

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Barnett, Nina L. (2019) Opportunities for collaboration between pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists to support medicines optimisation in the UK. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 85(8), pp. 1666-1669. ISSN (print) 0306-5251, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.13966>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

1 **Opportunities for collaboration between pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists to support**
2 **medicines optimisation in the UK.**

3 Professor Nina L Barnett PhD FFRPS FRPharmS IPresc

4 Consultant Pharmacist, Care of Older People

5 London Northwest Healthcare NHS trust and

6 NHS Specialist Pharmacy Service

7 Visiting professor, Kings College London

8 Nina.barnett@nhs.net

9 Abstract 149 words

10 Medicines optimisation is a clinician-driven, person-centred ongoing process. Pharmacists and
11 clinical pharmacologists have medicines-related expertise to deliver medication review to optimise
12 clinical and cost effective use of medication, aligned with patient preferences, to contribute to
13 improved health outcomes. There is a large pharmacy workforce, directly accessible to patients,
14 who can provide expert medicines-related care on the high street, and increasingly in General
15 Practice and care homes settings. There are a small number of clinical pharmacologists in practice,
16 mainly working in a hospital setting. Potential opportunities for collaboration are extensive,
17 including local initiatives in collaborative in education, formulary/medicines management, electronic
18 prescribing, service evaluation, research, direct clinical services as well as strategic planning through
19 the Regional Medicines Optimisation Committees. Pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists have
20 complementary skill sets and through acknowledging the differences in their approaches and valuing
21 their unique skills, health services can ensure that patients are signposted to appropriate services.

22

23 **Medicines optimisation**

24 Medicines optimisation has been variously defined by National Institute of Health and Clinical
25 Excellence (NICE, United Kingdom) , Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS, Great Britain) and NHS
26 England (1,2,3) as involving medication review to optimise clinical and cost effective use of
27 medication, in line with patient preferences, to contribute to improved health outcomes. It is a
28 **clinician-driven, person-centred** ongoing process supporting patients in the **safe, effective**
29 **medicines use**, to facilitate **getting the most from the medicines they choose to take**. The agenda is
30 huge and requires input from different health care professions although. Medicines optimisation is
31 the responsibility of all clinical professionals involved in improving the health of patients through
32 medicines. Thus far, the agenda has been driven by pharmacists, clinical pharmacologists, and
33 geriatricians as well as some general practitioners who have all led the way in both identifying
34 patients at risk of preventable medicines-related problems and undertaking medication review to
35 reduce this risk. This involves understanding the evidence for the effectiveness, risks and benefits of
36 prescribing (starting) and deprescribing (stopping) medicines in relation to individual patients as well
37 as at a population level. This aligns with the definition proposed by Sackett et al (4) in 1996, which
38 describes evidence-based medicine as combining the best available research evidence and the

39 patient's views, goals, wishes and circumstances, using clinical judgement to agree on an
40 appropriate way forward for medication use.

41 **Workforce**

42 The pharmacy workforce is ideally placed to support medicines optimisation in this way, using both
43 the evidence base and person-centred skills. In 2008 approximately 70% of the workforce (table 1)
44 was placed the community, where patients have direct access, without appointments, to
45 increasingly expert health professionals in medicines-related care on the high street (see table 1).

Table 1: Proportion of GB pharmacist workforce by sector (2008)

Sector	Proportion of 2008 workforce ⁷
Community	71%
Hospital	21%
Primary care	7%
Industry	4%
Academia	3%
Other	4%

46 **Source: Pharmacy Workforce Census 2008 (RPSGB, 2009)**

47 * Total is >100% because some respondents had more than one sector of work.

48 The demographic is changing and pharmacists are now being deployed into new areas of practice,
49 including General Practice and care homes. Following a successful pilot programme, 491 pharmacists
50 began working in general practice. The General Practice Forward View (5) committed over
51 £100million to support an extra 1,500 clinical pharmacists to work in general practice by 2020/21
52 (6). Many of these pharmacists are already in place, running medicines optimisation clinics in general
53 practice and in specific therapeutic areas. Additionally, there is much work being undertaken to
54 improve medicines-related support for people in care homes, with 240 new clinical pharmacy
55 professionals being recruited to work in care homes (7), trained through a national programme.

56 Pharmacists in the community are directly available to patient without appointment on the high
57 street. In addition, there are a smaller number of specialist pharmacists and Consultant Pharmacists
58 working across primary and secondary care. A recent UK publication, known as the NHS Long term
59 plan (8), has further developed opportunities for pharmacists to contribute to medicines
60 optimisation. This includes increasing the number of clinical pharmacists in primary care networks
61 supporting general practitioners and highlighting the continuing role of community pharmacists in
62 supporting prevention.

63

64 However, pharmacists are not the only health care professionals actively involved in medicines
65 optimisation. Other such health care providers include consultants such as internists (general
66 physicians), geriatricians and clinical pharmacologists. Given the difference in skillset between
67 pharmacists and their medical peers (clinical pharmacologists) it is important to both professions to

68 recognise where pharmacists skills can complement those of clinical pharmacologists, and to identify
69 where the combined skills of others involved in medicine optimisation, such as geriatricians, can
70 contribute to this agenda. It is important to recognise the large difference in workforce numbers
71 between the two professions, with 52,000 registered pharmacists in Great Britain (in 2016) and 136
72 registered clinical pharmacologists (in 2017). In relation to the clinical pharmacology
73 workforce, there are currently a small number of clinical pharmacologists in practice, with the Royal
74 College of Physicians census dashboard (9) indicating 94 consultants and 42 trainees. The consultant
75 workforce has expanded over the last 15 years from approximately 60 in 2004 to the current 94. In
76 relation to the 42 trainees across the UK, there are also clinical pharmacology/therapeutics
77 academic clinical fellows and clinical lecturers in the specialty, encouraged by this speciality as a
78 theme for the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) in its competition bids for academic
79 posts. For example, in London, there are 3 lecturers and 7 academic clinical fellows, in addition to
80 the NHS-funded clinical pharmacologist posts (10)

81 Despite the large difference in workforce numbers, both professions are active in virtually all settings
82 where pharmaceutical care is provided such as hospitals, nursing homes, general practice settings
83 and communities. Medicines optimisation at the level of the individual patient is undertaken by both
84 professions and in hospital settings, medicines optimisation committees are often chaired by a
85 clinical pharmacologist (where available) and co-chaired by a pharmacist. As multidisciplinary, cross-
86 sector and interface team working increases, this may result in closer working relationships between
87 clinical pharmacologists and pharmacists, particularly in general practice, which could positively
88 contribute to medicines-related care for the most complex patients requiring medication review.

89 ..

90

91 **Collaboration**

92 Given that both pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists focus on maximising the benefit and
93 minimising harm from medication, collaboration between professions would seem a natural pairing
94 to promote medicines optimisation in a variety of settings. However, thus far, joint working is not
95 yet commonly found in clinical settings. A systematic review of medication review identified
96 pharmacist-led interventions (11) and a recent evaluation discusses the potential impact of
97 medication review in general practice (12). However there is little current evidence for the
98 involvement of clinical pharmacologists, despite the fact that clinical pharmacologists play an
99 essential part in medicines optimisation. Given the size of the medicines optimisation challenge, it
100 would be prudent to consider all suitably skilled clinical not only as contributors but also as
101 collaborators in this work.

102 Potential opportunities for collaboration are extensive, including initiatives in collaborative in
103 education, formulary/medicines management, electronic prescribing, service evaluation and
104 research as well as clinical services. One example of this is the work at University College London
105 (UCL), University College London Hospital (UCLH) and North Central London (NCL). Future
106 opportunities can make use of technological advances to offer opportunities for remote
107 consultations both between professionals (pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists who are not co-
108 located).

Education –Medical and pharmacy undergraduate students have been participating in integrated workshops at UCL. Pharmacists and Clinical Pharmacologist have collaborated to deliver innovative teaching methods, some of which become routine practice outside the university environment, for example, prescribing assessments and fitness to practice sessions. Clinical pharmacologists have also acted as Designated Medical Practitioners (DMPs) for many of the pharmacists undertaking independent prescribing courses.

Formulary and Medicines Management – UCLH and NCL formulary/drug and therapeutics committees are joined within the NCL Sustainability and Transformation Plan (STP) footprint. They are run and managed collaboratively between clinical pharmacologists and pharmacists. The Chairs of both committees are clinical pharmacologists, and the supporting team are pharmacists. Juniors from both professions are involved in reviewing and presenting the evidence bases for applications.

Prescribing technology - The project to procure, develop, implement and electronic prescribing and administration technology was led by Pharmacy and supported by clinical pharmacologists who provided input to weekly team meetings, and the monthly project board meetings. The project and rollout took four years and collaborative working has continued towards implementing a total electronic health record system to replace all electronic systems across the organisation.

Audit, service evaluation and research – A Centre for Medicines Optimisation Research and Education (CMORE) has been established with work streams involving collaborations between pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists. The Board includes pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists, along with other academics and clinicians.

Clinical – Clinical Pharmacologists and pharmacists are working together to explore the potential for a joint pharmacist/clinical pharmacologist ‘polypharmacy’ and de-prescribing clinic. While this is currently in the feasibility and proof-of-concept pilot phase, the demand for this is supported by enthusiasm from GPs it is expected that this will be a long-term venture towards shared responsibility for medicines optimisation.

110 **Personal communication: R Offord, 16th August 2018**

111 **How can Regional Medicines Optimisation Committees (RMOCS) support collaboration?**

112 There are four RMOCS set up by NHS England to maximise the opportunity for collaboration in
 113 relation to all areas of medicines optimisation. The RMOCS focus on areas of healthcare where there
 114 is unwarranted variation which could benefit from sharing of best practice, such as Polypharmacy,
 115 care homes, use of Biosimilar medicines and antimicrobial stewardship. The RMOCS aim to (13):

- 116 ➤ Identify best practice and evidence
- 117 ➤ Establish standards and metrics
- 118 ➤ Highlight examples of good practice
- 119 ➤ Support monitoring/governance

120 The four RMOCs across England have identified areas of specific focus, with London focussing on
121 polypharmacy. The chair and vice chair of the subgroup are a pharmacist and clinical pharmacologist
122 and it is intended that good practice in medicines optimisation around polypharmacy will be
123 disseminated through both professions to optimise clinical skills mix for patient benefit in this area.

124 **Challenges and enablers**

125 With any agenda as large as polypharmacy, there are challenges as well as enablers to moving this
126 work forward. Organisational and Sustainability and Transformation Partnership (STP) priorities can
127 promote or preclude establishment of polypharmacy reviews. The challenge of both identifying who
128 benefits most from complex reviews and having the appropriate amount of time to undertake
129 polypharmacy consultations is common to all health professionals involved in medicines
130 optimisation, as dedicated time is required for complex reviews. Individual clinicians, clinical
131 pharmacologists, geriatricians and pharmacists alike, have access to excellent tools to support
132 medicines optimisation, such as the NHS Scotland polypharmacy tool (14), anticholinergic burden
133 (15) and the National Health Service Business Services Authority (NHS BSA) polypharmacy metrics
134 (16), however there are challenges to embedding the use of these tools in practice. Patients have
135 specific views regarding polypharmacy and medication review: some may wish to reduce their
136 medicines, some may not, and some may consider reviews as cost saving measures and reject them.
137 For medicines optimisation consultations around polypharmacy to be effective, it will require
138 conversations with patients to elicit their agenda: what matters to them. This needs to be combined
139 with an easily accessible, relevant evidence base to support practitioners in using the clinical
140 judgement to optimise medicines in person-centred way.

141

142 **Moving forward**

143 By identifying areas of good practice, evidence and metrics, the RMOCs can support embedding of
144 effective medicines optimisation in the area of polypharmacy, using the skills of the most
145 appropriate health care professionals. Both pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists can respond to
146 the need for generalist clinicians to do this (working across all disease areas with medicines) as well
147 as provide expert practice (expertise in use of medicines). This may be new territory for some
148 practitioners, but both professions are aware that their traditional roles are changing and this is an
149 opportunity not to be missed for both professionals and for patient benefit.

150 By setting minimum standards for polypharmacy and minimum competencies for practitioners, the
151 public will be assured that medicines optimisation consultations will be undertaken by the most
152 suitable person for their needs. The RMOC can work with commissioners to develop contractual
153 levers to encourage polypharmacy activity and encourage use of validated measurable outcomes,
154 such as NHS Business Services Authority polypharmacy metrics. The RMOC has the opportunity to be
155 flexible about **which** tool or method is chosen to undertake reviews without compromising the
156 quality or validity of the metrics.

157 It is up to both professions to be flexible about **who** does the work, ensuring the competencies of
158 whichever professional group undertakes the work. The following table illustrates how

159 polypharmacy work could be shared according to complexity of cases and competencies of
160 practitioners:

161 **Table 3 Complexity of medicines optimisation consultations with practitioner examples**

What	When (post qualification)	Who
Level 1: Basic	Year 1	Foundation practitioners (doctors and independent prescribers)
Level 2: Intermediate	Year 2 to 5	Doctors and independent prescribers expanding their practice
Level 3: Advanced	Year 5 to 9	Specialist practitioners e.g. SpR, senior pharmacist IPs
Level 4: Very advanced	Years 10 onwards	Consultant pharmacists, Consultants Clinical Pharmacologists, advanced clinical pharmacists, some GPs, General Medicine Care of the Elderly consultants

162 *Courtesy of Prof E Baker. Personal Communication [May 2018]*

163 Summary

164 Pharmacists and clinical pharmacologists have complementary skill sets. By acknowledging the
165 differences in their approaches and valuing their unique skills, health services can ensure that
166 patients are signposted to appropriate services. Both professions have the opportunity to work
167 together to share their professional experiences of patient care and medication review, learn from
168 each other to deliver medicines optimisation consistently, widely and effectively for patient benefit.

169 Declaration of interest

170 No interests to declare.

171 References

- 172 1. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Medicines optimisation: the safe and
173 effective use of medicines to enable the best possible outcomes. NG5 published March 2015.
174 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng5/chapter/introduction> [accessed 31 Oct 2018]
- 175 2. Picton C and Wright H. Medicines Optimisation: Helping patients make the most of
176 medicines. Royal Pharmaceutical Society. Published May 2013
177 [https://www.rpharms.com/Portals/0/RPS%20document%20library/Open%20access/Policy/
178 helping-patients-make-the-most-of-their-medicines.pdf](https://www.rpharms.com/Portals/0/RPS%20document%20library/Open%20access/Policy/helping-patients-make-the-most-of-their-medicines.pdf) [accessed 31 Oct 2018]
- 179 3. NHS England. Medicines Optimisation. [https://www.england.nhs.uk/medicines/medicines-
180 optimisation/](https://www.england.nhs.uk/medicines/medicines-optimisation/) [accessed 31 Oct 2018]
- 181 4. Sackett, David L., William MC Rosenberg, JA Muir Gray, R. Brian Haynes, and W. Scott
182 Richardson. "Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't." British Medical Journal
183 (1996): 71-72. <https://www.bmj.com/content/312/7023/71> [accessed 31 Oct 2018]

- 184 5. NHS England. General practice forward view published 21 April 2016 updated 19 May 2017
185 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/general-practice-forward-view-gpfv/> [accessed 31
186 Oct 2018]
- 187 6. NHS England Clinical Pharmacists in General Practice
188 [https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/gpfv/workforce/building-the-general-practice-
189 workforce/cp-gp/](https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/gpfv/workforce/building-the-general-practice-workforce/cp-gp/) [accessed 31 Oct 2018]
- 190 7. Ridge K. Pharmacists prescribing better care (blog). NHS England 20 April 2018
191 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/blog/pharmacists-prescribing-better-care/> [accessed 31 Oct
192 2018]
- 193 8. NHS Long Term Plan. NHS England published 7th January 2019
194 <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/publication/nhs-long-term-plan/> [accessed 3 February
195 2019]
- 196 9. Royal College of Physicians. Focus on Physicians: 2017-18 census (UK consultants and higher
197 speciality trainees). Royal College of Physicians 28th June 2017.
198 [https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/focus-physicians-2017-18-census-uk-
199 consultants-and-higher-specialty-trainees](https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/projects/outputs/focus-physicians-2017-18-census-uk-consultants-and-higher-specialty-trainees) (accessed 25 February 2019)
- 200 10. Personal communication, Professor Emma Baker, 26th February 2019
- 201 11. 11 Jokanovic N, Tan EC, Sudhakaran S, Kirkpatrick CM, Dooley MJ, Ryan-Atwood TE, Bell JS.
202 Pharmacist-led medication review in community settings: an overview of systematic reviews.
203 Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy. 2017 Jul 1;13(4):661-85.
204 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27665364>
- 205 12. Hazen AC, de Bont AA, Leendertse AJ, Zwart DL, de Wit NJ, de Gier JJ, Bouvy ML. How Clinical
206 Integration of Pharmacists in General Practice has Impact on Medication Therapy Management:
207 A Theory-oriented Evaluation. International journal of integrated care. 2019 Jan 2;19(1).
- 208 13. NHS England Regional Medicines Optimisation Committees. First edition April 2017
209 [https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/regional-medicines-optimisation-
210 committees-operating-model.pdf](https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/regional-medicines-optimisation-committees-operating-model.pdf) [accessed 31 Oct 2018]
- 211 14. NHS Scotland Polypharmacy Guidance Realistic Prescribing 3rd Edition, 2018
212 [https://www.therapeutics.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Polypharmacy-Guidance-
213 2018.pdf](https://www.therapeutics.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Polypharmacy-Guidance-2018.pdf)
- 214 15. South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust 2019 The anticholinergic effect on
215 cognition tool <http://www.medichec.com/> [accessed 3 February 2019]
- 216 16. National Health Service Medicines Optimisation: Polypharmacy
217 [https://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/epact2/dashboards-specifications/medicines-optimisation-
218 polypharmacy](https://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/epact2/dashboards-specifications/medicines-optimisation-polypharmacy) [accessed 3 February 2019]