

This article has been accepted for publication in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society ©: 2018 The Authors. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

On the relevance of chaos for halo stars in the solar neighbourhood II

Nicolas P. Maffione,^{1,2★} Facundo A. Gómez,^{3,4,5} Pablo M. Cincotta,^{6,7}
Claudia M. Giordano,^{6,7} Robert J. J. Grand,^{8,9} Federico Marinacci,¹⁰ Rüdiger Pakmor,⁸
Christine M. Simpson,⁸ Volker Springel^{5,8,9} and Carlos S. Frenk¹¹

¹Laboratorio de Procesamiento de Señales Aplicado y Computación de Alto Rendimiento, Sede Andina, Universidad Nacional de Río Negro, Mitre 630, San Carlos de Bariloche, R8400AHN Río Negro, Argentina

²CONICET, Mitre 630, San Carlos de Bariloche, R8400AHN Río Negro, Argentina

³Departamento de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de La Serena, Av. Juan Cisternas 1200 N, La Serena, Chile

⁴Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinar en Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad de La Serena, Raúl Bitrán 1305, La Serena, Chile

⁵Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

⁶Grupo de Caos en Sistemas Hamiltonianos, Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque s/n, La Plata, B1900FWA Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁷Instituto de Astrofísica de La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, CONICET, Paseo del Bosque s/n, La Plata, B1900FWA Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁸Heidelberger Institut für Theoretische Studien, Schloss-Wolfsbrunnengasse 35, D-69118 Heidelberg, Germany

⁹Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Astronomisches Recheninstitut, Mönchhofstrasse 12-14, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

¹⁰Department of Physics, Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

¹¹Institute for Computational Cosmology, Department of Physics, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Accepted 2018 May 15. Received 2018 May 15; in original form 2018 January 11

ABSTRACT

In a previous paper based on dark matter only simulations we show that, in the approximation of an analytic and static potential describing the strongly triaxial and cuspy shape of Milky Way-sized haloes, diffusion due to chaotic mixing in the neighbourhood of the Sun does not efficiently erase phase space signatures of past accretion events. In this second paper we further explore the effect of chaotic mixing using multicomponent Galactic potential models and solar neighbourhood-like volumes extracted from fully cosmological hydrodynamic simulations, thus naturally accounting for the gravitational potential associated with baryonic components, such as the bulge and disc. Despite the strong change in the global Galactic potentials with respect to those obtained in dark matter only simulations, our results confirm that a large fraction of halo particles evolving on chaotic orbits exhibit their chaotic behaviour after periods of time significantly larger than a Hubble time. In addition, significant diffusion in phase space is not observed on those particles that do exhibit chaotic behaviour within a Hubble time.

Key words: chaos – diffusion – methods: numerical – Galaxy: evolution.

1 INTRODUCTION

We are crossing the gates into a new era in astronomy research, the era of multimessenger observations, big data, and extremely detailed numerical simulations. In this promising scenario, the field of galactic archaeology is in an extraordinary position thanks to the arrival of the satellite *Gaia* (see Perryman et al. 2001; Lindegren et al. 2008). A first glimpse at the extraordinary quality of the full six-dimensional phase space catalogue that *Gaia* will provide throughout its lifetime has already been publicly released (Michalik, Lindegren & Hobbs 2015; Lindegren et al. 2016). Several studies based on these early-stage data have already pushed the boundaries

on the characterization of the extended solar neighbourhood phase space structure and its relation to the Galaxy’s formation history (Bonaca et al. 2017; Bovy 2017; Iorio et al. 2017; Monari et al. 2017; Schönrich & Dehnen 2017).

According to the Λ cold dark matter (Λ CDM) cosmological model, galaxies increase their mass through merger and accretion of smaller systems. These accretion events are expected to play a very important role in shaping the present-day chemical distribution (Matteucci 2014) and morphological and kinematical structure of the host galaxy (see Bland-Hawthorn & Freeman 2014, for a complete review on near-field cosmology). Stellar haloes of large galaxies such as our own are believed to be primarily formed as a result of the accumulation of tidal debris associated with ancient as well as recent and ongoing accretion events (Helmi 2008). Galactic discs are also strongly affected by such interactions. In addition

* E-mail: npmaffione@unrn.edu.ar

to heating and thickening pre-existing discs, satellites can generate substructure in both chemical abundance and phase space, as well as induce secular phenomena such as bars, spiral arms, and warps (see e.g. Quillen et al. 2009; Gómez et al. 2012a,b, 2013a; Minchev et al. 2014; Widrow & Bonner 2015; Gómez et al. 2016, 2017; Laporte et al. 2017, 2018).

Signatures of these accretion events can provide strong constraints on the formation history of galaxies (see Newberg & Carlin 2016, and references therein, for a recent and comprehensive discussion on the subject), such as our own Milky Way (MW; Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002). Thus, much effort has been devoted to develop methods and tools to efficiently identify and quantify substructure in different Galactic distributions. To first order, the stellar halo can be approximated as a collisionless component (Binney & Tremaine 1987) and, thus, retains its dynamical memory providing an ideal place to search for signatures of accretion events. Studies based on numerical models have predicted that a few hundred kinematically cold stellar streams should be currently crossing our solar neighbourhood (Helmi & White 1999; Helmi, White & Springel 2003; Helmi 2008). However, due to limitations of studies based on pre-*Gaia* astrometric catalogues (e.g. with ESA 1997; York et al. 2000; Skrutskie et al. 2006; Zhao et al. 2006; Zwitter et al. 2008), only a handful of stellar streams were identified (e.g. Helmi & White 1999; Helmi et al. 1999, 2006). Furthermore, studies based on *Gaia* first data release, DR1, have already revealed more substructures in our stellar halo (e.g. Helmi et al. 2017; Kushniruk, Schirmer & Bensby 2017), but the number of identified substructures is still far from the few hundred streams predicted by the models. Only with the arrival of *Gaia* second data release, DR2, we will be able to provide a more robust quantification of the amount of substructure in the solar neighbourhood.

A valid concern regarding our ability to identify signatures from old accretion events relates to the longevity of cold kinematical structures. It is well known that dark matter (DM) haloes of MW-like galaxies are expected to be triaxial (Jing & Suto 2002; Allgood et al. 2006; Vera-Ciro et al. 2011), and that a fraction of the orbits hosted by the corresponding triaxial potentials will exhibit chaotic behaviour (see e.g. Schwarzschild 1993; Merritt & Fridman 1996; Merritt & Valluri 1996; Siopis & Kandrup 2000; Voglis, Kalapotharakos & Stavropoulos 2002; Kandrup & Siopis 2003; Kalapotharakos, Voglis & Contopoulos 2004; Muzzio, Carpintero & Wachlin 2005; Efthymiopoulos, Voglis & Kalapotharakos 2007). As shown by Helmi & White (1999), Vogelsberger et al. (2008), Gómez et al. (2013b), and Maffione et al. (2015, hereinafter Paper I), the density of a stellar stream on a chaotic orbit decays exponentially with time, as opposed to the power-law decay associated with regular orbits. As a consequence, the identification of stellar streams on chaotic orbits is extremely challenging as they can quickly blend with the background stellar distribution, even in velocity space. More importantly, within relevant time-scales, strong chaotic behaviour can lead to diffusion in the space of pseudo-integrals of motion, such as energy and angular momentum (Poveda, Allen & Schuster 1992; Schuster & Allen 1997; Valluri et al. 2013). As a result, signatures of stellar streams can be effectively erased, hindering our hopes of constraining our Galactic accretion history through the identification and quantification of substructures in phase space (for a comprehensive review on chaos in galaxies, see the book by Contopoulos 2002, and references therein).

In Paper I we tackled this problem by characterizing the orbital distribution of star particles located within solar neighbourhood-like volumes extracted from stellar halo models based on DM-only simulations (Springel et al. 2008a,b; Cooper et al. 2010). Our re-

sults showed that ~ 70 per cent of these orbits, evolving within strongly triaxial potentials, could be classified as chaotic. However, only $\lesssim 20$ per cent of these particles revealed their chaotic nature within a Hubble time. The remaining orbits classified as chaotic (~ 50 per cent of the total) revealed their chaotic behaviour only after a Hubble time. These orbits, dubbed as ‘sticky’ (see Tsiganis, Anastasiadis & Varvoglis 2000, and Paper I and references therein for further details), are particularly important. They have an intrinsically chaotic nature. However, for halo stars moving on such orbits, chaotic mixing will not have enough time to act. Furthermore, an analysis based on first-order expansions of the underlying potentials demonstrated that diffusion in phase space is not significant on any realistic time-scale (in agreement with previous works, see for instance: Giordano & Cincotta 2004; Cincotta, Giordano & Pérez 2006; Cincotta et al. 2014), even for those orbits that revealed their chaotic nature within a Hubble time.

Although chaotic mixing is non-negligible (e.g. Pearson et al. 2015; Hattori, Erkal & Sanders 2016; Erkal, Koposov & Belokurov 2017) and might be strongly relevant for the morphological structure of very cold streams (see for instance Price-Whelan et al. 2016a,b), our results suggested that it is not efficient at erasing signatures of accretion events. However, as mentioned before, this study was based on stellar halo models extracted from DM-only simulations. Due to the lack of a baryon component, the overall galactic potentials were clearly a poor representation of the true Galactic potential, especially within the inner Galactic regions. The addition of baryons does not only modify the potential through their additional mass distribution, but also significantly alters the density profile of the DM halo within which the baryons are embedded (e.g. Gnedin et al. 2004; Sawala et al. 2016; Zhu et al. 2016). Previous studies based on cosmological hydrodynamical simulations have shown that, when baryons are taken into account, DM haloes present a significantly more oblate distribution in the inner regions (for instance: Dubinski 1994; Gustafsson, Fairbairn & Sommer-Larsen 2006; Debattista et al. 2008; Abadi et al. 2010), thus enhancing the asymmetry within the inner and the outer galactic regions.

In this work, we take a step forward on this matter by characterizing the effects of chaotic mixing in solar neighbourhood-like volumes extracted from fully cosmological hydrodynamical simulations of the formation of MW-like galaxies (Marinacci, Pakmor & Springel 2014; Grand et al. 2017). These simulations naturally account for the effects associated with the gravitational potential of the baryonic components (such as the bulge and disc), and thus can be used to characterize the efficiency of chaotic mixing in a more realistic scenario.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly introduce the simulations, models, and techniques used in this study. Our results on the actual relevance of chaos in erasing kinematic signatures of accretion events in the local stellar halo are presented in Sections 3 and 4 and, finally, we discuss and summarize our results in Section 5.

2 METHODOLOGY

In this section we briefly describe the simulations and numerical tools used to characterize and quantify chaotic behaviour within solar neighbourhood-like phase space volumes.

2.1 Simulations

In this study we focus on a set of seven fully cosmological hydrodynamic zoom-in simulations of MW-like galaxies, extracted from Marinacci et al. (2014) and Grand et al. (2017).

The simulations were carried out using the N -body + moving-mesh, magnetohydrodynamic code `AREPO` (Springel 2010; Pakmor et al. 2016). A standard Λ CDM cosmology was adopted in both cases. The values chosen for the different cosmological parameters are very similar and can be found on the corresponding papers.

The baryonic physics model implemented in `AREPO` follows a number of processes that play a key role in the formation of late-type galaxies, such as gas cooling/heating, star formation, mass return and metal enrichment from stellar evolution, the growth of supermassive black holes, magnetic fields (Pakmor & Springel 2013; Pakmor et al. 2017), and feedback both from stellar sources and from black hole accretion. The parameters that regulate the efficiency of each physical process were chosen by comparing the results obtained in simulations of cosmologically representative volumes to a wide range of observations of the galaxy population (Vogelsberger et al. 2013; Marinacci et al. 2014; Grand et al. 2016).

In order to contrast our results with those presented in Paper I, first we use a hydrodynamic re-simulation of one of the haloes from the *Aquarius Project* (Springel et al. 2008a,b), also run with the code `AREPO`. This simulation, namely Aq-C4 (for simulation Aq-C at the resolution level 4), was first introduced in Marinacci et al. (2014).

However, most of the simulations used in this work are taken from the *Auriga Project*. This suite is composed of 30 high-resolution cosmological zoom-in simulations of the formation of late-type galaxies within MW-sized DM haloes. The haloes were selected from a lower resolution DM-only simulation from the *Eagle Project* (Schaye et al. 2015), a periodic box of side 100 Mpc. Each halo was chosen to have, at $z = 0$, a virial mass in the range of (10^{12}) – $(2 \times 10^{12}) M_{\odot}$ and to be more distant than nine times the virial radius from any other halo of mass more than 3 per cent of its own mass. The typical DM particle and gas cell mass resolutions for the simulations used in this work (Aq-C4 and *Auriga*, also resolution level 4) are $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ and $\sim 6 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$, respectively. The gravitational softening length used for DM and stars grows with a scale factor up to a maximum of 369 pc, after which it is kept constant in physical units. The softening length of gas cells scales with the mean radius of the cell, but is never allowed to drop below the stellar softening length. A resolution study across three resolution levels (Grand et al. 2017) shows that many galaxy properties, such as surface density profiles, orbital circularity distributions, star formation histories, and disc vertical structures, are already well converged at the resolution level used in this work. We will refer to the *Auriga* simulations as ‘Au- i ’, with ‘ i ’ enumerating the different initial conditions, as in Grand et al. (2017). The main properties of each simulation at $z = 0$ are listed in Table 1. A detailed description of how these parameters were obtained is given in Marinacci et al. (2014) and Grand et al. (2017).

Finally, it is important to highlight that, as discussed below, our analytic Galactic potentials do not account for the effect of Galactic bars. Thus, the simulations used in this work were chosen so that they do not present strong Galactic bars at $z = 0$. Note that, even though the time varying potential associated with a bar can enhance the strength of chaotic diffusion in the very inner galactic region (Fux 2001; Quillen 2003; Chakrabarty 2007; Chakrabarty & Sideris 2008; Shevchenko 2011), it is unlikely to play a significant role in erasing signatures of past and ongoing Galactic accretion events within the solar neighbourhood and beyond. Though, we defer the detailed study of this aspect to future work (Maffione et al., in preparation).

Table 1. Main properties of the Aq-C4 and the six *Auriga* simulations (resolution level 4) at $z = 0$ from Marinacci et al. (2014) and Grand et al. (2017), respectively. The first column labels the simulation. From left to right, the columns give the virial radius, r_{200} ; the concentration parameter c_{NFW} of the underlying DM haloes; the DM mass M_{200} , and the stellar mass M_{\star} inside the virial radius.

Name	r_{200} (kpc)	c_{NFW}	M_{200} ($10^{10} M_{\odot}$)	M_{\star} ($10^{10} M_{\odot}$)
Aq-C4	234.4	16.03	145.71	5.31
Au-3	239.02	15.6	145.78	7.75
Au-6	213.83	11	104.39	4.75
Au-15	225.4	7.9	122.25	3.93
Au-16	241.48	9.3	150.33	5.41
Au-19	224.57	8.3	120.9	5.32
Au-21	238.65	14.2	145.09	7.72

2.2 The galactic potential

In order to characterize the dynamics of MW-like stellar haloes by recourse to the chaos indicator (hereinafter CI) used in the present effort, the high-precision numerical integration of both the equations of motion and their first variational equations is required (see Section 2.4 for further details). Indeed, the variational equations are needed to track the temporal evolution of the separation between initially nearby orbits in phase space (see the appendix in Paper I for details). Therefore, as an analytic representation of the underlying galactic potential is in order, we describe the potential of each simulated galaxy by a superposition of suitable analytic and static models representing the different galactic components. Other approximations, based on series expansion of the underlying potential can be very accurate (i.e. Clutton-Brock 1973; Hernquist & Ostriker 1992; Weinberg 1999; Kalapotharakos, Efthymiopoulos & Voglis 2008; Lowing et al. 2011; Vasiliev 2013; Meiron et al. 2014). However, a rather large number of terms should be considered, thus rendering unfeasible the derivation of the first variational equations (for further discussion see Paper I).

Our analytic Galactic potential contains four different contributions corresponding to the central nuclear region, the bulge, the disc, and the DM halo:

$$\Phi_{\text{MW}} = \Phi_{\text{nuc}} + \Phi_{\text{bul}} + \Phi_{\text{disc}} + \Phi_{\text{DM}2}. \quad (1)$$

The values of the parameters that describe each Galactic component are directly extracted from the numerical simulations, presented in last section, as described in Marinacci et al. (2014) and Grand et al. (2017). The concentration and virial radius that describe each DM halo are obtained by fitting a Navarro, Frenk & White profile (Navarro, Frenk & White 1996, 1997) to the corresponding DM particle distribution, and are listed in Table 1. For the stellar component, a decomposition of the surface density profile into an exponential disc and a Sérsic profile is performed to obtain disc scale lengths and bulge effective radii, as well as their relative mass contributions. Masses are slightly re-calibrated by fitting our analytic models to the total circular velocity curves extracted from the simulations, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Note that, through this process, the total stellar mass is kept constant. Resulting values are listed in Table 2.

We highlight that, as in Paper I, the cosmological simulations considered in this work are only used to extract the parameters that characterize the underlying potentials, and to obtain realistic models of the phase space distributions of solar neighbourhood-like volumes (see the next section). Our goal is not to accurately




Figure 1. Total circular velocity curves V_{cir} as a function of galactocentric distance R for one of our analytic and static representations of the MW (red solid line) and its simulated MW-like galaxy counterpart taken from a cosmological hydrodynamic simulation (blue points). The resemblance, particularly in the solar neighbourhood-like volume [i.e. $5 \lesssim R(\text{kpc}) \lesssim 11$], is reassuring.

characterize the impact of chaos in the Aq-C4 or *Auriga* local stellar haloes themselves. Instead, we aim to obtain reasonable descriptions of these numerically simulated galaxies to reflect in our results the expected variations in the galactic potential associated with the galaxy formation process. Sampling initial conditions from a self-consistent model and later evolving them in a slightly different potential should increase the fraction of chaotic orbits in the sample (Valluri et al. 2012). Thus, under the approximation of our static potentials, the fraction of chaotic orbits within the phase space volumes analysed here will likely be overestimated with respect to that associated with the best possible analytical representation of the underlying potential.

We acknowledge that, despite the benefits of dealing with analytic and static representations of the galactic potentials, these models have their own strong limitations. For example, we are not accounting for how substructure as well as time dependence could enhance the efficiency of diffusion in phase space (see Peñarrubia 2013, and Section 5 for further discussion). These issues will be tackled in a forthcoming paper.

In what follows, we describe each galactic component.

2.2.1 The central nuclear region

The presence of a supermassive black hole and a nuclear star cluster in the inner galactic regions (Launhardt, Zylka & Mezger 2002) can significantly amplify the amount of chaos as their mass profiles contribute to a cuspy shape (see for instance Valluri & Merritt 1998; Kandrup & Sideris 2001). In our analysis, this component is particularly important for box orbits that are currently crossing our simulated solar neighbourhood-like volumes. Therefore, to model such a component, which dominates the mass distribution within

the inner ~ 30 pc, we use a Plummer sphere (Plummer 1911):

$$\Phi_{\text{nuc}} = -\frac{B}{\sqrt{r^2 + (\epsilon_{\text{nuc}}^s)^2}}, \quad (2)$$

where the constant B is defined as $B = G M_{\text{nuc}}$, with G the gravitational constant, M_{nuc} the estimated mass enclosed in the central region, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ the usual galactocentric distance, and ϵ_{nuc}^s the radial scale length. All the values of the parameters for MW model C4 are obtained from simulation Aq-C4 (Marinacci et al. 2014), except for ϵ_{nuc}^s which is taken from Launhardt et al. (2002). The nuclear region has the same values of the parameters for all of our MW models and it is not included in Table 2 for the sake of brevity: the mass being $M_{\text{nuc}} = 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$, and the scale length radius, $\epsilon_{\text{nuc}}^s = 0.03$ kpc.

2.2.2 The bulge

The stellar bulge is the dominant component within ~ 1 kpc (Launhardt et al. 2002). In this case, we use a Hernquist profile (Hernquist 1990) with a scale length, ϵ_{bul}^s :

$$\Phi_{\text{bul}} = -\frac{C}{r + \epsilon_{\text{bul}}^s}, \quad (3)$$

where C is a constant defined as $C = G M_{\text{bul}}$, with M_{bul} its total mass.

2.2.3 The disc

To model a stellar disc with a double exponential density profile we follow the procedure described by Smith et al. (2015). The idea behind this method is to approximate an exponential profile by the superposition of three different Miyamoto & Nagai (MN) profiles (Miyamoto & Nagai 1975). In our case, the mass distribution of the resulting models deviates from the radial mass distribution of a pure exponential disc by <1 per cent out to four disc scale lengths, and by <6 per cent out to ten disc scale lengths. Smith et al. (2015) provide a user-friendly online web-form that computes the best-fitting parameters for an exponential disc,¹

$$\rho(R, z) = \rho_0 \exp(-R/\epsilon_{\text{disc}}^s) \exp(-|z|/\epsilon_{\text{disc}}^h), \quad (4)$$

with $\rho(R, z)$ the axisymmetric density, $R = \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}$ the projected galactocentric distance, ρ_0 the central density and when the desired total mass M_{disc} , scale length ϵ_{disc}^s , and scale height ϵ_{disc}^h are provided.

Let us remind the reader that the potential of a single MN disc obeys the following expression:

$$\Phi_{\text{disc}}^{\text{MN}} = -\frac{D}{\sqrt{R^2 + \left[\epsilon_{\text{disc}}^{\text{MN}} + \sqrt{z^2 + (\epsilon_{\text{disc}}^h)^2} \right]^2}}, \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon_{\text{disc}}^{\text{MN}}$ and ϵ_{disc}^h are the scale length and scale height of the MN disc, respectively (it should be noticed that ϵ_{disc}^h is the same for the exponential and the three MN discs). Furthermore, D is a constant defined as $D = G M_{\text{disc}}^{\text{MN}}$ with $M_{\text{disc}}^{\text{MN}}$, its mass.

The experiments performed in this work consider the double exponential approximation described above.

¹<http://astronomy.swin.edu.au/~cflynn/expmaker.php>

Table 2. Parameters of the components for the C4 and the six *Auriga* MW models. The first column labels the model. From left to right, the columns give: the mass, M_{bul} , and the scale length radius, ϵ_{bul}^s , of the bulge; the mass, M_{disc} , the scale length radius, ϵ_{disc}^s , and the scale height radius, ϵ_{disc}^h , of the disc; the distance from the Galactic centre where the solar neighbourhood-like sphere is located, R_{sph} ($2.65 \times \epsilon_{\text{disc}}^s$); the intermediate-to-major, b/a , and the minor-to-major, c/a , principal axis ratios in the inner parts as well as b'/a' and c'/a' , the corresponding principal axis ratios in the outer parts; the triaxiality parameter for the inner (T_{inner}) and the outer (T_{outer}) regions.

Name	M_{bul} ($10^{10} M_{\odot}$)	ϵ_{bul}^s (kpc)	M_{disc} ($10^{10} M_{\odot}$)	ϵ_{disc}^s (kpc)	ϵ_{disc}^h (kpc)	R_{sph} (kpc)	b/a	c/a	b'/a'	c'/a'	T_{inner}	T_{outer}
C4	0.47	0.84	5.96	3.12	0.3	8	0.99	0.94	0.92	0.88	0.227	0.681
Au-3	4.10	1.51	4.29	7.50	0.3	19.875	0.998	0.926	0.976	0.935	0.022	0.379
Au-6	1.37	1.30	3.22	4.53	0.3	12.005	0.996	0.922	0.953	0.899	0.055	0.477
Au-15	0.79	0.90	2.74	4	0.3	10.06	0.996	0.93	0.976	0.94	0.057	0.419
Au-16	2.20	1.56	3.57	7.84	0.3	20.776	0.999	0.944	0.999	0.949	0.023	0.021
Au-19	2.02	1.02	2.88	4.31	0.3	11.422	0.989	0.931	0.964	0.907	0.160	0.399
Au-21	3.48	1.36	3.86	4.93	0.3	13.065	0.995	0.943	0.99	0.961	0.083	0.251

2.2.4 The dark matter halo

Navarro et al. (1996, 1997) introduced a universal spherical density profile (NFW profile) that provides a reasonable fitting to the mass distribution of DM haloes of galaxies over a very wide range of mass and redshift. It has been shown since, however, that DM haloes are not spherical as assumed by this potential. In the absence of baryons, fully cosmological simulations have shown that DM haloes are strongly triaxial, with their shape varying as a function of galactocentric distance (see e.g. Allgood et al. 2006; Vera-Ciro et al. 2011). To model this behaviour, Paper I uses a triaxial extension of the NFW profile (introduced in Vogelsberger et al. 2008),

$$\Phi_{\text{DM}} = -\frac{A}{r_{\text{p}}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{r_{\text{p}}}{r_{\text{s}}} \right), \quad (6)$$

where A is a constant defined as

$$A = \frac{G M_{200}}{\ln(1 + c_{\text{NFW}}) - c_{\text{NFW}} / (1 + c_{\text{NFW}})}, \quad (7)$$

with M_{200} being the virial mass of the DM halo and c_{NFW} the concentration parameter; $r_{\text{s}} = r_{200}/c_{\text{NFW}}$ is a scale radius with r_{200} the virial radius. The triaxiality of this potential is introduced through r_{p} ,

$$r_{\text{p}} = \frac{(r_{\text{s}} + r)r_{\text{i}}}{r_{\text{s}} + r_{\text{i}}}, \quad (8)$$

where r_{i} is an ellipsoidal radius for the inner parts defined as

$$r_{\text{i}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^2}. \quad (9)$$

The quantities b/a and c/a represent the intermediate-to-major and minor-to-major principal axis ratios and are defined such that $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3$. Note that the potential shape changes from ellipsoidal in the inner regions to near spherical at the scale radius, r_{s} . Thus, for $r \ll r_{\text{s}}$, $r_{\text{p}} \simeq r_{\text{i}}$, and for $r \gg r_{\text{s}}$, $r_{\text{p}} \simeq r$ (Vogelsberger et al. 2008).

The addition of baryons, however, significantly alters the DM phase space distribution. As a result of the central accumulation of baryons, DM haloes in cosmological hydrodynamic simulations are found to be more oblate than triaxial in the inner parts (e.g. Marinacci et al. 2014; Grand et al. 2017). To account for this, we introduce a ‘bi-triaxial’ extension of the NFW profile by defining a new parameter:

$$r'_{\text{p}} = \frac{(r_{\text{s}} + r_0)r_{\text{i}}}{r_{\text{s}} + r_{\text{i}}}, \quad (10)$$

where a second ellipsoidal radius, r_0 , is defined as

$$r_0 = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{a'}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b'}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{z}{c'}\right)^2}, \quad (11)$$

with $a'^2 + b'^2 + c'^2 = 3$, while $(b/a, c/a)$ and $(b'/a', c'/a')$ denote the principal axis ratios of the DM halo in the inner and outer regions, respectively, with a smooth transition taking place at r_{s} . Thus, the new potential is simply $\Phi_{\text{DM}2} = \Phi_{\text{DM}}(r'_{\text{p}})$. Fig. 2 shows an example of isopotentials in the outer and inner parts of $\Phi_{\text{DM}2}$. The transition from mildly triaxial to a more oblate shape can clearly be seen as the centre is approached (compare Fig. 2 with fig. 10 of Vogelsberger et al. 2008). Note, however, that our models have less exaggerated (and more realistic) axis ratios (see Table 2 in Section 3.1) than those considered in the example, and the transition is not so evident.

2.3 Cosmologically motivated initial conditions

To investigate the efficiency of chaotic mixing associated with the galactic potential described in Section 2.2, equation (1), we first need to model the distribution of tracer particles in phase space. Rather than stochastically sampling the associated phase space distribution, we select particles from the different simulated galaxies as described below.

To characterize how the efficiency of chaotic mixing varies as a function of galactocentric radius, we first select stellar particles located within a 15° wedge, whose axis coincides with the disc semimajor axis. For these experiments we will focus only on the simulation dubbed Aq-C4. To increase the numerical resolutions we include stellar particles located within diametrically opposed wedges. Within these wedges, with vertex in the galactic centre, we consider star particles with $0.5 \leq r \leq 50.5$ kpc, where r represents the galactocentric distance. Note that here we consider stellar particles born both *in situ* and in accreted satellites.

In addition, and to compare with the results presented in Paper I, we also select all DM particles that are located within a sphere of 2.5 kpc radius, centred at 8 kpc along the semimajor axis of the DM halo in the Aq-C4 simulation. Note that the disc scale length in this simulation is similar to that of the MW. Thus, this region can be regarded as a solar neighbourhood-like volume. The larger number of DM particles, with respect to their stellar counterparts, allows us to characterize more robustly the efficiency of chaotic mixing within this relatively small volume.

In Section 3.3 we analyse the *Auriga* simulations to characterize how the stochasticity inherent to the process of galaxy formation can affect the fraction of chaotic orbits in solar neighbourhood




Figure 2. Isopotentials for the outer (top panel) and inner parts (bottom panel) of our ‘bi-triaxial’ extension of the NFW profile. The potential becomes more oblate as the centre is approached.

analogues. Stellar particles located within a sphere of 5 kpc radius are selected on each simulation. Note that the final scale lengths of our simulated galactic discs show a great diversity. Thus, in order to select regions with the same density contrast, the spheres are centred at galactocentric distances of $2.65 \times \epsilon_{\text{Au}-i}^s$, where 2.65 is the ratio between the Sun’s galactocentric distance (~ 8 kpc) and the scale length of the MW disc (~ 3 kpc), and $\epsilon_{\text{Au}-i}^s$ being the ϵ_{disc}^s for the simulated galaxy in simulation Au-i.

2.4 Chaos indicator: the Orthogonal Fast Lyapunov Indicator

In this work we use the Orthogonal Fast Lyapunov Indicator, OFLI (Fouchard et al. 2002), to quantify and characterize the fraction of chaotic orbits within different phase space volumes. Here we briefly describe the method and refer the reader to Paper I, and references therein, for further details.²

²Note that this study is supported by similar results based on other CI, the MEGNO (see for instance Cincotta & Simó 2000; Cincotta, Giordano & Simó 2003; Goździewski, Konacki & Wolszczan 2005; Compère, Lemaître & Delsate 2012; Cincotta & Giordano 2016). The orbital classification obtained with the approximate galactic potential, described in Section 2.2, is thus robust. None the less, for the sake of brevity, the results based on the

The basic idea behind the OFLI is to track the time evolution of the distortion of an initially infinitesimal local phase space volume surrounding any given orbital initial condition. The rate at which this volume expands along the direction of maximum distortion can be used to identify chaos. In practice, we follow the time evolution of a unit deviation vector $\hat{\mathbf{w}}(t)$, evolving on a N -dimensional Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} along a given solution of the equations of motion (i.e. the orbit) $\gamma(t)$. The vector $\hat{\mathbf{w}}(t)$ is initially chosen normal to the energy surface (in order to avoid spurious structures; see Barrio 2016) and, as it evolves, we take its orthogonal component to the flow, $\hat{\mathbf{w}}(t)^\perp \in \mathbb{R}$. Its largest value (sup, or minimum upper bound) between an initial time t_0 and a stopping time t_f is retained. The OFLI is then defined as

$$\text{OFLI}^\gamma(t_f) = \sup_{t_0 < t < t_f} [\hat{\mathbf{w}}(t)^\perp], \quad (12)$$

for the orbit γ . For both chaotic and non-periodic regular orbits, the value of the OFLI $^\gamma$ tends to infinity as time increases. However, on a logarithmic time-scale, the OFLI $^\gamma$ presents an exponential growth for chaotic orbits, while it is linear for resonant and non-resonant regular orbits (with different rates). In the case of periodic orbits, it oscillates around a constant value (for further details, we refer the reader to Fouchard et al. 2002).

From now on, we integrate the orbits and compute the preferred CI using the LP-VICODE code (see Carpintero, Maffione & Darriba 2014). The numerical integrator conserves energy to an accuracy of one part in 10^{-12} or less for all the experiments throughout the paper.

2.5 Orbital classification

As discussed in Paper I, the local spatial density of a star moving on a chaotic orbit decreases exponentially with time. Stellar streams moving on these orbits experience a rapid phase space mixing process, thus eroding signatures of past accretion events. In contrast, the local density of a star moving on a regular orbit falls with time as a power law, with an exponent less than or equal to 3 (a significantly lower rate; Helmi & White 1999; Vogelsberger et al. 2008; Gómez et al. 2013b). The chances of finding stellar streams in the solar neighbourhood are thus higher if they are evolving on regular orbits.

In Paper I we presented an analysis that highlighted the very strong connection between the time evolution of the local (stream) density around a given particle and the time evolution of the corresponding OFLI. More precisely, we showed that if the OFLI grows linearly (i.e. regular behaviour), then the associated local density decreases as a power law, with index less than or equal to 3. An exponential growth of the OFLI, instead, reflects an exponential decay of the corresponding local density.

To characterize the impact of chaotic mixing on the different local phase space distributions, we proceed as in Paper I. We examine two central aspects associated with chaotic mixing efficiency: (i) the distribution of chaos onset times, i.e. the time at which a given orbit starts to showcase its chaotic behaviour, and (ii) the chaotic mixing diffusion rate, a mechanism that can lead to a significant drift in the integrals of motion space. To tackle (i), we compute

MEGNO are not included. For a thorough discussion on the advantages and disadvantages of the most popular CIs found in the literature we refer the reader to Maffione, Giordano & Cincotta (2011a), Maffione et al. (2011b), Darriba et al. (2012), Maffione et al. (2013), Skokos, Gottwald & Laskar (2016), and references therein.

the time evolution of the OFLI of stellar and DM particles located within different local volumes (see Section 2.3), by integrating the orbits for a maximum period of 1000 Gyr. We use such a long timespan to identify very sticky orbits reliably (see below). We then classify as chaotic orbits those that show chaos onset times smaller than 10 Gyr, i.e. approximately within a Hubble time. Orbits that showcase their chaotic behaviour on time-scales larger than a Hubble time are classified as sticky orbits. Note that the latter should not be associated with the concept of weakly chaotic orbits. Sticky orbits are orbits that behave as regular for long periods of time, with their associated local stream densities evolving as a power law until they reach the chaotic sea. Instead, weakly chaotic orbits behave like chaotic orbits right from the beginning, with local stream densities evolving exponentially, albeit with a very small exponent.

Orbits that never showcase chaotic behaviour are classified as regular. These threshold-dependent definitions are arbitrary. However, based on them it is possible to isolate orbits which are likely to showcase chaotic behaviour within relevant and physical periods of time from those in which chaos is clearly irrelevant (see Paper I for further details). To address (ii), we quantify the diffusion of pseudo-integrals of motion for large ensembles of initially nearby test particles in phase space.

3 THE ACTUAL RELEVANCE OF CHAOS IN MULTICOMPONENT TRIAXIAL POTENTIALS

The OFLI allows us to robustly characterize the time evolution of the local (stream) density around any stellar particle (as shown in Paper I). In what follows, we use this tool to quantify the fraction of particles, located within different volumes, that are moving on regular, sticky, and chaotic orbits. A large fraction of chaotic orbits would indicate that substructure in phase space, especially those associated with the oldest accretion events, may have been efficiently erased due to chaotic mixing. This is especially relevant for the inner galactic regions, such as the solar neighbourhood, due to the much shorter dynamical time-scales associated with the corresponding orbits.

3.1 The distribution of chaos onset times as a function of galactocentric distance

In this section we focus on the stellar particles located within 15° wedges (see Section 2.3), extracted from the simulation Aq-C4. The corresponding distribution of initial conditions is dissected in bins of different galactocentric distances. Each bin covers a different (non-overlapping) galactocentric distance range of 5 kpc and contains at least of the order of 500 stellar particles.

In order to compute the OFLI, we integrate the equations of motion together with the first variational equations (see the appendix in Paper I), assuming an analytic and static MW potential of the form given by equation (1), i.e.

$$\Phi_{\text{MW}}^{\text{C4}} = \Phi_{\text{nuc}} + \Phi_{\text{bul}} + \Phi_{\text{disc}} + \Phi_{\text{DM2}}, \quad (13)$$

denoted as model C4 (see Section 2.2 for further descriptions of each component). The values of the parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2. To describe the shape of this potential, we use the triaxiality parameter (Franx, Illingworth & de Zeeuw 1991),

$$T = \frac{1 - (b/a)^2}{1 - (c/a)^2}. \quad (14)$$

The shape of the DM halo can be characterized as mainly oblate for values of $0 \leq T < 0.333$, strongly triaxial for $0.333 \leq T \leq$




Figure 3. Time evolution of the OFLI for 4410 DM particles considered for the MW model C4 and within an interval of time long enough to identify very sticky orbits (1000 Gyr). The upper limit used as a threshold for regular motion is shown with a blue solid line. The 10 Gyr threshold used to isolate sticky from chaotic orbits is shown with a vertical dashed blue line. The three orbital components, i.e. regular, sticky, and chaotic, can be clearly distinguished by using the OFLI with both simple thresholds. Notice the logarithmic time-scale.

0.666, and mainly prolate for $0.666 < T \leq 1$. The principal axis ratios in the inner parts ($r \lesssim r_s$) of the corresponding DM halo are computed using DM particles located within the first 10 kpc. Then, the triaxiality of the Aq-C4 halo in the inner regions is $T_{\text{inner}} \sim 0.227$ (an oblate shape). For the outer parts ($r \gg r_s$) the principal axis ratios are computed using DM particles located within 40 and 70 kpc. The triaxiality parameter here is $T_{\text{outer}} \sim 0.681$ (a mildly prolate shape).

The orbits of the stellar particles are integrated over a 1000 Gyr timespan, with a time-step of 1 Myr.³ The orbits of the particles are then classified according to the shape of their OFLI time evolution curves following the procedure presented in Paper I and revisited below.

As an example, and to demonstrate our method, we first show in Fig. 3 the time evolution of the OFLI for a subset of 4410 DM particles located within a solar neighbourhood-like volume (the same subset will be used in the next section).⁴ It is clear that, for some orbits, the OFLI quickly diverges exponentially, whereas for others it continues to grow slowly following a power law in time. For large samples of orbits, such as those shown in Fig. 3, individually inspecting each curve to estimate the chaos onset times (time at which the OFLI starts to diverge exponentially) becomes unfeasible. We address this by introducing a time evolving threshold value, which essentially is an upper limit for the typical linear behaviour of the OFLI seen in regular orbits. This threshold, indicated with a blue solid line in Fig. 3, evolves linearly with time and envelopes all the curves that present a linear behaviour. Particles are classified as either sticky or chaotic as soon as their corresponding OFLI crosses this threshold. Note that threshold crossings within the first Gyr of evolution are neglected as this period corresponds to the typical transient stage of the indicator. Chaotic orbits are defined

³An integration time-step of 10^{-2} Myr for a total integration time of 10 Gyr was also used in order to check numerical convergence: no changes were found in the results.

⁴We advise the readers affected with the common form of red–green colour blindness to convert the paper in a grayscale format to distinguish the chaotic from the sticky components in the figures through the manuscript.




Figure 4. Orbital-type distribution as a function of the bin mean galactocentric distance value for MW model C4. Stellar particles on chaotic orbits remain bounded under ~ 27.45 per cent at all galactocentric distances and below ~ 17.51 per cent if the innermost bin is removed.

as those which cross the threshold within the first 10 Gyr of their evolution. This 10 Gyr *barrier* is depicted in Fig. 3 by a vertical dashed blue line. In a handful of cases we find that, even though the OFLI crossed the threshold at an early stage, it later continued to evolve linearly with time. Thus, the fraction of chaotic orbits within each volume may be slightly overestimated. In other words, our procedure provides conservative estimates.

The results of this procedure are presented in Fig. 4, where we show the fraction of regular (black solid squares), sticky (green open squares), and chaotic (red open dots) orbits, as a function of the mean galactocentric distance of the corresponding bin. We find that the fraction of regular orbits shows a very mild decrease as we move from the inner to the outer galactic regions, with orbital fractions in between ~ 37 and ~ 53 per cent. A more significant galactocentric distance dependence is shown by sticky and chaotic orbits, with orbital fractions varying from 20 to 55 per cent and 30 to 5 per cent, respectively. Note that sticky and chaotic orbits show approximately a specular behaviour. Due to the longer dynamical time-scales associated with the outer galactic regions, clearly the fraction of chaotic orbits that exhibit their chaotic behaviour within a Hubble time becomes progressively smaller.

Mainly, we find that, at all galactocentric distances ≈ 70 –95 per cent of the orbits show a regular behaviour within a Hubble time (i.e. regular + sticky orbits by our definitions), with associated local stream densities that decrease as a power law rather than the much faster exponential decay associated with chaos. At the location of the Sun (bin enclosed between 5.5 and 10.5 kpc, i.e. centred at 8 kpc), this fraction takes a value of $\gtrsim 80$ per cent. Comparison with the results presented in Paper I (where only $\lesssim 20$ per cent of orbits could experience chaotic mixing) suggests that considering a multicomponent potential, including a central super massive black hole, a bulge, an axisymmetric disc, and a double triaxial DM halo, does not significantly enhance the relevance of chaos within a Hubble time. We will further explore this in the following sections.

3.2 The relevance of baryons

In the previous section we have shown that, under the particular set-up used for the multicomponent Galactic potential, the fraction of orbits exhibiting chaotic behaviour within a Hubble time is small in a solar neighbourhood-like volume ($\lesssim 20$ per cent). In this section

we will explore whether different configurations of the baryonic components affect this result. To increase the numerical resolution, in these experiments we will analyse the orbits of the ≈ 4400 DM particles enclosed within a 2.5 kpc sphere centred 8 kpc from the Galactic centre of the C4 model (see Section 2.3)

The orbits of this subset of DM particles are first integrated in a Galactic potential that only considers the double triaxial DM halo, i.e.

$$\Phi_{\text{MW}}^{\text{C4}} = \Phi_{\text{DM2}}. \quad (15)$$

We will use this result as a reference to contrast against the results obtained when the different baryonic components of the potential are introduced. Recall that, as discussed in Section 2.2, the fraction of chaotic orbits expected after integrating initial conditions that are not self-consistent with the Galactic potential are larger than what would be obtained from the corresponding self-consistent model.⁵ The fraction of regular, sticky and chaotic orbits in this experiment are 76, 23.4, and 0.6 per cent, respectively. It is evident that the fraction of chaotic orbits within a Hubble time is negligible for this potential.

In Paper I we estimated the fraction of chaotic orbits within solar neighbourhood-like volumes extracted from the *Aquarius* DM-only simulations. In particular, for the DM-only version of the simulation analysed in this section, the fractions of regular, sticky, and chaotic orbits found were 31.6, 46.6, and 21.8 per cent, respectively. It is clear that the inclusion of baryons in the Aq-C4 simulation resulted in a significant reduction of the chaotic orbits within solar neighbourhood-like volumes. As can be seen from Zhu et al. (2016, fig. 8), where the shape of the main DM halo of the DM-only and hydro simulations are compared as a function of galactocentric distance, the addition of baryons strongly reduces the triaxiality within the inner galactic regions.

We now integrate the same subset of orbits, now including in the Galactic potential the two main baryonic components, i.e.

$$\Phi_{\text{MW}}^{\text{C4}} = \Phi_{\text{bul}} + \Phi_{\text{disc}} + \Phi_{\text{DM2}}. \quad (16)$$

The fractions of regular, sticky, and chaotic orbits are 50.5, 34.1, and 15.4 per cent, respectively. Note that the fraction of chaotic orbits has significantly increased with the inclusion of these two components. This result shows that, while the asymmetry of the DM halo inner parts is the source of chaos for stellar halo particles in this solar neighbourhood-like volume, the bulge–disc pair plays a significant role in amplifying the occurrence of chaotic motion. As we show in what follows, this is due to a significant enhancement of the asymmetry between the inner and outer Galactic potential.

To study the impact of these chaos amplifiers, we repeat the latter experiment, now varying the masses of both baryonic components while keeping the total mass of the pair constant. The results are shown in Fig. 5, where D/T is the disc to total baryonic mass fraction.

Comparison with the result obtained considering the potential given by equation (16), shows that associating all the baryonic mass to the galactic bulge ($D/T = 0$) results in a reduction of the fraction of sticky and chaotic orbits; 11.6 and 3.5 per cent, respectively. The addition of this massive spherical bulge component, which dominates the potential in the inner Galactic region, reduces the impact of the inner triaxial shape of the underlying DM halo on the orbital distribution.

⁵Note that this holds true as long as the number of isolating integrals of motion in the non-self-consistent potential is the same as in the self-consistent case.




Figure 5. Orbital-type distribution as a function of the disc to total baryonic mass fraction (D/T). The fraction of DM particles on chaotic orbits is always smaller than ~ 16 per cent.

Interestingly, as the values of D/T increase, both chaotic and, more strongly, sticky orbital fractions increase. While the fraction of chaotic orbits reaches a maximum of ~ 16 per cent for values of $D/T \gtrsim 0.3$, the fraction of sticky orbits continues to grow to values of ~ 35 per cent at $D/T = 1$ (all the baryonic mass is associated with the disc). As opposed to the spherical bulge, the disc strongly amplifies the effects of this mild oblateness of the inner triaxial DM halo potential. The minimum fraction of regular orbits is found for values of $D/T = 1$, i.e. 50.4 per cent. None the less, it is important to highlight that, despite the redistribution of the baryonic mass in the bulge–disc pair, the fraction of orbits that exhibit a chaotic behaviour within a Hubble time is always smaller than ~ 16 per cent.

In the next section we characterize the impact that different galactic formation histories may have on our chaotic orbital fraction estimates.

3.3 The impact of formation history

In the previous section we showed that, even though the inclusion of the baryonic component in our simulated Galaxy results in a reduction of the triaxiality of the inner DM halo, the addition of the disc enhances the asymmetry between the inner ($r \lesssim r_s$) and outer overall Galactic potential ($r > r_s$). As a consequence, the fraction of chaotic orbits remains consistent with that obtained from strongly triaxial DM potentials associated with DM-only simulations.

Our results were based on a single numerical model, namely C4. Thus, in this section we will explore whether differences in shapes and masses of the different galactic components, originating as a consequence of different formation histories, have an effect on our previous results.

As discussed in Section 2.1, here we consider a subset of six simulations from the *Auriga* project. Recall that our analytic Galactic potentials do not account for the effect of Galactic bars. Thus, these simulations were selected to not show strong bars at $z = 0$.

The Galactic potential of each *Auriga* galaxy is modelled considering equation (1). The values of the parameters that describe the potentials are given in Tables 1 and 2. With these parameter sets, a good agreement between the analytic and the numerical velocity curves of the models is obtained. Comparison between the triaxiality of the inner and outer DM haloes reveals a significant diversity in the asymmetric shape of this Galactic component.




Figure 6. Orbital-type distribution for the six *Auriga* models. The fraction of accreted stellar particles on chaotic orbits is highest for model Au-19, ~ 16.6 per cent.

From each simulated Galaxy, stellar particles located within a sphere of 5 kpc radius centred at a distance of $2.65 \times \epsilon_{\text{Au-}i}^s$ are selected (see Section 2.3). Since we are interested in studying the efficiency of chaotic mixing in erasing local signatures of accretion events, in this section we will only consider accreted stellar particles (i.e. stellar particles that were born within the potential wells of accreted satellite galaxies). Note however that our results are not significantly modified when *in situ* stellar populations are taken into account. As before, orbits are integrated for 1000 Gyr, with an integration time-step of 1 Myr.

The results of this analysis are summarized in Fig. 6 where we show with a normalized histogram and for each *Auriga* Galaxy model, the fraction of regular (black), sticky (green), and chaotic (red) orbits. The model with the smallest fraction of regular orbits is Au-19 (~ 53.2 per cent). Interestingly, this model contains the most triaxial DM halo among the *Auriga* galaxies, with inner and outer triaxiality parameters (defined using the inner and outer principal axial ratios) of $T_{\text{inner}} = 0.160$ and $T_{\text{outer}} = 0.399$, respectively. On the other hand, the *Auriga* model with clearly the largest fraction of regular orbits is Au-16. Its associated DM halo potential has a nearly perfect oblate shape, with triaxiality parameter values in the inner and outer regions of $T_{\text{inner}} \sim T_{\text{outer}} \sim 0.02$.

As expected, the fraction of regular orbits in each potential shows a dependence on the degree of asymmetry of the corresponding DM halo. This is more clearly seen in Fig. 7, where we show the fraction of regular (black solid squares), sticky (green open squares), and chaotic (red open dots) orbits in each *Auriga* model, as a function of an average triaxiality parameter, $T_{\text{mean}} = (T_{\text{inner}} + T_{\text{outer}})/2$. Notice how the fraction of regular orbits steadily decreases as T_{mean} increases. This highlights that once the bulge–disc pair is taken into account, for values of $D/T \gtrsim 0.3$, the dominant factor determining the fraction of regular orbits is the overall triaxiality of the underlying DM halo. *None the less, in all cases, and independently of the properties of the analysed potential models, we find that the fraction of orbits exhibiting a chaotic behaviour within a Hubble time is smaller than 17 per cent.*

4 GLOBAL DYNAMICS AND DIFFUSION

As shown in the previous section, a small but non-negligible fraction of stellar halo particles in solar neighbourhood-like volumes could indeed exhibit chaotic mixing. This fraction is comparable to




Figure 7. Orbital-type distribution as a function of an average triaxiality parameter (T_{mean}). The fraction of stellar particles on chaotic orbits is always smaller than 17 per cent.

the one found in Paper I, but now taking into account the contribution from both the DM and baryonic components to the overall galactic potentials. In what follows we will discuss the extent to which such mixing can erase kinematic signatures of early accretion events within galactic regions such as the solar neighbourhood and physically relevant periods of time. In this direction, we give a theoretical framework and approximate the potential model as a near-integrable one, i.e. a fully integrable one plus a ‘small’ perturbation in order to gain some insight on the expected result. Thus, by chaotic diffusion, roughly speaking, we mean the time variation of the prime integrals of the integrable potential when it is acted upon by a small non-integrable perturbation.

4.1 Approximating the galactic potential within solar neighbourhood-like regions

The potential Φ_{DM2} given in equation (6) in terms of the ‘bi-triaxial’ radius defined in equation (10) admits, for $r'_p < r_s$ (both quantities introduced in Section 2.2.4), the power series expansion:

$$\Phi_{\text{DM2}} = -\frac{A}{r'_s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \left(\frac{r'_p}{r_s}\right)^{n-1}, \quad (17)$$

so it is analytic everywhere, and the condition $r'_p < r_s$ implies that $r < r_s$, which holds for local volumes around the Sun.

Under the above assumption, the ratio r'_p/r_s could be expanded as a power series and, retaining terms up to r_i^2/r_s^2 and $r_i r_o/r_s^2$, it can be written as

$$\frac{r'_p}{r_s} \approx \frac{r_i}{r_s} \left(1 + \frac{r_o - r_i}{r_s}\right). \quad (18)$$

Again, the relationship between these radii follows from their definitions given in Section 2.2.4.

Taking into account the values of the ratios of the principal axes describing the ellipsoidal inner and outer regions of the DM halo (MW model C4 in Table 2), we introduce the small parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \frac{a^2}{b^2} - 1, & \varepsilon'_1 &= \frac{a'^2}{b'^2} - 1, \\ \varepsilon_2 &= \frac{a^2}{c^2} - 1, & \varepsilon'_2 &= \frac{a'^2}{c'^2} - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Recalling that $a' \approx a \approx 1$ (1.07 and 1.02, respectively), introducing spherical coordinates (r, ϑ, φ) , retaining terms up to r'_p/r_s

in equation (17) and neglecting those of second order in the parameters defined in equation (19), the quadrupolar approximation to equation (17) takes the form:

$$\Phi_{\text{DM2}} \approx \phi_{\text{DM2}}^0(r) + V(r) \{ \alpha_1(r) \cos 2\vartheta + \alpha_2(r) [\cos 2\varphi - 0.5 \cos 2(\vartheta + \varphi) - 0.5 \cos 2(\vartheta - \varphi)] \}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\phi_{\text{DM2}}^0(r) = \frac{Ar}{2r_s^2} \left[1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{4} + \frac{r}{4r_s} \left(\frac{\delta\varepsilon_1}{2} + \delta\varepsilon_2 \right) \right], \quad (21)$$

$$V(r) = \frac{Ar}{8r_s^2}, \quad (22)$$

$$\alpha_1(r) = \varepsilon_2 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} + \frac{r}{r_s} \left(\delta\varepsilon_2 - \frac{\delta\varepsilon_1}{2} \right), \quad (23)$$

$$\alpha_2(r) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon_1 + \frac{r}{r_s} \delta\varepsilon_1 \right), \quad (24)$$

with $\delta\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i - \varepsilon_i$, the amplitudes α_s are then assumed to be small.

As far as our analysis is concerned, the contribution of the disc component, equation (5), to the overall potential is essentially spherical due to the smallness of the z -values around the position of the Sun. Then, neglecting terms of $\mathcal{O}(z^2/r^2)$, it can be well approximated by the expression

$$\Phi_{\text{disc}}^0(r) = -\frac{D}{\sqrt{r^2 + (\epsilon_{\text{disc}}^s + \epsilon_{\text{disc}}^h)^2}}. \quad (25)$$

As we have already mentioned (Section 2.2), the exponential disc is approximated with the superposition of three different MN models, so the above approximation still holds in case of an exponential profile.

Summarizing, the central part of the Galactic potential can be written as

$$\Phi_{\text{MW}}^0 = \Phi_{\text{nuc}} + \Phi_{\text{bul}} + \Phi_{\text{disc}}^0 + \Phi_{\text{DM2}}^0, \quad (26)$$

which yields the following expression for the total (approximated) potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\text{MW}}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &\approx \Phi_{\text{MW}}^0(r) + V(r) \{ \alpha_1(r) \cos 2\vartheta + \alpha_2(r) \\ &[\cos 2\varphi - 0.5 \cos 2(\vartheta + \varphi) - 0.5 \cos 2(\vartheta - \varphi)] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In equation (27) the angular dependence of the potential, at this order, only comes from the DM halo.

In Paper I we have already provided a theoretical background for chaotic diffusion, so here we restrict the discussion to this model. The Hamiltonian associated with equation (27) can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{p}, r, \vartheta) + \hat{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{r}), \quad (28)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{p}, r, \theta) = \frac{p_r^2}{2} + \frac{p_\vartheta^2}{2r^2} + \frac{p_\varphi^2}{2r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} + \Phi_{\text{MW}}^0(r), \quad (29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}) &= V(r) \{ \alpha_1(r) \cos 2\vartheta + \alpha_2(r) \\ &[\cos 2\varphi - 0.5 \cos 2(\vartheta + \varphi) - 0.5 \cos 2(\vartheta - \varphi)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$p_r = \dot{r}, \quad p_\vartheta = r^2 \dot{\vartheta}, \quad p_\varphi = r^2 \dot{\varphi} \sin^2 \vartheta. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, \mathcal{H}_0 is an integrable Hamiltonian, since

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = E_0, \quad L_z = p_\varphi, \quad L^2 = p_\vartheta^2 + p_\varphi^2 \csc^2 \vartheta, \quad (32)$$

are the three global integrals, while $\hat{\Phi}_1$ can be considered as a small perturbation. The terms in $\hat{\Phi}_1$ that depend on (ϑ, φ) break the spherical symmetry leading to variations in the modulus of the total angular momentum and its z -component:

$$\frac{dL^2}{dt} = [L^2, \mathcal{H}] = -2p_\vartheta \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_1}{\partial \vartheta} - \frac{2p_\varphi}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_1}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{dL_z}{dt} = [L_z, \mathcal{H}] = -\frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}_1}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (34)$$

which are of the order of α_s . These small variations of $|L|$ and L_z within chaotic domains would lead to chaotic diffusion. For instance, in those regions where stickiness is strong, the changes $\Delta|L|$, ΔL_z over a given timespan T would be very small and thus, though the dynamics is chaotic, almost stability can be assumed for time-scales $\tau \sim T$. Instead, in other domains of phase space, large values of $\Delta|L|$ and ΔL_z could be observed over the same timespan, diffusion becoming significantly faster so that chaotic mixing would be efficient over $\tau \lesssim T$. In other words, chaos is a necessary but not sufficient condition for diffusion. Therefore, diffusion experiments would be required to obtain reliable information about the stable/unstable character of the motion within chaotic domains, as shown, for instance, in Paper I and in Martí, Cincotta & Beaugé (2016), for the case of planetary dynamics. Notice that the approximation to the galactic potential given by equation (27) is derived and used just for the theoretical discussion regarding chaotic diffusion delivered in the next section.

4.2 The actual relevance of chaotic diffusion

In this direction, we accomplish a global picture of the dynamics in the angular momentum space by computing a dynamical indicator for a large set of initial conditions around the position vector of the Sun \mathbf{x}_\odot and on a given energy surface. For this purpose, and adopting $(x_\odot, y_\odot, z_\odot) = (8, 0, 0)$ kpc, we take the mean value of the energy distribution of the 1171 stellar particles located within a 15° wedge, oriented along the disc semimajor axis and with galactocentric distances between 5.5 and 10.5 kpc (second bin, centred at 8 kpc in Fig. 4) in the Aq-C4 simulation (see also Section 2.3 for further details on the initial distribution of the conditions), namely $\langle E \rangle = h \simeq -164\,803 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$ (such energy surface is computed with our analytic and static representation of the galactic potential). Then we sample a domain in the $(|L|, L_z)$ plane with a grid chosen such that, in both dimensions, nearly 80 per cent of the corresponding stellar particles are encompassed (notice that actually, for the sake of symmetry, only the ≈ 40 per cent in the L_z -direction needs to be accounted for). Fig. 8 displays in red the region on the $(|L|, L_z)$ plane considered for the current dynamical study, and also shows, as black dots, the values corresponding to the Aq-C4 stellar particles.

The left-hand panel of Fig. 9 displays an OFLI map for a grid of 121 224 equidistant initial conditions in the plane $(\log(L^2), L_z)$ which have been integrated over a timespan of 10 Gyr in order to obtain the concomitant value of the chaos indicator. The solid black line in the colour bar shows the threshold used to distinguish regular from chaotic motion. In general, cool colours represent regular motion whereas warmer ones indicate chaotic motion.

The resulting global dynamical portrait for this time-scale reveals the prevailing regular character of the motion in the angular




Figure 8. Ranges in $|L|$ and L_z for the whole set of 1171 stellar particles (black dots) of the Aq-C4 simulation. In red, we highlight the areas of the plane considered in the experiments.

momentum space. In fact, just a few invariant manifolds and narrow resonances are detected. Let us recall that the most relevant invariant manifolds separate different orbital families, the large resonance domains. Indeed, the region with smaller values of $|L|$ and L_z corresponds to the box family while the tube orbital family has $|L| \gtrsim 1750 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$, $L_z \gtrsim 1000 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$. The light blue arc arising from $|L| \approx 1750 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$, $L_z = 0$ corresponds to the separatrix (actually the chaotic layer), which separates both orbital families. Meanwhile, rather small high-order resonances show up as thin channels all over the angular momentum space. This web of resonances is known as the Arnold web.

In sum, notice should be taken that the phase space is mainly covered by regular orbits for the considered timespan. Consequently, chaos is almost irrelevant after an evolution of 10 Gyr, even though the perturbation is not negligible for the C4 MW model, being $\alpha_1 \sim 0.1$, $\alpha_2 \sim -0.03$ for local solar neighbourhood-like volumes. In this case, as already mentioned, the fraction of phase space corresponding to chaotic motion is small but non-negligible and amounts to $\simeq 25.57$ per cent.

In order to detect any diffusive phenomena or chaotic mixing in the present model, a larger time-scale should be considered. Therefore, though without direct physical significance, we obtained the OFLI map corresponding to 100 Gyr, which is displayed in the right-hand panel of Fig. 9. Such a map discloses chaotic motion that still appeared as regular at 10 Gyr, mainly due to stickiness. Indeed, the map reveals that the thin chaotic layer separating box and tube families already discussed, now at 100 Gyr appears wider with large OFLI values, depicted in red. Moreover, other resonances also show up as highly chaotic and the Arnold web is seen to occupy a considerable region in phase space which amounts to almost 60 per cent of the integrated orbits. The presence of a connected chaotic region of noticeable size would forecast a secular variation of the unperturbed integrals $(|L|, L_z)$, which would lead to the uprising of fast diffusion.

Let us say that we are also interested in determining the time-scale for chaotic diffusion to take place. Therefore, following a similar approach to that presented in Paper I, we investigate diffusion over the $(|L|, L_z)$ plane, for a given energy surface, \hat{h} , within a small sphere in configuration space, $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\odot| < \delta$. In this way we reduce the motion to an almost two-dimensional section defined by

$$S = \{(|L|, L_z) : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\odot| < \delta, \mathcal{H} = \hat{h}\}. \quad (35)$$




Figure 9. OFLI maps for 10 (left-hand panel) and 100 (right-hand panel) Gyr for the C4 MW model for $(x_{\odot}, y_{\odot}, z_{\odot}) = (8, 0, 0)$ and $\hat{h} \simeq -164\,803 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$. The solid black lines in the colour bars show the threshold used to distinguish regular from chaotic motion. Warm colours indicate chaotic motion while cool colours represent regular motion. The Arnold web is mostly unveiled and, as we can see from the warm colours on the right-hand panel, it covers a considerable domain in phase space.

Table 3. Ensembles of 10^6 initial conditions sampled uniformly in boxes of size $\sim 10^{-6}$ in both $|L|$ and L_z , whose centres, given in the table, correspond to chaotic orbits. The units in L and L_z are kpc km s^{-1} .

Ensemble	$ L $	L_z
(i)	562	500
(ii)	1122	900
(iii)	1820	50
(iv)	861	50
(v)	1413	700

For our diffusion studies, we take ensembles of $N_p = 10^6$ tracer particles sampled uniformly in boxes of size $\sim 10^{-6}$ in both $|L|$ and L_z . The centres of these boxes, whose highly chaotic nature has been revealed by the OFLI indicator, are listed in Table 3. We integrate the equations of motion for each initial condition taking into account the full potential given by equation (13) over some timespan T , and every time the orbits of the ensemble intersect \mathcal{S} , we retain the corresponding values of $|L|(t)$ and $L_z(t)$. For ensembles located in stable regions both unperturbed integrals slightly vary, being $|\Delta|L|(t)|, |\Delta L_z(t)| \ll 1$, so that practically no evolution in the angular momentum plane should be observed. In fact, the small variations in $|L|, L_z$ arise as a consequence of the system being no longer spherical. For ensembles immersed in chaotic domains instead, if no barriers to diffusion are present, both unperturbed integrals are expected to change with time and the trajectory over \mathcal{S} would provide an indication of actual diffusion.

Further, let us remark that the number of intersections of a given trajectory with \mathcal{S} strongly depends on the stability of the motion. In fact, in the case of stable regular motion, since the orbit lies in a three-dimensional torus, \mathcal{S} is a slice of it and thus many crossings would occur. On the other hand, in the case of an unstable chaotic orbit, no tori structure exists and thus only a few intersections with \mathcal{S} are expected. So much that in the considered ensembles, which correspond to highly chaotic motion, no crossings are observed during the first 20 Gyr.

Moreover, taking into account the sticky character of most orbits, long timespans should be considered. Indeed, such stickiness could vary for slightly different values of the model parameters, thus leading to rather different results. Therefore, in order to overcome the possible effect of sticky phenomena, long-term diffusion experiments have been carried out, which are described straight away.

The top left panel of Fig. 10 shows how ensemble (i) evolves with time in action space. Diffusion is seen to proceed along the stochastic layer separating box from tube orbits. Let us point out the geometrical resemblance of the observed diffusion with the one that would be expected from the Arnold’s theoretical conjecture, which forecasts that diffusion would proceed through phase space along the chaotic layers of the full resonance web. However, and since the perturbation is not sufficiently small, the detected diffusion should be interpreted as a consequence of the resonances’ overlap. Even though fast diffusion could take place in such a scenario, this event does not occur at all, as follows from our numerical experiments.

To stress this fact we turn to the time evolution of ensemble (ii) shown in the top right panel of the same figure during 40 Gyr. Therein, we recognize that the unperturbed integrals remain confined to a very small domain, even for a rather large timespan, diffusion neatly spreading over the unperturbed separatrix discriminating box from tube orbits. This still applies when far larger time-scales are considered.

The wandering on to the resonance web of the unperturbed actions, $|L|$ and L_z corresponding to the third ensemble are displayed in the middle left panel of Fig. 10. In this particular case, only three intersections with \mathcal{S} are observed up to 40 Gyr so that a larger timespan needs to be covered. Indeed, after 70 Gyr we notice that diffusion advances along the outermost edge of the stochastic layer, near the bottom of the figure, and climbs up over the entangled assemblage of stable/unstable manifolds associated with different high-order resonances.

For ensemble (iv) instead, already at 40 Gyr some variation of the unperturbed integrals is seen to occur, as the middle right panel of Fig. 10 displays. For an even larger time-scale, say 70 Gyr, the ramble in action space breaks through the innermost region of the resonance interweave.

The bottom panel of Fig. 10 shows how diffusion proceeds for the ensemble (v). The successive intersections of the trajectories with the section \mathcal{S} in action space adroitly diffuse along the layer discriminating box from tube orbits, also after a rather long time-scale since up to ~ 40 Gyr no crossings take place.

We should note that the considered ensembles, except for the one denoted by (iv), were picked up very close to the main unstable region, which is the chaotic layer that separates box from tube families. From the above results, it turns out that the largest variation of the integrals corresponds to ensemble (v), being $\Delta|L| \lesssim 800 \text{ kpc km s}^{-1}$ over $\tau = 70$ Gyr so that a mean rate of variation could be estimated as $\Delta|L|/\tau \lesssim 11.5 \text{ kpc km Gyr}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$,




Figure 10. Top left panel: diffusion over 40 Gyr for ensemble (i) of initial conditions (depicted in green) superimposed on the Arnold web. Top right panel: diffusion over 40 Gyr for ensemble (ii) of initial conditions (also depicted in green) superimposed on the Arnold web. The unperturbed integrals remain confined to a rather small domain, revealing that diffusion turns out to be mostly inefficient. Middle left panel: long-term diffusion over 70 Gyr for ensemble (iii) of initial conditions (in green) overlotted on the Arnold web. Middle right panel: drift of the unperturbed integrals over 40 Gyr for ensemble (iv) of initial conditions (in green) superimposed on the Arnold web. Bottom panel: long-term diffusion over 70 Gyr for ensemble (v) of initial conditions (in green) overlotted on the Arnold web.

which is actually rather small (for instance, on the left-hand panel of fig. 7 in Gómez et al. 2013b, a resolved stream is shown with a typical extension of more than 500 kpc km s⁻¹ in L_z).

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Stellar streams are the living records of galactic accretion events. Therefore, their identification as kinematically cold substructures is of key importance for galactic archaeology. Much effort has been devoted to locate such fossil signatures in the outer stellar halo, where typical dynamical time-scales are long enough to preserve this structure in a spatially coherent fashion. Several streams have indeed been identified and studied in great detail within these regions (Ibata, Gilmore & Irwin 1994; Ibata et al. 2001a,b; Odenkirchen

et al. 2001; Ibata et al. 2003; Majewski et al. 2003; Belokurov et al. 2006a,b, 2007; Martin, Ibata & Irwin 2007).⁶ On the other hand, in the inner stellar halo, and particularly around the solar neighbourhood (where information about the most ancient accretion events is expected to be stored; Helmi & de Zeeuw 2000; Johnston et al. 2008; Gómez et al. 2010), identifications of stellar streams are far less numerous, even though theoretical models predict hundreds of them (Helmi & White 1999; Helmi et al. 1999, 2003, 2006). Furthermore, the extragalactic origin of some of these substructures has not been proved conclusively yet (see Smith 2016, for a full discussion and references therein). The amount of substructure present in the

⁶For a recent and very complete list of stellar streams in the Galactic halo we refer the reader to Grillmair & Carlin (2016, table 4.1).

solar neighbourhood’s phase space distribution is subject to several factors. It has been often argued that the low identification rate may be mainly due to the lack of an accurate and large enough full phase space stellar catalogue. Within 2018 *Gaia* DR2 will be released and a robust quantification of substructure within the extended solar neighbourhood will become feasible for the first time.

In addition to the previous astrometric limitations, another relevant factor playing a role for the quantification of stellar streams is the active sources of chaos, which can trigger chaotic mixing within relevant time-scales. As discussed in Paper I, thanks to the asymmetric nature of the underlying gravitational potential, a fraction of the local stellar streams are expected to be evolving on chaotic orbits. Chaos, in the Lyapunov sense, indicates exponential divergence of initially nearby orbits in phase space. Dynamical time-scales in the inner regions of the Galaxy are relatively short. Thus, a group of initially close by stars in phase space, evolving on chaotic orbits, would experience a very rapid mixing. More importantly, regions filled with chaotic orbits can foster chaotic diffusion, which effectively erases the ‘dynamical memory’ imprinted in all phase space and results in a smooth distribution function. The detection of stellar streams could be seriously threatened if such chaotic orbits are indeed very common (Gould 2003; Pearson et al. 2015; Hattori et al. 2016; Price-Whelan et al. 2016a,b; Erkal et al. 2017).

In Paper I we explored whether chaos could indeed be playing a significant role in eroding substructure in the solar neighbourhood phase space distribution. The experiments carried out in that work strongly suggested that this would not be the case. Only a very small fraction of the orbits within solar neighbourhood-like volumes exhibit chaotic behaviour within a Hubble time. Diffusion did not have enough time, even in those cases. However, that study was based on dark matter only simulations, which completely neglected the role of the baryonic component.

In this second paper we re-examined the problem using a significantly more realistic set-up to model the Galactic stellar halo. We used a suite of seven state-of-the-art fully cosmological hydrodynamic zoom-in simulations of the formation of Milky Way-like galaxies (Section 2.1), to extract values of the parameters that describe our analytic potential models and to sample realistic phase space distributions of different volumes. The Galactic potential was modelled with a new analytic and static representation: a multicomponent model that accounts for the effect of both the baryonic and dark matter components (Section 2.2). We integrated the equations of motion, coupled with the first variational equations, using the different sets of cosmologically motivated initial conditions (Section 2.3) and computed, for each orbit, the Orthogonal Fast Lyapunov Indicator (Section 2.4). This chaos indicator allowed us to robustly classify the orbits of our stellar and dark matter particles into three different components: regular, sticky, and chaotic (Section 2.5). Their distinction is of pivotal importance due to the fact that the time evolution of the rate at which the local (stream) density around such a given particle decreases is completely different. In case of regular orbits, they are associated with a rate that follows a power law in time, while chaotic orbits have an exponential one (Helmi & White 1999; Vogelsberger et al. 2008; Gómez et al. 2013b, and Paper I). Sticky orbits, on the other hand, are not so easily defined. They behave as regular orbits for a given period of time to change their orbital character afterwards. Following Paper I, we used an arbitrary but physically relevant period of time threshold to differentiate between sticky and chaotic orbits: 10 Gyr (roughly a Hubble time).

Our results show that, at all galactocentric distances, ≈ 70 –95 per cent of the orbits considered show a regular behaviour

within a Hubble time. In particular, around the location of the Sun this fraction takes an average value of $\gtrsim 80$ per cent (see Section 3.1 for details). This holds true independently of the way the total baryonic mass is re-distributed within the bulge–disc pair (Section 3.2) and, more importantly, the galactic formation history (Section 3.3). The lowest percentages of chaotic orbits are obtained for models Au-16 and Au-21 (~ 2 and ~ 9 per cent, respectively), where the shape of the dark matter haloes is oblate across all their extension.

We performed a detailed study of the efficiency of chaotic diffusion based on first-order perturbation theory. The numerical experiments presented in Section 4.2 showed that diffusion, the most critical mixing process, has a time-scale that by far surpasses the Hubble time. As we find from our most diffusive experiments, the largest measure of the relative diffusion rate barely amounts to $\sim 0.01 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$.

Comparison with the results presented in Paper I suggests that considering a multicomponent representation of the galactic potential does not significantly enhance the relevance of chaos or chaotic diffusion in local halo stars within a Hubble time. Instead, we find evidence that there is a direct connection between the amount of chaos found in the local stellar halo and the triaxiality of the underlying dark matter halo. It remains to be studied whether an accurate estimation of the amount of chaotic motion in halo stars could be used to constrain the shape of the underlying dark matter halo potential.

Our results reinforce the idea that chaotic mixing is not a significant factor in erasing local signatures of accretion events, which is in very good agreement with previous theoretical predictions. However, fundamental caveats still persist and should be addressed in follow-up works. For instance, our models are a superposition of not only smooth but also static potentials, and substructure (such as dark matter subhaloes; Ibata et al. 2002; Carlberg 2009; Yoon, Johnston & Hogg 2011; Carlberg 2015; Erkal & Belokurov 2015a,b; Erkal et al. 2016; Ngan et al. 2016; Erkal, Koposov & Belokurov 2017) as well as time dependence (Manos, Bountis & Skokos 2013; Manos & Machado 2014; Hattori, Erkal & Sanders 2016; Machado & Manos 2016; Monari et al. 2016; Price-Whelan et al. 2016b; Erkal, Koposov & Belokurov 2017; Pearson, Price-Whelan & Johnston 2017, and references therein) could enhance the efficiency of diffusion in phase space (Peñarrubia 2013). It is worth noticing that sources of noise, such as scattering by short-scale irregularities, or periodic driving given by external coupling can, indeed, enhance the diffusion rate of sticky orbits (see Habib, Kandrup & Elaine Mahon 1997; Kandrup, Pogorelov & Sideris 2000; Siopis & Kandrup 2000; Kandrup & Sideris 2003; Kandrup & Siopis 2003, and references therein). Nevertheless, within the local sphere, previous studies that focus on evaluating the degree of substructure in solar neighbourhood-like volumes, considering the evolution of the Galactic potential in a cosmological context, have suggested that this variation may not be responsible for any major substructure erosion (e.g. Gómez et al. 2013b). Furthermore, as previously discussed in Paper I, it is unlikely that the inner parts of the Galactic potential have changed significantly during the last ~ 8 Gyr. The validity of these assumptions will be explored in detail in our forthcoming work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was started during a brief research visit to the Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik. NPM wishes to thank their hospitality, particularly to Simon White and the people from the Galaxy

Formation Group. We wish to thank David Campbell and Adrian Jenkins for generating the initial conditions and selecting the sample of the *Auriga* galaxies. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for detailed comments provided, which helped us to greatly improve the manuscript. NPM, PMC, and CMG were supported with grants from the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) de la República Argentina and the Universidad Nacional de Río Negro (Sede Andina UNRN) and the Universidad Nacional de La Plata (FCAG UNLP). RG, RP, CMS, and VS acknowledge support by the European Research Council under ERC-StG grant EXAGAL-308037, the SFB-881 'The Milky Way System' of the German Science Foundation and by the Klaus Tschira Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Abadi M. G., Navarro J. F., Fardal M., Babul A., Steinmetz M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 435
- Allgood B., Flores R. A., Primack J. R., Kravtsov A. V., Wechsler R. H., Faltenbacher A., Bullock J. S., 2006, *MNRAS*, 367, 1781
- Barrio R., 2016, in Skokos C., Gottwald G., Laskar J., eds, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 915, *Chaos Detection and Predictability*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 55
- Belokurov V., Evans N. W., Irwin M. J., Hewett P. C., Wilkinson M. I., 2006a, *ApJ*, 637, L29
- Belokurov V. et al., 2006b, *ApJ*, 642, L137
- Belokurov V. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 658, 337
- Binney J., Tremaine S., 1987, *Galactic Dynamics*
- Bland-Hawthorn J., Freeman K., 2014, *The Origin of the Galaxy and Local Group*, Saas-Fee Advanced Course, Volume 37, ISBN 978-3-642-41719-1. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 1
- Bonaca A., Conroy C., Wetzel A., Hopkins P. F., Kereš D., 2017, *ApJ*, 845, 101
- Bovy J., 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 1360
- Carlberg R. G., 2009, *ApJ*, 705, L223
- Carlberg R. G., 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 15
- Carpintero D. D., Maffione N., Darriba L., 2014, *Astron. Comput.*, 5, 19
- Chakrabarty D., 2007, *A&A*, 467, 145
- Chakrabarty D., Sideris I. V., 2008, *A&A*, 488, 161
- Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., 2016, in Skokos C., Gottwald G., Laskar J., eds, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. 915, *Chaos Detection and Predictability*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 93
- Cincotta P. M., Simó C., 2000, *A&AS*, 147, 205
- Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., Simó C., 2003, *Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom.*, 182, 151
- Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., Pérez M. J., 2006, *A&A*, 455, 499
- Cincotta P. M., Efthymiopoulos C., Giordano C. M., Mestre M. F., 2014, *Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom.*, 266, 49
- Clutton-Brock M., 1973, *Ap&SS*, 23, 55
- Compère A., Lemaître A., Delsate N., 2012, *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.*, 112, 75
- Contopoulos G., 2002, *Order and Chaos in Dynamical Astronomy*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg
- Cooper A. P. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 744
- Darriba L. A., Maffione N. P., Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., 2012, *Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng.*, 22, 1230033
- Debattista V. P., Moore B., Quinn T., Kazantzidis S., Maas R., Mayer L., Read J., Stadel J., 2008, *ApJ*, 681, 1076
- Dubinski J., 1994, *ApJ*, 431, 617
- Efthymiopoulos C., Voglis N., Kalapotharakos C., 2007, *Special Features of Galactic Dynamics*, in Benet D., Froeschle C., Lega E., eds, *Topics in Gravitational Dynamics. Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol 729. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p. 297
- Erkal D., Belokurov V., 2015a, *MNRAS*, 450, 1136
- Erkal D., Belokurov V., 2015b, *MNRAS*, 454, 3542
- Erkal D., Belokurov V., Bovy J., Sanders J. L., 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 102
- Erkal D., Kozlov S. E., Belokurov V., 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 60
- ESA 1997, *The HIPPARCOS and TYCHO Catalogues. Astrometric and Photometric Star Catalogues Derived from the ESA HIPPARCOS Space Astrometry Mission*, ESA SP-1200
- Foucharad M., Lega E., Froeschlé C., Froeschlé C., 2002, *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.*, 83, 205
- Franx M., Illingworth G., de Zeeuw T., 1991, *ApJ*, 383, 112
- Freeman K., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2002, *ARA&A*, 40, 487
- Fux R., 2001, *A&A*, 373, 511
- Giordano C. M., Cincotta P. M., 2004, *A&A*, 423, 745
- Gnedin O. Y., Kravtsov A. V., Klypin A. A., Nagai D., 2004, *ApJ*, 616, 16
- Gómez F. A., Helmi A., Brown A. G. A., Li Y.-S., 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 935
- Gómez F. A., Minchev I., Villalobos Á., O'Shea B. W., Williams M. E. K., 2012a, *MNRAS*, 419, 2163
- Gómez F. A. et al., 2012b, *MNRAS*, 423, 3727
- Gómez F. A., Minchev I., O'Shea B. W., Beers T. C., Bullock J. S., Purcell C. W., 2013a, *MNRAS*, 429, 159
- Gómez F. A., Helmi A., Cooper A. P., Frenk C. S., Navarro J. F., White S. D. M., 2013b, *MNRAS*, 436, 3602
- Gómez F. A., White S. D. M., Marinacci F., Slater C. T., Grand R. J. J., Springel V., Pakmor R., 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 2779
- Gómez F. A., White S. D. M., Grand R. J. J., Marinacci F., Springel V., Pakmor R., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 3446
- Gould A., 2003, *ApJ*, 592, L63
- Goździewski K., Konacki M., Wolszczan A., 2005, *ApJ*, 619, 1084
- Grand R. J. J., Springel V., Gómez F. A., Marinacci F., Pakmor R., Campbell D. J. R., Jenkins A., 2016, *MNRAS*, 459, 199
- Grand R. J. J. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 179
- Grillmair C. J., Carlin J. L., 2016, in Newberg H. J., Carlin J. L., eds, *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, Vol. 420, *Tidal Streams in the Local Group and Beyond*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 87
- Gustafsson M., Fairbairn M., Sommer-Larsen J., 2006, *Phys. Rev. D*, 74, 123522
- Habib S., Kandrup H. E., Elaine Mahon M., 1997, *ApJ*, 480, 155
- Hattori K., Erkal D., Sanders J. L., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 497
- Helmi A., 2008, *A&AR*, 15, 145
- Helmi A., de Zeeuw P. T., 2000, *MNRAS*, 319, 657
- Helmi A., White S. D. M., 1999, *MNRAS*, 307, 495
- Helmi A., White S. D. M., de Zeeuw P. T., Zhao H., 1999, *Nature*, 402, 53
- Helmi A., White S. D. M., Springel V., 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 834
- Helmi A., Navarro J. F., Nordström B., Holmberg J., Abadi M. G., Steinmetz M., 2006, *MNRAS*, 365, 1309
- Helmi A., Veljanoski J., Breddels M. A., Tian H., Sales L. V., 2017, *A&A*, 598, A58
- Hernquist L., 1990, *ApJ*, 356, 359
- Hernquist L., Ostriker J. P., 1992, *ApJ*, 386, 375
- Ibata R. A., Gilmore G., Irwin M. J., 1994, *Nature*, 370, 194
- Ibata R., Irwin M., Lewis G. F., Stolte A., 2001a, *ApJ*, 547, L133
- Ibata R., Lewis G. F., Irwin M., Totten E., Quinn T., 2001b, *ApJ*, 551, 294
- Ibata R. A., Lewis G. F., Irwin M. J., Quinn T., 2002, *MNRAS*, 332, 915
- Ibata R. A., Irwin M. J., Lewis G. F., Ferguson A. M. N., Tanvir N., 2003, *MNRAS*, 340, L21
- Iorio G., Belokurov V., Erkal D., Kozlov S. E., Nipoti C., Fraternali F., 2017, *MNRAS*, 474, 2142
- Jing Y. P., Suto Y., 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 538
- Johnston K. V., Bullock J. S., Sharma S., Font A., Robertson B. E., Leitner S. N., 2008, *ApJ*, 689, 936
- Kalapotharakos C., Voglis N., Contopoulos G., 2004, *A&A*, 428, 905
- Kalapotharakos C., Efthymiopoulos C., Voglis N., 2008, *MNRAS*, 383, 971
- Kandrup H. E., Sideris I. V., 2001, *Phys. Rev. E*, 64, 056209
- Kandrup H. E., Sideris I. V., 2003, *ApJ*, 585, 244
- Kandrup H. E., Siopis C., 2003, *MNRAS*, 345, 727
- Kandrup H. E., Pogorelov I. V., Sideris I. V., 2000, *MNRAS*, 311, 719
- Kushniruk I., Schirmer T., Bensby T., 2017, *A&A*, 608, A73
- Laporte C. F. P., Johnston K. V., Gómez F. A., Garavito-Camargo N., Besla G., 2017, preprint (arXiv:1710.02538)
- Laporte C. F. P., Gómez F. A., Besla G., Johnston K. V., Garavito-Camargo N., 2018, *MNRAS*, 473, 1218

- Launhardt R., Zylka R., Mezger P. G., 2002, *A&A*, 384, 112
- Lindegren L. et al., 2008, in Jin W. J., Platais I., Perryman M. A. C., eds, IAU Symp. Vol. 248, A Giant Step: from Milli- to Micro-arcsecond Astrometry. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 529
- Lindegren L. et al., 2016, *A&A*, 595, A4
- Lowing B., Jenkins A., Eke V., Frenk C., 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 2697
- Machado R. E. G., Manos T., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 3578
- Maffione N. P., Giordano C. M., Cincotta P. M., 2011a, *Int. J. Non-Linear Mech.*, 46, 23
- Maffione N. P., Darriba L. A., Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., 2011b, *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.*, 111, 285
- Maffione N. P., Darriba L. A., Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 2700
- Maffione N. P., Gómez F. A., Cincotta P. M., Giordano C. M., Cooper A. P., O’Shea B. W., 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, 2830
- Majewski S. R., Skrutskie M. F., Weinberg M. D., Ostheimer J. C., 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 1082
- Manos T., Machado R. E. G., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 2201
- Manos T., Bountis T., Skokos C., 2013, *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.*, 46, 254017
- Marinacci F., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 1750
- Martí J. G., Cincotta P. M., Beaugé C., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 1094
- Martin N. F., Ibata R. A., Irwin M., 2007, *ApJ*, 668, L123
- Matteucci F., 2014, The Origin of the Galaxy and Local Group, Saas-Fee Advanced Course, in Ben Moore, ed. vol. 37. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 145
- Meiron Y., Li B., Holley-Bockelmann K., Spurzem R., 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 98
- Merritt D., Fridman T., 1996, *ApJ*, 460, 136
- Merritt D., Valluri M., 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 82
- Michalik D., Lindegren L., Hobbs D., 2015, *A&A*, 574, A115
- Minchev I. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 781, L20
- Miyamoto M., Nagai R., 1975, *PASJ*, 27, 533
- Monari G., Famaey B., Siebert A., Grand R. J. J., Kawata D., Boily C., 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 3835
- Monari G., Kawata D., Hunt J. A. S., Famaey B., 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, L113
- Muzzio J. C., Carpintero D. D., Wachlin F. C., 2005, *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.*, 91, 173
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1996, *ApJ*, 462, 563
- Navarro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1997, *ApJ*, 490, 493
- Newberg H. J., Carlin J. L., eds, 2016, *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, vol. 420, Tidal Streams in the Local Group and Beyond. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Ngan W., Carlberg R. G., Bozek B., Wyse R. F. G., Szalay A. S., Madau P., 2016, *ApJ*, 818, 194
- Odenkirchen M. et al., 2001, *ApJ*, 548, L165
- Pakmor R., Springel V., 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 176
- Pakmor R., Springel V., Bauer A., Mocz P., Munoz D. J., Ohlmann S. T., Schaal K., Zhu C., 2016, *MNRAS*, 455, 1134
- Pakmor R. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, 3185
- Pearson S., Küpper A. H. W., Johnston K. V., Price-Whelan A. M., 2015, *ApJ*, 799, 28
- Pearson S., Price-Whelan A. M., Johnston K. V., 2017, *Nature Astron.*, 1, 633
- Peñarrubia J., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, 2576
- Perryman M. A. C. et al., 2001, *A&A*, 369, 339
- Plummer H. C., 1911, *MNRAS*, 71, 460
- Poveda A., Allen C., Schuster W., 1992, in Barbuy B., Renzini A., eds, IAU Symp. Vol. 149, The Stellar Populations of Galaxies. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 471
- Price-Whelan A. M., Johnston K. V., Valluri M., Pearson S., Küpper A. H. W., Hogg D. W., 2016a, *MNRAS*, 455, 1079
- Price-Whelan A. M., Sesar B., Johnston K. V., Rix H.-W., 2016b, *ApJ*, 824, 104
- Quillen A. C., 2003, *AJ*, 125, 785
- Quillen A. C., Minchev I., Bland-Hawthorn J., Haywood M., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1599
- Sawala T. et al., 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 1931
- Schaye J. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 521
- Schönrich R., Dehnen W., 2017, preprint (arXiv:1712.06616)
- Schuster W. J., Allen C., 1997, *A&A*, 319, 796
- Schwarzschild M., 1993, *ApJ*, 409, 563
- Shevchenko I. I., 2011, *ApJ*, 733, 39
- Siopis C., Kandrup H. E., 2000, *MNRAS*, 319, 43
- Skokos C., Gottwald G., Laskar J., eds, 2016, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 915, Chaos Detection and Predictability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163
- Smith M. C., 2016, in Newberg H. J., Carlin J. L., eds, *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, vol. 420, Tidal Streams in the Local Group and Beyond. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 113
- Smith R., Flynn C., Candlish G. N., Fellhauer M., Gibson B. K., 2015, *MNRAS*, 448, 2934
- Springel V., 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 791
- Springel V. et al., 2008a, *MNRAS*, 391, 1685
- Springel V. et al., 2008b, *Nature*, 456, 73
- Tsiganis K., Anastasiadis A., Vavrogli H., 2000, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 11, 2281
- Valluri M., Merritt D., 1998, *ApJ*, 506, 686
- Valluri M., Debattista V. P., Quinn T. R., Roškar R., Wadsley J., 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 1951
- Valluri M., Debattista V. P., Stinson G. S., Bailin J., Quinn T. R., Couchman H. M. P., Wadsley J., 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 93
- Vasiliev E., 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 3174
- Vera-Ciro C. A., Sales L. V., Helmi A., Frenk C. S., Navarro J. F., Springel V., Vogelsberger M., White S. D. M., 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 1377
- Vogelsberger M., White S. D. M., Helmi A., Springel V., 2008, *MNRAS*, 385, 236
- Vogelsberger M., Genel S., Sijacki D., Torrey P., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 3031
- Voglis N., Kalapotharakos C., Stavropoulos I., 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 619
- Weinberg M. D., 1999, *AJ*, 117, 629
- Widrow L. M., Bonner G., 2015, *MNRAS*, 450, 266
- Yoon J. H., Johnston K. V., Hogg D. W., 2011, *ApJ*, 731, 58
- York D. G. et al., 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579
- Zhao G., Chen Y.-Q., Shi J.-R., Liang Y.-C., Hou J.-L., Chen L., Zhang H.-W., Li A.-G., 2006, *Chin. J. Astron. Astrophys.*, 6, 265
- Zhu Q., Marinacci F., Maji M., Li Y., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 1559
- Zwitter T. et al., 2008, *AJ*, 136, 421

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.