

## Evaluation of the effects of traction on ankle kinematics during a side cut using bfPCA (abstract only)

TREJO RAMIREZ, Manuela Paulina, WHEAT, Jonathan <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1107-6452>>, JAMES, David <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1135-626X>>, WARMENHOVEN, J. and HAMILTON, Nick <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4807-3087>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/25001/>

---

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

### Published version

TREJO RAMIREZ, Manuela Paulina, WHEAT, Jonathan, JAMES, David, WARMENHOVEN, J. and HAMILTON, Nick (2019). Evaluation of the effects of traction on ankle kinematics during a side cut using bfPCA (abstract only). *Footwear Science*, 11 (sup1), S25-S27.

---

### Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>



**Evaluation of the effects of traction on ankle kinematics during a side cut using bfPCA**

Journal:	<i>Footwear Science</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Proceedings Abstracts FBS 2019
Keywords:	bfPCA, traction, footwear, ankle, sports injuries

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Introduction

High intensity movements and sudden changes of direction in football are known to contribute to the occurrence of non-contact injuries (Jain *et al.* 2014). Studies of ankle injury pathways have shown that these occur when one or more loading mechanisms follow a defined sequence (Funk, 2011). Under this principle an increased risk due to inadequate traction can be identified, such as excess grip between the foot and the playing surface or insufficient traction due to a slippery surface. The present study uses bivariate functional Principal Component Analysis (bfPCA) to identify the variability in ankle kinematics caused by traction during a side cut. This approach analyses two angle variables relative to each other, identifying relationships that could lead to injury.

## Purpose of the study

The purpose of this study was to demonstrate how variability in ankle kinematics aids to identify injury mechanisms during a side cut.

## Methods

Data were collected from 20 recreational male football players (age  $24.2 \pm 4.67$  years, mass  $73.0 \pm 6.97$  kg, height  $1.77 \pm 0.06$  m). Participants performed 3 trials of a side-cut using futsal shoes (Kipsta Agility 100 Sala, Decathlon). Two different conditions on carpeted floor were used: Rubber outsole without modification (High traction) and Rubber outsole covered with a pattern of 1cm PVC tape stripes (Low traction). The coefficient of traction ( $\mu$ ) of each outsole was 1.24 and 0.49 in the high and low traction conditions, respectively. Lower leg kinematics were collected using a Motion Capture (MAC, Motion Analysis

Corporation) at 200Hz. Data were then evaluated using open source bfPCA code (Matlab, MathWorks), evaluating coupled joint mechanisms. Positive/negative scores were identified as participant trials related to angle deviations, due to addition or subtraction of the effect of a bivariate functional Principal Component (bfPC). Three couplings were analysed: PD-IE: plantar/dorsiflexion-in/eversion, IE-ROT: in/eversion-in/external rotation, PD-ROT: plantar/dorsiflexion-in/external rotation. The first 3 bfPC were extracted for all combinations accounting for >85% of total variation.

## Results

Results of the present study show changes in angle couplings between traction conditions. Non-trivial effect sizes (Cohen's  $d > 0.2$ ) were observed for 3 of the bfPCs (Table 1).

(Here Table 1)

For PD-IE, bfPC2 and bfPC3 described variations in inversion and plantarflexion angles during the first 20% of the movement. The largest effect size ( $d=0.55$ ) was observed for bfPC3, depicting increased plantarflexion, attributed to low traction outsoles during landing.

For bfPC2 of PD-ROT, negative bfPC2 scorers showed an increase in plantarflexion and internal rotation during 50-80% of the movement (Figure 1). Mean scores of the high traction trials scored negatively on this bfPC.

(Here figure 1)

## Discussion and conclusion

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 bfPCA weights variations in multivariate  
7 movement signatures and highlights where  
8 and how injuries might occur.


9 For the PD-IE, insufficient traction led to  
10 increased inversion coupled with  
11 plantarflexion changes, due to instability  
12 during the landing stage of the side cut. Both  
13 angles individually or combined are known to  
14 cause Anterior Talofibular ligament (ATFL)  
15 and Calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) injuries.  
16 For bfPC2 of PD-ROT, high traction outsoles  
17 generated a simultaneous increase in  
18 plantarflexion and internal rotation. Both  
19 mechanisms are known to lead to ATFL  
20 injury, which is the most common in football  
21 and often occurs simultaneously with CFL  
22 injury. Inadequate traction during fast, high  
23 intensity movements bring increased loading,  
24 increasing the likelihood of complex injuries  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

rupturing one or two lateral ligaments  
(Ekstrand, 2016). Using bfPCA, footwear can  
be evaluated in order to avoid designs  
increasing the identified mechanisms.

### References

- Ekstrand, J. (2016). Preventing injuries in professional football: thinking bigger and working together. *British journal of sports medicine*, 50(12), 709-10.
- Funk, J. (2011). Ankle injury mechanisms: Lessons learned from cadaveric studies. *Clinical Anatomy*, 24(3), 350-361.
- Jain, N., Murray, D., Kemp, S., & Calder, J. (2014). Frequency and trends in foot and ankle injuries within an English Premier League Football Club using a new impact factor of injury to identify a focus for injury prevention *Foot and ankle surgery*, 20(4), 237-240.

PD-IE: Plantar/dorsiflexion-in/eversion				
Var	High traction M±SD	Low traction M±SD	Cohen's <i>d</i>	
bfPC1	52.2%	2.93±78.3	-2.93±70.1	0.08
bfPC2	28.1%	-5.98±53.3	5.98±55.1	<b>0.21</b>
bfPC3	6.2%	7.04±24.2	-7.04±25.1	<b>0.55</b>
IE-ROT: in/eversion-in/external rotation				
bfPC1	46.7%	2.44±77.1	-2.44±74.4	0.06
bfPC2	38.5%	0.69±64.5	-0.69±72.9	0.02
bfPC3	5.1%	-1.40±25.3	1.40±25.0	0.11
PD-ROT: plantar/dorsiflexion-in/external rotation				
bfPC1	60.2%	2.42±79.0	-2.42±100.5	0.05
bfPC2	18.5%	-7.48±55.1	7.48±43.3	<b>0.29</b>
bfPC3	7.6%	2.71±31.4	-2.71±32.9	0.16



38 Figure 1. bfPC2 of the plantarflexion and internal rotation angles.

39  
40 208x204mm (96 x 96 DPI)