

1 **Research Article**

2

3 **Ultrastructural characteristics and immune profile of equine MSCs from fetal adnexa**

4

5 *Equine WJ and AM-MSCs in vitro features*

6

7 Eleonora Iacono<sup>a\*</sup>, Luisa Pascucci<sup>b</sup>, Barbara Rossi<sup>a</sup>, Cinzia Bazzucchi<sup>b</sup>, Aliai Lanci<sup>a</sup>, Monica  
8 Ceccoli<sup>a</sup>, Barbara Merlo<sup>a</sup>

9

10 <sup>a</sup> *Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, via Tolara di Sopra 50,*  
11 *40064 Ozzano Emilia (BO), Italy.*

12 <sup>b</sup> *Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, via San Costanzo 4, 06126*  
13 *Perugia, Italy.*

14

15 \*Corresponding author: Prof. E. Iacono, Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences,  
16 University of Bologna, via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Ozzano Emilia (BO), Italy.

17 Tel.: +39 051 2097567

18 E-mail address: [eleonora.iacono2@unibo.it](mailto:eleonora.iacono2@unibo.it)

19

20 **Abstract**

21

22 Both in human and equine species, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) from amniotic membrane  
23 (AM) and Wharton's jelly (WJ), may be particularly useful for immediate use or in later  
24 stages of life, after cryopreservation in cell bank. The aim of this study was to compare equine  
25 AM- and WJ-MSCs *in vitro* features, that may be relevant for their clinical employment.  
26 MSCs were more easily isolated from WJ, even if MSCs derived from AM exhibited most  
27 rapid proliferation ( $P<0.05$ ). Osteogenic and chondrogenic differentiation was most  
28 prominent in MSCs derived from WJ, as also suggested by the lower adhesion of AM cells,  
29 demonstrated by the greater volume of spheroids after hanging drop culture ( $P<0.05$ ). Data  
30 obtained by PCR confirmed the immunosuppressive function of AM and WJ-MSCs and the  
31 presence of active genes specific for anti-inflammatory and angiogenic factors (IL-6, IL 8, IL-  
32  $\beta$ 1). For the first time, by means of transmission electron microscopy (TEM), we ascertained  
33 that equine WJ-MSCs constitutively contain a very impressive number of large vesicular  
34 structures, scattered throughout the cytoplasm and there was an abundant extracellular  
35 fibrillar matrix located in the intercellular spaces among WJ-MSCs. Results reveal that MSCs  
36 from different fetal tissues have different characteristics that may drive their therapeutic use.  
37 Data recorded in this study could be noteworthy for horses as well as for other mammalian  
38 species, including humans.

39 *Keywords:*

40 Mesenchymal stem cells, amniotic membrane, Wharton's jelly, equine, electron microscopy

41

## 42 **Introduction**

43 Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are a population of multipotent stem cells and since their  
44 properties, MSCs offer a great chance for cell-based therapies and tissue engineering  
45 applications. Bone marrow (BM) is the common source of autologous MSCs for clinical  
46 applications in equine medicine. Alternatively, adipose tissue-derived MSCs can be used,  
47 since they have a higher proliferation potential (Iacono *et al.*, 2015a). Anyway, for both  
48 sources, an invasive procedure is required and there is a large variability in the cell yield  
49 related to the donor (Colleoni *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, although bone marrow is the most  
50 widely investigated source of MSCs, these have limited potential in terms of in vitro  
51 proliferation capability (Guest *et al.*, 2010; Lange-Consiglio *et al.*, 2013), and do not appear  
52 to noticeably improve long-term functionality compared to those from extra-fetal tissues  
53 (Paris and Stout, 2010). Placental tissues and foetal fluids represent a source of cells for  
54 regenerative medicine, and are readily available and easily procured without invasive  
55 procedures. MSCs from foetal fluids and adnexa are defined as an intermediate between  
56 embryonic and adult SCs, due to the preservation of some characteristics typical of the  
57 primitive native layers (De Coppi *et al.*, 2007). Among foetal adnexal tissues, the major  
58 sources of MSCs are amniotic membrane and Wharton's Jelly (Iacono *et al.*, 2015b).  
59 Although, the increasing interest in using MSCs for regenerative medicine in horses, and the  
60 possibility to employ MSCs from perinatal tissue both for immediate use in newborns, both in  
61 later stages of life, after cryopreservation in cell bank, there is lacking of information on  
62 comparison between equine MSCs derived from AM and WJ were compared.

63 Usually, clinical treatments with MSCs are based on their transplantation but only a small  
64 percentage of the injected MSCs engraft successfully (Chimenti *et al.*, 2010). Consistent with  
65 these findings, some studies recently showed that the regenerative ability of MSCs could be  
66 attributed to the production of molecules and mediators capable of activating the intrinsic

67 repair processes in the damaged tissues. Different Authors, working on cardiac, renal, spinal  
68 cord and tendon regeneration, indicate that the beneficial effects of MSCs can be attributed to  
69 the activation of paracrine mechanisms enabling stimulation of endogenous stem cells. These  
70 cells are responsible for the bioactive soluble factors (lipids, growth factors, and cytokines)  
71 known to inhibit apoptosis and fibrosis, enhance angiogenesis, stimulate mitosis and/or  
72 differentiation of tissue-resident progenitor cells, and modulate the immune response (Yagi *et al.*,  
73 *et al.*, 2010; Liang *et al.*, 2014). Recently, the ability of equine adult MSCs to secrete numerous  
74 soluble mediators, implicated in the inhibition of T-cell proliferation, when stimulate with  
75 INF-gamma and TNG-alpha, was demonstrated (Carrade *et al.*, 2012; Kol *et al.*, 2013).  
76 However, to our knowledge, no studies are present on the immunophenotype profile, before in  
77 vitro stimulation, of equine WJ-MSCs and AM-MSCs, to better know their role in the  
78 immune response, angiogenesis, apoptosis, oxidation level and cell migration. Furthermore, in  
79 addition to soluble factors, recent findings indicate that extracellular vesicles are released  
80 from MSCs inside the CM and that these can be involved as important mediators in cell- to-  
81 cell communication (Pascucci *et al.*, 2014; Pascucci *et al.*, 2015). Microvesicles (MVs) have  
82 been categorized into exosomes (EXs), released from the endosomal compartment, and  
83 shedding vesicles (SVs), which bud directly from the cell membrane (Biancone *et al.*, 2012).  
84 MVs seem to be involved in a dynamic mutual paracrine communication between the  
85 embryonic and the maternal environment at the early stage of pre-implantation embryo  
86 development (Saadeldin *et al.*, 2015). Recently, Lange-Consiglio *et al.* (2016) and Perrini *et al.*  
87 *et al.* (2016) identified the presence and type of MVs secreted by equine AM-MSCs; the  
88 Authors also evaluated, in a preliminary study in vitro, the possible therapeutic implication of  
89 MVs in endometrial and tendon pathologies. Despite these studies on equine AM-MSCs, the  
90 recognized importance of WJ as an alternative source of MSCs both in equine and human  
91 medicine (Iacono *et al.*, 2012; Subramanian *et al.*, 2015) and despite a lot of data have been

92 reported on these features of equine adult MSCs (Pascucci *et al.*, 2010; Maia *et al.*, 2013;  
93 Pascucci *et al.*, 2014; Pascucci *et al.*, 2015), no studies are present on ultrastructural  
94 characteristics and MVs of equine WJ-MSCs. In this context, the aims of the present study  
95 were to analyze expression of stemness markers, immunophenotype, and ultrastructural  
96 features. In addition, we considered migration and adhesion ability of equine WJ-MSCs and  
97 AM-MSCs since both the migration ability, the expression of adhesion molecules and the  
98 homing to injured environments are important features of MSCs (Burk *et al.*, 2013; Kavanagh  
99 *et al.*, 2014).

100

## 101 **Materials and Methods**

102

### 103 *Materials*

104 Unless otherwise indicated, chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO,  
105 USA), and laboratory plastics from Sarstedt Inc. (Newton, NC, USA).

106

### 107 *Animals*

108 Samples were recovered from 13 Standardbred mares, housed at the Department of Veterinary  
109 Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, for attended delivery. Experimental procedures  
110 were approved by the Ethics Committee, University of Bologna (8134-X/10). The written  
111 consent was given by the owners to allow tissues recovery for research purposes.

112

### 113 *Umbilical cord collection and WJ-MSCs isolation*

114 Immediately after breaking the umbilical cord (UC), the part closest to the colt, characterized  
115 by an abundant amount of WJ, was severed. For avoiding mildew and bacterial  
116 contamination, samples were washed under flowing water for removing straw or feces debris.

117 Until processing, samples were stored in D-PBS (Dulbecco's Phosphatase Buffered Solution)  
118 containing penicillin (100 IU/ml) and streptomycin (100 mg/ml), at 4°C for at the latest 12 h.  
119 In the lab, before WJ enzymatic digestion, under a laminal flow hood, UCs were disinfected  
120 by immersing for few seconds in 70% ethanol and rinsed by repeated immersion in D-PBS.  
121 WJ was then isolated, weighed, minced finely (0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) by sterile scissors and cells were  
122 isolated as previously described (Iacono *et al.*, 2012). Briefly, WJ fragments were incubated  
123 in a 37 °C water bath for 1-2 h into a 50ml polypropylene tube, containing 1ml/1g sample of  
124 digestion solution (0.1% (w/v) collagenase type I (Gibco, Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad,  
125 California, USA), in D-PBS). The mixture was then filtered to separate the dispersed amnion  
126 cells from the tissue pieces and collagenase was inactivated by diluting 1:1 with D-PBS plus  
127 10% (v/v) FBS (Gibco). Nucleated cells were pelleted at 470 g for 10 min. The supernatant  
128 was discarded, pellet was re-suspended in 5 ml of culture medium (Dulbecco's Modified  
129 Essential Medium (D-MEM)-F12 Glutamax<sup>®</sup> (Gibco) supplemented with 10% v/v FBS, 100  
130 iu/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin) and spun at 470 g for 10 min to wash cells. This  
131 operation was repeated three times. After the last wash, cell pellet was re-suspended in 1 ml  
132 of culture medium and cell concentration was determined by haemocytometer.

133

#### 134 *Amnion collection and cells isolation*

135 Allanto-amniotic membranes were obtained at pregnancy term, after vaginal delivery.  
136 Portions of allanto-amnion were washed under flowing water for removing straw or feces  
137 debris, stored at 4°C in D-PBS, added with antibiotics (100 iu/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml  
138 streptomycin), and were processed within 12h. In the lab, before enzymatic digestion, under a  
139 laminal flow hood, samples were disinfected by immersing for few seconds in 70% ethanol  
140 and rinsed by repeated immersion in D-PBS. Then, AM was stripped from the overlying  
141 allantois, weighted and cut into small pieces (0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) by sterile scissors. Cells were then

142 isolated as described above for WJ, by an enzymatic digestion.

143

#### 144 *Cell culture and proliferation assays*

145

146 After isolation, primary cells derived from all recovered samples were plated in a 25 cm<sup>2</sup> flask  
147 in 5 ml of D-MEM-F12 Glutamax<sup>®</sup>, plus 10% v/v FBS and antibiotics. Cells were incubated  
148 in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified atmosphere at 38.5°C. At ~80-90% of confluence, they were  
149 dissociated by 0.25% trypsin, counted and plated at the concentration of 5x10<sup>3</sup> cells/cm<sup>2</sup> as  
150 “Passage 1” (P1), and so on for the following passages. Calculation of cell-doubling time  
151 (DT) and cell-doubling numbers (CD) was carried out according to the formulae of (Rainaldi  
152 *et al.*, 1991):

$$153 \quad \quad \quad CD = \ln(N_f/N_i) / \ln(2) \quad \quad \quad (1)$$

$$154 \quad \quad \quad DT = CT / CD \quad \quad \quad (2)$$

155 where N<sub>f</sub> is the final number of cells and N<sub>i</sub> the initial number of cells.

156

#### 157 *Adhesion and Migration Assays*

158 To define differences between WJ and AM-MSCs, spheroid formation and migration test  
159 were performed. Three replicates for each experiment were performed; all replicates were  
160 carried out at passage 3 of *in vitro* culture.

161 For adhesion assay, cells were cultured in ‘hanging drops’ (5.000 cells/drop of 25µl) for 24 h.

162 Images were acquired by a Nikon Eclipse TE 2000-U microscope. Spheroid areas were  
163 determined using ImageJ software ([imagej.nih.gov/ij/](http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/)). Starting from the binary masks  
164 obtained by Image J, the volume of each spheroid was computed using ReViSP  
165 ([sourceforge.net/projects/revisp](http://sourceforge.net/projects/revisp)) (Bellotti *et al.*, 2016), a software specifically designed to  
166 accurately estimate the volume of spheroids and to render an image of their 3D surface.

167 To assess cell migration potential, a scratch assay (also known as Wound-Healing assay) was  
168 carried out, as previously described (Liang *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, at 80–90 % confluence the  
169 cell monolayer was scraped using a p1000 pipet tip. After washing twice with D-PBS, the  
170 dish was incubated for 24 h at 38.5 °C and 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified atmosphere. Images were  
171 acquired both immediately after the tip-scratch (time 0; T<sub>0</sub>) and after the incubation period  
172 (last time point or time 1; T<sub>1</sub>), and the distances of each scratch closure were calculated by  
173 ImageJ software. The migration percentages were calculated using the following formula  
174 (Rossi *et al.*, 2014):

175 
$$[(\text{distance at T}_0 - \text{distance at T}_1) * 100] / \text{distance at T}_0$$

176



177 *In vitro differentiation*

178 *In vitro* differentiation potential of cells towards osteogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic  
179 lineages was studied. Cells ( $5 \times 10^3$  cells /cm<sup>2</sup>) were cultured under specific induction media  
180 (Table 1). As negative control, an equal number of cells was cultured in expansion medium.  
181 *In vitro* differentiation potential was assessed at passage 3 of culture in two replicates for 3  
182 samples from each lineage. To cytologically evaluate differentiation, cells were fixed with  
183 10% formalin at room temperature (RT) and stained with Oil Red O, Alcian Blue and Von  
184 Kossa for adipogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic induction, respectively. Quantitative  
185 analysis of *in vitro* differentiation was performed by Image J.

186

187 *Immunocytochemistry (ICC)*

188 Cells, derived from 3 AM and WJ samples, at P3, were cultured on ICC slides, until  
189 confluence. They were then fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde (20 min at RT) and then  
190 washed in phosphate buffer (PB). Cells were blocked in goat serum (10 %) for 1 h and  
191 incubated overnight with primary antibodies (Table 2); the day after, they were washed in  
192 PB2 (PB + 0.2 % BSA + 0.05 % saponin) and incubated with anti-mouse- or anti-rabbit-  
193 FITC conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h. Nuclei were then labelled with Hoechst 33342.  
194 The excess of secondary antibody and Hoechst were removed by 3 washes with PB2. Images  
195 were obtained with a Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope, using the software Nikon NIS-  
196 Elements.

197

198 *Molecular Characterization*

199 To evaluate pluripotency potential of the two types of equine cells, PCR for the pluripotency  
200 genes OCT4, NANOG and SOX2 was performed. Gene expression was tested on equine  
201 blastocysts, as positive control. To test cell stemness and immunoproperty, the following set

202 of genes was evaluated: CD45, CD 34, CD90, CD73, MHC-I, MHC-II, IL- $\beta$ 1, IL-4, IL-6, IL-  
203 8, INF- $\gamma$ , TNF- $\alpha$ . Primers were tested on activated equine lymphocyte. The specific set of  
204 primers used is listed in Table 3. All tests were carried out on  $100 \times 10^3$  cells, derived from  
205 AM and WJ of three different mares. Experiments were performed at passage 3 of culture.  
206 Cells were snap-frozen and RNA was extracted using Nucleo Spin<sup>®</sup> RNA kit (Macherey-  
207 Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNAs were synthesized  
208 by RevertAid RT Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and used  
209 directly in PCR reactions, following the instructions of Maxima Hot Start PCR Master Mix  
210 (2X) (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). PCR products were  
211 visualized with ethidium bromide on a 2% agarose gel.

212

### 213 *Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)*

214 Ultrastructural examination was performed on AM (n=3) and WJ-MSCs (n=3) at P3. The  
215 analysis was performed on three replicates. After detaching cells, the pellet was fixed with  
216 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB, pH 7.3, for 1 h, at RT. Cells were then washed twice in PB  
217 and post-fixed with buffered 2% osmium tetroxide for 1 h, at RT. They were finally  
218 dehydrated in a graded ethanol-propylen oxide series, pre-infiltrated and embedded in Epon  
219 812. Ultrathin sections (90 nm) were mounted on 200-mesh copper grids, stained with uranyl  
220 acetate and lead citrate, and examined by a Philips EM 208 microscope, equipped with a  
221 digital camera (Center for Electron Microscopy, CUME, University of Perugia).

222

### 223 *Statistical Analysis*

224 Harvested WJ and AM (grams), CDs, DTs and percentages of migration are expressed as  
225 mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS  
226 Statistics 21 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA). Data were analysed, for normal

227 distribution, using a Shapiro-Wilk test, then using one-way ANOVA or a Student's t-test  
228 (CDs and DTs). The 3D spheroid volumes and mean grey intensity of differentiated cells  
229 were compared using Mann-Whitney's U-test, due to their non-normal distribution.  
230 Significance was assessed for  $P < 0.05$ .

231

## 232 **Results**

233

### 234 *Cellular Growth*

235 As soon as after foals' birth and immediately after foal detachment, UC (length ~15 cm) and  
236 AM samples were recovered. The mean weight of recovered jelly and AM were  $5.22 \pm 3.34$  g  
237 and  $15.60 \pm 5.23$  g, respectively. Adherent mononuclear cells, characterized by elongated  
238 fibroblast-like morphology were isolated in 13/13 (100%) WJ samples and in 9/13 (69.2%)  
239 AM samples. Undifferentiated cells of both lines were passaged up to seven times; no  
240 changes in cell morphology was observed throughout the culture period. DTs assay showed  
241 that AM and WJ-MSCs were able to divide for an extensive period *in vitro*. During P0 to P7,  
242 AM-MSCs showed a mean DT of  $1.49 \pm 0.34$  days/CD, significantly lower than that recorded  
243 for WJ-MSCs ( $1.71 \pm 0.65$  days/CD;  $P < 0.05$ ). No statistically significant differences were  
244 found in DTs among earlier culture passages in both cell lines ( $P > 0.05$ ). However, AM-MSCs  
245 start to grow more slowly by P6, a sign of cellular aging; on the contrary, WJ-MSCs, despite  
246 an higher DT, get older later, starting from P7. This is confirmed by significantly higher DTs  
247 compared to the earliest steps ( $P < 0.05$ ). By P7, total WJ and AM-MSCs cell doublings were  
248 similar ( $36.57 \pm 0.76$  vs  $37.05 \pm 0.59$ ;  $P > 0.05$ ; Fig.1).

249

### 250 *Adhesion and Migration Assays*

251 Both AM and WJ cells formed spheroids when cultured in hanging drops. Average areas and  
252 volume of the spheroids formed by WJ-MSCs were significantly smaller than from AM-  
253 MSCs ( $P < 0.05$ ; Fig.2). Average percentage of migration, observed by scratch test, was  
254 statistically similar between cell lines (AM-MSCs vs WJ-MSCs:  $34.14 \pm 4.51\%$  vs  
255  $38.20 \pm 2.88\%$ ;  $P > 0.05$ ; Fig. 2).

256

### 257 *In vitro Differentiation*

258 Both cell lines were able to differentiate toward osteogenic, chondrogenic and adipogenic  
259 direction (Fig.3). However, WJ-MSCs showed a greater chondrogenic and osteogenic  
260 potential ( $P < 0.05$ ), characterized by a greater accumulation of extra-cellular mucosubstances  
261 and calcium deposits, as showed by Alcian Blu (Fig. 3A) and Von Kossa (Fig.3B) stains  
262 respectively.

263

### 264 *Immunostaining and PCR analysis*

265 Immunostaining results are showed in Figure 4. Amniotic membrane and WJ MSCs clearly  
266 expressed mesenchymal marker, N-Cadherin, and the mesodermal marker alpha-SMA. On the  
267 contrary, they did not express pan-cytokeratin and E-Cadherin.

268 PCR results are reported in Table 4; positive expression are also showed in Figure 5. Both cell  
269 populations expressed MSC-associated markers (CD90, CD73), while were negative for an  
270 hematopoietic marker (CD45), at P3 of *in vitro* culture. On the contrary, the haematopoietic  
271 marker CD34 was registered for either population. Both WJ-MSCs and AMSCs lacked MHC-  
272 I and MHC-II expression. Regarding embryonic markers, WJ-MSCs expressed OCT-4, while  
273 AM-MSCs were weakly positive for this marker; both cell populations lacked Nanog and  
274 Sox2. About their immune-phenotype, both WJ-MSCs and AMSCs lacked MHC-I, MHC-II,

275 INF- $\gamma$ , TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-4 expression. Cells were instead positive for IL-6 and IL- $\beta$ 1. WJ-MSCs  
276 expressed IL-8 marker, while a weak expression was shown by AM-MSCs.

277

## 278 TEM

279 At low magnification, cells of both samples were quite small and uniform in size (diameter  
280 range: 10-15  $\mu$ m; Fig. 6A; Fig. 7A). AM-MSCs appeared generally well dissociated, while  
281 WJ MSCs were frequently tightly adherent each other to form wide aggregates (Fig. 6A and  
282 B). Golgi complex was particularly well developed; it occupied a juxta-nuclear position and  
283 exhibited flattened cisternae, transport vesicles, and heterogeneous sized secreting granules.  
284 Some of them were very large and enclosed fine granular material (Fig. 6C and D). RER  
285 showed linear flat profiles and dilated cisternae (Fig. 6E and F). In both samples, the most  
286 interesting ultrastructural feature was represented by the very impressive number of large  
287 vesicular structures, up to 2  $\mu$ m in diameter, scattered throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. 6G and  
288 H). They showed a variety of appearances and ranged from multivesicular bodies (MVB)  
289 (Fig. 7A and B) comprising intraluminal nanovesicles of different sizes (30-500 nm), to  
290 endolysosomes and autophagic vacuoles. They were particularly abundant in WJ-MSCs. The  
291 occurrence of membrane vesicles shedding from cell surface was observed in both samples.  
292 They ranged in size from 100 nm to 500 nm and included electron-lucent, as well as  
293 moderately electron-dense vesicles isolated or aggregated nearby the cells (Fig. 7C and D).  
294 Complex extracellular vesicles measuring 500nm-1 $\mu$ m and containing packed nanovesicles,  
295 frequently budded from the cell surface or were detected in the intercellular space (Fig. 7E  
296 and F). Tunneling nanotubes were occasionally observed in both samples suggesting that this  
297 may be an additional mechanism of crosstalk between MSCs (Fig. 7G and H). The most  
298 noteworthy difference between AM-MSCs and WJ-MSCs was the presence of an abundant  
299 extracellular fibrillar matrix (EFM) located in the intercellular spaces among WJ-MSCs (Fig.

300 8A-C). It was composed of a finely granular and moderately electron-dense ground substance  
301 populated by a loosely arranged network of reticular fibrils. These were uniformly thin and  
302 tend to run parallel to the cell surface. Abundant vesicles were entrapped among the fibrils  
303 (Fig. 8C). The intercellular spaces were devoid of collagen fibrils.

304

## 305 **Discussion**

306 AM-MSCs and WJ-MSCs are the focus of great interest in human and veterinary regenerative  
307 medicine for their in vitro multilineage differentiation potential, their great in vitro expansion  
308 (Iacono *et al.*, 2012; Lange-Consiglio *et al.*, 2013). In the present study, for the first time in  
309 equine species, proliferation, migration, spheroids formation, trilineage differentiation  
310 capacity, expression of stemness markers, immunophenotype and ultrastructural features of  
311 MSCs derived from WJ and AM were compared. From both tissues, cells with mesenchymal  
312 morphology were isolated. However, as recently reported in human (Subramanian *et al.*,  
313 2015), in the present study, MSCs were isolated from all samples by collagenase digestion  
314 technique only for WJ. No other reports exist on the successful isolation rate from equine WJ  
315 and AM. Despite the lower isolation rate, AM-MSCs showed a higher proliferation rate  
316 compared to WJ-MSCs. As in human (Pasquinelli *et al.*, 2007), in both cell types, TEM  
317 examination revealed an highly metabolic and synthetic nature, demonstrated by euchromatic  
318 nucleus, prominent nucleoli, abundant nuclear pores as well as by well-developed RER and  
319 Golgi complex. Furthermore, the higher DTs were unrelated with total cell doubling number,  
320 because AM-MSCs began to grow old earlier, as registered by a higher DT at P6 of in vitro  
321 culture, confirming the proliferative nature of WJ-MSCs. Beyond the growth curve, migration  
322 ability is an important feature of MSCs because of its fundamental significance for systemic  
323 application (Li *et al.*, 2009; Burk *et al.*, 2013). No differences were found between WJ-MSCs  
324 and AM-MSCs in migration ability. Since the adhesion capability is related and enhanced to

325 differentiation potential (Pasquinelli *et al.*, 2007; Wang *et al.*, 2009; Kavanagh *et al.*, 2014),  
326 in the present study spheroid formation *in vitro* was assessed using the hanging drop method.  
327 Cell derived from WJ showed a higher adhesion ability, forming smaller spheroids, as  
328 determined by ReVisp. In the present study, the analysis of differentiated cells by Image J  
329 showed a higher WJ-MSCs chondrogenic and osteogenic potential. Our results confirmed  
330 data recently registered with human WJ-MSCs and AM-MSCs cell (Subramanian *et al.* 2015),  
331 in fact also equine WJ-MSCs, exposed to osteocyte and chondrocyte differentiation media,  
332 showed the highest number of Von Kossa stained cells, greatest staining intensity of nodules  
333 and higher number of cells positive for Alcian Blue compared to cells from AM  
334 (Subramanian *et al.*, 2015). Besides to differentiation ability, the equine fetal adnexa derived  
335 MSCs demonstrate the characteristics defined by the International Society for Cellular  
336 Therapy criteria (Dominici *et al.*, 2006), except for the CD34. CD34 is predominantly  
337 regarded as a marker of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) and hematopoietic progenitor cells.  
338 Accumulating evidence demonstrates CD34 expression on several other cell types, including  
339 embryonic stem cell derived MSC (Kopher *et al.*, 2010) and multipotent mesenchymal  
340 stromal cells (MSC) (Nielsen and McNagny, 2008). In many cases, CD34 indicate a distinct  
341 subset of cells with enhanced progenitor activity (Sidney *et al.*, 2014). The expression of  
342 CD34 by equine cells might constitute evidence of their potenciality. Moreover, as  
343 intermediate between adult and embryonic cells, equine WJ and AM-MSCs express OCT-4, a  
344 marker for pluripotent stem cells. However, as previously reported in human (Subramanian *et*  
345 *al.*, 2015), also in equine, the expression level of OCT-4 seems to be lower for cells from AM  
346 compared to WJ. This finding, coupled with greater differentiation ability, could be related to  
347 the middle position of WJ between blastocyst and adult. The stem cells isolated from the WJ  
348 probably start to lose their embryonic pluripotency tumorigenic characteristics and start to  
349 acquire multipotent non-tumorigenic MSC characteristics with progressive development. This

350 feature would help cells from the WJ to differentiate into specific lineages more easily both in  
351 vitro and during cell-based therapy and allow higher reprogramming efficiency to the  
352 embryonic state because of an immature phenotype (Pera *et al.*, 2009). In human cells derived  
353 from WJ, the telomerase levels remained high throughout serial culture compared to AM-  
354 MSCs suggesting that they retain their primitive characteristics in culture for long periods of  
355 time (Subramanian *et al.*, 2015). In equine species further studies are needed to verify this  
356 condition.

357 Due to the importance of MSCs for their immune response and their ability to suppress T-  
358 cells (Carrade *et al.*, 2012), in the present study, anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory  
359 factors produced both by WJ-MSCs and AM-MSCs were investigated for the first time in the  
360 horse. One of the most important cytokines of the acute phase reaction is TNF- $\alpha$ , while IL-4  
361 is a cytokine involved in allergic inflammation. Different from that observed in human cells,  
362 equine WJ and AM-MSCs do not express these markers, neither INF- $\gamma$  when they are not  
363 stimulate in vitro by the presence of INF. Confirming their reduced immunogenicity, both cell  
364 lines were negative for MHC-I and MHC-II. On the contrary, both cell lines expressed, on  
365 their cDNA, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and IL-8; this cytokines are important mediator of the inflammatory  
366 response, involved in a variety of cellular activities, including cell proliferation,  
367 differentiation, apoptosis, chemotaxis, angiogenesis and hematopoiesis (Lamallice *et al.*,  
368 2007). Data registered in this study confirmed those already reported in human WJ-MSCs  
369 (Dominici *et al.*, 2006; Choi *et al.*, 2013) and AM-MSCs (Yazdanpanah *et al.*, 2015). These  
370 factors are involved in the complex interaction between MSCs and the tissue  
371 microenvironment as well as in the production of membrane vesicles, containing molecules  
372 such as short peptides, proteins, lipids, and various forms of RNAs (György *et al.*, 2011). As  
373 previously observed in adult equine cells (Pascucci *et al.*, 2014), the great number of MVB  
374 that, contained intraluminal vesicles maturing from their internal membrane, may be



375 interpreted as the ability of both cell types to produce a huge variety of “secreting” molecules  
376 enclosed inside vesicles of different types that are released in the extracellular milieu. Maybe  
377 hypothesized, in addition, that the several other vesicular structures observed by TEM  
378 represent a mechanism to efficiently recycle cell constituents by autophagy. The intense  
379 proliferating and metabolic activity, in fact, makes it necessary to constantly renew sub-  
380 cellular components, especially membrane fractions. The main difference between AM-MSCs  
381 and WJ-MSCs attained the presence of an abundant extracellular fibrillar matrix in the  
382 intercellular spaces among WJ-MSCs; it probably determines a tight intercellular adhesion  
383 even after trypsin treatment and is responsible for the observation of cell aggregates at TEM  
384 analysis. It is well known that these cells, *in vivo*, are immersed in a mucoid connective  
385 matrix. It seems evident that WJ-MSC isolation and cultivation *in vitro* does not affect their  
386 ability to produce extracellular matrix.

387

### 388 **Conclusion**

389 It has emerged from the present study that cells isolated from different fetal origin matrices  
390 exhibit different morphological, molecular and differentiation potential. Equine WJ could be  
391 considered as a viable source for MSCs with reliable migration and differentiation capacities,  
392 and it is therefore a convenient cell source for autologous or allogeneic regenerative therapies.  
393 Although the molecular content and functional activities of EVs produced by WJ and AM-  
394 MSCs remain to be characterized, the results of the present study indicated that MSCs from  
395 equine fetal adnexa are able to constitutively produce EVs that may be partly responsible for  
396 their paracrine activity. Further investigation are needed to find the best protocols for isolation  
397 and *in vitro* differentiation for AM-MSCs. Moreover, additional *in vivo* tests are needed to  
398 confirm our *in vitro* findings.

399

400 **Conflict of interest statement**

401 None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other people or  
402 organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

403

404 **Funding**

405 This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public,  
406 commercial or not-for-profit sector.

407

408 **Acknowledgments**

409

410 The authors wish to thank Prof. Carolina Castagnetti (Head of Equine Clinical Service,  
411 DIMEVET, University of Bologna, Italy) for the agreement to the sample collection. They  
412 wish also to thank all of the technical staff and veterinarians at Equine Perinatology and  
413 Reproduction Unit, DIMEVET, University of Bologna, for their assistance with this project.

414

415 **References**

- 416 **Bellotti C, Duchi S, Bevilacqua A, Lucarelli E & Piccinini F** 2016 Long term  
417 morphological characterization of mesenchymal stromal cells 3D spheroids built with a rapid  
418 method based on entry-level equipment. *Cytotechnology* **68** 2479–2490.
- 419 **Biancone L, Bruno S, Deregibus MC, Tetta C & Camussi G** 2012 Therapeutic potential of  
420 mesenchymal stem cell-derived microvesicles. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation* **27** 3037–  
421 3042.
- 422 **Burk J, Ribitsch I, Gittel C, Juelke H, Kasper C, Staszuk C & Brehm W** 2013 Growth  
423 and differentiation characteristics of equine mesenchymal stromal cells derived from different  
424 sources. *The Veterinary Journal* **195** 98–106.
- 425 **Carrade DD, Lane MW, Kent MS, Clark KC, Walker NJ & Borjesson DL** 2012  
426 Comparative analysis of the immunomodulatory properties of Equine Adult-Derived  
427 Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Cell Medicine* **4** 1-11.
- 428 **Castagnetti C, Mariella J, Pirrone A, Cinotti S, Mari G & Peli A** 2012 Expression of  
429 interleukin-1  $\beta$ , interleukin-8, and interferon- $\gamma$  in blood samples obtained from healthy and  
430 sick neonatal foals. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **73** 1418–1427.
- 431 **Chimenti I, Smith RR, Li TS, Gerstenblith G, Messina E, Giacomello A & Marbán E**  
432 2016 Relative roles of direct regeneration versus paracrine effects of human cardiosphere-  
433 derived cells transplanted into infarcted mice. *Circulation Research* **106** 971–980.
- 434 **Choi M, Lee H, Naidansaren P, Kim H, Cha J, Ahn H, Yang P, Shin J & Joe Y** 2013  
435 Proangiogenic features of Wharton’s jelly-derived mesenchymal stromal/stem cells and their  
436 ability to form functional vessels. *The International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology*  
437 **45** 560–570.
- 438 **Colleoni S, Bottani E, Tessaro I, Mari G, Merlo B, Romagnoli N, Spadari A, Galli C &**  
439 **Lazzari G** 2009 Isolation, growth and differentiation of equine mesenchymal stem cells:

440 effect of door, source, amount of tissue and supplementation with basic fibroblast growth  
441 factor. *Veterinary Research Communication* **33** 811-821.

442 **Corradetti B, Lange-Consiglio A, Barucca M, Cremonesi F & Bizzarro D** 2011 Size-  
443 sieved subpopulations of mesenchymal stem cells from intervacular and perivascular equine  
444 umbilical cord matrix. *Cell Proliferation* **44** 330–342.

445 **De Coppi P, Bartsch G, Siddiqui MM, Xu T, Santos CC, Perin L, Mostoslavsky G, Serre**  
446 **AC, Snyder EY, Yoo JJ, Furth ME, Soker S & Atala A** 2007 Isolation of amniotic stem  
447 cell lines with potential for therapy. *Nature Biotechnology* **25** 100–106.

448 **Desmarais J, Demers S, Suzuki JJ, Laflamme S, Vincent P, Laverty S & Smith L** 2011  
449 Trophoblast stem cell marker gene expression in inner cell mass-derived cells from  
450 parthenogenetic equine embryos. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)* **141** 321–332.

451 **Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini F, Krause D, Deans R,**  
452 **Keating A, Prockop D & Horwitz E** 2006 Minimal criteria for defining multipotent  
453 mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement.  
454 *Cytotherapy* **8** 315–317.

455 **Guest DJ, Smith MR & Allen WR** 2010 Equine embryonic stem-like cells and  
456 mesenchymal stromal cells have different survival rates and migration patterns following their  
457 injection into damaged superficial digital flexor tendon. *The Equine Veterinary Journal* **42**  
458 636–642.

459 **György B, Szabò T, Pásztoi M, Pál Z, Miják P, Aradi B, László V, Pállinger E, Pap E,**  
460 **Kittel A et al.** 2011 Membrane vesicles, current state-of-the-art: emerging role of  
461 extracellular vesicles. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* **68** 2667–2688.

462 **Iacono E, Brunori L, Pirrone A, Pagliaro PP, Ricci F, Tazzari PL & Merlo B** 2012  
463 Isolation, characterization and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from amniotic fluid,

464 umbilical cord blood and Wharton's jelly in the horse. *Reproduction (Cambridge, England)*  
465 **143** 455–468.

466 **Iacono E, Merlo B, Romagnoli N, Rossi B, Ricci F & Spadari A** 2015a Equine bone  
467 marrow and adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells: Cytofluorimetric characterization, in  
468 vitro differentiation and clinical application. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Sciences* **35** 130-  
469 140.

470 **Iacono E, Rossi B & Merlo B** 2015b Stem cells from foetal adnexa and fluid in domestic  
471 animals: an update on their features and clinical application. *Reproduction in Domestic*  
472 *Animals* **50** 353-364.

473 **Jischa S, Walter I, Nowotny N, Palm F, Budik S, Kolodziejek J & Aurich C** 2008 Uterine  
474 involution and endometrial function in postpartum pony mares. *American Journal of*  
475 *Veterinary Research* **69** 1525–1534.

476 **Kavanagh D, Robinson J & Kalia N** 2014 Mesenchymal stem cell priming: fine-tuning  
477 adhesion and function. *Stem Cell Reviews* **10** 587–599.

478 **Kol A, Walker NJ, Galuppo LD, Clark KC, Buerchler S, Bernanke A & Borjesson DL**  
479 2013 Autologous point-of-care cellular therapies variably induce **equine**mesenchymal stem  
480 cell migration, proliferation and cytokine expression. *The Equine Veterinary Journal* **45** 193-  
481 198.

482 **Kopher RA, Penchev VR, Islam MS, Hill KL, Khosla S & Kaufman DS** 2010 Human  
483 embryonic stem cell-derived CD34+ cells function as MSC progenitor cells. *Bone* **47** 718–  
484 728.

485 **Lamalice L, Le Boeuf F & Huot J** 2007 Endothelial cell migration during angiogenesis.  
486 *Circulation Research* **100** 782–794.

487 **Lange-Consiglio A, Corradetti B, Bizzaro D, Magatti M, Ressel L, Tassan S & Parolini**  
488 **O, Cremonesi F** 2012 Characterization and potential applications of progenitor cells isolated

489 from horse amniotic membrane. *Journal Tissue Engineering Regenerative Medicine* **6** 622-  
490 635.

491 **Lange-Consiglio A, Corradetti B, Meucci A, Bizzaro D & Cremonesi F** 2013  
492 Characteristics of equine mesenchymal stem cells derived from amnion and bone marrow: in  
493 vitro proliferative and multilineage potential assessment. *The Equine Veterinary Journal* **45**  
494 737–744.

495 **Lange-Consiglio A, Perrini C, Tasquier R, Deregibus M, Camussi G, Pascucci L, Marini**  
496 **M, Corradetti B, Bizzarro D, De Vita B et al.** 2016 Equine Amniotic Microvesicles and  
497 Their Anti-Inflammatory Potential in a Tenocyte Model In Vitro. *Stem Cells Development* **25**  
498 610–621.

499 **Li G, Zhang X, Wang H, Wang X, Meng C, Chan C, Yew D, Tsang K, Li K, Tsai S et al.**  
500 2009 Comparative proteomic analysis of mesenchymal stem cells derived from human bone  
501 marrow, umbilical cord and placenta: implication in the migration. *Proteomics* **9** 20–30.

502 **Liang CC, Park AY & Guan JL** 2007 In vitro scratch assay: a convenient and inexpensive  
503 method for analysis of cell migration in vitro. *Nature Protocols* **2** 329–333.

504 **Liang X, Ding Y, Zhang Y, Tse H & Lian Q** 2014 Paracrine mechanisms of mesenchymal  
505 stem cell-based therapy: current status and perspectives. *Cell Transplantation* **23** 1045–1059.

506 **Maia L, Landim-Alvarenga FC, Da Mota LS, De Assis Golim M, Laufer-Amorim R, De**  
507 **Vita B, Barberini DJ, Listoni AJ, De Moraes CN, Heckler MC & Amorim RM** 2013  
508 Immunophenotypic, immunocytochemistry, ultrastructural, and cytogenetic characterization  
509 of mesenchymal stem cells from equine bone marrow. *Microsc Res Tech* **76** 618-624.

510 **Mizuno H & Hyakusoku H** 2003 Mesengenic potential and future clinical perspective of  
511 human processed lipoaspirate cells. *Journal of Nippon Medical School* **70** 300–306.

512 **Mohanty N, Gulati B, Kumar R, Gera S, Kumar P, Somasundaram R & Kumar S** 2014  
513 Immunophenotypic characterization and tenogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stromal

514 cells isolated from equine umbilical cord blood. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology -*  
515 *Animal* **50** 538–548.

516 **Nielsen J & McNagny K** 2008 Novel functions of the CD34 family. *Journal of Cell Science*  
517 **121** 3683–3692.

518 **Paris DB & Stout TA** 2010 Equine embryos and embryonic stem cells: defining reliable  
519 markers of pluripotency. *Theriogenology* **74** 516–524.

520 **Pascucci L, Mercati F, Marini C, Ceccarelli P, Dall'Aglio C, Pedini V & Gargiulo AM**  
521 2010 Ultrastructural morphology of equine adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Histol*  
522 *Histopathol* **25** 1277-1285.

523 **Pascucci L, Alessandri G, Dall'Aglio C, Mercati F, Cloliolo P, Bazzucchi C, Dante S,**  
524 **Petrini S, Curina G & Ceccarelli P** 2014 Membrane vesicles mediate pro-angiogenic  
525 activity of equine adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal cells. *The Veterinary Journal* **202**  
526 361–366.

527 **Pascucci L, Dall'Aglio C, Bazzucchi C, Mercati F, Mancini M, Pessina A, Alessandri G,**  
528 **Giammaroli M, Dante S, Brunati G et al.** 2015 Horse adipose-derived mesenchymal  
529 stromal cells constitutively produce membrane vesicles: a morphological study. **30** 549–557.

530 **Pasquinelli G, Tazzari PL, Ricci F, Vaselli C, Buzzi M, Conte R, Orrico C, Feroni L,**  
531 **Stella A, Alviano F et al.** 2007 Ultrastructural characteristics of human mesenchymal stromal  
532 (stem) cells derived from bone marrow and term placenta. *Ultrastructural Pathology* **31** 23–  
533 31.

534 **Pera MF** 2009 Stem cells: Low-risk reprogramming. *Nature* **458** 715–716.

535 **Perrini C, Strillacci MG, Bagnato A, Esposti P, Marini MG, Corradetti B, Bizzaro D,**  
536 **Idda A, Ledda S, Capra E, Pizzi F, Lange-Consiglio A & Cremonesi F** (2016)  
537 Microvesicles secreted from equine amniotic-derived cells and their potential role in reducing

538 inflammation in endometrial cells in an in-vitro model. *Stem Cell Research and Therapy* **18**  
539 169.

540 **Rainaldi G, Pinto B, Piras A, Vatteroni L, Simi S & Citti L** 1991 Reduction of  
541 proliferative heterogeneity of CHEF18 Chinese hamster cell line during the progression  
542 toward tumorigenicity. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology 27A* **27** 949–952.

543 **Rossi B, Merlo B, Colleoni S, Iacono E, Tazzari PL, Ricci F, Lazzari G & Galli C** 2014  
544 Isolation and in vitro characterization of bovine amniotic fluid derived stem cells at different  
545 trimesters of pregnancy. *Stem Cell Reviews* **10** 712–724.

546 **Saadeldin IM, Oh HJ & Lee BC** 2015 Embryonic-maternal cross-talk via exosomes:  
547 potential implications. *Stem Cells Cloning* **8** 103–107.

548 **Sidney LE, Branch MJ, Dunphy SE, Dua HS & Hopkinson A** 2014 Concise Review:  
549 Evidence for CD34 as a Common Marker for Diverse Progenitors. *Stem Cells (Dayton, Ohio)*  
550 **32** 1380–1389.

551 **Subramanian A, Fong C, Biswas A & Bongso A** 2015 Comparative Characterization of  
552 Cells from the Various Compartments of the Human Umbilical Cord Shows that the  
553 Wharton’s Jelly Compartment Provides the Best Source of Clinically Utilizable Mesenchymal  
554 Stem Cells. *Plos One* **10** e0127992.

555 **Visser M & Pollitt C** 2011 Lamellar leukocyte infiltration and involvement of IL-6 during  
556 oligofructose-induced equine laminitis development. *Veterinary Immunology and*  
557 *Immunopathology* **144** 120–128.

558 **Wang W, Itaka K, Ohba S, Nishiyama N, Chung U, Yamasaki Y & Kataoka K** 2009 3D  
559 spheroid culture system on micropatterned substrates for improved differentiation efficiency  
560 of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomaterials* **30** 2705–2715.



561 **Yagi H, Soto-Gutierrez A, Parekkadan B, Kitagawa Y, Tompkins RG, Kobayashi N &**  
562 **Yarmush ML** 2010 Mesenchymal stem cells: mechanisms of immunomodulation and  
563 homing. *Cell Transplant* **19** 667–679.

564 **Yazdanpanah G, Paeini-Vayghan G, Asadi S & Niknejad H** 2015 The effects of  
565 cryopreservation on angiogenesis modulation activity of human amniotic membrane.  
566 *Cryobiology* **71** 413–418.

567