

Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada



Genotype, row spacing and seeding rate effects on irrigated short-season soybean in southern Alberta

Thai, T.N.T^{1,2}, Larney, F.J.², Thomas J.E.¹, Bandara M.S.³, Pauly, D.G⁴ and LeRoy D.⁵

¹Dept. of Biological Sciences, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB ²Agriculture & Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge Research Centre, Lethbridge, AB ³Alberta Agriculture & Forestry, Crop Diversification Centre South, Brooks, AB ⁴Alberta Agriculture & Forestry, Lethbridge, AB

⁵ Dept. of Economics, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB

berta

Soils and Crops Workshop, March 6, 2017







Statistics, Canada, 2016; Alberta Government, 2016 And Fabian Farms, 2016



Statistics, Canada, 2016; Alberta Government, 2016 And Fabian Farms, 2016

Growing Soybean in Alberta PROS ? CONS

Pros:

- **Varieties are NOW available for low CHU conditions**
- **Potential market**
 - Pulse crop growing awareness (soil conservation, human health, etc.)

Cons:

- Varieties: requires at least 2200 2450 CHU
- Irrigated land
- Warm season: Soil temperature >10°C to germinate; short-day to flower and frost-free day > 100 days;
 - No agronomic practices for soybean!!!
 - Soybean yield is still LOW!!!!

Objectives of the research

Determining the optimum:

- Genotype
- Seeding density
- Row spacing

For a higher soybean seed yield in southern Alberta



Materials and methods



- 3 seeding densities
 (30, 50 and 80 seeds m⁻²)
 2 row-spacings
 (Narrow: 17.5 cm; Wide: 35 cm)
 2 RR soybean cultivars
 - Irrigated land
 - 2 locations (Bow Island and Lethbridge)
 - > 2 years (2014, 2015)
 - RCBD design
 - PROC MIXED analysis

Effect of genotype, row spacing & seeding density on soybean yield

		Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
Environment	2014_Bow Island	2895	4208	168	28	196
BE	2015_Bow Island	2390	4143	149	30	181
OVERULED	2014_Lethbridge	2785	4598	166	33	199
	2015_Lethbridge	2438	5041	142	39	182
	P-value	<.001	0.01	0.005	NS	NS
Environment*Genotype		0.001	NS	0.002	0.01	NS
Environment*Row spacing		<.001	0.03	<.001	0.005	<.001
Seeding Density*Genotype*Row spacing		NS	0.007	NS	NS	NS

Effect of genotype, row spacing & seeding density on soybean yield

		Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
Genotype	Co-op F045R #3	2615	4682	160	37	197 A
	NSC Tilston	2639	4313	153	28	182 B
	P-value	NS	<.001	0.003	<.001	<.001
Environment*Genotype		0.001	NS	0.002	0.01	NS
Environment*Row spacing		<.001	0.03	<.001	0.005	<.001
Seeding Density*Genotype*Row spacing		NS	0.007	NS	NS	NS

Effect of genotype, row spacing & seeding density on soybean yield

		Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain N uptake (kg ha ^{_1})	Straw N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
Row spacing	Wide (35 cm)	2610	4541	157	33	190
OVERULED	Narrow (17.5 cm)	2644	4455	156	32	189
	P-value	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Environment*Genotype		0.001	NS	0.002	0.01	NS
Environment*Row spacing		<.001	0.03	<.001	0.005	<.001
Seeding Density*Genotype*Row spacing		NS	0.007	NS	NS	NS

Effect of genotypes, row spacings & seeding density on soybean yield

		Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw N uptake (kg ha ^{_1})	Total N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
Seeding density	30 seed m ⁻²	2303 C	3922	136 C	28 B	163 C
	50 seed m ⁻²	2612 B	4415	154 B	30 B	185 B
	80 seed m ⁻²	2965 A	5156	179 A	40 A	220 A
	P-value	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
Environment*Genotype		0.001	NS	0.002	0.01	NS
Environment*Row spacing		<.001	0.03	<.001	0.005	<.001
Seeding Density*Genotype*Row spacing		NS	0.007	NS	NS	NS

Environment x Genotype interactions on grain yield, grain N uptake and straw N uptake



Environment x Row spacing interactions on

grain yield, straw yield, grain N uptake, straw N uptake and total N uptake



Seeding density x Row spacing x Genotype interaction on Straw yield



Wide row (35 cm); Narrow row (17.5 cm)

CONCLUSIONS

Genotypes:

 It is recommended to plant C3 at Bow Island and N3 at Lethbridge for GRAIN YIELD but consider C3 for a higher N UPTAKE.

Row spacing: INCONSISTENT results

- Narrow row yielded more than wide row 229 kg grain ha⁻¹ and 28 kg N ha⁻¹ at Lethbridge in 2014;
- Wide row yielded more than narrow row from 216 kg grain ha⁻¹ and 21 kg N ha⁻¹ at Lethbirdge in 2015.

Seeding rates:

 Maximum yield (2965 kg ha⁻¹; 220 kg N ha⁻¹) was attained at the densities of 80 plants/m²

➔ Soybean production in Southern Alberta would benefit from high planting densities but this may also increase production costs associated with greater seeding rates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Great thanks to:

- *AAFC-Lethbridge:*, Andrew Olson, Kyle Shade, Newton Lupwayi, Parthiba Balasubramanian, Brett Hill
- *AF, Lethbridge:* Allan Middleton, Pat Pfiffner, Chris Hietamaa, Colin Enns, Darryle Thiessen,
- AF, Brooks: Art Kruger
- University of Lethbridge: Lorne Howey, Jason Cousin (summer student)

THANK YOU!

QUESTIONS tram.thai@uleth.ca