}	$5.74 \pm 0.0^{b B}$		
	2	ND ^{b A}	2.25±0.14 ^{c B}		
	3	ND ^b	ND^{c}		
		Lb. plantarum L2C21E8	Lb. plantarum L3C1E8		
Resistance to bile	0	6.04±0.31 ^A	7.39±0.26 ^B		
salts $(0.3\%. w/v)$	0.5	5.86±0.01 ^A	6.89±0.25 ^B		
and pancreatin	1	5.98±0.03 ^A	7.38±0.19 ^B		
(0.1%.w/v)	2	6.25±0.29 ^A	7.42±0.23 ^B		
	3	5.88±0.07 ^A	7.35±0.22 ^B		
		Lb. plantarum L2C21E8	Lb. plantarum L3C1E8		
Adhesion to cells	Caco-2	7.36±0.07 ^a	7.66±0.21 ^a		
	HT-29	5.77±0.58 ^b	5.73±0.12 ^b		

* ND: Counts of bacteria below detection limits.

Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) within columns (among time or cells, Caco-2 and HT-29), according to Bonferroni post hoc means comparison test.

Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) within rows (among strains), according to Bonferroni post hoc means comparison test.





Fig.1







Fig.4







Highlights

- LAB strains isolated from cheese were screened for *in vitro* CLA production.
- Two Lactobacillus plantarum strains were selected as high CLA producers.
- Strains produced a high proportion (25%) of the uncommon *trans-9*, *trans-11* CLA.
- CLA producer strains were evaluated for probiotic characteristics.
- CLA producers also inhibited of *E. coli* adhesion to human cells.

CER MAR