Response of Cereals to Fertilizer N on Pulse and Other Stubbles

B.G. McConkey^{1*}, D. Steinley², B. Nybo², P.R.Miller³, J. McConnell⁴, S. Anderson⁵, K. Heil⁶, and Rachel Oystryk⁵
¹AAFC-SPARC, Swift Current, SK;
²Wheatland Conservation Area, Swift Current, SK;
³Montana State University, Bozeman, MT;
⁴Saskatchewan Agriculture Food and Rural Revitalization, Saskatoon, SK;
⁵East Central Research Foundation, Canora, SK (S.A. currently; R.O. formerly)
⁶Southeast Research Farm, Redvers, SK

Key words: wheat, barley, durum, nitrogen, stubble, coriander, chickpea, pea, lentil, fenugreek, mustard, bean

Abstract

To optimize cropping systems requires knowledge of effects of the preceding crop on the grain yield and protein and the response to N of a following cereal crop. To gain this knowledge, we grew hard red spring (HRS) wheat, durum wheat, Canadian Prairie Spring (CPS)-class wheat, Canadian Western Extra Strong (CWES)-class wheat, and barley on barley, bean, coriander, fenugreek, kabuli chickpea, lentil, mustard, and pea stubble at different N fertilizer rates over 9 site-yr: Swift Current (1998-2002), Redvers (2001-02) and Canora (1999 and 2002). N rates were medium (recommended rate based on fall soil nitrate in cereal stubble), low (15-30 kg ha⁻¹ less than medium) and high (15-30 kg ha⁻¹). There was a significant effect of stubble on subsequent cereal grain yield. Cereal on cereal stubble was consistently lowest or second lowest yielding (typically 100 - 800 kg ha⁻¹ lower than other stubbles) with the exception of 2001 at Swift Current when it was the highest yielding. This latter effect was attributed to the superior moisture conserving benefits of cereal stubble during this year with extreme early drought. No single cereal crop was consistently highest or lowest yielding. The trend was for greatest grain protein on pulse stubbles although stubble effects on protein were not as great as on yield owing to confounding yield dilution effects. Within this narrow range of fertilizer N rates, yield or protein response to N was weak. Generally, there were no significant interactions between stubble and cereal crop or stubble and fertilizer indicating the effect of stubble was consistent across cereal type and N rates. The cereal yield and protein response to N on the non-cereal stubbles was not significantly different than that on cereal stubble with the exception that barley protein responded more positively to N on lentil stubble than on cereal stubble. Cereals grown on pulse stubbles tended to have higher yields and protein than on other stubbles. For HRS wheat and durum, the chance of achieving high protein grain was greatest with high fertilizer N on pea stubble (>75% of years). Applying a high fertilizer N rate on cereal stubbles did not markedly increase the chance of attaining high

protein wheat or durum. For barley, where low protein is desired for malting, the best chance for low protein barley was on cereal and mustard stubble although barley protein appeared less affected by stubble and fertilizer N than wheat or durum.

Introduction

To optimize cropping systems, it is important to understand the interaction of different preceding crops on the grain yield, protein, and fertilizer N response of cereals. Miller et al., 2002a,b concluded that the positive benefits of pulse crops on wheat yield and protein resulted from increased soil N rather than increased available water. However, increased soil N may only increase grain protein (Zentner et al. 2001). Pulse crops have also been reported to reduce cereal disease incidence (Stevenson and van Kessel, 1996; Beckie and Brandt, 1997). Townley-Smith (1994) reported that pea, canola and spring wheat yielded similar on other crop stubbles but least when grown on their own stubbles in a subhumid region of Saskatchewan. In southwestern Saskatchewan, Miller et al. (2003) found that spring wheat yield and protein were depressed when grown on its own stubble compared with on non-cereal stubbles but that pulses and oilseeds were not significantly affected when grown on other pulse and oilseed stubbles. Gan et al. (2003) found that durum grain yield and protein were depressed when grown on spring wheat stubble compared to on pulse or oilseed stubbles with the larger increases in both yield and protein occurring on pulse crop stubbles. In the Black soil zone, fertilizer N additions on non-cereal stubble have also been reported to increase productivity of succeeding cereal crops (Wright, 1990a; 1990b; Badaruddin and Meyer, 1994) with the largest relative response to N on the oilseed compared to pulse stubble (Beckie and Brandt, 1997). In the latter study, regardless of N addition rate, spring wheat on spring wheat stubble never attained a yield equal to that of spring wheat on pea or canola stubble. The objective of this study was to compare the effects of different fertilizer N additions to bean, cereal, coriander, fenugreek, kabuli chickpea, lentil, mustard, and pea stubble on the yield, grain protein and grain N uptake of spring wheat (hard red, Canadian prairie spring, and extra strong classes), durum, and barley.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at three of the "Spoke" sites of the AgriArm program (www.agr.gov.sk.ca/DOCS/research/Agri-ARM.asp): East Central Research Farm (Canora), the South-East Research Farm (Redvers), and the Wheatland Conservation Area, Inc. (Swift Current). The Canora and Redvers sites are in the Black soil zone while Swift Current is in the Brown Soil Zone. All sites had medium-textured soils. Across all sites, a wide range of weather conditions occurred from very wet (e.g. Redvers 2002) to very dry (e.g. Swift Current 2001).

In the preceding year, seven different crops were grown (Table 1) with low-disturbance seeding directly into cereal stubble and using recommended fertilizer, weed, disease, and insect control practices. Although a legume, fenugreek was not inoculated with Rhizobium and was fertilized with equivalent amount of N as the mustard. The pea and lentil received no supplemental N fertilizer but were properly inoculated with the appropriate Rhizobium.

In the crop year, the stubble plots were split and seeded with two or three different cereal crops at two or three N fertilizer rates (Table 1). The uniform medium fertilizer N rate was based on soil-test recommendations (www.envirotest.com) for soil nitrate in upper 60 cm of soil in fall in the cereal stubble (Table 1). The low N rate was that recommended for drier-than-normal growing conditions while the high N rate was that for wetter growing conditions. Hence the small range of fertilizer rates were designed to fine-tune fertilizer recommendations for the different stubbles. (The low rate for Redvers in 2001 was no supplemental N due to anticipated low N response from N carried over from the previous year since the experiment site had had very low yields due to excessively wet conditions in 2000). The Redvers site also had a very high N rate to further investigate N response of cereals on different stubbles The cereals were all seeded with commercial seed drills: Seed Hawk (www.langbankcap.ca/seedhawk.htm) at Canora and Redvers, Flexi-Coil with "Stealth" single side-banding knives (www.flexicoil.com) at Swift Current directly into the stubbles with recommended amounts of P, K, and S fertilizer. Plots were harvested with a plot combine and grain subsamples analyzed for protein with a calibrated laboratory-quality near infrared reflectance analyzer. Grain yields are reported at ambient moisture content at time of mass determination (8-14%), protein was expressed for 13.5% moisture content (wet basis). Grain N uptake was calculated from protein using standard conversion from protein to N (i.e. N=protein/6.25 for barley and protein/5.7 for wheat) and then expressed on a dry basis. Protein was not measured for the Canora site in 1999.

Analysis of variance was performed using SAS Proc Mixed and regression with SAS Proc Reg.

Results and Discussion

In both 2001 and 2002 at Redvers, yields at very high N fertilizer rate trended lower than at lower N rates across stubbles (Table 2). Protein was also not generally different at very high fertilizer N rate than at the high rate, indicating the very high N rate provided excess N. Consequently, the very high rate N rate was not included in subsequent analyses. In 2001, the relatively high grain yield and protein at the low (zero) fertilizer N rate indicated the soil provided a good N supply in that year. Not surprisingly then, the high N rate also appeared to supply excess N as yields across the stubbles trended lower at the high N rate than the medium N rate without also clearly affecting grain protein. Because the high N rate was also appeared excessive in 2001, it was also excluded from subsequent analyses for Redvers. At Redvers, particularly in 2002, yields were low because of competition from weeds, especially wild oats. In the latter year, barley appeared to be the most competitive cereal as it has the most stable yield across stubbles types. In contrast, under the same weedy conditions, low durum yield on several stubbles represented an economic crop failure.

The mean yield of the different cereals were statistically different at all sites in all years (Table 3). However, there was no consistent highest or lowest yielding cereal. Also at all sites in all years, there were significant differences among the different stubbles. Cereal

grown on cereals had the lowest or second lowest yield in all site-yr with the exception of 2001 at Swift Current. In that year, there was an extreme early season drought and we attribute this yield effect to the better moisture conserving ability, both prior to seeding and in-crop, of cereal stubble compared with the other stubbles. Cereals on the legumes had the highest yield in eight of the nine site-yr: cereal on pea had the highest yield in four site-yr, cereal on fenugreek had the highest yield in three site-yr and cereal on chickpea had highest yield in one site-yr. However, cereal on chickpea stubble was also the lowest yielding at Canora in 1999. Cereal grown on lentil stubble was also generally high yielding and was not significantly different from highest yielding cereal on a legume stubble in five site-yr. We did not evaluate the nodulation of the fenugreek and there may have been some additional N fixed from the atmosphere due to infection with Rhizobium already in the soil. Cereal yield on coriander stubble was variable, sometimes being relatively low (e.g. Swift Current 1999) and sometimes relatively high (e.g. Canora 2002). Cereal yields on mustard stubble were invariably intermediate between the highest and lowest yielding stubbles. The general cereal yield rankings of pulse stubble > oilseed stubble > cereal stubble agrees with previous research.

In only one site-yr (Swift Current 2002) was there a significant crop x stubble interaction. This appeared to mainly because the durum yielded relatively poorer on durum stubble than did the barley whereas the barley yielded relatively poorer on the coriander stubble than did the durum (Table 4).

Within the relatively narrow range of fertilizer N rates, the yield response to N was generally weak. In three site years (Canora 1999, 2002, and Swift Current 2001), there was no significant effect of N. At Canora, unlike Redvers, there was no clear indication that N rates were excessimve as there was no trend for yields to drop at the high N rate (Table 5). There was no significant stubble x fert interaction in any site-yr indicating the crop yield response to N was similar for all stubbles. There was a significant crop x fert interaction at both years at Redvers. The latter is explained because the durum had an overall negative yield response to N while the barley and HRS wheat had a more expected positive responses (Table 2).

For protein, there were significant differences among the cereals in all site-yr. Because of yield dilution effects on grain protein, the effect of stubble and fertilizer were less consistent than was the case for yield (Table 6). Generally, cereal grown on legume stubbles had the highest or among the highest grain protein. Since these stubbles also often had higher yields, the generally good grain protein indicated that the legume stubble were providing better N supply to the cereal crop. This agrees with much other research. Grain protein generally increased as fertilizer N applied increased although this effect was not significant in some site-yr. A crop x fert interaction occurred in six of the eight site-yr. Much of this interaction can be explained because barley and CPS wheat grain protein was less affected by stubble type than either HRS wheat or durum at Redvers (Table 2) and Swift Current (Table 7). Crop x stubble or stubble by fert interactions were generally not significant for protein indicating that crop protein and N response are similar among the different stubbles.

Cereal grain N uptake integrates the combined impacts of treatments on both protein and grain yield. Generally, yield dilution (i.e. higher grain decreasing grain protein concentration) were not important. The latter was because many of the cereals grown on pulse stubble had both relatively high grain yield and protein. Therefore, grain N uptake followed similar ranking as grain yield indicating the different stubbles significantly impacted N availability to the cereals. In 2002 at Swift Current, there was a significant interaction between crop and stubble and grain N uptake. This occurred because the differential effects of stubble on the barley and durum were similar for both grain yield and protein. To illustrate, relative to the yield and protein on other stubbles, both durum yield and protein were high on coriander and mustard stubble whereas, relative to its yield on other stubbles, barley yields were low on coriander and mustard stubble (barley protein relatively unaffected by stubble in 2002 at Swift Current).

For barley, the mean grain yield, protein, and N uptake difference relative to cereal stubble for the medium N rate were not significantly different than zero (Table 9). Therefore, there was no a significant overall effect of stubble on agronomic performance of barley at medium N rate. The trend was for relative differences to be larger for the pulse stubbles. In contrast, for HRS wheat, the yield difference and grain N uptake difference for all stubbles common across site-yr were significantly greater than zero. Relative protein difference was also significantly greater than zero for HRS wheat for lentil and pea stubble. Hence, overall, it was clearly advantageous to grow HRS wheat on a stubble other than a cereal. For durum, the relative differences for grain yield, protein, and grain N uptake were all significantly greater than zero for mustard stubble. In addition the relative yield difference was greater than zero for mustard stubble and the relative grain N uptake difference greater than zero for fenugreek stubble.

This large relative positive response of HRS wheat to stubble type other than a cereal was not fully expected since, in this study, it was never grown on wheat stubble (i.e. always grown on barley or durum stubble). Clearly, the HRS wheat was able to more effectively extract soil N when grown on a non-cereal stubble. There was no large advantage of legume stubbles compared to the coriander and mustard stubbles. The HRS wheat appeared to be responding primarily to the rotational benefit of a crop sequence with a preceding non-cereal crop. In contrast to HRS wheat, durum, and, to lesser extent barley, appeared to be responding more to N benefit from the pulse stubbles and less to the rotational benefit of production on a non-cereal stubble.

Regression analysis using indicator variables was used to determine the relative N response on non-cereal stubbles differed from that on cereal stubble. Over the narrow range of fertilizer rates, the response to fertilizer N was generally weak (Table 4). These overall results would suggest that the medium N rate are close to optimal as slight adjustments above or below the medium N rate do not greatly affect agronomic performance. In only one case did the N response on the non-cereal stubbles differ significantly from that on cereal stubble: barley grain protein had a greater positive response on lentil stubble than on cereal stubble. There was a trend, however, for lower slopes for grain yield and N uptake on pea stubble than other stubbles. In contrast with the regression slopes, the intercept was frequently significantly different for the non-

cereal stubbles than the cereal stubble. This shows that the main effect of stubble was to increase mean cereal grain yield, protein, and/or N uptake compared with those on cereal stubble. Among cereals, barley was least affected by stubble type.

Since the cereal response to fertilizer N is similar among stubble types, a critical consideration as to what N rate to apply to a cereal grown on particular stubble will be grain price premiums for particular protein concentrations. For HRS wheat and durum, there is a price premium for higher protein, while for barley, grain protein below 130 g kg^{-1} (even below 120 g kg⁻¹) may be required to attain malting grade price premiums (for all cereals, protein affects value only if grain meets other grade quality parameters). For barley, the probability of achieving low protein was relatively unaffected by stubble type or fertilizer rate (Table 5). Achieving barley protein below 120 g kg⁻¹ was slightly favoured by growing it on cereal or mustard stubble. The response of cereal on canola stubble has been found to be similar to that on mustard stubble (Miller et al. 2003). In contrast, HRS wheat and durum protein were strongly affected by stubble and fertilizer rate. Achieving HRS wheat and durum with high protein is least likely on cereal stubble and most likely on a legume stubble. Decreasing N fertilizer applied to legume stubbles to take advantage of any potential "pulse N benefit" is not wise if one desires high protein cereal grain. For example dropping from medium to low N rate on pulse stubble about halved the chance of achieving HRS wheat with more than 140 g kg⁻¹ protein concentration. Similarly, increasing N fertilizer applied to cereal stubble to increase grain protein may not be effective. For example, increasing from medium to high N rate did not increase the chance of achieving HRS wheat with protein concentration over either 130 or 140 g kg⁻¹. The best combination of fertilizer N and stubble to produce high protein durum or HRS wheat was high fertilizer N on pulse crop stubbles.

Conclusions

The preceding crop had strong influences on the grain yield, protein and N uptake of the succeeding cereal crop. Generally, there were no interactions between stubble and cereal crop or between stubble and N fertilizer indicating the effect of stubble type was similar across cereals and N fertilizer rates. Growing a cereal on a cereal, even if not on the same cereal species, depressed both yield and protein. The chance of achieving high protein HRS wheat or durum grain was greatest with high fertilizer N on pulse stubbles. Applying a high fertilizer N rate on cereal stubbles does not markedly increase the chance of attaining high protein wheat or durum. For barley, where low protein is desired for malting, the best chance for low protein grain was on cereal and mustard stubble although barley protein appeared less affected by stubble and fertilizer N than wheat or durum.

References

- Badaruddin, M. and D.W. Meyer. 1994. Grain legume effects on soil nitrogen, grain yield, and nitrogen nutrition of wheat. Crop Sci. 34:1304-1309.
- Beckie, H.J. and S.A. Brandt. 1997. Nitrogen contribution of field pea in annual cropping systems. 1. Nitrogen residual effect. Can. J. Plant Sci. 77:311-322.
- Gan, Y.T., P.R. Miller, B.G. McConkey, R.P. Zentner, F.C. Stevenson and C.L. McDonald. 2003. Influence of diverse cropping sequences on durum wheat yield and protein in the semiarid northern Great Plains. Agron. J. (Accepted)
- Miller, P.R., B.G. McConkey, G.W. Clayton, S.A. Brandt, J.A. Staricka, A.M. Johnston, G. Lafond, B.G. Schatz, D.D. Baltensperger and K. Neill. 2002a. Pulse crop adaptation in the northern Great Plains. Agron. J. 94:261-272.
- Miller, P.R., J. Waddington, C.L. McDonald and D.A. Derksen. 2002b. Cropping sequence affects wheat productivity on the semiarid northern Great Plains. Can. J. Plant Sci. 82:307-318.
- Miller, P.R., Y. Gan, B.G. McConkey, and C.L. McDonald. 2003. Pulse crops for the northern Great Plains. 2. Cropping sequence effects on cereal, oilseed and pulse crops. Agron. J. (in press).
- Stevenson, F.C. and C. van Kessel. 1996. The nitrogen and non-nitrogen rotation benefits of pea to succeeding crops. Can. J. Plant Sci. 76:735-745.
- Townley-Smith, L. 1994. Crop sequences involving peas in NE Saskatchewan. Final Rep. Sask. Pulse Crop Dev. Board. 7 p. Agric. Agri-Food Canada, Melfort Res. Ctr., Melfort, Sask.
- Wright, A.T. 1990a. Quality effects of pulses on subsequent cereal crops in the northern prairies. Can. J. Plant Sci. 70:1013-1021.
- Wright, A.T. 1990b. Yield effects of pulses on subsequent cereal crops in the northern prairies. Can. J. Plant Sci. 70:1023-1032.
- Zentner, R.P., C.A. Campbell, V.O. Biederbeck, P.R. Miller, F. Selles and M.R. Fernandez. 2001. In search of a sustainable cropping system for the semiarid Canadian prairies. J. Sustainable. Agric. 18:117-136.

					Swift	Swift	Swift	Swift	Swift
	Canora	Canora	Redvers	Redvers	Current	Current	Current	Current	Current
	1999	2002	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
				cultiva	ſ				
<u>Stubble</u>									
Bean		Pintium	Camino						
Barley	Robust	Viscount							
Coriander	Common	Common	Common	Common	Common	Common	Common	Common	Common
Durum			Avonlea	Avonlea	Kyle	Kyle	Kyle	Kyle	Kyle
Fenugreek	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro	Quattro
Chickpea	Sanford			Sanford	Sanford	Sanford	Sanford	Sanford	Sanford
Lentil	Glamis	Robin	Milestone	Milestone	Laird	Crimson	Milestone	Milestone	Laird
Mustard	Viscount	Viscount	Pennant	Pennant	Cutlass	Cutlass	Cutlass	Cutlass	Omus
Pea	Swing	Alfetta	Swing	Swing	Carneval	Carneval	Carneval	Carneval	Delta
Cereal Crop									
Barley	Metcalfe	Metcalfe	Harrington	Harrington			Harrington	Harrington	Harringto
HRS wheat	AC Barrie	McKenzie	AC Barrie	McKenzie	AC Barrie	AC Barrie	AC Barrie	AC Barrie	
CPS Wheat					AC Karma	AC Karma			
CWES Wheat	Bluesky								
Durum			Avonlea	Kyle	Kyle			Kyle	Kyle
					- kg/ha				
N Rate									
Low	39	45	0		28	34	34	34	34
Medium	56	62	67	67	45	50	50	50	50
High	73	78		78	73*	78	78	78	78
Very High									

Table 1. Cultivars and N rates for the eight site-years

* no high N rate for durum

Barby SubbIRS Weak VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidIRS VelidSubbNameNameNameVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinVieldProteinProt	
Stubble N Rate (k g ha ⁻¹)(k g ⁻¹)(k g ha ⁻¹)(g k g ⁻¹)(k g ha ⁻¹)(g ha ⁻¹)	um
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{reg} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Proteir
Bean Med High Very High 3986 137 3209 166 1992 154	(g kg ⁻¹)
Bean Med High Very High 3986 137 3209 166 1992 154	
Bean High very High 3375 127 2756 150 1112 165	
Very High 3754 137 2493 145 2128 157 Coriander Med 3910 135 2899 161 1750 156 1699 118 1212 142 616 Med 3910 127 2800 148 153 138 1393 118 1646 147 598 Med 3850 130 2498 146 2000 153 1479 123 1872 141 632 Durum Med 4010 153 2184 158 1252 160 1370 115 412 143 330 Med 4010 153 2184 158 1252 160 1370 115 412 143 330 Muth 1860 130 2033 148 1119 152 163 122 1631 144 807 High 3877	
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	149
High 3850 130 2498 146 2000 153 1479 123 1872 141 632 Durum Med 4010 153 2184 158 1252 160 1370 115 412 143 330 High 3570 130 2303 148 1119 152 1506 114 1070 139 299 High 3570 130 2303 148 1119 152 1506 114 1070 139 299 Kery 3840 140 1864 150 1476 163 1622 116 1120 141 285 Fenugree Med 3747 148 2904 164 1893 155 1635 122 1631 148 802 Kery 3867 130 3128 146 1446 1630 118 2042 144 807 Chichche Med	150
	157
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	107
$ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:point} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	150
High 3840 140 1864 150 1476 163 1622 116 1120 141 285 Fenugree Low 3374 144 1850 131 2047 152	145
Fenugre k Med High Very High 3747 148 2904 164 1893 155 1635 122 1631 148 802 Med k Migh Very High 3867 130 3128 146 1446 146 1680 118 2042 144 807 3824 139 2693 133 2013 154 1637 115 1905 139 749 Chickpea Low 1525 116 1004 149 525 Med 1525 116 1004 149 525 High 1614 113 1878 143 598 Low 3002 129 1766 131 1780 156 <	150
Fenugre k Med High Very High 3747 148 2904 164 1893 155 1635 122 1631 148 802 Med k Migh Very High 3867 130 3128 146 1446 146 1680 118 2042 144 807 3824 139 2693 133 2013 154 1637 115 1905 139 749 Chickpea Low 1525 116 1004 149 525 Med 1525 116 1004 149 525 High 1614 113 1878 143 598 Low 3002 129 1766 131 1780 156 <	
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Icharge} \\ \mbox{k} & \mbox{High} \\ \mbox{Very} \\ \mbox{High} \\ \mbox{3867} & 130 \\ \mbox{3824} & 139 \\ \mbox{2693} & 133 \\ \mbox{2693} & 133 \\ \mbox{2013} & 154 \\ \mbox{1637} & 115 \\ \mbox{1637} & 115 \\ \mbox{1905} & 139 \\ \mbox{1905} & 139 \\ \mbox{749} \\ 7$	
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{High} & 565 & 125 & 116 & 116 & 116 & 165 & 165 & 165 & 166 & 167 & 165 & 166 & 167$	149
High 3824 139 2693 133 2013 154 1637 115 1905 139 749 Chickpea Med 1525 116 1004 149 525 Med 1614 113 1878 143 598	143
Med 1525 116 1004 149 525 High Very High 1614 113 1878 143 598 Lentil High Very High 1614 113 1878 143 598 Lentil Med 3002 129 1766 131 1780 156	149
Chickpea High Very High 1614 113 1878 143 598 Lentil High Very High 1717 115 2229 138 660 Lentil Med 4045 150 2718 155 1664 159 1685 123 1146 150 1034 High Very High 3897 129 2582 146 1466 155 1305 117 2234 142 966 Very High 3705 138 2395 131 1740 161 1271 117 2419 144 1134 Mustard Med 3997 133 2839 154 1617 161 1271 117 2419 144 1134 Mustard Med 3997 133 2839 154 1617 161 1792 118 1430 145 651 Mustard High Very 3666 128 2801 149 1449 153 16	
High Very High 1614 113 1878 143 598 Lentil Low 3002 129 1766 131 1780 156 1717 115 2229 138 660 Lentil Med 4045 150 2718 155 1664 159 1685 123 1146 150 1034 High Very High 3897 129 2582 146 1466 155 1305 117 2234 142 966 Wery High 3705 138 2395 131 1740 161 1271 117 2419 144 1134 Mustard Low 3153 130 1786 137 1931 147 Mustard Med 3997 133 2839 154 1617 161 1792 118 1430 145 651 Mustard High Very 3666 128 2801	145
Very High 1717 115 2229 138 660 Lentil Med 3002 129 1766 131 1780 156	149
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	155
Lentil Med 4045 150 2718 155 1664 159 1685 123 1146 150 1034 High Very High 3897 129 2582 146 1466 155 1305 117 2234 142 966 Mustard Med 3153 130 1786 137 1931 147 Mustard Med 3997 133 2839 154 1617 161 1792 118 1430 145 651 Mustard High Very 3666 128 2801 149 1449 153 1654 115 1481 146 869	155
Lentil High Very High 3897 129 2582 146 1466 155 1305 117 2234 142 966 Mustard Med 3705 138 2395 131 1740 161 1271 117 2419 144 1134 Mustard Med 3997 133 2839 154 1617 161 1792 118 1430 145 651 Mustard High Very 3666 128 2801 149 1449 153 1654 115 1481 146 869	
High Very High 3897 129 2582 146 1466 155 1305 117 2234 142 966 Mustard Med 3153 130 1786 137 1931 147	146
High 3705 138 2395 131 1740 161 1271 117 2419 144 1134 Mustard Low 3153 130 1786 137 1931 147	150
Low 3153 130 1786 137 1931 147	151
Mustard Med 3997 133 2839 154 1617 161 1792 118 1430 145 651 High Very 3666 128 2801 149 1449 153 1654 115 1481 146 869	
Mustard High 3666 128 2801 149 1449 153 1654 115 1481 146 869 Very	
High 3666 128 2801 149 1449 153 1654 115 1481 146 869 Very	145
	146
ingn 5775 154 2345 140 1755 156 1009 115 1462 142 ///	148
Low 3808 136 2738 138 2586 152	
Med 4770 137 3449 159 2064 161 1423 115 862 147 285	152
Pea High 3572 130 3151 152 1596 161 1627 120 1328 143 370	151
Very	
High 4144 135 2858 148 2377 160 1509 116 2003 143 376 LSD 656 8 460 7 395 8 569 9 631 17 303	158 16

Table 2. Yield and protein of barley, and HRS wheat, and durum in 2001 and 2002 at Redvers as affected by stubble and fertilizer N rate.

Site-yi.		ora	Redvo	are		Swi	ft Curront		<u></u>
	1999	2002					2000		2002
Cereal			· ·197.58***						
Stubble	5.09**	4.14*					12.16***		
Fert	0.19	0.43	38.84***				23.42***	1.34	9.74**
Cereal*Stubble	0.17	0.43	0.61	0.94	0.46	0.38	0.95	0.95	4.7**
Cereal*Fert	0.24	2.36	19.18***		1.23	0.91	1.33	1.52	0.8
Stubble*Fert	0.24	0.26	0.31	0.29	0.27	0.71	1.07	0.72	0.45
Cereal*Stubble*Fert		0.20	0.57	0.29	0.27	0.48	0.53	0.83	0.45
Cerear Stubble Fert	0.14	0.12	0.57	0.51	0.44	0.40	0.55	0.85	0.85
				Yiel	d (kg ha	-1)			
Cereal						,			
Barley	2926	3160	3715	1565				1767	945
HRS Wheat	2656	4242	2418	1384	1921	3222	2240	1436	
CWES Wheat	3751								
CPS Wheat					2119	3564	2670		
Durum			1836	625		2921	2706	1450	1012
LSD	314	468	302	264	163	177	106	78	67
Stubble									
<u>Stubble</u>	2922	3116							
Barley Bean		3930	 2764						
				 1104	1905	 2875		 1626	
Coriander	3299	3848	2585 2325	1194 831	1805 1790	2875 3023	2248 2040	1636 1703	1019 851
Durum	 3156	 4132	2323 2636	1433	190	3629	2040 2771	1705	831 900
Fenugreek Chickpea	2255	4132		1455	2022	3029 3149	2771	1594	1155
Lentil	3350	3691	2496	1395	2022	3340	2734 2731	1384	995
Mustard	3220	3647	2490 2554	1393	1968	3205	2731 2451	1423	993 913
Pea	3576	3544	2334 3236	983	2356	3430	2431 2777	1508	1014
LSD	399		3230		202				
LSD	399	519	551	295	202	229	151	120	120
Fert									
Low	3051	3652	2413		1903	3024	2234	1505	925
Med	3124	3780	2900	1099	2045	3250	2627	1537	922
High	3158	3672		1284	2112	3433	2755	1612	1087
LSD	314	478	295	255	171	181	106	78	79
*** n < 0.001 ** n	$< 0.01 \cdot *$	n < 0.0	5 + n < 0	1					

Table 3. Effects of cereal, stubble, and fert. N and their interactions on grain yield in all site-yr.

*** $p \ < 0.001;$ ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05; + p < 0.1

			1998			1999			2000			2001		20	002
Stubble	N Rate	CPS Wheat	HRS Wheat	Durum	CPS Wheat	HRS Wheat	Durum	CPS Wheat	HRS Wheat	Durum	Barley	HRS Wheat	Durum	Barley	Durum
Coriander	Hig	1677 2088	1802 1689	1670 2070	3538 2890	2489 3133	2730 2694	1665 2343	1618 1885	1997 2676	1827 1892	1422 1438	1415 1576	811 890	1048 1061
Durum	h Low Med Hig h	1874 1624 1979 2018	1697 1649 1649 1818	 1772 1669 	3358294031233815	2675 2992 2987 2998	2420 2299 2967 3078	2798 1844 2138 2267	2405 1464 1955 2382	2841 1783 2255 2268	1702 1666 1846 2236	1827 1269 1535 1370	1625 1589 2243 1573	985 802 984 1168	1320 686 701 762
Fenugreek	Low Med Hig h	1797 1999 2444	1687 1955 1829	1987 1929 	4046 3787 4407	3195 3360 3912	3125 3183 3644	2873 2591 3179	2127 2647 2655	2807 3235 2824	1630 1615 1652	1258 1267 1416	1201 1087 1424	954 931 1030	919 722 847
Chickpea	Low Med Hig h	1924 1920 2206	1921 2221 1942	2078 2045 	3148 3227 3842	2572 2866 3628	2888 3002 3167	2594 2879 3509	1822 2608 2654	2395 3218 3105	1823 1617 2215	1668 1414 1600	1588 1234 1094	1006 1039 1072	1165 1118 1528
Lentil	Low Med Hig h	2333 2483 2481	2087 2083 2030	2332 2241	3424 3894 3729	3225 3507 3723	2870 2781 2908	2821 2814 2828	1923 2419 2455	2531 3272 3514	1340 1779 1797	1496 1151 1327	1231 1336 1354	863 837 1169	904 1060 1139
Mustard	Low Med Hig h	2065 1951 2216	1709 1927 1938	1841 1926 	3201 3599 3709	2817 3588 3408	2579 3116 2822	2371 2652 2762	1706 2395 2219	2394 2755 2803	1587 1495 1875	1418 1502 1472	1365 1835 1565	742 672 995	1034 984 1054
Pea	Low	2239	2132	2289	3841	2769	2822	3074	2632	2471	1622	1801	1390	855	1165

Table 4. Grain yield for barley, CPS wheat, HRS wheat, and durum by stubble and fert. N rate for 1998-2002 at Swift Current.

	Med	2460	2225	2420	3770	3751	2973	2887	2718	2834	1908	1180	1322	924	986
	Hig h	2721	2359		3620	4078	3247	3176	2347	2856	1993	1320	1408	1114	1040
LSD		444	274	305	858	554	651	489	417	396	415	310	362	212	183

at Canora by year, stubble, crop, and fert. N rate.								
						200)2	
		D 1	CWES	HRS	л	1	UDC	XX 71 4
		•	Wheat			ley		Wheat
C4bhla	N Data	Yield	Yield $(1 \times 1 \times 1^{-1})$	Yield		Protein $(a_1 a_2^{-1})$		Protein $(a \ln a^{-1})$
Stubble	N Rate		(kg ha^{-1}))	(kg ha	$(g kg^{-1})($	kg na)(g kg)
	Low	2666	2547	3738	2433	128	3599	131
Barley	Med.	2365	2807	3167	3088	125	3394	131
Dancy	High	2303	2948	3307	2438	132	3745	139
	mgn	2750	2740	5507	2450	152	5745	157
	Low				3608	126	4379	137
Bean	Med.				3611	126	4264	140
	High				3233	129	4484	142
	U							
	Low	3114	2233	4298	2766	134	4419	140
Coriander	Med.	3305	2547	4136	3553	132	4858	141
	High	3229	3024	3802	2858	135	4636	144
Fenugree	Low	2756	2330	3921	3668	128	4690	143
k	Med.	2621	3002	4115	3702	128	4530	143
ĸ	High	3141	2766	3964	3450	132	4751	145
	Low	2083	1864	2714				
Chickpea		2083	2081	2478				
Спіскреа	High	2002	2178	2693				
	mgn	2002	2170	2075				
	Low	2756	2612	4050	3223	128	4344	142
Lentil	Med.	3518	3089	3932	3407	133	4057	141
	High	3268	3013	3910	3107	131	4012	143
	U							
	Low	2983	2655	3824	2958	125	4140	141
Mustard	Med.	2902	2699	3996	3210	126	4070	139
	High	3254	2644	4018	3059	124	4442	143
	-	0.50 5	2100	1001		100	0 0 7 -	1.10
D	Low	3536	3190	4201	2926	133	3976	142
Pea	Med.	3626	2798	4223	3308	133	3865	142
	High	3377	2961	4276	2760	133	4429	143
LSD		925	970	1054	797	7	797	6
		145	710	1004	171	1	171	0

Table 5. Grain yield and protein in 1999 and 2002 of barley, CWES wheat, HRS wheat
at Canora by year, stubble, crop, and fert. N rate.

	Canora	Rec	lvers	Swift Current						
	2002	2001	2002	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002		
Effect				F st	atistic					
Cereal	87.69***	9.47**	245.44**	*97.93***	19.47***	*111.75***	20.86***	329.26***		
Stubble	2.7*	0.45	0.7	3.91**	3.51**	45.16***		3.13**		
Fert	2.17	16.07***	1.48	46.05***	0.35	212.69***	101.57***	10.94***		
Cereal*Stubble	1.21	0.62	0.41	0.88	0.52	2.19*	1.77 +	1.82		
Cereal*Fert	0.09	5.69*	0.3	5.36**	4.13**	3.6**	2.67*	4.33*		
Stubble*Fert	0.24	0.13	0.5	0.33	0.78	1.19*	1.21	1.15		
Cereal*Stubble*Fert	0.16	0.34	0.51	0.45	0.75	0.66	0.67	0.96		
				Protein	n (g kg ⁻¹))				
Cereal										
Barley	130	140	117				142	118		
HRS Wheat	141	147	145	150	127	122	152			
CPS Wheat				131	109	105				
Durum		155	148		116	110	147	134		
LSD	2	4	3	7	6	1	7	1		
<u>Stubble</u>										
Barley	131									
Bean	133	147								
Coriander	138	146	138	133	120	101	140	124		
Durum		152	134	145	111	110	135	125		
Fenugreek	137	149	137	138	123	114	152	126		
Chickpea			136	141	112	111	155	123		
Lentil	136	147	138	148	125	120	156	127		
Mustard	133	144	136	139	112	104	141	128		
Pea	138	147	138	136	117	125	151	129		
LSD	3	6	4	8	8	2	7	2		
<u>Fert</u>										
Low	134	142		132	116	101	137	125		
Med	134	153	137	135	118	111	147	124		
High	137		136	153	117	125	157	129		
LSD	2	3	2	7	7	1	7	2		

Table 6. Effects of cereal, stubble, and fert. N and their interactions on grain protein in all site-yr.

*** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05; + p < 0.1

			1998			1999			2000					20	002
Stubble	N Rate		HRS Wheat	Durum	CPS Wheat	HRS Wheat	Durum	CPS Wheat	HRS Wheat	Durum	Barley	HRS Wheat	Durum	Barley	Durum
	Ŧ										105		120		
	Low	122	128	125	115	137	124	89	102	89 87	125	134	128	117	134
Coriander	Med Hig	112	144	128	105	135	123	88	113	95	132	147	134	119	126
	h	138	155		121	114	107	100	128	103	148	157	151	119	131
	Low	135	141	154	107	129	102	93	104	95	114	134	117	116	134
Durum	Med	129	151	157	96	116	115	102	118	106	136	140	122	117	128
Durum	Hig														
	h	147	167		118	115	99	116	129	128	143	155	150	120	137
	Low	128	138	139	121	136	125	96	111	94	136	147	144	118	131
Fenugreek		127	138	134	106	128	140	109	121	107	152	156	154	118	130
renugieek	Hig														
	h	136	163		114	131	110	118	140	132	156	163	161	120	142
	Low	131	134	135	93	120	109	91	105	96	138	151	146	118	126
Ch's Lange	Med	126	142	141	107	111	118	101	122	109	152	158	164	116	122
Chickpea	Hig														
	h	146	169		104	134	111	114	136	122	157	162	171	119	139
	Low	138	146	143	115	134	114	113	116	103	141	154	150	116	133
T (1	Med	129	159	153	108	134	145	113	130	111	151	162	150	117	136
Lentil	Hig	122	107	100	100	101	110	115	150		101	102	10)	117	150
	h	147	167		123	129	128	123	139	132	157	160	169	121	141
	Low	120	137	133	109	117	89	89	106	90	117	136	131	118	140
	Med	119	148	155	97	141	113	92	113	99	142	148	128	120	140
Mustard	Hig	117	140	151)1	1 - 1	115)2	115		172	140	120	120	154
	h	146	167		109	127	109	107	127	117	147	162	154	120	137
Pea	Low	117	139	123	116	122	101	104	123	111	135	145	143	119	135
I Cu	LOW	11/	157	123	110	122	101	107	123	111	155	175	175	117	155

Table 7. Grain protein for barley, CPS wheat, HRS wheat, and durum by stubble and fert. N rate for 1998-2002 at Swift Current.

	Med	115	149	137	93	131	125	112	133	133	150	149	157	120	137
	Hig h	134	163		114	126	121	125	143	144	155	162	165	119	141
LSD		13	12	12	18	11	25	6	6	8	8	10	14	15	8

		D 1			0	:6 G		
	Canora					vift Current		
	2002			1998			2001	
Effect								
Cereal	193.14***					4.47*	2.61 +	
Stubble	4.86**	4.87**				26.82***		4.42**
Fert	0.4	45.84***	8.67*	21.07***	4.07*	86.65***	14.17***	13.69***
Cereal*Stubble	0.82	0.98	1.53	0.47	0.4	0.54	0.75	4.64**
Cereal*Fert	2.33	19.8***	9.13**	1.02	2+	2.15 +	1.6	0.59
Stubble*Fert	0.25	0.31	0.26	0.33	0.88	0.78	0.76	0.58
Cereal*Stubble*Fert	0.13	0.51	0.81	0.27	0.6	0.72	0.86	1.05
			0	ain N (k	g ha ⁻¹) -			
Cereal				× •	<i>,</i>			
Barley	58	73	28				36	16
HRS Wheat	93	57	32	45	64	43	34	
CPS Wheat				43	61	44		
Durum		44	14		53	47	33	21
LSD	9	5	6	2	5	2	2	1
<u>Stubble</u>								
Barley	62							
Bean	79	60						
Coriander	80	56	27	37	54	35	34	19
Durum		52	17	40	53	35	34	16
Fenugreek	85	59	30	41	69	49	32	17
Chickpea			22	44	55	48	37	22
Lentil	76	55	30	51	65	51	34	19
Mustard	74	54	27	43	57	40	33	18
Pea	74	71	20	50	63	54	35	20
LSD	11	7	6	3	6	2	2	2
Fert								
Low	74	51		39	56	35	31	17
Med	77	65	22	43	60	45	34	17
High	76		27	50	63	53	38	21
LSD	10	5	5	2	5	2	2	1
*** = < 0.001. ** =				-	2	-	-	

Table 8. Effects of cereal, stubble,	and fert. N and their interactions on grain N u	ıptake in
all site-yr.		

 $\hline *** p \ < 0.001; \ ** p < 0.01; \ * p < 0.05; \ + p < \ 0.1$

1330 2002)	Number	Yield	Protein	Grain N
Stubble	of site-yr	(kg ha^{-1})	$(g kg^{-1})$	(kg ha^{-1})
		Barle		
Coriander	5	130	-2	0
Fenugreek	5	66	5	2
Lentil	5	91	6	4
Mustard	5	-26	-1	-2
Pea	5	207	2	4
LSD		246	6	6
		HRS W	heat	
Coriander	7	428	3	11
Fenugreek	7	597	5	15
Lentil	7	423	10	13
Mustard	7	519	4	13
Pea	7	562	7	15
LSD		243	5	6
		Duru	m	
Coriander	7	168	-4	2
Fenugreek	7	204	4	5
Lentil	7	305	9	9
Mustard	7	213	-1	4
Pea	7	220	9	8
LSD		211	6	4

Table 9. Grain yield, protein, and N uptake difference relative to cereal stubble for all common stubbles pooled across site-yr (Canora 2002, Redvers 2001-02, Swift Current 1998-2002) for the medium fertilizer N rate.

	Swift C	urrent 1998	-2002.							
	Yield			Protein	Grain N					
	Intercept ¹	Slope	Intercept	Slope	Intercept	Slope				
Stubble	kg ha⁻¹	kg (kg N) ⁻¹	g kg⁻¹	$(g kg^{-1})(kg N)^{-1}$	kg N ha⁻¹	$(kg N) (kg N)^{-1}$				
				Barley						
Bean	251*	8.4	-2.5	0.39	2.3	0.27				
Cereal	-108	8.5	-0.2	0.00	-2.1	0.22				
Coriander	-50	4.7	0.7	0.51	-1.7	0.17				
Fenugreek	53	6.1	4.4*	0.35	1.6	0.19				
Chickpea	51	6.1	4.1	0.37	1.5	0.15				
Lentil	-56	10.0	4.1 0.33		-0.4	0.33*				
Mustard	-86	9.5	-2.4	0.00	-3.2	0.31				
Pea	47	4.0	3.2	0.00	1.3	0.19				
	HRS Wheat									
Bean	971*	13.2	41.3*	0.24	25.2*	0.41				
Cereal	20	7.9	-3.0	0.36	0.6	0.27				
Coriander	298*	9.3	15.4	0.32	8.3*	0.31				
Fenugreek	524*	10.6	58.9*	0.39	13.8*	0.34				
Chickpea	263*	13.7	40.0*	0.51	6.1*	0.43				
Lentil	418*	9.4	83.3*	0.35	12.6*	0.34				
Mustard	344*	9.1	29.3	0.37	8.9*	0.30				
Pea	537*	2.6	65.1*	0.33	14.2*	0.16				
	Durum									
Cereal	-119	-0.5	0.1	0.12	-2.1	0.00				
Coriander	55	-2.4	-2.7	0.19	0.9	-0.04				
Fenugreek	188*	-2.7	4.9	0.25	5.2*	-0.02				
Chickpea	122*	2.6	2.9	0.37	2.7*	0.14				
Lentil	208*	-0.7	9.8*	0.31	7.4*	0.07				
Mustard	101*	-2.5	-1.4	0.27	1.6	0.02				
Pea	186*	-8.3	7.8*	0.37	6.6*	-0.09				

Table 10. Regression slope and intercept of relative grain yield, protein, and N uptake
(all relative to cereal stubble at medium N rate) against N rate for barley,
HRS wheat, and durum pooled across Canora 2002, Redvers 2001-02, and
Swift Current 1998-2002

* indicates significantly different than cereal stubble at p=0.10

	Cereal											
	Barley			Hard Red Spring Wheat			Durum					
	Fertilizer N Rate											
Stubble	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High			
				- Probability ((%) >120 g/kg	g protein						
Cereal	40	50	50	71	63	75	50	71	83			
Coriander	60	50	50	71	75	75	83	86	67			
Fenugreek	60	67	67	71	88	88	60	60	75			
Lentil	60	67	67	71	88	88	67	71	100			
Mustard	40	50	50	57	75	88	67	71	67			
Pea	60	50	50	86	88	88	67	100	83			
				- Probability ((%)>130 g/kg	g protein						
Cereal	20	33	50	43	63	63	50	43	67			
Coriander	40	50	33	57	75	63	33	43	67			
Fenugreek	40	33	33	71	63	88	67	71	83			
Lentil	20	50	33	71	75	75	67	86	83			
Mustard	20	33	17	57	75	63	67	57	67			
Pea	60	50	33	57	88	75	50	86	83			
				- Probability ((%)>140 g/kg	g protein						
Cereal	20	17	17	14	38	38	33	43	50			
Coriander	20	0	17	14	63	63	17	29	33			
Fenugreek	20	33	17	29	50	75	33	57	67			
Lentil	20	33	17	43	63	63	50	71	67			
Mustard	0	17	17	14	63	63	33	43	83			
Pea	0	17	17	29	63	75	33	43	83			

Table 11. Probability of achieving specific grain protein concentrations for barley, HRSwheat, and durum as affected by stubble type and fertilizer N rate for Canora 2002,Redvers 2001-02, and Swift Current 1998-2002