

## Influence of Tillage, Crop Residue, Controlled-Release N Fertilizer and Liquid Swine Manure Management on Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Saskatchewan, Canada

S.S. Malhi<sup>1</sup>, R.L. Lemke<sup>2</sup>, J.J. Schoenau<sup>3</sup>, F. Selles<sup>4</sup>, C.A. Grant<sup>4</sup>, D. Leach<sup>1</sup>, M. Stumborg<sup>5</sup>, D. James<sup>5</sup> and D. L. Hahn<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Melfort, Saskatchewan, Canada (E-mail: [sukhdev.malhi@agr.gc.ca](mailto:sukhdev.malhi@agr.gc.ca));

<sup>2</sup>AAFC, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Soil Science, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada;

<sup>4</sup>AAFC, Brandon, Manitoba, Canada; <sup>5</sup>AAFC, Swift Current, Saskatchewan, Canada

### Tillage and Straw Management Experiment

#### Background


- Long-term return of crop residues to soil and elimination of tillage (ZT) increases organic C and N in soil. This may also increase the potential for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

#### Materials and Methods

- ✓ An 8-year barley-pea-wheat-canola rotation experiment was established in 1998 at Star City (Gray Luvisol – Boralf).
- ✓ Treatments were **two tillage systems** (zero tillage, ZT and conventional tillage, CT), **two levels of straw** (straw retained, and straw removed) and **four levels of N fertilizer** (0, 40, 80 and 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>; no N to pea).

#### Summary of Results

- The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were higher in treatments receiving N fertilizer than the zero-N treatments.
- The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were substantially higher in CT plots than ZT where fertilizer N was applied.



Estimated cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O-N loss for various treatments during the period March 28 to June 5, 2000 and April 23 to August 9, 2001 at Star City, Saskatchewan.


## Alfalfa Termination Method and Timing

### Materials and Methods

- ✓ Stand termination treatments were initiated on a 7-yr old alfalfa stand in summer 2003 at Star City (Gray Luvisol - Boralf) in a 5-yr study.
- ✓ The 36 treatments were **3 x 3 x 4 factorial** combinations of **3 methods of termination** (herbicide – NT, tillage and herbicide + tillage), **3 times of termination** (after first cut, after second cut and spring) and **4 rates of N** (0, 40, 80 and 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>).
- ✓ Herbicides used were Lontrel + 2,4-D and Glyphosate + 2,4-D.

### Summary of Results

- Mean cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O loss ranged from 220 to 420 g N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2004 (first growing season), and 330 to 730 g N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2005.
- In 2004, N<sub>2</sub>O loss tended to be lower with termination after cut 1 than the other termination times, and emissions from stands terminated by tillage were significantly higher than those terminated by herbicides.
- In 2005, N<sub>2</sub>O loss was highest from the herbicide treatments and lowest from the tilled treatments.
- When 2004 and 2005 cumulative losses were combined, cumulative loss was very similar across the termination methods.



Estimated cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O-N emissions in the zero-N treatment for 3 termination methods and 3 termination times in 2004 at Star City, Saskatchewan.

## Slow Release N Fertilizers

### Background


- N losses occur due to volatilization, leaching and **denitrification**.
- Production of ammonium and **nitrate** well in advance of crop demand can contribute to accelerated losses.
- N losses can be **reduced** by **matching supply** of available N closely to crop uptake by using slow release N fertilizers.

### Materials and Methods


**1.** Control (0 N); **2.** Urea @ 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> SB in spring; **3.** Urea @ 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> SB in spring; **4.** Urea @ 90 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> SB in spring; **5.** CRU @ 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> SB in spring; **6.** CRU @ 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> SB in spring; **7.** CRU banded @ 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in fall; **8.** Urea banded @ 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in fall; **9.** Urea split @ 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> SB at seeding + 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> broadcast at tillering; and **10.** Blend application banded in spring @ 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> urea + 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> CRU.

### Summary of Results


- CRU may reduce ammonia-N emissions. Emissions are usually low, except where band-sealing is poor.
- Overall, the N<sub>2</sub>O-N losses were low and CRU tended to reduce GHG.



Effect of fall-applied N on N<sub>2</sub>O-N loss under RT during growing season (2006) at Star City, Saskatchewan.



Nitrous oxide N (N<sub>2</sub>O-N) emissions from soil as affected by timing of application for uncoated urea versus coated urea (CRU) at Star City, Saskatchewan.



Ammonia-N (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) losses from fall and spring banded CRU and urea (Brandon ZTF site, courtesy of Dr. C. Grant).

## Liquid Swine Manure

### Background

- Liquid swine manure (LSM) contains high concentrations of ammonium, which is rapidly nitrified when applied to soil.
- Nitrification not only produces N<sub>2</sub>O, but also supplies nitrate – the substrate required by denitrifiers.
- LSM provides easily decomposable organic C, this can induce anaerobiosis by stimulating O<sub>2</sub> demand, and increase the potential for soil-emitted N<sub>2</sub>O loss.


### Materials and Methods

- Long-term study was initiated in 2000 at Star City to determine the influence of application rates and frequencies/timings of LSM and to compare those N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to commercial N fertilizer.

- LSM was injected at 3000, 6000 and 9000 L ha<sup>-1</sup> for 1x (annually), 2x (after every 2 years) and 3x (after every 3 years) rates.
- Cereal/oilseeds seeded each year and harvested for yield.
- Nitrous oxide collected from early spring to late fall in 2003-2007.

### Summary of Results

- Regardless of rate and timing, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from long-term LSM treatments were higher than urea – both on a 5-year cumulative basis and as a percentage of N lost as N<sub>2</sub>O.
- Applying LSM at the 3-times rate once every third year had higher emissions than applying the manure at the 1.0X rate each year, implying that 1.0X rate is more environmentally friendly.



Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O-N emissions estimated for each of five sampling periods for various liquid swine manure (LSM) treatments (2.0X LSM treatment received applications in 2004, and 2006; 3.0X LSM treatment received applications in 2003 and 2006) at Star City, Saskatchewan.

Cumulative (5-year) emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O-N and percentage of N applied lost as N<sub>2</sub>O from various treatments from a study at Star City, Saskatchewan.

Treatment	5-year Cumulative Emission *kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	N lost as N <sub>2</sub> O-N %
Control	1.4 d	-
Urea	3.7 cd	0.6
1.0x LSM	6.5 b	1.0
2.0x LSM	4.6 bc	0.8
3.0x LSM	9.2 a	1.3

\*Values followed by the same letters are not significantly different at p = 0.05.

## **Anaerobically Digested Swine Manure**

### **Background**

- Management of animal wastes for intensive livestock operations (ILO) must be economical, environmentally friendly and socially acceptable.
- Anaerobic digestion is a promising technology that could provide a cost-effective option to manage animal waste from ILO and may reduce GHG emissions by utilizing methane produced during digestion to displace fossil-fuels and by reducing emissions during lagoon storage.

### **Materials and Methods**

- Three year study (2006-2008) at two field sites, (Swift Current and Star City) was conducted to compare agronomic performance and gaseous N loss of land-applied (injected) anaerobically digested swine manure (ADSM) to conventionally treated swine manure (CTSM).
- Barley seeded each year, and harvested for seed and straw yield.
- Nitrous oxide collected using non-static vented chambers.
- Ammonia volatilization measured using “double-sponge open-chamber” technique.

#### ***Fall:***

- CTSM and ADSM at 3x rate applied once at beginning of study (9000 and 6375 gal/ac).
- CTSM & ADSM at 1x rate applied annually (3000 & 2125 gal/ac).

#### ***Spring:***

- CTSM & ADSM at 3x rate applied once at beginning of study.
- CTSM & ADSM at 1x rate applied annually.
- UAN at 1x rate applied annually.
- Control.

### **Summary of Results**

- Ammonia losses for all treatments at Star City and for 1x application rates at Swift Current were low ( $< 1 \text{ kg yr}^{-1}$ ); more substantial ( $2\text{-}10 \text{ kg yr}^{-1}$ ) losses occurred on the 3x application rates at Swift Current.
- Ammonia loss from ADSM = CTSM except CTSM-3x rate.
- Nitrous oxide losses highest from CTSM  $>$  ADSM = UAN.

Estimated ammonia-N (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) loss over three sampling periods from various treatments at Star City and Swift Current, Saskatchewan.

Time <sup>z</sup>	N source and rate <sup>z</sup>	Star City		Swift Current	
		3-year net loss	Loss response	3-year net loss	Loss response
		g N ha <sup>-1</sup>	g N kg <sup>-1</sup> applied N ha <sup>-1</sup>	g N ha <sup>-1</sup>	g N kg <sup>-1</sup> applied N ha <sup>-1</sup>
Fall	ADSM-3x	2600 b	13 ab	5500 bc	26 cd
	ADSM-1x	1200 b	6 b	800 c	4 d
	CTSM-3x	8100 a	24 a	10300 b	31 bc
	CTSM-1x	3000 b	10 b	2100 c	7 cd
Spring	ADSM-3x	1100 b	5 b	12100 b	51 b
	ADSM-1x	1700 b	8 b	800 c	4 b
	CTSM-3x	1700 b	6 b	31400 a	107 a
	CTSM-1x	2500 b	10 ab	1500 c	6 d
	UAN	800 b	6 b	600 c	7 cd

The amounts of total N applied over three years were 214, 205, 403, 360, 257, 255, 343, 326 and 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively in the fall and spring treatments as indicated in the table (moving from top to bottom).

Estimated annual and three-year cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O-N loss from various treatments at Star City, Saskatchewan.

Application Time	N source and rate	2006	2007	2008	3-year cumulative
kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N ha <sup>-1</sup>					
Fall	ADSM-3x	2.9	1.1	3.4	7.4 (2.0) <sup>z</sup>
	ADSM-1x	1.3	2.2	2.0	5.5 (1.1)
	CTSM-3x	16.3	1.8	3.4	21.5 (4.5)
	CTSM-1x	3.6	5.9	7.3	16.8 (3.8)
Spring	ADSM-1x	1.7	2.1	2.2	6.0 (1.1)
	CTSM-1x	3.2	5.4	6.7	15.3 (3.7)
	UAN	1.1	1.1	2.3	4.5 (0.7)
	Control	0.8	0.8	1.6	3.2

<sup>z</sup>In brackets, the values represent the percentage of applied N lost.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank: GAPS/ETAA, ADF, CPIG and CGE-Inc. for financial assistance; PAMI for applying liquid swine manure; K. Strukoff, C Fatteicher, K. Hemstad-Falk, C. Nielsen, D. Schick, J. Scott, K. Montgomery and K. Hemstad-Falk for technical help; Dr. R. Farrell, University of Saskatchewan, for GHG analyses; Erin Cadieu for printing the poster.