



Citation: Karlas T, Dietrich A, Peter V, Wittekind C, Lichtinghagen R, Garnov N, et al. (2015) Evaluation of Transient Elastography, Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Imaging (ARFI), and Enhanced Liver Function (ELF) Score for Detection of Fibrosis in Morbidly Obese Patients. PLoS ONE 10(11): e0141649. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141649

Editor: Seung Up Kim, Yonsei University College of Medicine, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Received: June 22, 2015

Accepted: October 12, 2015

Published: November 3, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Karlas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Germany, FKZ: 01EO1001 (Project No. K7-40), and by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the University of Leipzig within the program of Open Access Publishing. Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics GmbH provided technical and financial support for ELF score analysis. The funders had no role in study RESEARCH ARTICLE

Evaluation of Transient Elastography, Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Imaging (ARFI), and Enhanced Liver Function (ELF) Score for Detection of Fibrosis in Morbidly Obese Patients

Thomas Karlas^{1,2}, Arne Dietrich³, Veronica Peter⁴, Christian Wittekind⁵, Ralf Lichtinghagen⁶, Nikita Garnov^{1,7}, Nicolas Linder⁷, Alexander Schaudinn⁷, Harald Busse⁷, Christiane Prettin⁸, Volker Keim², Michael Tröltzsch², Tatjana Schütz⁴, Johannes Wiegand²*

1 IFB AdiposityDiseases, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 2 Department of Medicine, Neurology and Dermatology, Division of Gastroenterology and Rheumatology, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 3 Department of Visceral, Transplantation, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 4 IFB AdiposityDiseases, Research Area Bariatric Surgery, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 5 Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 6 Institute of Clinical Chemistry, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany, 7 Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, 8 Coordination Center for Clinical Trials, University of Leipzig, Germany

* johannes.wiegand@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

Background

Liver fibrosis induced by non-alcoholic fatty liver disease causes peri-interventional complications in morbidly obese patients. We determined the performance of transient elastography (TE), acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging, and enhanced liver fibrosis (ELF) score for fibrosis detection in bariatric patients.

Patients and Methods

41 patients (median BMI 47 kg/m²) underwent 14-day low-energy diets to improve conditions prior to bariatric surgery (day 0). TE (M and XL probe), ARFI, and ELF score were performed on days -15 and -1 and compared with intraoperative liver biopsies (NAS staging).

Results

Valid TE and ARFI results at day -15 and -1 were obtained in 49%/88% and 51%/90% of cases, respectively. High skin-to-liver-capsule distances correlated with invalid TE measurements. Fibrosis of liver biopsies was staged as F1 and F3 in n = 40 and n = 1 individuals. However, variations (median/range at d-15/-1) of TE (4.6/2.6–75 and 6.7/2.9–21.3 kPa)



design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: TK received a travelling grant from Echosens, Paris; JW received lecturer fees from Siemens Health Care (Germany), not related to the study. The authors confirm that this does not alter their adherence to all PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. and ARFI (2.1/0.7–3.7 and 2.0/0.7–3.8 m/s) were high and associated with overestimation of fibrosis. The ELF score correctly classified 87.5% of patients.

Conclusion

In bariatric patients, performance of TE and ARFI was poor and did not improve after weight loss. The ELF score correctly classified the majority of cases and should be further evaluated.

Introduction

Morbid obesity has a growing prevalence worldwide and affects both quality of life and life expectancy. Obesity is strongly associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its sequelae, which contribute to the increased morbidity and mortality in affected patients [1]. Among different treatment approaches for higher grades of obesity, bariatric surgery is the most efficient method for weight reduction and relief of hepatic fat load [2]. However, advanced liver injury is a major risk factor for complications in bariatric surgery and should therefore be excluded prior to bariatric interventions [2, 3]. Liver histology is the current reference standard for grading and staging of fatty liver disease [4], however, its clinical implementation in the evaluation of candidates for bariatric surgery is impaired by procedure associated risks and sampling errors [1, 5].

Assessment of liver stiffness as a surrogate marker for liver fibrosis is increasingly applied for non-invasive staging of patients with chronic liver diseases [6]. Transient elastography (TE) and acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (ARFI) show high accuracy for detection of advanced liver fibrosis and cirrhosis [6-10]. The development of a special transducer for obese patients (XL probe for TE) has increased the method's applicability in obese patients [11-13], and recent data promote the use of TE for characterization of liver fibrosis in candidates for bariatric surgery, who are at risk for advanced stages of NAFLD [14]. In this line, serum-based fibrosis scores represent a further alternative to liver histology [15, 16] and may be combined with elastography-based methods [17], but require validation in bariatric patients.

We performed a head to head comparison of ARFI, TE, and enhanced liver function (ELF) score in patients scheduled for bariatric surgery before and after a pre-interventional hypocaloric diet meant to reduce liver volume.

Patients and Methods

Ethical approval and informed consent

The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (University of Leipzig, register no. 205-12-02072012). All participants provided written informed consent.

Study cohort

Patients with indication for bariatric surgery due to (i) morbid obesity defined by BMI > 40kg/ m^2 or (ii) advanced obesity (BMI > 35 kg/m²) and secondary complications (type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome) with insufficient response to a conventional multimodal conservative weight reduction therapy were recruited

for a randomized controlled trial (BARO-DIET study, UTN U1111-1119-0341), which randomly investigated the effect of two different 14-day low energy diets on changes in liver volume and liver fat content prior to bariatric surgery. Exclusion criteria comprised age <18 years, pregnancy, alcohol consumption > 20 g/d, systemic steroid therapy, and severe chronic systemic diseases including malignancy.

For the present study, a subgroup of subsequent BARO-DIET patients were recruited for additional ultrasound examinations between January 2012 and April 2013. Study examinations were performed on days -15 and -1 prior to bariatric surgery and compared with intraoperative liver biopsies. In addition, a cohort of non-bariatric NAFLD patients served as reference for TE, ARFI, and ELF score applicability and diagnostic performance [18].

Liver histology

Liver tissue samples were gained by intraoperative wedge biopsies during the bariatric intervention from all study participants. Diagnosis of NAFLD or NASH and determination of the NAFLD activity score (NAS) were performed by a single expert pathologist (CW) blinded to the clinical data as described before [18]. Steatosis and fibrosis were classified according the NAS staging [19, 20].

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy and volumetry

MR examinations were performed on a 1.5-T scanner (Achieva XR, Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands) as previously described in detail before [18]. In brief, single-voxel MR spectra were acquired using a point-resolved spectroscopy (PRESS) technique. Voxels sized 8 cm³ were placed in liver segment VII avoiding larger bile ducts and vessels. MR spectra were analyzed with a commercial tool that determines the relative concentrations of hepatic lipids (LCModel 6.3, Oakville, Canada) and the liver fat content was calculated as relative hepatic fat fraction (given in %). The liver volume calculation was performed using a custom-made software tool (Matlab, MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA).

Ultrasound and elastography examinations

For assessment, patients were examined in a supine position with the right arm elevated above the head. Skin-to-liver-capsule-distance (SLD) on the measuring site was determined using a 10 MHz linear ultrasound transducer, and mechanical cholestasis was ruled out in all subjects by conventional ultrasound (4 MHz transducer). Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) was performed with transient elastography (TE; Fibroscan, Echosens, Paris, France) with either M- (in cases with SLD <25 mm) or XL-probes (for cases with SLD \geq 25 mm) according to the manufacturers recommendations. Examinations with less than 10 valid measurements or an interquartile range (IQR) >30% of the median LSM value (only in cases with liver stiffness \geq 7.1 kPa) were excluded from further analysis [21].

ARFI examinations (Acuson S2000; Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, California, USA; Software Version 350.3.044.36; convex probe 4C1, Siemens Healthcare) were performed by experienced examiners (TK, VK, MT, and JW) in the right liver lobe through the intercostal space in the same region of TE measurements as described previously [22]. In brief, the ARFI measurement box was set to an area without visible bile ducts and vessels in the B-mode image, and subsequent measurements were performed. The measurement depth from the liver capsule was between 20 and 80 mm at a region with liver parenchyma >6 cm. The shear-wave velocity was indicated in m/s and the median value of 10 valid single shots was used for further analysis. For valid ARFI results, a success rate of >60% was required. In addition, the IQR was calculated in analogy to the TE examination.

Laboratory assessment and ELF score

Blood samples were collected from all patients at both study time points. Routine liver function tests were performed immediately and patients with elevation of aminotransferases (ALT and AST) >5 times the upper limit of normal were excluded from the further examination.

For the ELF score, stored (-20°C) serum samples were analyzed in October 2013 according to the manufacturer's instructions as described in [16]. In brief, the ELF score combines quantitative serum concentration measurements of three fibrosis markers (tissue inhibitor of metallo-proteinases-1 –TIMP-1, amino-terminal propeptide of type III procollagen–PIIINP, and hyaluronic acid–HA) to a single value. The ELF score was calculated by the following equation: *ELF score* = $2.494 + \ln(C_{HA}) + \ln(C_{PIIINP}) + \ln(C_{TIMP-1})$

Non-invasive assessment of fibrosis risk in bariatric patients

Previously published cut-off values for TE and ARFI were applied for non-invasive definition of fibrosis risk. According to a recent histology controlled study in bariatric patients, subjects with LSM >7.6 kPa were classified at risk for significant liver fibrosis or cirrhosis [14]. For ARFI, no specific cut-off values have been established in the bariatric setting so far. We therefore decided to use a cut-off value of 1.35 m/s to identify patients at risk for significant liver fibrosis or cirrhosis, which corresponds to results of two meta-analyses on ARFI accuracy [8, 9].

For the ELF score, few published data on non-invasive detection of NAFLD related fibrosis are available. Moreover, published cut-off values vary according to the applied ELF algorithm [16, 23, 24]. We therefore decided to evaluate the ELF score in a non-bariatric cohort consisting of n = 48 patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD in order to establish a cut-off value for detection of significant (\geq F2) liver fibrosis. Clinical characteristics of this cohort have been previously reported in detail [18].

Statistical analysis

Ordinal and nominal data were collected in a Microsoft® Excel file. Statistical analyses were conducted by using MedCalc® 14.12 (MedCalc Software, Belgium). Data were expressed as median and range. Fisher's exact test and chi-square tests were used to test for the association of variables. Nonparametric tests were chosen to compare median values of two independent samples (Mann-Whitney U test) or groups (Kruskal-Wallis test) as well as paired samples (Wilcoxon test). P-values <0.05 indicated a significant difference. For correlation analysis, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (rho) was calculated.

TE, ARFI, and ELF score results are presented as boxplots and line charts. Diagnostic performance of the ELF score was assessed using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and the area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was calculated. Optimal cut-off values were determined according to the Youden index. The probabilities of a true-positive (sensitivity, sens.) and a true-negative (specificity, spec.) were estimated as the proportions in the non-bariatric NAFLD cohort.

Results

Characteristics of the study cohort

54 subsequent patients from the BARO-DIET study were screened for additional ultrasound examinations. Due to contraindications for BARO-DIET study examinations or diet drop-outs 13 patients were excluded. Thus, 41 cases were considered for the final analysis (Fig 1, Table 1). The randomly assigned diets resulted in a mean BMI change of -1.79 ± 0.71 kg/m² and a reduction of liver volume after 14 days of hypocaloric regimen (Table 1). This was associated with



Fig 1. Study cohort.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141649.g001

slight but significant decreases of BMI, hepatic fat content, and skin-to-liver capsule distance at the TE measuring site (<u>Table 1</u>).

Applicability and diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive methods in the bariatric patient cohort

The use of TE M probe was indicated in only one patient (<u>Table 1</u>), for whom no valid LSM could be obtained with either probe. Thus, all valid TE measurements were performed with the XL probe. Compared with ARFI, TE showed a significantly reduced applicability at both study

Table 1. Characteristics of the study cohort.

Parameter		Bariatric patients (n = 41)			
sex (f / m)					
age (years)		45.7 ± 10.2			
	before diet	after diet	Wilcoxon test		
body mass index (kg/m²)	47.2 [33.7–60.1]	46.8 [32.1–57.1]	p<0.0001		
• \leq 40 kg/m ²	2 (5%)	4 (10%)			
• > 40–45 kg/m ²	12 (29%)	13 (32%)			
• > 45–50 kg/m ²	12 (29%)	17 (41%)			
• > 50–55 kg/m ²	12 (29%)	6 (15%)			
• > 55 kg/m ²	3 (7%)	1 (2%)			
MR spectroscopy (hepatic fat fraction, %)	12.7 [0.3–34.9]	11.4 [0.5–41.4]	p = 0.0339		
liver volume (ml)	2437 [1762–4308]	2226 [1433–3464]	p<0.0001		
Skin-to-liver-capsule distance at TE site (mm)	39 [17–71]	34.7 [27–52]	p = 0.0117		
• < 25 mm	1 (2%)	0 (0%)			
• 25–35 mm	14 (34%)	22 (54%)			
• > 35 mm	26 (63%)	19 (46%)			
		Liver histology			
Fibrosis					
• F0-1		40 (98%)			
• F2-4		1 (2%)			
Steatosis					
• S1		25 (61%)			
• S2		10 (24%)			
• S3		6 (15%)			
NASH (NAS)					
• NAS ≤4		22 (54%)			
• NAS >4		19 (46%)			

TE-transient elastography; NASH-non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NAS-NAFLD activity score

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141649.t001

examinations (p<0.001, respectively; <u>Table 2</u>). TE failure (both time points) was associated with SLD > 35 mm (62 vs. 34%, p = 0.0236). However, the measuring range of individual ARFI results was high and the IQR was > 30% of the median value in 70% of cases with successful measurements.

Quantitative serum concentration measurements of the ELF score fibrosis markers could be successfully performed from all stored serum samples (<u>Table 2</u>). Blood samples for ELF score testing were not available in one (before diet) and two (after diet) patients (all histologically classified as F1 fibrosis) for logistic reasons.

Applicability and diagnostic accuracy for non-invasive estimation of liver fibrosis in the non-bariatric control group

The diagnostic performance of TE, ARFI, and the ELF score for detection of significant liver fibrosis (\geq F2) was evaluated in n = 48 patients of a non-bariatric cohort (n = 24 male; age 55.3 ±11.0 years; BMI 27.5 ± 4.3 kg/m²; n = 8 with fibrosis \geq F2). Detailed patient characteristics of this cohort have been reported before [18]. However, two individuals of this study were

Method applicability		TE ^a	ARFI	ELF score
Cases with valid results	before diet	20 (49%)	36 (88%)	40 (100%) ^b
	after diet	21 (51%)	37 (90%)	39 (100%) ^b
	serial measurements	15 (37%)	34 (83%)	38 (100%) ^b
Performance for fibrosis detection		TE	ARFI	ELF score
cut-off value		7.6 kPa	1.35 m/s	9.92
correctly classified cases (\leq F1)	before diet	15 (79%)	12 (34%)	34 (87%)
	after diet	12 (57%)	12 (33%)	33 (87%)
F3 case correctly classified?	before diet	+	+	+
	after diet	invalid	+	+

Table 2. Applicability and diagnostic value of non-invasive methods for fibrosis detection prior to bariatric surgery.

The category "correctly classified" indicates the proportion of F0-1 cases with liver stiffness measurement results below the respective cut-off values for significant fibrosis (\geq F2).

TE-transient elastography; ARFI-Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Imaging

^a According to the manufacturer's recommendation, the use of XL probe was indicated in all cases with valid measurements (skin-to-liver-capsule distance > 25 mm).

^b ELF score could be calculated from all analyzed serum specimens. However, serum samples for ELF score were not available in one (before diet) and two (after diet) cases, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141649.t002

excluded from the current analysis because they underwent bariatric treatment in their further therapeutic concept.

TE was feasible in n = 45 (94%) patients, the XL probe was used in n = 13 (29%) cases. Using the probe algorithm as recommended by the manufacturer TE correctly identified all patients with liver fibrosis \geq F2 (sensitivity 100%, specificity 100%, AUROC 1.0, cut-off 8.05 kPa). ARFI was feasible in all patients. At a cut-off value of 1.245 m/s, ARFI achieved a high diagnostic accuracy for detection of liver fibrosis \geq F2 (sensitivity 100%, specificity 100%, specificity 82.5%, AUROC 0.959). Analysis of ELF score results revealed an AUROC of 0.953 at a cut-off point of 9.92 (\geq F2: sensitivity 100%, specificity 90%).

Diagnostic accuracy for non-invasive estimation of liver fibrosis in bariatric patients

Intra-operative wedge biopsies (> 50 portal tracts) could be obtained in all patients during the bariatric intervention and served as reference standard for the non-invasive methods. Histology revealed high prevalences of steatosis (present in all patients, 39% with advanced steatosis \geq S2) and NASH (46%), but only one case (2%) with advanced F3 liver fibrosis (Table 1).

In contrast to the biopsy proven fibrosis results, variations of TE and ARFI measurements were high (median/range before and after diet): 4.6 (2.6–75) and 6.7 (2.9–21.3) kPa for TE and 2.1 (0.7–3.7) and 2.0 (0.7–3.8) m/s for ARFI, respectively (Table 2, Fig 2). Compared to TE, ARFI showed a higher rate of incorrectly classified cases before diet (p = 0.0038; Table 2). No significant changes were observed in patients with available serial measurements before and after diet (p>0.15 for ARFI and TE, respectively). In patients without advanced fibrosis (n = 40), higher median LSM values were observed in cases with SLD > 35 mm at both examinations before and after dietary intervention: TE 7.0 vs. 3.4 kPa (p = 0.003) and 7.8 vs. 5.0 kPa (p = 0.154), and ARFI 2.4 vs. 1.1 m/s (p<0.001) and 2.6 vs. 1.3 m/s, respectively.

Because TE failure was associated with high SLD values, we performed an analysis of the impact of SLD and BMI on the applicability and diagnostic accuracy of ARFI and TE. For cases without advanced fibrosis (n = 40), valid and accurate TE measurements could be optimally



Fig 2. Liver elastography in patients scheduled for bariatric surgery. Red lines indicate recommend cut-offs for detections of advanced liver fibrosis (TE) [13] and liver cirrhosis (acoustic radiation force impulse imaging, ARFI) [8]. Application of these cut-offs in patients scheduled for bariatric surgery results in overestimation of fibrosis severity in a high percentage of cases.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141649.g002

PLOS ONE

discriminated by SLD values of \leq 34.8 mm (before diet; sens. 73% and spec. 88%) and \leq 39.0 mm (after diet; sens. 92% and spec. 43%), respectively. This was associated with BMI values \leq 49.3 kg/m² (before diet: sens. 100% and spec. 64%) and \leq 47.2 kg/m² (after diet: sens. 92% and spec. 57%), respectively. In this line, accurate ARFI measurements were associated with SLD values of \leq 35.8 mm (before diet: sens. 83% and spec. 79%) and \leq 33.3 mm (after diet: sens. 83% and spec. 75%) and BMI values of > 48.1 kg/m² (before diet: sens. 57%, spec. 83%) and > 47.3 kg/m² (after diet: sens. 50%, spec. 83%), respectively.

In contrast to TE and ARFI, the ELF score had a higher specificity for exclusion of fibrosis \geq F2. Applying the cut-off value of 9.92 from the non-bariatric control group in the bariatric cohort, the ELF score correctly classified 87.5% of cases including the patient with advanced fibrosis with higher variation after dietary intervention: 9.0 [7.3–10.9] and 8.4 [7.2–11.9] (p = 0.009) (Table 2, Fig 3).



Fig 3. Performance of ELF score. The diagnostic accuracy of the ELF score for detection of advanced liver fibrosis (\geq F2) was evaluated in a cohort of nonbariatric NAFLD patients [<u>17</u>]. The calculated cut-off was applied to the bariatric cohort and correctly classified the majority of patients. Grey boxes below each bar indicate the median value.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141649.g003

Impact of steatosis and steatohepatitis on TE, ARFI, and ELF score

TE, ARFI, and ELF score values did not show a significant correlation with the histologically determined degree of hepatic steatosis before and after diet according to Spearman's rank correlation analysis. However, liver stiffness assessed by TE was marginally increased in cases with advanced steatosis (S2-3) before diet (5.7 vs. 3.9 kPa, p = 0.05), while no such effect was observed by TE after diet as well as for ARFI and ELF at both time points.

To evaluate the influence of hepatic inflammatory activity on the methods' diagnostic performance, we compared test results of patients with and without severe steatohepatitis. Patients with significant hepatocellular inflammation (NAS > 4) had higher median TE (before diet: 6.8 vs. 3.8 kPa, p = 0.011; after diet: 7.8 vs. 4.1 kPa, p = 0.043) and ARFI values (before diet: 2.47 vs. 1.31 m/s, p = 0.011; after diet: 2.40 vs. 1.65 m/s, p = 0.068) compared to cases with lower inflammatory activity (NAS \leq 4). No impact of steatohepatitis severity was observed on ELF score results (before diet: 9.12 vs. 8.91, p = 0.644; after diet: 8.38 vs. 8.53, p = 0.876).

Discussion

Pre-operative characterization of liver fibrosis is of major importance in patients scheduled for bariatric surgery, because it contributes to the peri-interventional risk assessment [2, 3] and helps to better understand the burden of liver disease in bariatric patients [25]. Liver biopsy is considered the gold standard for staging and grading of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, however, it is mostly not feasible due to procedure associated risks and sampling errors in morbid adiposity [1, 7]. Alternatively, non-invasive ultrasound techniques may be applied, which, however, are also limited by anthropometry of severely obese patients [1, 26, 27]. Our study represents the first head-to-head comparison of different modern non-invasive approaches for pre-interventional NAFLD staging in bariatric patients. In contrast to many non-bariatric cohorts and our own control group, where TE and ARFI achieved high diagnostic accuracies for detection of advanced liver fibrosis when either applied alone [6-10, 18, 26], or in combination with serum fibrosis markers [15, 17], we observed a wide variation of LSM values resulting in an overestimation of liver fibrosis in the majority of patients. This was associated with severe limitations of applicability and reproducibility (TE) and disputable validity due to high ranges of individual single LSM (ARFI). Particularly, ARFI overestimated the severity of fibrosis in the majority of patients and thus did not provide any diagnostic benefit. Even the use of the established cut-off value for detection of cirrhosis (1.80 m/s [8]) would have resulted in an incorrect fibrosis staging in more than 50% of patients. Although our histological reference standard consisted of wedge biopsies our study clearly demonstrates the limitations of elastographybased approaches in patients with morbid obesity against the background of a low prevalence of advanced fibrosis.

Our TE data are contrary to a recent study from Naveau et al. [14] who reported a good applicability and a high negative predictive value of TE for exclusion of advanced fibrosis in a cohort of bariatric patients. However, this study recruited patients with lower BMI (mean 42.3 kg/m²) and lower prevalence of NASH (33%) than we observed in our study cohort. Obesity associated anthropometric factors, especially the proportion of patients with a SLD >35 mm, have a high impact on applicability and accuracy of TE, because the XL probe acquires LSM data from measurement depths of 35 to 75 mm [11]. Short-term dietary interventions do not seem suitable to improve TE performance: although the hypocaloric regimens caused significant weight loss and associated modulation of anthropometric parameters, the conditions for ultrasound-based approaches were only slightly better after diet, which resulted in persistently unfavorable examination conditions. Our data underscore that high BMI and SLD values impede ultrasound-based diagnostic approaches. Thus, liver stiffness measurement results of

morbidly obese patients require careful interpretation even if recommended measurement quality criteria are fulfilled. In addition, NASH activity can alter liver stiffness and thus impairs accuracy of non-invasive fibrosis estimation [28]. Likewise, ARFI results can be modulated by measuring depth [29] and NASH activity [30]. This may also explain our observation of high individual ARFI IQR values, which are potentially associated with imprecise measurement results [31].

In contrast to elastography-based methods, the ELF score correctly classified fibrosis in the majority of patients although it must be acknowledged that the cut-off for significant fibrosis was transferred from a non-bariatric cohort and has not been formally proven in bariatric patients yet. Our ELF data are in line with data from patients with viral hepatitis, where the ELF algorithm reliably detected advanced liver fibrosis applying a similar cut-off value (9.8) [32]. This finding indicates that the ELF score is less influenced by obesity than liver elastography. However, we observed decreasing ELF score values after diet in the majority of patients which might be caused by effects of the diet regimen on ELF score variables (HA, PIIINP, TIMP-1). Pre-interventional hypocaloric regimens are nowadays implemented in the routine management of bariatric patients [33] and should therefore be considered as a confounding factor on non-invasive approaches for characterization of liver disease. In addition, ELF score modulation by age and hepatic inflammatory activity has also been reported [32]. Thus, the ELF score requires further evaluation, especially in the clinical context of obesity related NAFLD and NASH, before implementation in clinical practice can be recommended.

Finally, the add-on design of this study to a randomized-controlled trial did not allow a power calculation in regard of the prevalence of advanced liver fibrosis, which was low compared to reports from other bariatric cohorts [34] and hence prevented the calculation of cut-off values for TE and ARFI. Nevertheless, we believe that our data provide valuable information on the performance of non-invasive methods for the detection of liver fibrosis in a bariatric setting. They correspond to a recent report of a large cohort of diabetic patients, which described limited value of non-invasive markers within low disease prevalence settings [35]. This finding puts the clinical importance of fibrosis screening in such patient cohorts into new perspective und underlines the need for non-invasive methods with high specificity.

In conclusion, applicability and accuracy of TE and ARFI are limited in patients with morbid obesity. Considering the low prevalence of advanced liver fibrosis in our cohort, the high rate of false-positive elastography measurements demonstrates the technical limits of noninvasive liver evaluation in morbidly obese subjects. The ELF score correctly classified the majority of patients, but further research is required before biomarker-based fibrosis detection can be implemented in the clinical management of bariatric patients.

Supporting Information

S1 Dataset. The study data base is available for download. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Katrin Moritz and Sieglinde Erdmann for their dedicated support in coordinating the study examinations. We further thank Cornelia Borchers and Anja Landsmann for their support of the research grant application.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TK AD NG HB CP TS JW. Performed the experiments: TK AD VP CW RL NG NL AS HB CP VK MT TS JW. Analyzed the data: TK AD VP CW NG HB VK TS JW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: TK AD CW RL NG NL AS HB VK MT TS JW. Wrote the paper: TK NG VK JW. Research grant application: AD HB CP TS JW. Revision of the manuscript: AD VP CW NL AS HB CP MT. Provided platform for ELF-test: RL.

References

- Karlas T, Wiegand J, Berg T. Gastrointestinal complications of obesity: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and its sequelae. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013; 27: 195–208 doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> <u>beem.2013.02.002</u> PMID: <u>23731881</u>
- Lassailly G, Caïazzo R, Pattou F, Mathurin P. Bariatric surgery for curing NASH in the morbidly obese? J Hepatol. 2013; 58: 1249–51 doi: <u>10.1016/j.jhep.2012.12.026</u> PMID: <u>23333451</u>
- Runkel N, Colombo-Benkmann M, Hüttl TP, Tigges H, Mann O, Flade-Kuthe R, et al. Evidence-based German guidelines for surgery for obesity. Int J Colorectal Dis 2011; 26: 397–404 doi: <u>10.1007/</u> <u>s00384-011-1136-5</u> PMID: <u>21318299</u>
- Kleiner DE, Brunt EM. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: pathologic patterns and biopsy evaluation in clinical research. Semin Liver Dis 2012; 32: 3–13 doi: <u>10.1055/s-0032-1306421</u> PMID: <u>22418883</u>
- 5. Castera L, Pinzani M. Biopsy and non-invasive methods for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis: does it take two to tango? Gut 2010; 59: 861–6 doi: 10.1136/gut.2010.214650 PMID: 20581229
- Cosgrove D, Piscaglia F, Bamber J, Bojunga J, Correas JM, Gilja OH, et al. EFSUMB. EFSUMB guidelines and recommendations on the clinical use of ultrasound elastography. Part 2: Clinical applications. Ultraschall Med 2013; 34: 238–53 doi: <u>10.1055/s-0033-1335375</u> PMID: <u>23605169</u>
- Castera L. Noninvasive methods to assess liver disease in patients with hepatitis B or C. Gastroenterology 2012; 142: 1293–1302.e4 doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.02.017 PMID: 22537436
- Friedrich-Rust M, Nierhoff J, Lupsor M, Sporea I, Fierbinteanu-Braticevici C, Strobel D, et al. Performance of Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse imaging for the staging of liver fibrosis: a pooled meta-analysis. J Viral Hepat 2012; 19: e212–9 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2893.2011.01537.x PMID: 22239521
- Nierhoff J, Chávez Ortiz, Andrea Angelina, Herrmann E, Zeuzem S, Friedrich-Rust M. The efficiency of acoustic radiation force impulse imaging for the staging of liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis. Eur Radiol 2013; 23: 3040–53 doi: <u>10.1007/s00330-013-2927-6</u> PMID: <u>23801420</u>
- Bota S, Herkner H, Sporea I, Salzl P, Sirli R, Neghina AM, et al. Meta-analysis: ARFI elastography versus transient elastography for the evaluation of liver fibrosis. Liver Int 2013; 33: 1138–47 doi: <u>10.1111/</u> <u>liv.12240</u> PMID: <u>23859217</u>
- 11. Myers RP, Pomier-Layrargues G, Kirsch R, Pollett A, Duarte-Rojo A, Wong D, et al. Feasibility and diagnostic performance of the FibroScan XL probe for liver stiffness measurement in overweight and obese patients. Hepatology 2012; 55: 199–208 doi: <u>10.1002/hep.24624</u> PMID: <u>21898479</u>
- Wong VW, Vergniol J, Wong GL, Foucher J, Chan AW, Chermak F, et al. Liver stiffness measurement using XL probe in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2012; 107: 1862– 71 doi: <u>10.1038/ajg.2012.331</u> PMID: <u>23032979</u>
- Yoneda M, Thomas E, Sclair SN, Grant TT, Schiff ER. Supersonic Shear Imaging and Transient Elastography With the XL Probe Accurately Detect Fibrosis in Overweight or Obese Patients With Chronic Liver Disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; pii: S1542-3565(15)00299-2
- Naveau S, Lamouri K, Pourcher G, Njiké-Nakseu M, Ferretti S, Courie R, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of transient elastography for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis in bariatric surgery candidates with suspected NAFLD. Obes Surg 2014; 24:1693–701 doi: <u>10.1007/s11695-014-1235-9</u> PMID: <u>24841950</u>
- Dyson JK, McPherson S, Anstee QM. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: non-invasive investigation and risk stratification. J Clin Pathol 2013; 66: 1033–45 doi: <u>10.1136/jclinpath-2013-201620</u> PMID: <u>23940130</u>
- Lichtinghagen R, Pietsch D, Bantel H, Manns MP, Brand K, Bahr MJ. The Enhanced Liver Fibrosis (ELF) score: normal values, influence factors and proposed cut-off values. J Hepatol 2013; 59: 236–42 doi: <u>10.1016/j.jhep.2013.03.016</u> PMID: <u>23523583</u>
- Crespo G, Fernández-Varo G, Mariño Z, Casals G, Miquel R, Martínez SM, et al. ARFI, FibroScan, ELF, and their combinations in the assessment of liver fibrosis: a prospective study. J Hepatol 2012; 57: 281–7 doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2012.03.016 PMID: 22521355

- Karlas T, Petroff D, Garnov N, Böhm S, Tenckhoff H, Wittekind C, et al. Non-invasive assessment of hepatic steatosis in patients with NAFLD using controlled attenuation parameter and 1H-MR spectroscopy. PLoS One 2014; 9: e91987 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0091987 PMID: 24637477
- Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2005; 41: 1313–21 PMID: 15915461
- 20. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Diehl AM, Brunt EM, Cusi K, et al. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. Hepatology 2012; 55: 2005–23 doi: 10.1002/hep.25762 PMID: 22488764
- Boursier J, Zarski J, Ledinghen V de, Rousselet M, Sturm N, Lebail B, et al. Determination of reliability criteria for liver stiffness evaluation by transient elastography. Hepatology 2013; 57:1182–91 doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1002/hep.25993</u> PMID: <u>22899556</u>
- Karlas T, Pfrepper C, Wiegand J, Wittekind C, Neuschulz M, Mössner J, et al. Acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (ARFI) for non-invasive detection of liver fibrosis: examination standards and evaluation of interlobe differences in healthy subjects and chronic liver disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 2011; 46: 1458–67 doi: 10.3109/00365521.2011.610004 PMID: 21916815
- Nobili V, Parkes J, Bottazzo G, Marcellini M, Cross R, Newman D, et al. Performance of ELF serum markers in predicting fibrosis stage in pediatric non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 2009; 136: 160–7 doi: <u>10.1053/j.gastro.2008.09.013</u> PMID: <u>18992746</u>
- Guha IN, Parkes J, Roderick P, Chattopadhyay D, Cross R, Harris S, et al. Noninvasive markers of fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Validating the European Liver Fibrosis Panel and exploring simple markers. Hepatology 2008; 47: 455–60 PMID: <u>18038452</u>
- 25. Verna EC. Liver biopsy at the time of bariatric surgery: a benefit for patients and the medical community. Semin Liver Dis 2014; 34: 1–6 doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1371549 PMID: 24782252
- Berzigotti A, Castera L. Update on ultrasound imaging of liver fibrosis. J Hepatol 2013; 59: 180–2 doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2012.12.028 PMID: 23333447
- Wong VW, Vergniol J, Wong GL, Foucher J, Chan HL, Le Bail B, et al. Diagnosis of fibrosis and cirrhosis using liver stiffness measurement in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2010; 51: 454–62 doi: 10.1002/hep.23312 PMID: 20101745
- Yoshioka K, Hashimoto S, Kawabe N. Measurement of liver stiffness as a non-invasive method for diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatol Res 2015; 45: 142–51 doi: <u>10.1111/hepr.12388</u> PMID: <u>25040931</u>
- Potthoff A, Attia D, Pischke S, Kirschner J, Mederacke I, Wedemeyer H, et al. Influence of different frequencies and insertion depths on the diagnostic accuracy of liver elastography by acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (ARFI). Eur J Radiol 2013; 82: 1207–12 doi: <u>10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.02.011</u> PMID: 23523513
- 30. Guzmán-Aroca F, Frutos-Bernal MD, Bas A, Luján-Mompeán JA, Reus M, Berná-Serna Jde D, et al. Detection of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in patients with morbid obesity before 1bariatric surgery: preliminary evaluation with acoustic radiation force impulse imaging. Eur Radiol 2012; 22: 2525–32 doi: 10.1007/s00330-012-2505-3 PMID: 22648049
- Bota S, Sporea I, Sirli R, Popescu A, Jurchis A. Factors which influence the accuracy of acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) elastography for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Ultrasound Med Biol 2013; 39: 407–12 doi: <u>10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2012.09.017</u> PMID: <u>23245820</u>
- Fagan KJ, Pretorius CJ, Horsfall LU, Irvine KM, Wilgen U, Choi K, et al. ELF score ≥9.8 indicates advanced hepatic fibrosis and is influenced by age, steatosis and histological activity. Liver Int 2015; 35: 1673–81 doi: 10.1111/liv.12760 PMID: 25495373
- Edholm D, Kullberg J, Karlsson FA, Haenni A, Ahlström H, Sundbom M. Changes in liver volume and body composition during 4 weeks of low calorie diet before laparoscopic gastric bypass. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2014; pii: S1550-7289(14)00319-0
- Machado M, Marques-Vidal P, Cortez-Pinto H. Hepatic histology in obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. J Hepatol 2006; 45: 600–6 PMID: <u>16899321</u>
- Morling JR, Fallowfield JA, Guha IN, Nee LD, Glancy S, Williamson RM, et al. Using non-invasive biomarkers to identify hepatic fibrosis in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Edinburgh type 2 diabetes study. J Hepatol 2014; 60: 384–91 PMID: <u>24512822</u>