



Relative bioavailability of iron in Bangladeshi traditional meals prepared with iron-fortified lentil dal



Rajib Podder Ph.D Candidate University of Saskatchewan



and Bioresources



Outline of presentation

- 1. Background of this study
- 2. Materials and methods
- 3. Results
- 4. Conclusion
- 5. Future research



Most abundant mineral on Earth and the most abundant trace mineral in the body

Iron deficiency = most common nutrient deficiency in world



Fe and its Deficiency









Causes of Fe Deficiency

Nutritionally unbalanced food supply

Food habits









and Bioresources

College of Agriculture Anemia Prevalence Worldwide





- >60% preschool aged children and > 40% pregnant and non pregnant women in South east Asia and Africa are suffering from Fe deficiency anemia, [WHO, 2008]
- In Bangladesh, 40% of adolescents are anaemic [Ahmed et al., 2010].
- In 2011, the national prevalence of anaemia in Bangladesh was 51% in children aged 6-59 months and 42% in non-pregnant women [BDHS, 2011].





Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik.) - a carrier of iron

- Lentil is the sixth most important pulse crop
- Good source of protein, fiber, minerals, vitamins, and antioxidants
- Excellent source of micronutrients
 (Zn, Fe, and Se) [Thavarajah et al. 2011]
- Saskatchewan is the world's largest lentil producer and exporter







Fe improvement in lentil

Biofortification The process by which the *nutritional quality of food crops* is improved through agronomic practices, conventional plant breeding, or modern biotechnology. (*WHO*, 2016)

Fortification The practice of *deliberately increasing the content* of an essential micronutrient, i.e. vitamins and minerals," (WHO and FAO, 2005)



Vasconcelos et al, 2016





College of Agriculture

Fortification of lentil









Identification of the optimum Fe fortificant for dehulled lentils

A manuscript was submitted in **"Nutrients"** Journal and published on August 2017.







Article

Iron Fortification of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) to Address Iron Deficiency

Rajib Podder¹, Bunyamin Tar'an¹, Robert T. Tyler², Carol J. Henry³, Diane M. DellaValle⁴ and Albert Vandenberg^{1,*}



Sensory evaluation



Objective: Determine sensory acceptability of fortified lentils – appearance, odour, texture, taste and Overall acceptability

University of Saskatchewan



45 Panellists were recruited from staff and students at **U of S** (2 replications)

Bangladesh (BRAC University)



98 consumers were selected



Comparing Uncooked fortified lentil samples





Control

FeSO₄.H₂O

NaFeEDTA





and Bioresources

College of Agriculture

Outcome of the Sensory evaluation





Food Science

A Publication of the Institute of Food Technologists

Explore this journal >

Citation tools 🔻

Sensory & Food Quality

Sensory Acceptability of Iron-Fortified Red Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Dal

Rajib Podder, Shaan M. Khan, Bunyamin Tar'an, Robert T. Tyler, Carol J. Henry, Chowdhury Jalal, Phyllis J. Shand, Albert Vandenberg 🗠

First published: 22 February 2018 Full publication history

DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.14066 View/save citation

Cited by (CrossRef): 0 articles for updates

Early View



Browse Early View Articles Online Version of Record published before inclusion in an issue





Bioavailability of Fe from lentil

Bioavailability - is a post-absorption assessment of how much of a nutrient that has been absorbed becomes functional to the system

Source: https://www.tamu.edu/faculty/.../Lecture%2009%20Bioavailability.ppt



Objective

To determine the concentration and relative bioavailability of Fe in different traditional Bangladeshi meal plan models featuring fortified and unfortified lentil dal.





Preparation of meal samples with fortified and unfortified lentil dal

| Meal ingredients | Meal models | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|------|----|----|----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 01 | | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 |) 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Rice (%) | 50 |) | 50 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 5 85 | 75 | 50 | 85 | 50 |
| Vegetable (%) | 0 | | 25 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| Fish (%) | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Unfortified lentil dal (%) | 50 |) | 25 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 10 |) 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fortified lentil dal (%) | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Meal ingredients | Meal models | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |) 2 | 1 2 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| Rice (%) | 50 | 50 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 57 | 58 | 35 8 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vegetable (%) | 25 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish (%) | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1(|) (|) | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Unfortified lentil dal (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (|) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Fortified lentil dal (%) | 25 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 5 5 | 5 4 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |



Materials and Methods



| Meal (Cooked) | Ingredients | Ratio (by weight) |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Lentil dal (fortified and unfortified) | lentil, deionized water, canola oil, salt, turmeric powder and onion | 15:70:4:3:2:6 |
| Rice | Rice and water (white boiled and unenriched) | |
| Vegetables | carrot, cauliflower, brinjal, potato, and sweet potato, onion, salt, turmeric, garlic, oil, and water | 10:10:8:10:5:2 :1:1:1:12:40 |
| Fish | fish fillets, salt, turmeric, and oil | 90:2:3:5 |





Preparation of Meal Components

- > All foods were cooked with 18 M Ω deionized water.
- ➢ Rice, fish, and vegetables were cooked in a traditional Bangladeshi fashion.
- Stainless steel cookware was used to prepare all meal components.
- Prepared dishes were cooled at room temperature for 2 h,
 - Frozen at -80° C for 24 h,
 - Freeze-dried using a FreeZone 12 L Console Freeze Dry System for 72 h,
 - Stored at room temperature





Materials and Methods



Laboratory: Dr. Raymond Glahn, USDA-ARS, Ithaca, New York, Using an *in vitro* digestion/Caco-2 cell culture bioassay (*Glahn, 2009*).

Iron concentration (µg g-1)

Inductively coupled argon-plasma emission spectrometer

Phytic acid concentration (mg g⁻¹)

A colorimetric assay kit (K-PHYT 12/12, Megazyme International, Wicklow, Ireland)



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inductively_coupled_pla sma_mass_spectrometry



https://secure.megazyme.com/myo-Inositol-Assay-Kit





Relative Fe bioavailability estimation







Relative Fe bioavailability estimation

Relative bioavailability- is used to rank the absorbability of a nutrient by comparing its absorbability with that of a reference nutrient that is considered as having the most efficient absorbability. [WHO, 2006]





| 500 450 450 350 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | ■ Fe (ppr 1.2 5.3 2.1 | m) ■ RFeB% 2.5 19.4 3.7 | ■ PA(mg g-1) 1.4 14 11.4 | 6.2 60 50.6 | 439.2 | Phytic acid concentration (mg g-1) |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| Meal models | Model 26 | Model 27 | Model 28 | Model 29 | Model 30 | |
| Rice (%) | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Veg (%) | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Fish (%) | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | |
| Unfortified lentil dal (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | |
| Fortified lentil dal (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | |

Results

SOILS & CROPS

Fe concentration Relative Fe bioavailability

Phytic Acid Conc. In fortified lentils





Relative Fe bioavailability \uparrow in fortified lentils







Fortified lentil Vs Unfortified lentil SOILS & CROPS

| Meal model | Fe (ppm) | Ferritin formation (ng ferritin/mg protein) | RFeB (% control lentil) | PA (mg/g) | PA: Fe molar ratio |
|---------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Meals with unfortified | 13.5±7.5 | 15.9±7.5 | 51.2±24.2 | 2.4±0.7 | 111.4±32.9 |
| lentil (models one to 11) | | | | | |
| (n = 11) | | | | | |
| Meals with fortified | 136.3±64.3 | 52.5±25.2 | 289.9±109.3 | 2.1±0.3 | 9.6±3.02 |
| lentil (model 15 to 25) | | | | | |
| (n = 11) | | | | | |
| р | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | 0.03 | < 0.001 |

Fe concentration, ferritin formation (ng ferritin/mg protein), relative Fe bioavailability \uparrow in meals with fortified lentil

Phytic acid and phytic acid:Fe molar ratio \uparrow in meals unfortified lentil





Correlation Coefficients

- □ Iron (Fe) concentration vs. relative Fe bioavailability (RFeB%),
- Bioavailability vs. phytic acid (PA):Fe molar ratio, and
- □ Fe concentration vs. PA:Fe molar ratio

| Meal model | [Fe] vs. RFeB% | RFeB% vs. PA:Fe molar ratio] | [Fe] vs. PA:Fe molar ratio |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| All (models 1 to 30) | 0.832** | -0.722** | -0.627** |
| (n = 30) | (< 0.001) | (< 0.001) | (< 0.001) |
| Unfortified lentil (models 1 to 11) | -0.142 | 0.351 | -0.628* |
| (n = 11) | (0.685) | (0.299) | (0.0364) |
| Fortified lentil (model 15 to 25) | 0.801** | -0.763** | -0.628* |
| (n = 11) | (0.001) | (0.004) | (0.036) |





Conclusion

- ➢ Fortification with NaFeEDTA increased the iron concentration in lentil from 60 to 439 µg g-1
- The relative Fe bioavailability of cooked fortified lentil was increased by 79% compared to unfortified cooked lentil
- Phytic acid levels were reduced from 6.2 to 4.6 mg g-1 when fortified lentil was added
- > PA:Fe molar ratio was reduced from 8.8 to 0.9
- Fortified lentil can contribute significant bioavailable Fe to populations at risk of Fe deficiency.





Future research

- Efficacy trial with Fe-fortified lentil
- In-vivo study of fortified lentil

A small-scale study using *in-vivo* could be conducted to validate the results of the in-vitro procedure.

Multiple fortification of lentil

Studies can be developed to fortify lentil with multiple fortificants to simultaneously mitigate multiple nutrients deficiencies in humans.





Acknowledgements

Supervisor: Prof. Albert Vandenberg

Committee members:

Dr. Bunyamin Tar'an

Dr. Robert T. (Bob) Tyler

Dr. Carol J. Henry

Special Advisor: Chowdhury Jalal, Nutrition International, Ottawa Dr. Phyllis Shand Barry Goetz (micronutrient analysis), Crystal Chan UofS CSFL Crews, BRAC University, Bangladesh Fellow graduate students











BOLD IDEAS FOR HUMANITY."





Thank you for your attention



