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#### Abstract

Dryland malting barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) experiments were initiated in north central Montana to evaluate the effects of planting, N , and S rates on grain yield and quality. Planting rates of 10,15 , and 20 seeds $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, nitrogen $(\mathrm{N})$ rates of 0,30 , and $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$, and S rates of 0,10 , and $20 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre were applied in all possible combinations to Metcalfe barley at 11 locations in 2004 and 2005. Optimal grain yields were generally achieved with a planting rate of 15 seeds/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$, but 10 seeds/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ produced grain with the highest kernel plumpness and the highest grain protein content. Barley responses to N were similar to previously published data i.e. increasing N resulted in higher yield and protein content but lower kernel plumpness. Sulfur fertilization had little effect on grain quality but increasing $S$ significantly lowered grain yields at several locations.


## Introduction

Acreage of malting barley is expected to increase in non-traditional barley production areas of north central Montana due to the expected increase in malting barley contracts from the new International Malt facility located at Great Falls, MT and traditional spring wheat producers seeking alternative crops with less nitrogen ( N ) fertilizer requirements. Generally the requirements and the effects of N on malt barley are well known (Jackson, 2000; Jackson et al., 2001; McKenzie and Jackson, 2005); however, little information about effects of N, sulfur ( S ), and planting rate are available in the literature. Thus field research was initiated at several locations to evaluate planting rate, N fertilizer, and S fertilizer rate on the yield and quality of Metcalf barley.

## Methods and Materials

Planting rates of 10,15 , and 20 seeds $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}$ rates of 0,30 , and $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} /$ acre, and S rates of 0 , 10, and 20 lbs /acre were applied in all possible combinations to Metcalfe barley at the following locations in 2004: Western Triangle Agric. Research Center (WTARC) north of Conrad, Knees community east of Brady, north of Joplin, east of Sunburst, and east Ethridge. The same locations were established in 2005 with an additional location north of Cut Bank. In 2004 plots were planted with a double disc drill that broadcast N as urea and $25 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre of potassium $(\mathrm{K})$ as KCl while planting, and S as potassium thiosulfate or ammonium thiosulfate was dribbled on the soil surface about two inches from the seed row while planting. In 2005 N as urea, $25 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre of K as KCl , and S as potassium sulfate was applied while seeding in a band approximately one inch above and to the side of the seed row using a
hoe opener. All plots received $30 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} /$ acre as $0-45-0$ applied with seed as well as KCl . Plot size was 6 rows wide, 12 inch spacing, ( 10 inch spacing in 2005) and 25 feet long. Soils at each location were sampled initially for water, nitrate- N , and sulfate-S in foot increments to a depth of three feet. Surface soil samples ( $0-6^{\prime \prime}$ ) were collected for standard soil analyses of pH , organic matter, phosphorus, etc. Results along with other site characteristics are shown in Table 1. Plots were harvested with a small plot combine, and the grain weighed and tested for protein and $S$ content.

Table 1. Soil Test Results and Selected Site Characteristics. 2005-2005.

| Soil test or Character | Location-Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cut Bank | Ethridge |  | Joplin |  | Knees |  | Sunburst |  | WTARC |  |
|  | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 |
| OM, \% | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | - | 2.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| pH | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 8.2 | - | 7.5 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 7.9 |
| P, ppm | 7.4 | 26 | 6 | 19 | 10.0 | - | 19 | 34 | 29 | 16 | 20 |
| N | 32 | 47 | 46 | 57 | 70 | 80 | 89 | 39 | 122 | 63 | 94 |
| S | 127 | 212 | 1969 | 2089 | 1476 | 609 | 367 | 244 | 99 | 7110 | 391 |
| Previous Crop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Precip. | 8.5 | - | - | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| Planting Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { April } \\ 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { April } \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { April } \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { April } \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { April } \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { April } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { April } \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Harvest Date | August 29 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | August 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | August 11 |

Precip.=Growing Season Precipitation, inches; N=Nitrate N, lbs/acre; S=Sulfate S, lbs/acre.

## Results and Discussion

Grain yield results are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Yields averaged between 32 and 97 bu/acre, but most were in the 70 to 80 bushel range depending upon available water levels. Five of the 11 locations had significant yield responses to increased planting rate, with the optimum planting rate usually being 15 seeds/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Seven of the locations had significant yield increases from increasing N rate while two locations experienced significant yield reductions due to increasing N rate. Two locations were unaffected by N rate. Sulfur fertilization did not increase yield at any location, but increasing $S$ rate significantly decreased yields at four locations.

Grain plump kernel data are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. As expected, percent plump declined with increasing planting rate at most locations; however, only two locations averaged less than $75 \%$ plump (the malting industry will usually accept barley with percent plump kernels of at least $75 \%$ ). Also N fertilization reduced kernel plumpness at seven locations, but increased plump at one location. At the other locations, N did not affect kernel plump. Sulfur fertilization significant increased plump slightly at one location, and the remaining locations were unaffected by S.

The grain protein content data are presented in Tables 6 and 7. Increasing planting rate resulted in significant protein content declines at five locations, at the other six locations, protein levels were unaffected by planting rate. As expected most locations (nine) had significant protein increases due to increasing N levels. Interestingly protein response to S was a "mixed bag", two locations had significant protein reduction with increasing S rate in 2004, and two locations had significant protein increases with increasing S rate in 2005. In general S did not affect protein content.

Average grain $S$ content ranged from 0.133 to $0.179 \%$ (data not shown) and generally increased slightly with increasing N and S fertilizer rate and declined slightly with increased planting rate.
Table 2. Effect of nitrogen, sulfur, and planting rate on yield of dryland malt barley. Western Triangle Ag. Research Center. 2004.

| Treatment | Location |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethridge | Joplin | Knees | Sunburst | WTARC |
|  | ----------------------------bu/acre----------------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| Planting Rate Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 78.3 a | 79.2 a | 71.1 a | 31.2 a | 94.5 a |
| 15 seeds//ft ${ }^{2}$ | 78.6 a | 78.3 a | 71.5 a | 31.5 a | 97.4 b |
| 20 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 77.7 a | 79.6 a | 71.0 a | 32.8 a | 98.6 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.688 | 0.705 | 0.974 | 0.199 | 0.003 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.642 | 0.405 | 0.688 | 0.638 | 0.452 |
| Nitrogen Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs N/acre | 77.8 a | 74.2 a | 72.2 a | 33.9 a | 93.0 a |
| 30 lbs N/acre | 78.0 a | 80.3 b | 72.2 a | 32.6 b | 97.0 b |
| $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 78.8 a | 82.6 b | 69.3 b | 29.1 b | 100.0 c |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.493 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.816 | 0.184 | 0.244 | 0.308 | 0.791 |
| Sulfur Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs S/acre | 78.3 a | 82.0 a | 75.1 a | 32.1 a | 99.8 a |
| 10 lbs S/acre | 78.6 a | 77.2 b | 69.1 b | 32.1 a | 95.2 b |
| 20 lbs S/acre | 77.7 a | 78.0 b | 69.4 b | 31.4 a | 95.5 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.097 | 0.027 | 0.001 | 0.602 | 0.002 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.142 | 0.123 | 0.011 | 0.728 | 0.037 |
| Statistical Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 78.2 | 79.0 | 71.2 | 31.9 | 96.8 |
| CV (\%) | 7.8 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 16.4 | 5.9 |
| Interaction | Interaction p-values |  |  |  |  |
| Plt Rate x N Rate | 0.932 | 0.619 | 0.680 | 0.789 | 0.936 |
| Plt Rate x S Rate | 0.607 | 0.946 | 0.677 | 0.621 | 0.649 |
| N Rate x S Rate | 0.252 | 0.245 | 0.925 | 0.606 | 0.219 |
| N Rate x S Rate x Plt rate | 0.223 | 0.601 | 0.569 | 0.541 | 0.730 |

Yield means with the same letter are not significantly different accord to the LSD ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ).

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen, sulfur, and planting rate on yield of dryland malt barley.
Western Triangle Ag. Research Center. 2005.

| Treatment | Location |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cut Bank | Ethridge | Joplin | Knees | Sunburst | WTARC |
|  | bu/acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planting Rate Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 seeds/ $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 56.5 a | 73.8 a | 80.7 a | 79.0 a | 83.7 a | 78.2 a |
| 15 seeds/ $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 59.7 a | 74.4 a | 85.5 b | 84.1 b | 88.2 b | 83.6 b |
| 20 seeds/ $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 58.8 a | 79.0 a | 84.6 b | 89.1 c | 93.1 c | 85.1 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.373 | 0.135 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.362 | 0.504 | 0.020 | 0.925 | 0.878 | 0.256 |
| Nitrogen Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs N/acre | 38.2 a | 59.0 a | 78.5 a | 75.8 a | 82.9 a | 80.6 a |
| 30 lbs N/acre | 61.2 b | 77.0 b | 85.5 b | 86.7 b | 89.1 b | 83.7 a |
| $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 75.7 c | 91.1 c | 86.8 b | 89.6 c | 93.0 c | 82.6 a |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.308 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.058 | 0.527 | 0.019 | 0.002 | 0.485 | 0.227 |
| Sulfur Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs S/acre | 62.1 a | 72.8 a | 83.6 a | 82.9 a | 85.9 a | 80.7 a |
| 10 lbs S/acre | 57.6 ab | 76.9 a | 83.1 a | 85.2 a | 89.7 a | 83.0 a |
| 20 lbs S/acre | 55.4 b | 77.3 a | 84.1 a | 84.1 a | 89.4 a | 83.2 a |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.012 | 0.196 | 0.684 | 0.415 | 0.060 | 0.208 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.600 | 0.540 | 0.533 | 0.164 | 0.191 | 0.549 |
| Statistical Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 58.4 | 75.7 | 83.6 | 84.1 | 88.3 | 82.3 |
| CV (\%) | 18.8 | 16.7 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 10.2 |
| Interaction | Interaction p-values |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plt Rate x N Rate | 0.447 | 0.341 | 0.844 | 0.418 | 0.945 | 0.348 |
| Plt Rate x S Rate | 0.999 | 0.949 | 0.826 | 0.999 | 0.703 | 0.685 |
| N Rate x S Rate | 0.915 | 0.300 | 0.947 | 0.573 | 0.591 | 0.550 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N Rate x S Rate x Plt } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.601 | 0.456 | 0.651 | 0.968 | 0.652 | 0.812 |

Yield means with the same letter are not significantly different accord to the LSD $(\mathrm{p}=0.05)$.

Table 4. Effect of nitrogen, sulfur, and planting rate on kernel plumpness of dryland malt barley. Western Triangle Ag. Research Center. 2004.

| Treatment | Location |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethridge | Joplin | Knees | Sunburst | WTARC |
|  | ---------------------------\%-------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| Planting Rate Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 80.8 a | 90.0 a | 69.5 a | 21.5 a | 91.3 a |
| 15 seeds/fft ${ }^{2}$ | 85.9 a | 87.4 a | 61.3 b | 21.1 a | 87.1 b |
| 20 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 83.4 a | 86.7 a | 53.4 c | 17.3 a | 84.9 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.288 | 0.169 | 0.001 | 0.168 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.099 | 0.624 | 0.968 | 0.529 | 0.477 |
| Nitrogen Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs N/acre | 81.1 a | 93.9 a | 73.5 a | 34.9 a | 92.3 a |
| $30 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 85.1 a | 89.7 a | 62.7 b | 17.4 b | 86.9 b |
| $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 84.0 a | 80.5 b | 48.0 c | 7.6 c | 83.9 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.274 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.274 | 0.181 | 0.409 | 0.145 | 0.375 |
| Sulfur Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs S/acre | 82.9 a | 88.4 a | 60.0 a | 19.9 a | 87.8 a |
| 10 lbs S /acre | 83.2 a | 87.4 a | 61.0 a | 19.1 a | 86.4 a |
| 20 lbs S/acre | 84.1 a | 88.2 a | 63.2 a | 20.9 a | 88.9 a |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.650 | 0.928 | 0.247 | 0.727 | 0.494 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.869 | 0.481 | 0.817 | 0.819 | 0.175 |
| Statistical Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 83.4 | 87.8 | 61.4 | 20.0 | 87.7 |
| CV (\%) | 13.3 | 10.2 | 19.1 | 64.1 | 7.8 |
| Interaction | Interaction p-values |  |  |  |  |
| Plt Rate x N Rate | 0.176 | 0.876 | 0.539 | 0.832 | 0.817 |
| Plt Rate x S Rate | 0.841 | 0.644 | 0.934 | 0.771 | 0.667 |
| N Rate x S Rate | 0.044 | 0.051 | 0.746 | 0.022 | 0.918 |
| N Rate x S Rate x Plt rate | 0.168 | 0.245 | 0.826 | 0.848 | 0.272 |

Yield means with the same letter are not significantly different accord to the LSD ( $p=0.05$ ).

Table 5. Effect of nitrogen, sulfur, and planting rate on kernel plumpness of dryland malt barley. Western Triangle Ag. Research Center. 2005.

| Treatment | Location |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cut Bank | Ethridge | Joplin | Knees | Sunburst | WTARC |
|  | bu/acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planting Rate Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 95.5 a | 96.5 a | 91.8 a | 95.1 a | 92.3 a | 88.4 a |
| 15 seeds//ft ${ }^{2}$ | 94.1 a | 95.4 b | 88.7 b | 94.8 ab | 89.9 b | 85.3 ab |
| 20 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 91.6 a | 94.6 c | 85.6 c | 94.3 b | 89.7 b | 81.6 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.067 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.031 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.760 | 0.616 | 0.989 | 0.841 | 0.105 | 0.854 |
| Nitrogen Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N/acre}$ | 94.9 a | 94.7 a | 93.8 a | 94.9 a | 93.5 a | 90.7 a |
| $30 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 91.9 a | 95.9 b | 89.5 b | 94.9 a | 91.1 b | 85.1 b |
| $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 94.3 a | 96.0 b | 83.1 c | 94.4 a | 87.2 c | 79.5 c |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.771 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.177 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.141 | 0.041 | 0.442 | 0.425 | 0.194 | 0.993 |
| Sulfur Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{S/acre}$ | 92.7 a | 95.3 a | 88.9 a | 95.0 a | 90.8 a | 83.0 a |
| 10 lbs S /acre | 94.8 a | 95.6 a | 89.0 a | 94.9 a | 90.9 a | 85.5 a |
| 20 lbs S/acre | 93.8 a | 95.7 a | 88.3 a | 94.3 a | 90.3 a | 86.8 a |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.606 | 0.217 | 0.628 | 0.066 | 0.451 | 0.052 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.406 | 0.566 | 0.695 | 0.532 | 0.601 | 0.738 |
| Statistical Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 93.7 | 95.5 | 88.7 | 94.7 | 90.6 | 85.1 |
| CV (\%) | 9.5 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 9.5 |
| Interaction | Interaction p-values |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plt Rate x N Rate | 0.675 | 0.489 | 0.240 | 0.118 | 0.052 | 0.664 |
| Plt Rate x S Rate | 0.346 | 0.462 | 0.494 | 0.947 | 0.357 | 0.425 |
| N Rate x S Rate | 0.523 | 0.546 | 0.833 | 0.623 | 0.085 | 0.831 |
| N Rate x S Rate x Plt rate | 0.458 | 0.125 | 0.988 | 0.081 | 0.357 | 0.430 |



Table 6. Effect of nitrogen, sulfur, and planting rate on grain protein content of dryland malt barley. Western Triangle Ag. Research Center. 2004.

| Treatment | Location |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethridge | Joplin | Knees | Sunburst | WTARC |
|  | ----------------------------\%---------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |
| Planting Rate Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 12.0 a | 12.6 a | 14.7 a | 13.8 a | 11.6 a |
| 15 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 11.8 a | 12.6 a | 14.6 a | 13.9 a | 11.1 b |
| 20 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 12.0 a | 12.6 a | 14.5 a | 14.4 a | 10.9 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.954 | 0.829 | 0.514 | 0.119 | 0.003 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.336 | 0.940 | 0.891 | 0.675 | 0.443 |
| Nitrogen Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs N/acre | 12.2 a | 11.7 a | 14.0 a | 12.9 a | 10.1 a |
| $30 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 11.7 a | 12.3 a | 14.3 a | 13.9 b | 11.3 b |
| $60 \mathrm{lbs} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{acre}$ | 12.0 a | 13.9 b | 15.5 b | 15.2 c | 12.3 c |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.504 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.151 | 0.159 | 0.099 | 0.674 | 0.419 |
| Sulfur Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs S/acre | 12.2 a | 12.4 a | 14.2 a | 13.5 a | 11.0 a |
| 10 lbs S /acre | 12.0 a | 12.7 a | 14.9 b | 14.2 ab | 11.2 a |
| 20 lbs S/acre | 11.7 a | 12.8 a | 14.8 b | 14.4 b | 11.4 a |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.072 | 0.522 | 0.048 | 0.031 | 0.081 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.727 | 0.521 | 0.062 | 0.447 | 0.888 |
| Statistical Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 12.0 | 12.6 | 14.6 | 14.0 | 11.2 |
| CV (\%) | 10.0 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 8.3 |
| Interaction | Interaction $\mathbf{p}$-values |  |  |  |  |
| Plt Rate x N Rate | 0.060 | 0.242 | 0.670 | 0.266 | 0.648 |
| Plt Rate x S Rate | 0.313 | 0.924 | 0.489 | 0.664 | 0.628 |
| N Rate x S Rate | 0.258 | 0.260 | 0.509 | 0.078 | 0.745 |
| N Rate x S Rate x Plt rate | 0.151 | 0.646 | 0.697 | 0.965 | 0.310 |

Yield means with the same letter are not significantly different accord to the LSD ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ).

Table 7. Effect of nitrogen, sulfur, and planting rate on grain protein content of dryland malt barley. Western Triangle Ag. Research Center. 2005.

| Treatment | Location |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cut Bank | Ethridge | Joplin | Knees | Sunburst | WTARC |
|  | bu/acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planting Rate Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 seeds/ft ${ }^{2}$ | 10.0 b | 10.3 a | 12.4 a | 12.3 a | 12.6 a | 13.8 a |
| 15 seeds//ft ${ }^{2}$ | 9.8 ab | 9.9 b | 12.4 a | 12.1 a | 12.4 b | 13.5 a |
| 20 seeds//ft ${ }^{2}$ | 9.5 a | 9.7 b | 12.2 a | 11.7 b | 12.1 c | 13.4 a |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.389 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.081 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.835 | 0.473 | 0.595 | 0.439 | 0.804 | 0.847 |
| Nitrogen Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs N/acre | 9.9 a | 9.3 a | 11.5 a | 11.3 a | 11.7 a | 12.6 a |
| 30 lbs N/acre | 9.6 a | 9.6 a | 12.2 b | 12.0 b | 12.2 b | 13.6 b |
| 60 lbs N/acre | 9.9 a | 10.9 b | 13.4 c | 12.9 c | 13.1 c | 14.5 c |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.959 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.030 | 0.002 | 0.190 | 0.385 | 0.097 | 0.826 |
| Sulfur Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 lbs S/acre | 10.0 b | 9.9 a | 12.4 a | 11.9 a | 12.5 a | 13.9 a |
| 10 lbs S /acre | 9.8 ab | 9.8 a | 12.3 a | 12.2 a | 12.1 b | 13.6 ab |
| 20 lbs S/acre | 9.6 a | 10.1 a | 12.3 a | 12.1 a | 12.4 a | 13.2 b |
| P-value, Linear contrast | 0.037 | 0.252 | 0.609 | 0.279 | 0.511 | 0.006 |
| P-value, Quad. contrast | 0.906 | 0.277 | 0.463 | 0.094 | 0.008 | 0.826 |
| Statistical Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 9.8 | 9.9 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 13.6 |
| CV (\%) | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 7.6 |
| Interaction | Interaction p-values |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plt Rate x N Rate | 0.526 | 0.568 | 0.096 | 0.519 | 0.456 | 0.361 |
| Plt Rate x S Rate | 0.769 | 0.698 | 0.960 | 0.859 | 0.562 | 0.537 |
| N Rate x S Rate | 0.723 | 0.970 | 0.967 | 0.985 | 0.079 | 0.326 |
| N Rate x S Rate x Plt rate | 0.612 | 0.309 | 0.969 | 0.172 | 0.206 | 0.597 |

Yield means with the same letter are not significantly different accord to the LSD ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ).
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