SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 20 February 2017 Accepted: 15 January 2018 Published online: 12 February 2018

OPEN Retinal progenitor cells release extracellular vesicles containing developmental transcription factors, microRNA and membrane proteins

Jing Zhou^{1,2}, Alberto Benito-Martin³, Jason Mighty^{1,2}, Lynne Chang⁴, Shima Ghoroghi¹, Hao Wu^{1,2}, Madeline Wong¹, Sara Guariglia⁵, Petr Baranov⁶, Michael Young⁶, Rajendra Gharbaran¹, Mark Emerson^{2,7}, Milica Tesic Mark⁸, Henrik Molina⁸, M. Valeria Canto-Solar⁹, Hector Peinado Selgas^{3,10} & Stephen Redenti^{1,2,11}

A range of cell types, including embryonic stem cells, neurons and astrocytes have been shown to release extracellular vesicles (EVs) containing molecular cargo. Across cell types, EVs facilitate transfer of mRNA, microRNA and proteins between cells. Here we describe the release kinetics and content of EVs from mouse retinal progenitor cells (mRPCs). Interestingly, mRPC derived EVs contain mRNA, miRNA and proteins associated with multipotency and retinal development. Transcripts enclosed in mRPC EVs, include the transcription factors Pax6, Hes1, and Sox2, a mitotic chromosome stabilizer Ki67, and the neural intermediate filaments Nestin and GFAP. Proteomic analysis of EV content revealed retinogenic growth factors and morphogen proteins. mRPC EVs were shown to transfer GFP mRNA between cell populations. Finally, analysis of EV mediated functional cargo delivery, using the Cre-loxP recombination system, revealed transfer and uptake of Cre+ EVs, which were then internalized by target mRPCs activating responder loxP GFP expression. In summary, the data supports a paradigm of EV genetic material encapsulation and transfer within RPC populations. RPC EV transfer may influence recipient RPC transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation, representing a novel mechanism of differentiation and fate determination during retinal development.

A growing number of studies are defining a novel form of cell-to-cell communication involving genetic material exchange via secreted extracellular vesicles (EVs)¹⁻³. EVs include exosomes and microvesicles, which are lipid enclosed cell fragments with diameters ranging from approximately 30 nm to 1 µm, released from most cell

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Lehman College, City University of New York, 250 Bedford Park Boulevard West, Bronx, NY, 10468, USA. ²Biology Doctoral Program, The Graduate School and University Center, City University of New York, 365 5th Avenue, New York, NY, 10016, USA. ³Children's Cancer and Blood Foundation Laboratories, Departments of Pediatrics, and Cell and Developmental Biology, Drukier Institute for Children's Health, Meyer Cancer Center, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York, 10021, USA. ⁴Nikon Instruments Inc, 1300 Walt Whitman Road, Melville, NY, 11747, USA. ⁵Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, 722 West 168th St, New York, NY, 10032, USA. ⁶The Schepens Eye Research Institute, Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Harvard Medical School, 20 Staniford Street, Boston, MA, 02114, USA. ⁷Department of Biology, The City College of New York, City University of New York, New York, NY, 10031, USA. ⁸Proteomics Resource Center, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY, 10065, USA. ⁹The Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, 21287, USA. ¹⁰Microenvironment and Metastasis Laboratory, Department of Molecular Oncology, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre (CNIO), Melchor Fernández Almagro, 3, Madrid, E28029, Spain. ¹¹Biochemistry Doctoral Program, The Graduate School and University Center, City University of New York, 365 5th Avenue, New York, NY, 10016, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.R. (email: stephen.redenti@lehman.cuny.edu)

types studied including cancer cells, embryonic stem cells, hematopoietic stem cells, neurons and astroctytes⁴⁻⁸. Exosomes have diameters of 30–150 nm and are formed through the endosomal-sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery^{9,10}. Microvesicles range in diameter from 100–1000 nm and are formed by membrane budding mediated by interactions between cell wall cytoskeletal and phospholipid proteins^{11,12}. The release of microvesicles are correlated to cytoplasmic calcium levels and signaling pathways involved in plasma membrane remodeling¹³. Comprehensive EV analysis has been performed on several bodily fluids, including blood, saliva, urine, cerebral spinal fluid¹⁴ and breast milk^{15,16}. Across studies, EVs enclose cytoplasmic and lipid bilayer embedded molecules, leading to encapsulation of unique combinations of microRNA, mRNA and proteins similar to those present in the cells from which they originate¹⁷. DNA has been reported in EVs from tumor cells, which carry single- and double stranded DNA, retrotransposon elements, and amplified c-Myc oncogene sequences¹⁸. EVs derived from astrocytes have also been shown to contain mitochondrial DNA¹⁹.

Recently, oligodendrocyte derived exosomes have been shown to contain molecular cargo that can be functionally recovered in neurons, enhancing neuronal viability²⁰. EVs from human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) are capable of reprogramming hematopoietic progenitors through transfer of oct-4, nanog and gata-4^{21,22}, suggesting a larger yet to be defined role for EVs in pluripotency, progenitor proliferation and fate determination²². EVs derived from hESCs and iPSCs contain a range of microRNAs, suggesting a potential role of EVs in post-transcriptional regulation¹⁷. Similarly, by transfer of mRNAs and proteins, EVs released from adult progenitor cells in kidney, lung and liver, induce de-differentiation of differentiated resident cells into stem cell-like phenotypes, leading to activation of regenerative programs^{1,23}.

Additional studies have described functional effects of adult neuron and neural progenitor EV signaling in differentiation and physiology^{8,24,25}. Huttner *et al.*²⁶ reported that during neurogenesis in developing mouse brain, neural progenitor derived EVs contain stem cell makers including prominin-1, with predicted involvement in proliferation regulation. Neural progenitor exosomes contain a high level of miRNA-128b and have been shown to direct neuronal differentiation in stem cell populations²⁵. EVs released from cortical neurons and microglia contain cell-adhesion molecules and glutamate receptors with predicted function in synapse formation^{8,26,27}. Emerging studies of EV content and function in the nervous system suggest potentially important roles in neurogenesis and physiology.

During retinal development, multipotent RPCs are enriched in early transcription factors and miRNAs, which are differentially expressed, influencing fate determination^{28–30}. During retinogenesis, multipotent mRPCs pass through a series of competence states, generating subsets of retinal cell types with signature expression profiles. In a conserved temporal sequence, early mouse retinogenesis (embryonic day 8–14) generates ganglion, cone, horizontal, and amacrine cells. In late retinogenesis (embryonic day 16 to postnatal day 12) bipolar, rod, and Muller cells are born. According to this competence model, the progeny of RPC fate is regulated by a combination of extrinsic and intrinsic cellular signaling, yet to be fully characterized^{31,32}.

In this work, we demonstrate that mRPCs release EVs with molecular cargo reflective of the expression states of the releasing cells. Our results suggest that mRPC EVs encapsulate miRNA, mRNA and proteins involved in multipotency and differentiation. These data support that mRPC EVs are a novel mechanism of cell-cell communication that could potentially be involved in retinal development, regulating gene expression, post-transcriptional modification and fate specification.

Results

Extracellular vesicles are consistently released from mRPCs. In these studies, mRPCs were observed to release EVs consistently over the time course analyzed. The robust viability of mRPCs during the time points of EV analysis was verified using a stable tetrazolium salt WST-based assay (Supplementary Figure S1). The size range and concentrations of EVs released from mRPCs were characterized using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) (Fig. 1). EV mean diameters and concentrations were analyzed from mRPC conditioned media at 12, 24 and 48 h (Fig. 1A–D). Mean diameters for triplicate sample analysis at each time point were 133+/-3.2 nm, 130+/-0.6 nm and 132+/-1.8 nm, respectively. The average diameter of EVs in control media was 127+/-6.6 nm. We next analyzed mRPC released EV concentrations over time. The average concentrations of EVs/ml recorded were 0h = 0.13+/-0.07 E8 (control), 12h = 3.41+/-0.34 E8, 24h = 4.91+/-0.35 E8 and 48h = 5.93+/-0.22 E8. Data at time 0 h (control) was obtained from fresh media without cells that contained minimal amounts of EVs. After normalization to control, individual mRPCs were found to release approximately 10^4 EVs within 12 h. A sample of Brownian motion exhibited by mRPC EVs in solution at 24 h is provided in Supplementary Video 1.

mRPC derived extracellular vesicles exhibit a spheroid morphology and CD63 expression. The size and morphology of isolated mRPC and EV samples were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)³³⁻³⁵. SEM analysis revealed the presence of EV structures on the soma and proximal processes of mRPCs (Fig. 2A,B). Isolated EVs exhibited self-clustering during SEM analysis, with spheroid morphologies and selected sample diameters of 57.15 nm (Fig. 2C,D). The clustering behavior of EVs may be due to enriched adhesion molecules on EVs. A common feature of many types of EVs is their expression of adhesion molecules, composed of proteins such as IgG superfamily and integrin family, among others. Adhesive properties of EVs are not well known yet^{35,36}. Selected images of immunogold TEM analysis showed EV diameters ranging from 70 to 200 nm and positive labeling for the EV marker CD63 (Fig. 2E,F). Next, expression of CD63 was used to characterize predicted EVs present within and emerging from the mRPCs. The tetraspanin CD63 is involved in intraluminal vesicle formation, enriched in EV membranes and a classic marker of EVs^{33,37}. Isolated EVs were fractionated on a sucrose-density gradient by ultracentrifugation (Fig. 3). CD63 positive bands were detected using sucrose gradient centrifugation followed by Western blotting in fractions at gradient-densities of 1.12–1.17 g/cm³, which aligned well with other published studies characterizing



Figure 1. Nanosight analysis of mRPC released extracellular vesicle diameters and concentrations. (A–C) Nanometer diameter ranges and concentrations of mRPC released EVs were analyzed from conditioned media at 12, 24 and 48 h, respectively. Mean diameter for three samples at each time point analyzed were: 12h = 133+/-3.2 nm, 24h = 130+/-0.6 nm and 48h = 132+/-1.8 nm. (D) Sample 3D plot showing EV size/relative intensity at 24 h. (E) Arrows showed light-scattering of individual EVs from a single frame of Nanosight tracking analysis at 24 h. (F) The average concentration of EVs/ml recorded at 0h = 0.13+/-0.07 E8, 12h = 3.41+/-0.34 E8, 24h = 4.91+/-0.35 E8 and 48h = 5.93+/-0.22 E8.



Figure 2. SEM and TEM characterization of mRPC surface and released extracellular vesicle ultrastructure. (A) SEM analysis of mRPC ultrastructure with extracellular vesicle structures apparent on the soma and left extended process, scale: $2\mu m$, (B) higher magnification of (A), scale: $1\mu m$. (C) released EVs isolated from conditioned media using ultracentrifugation appear as clusters under SEM analysis, scale: 300 nm., (D) higher magnification of (C) allows for measurement of two vesicles at 57.15 nm, scale: 100 nm. (E) Immunogold TEM of isolated EV populations reveal the presence of the EV marker CD63, scale: 500 nm, (F) higher magnification of (E), scale: 100 nm.



Figure 3. Sucrose-gradient and immunohistochemical characterization of extracellular vesicles. (A) CD63 positive bands were detected in fractions at gradient-densities of $1.12-1.17 \text{ g/cm}^3$. (B–F) Immunohistochemical analysis EVs: (B) GFP+ mRPCs (green), (C) anti-CD63 labeling in cytoplasmic and nuclear regions (red), (D) DAPI (nuclei, blue) and (E) overlay. Scale: $10 \mu m$. (F) 3D confocal reconstruction of labeled mRPCs revealed the presence of CD63 positive EVs in the cytoplasm, emerging from lipid bilayer regions of cell soma and on proximal and distal processes.



Figure 4. Genetic cargo of mRPC derived extracellular vesicles. (**A**) A 1.5% denaturing agarose gel loaded with total RNA from mRPCs and EVs. Total RNA from EVs consisted primarily of species below 800 nucleotides (nt) lacking 28S and 18S rRNA. EVs were treated with RNase and no difference was detected when compared with non-treated EVs, indicating the RNA of EVs was enclosed within the vesicle membrane. (**B**) Transcription factors, a cell-cycle regulator and intermediate filaments were identified in both mRPCs and EVs included Pax6, Hes1, Sox2, Ki67, GFAP and Nestin. The transcription factors identified are collectively involved in facilitating mRPC multipotency, cell-cycle and fate specification during retinogenesis. GFP, GAPDH and β -actin mRNAs were also detected in mRPCs and EVs. Next, the presence of miRNAs with established expression and function during retinogensis were chosen for analysis. (**C**) Selected miRNA species analyzed included Let7d, miR-9, miR-182 and miR-204. U6 snRNA was used as control. Data presented were combined from four independent replicates.

EVs (Fig. 3A)^{38,39}. An image of the entire sucrose gradient gel used to generate Fig. 3A appears in Supplementary Figure S4. To visualize CD63 positive EVs present in and emerging from mRPCs, anti-CD63 immunohistochemical analysis was performed. Confocal imaging and 3D reconstruction revealed CD63 labeling in the cytoplasmic spaces of mRPCs as well as on EVs emerging from lipid bilayer regions of both cell soma and processes (Fig. 3C–F).

Extracellular vesicles from a range of cell types have been shown to transfer combinations of mRNA, microRNA, and proteins^{40–42}. In this work, following the isolation of EVs from mRPC conditioned media, we analyzed mRNA, miRNA and protein content. Total RNA identified from EVs consisted primarily of species below 800 nucleotides (nt) lacking 28S and 18S rRNA (Fig. 4A). EVs were treated with RNase and no difference was detected between non-treated EVs and treated EVs, indicating the RNA was enclosed within the vesicle membrane (Fig. 4A).

Extracellular vesicles encapsulate mRNA and miRNA expressed in mRPCs. QPCR results suggested that mRNA species contained in EVs from mRPCs reflect genetic expression patterns of the cells. In particular, identified transcription factor and neuron progenitor cell mRNAs in both mRPCs and EVs included Pax6,

Hes1, Ki-67, Sox2 and Nestin (Fig. 4B). qPCR was also performed on EV-depleted control medium to confirm the absence of mRPC transcription factor mRNA or housekeeping genes. The presence of transcription factors in nervous system extracellular vesicles has been described in normal physiological and pathological conditions^{43–45}. Pax6, Hes1, Sox2 and Ki67 are involved in multipotency, fate specification and cell-cycle in mRPCs throughout retinal development^{28,46}. To compare mRNA levels between EVs and mRPCs, the same amount of starting RNA was used for each qPCR experiment. The controls β -actin and GAPDH are present at higher levels in mRPCs compared to EVs using the same amount of starting mRNA for reverse transcription. Differences in transcript levels between mRPCs and EVs are represented using Ct values as in previous EV studies^{3,21,47}. The transcription factors, Ki67 and intermediate filaments analyzed, as well as GFP mRNA, appeared in slightly higher levels in mRPCs when compared to EVs (Fig. 4B). These data revealed that secreted EVs contained a collection of mRNA transcripts important for mRPC multipotency.

The results from agarose gel electrophoresis revealed that the RNA species encapsulated in mRPC EVs were below 800nt, with a percentage appearing below 100nt. To begin to characterize the small RNAs, qPCR was used to investigate the presence of miRNAs in both mRPCs and EVs. Four miRNAs, with established expression and studied function in retinal development, were chosen for analysis, including Let7d, miR-9, miR-182 and miR-204⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. U6 snRNA was used as a stable endogenous control⁵¹. Each small miRNA species tested appeared in higher levels in mRPCs, with lower detectable levels present in EVs (Fig. 4C).

Extracellular vesicles are enriched for protein species expressed in mRPCs. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was used to profile the proteome of mRPCs derived EVs⁵². Overall, 1,829 proteins were matched at 1% False Discovery Rates (protein and peptide) in a 'bottom-up' proteomics profiling of EV enriched fraction. 3,134 proteins were matched analyzing mRPC lysate. 223 of the proteins matched in the EV enriched sample were not matched in the cell lysate (Fig. 5A). The measured median iBAQ signal was ~4-fold lower in the EV enriched fraction (Fig. 5B). Using the protein iBAQ distribution as a reference, five proteins, established as EV markers, were highly enriched in EVs, including CD81, CD9, TSG101, CD63 and Itgb1 (green circles) (Fig. 5B), consistent with previous reports⁵³. Analysis of mRPC derived EVs by LS-MS/MS revealed many common and previously described EV proteins such as cytoskeleton component (actins, myosins, actin interacting regulatory proteins cofilins and tubulins), heat shock proteins (HSP 90-beta, HSP 90-alpha and HSP a4), G-proteins (Gprc 5b), a great number of Rab GTPase associated with membrane transport and fusion and the tetraspanin families' (Tspan4, Tspan7, Tspan14, Tspan9 and Tspan6).

Rab GTPase family proteins were also present in mRPC derived EVs (Supplementary Table 1). Rab GTPases participate in multivesicular body (MVB) fusion and microvesicle genesis⁵⁴. Two Rab GTPases identified in EVs were Rab11 and Rab35. Rab11 plays a vital role in docking and fusion of MVBs⁵⁵. Rab35 functions in MVB docking and subsequent release of EVs³³. Our data showed that though Rab35 was measured in both samples, the Rab35 signal was highly enriched in the EV sample (Supplementary Table 1). Additional biogenesis-related proteins identified included vesicle assembly proteins and vesicle transport (Annexins), vacuolar proteins sorting-associated proteins (Snf8, Vps37c, Vps28, Vps4a, Vps36, Vps25 and Vps4b, and signal transduction proteins such as 14-3-3 and Sdcbp proteins. ESCRT proteins identified included ESCRT-0, ESCRT-I, ESCRT-II and ESCRT-III, each with roles in biogenesis of MVBs and release of exosomes. In total, eighteen proteins, mostly vacuolar sorting-associated proteins, identified in this work, belonged to the ESCRT sorting machinery (Supplementary Table 2). Submitting proteins enriched 8-fold or more according to a String analysis⁵⁶ (background proteome: mouse) revealed that indeed, 'extracellular vesicles and exosomes', 'membrane-bound vesicles' and 'vesicles' (GO: 1903561, 0070062, 00319888 and 0031982) were highly enriched (FDR less than 1e-70) among the enriched proteins. Figure 5C shows a network of enriched EV proteins, including integrin, a protein family, which was recently related to exosome structure⁵⁷ and vacuolar protein sorting. In Fig. 5C, the proteins in the network are enriched 8-fold or more in EVs than in cells. This network contained integrin proteins including itga4, itga6, itgb6, itgb1 which were enriched in exosomes, in addition to itga1, Itgav and itga7 which are found exclusively in exosomes. Integrins are a complex family of cell adhesion receptors mediating signaling of cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix (ECM) protein interactions. Enriched integrins in EVs may mediate self-clustering properties of EVs, as visible in SEM results (Fig. 2A). Integrin α and β subunits form approximately 24 known pairs of heterodimer receptor complexes, which determine ECM cell binding sites⁵⁸. Recently, Itgav was found to mediate multipotent adipose-derived stem cell interactions with surrounding environment and in cell cycle progression. In addition, Itgav is implicated in neural invasion, by malignant tumor cells⁵⁹ and angiogenesis^{60,61}. Also, a number of solute carrier (SLC) transporter members were found in EVs, including Slc1a2, Slc2a1, Slc6a1, Slc7a5, Slc12a7, Slc12a2 and Slc16a1 (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Table 4).

In addition to EV associated proteins, several proteins potentially associated with mRPC state and neural development, were identified from proteomic analysis. According to the iBAQ signal, Igsf8, Gprc5b, Dhx30, Klf14 and Mov10 were identified in EVs but not in the mRPC lysate; proteins including Ptgfrn, Slc7a5, Adam10 and Prelp were matched in mRPC lysate but enriched in the EVs (Fig. 5B). Adam10 is involved in maintaining progenitor cell pools and directing neural differentiation by regulating Notch signaling^{62,63}. Additionally, Krueppel-like factor 14 (Klf14) is associated with positive regulation of cell cycle and regulation of transcription^{64,65}. Additional EV proteins, with predicted involvement in mRPC fate and neural differentiation are provided in Supplementary Table 3. GFP under the control of ubiquitous CAG was also found in both EVs and mRPCs. According to proteomic analysis, the signal of GFP is approximately 20 times lower in EVs than mRPCs (data not shown). A full proteomic data set is provided in Supplemental Table S7.

Extracellular vesicles released from mRPCs are taken up by target cells. EVs isolated from conditioned media were taken up by recipient mRPCs. For initial extracellular vesicle uptake analysis, isolated EVs, stained with PKH26, were incubated with live mRPCs for 24 h, followed by fixation and microscopic imaging. A



Figure 5. Qualitative proteomic evaluation of extracellular vesicle enriched fractions from mRPCs. (**A**) Venn diagram of unique and shared proteins measured in mRPCs (3,134 - blue) and the enriched EV fractions (1,829 - red). Proteins only measured in the EV enriched samples included Rab family proteins. (**B**) The distribution of iBAQ (intensity Based Absolut Quantitation) values of proteins matched in the two experiments. The measured median iBAQ signal is ~4-fold lower in the EV enriched fraction. Onto the Box Plot are mapped selected proteins belonging to 2 groups: Enriched/unique to EV (green and red) and not-enriched proteins (black/grey). Green indicates proteins often used as EV markers. Red is used for proteins with relevance to mRPC state and development. Squares are used for proteins not identified in the mRPC lysate, while diamonds indicate proteins matched in the mRPC lysate but that are highly enriched in the EV fraction. Black/grey bricks were used to map cellular proteins often observed because of their high abundance. (**C**) STRING-db (high confidence, 0.700) analysis presented using CytoScape¹²³. A. selected network of proteins enriched 8-fold or more are shown. This network contains integrins, Rab GTP family, SLC transporters family and other vesicle related proteins.

low power image of mRPCs with PKH26 labeled EVs is provided as Supplementary Figure S2. For high power analysis of EV cell surface binding and internalization in recipient mRPCs, 3D super-resolution microscopy was utilized. 3D reconstruction with three channels (XY, XZ, YZ) overlayed, revealed that EVs were localized to the lipid bilayer, internalized to the cytoplasmic space and potentially to the nucleus of mRPCs (Fig. 6A,B). In additon, mRPC internalized PKH26 labelled EVs revealed co-localization with the EV marker CD63 (Supplementary Figure S3). Internalized EVs have been shown to be transported along microtubules, localized within the perinuclear region and nuclear envelope^{66,67}.

Extracellular vesicles transfer encapsulated mRNA to target cells. Next, the transfer of GFP mRNA from GFP+ mRPC EVs to non-GFP hRPCS was analyzed using co-culture and RT-PCR. GFP+ mRPCs and hRPCs were co-cultured for 96 hrs, while divided by a transwell mebrane containing 0.4 µm pores. Total RNA from hRPCs without mRPC transfer was used as a negative control. RT-PCR was performed to analyze if GFP mRNA was transferred to recipient cells by EVs. Results showed no GFP signal in the negative control group (Fig. 6C). Following RT-PCR result showed that GFP mRNA was transferred to hRPCs within the 96 h period (Fig. 6C,D). The data reveals EV mediated transfer of GFP mRNA and supports the possibility that transcription factors and miRNA identified in EVs may also be transferred between mRPCs.

Extracellular vesicles transfer functional Cre to reporter loxP mRPCs. pCAG-Cre plasmid containing CMV enhancer and chicken beta-actin promoter was used as Cre expression vector; pCALNL-GFP containing chicken beta-actin promoter and EGFP sequence was used as reporter vector⁶⁸. P0 mouse retina electroporated with both pCAG-Cre and pCALNL-GFP plasmids, were dissociated into mRPCs and used as positive control. In the experimental group, retinas electroporated with only pCAG-Cre were dissociated and seeded into



Figure 6. Extracellular vesicle internalization and transfer of GFP mRNA (**A**) Super resolution 3D reconstruction of GFP+ mRPC following 24 h incubation with PKH26 labeled extracellular vesicles. Red vesicles are visibly localized near the cell surface and within cytoplasm. In the XZ axis, GFP (green), EVs (red) and nuclei (blue, DAPI). (**B**) same as (**A**) with GFP (FITC) channel removed to increase visibility of PKH26 (TRITC) labeled EVs. Each panel contains three cross-sectional views (xy, xz, and yz). Scale: 5 µm. (**C**) RT-PCR analysis of GFP mRNA transfer between GFP+ mRPCs and non-GFP hRPCs. Non-GFP hRPCs served as negative control; GFP+ mRPCs served as postive control. GAPDH served as the internal control gene. EVs were treated using an RNase-Free DNase Set to remove DNA comtamination before cDNA synthesis. (**D**) Intensities of RT-PCR images were measured with ImageJ software and normalized to GAPDH. Relative levels of hRPC GFP after transfer of EVs is significantly higher than negative control.

transwell inserts, while pCALNL-GFP electroporated retinae were dissociated and seeded in the lower wells. Non-electroporated mRPCs in transwell inserts with reporter mRPCs seeded in the lower wells were used as negative control. GFP-positive cells were detected in experimental group starting from day 18 after electroporation (Fig. 7A–C); in contrast, negative control showed limited background without cell specificity (Fig. 7D–F). Analysis of electroporation efficiency in positive controls, indicated that approximately 9% of dissociated mRPCs expressed detectable levels of GFP (Fig. 7G–I). Cre genes in EV and mRPCs were verified by real time PCR (Fig. 7J). Ct values were 32 versus 26 in EVs and mRPCs, respectively; no free floating Cre was detected in supernatant after isolation of EVs (data not shown). Reporter mRPC GFP intensities were measured for experimental, negative control and positive control using ImageJ. The results demonstrated that, compared to negative controls, experimental mRPCs showed significant increases in GFP fluorescence consistent with EV mediated transfer and reporter activation (Fig. 7K).

Discussion

Multipotent mRPCs are guided by genetic and epigenetic cues during retinal differentiation and fate specification. In addition to cell-autonomous differential gene expression and miRNA mediated post- transcriptional modifications, a number of studies revealed that media conditioned from retinal cells provides soluble cues capable of influencing mRPC fate⁶⁹⁻⁷⁴. It is possible that factors secreted from the developing retina, that influence retinogenesis, may be contained in EVs. In this work, mRPC EVs were shown to contain mRNA species associated with transport and transcription including poly(A)-binding protein cytoplasmic 4 (Pabpc4) with demonstrated function in supporting mRNA expression via increased stability and protection from decay⁷⁵. Another identified protein in EVs with potential roles in mRNA transport and transcription is the mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 23 (Med23), required for transcriptional activation and assembly of the pre-initiation complex⁷⁶.



Figure 7. Extracellular vesicle functional transfer of Cre in dissociated mRPCs. P0 retina were electroporated with Cre+ plasmid, dissociated into mRPCs and plated in 0.4 µm pore transwell inserts. Dissociated mRPCs electroporated with reporter constructs were placed in lower wells beneath Cre+ mRPCs. (**A**–**C**) EV mediated functional transfer of Cre leading to activation of GFP expression in reporter RPCs as can be observed in experimental wells following 14 days of culture. (**D**–**F**) Negative controls, containing reporter constructs electroporated mRPCs, did not show GFP expression. (**G**–**I**) Positive controls, electroporated with both Cre+ and reporter constructs, showed robust GFP expression. Scale: 100 µm. (**J**) Real time PCR verified Cre+ signal in EV derived from Cre+ electroporated cells. (**K**) Analysis of GFP intensity in mRPCs revealed a significant difference between experimental and neg. control (experimental: mean 341.41, sd115.92, neg.control mean 70.39 sd 31.65) P value < 0.0001 experimental vs. negative control and between experimental and pos.control (751.42 sd 271.1293979) P value = 0.05 experimental vs positive control.

This work also demonstrates that mRPC EVs contain mRNA of transcripts involved in retinal development. mRPC EVs were observed to contain transcription factors including Pax6, Hes1 and Sox2. Additionally, the miRNAs identified in EVs included Let7d, miR-9, miR-182 and miR-204. Interestingly, the ratio of RNA species in mRPCs and EVs differed. For example, Ki67 showed higher expression compared to other mRNAs in mRPCs, while in EVs, Nestin mRNA was present in higher levels than in mRPCs. The differential distribution of RNA species between mRPCs and EVs may indicate selective packaging of transferable RNA as a mechanism involved in modulating retinogenesis^{77,78}. While, a number of molecular mechanisms are suggested to play roles in the differential distribution of RNA species in EVs, including, 3' adenylation, cell RNA levels and RNA affinities to the MVBs outer surface, the exact process remains to be fully defined⁷⁹⁻⁸¹.

A number of studies demonstrate the presence of functional miRNAs in extracellular spaces and in cerebral spinal fluid^{82–85}. Additionally, extracellular miRNAs exhibit resistance to high extracellular ribonuclease (RNase) activity⁸² increasing the probability of packaging in membrane bound EVs. EV mediated miRNA transfer is emerging as an important regulator of cell-to-cell regulation of gene expression in a number of tissue types^{2,21}. Accordingly, our data reveals that subsets of miRNAs are present in mRPC EVs, including miRNA9, miRNA182,

miRNA204 and Let7d. Analysis of mouse retinal miRNA transcriptome⁵⁰ reveals that miR-9 is highly expressed in neonatal retina, with peak expression near P10; miR-182 interacts with a photoreceptor-specific cluster of genes and increases expression after P1. MiR-204 is expressed in the developing retina during rod photoreceptor differentiation and later in the ciliary margin^{48,49}. Let7d plays important roles in neural fate specification, with predicted function in RPC differentiation^{86,87}. Changes in expression levels of mRPC EV encapsulated miRNAs may provide a level of cell-to-cell genetic regulation active during retinal development⁵⁰.

EVs derived from mRPCs may also provide protein cargo to facilitate morphogenic processes. Morphogenesis proteins identified in mRPC EVs include, Latrophilin-3 (Lphn3)⁸⁸, which facilitates cell adhesion and synapse formation, Integrin alpha-6 (Itga6)⁸⁹, active in retinal lamination and neurite outgrowth, as well as neural cell adhesion molecule 1 (Ncam1) associated with retinogenesis⁹⁰ (Supplementary Table 3). Potential mediators of mRPC physiology contained in EVs include the Ca²⁺-activated chloride channel, tweety homolog 2 (Ttyh2)⁹¹, the voltage-dependent calcium channel gamma-7 subunit (Cacng7)⁹², which regulates the trafficking and gating properties of AMPA-selective glutamate receptors and the Monocarboxylate transporter 1 (Slc16a1) known to regulate lactate exchange between neurons and glia, localized in late development in Müller processes and plexiform layers⁹³. Additional channel proteins and transport proteins are listed in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

Solute carrier (SLC) transporters are a family of membrane proteins involved in cellular uptake of small molecules. mRPC EVs are enriched in SLC transporter proteins including Slc1a2, Slc2a1, Slc6a1, Slc7a5, Slc12a7, Slc12a2 and Slc16a1 (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Table 4). Slc1a2 is a glutamate transporter, mainly expressed in neurons, involved in removing synaptic glutamate and terminating glutamatergic transmission⁹⁴. Slc2a1 is also involved in uptake of glucose^{95,96}. An additional mRPC EV amino acid transporter is ASCT1 (Slc1a4) which facilitates electroneutral exchange of amino acids⁹⁷. Also, lactate transporter Slc16a1 is involved in regulation of lactate transport, which increases during glycolysis^{98,99}. In addition, Slc3a2 regulates the function of integrins 5–8 and modulates amino acid transport^{100–102}.

In conclusion, data presented in this work provides the first analysis of release rate, morphology, content and transfer of EVs derived from mRPCs. As predicted, the mRNA, miRNA and protein contents of EVs reflect the general expression states of mRPCs, while the ratio difference in levels of mRNA species in EVs compared to mRPCs suggests a possible mechanism of selectivity involved in determining cargo. The transfer of GFP mRNA and Cre+ in mRPC derived EVs supports the possibility that a range of functional mRNA, miRNA and protein species may be transferred in EVs *in vitro and in vivo*. Taken together, the data suggest that mRPC EV cell-to-cell transfer of molecular cargo may present a novel form of genetic regulation and soluble signaling involved in RPC differentiation and retinal development.

Materials and Methods

mRPC isolation and culture. All animal procedures were performed in compliance with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) statement for the use of animals in ophthalmic and vision research, and were approved by the City University of New York, Lehman College Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Actin promoter-GFP multipotent mRPCs (mRPCs) were isolated from post-natal day one mice and culture expanded as previously described^{103,104}. Briefly, mRPCs were grown at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in neurobasal complete media containing 2 mM glutamate, $1 \times \text{EV}$ -depleted B27 supplement, $1 \times \text{N2}$ supplement, 20 ng/ml recombinant epidermal growth factor (rEGF), 50 ug/ml nystatin, penicillin-streptomycin (10 IU ml-1 and 20 ug ml-1), respectively. For EV isolation, multipotent mRPCs, at passage 15 were grown in T-75 flasks while proliferating and growing as both single cells and neurospheres¹⁰⁴. EVs were depleted from culture media by first centrifuging at 100,000 × g for 70 min and then filtering using a 0.22 µm pore sized filter.

Cell viability assay. mRPC suspension was prepared from one T-75 flask at 80% confluency. Cell suspension was then centrifuged at 300 g for 5 min. Cell pellet was re-suspended in 5 ml complete neurobasal media as above. The number of viable cells was counted and plated at the concentration of $1.0*10^5$ cells/cm³ with 2 ml of media in 24 well plates. Cells were incubated for 0, 24 and 48 h (n = 4 each). After incubation at 0, 24, and 48 h, a viability assay was performed using WST-1 reagent (WST-1, CELLPRO ROCHE). 200ul of WST-1 reagent was added per well and incubated for 2 h before its quantification using a microplate reader (Benchmark Microplate Reader; BIO-RAD) at 450cy5 nm. WST-1 is a colorimetric assay that uses a soluble tetrazolium salt, which is then cleaved to a colored product known as formazan by the reductase system of metabolically live cells.

Isolation of mRPC EVs. EVs were isolated from media conditioned by 1×10^7 mRPCs cultured in T75 flasks for 48 h. Media was centrifuged at $300 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C to pellet cell debris. Briefly, supernatant was transferred to an ultracentrifuge tube (Beckman Coulter), spun at $10,000 \times g$ for 20 min using 60Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter ultracentrifuge) at 4 °C; supernatant was filtered through $0.22 \,\mu$ m filter and centrifuged at $100,000 \times g$ for 70 min to pellet the EVs²¹. All centrifugation was performed at 4 °C to minimize degradation of EVs. EVs were suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -80 °C for analysis. While ultracentrifugation was profiles of isolated EVs^{105,106}.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM). EVs were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde (EM grade) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, at room temperature for 1 h. Samples were then rinsed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2 three times, 5 min each. Samples were then dehydrated in the following solutions for 5 min each: 10% ethanol, 30% ethanol, 50% ethanol, 70% ethanol, 90% ethanol, 100% ethanol (1), 100% ethanol (2), 100% ethanol (3). Sample edges were blotted during each solution change, taking care to not let samples dry out. Samples were then dried on a glass surface, coated with gold sputter coating and examined using a Zeiss Supra 55VP microscope.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). EVs isolated from conditioned media were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde with 4% paraformaldehyde (EM grade) for 2.5 h and washed in PBS for 24 h. Cells were post-fixed in osmium tetraoxide for 30 min, washed with distilled water and subsequently dehydrated using increasing ethanol concentrations (70%, 85%, 95% and 100%), each for 10 min. Sample dehydration was followed by immersion in propylene for 20 min twice. Samples were infiltrated with a 1:1 mixture of propylene oxide and Spurr's Resin for 1 h, left in 100% Spurr's Resin overnight. Samples were then embedded in beem capsules using fresh Spurr's Resin at 70 °C for polymerization. Excess resin was trimmed and 90 nm sections of samples were made using a Leica Ultramicrotome. Sections were placed on 200 mesh copper grids, stained with saturated uranyl acetate in 50% ethanol for 6 min, rinsed in water and stained for 90 s in lead citrate. Grids were then rinsed in water, dried on filter paper and viewed under a Fei Tecnai transmission electron microscope operated at 80 kV. Images were obtained using an AMT camera with AMT digital software.

Preparation of EVs for immunogold electron microscopy. EVs were isolated from 25 ml of culture supernatant using differential centrifugation, permeabilized and blocked in filtered 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) with 0.05% Triton-X in PBS, pH 7.4 for 1 h at RT. Anti-CD63 (1:100 rabbit anti-mouse antibody; Santa Cruz USA) was applied for 24 h at 4 °C. After 4 washes in PBS, a 1:50 dilution of 2 nm goat anti-rabbit antibody was applied and left for 1 h at room temperature. After 4 rinses, EVs were fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde for 2.5 h and rinsed four times over 6 h in PBS. EVs were placed in OsO4 for 1 h, rinsed 3 times over 1 h in water and then placed in an increasing ethanol dehydration series. EVs were then placed in propylene oxide for 20 min, two times, and then infiltrated for 3 h in a 1:1 propylene oxide/spurr resin mixture. EVs were left to infiltrate in pure Spurr overnight and were then embedded in Spurr overnight at 70 °C. Samples were sectioned into 90 nm slices and placed on Nickel Formvar covered 200 mesh grids. Aurion silver enhancement was brought to room temperature, 20 drops of enhancement solution was mixed with one drop of developer, and grids were left to incubate for 10 min, which enlarged the 0.5 nm diameter gold nanoparticles to 20 nm. After rinsing with water, grids were stained in 4% uranyl acetate solution, rinsed and dried. Samples were imaged using a FeiTechnai Spirit Transmission Electron Microscope operated at 80 kV and AMT digital imaging software.

NanoSight analysis. EV size and concentration were assessed using the NanoSight NS500 system^{57,107,108}. Collection of supernatant at three time points following plating, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h, was identical to isolation of EVs described above, with the exception that the supernatant was used just prior to 100, $000 \times g$ ultracentrifugation for NanoSight analysis. Control media, non-conditioned, was processed under identical conditions. Based on the NanoSight protocol, to ensure accurate readings, final supernatant was diluted at 1:20 in PBS and triplicates of 1 ml samples were used for analysis. The NanoSight system uses laser to illuminate nano-scale particles, detected individually as light-scattered points moving via Brownian motion. Polydispersity was quantified, and Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) software 2.3 used to track size and diffusion of nanoparticles. Results are displayed as a frequency size distribution graphs, describing the number of particles per ml. Significance was calculated using Student's t-test with three independent experiments. The error bars represent standard deviation of the mean. Significant differences were denoted with asterisks: *(p<0.05), **(p<0.01), ***(p<0.001); ****(p<0.001); ****(p<0.001); ****

Sucrose gradient analysis and Western blot. EVs were analyzed using 10%- 40% sucrose (w/v) density gradient solution. A linear sucrose gradient was prepared with 12.6 ml of 10% (w/v) and 12.6 ml of 40% (w/v) sucrose solutions, mixed in a sucrose gradient device (Life technologies). An EV pellet isolated from 27 ml of conditioned medium was resuspended in 0.5 ml of PBS, loaded on top of the layered sucrose gradient and centrifuged at 18,000 × g at 4 °C for 15 h. Fractions containing EVs were harvested and the densities were determined by weighing each fixed volume. Each 1 ml fraction was then diluted in 26 ml of PBS, and ultracentrifuged for 1 h at 100,000 × g. EVs were lysed at 4 °C for 1 h in a lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM PMSF (Sigma Aldrich), $1 \times$ Halt Protease inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Scientific), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 2 mM MgCl2 at pH7.4. Aliquots of sample lysate from each 1 ml fraction were all used for 4% to 12% Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to a PVDF membrane. Protein bands were visualized with NBT/BCIP (Sigma) after membrane incubation with primary antibody anti-CD63 antibody (1:500; Rabbit Polyclonal, Santa Cruz) and secondary antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (1: 10, 000; Abcam) (Figure s4).

Mass spectrometry. Whole cell lysate and exosome enriched samples from mRPCs were denatured in 8 M urea, reduced with 10 mM DTT, and alkylated with 50 mM iodoacetamide. This was followed by proteolytic digestion with endoproteinase LysC (Wako Chemicals) overnight, and with trypsin (Promega) for 6 h at room temperature. The digestion was quenched with 2% formic acid and resulting peptide mixtures were desalted using in-house made C18 Empore (3M) StAGE tips¹⁰⁹. Samples were dried and resolubilized in 2% acetonitrile and 2% formic acid. Approximately 1 µg of each sample was injected for analysis by reversed phase nano-LC-MS/MS (Ultimate 3000 coupled to a QExactive Plus, Thermo Scientific). After loading on a C18 trap column (PepMap, 5µm particles, 100µm × 2 cm, Thermo Scientific) peptides were separated using a 12 cm × 75 µm C18 column (3µm particles, Nikkyo Technos Co., Ltd. Japan) at a flow rate of 200 nL/min, with a gradient increasing from 5% BufferB (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) / 95% Buffer A (0.1% formic acid) to 40% Buffer B / 60%Buffer A, over 140 min. All LC-MS/MS experiments were performed in data dependent mode with lock mass of m/z 445.12003¹¹⁰. Precursor mass spectra were recorded in a 300–1400m/z range at 70,000 resolution, and fragment ions at 17,500 resolution (lowest mass: m/z 100). Up to twenty precursors per cycle were selected for fragmentation and dynamic exclusion was set to 60 s. Normalized collision energy was set to 27.

Gene	Primer sequence (5'-3')	(bp)
Nestin	F: AACTGGCACACCTCAAGATGT	- 235
	R: TCAAGGGTATTAGGCAAGGGG	
Sox2	F: CACAACTCGGAGATCAGCAA	- 190
	R: CTCCGGGAAGCGTGTACTTA	
Pax6	F: AGTGAATGGGCGGAGTTATG	- 132
	R: ACTTGGACGGGAACTGACAC	
Hes1	F: CCCACCTCTCTCTTCTGACG	- 185
	R: AGGCGCAATCCAATATGAAC	
Ki-67	F: CAGTACTCGGAATGCAGCAA	- 170
	R: CAGTCTTCAGGGGGCTCTGTC	
GFAP	F: AGAAAACCGCATCACCATTC	- 184
	R: TCACATCACCACGTCCTTGT	
β -actin:	F: ACGTTGACATCCGTAAAGAC	- 100
	R: GCAGTAATCTCCTTCTGCAT	
GAPDH	F: ACCACAGTCCATGCCATCAC	452
	R: TCCACCACCCTGTTGCTGTA	
EGFP	F: AAGTTCATCTGCACCACCG	- 533
	R: TCCAGCAGGACCATGTGATCGC	
CRE	F:CTGACGGTGGGAGAATGTTAAT	271
	R:TCATCCTTAGCGCCGTAAATC	2/1

Table 1. Primers and product sizes for qRT-PCR.

miRNAs	Assay IDs
miR-182	002599
miR-96	000186
miR-204	000508
miR-9	000583
Let-7d	002283
U6 sn RNA	001973

Table 2.miRNAs assay IDs.

Protein profiling analysis. Mass spectrometry data were searched against a Uniprot mouse database (July 2014) using MaxQuant (version 1.5.0.30^{110,111}). Oxidation of methionine and N-terminal protein acetylation were allowed as variable modifications, while all cysteines were treated as being carbamidomethylated. Precursor mass tolerance was set at 4.5 ppm while a 20 ppm tolerance was allowed for fragment ions. Two missed cleavages were allowed for specific tryptic search. The "match between runs" option was enabled. False discovery rates at the protein and peptide level were set to 1%. Protein abundances were represented by LFQ (Label Free Quantitation) and iBAQ (intensity-Based Absolute Quantitation)¹¹². iBAQ values were log2(x) transformed and further used to create box plots to depict the distribution and changes in protein expression between two samples.

RNA extraction and guantitative real-time PCR. EVs were isolated from 20 ml of media conditioned by 1×10^7 mRPCs cultured in T75 flasks for 48 h and all EVs were used for RNA extraction. The same amount of EV-depleted complete control media was used as a control to verify absence of retina specific transcription factors and mouse house keeping genes in control medium. Total RNA extraction was performed using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Isolated RNA was measured for quality using a Nano drop ND/2000 spectrophotometer and analyzed by 2% gel electrophoresis. Prior to RNA and miRNA extraction, EVs were treated with 100 ug/ml RNAse (Thermoscientific) for 30 min at 37 °C according to manufacturer's instruction. DNA contamination was removed using an RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized using equal amounts of RNA samples (800 ng), according to the AMV First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit instructions (NEB Biolabs). β-actin and GAPDH were used as a housekeeping gene controls for mRNA analysis^{113,114}. Quantitative real time PCR (qPCR) was performed using SYBR GreenER[™] qPCR SuperMix (Life Technologies) on a Biorad system. Significance was calculated using Student's t-test with three independent experiments. For miRNA analysis, the same amount of starting material (10 ng) from extracellular vesicles and mRPCs was used for reverse transcription. The primers used for qPCR are described in Table 1. miRNA was extracted using a MirVana RNA isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts of miRNA from EVs and RPCs was converted to cDNA using the TaqMan MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit. QPCR was performed using the Taqman Universal Master Mix II and Taqman assays (Life technologies). Analyzed miRNA assay IDs are provided in Table 2.

PKH26 labeling of EVs and uptake by mRPCs. Isolated EVs were labeled with the red fluorescent lipophilic dye PKH26 (Sigma) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The use of fluorescence microscopy to image PKH26 labeled EVs has been demonstrated in a number of studies^{67,115,116}. Briefly, EVs were collected after the $100,000 \times g$ ultracentrifugation, and incubated in PKH26 for 5 min at room temperature. Excess dye was removed by rinsing with EV-depleted complete media followed by three rinses in PBS. EVs were re-suspended in serum-free medium and incubated with mRPCs for 24 h at 37 °C. mRPCs were cultured on coverslips coated with mouse laminin (Life Technologies, USA) 24 h before culturing with PKH26 labeled EVs. mRPCs were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 min and washed with PBS 3 times, 10 min each. Low and high power images of EV binding and internalization were captured with a Nikon confocal spinning disk microscope using a $20 \times$ or $60 \times$ objective^{20,117,118}. A HeNe laser was used for PKH26-labelled EVs (551/567). A 488 Argon Laser was used to image mRPC GFP. As a control, co-localization of CD63 with PKH26 labelled EVs was analyzed by adding PKH26 labelled EVs to mRPCs for 24 h, as above. mRPCs were then rinsed three times with PBS, fixed in 4% PFA for 30 min, blocked and permeabilized for 30 min in 0.1% Triton X-100 and 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS. Anti-CD63 (1:50; Rabbit Polyclonal, Santa Cruz) was added to mRPCs for overnight incubation at 4 °C. After rinsing three times with PBS, mRPCs were incubated with a fluorescein conjugated secondary antibody, Cyanine 5 (1:500; goat anti-rabbit IgG, ThermoFisher) for 1 h at room temperature. After rinsing once for 15 min and thrice for 5 min with wash buffer, mRPCs were mounted using ProLong Gold media with DAPI (ThermoFisher). Images were taken using a Nikon Ti microscope with a $40 \times$ objective and the fluorophores Cy5 (649/666), PKH26 (551/567) and DAPI (358/461).

RT-PCR analysis of GFP mRNA EV content transfer between mRPCs and hRPCs. The transwell system was used for EV content transfer between GFP+ mRPCs and non-GFP hRPCs. The use of a transwell culture system to evaluate EV mediated transfer of miRNA and mRNA between cell populations has been successful in a number of published studies¹¹⁹⁻¹²¹. Briefly, 5×10^5 GFP+ mRPCs were plated on transwell inserts (0.4 µm pore size) above non-GFP hRPCs in each 6-well plate; the same number of hRPCs in plate, without mRPCs, were used as negative control. Cells were grown at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in neural basal complete media as above, containing 2 mM glutamate, $1 \times$ EV-depleted B27, $1 \times$ N2 supplement, 20 ng/ml recombinant epidermal growth factor (rEGF), 10 ng/ml rhbFGF, 50 µg/ml nystatin, penicillin-streptomycin (10 IU ml⁻¹ and 20 µg ml⁻¹, respectively. hRPCs were collected after 96 h, rinsed using PBS and processed for mRNA extraction.

Fluorescence analysis of Cre EV content transfer between mRPCs. P0 mouse retina were isolated and electroporated with pCAG-Cre plasmid and pCALNL-GFP plasmid as described previously¹²². The pCAG-Cre and pCALNL-GFP plasmids were gifts from Connie Cepko (Addgene plasmids #13775 and 13770)⁶⁸. For electroporation efficiency, P0 retina were electroporated and then dissociated into mRPCs for analysis. Briefly, retina were placed into an electroporation chamber filled with plasmids/ $1 \times PBS$ and 5 pules of 25 volts were applied to drive plasmids into retinas. Electroporated retina were placed on a polycarbonate filter $(0.2 \,\mu m$ pore size) for 24 h in media containing 45% DMEM, 45% Ham's F12 Nutrient Mixture (Life Technologies), 10% fetal calf serum, L-glutamine (2 mM) penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml) and then manually dissociated into mRPCs. Electroporation efficiency was determined by counting the number of GFP positive mRPCs dissociated from retina that were electroporated with both plasmids. Dissociated mRPCs with or without pCAG-Cre were cultured in transwells with 0.4 µm pores and dissociated retina cells containing the LoxP plasmid were seeded in 6 well plates for 3 weeks. Images were taken in phase and FITC every other day at $20 \times$ using an EVOS FLoid Cell Imaging Station (Thermofisher). GFP expressing reporter⁺ cells were counted from n = 3experimental and n = 3 control wells and intensity quantified using ImageJ. Significance was calculated using Student's t-test with three independent experiments. The error bars represent standard deviation of the mean. Significant differences were denoted with asterisks: (p < 0.05), **(p < 0.01), ***(p < 0.001), ****(p < 0.0001); "ns" indicates no significant difference.

This work was made possible due to grants from the National Institute On Minority Health and Health Disparities M.E. (3G12MD007603-30S2), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences S.R. (5SC3GM113782) and the National Eye Institute S.R. (5R21EY026752-02).

References

- Baj-Krzyworzeka, M. et al. Tumour-derived microvesicles carry several surface determinants and mRNA of tumour cells and transfer some of these determinants to monocytes. Cancer Immunol Immunother 55, 808–818 (2006).
- Valadi, H. et al. Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. Nat Cell Biol 9, 654–659 (2007).
- Skog, J. et al. Glioblastoma microvesicles transport RNA and proteins that promote tumour growth and provide diagnostic biomarkers. Nat Cell Biol 10, 1470–1476 (2008).
- 4. Duijvesz, D., Luider, T., Bangma, C. H. & Jenster, G. Exosomes as biomarker treasure chests for prostate cancer. *European urology* 59, 823–831 (2011).
- 5. Lee, J. K. *et al.* Exosomes derived from mesenchymal stem cells suppress angiogenesis by down-regulating VEGF expression in breast cancer cells. *PloS one* **8**, e84256 (2013).
- Martinez, M. C. & Andriantsitohaina, R. Microparticles in angiogenesis: therapeutic potential. *Circulation research* 109, 110–119 (2011).
- Coleman, B. M. & Hill, A. F. Extracellular vesicles-Their role in the packaging and spread of misfolded proteins associated with neurodegenerative diseases. Seminars in cell & developmental biology 40, 89–96 (2015).
- 8. Faure, J. et al. Exosomes are released by cultured cortical neurones. Mol Cell Neurosci 31, 642-648 (2006).
- Akers, J., Gonda, D., Kim, R., Carter, B. & Chen, C. Biogenesis of extracellular vesicles (EV): exosomes, microvesicles, retroviruslike vesicles, and apoptotic bodies. J Neurooncol 113, 1–11 (2013).
- Kalra, H., Drummen, G. P. & Mathivanan, S. Focus on Extracellular Vesicles: Introducing the Next Small Big Thing. Int J Mol Sci 17, 170 (2016).
- 11. Cocucci, E., Racchetti, G. & Meldolesi, J. Shedding microvesicles: artefacts no more. Trends Cell Biol 19, 43-51 (2009).

- 12. Kastelowitz, N. & Yin, H. Exosomes and microvesicles: identification and targeting by particle size and lipid chemical probes. *Chembiochem* **15**, 923–928 (2014).
- Daleke, D. L. Regulation of transbilayer plasma membrane phospholipid asymmetry. *Journal of lipid research* 44, 233–242 (2003).
 Benito-Martin, A. *et al.* Osteoprotegerin in exosome-like vesicles from human cultured tubular cells and urine. *PloS one* 8, e72387
- (2013).
 15. Vlassov, A. V., Magdaleno, S., Setterquist, R. & Conrad, R. Exosomes: current knowledge of their composition, biological functions, and diagnostic and therapeutic potentials. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1820, 940–948 (2012).
- Mrvar-Brecko, A. et al. Isolated microvesicles from peripheral blood and body fluids as observed by scanning electron microscope. Blood Cells Mol Dis 44, 307–312 (2010).
- Zhou, J. et al. Characterization of Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Microvesicle Genesis, Morphology and Pluripotent Content. Sci Rep 6, 19743 (2016).
- Balaj, L. et al. Tumour microvesicles contain retrotransposon elements and amplified oncogene sequences. Nat Commun 2, 180 (2011).
- Fruhbeis, C., Frohlich, D. & Kramer-Albers, E. M. Emerging roles of exosomes in neuron-glia communication. Front Physiol 3, 119 (2012).
- 20. Fruhbeis, C. *et al.* Neurotransmitter-triggered transfer of exosomes mediates oligodendrocyte-neuron communication. *PLoS Biol* 11, e1001604 (2013).
- 21. Yuan, A. et al. Transfer of microRNAs by embryonic stem cell microvesicles. PLoS One 4, e4722 (2009).
- 22. Ratajczak, J. et al. Embryonic stem cell-derived microvesicles reprogram hematopoietic progenitors: evidence for horizontal transfer of mRNA and protein delivery. Leukemia 20, 847–856 (2006).
- Camussi, G., Deregibus, M. C. & Tetta, C. Paracrine/endocrine mechanism of stem cells on kidney repair: role of microvesiclemediated transfer of genetic information. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 19, 7–12 (2010).
- 24. Schiera, G., Di Liegro, C. M. & Di Liegro, I. Extracellular Membrane Vesicles as Vehicles for Brain Cell-to-Cell Interactions in Physiological as well as Pathological Conditions. *Biomed Res Int* **2015**, 152926 (2015).
- Takeda, Y. S. & Xu, Q. Neuronal Differentiation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Using Exosomes Derived from Differentiating Neuronal Cells. PLoS One 10, e0135111 (2015).
- Marzesco, A. M. et al. Release of extracellular membrane particles carrying the stem cell marker prominin-1 (CD133) from neural progenitors and other epithelial cells. J Cell Sci 118, 2849–2858 (2005).
- 27. Quesenberry, P. J. & Aliotta, J. M. Cellular phenotype switching and microvesicles. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 62, 1141–1148 (2010).
- Klassen, H. J. et al. Multipotent retinal progenitors express developmental markers, differentiate into retinal neurons, and preserve light-mediated behavior. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 45, 4167–4173 (2004).
- 29. Coles, B. L. *et al.* Facile isolation and the characterization of human retinal stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**, 15772–15777 (2004).
- 30. Zhang, K. & Ding, S. Stem cells and eye development. N Engl J Med 365, 370-372 (2011).
- Livesey, F. J. & Cepko, C. L. Vertebrate neural cell-fate determination: lessons from the retina. Nat Rev Neurosci 2, 109–118 (2001).
 Cepko, C. L., Austin, C. P., Yang, X., Alexiades, M. & Ezzeddine, D. Cell fate determination in the vertebrate retina. Proc Natl Acad
- Sci USA 93, 589–595 (1996).
- Raposo, G. & Stoorvogel, W. Extracellular vesicles: exosomes, microvesicles, and friends. *The Journal of cell biology* 200, 373–383 (2013).
- Kadiu, I., Narayanasamy, P., Dash, P. K., Zhang, W. & Gendelman, H. E. Biochemical and biologic characterization of exosomes and microvesicles as facilitators of HIV-1 infection in macrophages. *J Immunol* 189, 744–754 (2012).
- Masyuk, A. I. et al. Biliary exosomes influence cholangiocyte regulatory mechanisms and proliferation through interaction with primary cilia. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 299, G990–999 (2010).
- 36. Clayton, A. et al. Adhesion and signaling by B cell-derived exosomes: the role of integrins. FASEB J 18, 977-979 (2004).
- 37. Andreu, Z. & Yanez-Mo, M. Tetraspanins in extracellular vesicle formation and function. Front Immunol 5, 442 (2014).
- Hristov, M., Erl, W., Linder, S. & Weber, P. C. Apoptotic bodies from endothelial cells enhance the number and initiate the differentiation of human endothelial progenitor cells *in vitro*. *Blood* 104, 2761–2766 (2004).
- Thery, C. et al. Proteomic analysis of dendritic cell-derived exosomes: a secreted subcellular compartment distinct from apoptotic vesicles. J Immunol 166, 7309–7318 (2001).
- Quesenberry, P. J. & Aliotta, J. M. The paradoxical dynamism of marrow stem cells: considerations of stem cells, niches, and microvesicles. Stem Cell Rev 4, 137–147 (2008).
- Aliotta, J. M. et al. Microvesicle entry into marrow cells mediates tissue-specific changes in mRNA by direct delivery of mRNA and induction of transcription. Exp Hematol 38, 233–245 (2010).
- 42. Tkach, M. & Thery, C. Communication by Extracellular Vesicles: Where We Are and Where We Need to Go. Cell 164, 1226–1232 (2016).
- 43. Lai, C. P. & Breakefield, X. O. Role of exosomes/microvesicles in the nervous system and use in emerging therapies. *Front Physiol* 3, 228 (2012).
- Kalani, A., Tyagi, A. & Tyagi, N. Exosomes: mediators of neurodegeneration, neuroprotection and therapeutics. *Mol Neurobiol* 49, 590–600 (2014).
- Goetzl, E. J. et al. Low neural exosomal levels of cellular survival factors in Alzheimer's disease. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2, 769–773 (2015).
- Matsushima, D., Heavner, W. & Pevny, L. H. Combinatorial regulation of optic cup progenitor cell fate by SOX2 and PAX6. Development 138, 443–454 (2011).
- Crossland, R. E., Norden, J., Bibby, L. A., Davis, J. & Dickinson, A. M. Evaluation of optimal extracellular vesicle small RNA isolation and qRT-PCR normalisation for serum and urine. *J Immunol Methods* 429, 39–49 (2016).
- Karali, M., Peluso, I., Marigo, V. & Banfi, S. Identification and characterization of microRNAs expressed in the mouse eye. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 48, 509–515 (2007).
- Ryan, D. G., Oliveira-Fernandes, M. & Lavker, R. M. MicroRNAs of the mammalian eye display distinct and overlapping tissue specificity. *Mol Vis* 12, 1175–1184 (2006).
- Xu, S., Witmer, P. D., Lumayag, S., Kovacs, B. & Valle, D. MicroRNA (miRNA) transcriptome of mouse retina and identification of a sensory organ-specific miRNA cluster. J Biol Chem 282, 25053–25066 (2007).
- Tea, M., Michael, M. Z., Brereton, H. M. & Williams, K. A. Stability of small non-coding RNA reference gene expression in the rat retina during exposure to cyclic hyperoxia. *Mol Vis* 19, 501–508 (2013).
- Karpievitch, Y. V., Polpitiya, A. D., Anderson, G. A., Smith, R. D. & Dabney, A. R. Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomics: Biological and Technological Aspects. *Ann Appl Stat* 4, 1797–1823 (2010).
- 53. Yoshioka, Y., et al. Comparative marker analysis of extracellular vesicles in different human cancer types. J Extracell Vesicles 2(2013).
- Gutierrez-Vazquez, C., Villarroya-Beltri, C., Mittelbrunn, M. & Sanchez-Madrid, F. Transfer of extracellular vesicles during immune cell-cell interactions. *Immunol Rev* 251, 125–142 (2013).
- Yanez-Mo, M. et al. Biological properties of extracellular vesicles and their physiological functions. J Extracell Vesicles 4, 27066 (2015).

- Franceschini, A. et al. STRINGv9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. Nucleic Acids Res 41, D808–815 (2013).
- 57. Hoshino, A. et al. Tumour exosome integrins determine organotropic metastasis. Nature 527, 329-335 (2015).
- Legate, K. R., Wickstrom, S. A. & Fassler, R. Genetic and cell biological analysis of integrin outside-in signaling. Genes Dev 23, 397–418 (2009).
- Denadai, M. V. et al. Expression of integrin genes and proteins in progression and dissemination of colorectal adenocarcinoma. BMC Clin Pathol 13, 16 (2013).
- Nisato, R. E., Tille, J. C., Jonczyk, A., Goodman, S. L. & Pepper, M. S. alphav beta 3 and alphav beta 5 integrin antagonists inhibit angiogenesis in vitro. Angiogenesis 6, 105–119 (2003).
- Tomlinson, R. E., Schmieder, A. H., Quirk, J. D., Lanza, G. M. & Silva, M. J. Antagonizing the alphav beta3 integrin inhibits angiogenesis and impairs woven but not lamellar bone formation induced by mechanical loading. *J Bone Miner Res* 29, 1970–1980 (2014).
- 62. Paudel, S. *et al.* ADAM10 mediates N-cadherin ectodomain shedding during retinal ganglion cell differentiation in primary cultured retinal cells from the developing chick retina. *J Cell Biochem* **114**, 942–954 (2013).
- 63. Jorissen, E. *et al.* The disintegrin/metalloproteinase ADAM10 is essential for the establishment of the brain cortex. *J Neurosci* **30**, 4833–4844 (2010).
- 64. Zhao, C. & Meng, A. Sp1-like transcription factors are regulators of embryonic development in vertebrates. *Dev Growth Differ* 47, 201–211 (2005).
- Scohy, S. et al. Identification of KLF13 and KLF14 (SP6), novel members of the SP/XKLF transcription factor family. Genomics 70, 93–101 (2000).
- Waldenstrom, A., Genneback, N., Hellman, U. & Ronquist, G. Cardiomyocyte microvesicles contain DNA/RNA and convey biological messages to target cells. *PLoS One* 7, e34653 (2012).
- Tian, T., Wang, Y., Wang, H., Zhu, Z. & Xiao, Z. Visualizing of the cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking of exosomes by livecell microscopy. J Cell Biochem 111, 488–496 (2010).
- Emerson, M. M. & Cepko, C. L. Identification of a retina-specific Otx2 enhancer element active in immature developing photoreceptors. *Dev Biol* 360, 241–255 (2011).
- Waid, D. K. & McLoon, S. C. Ganglion cells influence the fate of dividing retinal cells in culture. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 125, 1059–1066 (1998).
- Neophytou, C., Vernallis, A. B., Smith, A. & Raff, M. C. Muller-cell-derived leukaemia inhibitory factor arrests rod photoreceptor differentiation at a postmitotic pre-rod stage of development. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 124, 2345–2354 (1997).
- Levine, E. M., Roelink, H., Turner, J. & Reh, T. A. Sonic hedgehog promotes rod photoreceptor differentiation in mammalian retinal cells *in vitro*. J Neurosci 17, 6277–6288 (1997).
- Ezzeddine, Z. D., Yang, X., DeChiara, T., Yancopoulos, G. & Cepko, C. L. Postmitotic cells fated to become rod photoreceptors can be respecified by CNTF treatment of the retina. *Development* 124, 1055–1067 (1997).
- Kirsch, M., Schulz-Key, S., Wiese, A., Fuhrmann, S. & Hofmann, H. Ciliary neurotrophic factor blocks rod photoreceptor differentiation from postmitotic precursor cells in vitro. Cell and tissue research 291, 207–216 (1998).
- Kirsch, M., Fuhrmann, S., Wiese, A. & Hofmann, H. D. CNTF exerts opposite effects on *in vitro* development of rat and chick photoreceptors. *Neuroreport* 7, 697–700 (1996).
- Kini, H. K., Kong, J. & Liebhaber, S. A. Cytoplasmic poly(A) binding protein C4 serves a critical role in erythroid differentiation. Mol Cell Biol 34, 1300–1309 (2014).
- 76. Wang, W. et al. Mediator MED23 regulates basal transcription in vivo via an interaction with P-TEFb. Transcription 4, 39–51 (2013).
- 77. Szostak, N. et al. Sorting signal targeting mRNA into hepatic extracellular vesicles. RNA Biol 11, 836-844 (2014).
- Villarroya-Beltri, C., Baixauli, F., Gutierrez-Vazquez, C., Sanchez-Madrid, F. & Mittelbrunn, M. Sorting it out: regulation of exosome loading. Semin Cancer Biol 28, 3–13 (2014).
- 79. Janas, T., Janas, M. M., Sapon, K. & Janas, T. Mechanisms of RNA loading into exosomes. FEBS Lett 589, 1391–1398 (2015).
- Koppers-Lalic, D. et al. Nontemplated nucleotide additions distinguish the small RNA composition in cells from exosomes. Cell Rep 8, 1649–1658 (2014).
- Hong, B. S. et al. Colorectal cancer cell-derived microvesicles are enriched in cell cycle-related mRNAs that promote proliferation of endothelial cells. BMC Genomics 10, 556 (2009).
- Mitchell, P. S. et al. Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105, 10513–10518 (2008).
- 83. Gilad, S. et al. Serum microRNAs are promising novel biomarkers. PloS one 3, e3148 (2008).
- 84. Hunter, M. P. et al. Detection of microRNA expression in human peripheral blood microvesicles. PLoS One 3, e3694 (2008).
- 85. Weber, J. A. et al. The microRNA spectrum in 12 body fluids. Clin Chem 56, 1733–1741 (2010).
- Kapsimali, M. et al. MicroRNAs show a wide diversity of expression profiles in the developing and mature central nervous system. Genome Biol 8, R173 (2007).
- 87. Hackler, L. et al. MicroRNA profile of the developing mouse retina. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51, 1823-1831 (2010).
- Boucard, A. A., Maxeiner, S. & Sudhof, T. C. Latrophilins function as heterophilic cell-adhesion molecules by binding to teneurins: regulation by alternative splicing. J Biol Chem 289, 387–402 (2014).
- Georges-Labouesse, E., Mark, M., Messaddeq, N. & Gansmuller, A. Essential role of alpha 6 integrins in cortical and retinal lamination. *Curr Biol* 8, 983–986 (1998).
- Buskirk, D. R., Thiery, J. P., Rutishauser, U. & Edelman, G. M. Antibodies to a neural cell adhesion molecule disrupt histogenesis in cultured chick retinae. *Nature* 285, 488–489 (1980).
- 91. Suzuki, M., Morita, T. & Iwamoto, T. Diversity of Cl(-) channels. Cell Mol Life Sci 63, 12-24 (2006).
- Studniarczyk, D., Coombs, I., Cull-Candy, S. G. & Farrant, M. TARP gamma-7 selectively enhances synaptic expression of calciumpermeable AMPARs. Nat Neurosci 16, 1266–1274 (2013).
- Gerhart, D. Z., Leino, R. L. & Drewes, L. R. Distribution of monocarboxylate transporters MCT1 and MCT2 in rat retina. Neuroscience 92, 367–375 (1999).
- Hediger, M. A., Clemencon, B., Burrier, R. E. & Bruford, E. A. The ABCs of membrane transporters in health and disease (SLC series): introduction. *Mol Aspects Med* 34, 95–107 (2013).
- 95. Chan, D. A. *et al.* Targeting GLUT1 and the Warburg effect in renal cell carcinoma by chemical synthetic lethality. *Sci Transl Med* 3, 94ra70 (2011).
- Airley, R. E. & Mobasheri, A. Hypoxic regulation of glucose transport, anaerobic metabolism and angiogenesis in cancer: novel pathways and targets for anticancer therapeutics. *Chemotherapy* 53, 233–256 (2007).
- 97. Kanai, Y. et al. The SLC1 high-affinity glutamate and neutral amino acid transporter family. Mol Aspects Med 34, 108–120 (2013).
- Miranda-Goncalves, V. et al. Monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) in gliomas: expression and exploitation as therapeutic targets. Neuro Oncol 15, 172–188 (2013).
- Le Floch, R. et al. CD147 subunit of lactate/H+symporters MCT1 and hypoxia-inducible MCT4 is critical for energetics and growth of glycolytic tumors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108, 16663–16668 (2011).

- Rintoul, R. C. et al. Cross-linking CD98 promotes integrin-like signaling and anchorage-independent growth. Mol Biol Cell 13, 2841–2852 (2002).
- 101. Fotiadis, D., Kanai, Y. & Palacin, M. The SLC3 and SLC7 families of amino acid transporters. Mol Aspects Med 34, 139–158 (2013).
- Feral, C. C. et al. CD98hc (SLC3A2) mediates integrin signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102, 355–360 (2005).
- Klassen, H. J. et al. Multipotent Retinal Progenitors Express Developmental Markers, Differentiate into Retinal Neurons, and Preserve Light-Mediated Behavior. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 45, 4167–4173 (2004).
- Gu, P., Yang, J., Wang, J., Young, M. J. & Klassen, H. Sequential changes in the gene expression profile of murine retinal progenitor cells during the induction of differentiation. *Mol Vis* 15, 2111–2122 (2009).
- 105. Gardiner, C. et al. Techniques used for the isolation and characterization of extracellular vesicles: results of a worldwide survey. J Extracell Vesicles 5, 32945 (2016).
- Witwer, K.W., et al. Standardization of sample collection, isolation and analysis methods in extracellular vesicle research. J Extracell Vesicles 2 (2013).
- 107. Filipe, V., Hawe, A. & Jiskoot, W. Critical evaluation of Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) by NanoSight for the measurement of nanoparticles and protein aggregates. *Pharm Res* 27, 796–810 (2010).
- Peinado, H. *et al.* Melanoma exosomes educate bone marrow progenitor cells toward a pro-metastatic phenotype through MET. Nat Med 18, 883–891 (2012).
- 109. Rappsilber, J., Ishihama, Y. & Mann, M. Stop and go extraction tips for matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization, nanoelectrospray, and LC/MS sample pretreatment in proteomics. *Anal Chem* **75**, 663–670 (2003).
- Olsen, J. V. et al. Parts per million mass accuracy on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer via lock mass injection into a C-trap. Mol Cell Proteomics 4, 2010–2021 (2005).
- 111. Bunkenborg, J., Garcia, G. E., Paz, M. I., Andersen, J. S. & Molina, H. The minotaur proteome: avoiding cross-species identifications deriving from bovine serum in cell culture models. *Proteomics* 10, 3040–3044 (2010).
- 112. Schwanhausser, B. et al. Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control. Nature 473, 337-342 (2011).
- 113. Enderle, D. *et al.* Characterization of RNA from Exosomes and Other Extracellular Vesicles Isolated by a Novel Spin Column-Based Method. *PLoS One* **10**, e0136133 (2015).
- 114. Muturi, H. T. *et al.* Tumor and endothelial cell-derived microvesicles carry distinct CEACAMs and influence T-cell behavior. *PLoS One* **8**, e74654 (2013).
- 115. Feng, D. et al. Cellular internalization of exosomes occurs through phagocytosis. Traffic 11, 675-687 (2010).
- Gatti, S. et al. Microvesicles derived from human adult mesenchymal stem cells protect against ischaemia-reperfusion-induced acute and chronic kidney injury. Nephrol Dial Transplant 26, 1474–1483 (2011).
- Yuyama, K., Sun, H., Mitsutake, S. & Igarashi, Y. Sphingolipid-modulated exosome secretion promotes clearance of amyloid-beta by microglia. J Biol Chem 287, 10977–10989 (2012).
- Riches, A., Campbell, E., Borger, E. & Powis, S. Regulation of exosome release from mammary epithelial and breast cancer cells—a new regulatory pathway. Eur J Cancer 50, 1025–1034 (2014).
- 119. Boelens, G. *et al.* Exosome transfer from stromal to breast cancer cells regulates therapy resistance pathways. *Cell* **159**, 499–513 (2014).
- 120. Zomer, A. et al. Exosomes: Fit to deliver small RNA. Commun Integr Biol 3, 447-450 (2010).
- 121. Huan, J. et al. RNA trafficking by acute myelogenous leukemia exosomes. Cancer Res 73, 918-929 (2013).
- Matsuda, T. & Cepko, C. L. Electroporation and RNA interference in the rodent retina *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101, 16–22 (2004).
- 123. Lopes, C. T. et al. Cytoscape Web: an interactive web-based network browser. Bioinformatics 26, 2347-2348 (2010).

Author Contributions

J.Z., H.M., M. V.C-S. and S.R. designed the experiments. J.Z., A.BM, J.M, L.C, S. Ghoroghi, M.W, H.W, S.G, P.B, M.E., M.TM, H.M and SR conducted experiments and analyzed the data. M.Y, R.G and M. V.C-S. edited the manuscript. J.Z, A. BM, H.M, H.PS, and S.R wrote the manuscript. S.R supervised the project. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20421-1.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018