1 Is the Expression of Stereotypic Behavior a Performance Limiting Factor in Animals?

- 2 Jane Williams^{a*} and Hayley Randle^b
- ³ ^aPerformance in Equestrian Sport Group, Animal Science Department, Hartpury University
- 4 Centre, Hartpury College, Gloucester, UK, GL19 3BE.
- ⁵ ^bSchool of Animal and Veterinary Science, Charles Sturt University, Pine Gully Road,
- 6 Wagga Wagga 2650, New South Wales, Australia.
- 7 *Corresponding author: email: jane.williams@hartpury.ac.uk, telephone: +44 (0) 1452

8 706240; fax: +44 (0) 1452 700629

9

10 Abstract

11 Stereotypical behavior (STB) has been observed in a wide range of species regardless of its 12 classification. Despite extensive research into factors which contribute to the aetiology of STB and/or influence the expression of STB, few studies have explicitly evaluated if 13 relationships exist between stereotypical behavior and performance variables in livestock or 14 15 equine athletes. This review explores the impact of STBs on animal performance, using the horse and production animals as examples, to establish whether their expression should be 16 viewed as a positive or negative attribute by the animal industry. Emergent themes within 17 livestock and equine research suggest that individuals that exhibit STBs also demonstrate 18 impaired performance attributes which supports the proposal that STB is a negative 19 20 characteristic. Much of the empirical evidence available suggests negative environmental stressors represent a greater risk to the economic value of animals compared to STB. Within 21 22 equestrianism, stereotypic performing horses appear to react and learn in a different way to 23 non-stereotypic horses, which, in professional hands, could enhance their performance

24	potential and value, but with amateur riders could reinforce the negative associations that
25	exist. However performance is a complex phenomenon with any species and multiple
26	endogenous and exogenous factors will contribute to success at any one time. Further
27	research is required that explicitly explores how different STBs influence performance
28	variables alongside consideration of the effect of management systems and environmental
29	stressors, and their role in STB expression in both livestock and horses.
30	
31	Keywords: livestock, equine athlete, performance, production, abnormal behavior.
32	
33	Highlights
34	1. Few studies have explored the relationships between stereotypies and performance.
35	2. Stereotypical behavior reduces the economic value of livestock and equine athletes.
36	3. Stereotypical behavior appears to negatively impact production factors in livestock.
37	4. Stereotypies in the professionally managed horse, translate to enhanced performance.
38	5. More research evaluating the impact of stereotypies on animal performance is needed.

39

40 Introduction

41 Stereotypical behaviour (STB) has been observed in a wide range of species, regardless of their classification, including livestock (eg. Adenkola and Ayo, 2010) and companion 42 43 animals (dogs (Protopopova et al., 2014), parrots (Cussen and Mench, 2015), rodents (Novak et al., 2015) and horses (Albright et al. 2015)). STBs are also reported in zoo animals 44 including animals housed in managed environments (Padalino et al., 2014; Shepherdson et 45 46 al., 2013) and those kept in more natural environments such as in extensive game parks (Kiley-Worthington and Randle, 2005). Both groups of these non-domesticated animals 47 require periodic management for health and veterinary treatment or to facilitate human-48 49 animal (paying visitor) interaction (Randle and Kiley-Worthington, 2005). STB can occur in 50 a wide range of ages. They have been noted to occur from birth (Latham and Mason, 2008) as has been reported in horses (e.g. Wickens and Houpt 2015) through to old age (Qi et al., 51 52 2008), although for some species key risk times have been identified. Mason and Rushen (2008) highlight that horses/foals are at the greatest risk of developing a new form of 53 stereotypic behavior between 15 and 35 weeks, and that emergence of new stereotypies peaks 54 at 40 weeks. 55

The expression of STBs in non-human animals is often considered a visual indicator of response to environmental (Averos et al., 2014; Hemmings and Hale, 2013; Shepherdson et al. 2013) or psychological stressors (Gottleib et al., 2013; Pomerantz et al., 2012; McBride and Mills, 2012), and can also be influenced by an individual's temperament (Shepherdson et al., 2013) and personality (Ijichi et al., 2013). STBs are thought to indirectly reflect the welfare status of animals by some (e.g., Mason and Rushen, 2008). Gottlieb et al. (2013) warn that individual behavior expression cannot necessarily be used to assess welfare

63 between subjects because some individuals may express high rates of stereotypic behavior due to frustration (in the sense of not being able to gain access to a resource that may be present 64 65 in the animal's environment), whilst others may do so in order to cope with a suboptimal environment (i.e. an environment that does not provide all the animal's basic requirements). 66 Many of the associations proposed between STB and negative performance variables, such as 67 increased injury risk in horses that weave or reduced milk yields in cattle, are often not 68 69 supported by evidence of causal relationships and are largely based on assumption. This review aims to establish the impact of STB on animal performance, using production animals 70 71 and performance horses as examples, to establish whether the evidence supports if their expression should be viewed as a positive or negative attribute by the animal industry. 72

73

74 Stress

75 Stereotypical behaviour is often associated with stress in animals. Stress is defined as a biological response elicited when an individual perceives a threat to its homeostasis and the 76 77 threat that causes stress is referred to as a stressor (Moberg, 2000), the inability of animals to cope with their environment (Broom and Johnson, 1993) and unfitness to adapt to the 78 79 environment and reproduce effectively (Ewing et al., 1999). Stressors may be positive or 80 eustressors (e.g. hormones which trigger arousal/mating behavior) or negative, known as distressors (e.g. restricted environment which does not facilitate expression of normal 81 behaviors). Stressors are detected by animals' sensory systems to seemingly produce an 82 83 instantaneous biological response which may or may not be externally observable (von Borell et al., 2007). Biological reactions depend upon the recognition of the features of a stressor 84 85 and elicit a neurophysiological response which typically comprises cognitive and noncognitive elements, and include behavioral, autonomic, neuroendocrinological and/or 86

87 immunological responses (Ichiji et al., 2013). The precise nature and duration of responses to stress depend on the nature of the stressor. A stimulus/situation that is perceived (cognitive 88 89 element) as a short term threat is characterised by Sympathetic Adrenal Medullary system 90 (SAM) and Central Nervous System (CNS) activity resulting in release of the epinephrine neurotransmitter which prepares the body for action. Conversely, a stimulus/situation that is 91 perceived (cognitive element) as a longer term threat is characterised by responses indicative 92 93 of long term challenge and the initiation of a coping mechanism. In this situation the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) stress-response system is activated and results 94 95 in a sustained production of glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids which are known to enable proactive coping. Once an individual is sufficiently 'stressed' the HPA-axis becomes 96 more sensitive and more easily triggered by stressors. This is accompanied by high 97 98 sympathetic reactivity resulting in increased concentrations of catecholamines and elevated 99 parasympathetic reactivity and as a consequence impacts on individual animal performance (von Borell et al., 2007). 100

Stress is broadly understood by both scientists and lay persons to be characterised by the 101 outcomes or responses given by animals to a series of stressors. Stressors include various 102 103 aspects of the animal's internal and/or external environment that are compromising 104 homeostasis either physically and/or psychologically, and causing a disruption to what is 105 considered to be 'normal' for that species/breed/individual (Levine, 1985). Furthermore 106 Levine (1985) amongst others emphasized that various measures of an individual suffering from stress are often conflicting, for example behavioral indicators and heart rate variability. 107 Smith et al. (2016) proposed that heart rate correlates with behavioral indices of stress in 108 109 horses. Although behaviors assumed to be related to stress were seen more frequently when 110 subjects encountered negative stimuli than with positive ones, heart rate responses did not follow the same pattern. It is reasonable to suggest that Moberg's view that 'stress' was 111

112 better described as a syndrome (a group of symptoms or signs that commonly appear together) in which the visible response/s may represent varying combinations of causes 113 remains wholly applicable. Rightly or wrongly 'stress' is often implicated in the aetiology of 114 STB regardless of the species under examination and is commonly attributed, at least in part, 115 to deficiencies in general husbandry and management (mainly lack of space and direct 116 contact with conspecifics, e.g., Varadharajan et al., 2015) and/or to specific stressors within 117 118 the environments in which they/individuals are housed (e.g., Shepherdson et al., 2013; Romero et al., 2015). The critical role of stress in the development of resilience in 119 120 individuals enabling them to cope with the various challenges encountered in the course of daily life, particularly those related to their physical-, and of increased concern, their social-121 environment is emphasized by Romero et al. (2015). The expression of STB may be one way 122 123 of coping with such challenges.

124 Behavior

125 Stereotypies are often described as abnormal behaviors. Behavior can be broadly described as 'actions or reactions of an individual in response to a particular situation or stimulus' (for 126 example Grier 1984 cited by King et al., 2012) or more simply 'anything an individual does', 127 although it has also been acknowledged that the term behavior also applies when there is no 128 visible change in behavior, that is, no observable response (Randle, 1995). Although 129 130 methods of observing, recording and analysing behavior vary substantially, frequently the first sign of illness is detected through observation of changes in the 'normal' behavior of an 131 individual (Grandin, 2015). 132

There are many arguments about the status and indeed importance of the exhibition of natural behavior for species that are now under the direct management of humans. Whilst studies of individuals within the natural environments in which they evolved are useful for determining

136 and assessing if the behavioral needs of the species are met, account must also be taken of the restrictions associated with the modern-day environments in which animals/individuals are 137 kept and expected to perform. Compliance with the Five Freedoms/Five Needs ensures that 138 139 individual domesticated animals behavioral needs are considered at the very least (Brambell Report, 1965; Animal Welfare Act 2006). The main measures of environmental adequacy 140 focus on the occurrence of so called natural behaviors (without having an adverse effect on 141 142 conspecifics and herd-mates; Randle, 1995; Kiley-Worthington, 1990) and the absence of behaviors commonly believed to be indicative of stress including STBs. 143

In this paper the horse is used as a frequent example as a prey species, known to roam
extended distances daily, to spend the majority of the day grazing and to be social, that has
been subjected to what can only be considered to be extensive - severe restriction being
housed individually and often for extended periods of time. The gravity of this restriction has
been recently recognised in Switzerland where daily turn out for horses is now mandatory
and group housing strongly recommended (Swiss Animal Protection Organisation, 2016).

150

151 *Performance*

Performance has multiple definitions, including how well an individual does a piece of work 152 or an activity (Cambridge online dictionary and thesaurus, 2010), the action or process of 153 performing a task or function... capability of an entity... task or operation seen in terms of 154 how successfully it is performed (Oxford English Dictionary, 2016) or the identification of 155 specific behaviors (actions) and specific performance outcomes (goals) (Williams, 2013; 156 McGarry, 2009), and relates to humans expectations of horses (Randle, 2015). Most species 157 are expected to demonstrate performance in one way or another, for example livestock 158 159 species are required/forced to breed regularly, usually on an annual or often more frequent

basis, produce milk, meat and/or fibre depending on the commodity and consumer demand.
Zoo species are required to be able to cope with living in a fundamentally unnatural
environment, tolerate close proximity with humans albeit usually 'protected' and to breed as
part of worldwide *ex situ* conservation programmes (Caspermeyer, 2014).

For some species such as horses and dogs, performance may also be measured on an 164 165 individual's apparent ability to tolerate interaction with humans. For example breeds such as the Siamese cat, toy dogs and, to an extent, the Arabian horse, have been selectively bred to 166 tolerate and even seemingly seek human contact. There are numerous anecdotal but learned 167 sources that refer to Arabian horses as having "a good ability to form a cooperative 168 relationship with humans" and being "willing to please". Some breeds have been selectively 169 developed to be able to perform other physical work related tasks such as draught work for 170 171 example heavy horses (Drum et al., 2007). Traditionally South Devon cattle were triple purpose animals, being used for draught work in addition to producing meat and milk 172 (Randle, 1995). Huskies are also used for sled work (Wayne and von Holdt, 2012). Other 173 breeds have become fundamental to human sporting pursuits such as working and sporting 174 dogs (Cobb et al., 2015) and horses within equestrian sport (Randle, 2015; Williams, 2015). 175 176 STB is often associated with reduced economic value in livestock (Bench et al., 2013) and animals used for sport (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) due to the perception that they are 177 related to impaired performance. Historically, within the animal industry, the expression of 178 stereotypical behavior has been considered a detrimental characteristic in livestock. For 179 example Fraser et al. (2013) refer to the 10 'General Principles for the Welfare of Animals in 180 Livestock Production Systems' adopted by the World Organisation for Animal Health in 181 2012 guide the development of animal welfare standards which include reference to STBs in 182 this context. 183

Anecdotal suggestions also exist within the livestock industry relating expression of STB to 184 the reduced economic value of production animals. Yet despite this, limited research has 185 186 explicitly evaluated if this perception is accurate. In production animals STBs have been 187 associated with reduced output such as milk yields in cows (Sutherland et al., 2012; Redbo et al., 1992), impaired growth performance measures such as decreased lean muscle mass and 188 poor meat quality in pigs (Bench et al., 2013) and fleece quality (due to wool biting) in sheep 189 190 (Cooper and Jackson, 1996). Similarly STBs have been associated with reproductive fecundity in pigs where an increase in occurrence of STBs is linked with a decrease in the 191 192 number of live young produced over an individual sow's reproductive life time (von Borell et al., 2007). Therefore it is perhaps not surprising that the farmers assume that there is a lower 193 economic value for production animals that exhibit STBs compared to their non-stereotypic 194 195 counterparts.

The effect of STB on performance within animals used by humans for sporting pursuits is 196 poorly understood. No studies have examined if STB explicitly affects the performance of 197 sporting dogs; however, research has suggested that a link exists between behavioral 198 measures of welfare and ability in guide dogs (Vincent and Leahy, 1997) and explosive-199 200 finding (search) dogs (Rooney et al., 2004). For example Cao et al. (2014) demonstrated in 201 Belgian Malinois dogs that extreme circling behaviour, considered to be compulsive 202 behavior, was an external indicator of superior performance. Identification of canine 203 stereotypical behavior is uncommon amongst dog owners and within the canine industry generally, with owners more likely to consider their dog to be suffering from separation 204 anxiety or some stress-related condition (Rooney et al., 2009). Interestingly Overall and 205 206 Dunham (2002) reported canine incidence of stereotypical behaviour of 2% not dissimilar to 207 in humans. More recent data are not available. In contrast in equestrianism there is a long established culture when selling horses which recognises equine STBs and classifies them as 208

an 'unsoundness', that is a negative performance characteristic with an associated reduction
in economic value of between 31 and 59% for affected individuals (Krisová et al. 2015;
McBride and Long, 2001). Because of the industry recognition and visible nature of equine
STB research exploring why horses perform STBs, particularly those that are often linked to
performance outputs, the horse represents a suitable model to examine the impact of STB on
performance.

215

216 Production Animals

Within farming, environmental conditions such as stocking density and individual space 217 (Aguayo-Ulloa et al., 2014; Averos et al., 2014), access to food and water (Bench et al., 218 219 2013; Redbo and Nordblad, 1997), and bedding type and quantity (Texiera et al., 2014; 220 Tuttyens et al., 2005) have been demonstrated to cause stress and have been linked with variation in the expression of stereotypies across species. Each of these examples represent 221 stressors which can induce an adaptive response (positive or negative) within individual 222 animals to enable them to cope with their environment (Moberg, 2000; Broom and Johnson, 223 224 1993). Adaption is thought to be influenced by an animal's temperament or personality which will dictate if a reactive (passive response apparently not addressing the stressor or its 225 impact) or proactive (active response attempting to remove the stressor or themselves from it) 226 227 adaptation strategy is implemented (Figure 1) (Ichiji et al., 2013). Exposure to stressors stimulates a physiological stress response /responses which will depend on whether the 228 stressor is positive (improves performance: motivates an animal to overcome the challenge 229 230 presented, usually short-term) or negative (reduces performance: aversive, negative state 231 where presenting challenges are not overcome, in neither the short or long-term) and the strategy the individual adopts towards it (Ichiji et al., 2013; von Borrell et al., 2007). In 232

response to a stressor or stressor animals may demonstrate behavioral, immunological or 233 neuroendocrine changes (Figure 1) including increased expression of STB. It appears that the 234 235 physiological responses shown by animals to stress can affect the common outputs by which production performance is measured, so the expression of STB has the potential to be used as 236 viable welfare indicator in animals, with increased levels of STB synonymous with reduced 237 welfare. For example increased stereotypy expression in sows has been shown to suppress 238 239 estrus behavior and reduce sexual behavior, and has also been associated with lower piglet birth weight and the number of live births within litters when compared to non-stereotypic 240 241 peers (von Borell and Hurnik, 1990).

Interestingly, the reduced reproductive status and fecundity measures observed by von Borell 242 and Hurnik (1990) were attributed to higher levels of cortisol present in the reactive 243 244 stereotypic pigs. High levels of cortisol have been shown to occur as a result of increased and sustained HPA activity (von Borell et al., 2007). Therefore the expression of stereotypies 245 246 could also be considered to represent a visual measure of the neuroendocrine response to stress within production animals, with the resultant increase in cortisol production 247 underpinning the reduced reproductive status observed. It could be argued that there is some 248 truth in the assumption that (due to the physiological responses observed and their effects) 249 250 reduced economic value may be associated with stereotypic livestock. Yet evidence also 251 suggests that if the adverse effect of environmental stressors can be resolved, and a positive 252 environment which meets animals' needs provided, stereotypic animals' fecundity would be improved and their economic value increased (von Borell et al., 2007). 253

In intensive production systems utilized in modern farming, there is the propensity to enhance

the emergence and effect of negative environmental stressors within housing and

256 management systems. These factors can then lead to an increase in the expression of STB and

associated corticosteroid production in livestock. For example, sheep housed in intensive

systems for finishing (i.e., rearing to slaughter weight) are often kept in indoor pens with a 258 higher stocking density compared to free ranging animals which are finished by grazing in 259 paddocks (Llonch et al., 2006). Intensive systems have been associated with an increased 260 261 incidence of STB (Aguayo-Ulloa et al., 2014) and redirected behaviors (Dwyer and Bornett, 2004; Gougoulis et al., 2010) including wool biting and pulling, bar mouthing and biting, and 262 pen chewing) suggesting the sheep are reacting to the chronic stress of the restricted and 263 264 barren environment they inhabit (Fraser et al., 2013). This is not an ovine specific trait similar increases in STB have been recorded in intensively housed pigs (for example: Averos 265 266 et al., 2010) and poultry (for example: Lay et al., 2011). A similar behavior can be seen where horses run their teeth up and down metal bars comprise their stables (McGreevy et al., 267 1995). 268

269 While intensive systems can promote desirable production characteristics such as carcass homogeneity (Miranda de la Lama et al., 2010), they can also stimulate increased cortisol 270 levels in animals due to chronic stress associated with their environment (Ichiji et al., 2013). 271 Stereotypic animals will experience higher cortisol levels than their non-stereotypic 272 counterparts (Freymond et al., 2015) and those with STB may be predisposed to react more 273 274 during handling or when being transported to slaughter (Novak et al., 2015). Chronic stress has been shown to negatively affect meat quality and to reduce the economic value of a 275 276 carcass (Bench et al., 2013; Fonseca et al., 2104). In pigs, chronic stress is associated with 277 pale, soft and exudate meat rather than the preferred and higher quality dark, firm and dry meat (Adzitey and Nurul, 2011; Warriss et al., 1993). Similar properties have also been 278 reported in equine carcasses after long and stressful transport journeys prior to slaughter 279 280 (Lanza et al., 2009). Research suggests that negative environmental stressors pose a risk to 281 all animals, e.g., increasing susceptibility to illness, and as such environments where such stressors are present should be considered likely to result in reduced economic value of 282

283 livestock, regardless of the expression of STB. Sufficient evidence exists within livestock research to suggest that links exist between the environment animals inhabit, how these 284 285 environments are managed, the expression of STB and production performance measures 286 (Bench et al., 2013; von Borell et al., 2007). However it appears that managing the environments which the animals inhabit in order to reduce stress is the key factor in reducing 287 variables that adversely affect performance and production, rather than simply focusing on 288 289 STB, per se (Waran and Randle, 2017). In this interpretation the presence of STB indicates a problematic environment for the livestock. Stereotypic animals will react more to stressors, 290 291 in general, within their environment and this pattern may underlie the negative association between STB and economic value of livestock. When farmers observe STB in their livestock 292 which are subsequently sold for less money than those without STB, the potential to 293 294 perpetuate the idea that stereotypic animals represent an inferior economic investment 295 compared to non-stereotypic animals exist and releases the farmer from an obligation to further examine the putative environmental contributors over which he has control. This 296 anecdotal view of STB animals could represent a lack of understanding within the livestock 297 industry of how management systems can positively or negatively affect welfare parameters, 298 299 including expression of STB. Future studies are required that explicitly explore the relationships between STBs and different management systems, and how these influence the 300 expression of stereotypies and production measures such as milk yield, reproduction and 301 302 meat quality to inform farming practices.

303

304 Sporting animals: the horse

At the present time there are 944,000 horses in Great Britain (BETA, 2015). Substantial
expectations are placed on horses by humans regardless of their intended use (Table 1). To

307 meet these expectations horses will need to adapt or suppress their natural behavior to demonstrate the required performance related outcomes, in addition to learning behaviors that 308 may be outside of their natural behavioral repertoires. For example, to facilitate ridden work 309 310 regardless of equestrian disciplines, the horse must *learn* to suppress its natural behavior which would be to remove the human from its back. Horses used for competition-related 311 performance are also subjected to specific management regimes which usually integrate some 312 313 form of physical restriction. For example, competition horses are usually stabled for long periods with restricted turnout and forage intake compared to their free-ranging or semi-feral 314 315 counterparts (Kiley-Worthington, 1990; Sarrafachi and Blokhuis, 2013; Williams, 2013). Many of these horses, e.g., race horses, are also maintained on an unnaturally high plane of 316 nutrition. These horses may experience physical and/or social and/or psychological 317 318 restriction (Kiley-Worthington, 1990, 2005). These restrictions may also be due to unavoidable constraints of those responsible for the horses such as restricted access to 319 pasture, especially in poor weather. 320

In many circumstances horses may be managed and especially housed in a particular way that 321 is traditional/expected for that type of horse, as defined by the individual's type and/or 322 323 function/purpose. Table 1 describes the physical and mental expectations associated with a 324 range of equitation disciplines and how performance based on discipline expectations may be 325 measured. For example, dressage horses traditionally experience limited turn out for fear of 326 injury. Ideally horses would be housed and managed in a way that ensured that individuals can express the range of natural behaviors outlined within the Animal Welfare Act 2006. In 327 reality, if a detailed assessment were to be conducted against a framework of basic criteria 328 329 with the aim of ensuring the animal's basic needs will be met, the goal of the Welfare Act is 330 unlikely to be achieved. It is likely that the modern competition horse will experience stressors within their *normal* environment which could place them at risk of developing 331

stereotypies. The Horse Welfare Wageningen Project (2012) (and associated analysis guide)
outline a comprehensive set of horse behaviors and physical signs that can be investigated
and recorded in order to determine the impact of management systems on individual horses
behavior. This multifactorial approach also includes data generated on the occurrence of
abnormal behaviors.

337 In horses, the occurrence of abnormal behavior, i.e., behaviors that are traditionally referred to as stable vices (defined by the Oxford Dictionary as bad or neurotic habits of stabled 338 horses, typically arising as a result of boredom, OED, 2016), but in more contemporary 339 literature are referred to as stereotypical. Stereotypical behavior is defined broadly as the 340 persistent repetition of an act, especially for no obvious purpose and which can be exhibited 341 at a number of levels (in its early development in response to identifiable cause/s, mid-342 development where it has become a reliable response in the presence of its cause/s, or late 343 development where the STB becomes emancipated from the cause, i.e., it occurs in the 344 absence of its cause (see also Mills and Nankervis, 1999). 345

Any horse that is sold should be deemed fit and any unsoundness declared either by the 346 vendor or by an independent veterinary professional (usually employed by the potential 347 purchaser). An unsoundness is defined as a performance limiting factor - for example 348 lameness or respiratory dysfunction - which adversely affects an individual horse's ability to 349 350 function effectively in the role assigned to it (e.g., as a leisure horse or racehorse). The exhibition of an aberrant behavior may be considered an example of unsoundness. Declaring 351 any unsoundness inevitably results in a reduction in the value of a horse at least to a certain 352 extent (e.g., Krisová et al. 2015). STBs in horses are anecdotally linked to poor performance 353 (McBride and Hemmings, 2009; Fraser and Broom, 1990; Ralston, 1982; Wickens and 354 Heleski, 2010), impaired ability to learn (Hemmings et al. 2007) and an increased risk of 355 injury (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) or a predisposition to certain forms of injury due to 356

physical consequences of repetitive physical movements associated with the STB (e.g.,weaving).

359 As with production animals, the expression of STBs has been reported to reduce the economic value of sports horses (Krisová et al. 2015; McBride and Hemmings, 2009). This 360 loss is due to perceived performance limiting factors associated with STBs. Many horse 361 362 owners believe STBs are contagious, and so do not wish to have a stereotypic horse on the yard (Sarafichi and Blokhuis, 2013; McBride and Long, 2001). Interestingly, owners who 363 364 have had direct experience of horses that exhibit STB maintain that STBs do not negatively affect performance, and that performance based measures and values are equitable to those of 365 non STB horses (Nagy et al., 2010). To date there are no published data available to 366 substantiate these anecdotal propositions. 367

368

369 *Equine personality*

Within equestrianism, horses which exhibit STBs are not viewed positively. Despite poor 370 371 understanding of the etiology of equine STB (Normando et al., 2011), many riders and 372 owners believe stereotypies can be copied and do not want a stereotypic horse on their yard (McBride and Long, 2001). Stereotypic horses generally possess a reduced economic value 373 than non-stereotypic horses (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) which is highlighted in sales 374 375 adverts where "no vices" (i.e., no STBs) is included as it is viewed as a desirable characteristic. STBs have been associated with reactivity in individual horses (Bachmann et 376 377 al., 2003), breed of horse (Albright et al., 2009), breeding / genetic predisposition (Albright et 378 al., 2009) and suboptimal management (Cooper and Albentosa, 2005; Cooper and Mason, 379 1998). Suboptimal management includes stabling with no or limited turnout (the opportunity 380 to move freely and usually graze typically in a grass paddock) (Visser et al., 2008) and

suboptimal management conditions (Cooper and Albentosa, 2005). Nagy et al. (2010)
reported that professional riders believe stereotypic horses can demonstrate learning
characteristics which they consider advantageous to competitive performance (Roberts et al.,
2015). Professional riders are focused on competition success (Wolframm et al., 2015) and
should also be skilled in riding and handling more challenging horses which stereotypic
individuals could represent. One could argue that the competitive potential of an individual
horse could outweigh negative aspects leisure and amateur riders associate with STBs.

388 Elite human athletes, including riders, have been shown to possess different personality traits, including increased extroversion, compared to people who participate in sport for fun (Allen 389 390 et al., 2011; Wolframm et al., 2015; Woodman et al., 2010). Extroversion is characterized by an increased tendency for excitability in humans (Wolframm et al., 2015). If the hypothesis is 391 392 that STB horses possess more reactive personalities, they may also be considered as having extroverted personalities and possessing a suitable temperament for competition (Ichiji et al., 393 394 2013). Competitive riders may value extrovert characteristics that they recognize from selfreflection and feel have a positive effect on performance, when selecting their equine partner, 395 so the presence of STB is not a key consideration. 396

397Practitioners within the Equine Industry also suggest that STBs are performance limiting. For

398 example, locomotor STBs in horses have been associated with an increased risk of

orthopaedic injury, soft tissue strain and poor performance (McBride and Hemmings, 2009).

400 Oral STBs are linked to a higher incidence of gastric ulcers (Nicol et al., 2002), colic (Archer

401 et al., 2004) and dental pathologies (Marsden, 2002; Wickens et al., 2013). There is limited

402 evidence that STBs contribute to the aetiology of these conditions.

403 Differences in the frequency of STB expression have been reported across equestrian

404 disciplines (Hausberger et al., 2009) and associated with more intensive management

405 systems (for example, dressage and eventing, integrating restricted turnout and low forage diets) compared to management systems involving more turnout and higher forage diets 406 407 (slow release energy) (such as endurance horses) (McGreevy et al., 1995). Normando et al. 408 (2002) make the point that English horse management systems (referring explicitly to restrictive stabling practices) and riding precludes increased expression of STB, and apparent 409 lack of progress is confirmed by their reiteration of the same point almost a decade later 410 411 (Normando et al., 2011). Stress has been associated with riding practices, and is thought to be key factor within the aetiology of equine STB (Mills et al., 2002; Normando et al., 2011). In 412 413 reality, it is likely that multiple environmental stressors trigger the occurrence and display of STB in horses, so all factors which could cause negative/harmful stress, including not 414 allowing horses to demonstrate their STBs, should be considered when managing horses for 415 416 optimal performance.

417

418 STB in horses has been linked to differences in learning behavior which could affect performance and management, and consequently influence how owners value their horse. 419 Hemmings et al. (2007) and Parker et al. (2008) have proposed that stereotypic horses exhibit 420 421 altered brain chemistry compared to non-stereotypic individuals, presenting with basal ganglion dysfunction and alterations in dopamine physiology which influence their ability to 422 423 learn (Parker et al., 2009; Roberts et al., 2015). Chronic stress, particularly when young (in horses this could represent weaning, handling or when they are being backed for riding) can 424 activate dopamine transmission, increase sensitivity to dopamine and lead to a higher 425 percentage of D1 and D2 receptors in the basal ganglion fundamentally altering its 426 functionality (Parker et al., 2008; 2009). These changes appear exacerbated in stereotypic 427 428 animals (Hemmings et al., 2007). Since dopamine is a key neurotransmitter that is involved

429 in learning and reward-motivated behavior, changes in dopamine pathways could influence430 equine behavior and performance (McBride and Parker, 2015).

431 Comparisons of stereotypic and non-stereotypic horses' ability to learn new tasks have demonstrated that stereotypic horses demonstrate a poor extinction capacity and accelerated 432 and more reinforced (stronger) learning than their non-stereotypic counterparts (Ninomyia, 433 434 2007). Stereotypic horses then require more to unlearn what was taught (either intentionally or indeed accidently) (Hemmings et al., 2007; Parker et al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2015). 435 Roberts et al. (2015) demonstrated that although both oral- and locomotor- stereotyping 436 horses exhibit increased dopamine sensitivity, differences exist between their learning 437 performances. Horses that performed oral STB learned tasks more quickly and took longer to 438 achieve extinction than horses which performed locomotor STB. This work supports 439 440 professional riders' views that stereotypical horses, in particular those that crib-bite (refer to Roberts et al, 2017 in this issue), possess a heightened learning ability or as some perceived 441 increased intelligence (Roberts et al., 2015; Williams, 2013). 442

In humans, individuals with heightened dopamine activity have been shown to learn faster 443 when learning acquisition is combined with praise (Frank et al., 2004), supporting the 444 professional riders' perspective. In practice, these qualities should counteract the negative 445 economic impact of STBs in horses, however it may not be this simple. The shift displayed 446 447 by stereotypic horses to stimulus-response learning, which is firmly embedded when first learned, could make these horses a challenging prospect to manage and ride for the average 448 horse owner / rider. It may not be that stereotypies themselves are performance limiting but 449 the qualities STB horses possess. These horses are motivated to learn quickly and retain what 450 they learn, whether the responses are wanted. Its stands to reason that stereotypic horses with 451 inexperienced trainers/riders may learn inappropriately, react and respond to incorrect cues if 452 they are rewarded for undesirable behaviors due to poor / limited handling and riding skills. 453

454 The trainer/rider may not realise they have facilitated these traits, resulting in the horse being labelled as *difficult* or *stubborn*. It is this characterization which could contribute to the 455 negative perception of STB amongst general equestrians, and equally, as undesirable 456 457 characteristics, perpetuate the reduced economic value of affected horses. A professional rider/trainer with heightened experience and skill levels may correctly apply learning theory 458 and utilize the stereotypic horses' stimulus-response learning in a positive manner, to 459 460 promote positive performance traits. Further research evaluating the longitudinal effect of STB on performance measures including success within disciplines and economic value as 461 462 well as assessing career longevity is required to substantiate these effects.

463

464 Conclusion

Despite extensive research into factors which contribute to the aetiology of STB and/or 465 influence the expression of STB, few studies have explicitly evaluated if relationships exist 466 between stereotypical behavior and performance variables in livestock or equine athletes. 467 However, emergent themes within livestock and equine research suggest that individuals that 468 469 exhibit STBs also demonstrate impaired performance attributes which supports the proposal that STB is a negative characteristic. Similarly within equestrianism, stereotypic horses 470 appear to react and learn in a different way to non-stereotypic horses. Professional riders and 471 472 trainers could utilise these traits combined with their advanced skills to enhance the performance potential and value of stereotypic horses. Stereotypic horses trained by amateur 473 474 riders, who currently represent 96% of the horse owning population, may suffer from an 475 approach that could reinforce the negative associations that exist.

476 Performance is a complex phenomenon with any species and multiple endogenous and477 exogenous factors will contribute to success at any one time. Research is required that

478 explicitly explores how different STBs influence performance variables, and how these 479 interact with management systems and environmental stressors for both livestock and horses. Individual horses, as companion animals, are not as protected by rigorous legislation in the 480 481 same way as individual animals classified as livestock. Horse keepers, regardless of the equestrian discipline with which they associate, will argue that they are keeping and 482 managing their horses in an appropriate manner, albeit it often subject to financial, resource-483 and weather- related constraints. Yet the fact remains that a proportion of horses will suffer 484 from inadequate living conditions or husbandry, and for some, their expression of STB is 485 486 taken as the norm, and as a given. Given the existence of physiological evidence for enhanced motivation and learning ability, it may be argued that - at least for some equine 487 individuals - expression of STB is not necessarily a performance limiting factor. The 488 489 situation is different in livestock individuals simply due to the fact that many cows, sheep and pigs for example do not benefit from such a long term (around 20 years for some individuals) 490 and frequent (often twice daily with direct physical contact) relationship as horses do with an 491 492 individual human.

493

494 **Conflict of interest statement**

495 None of the authors has any financial or personal relationships that could inappropriately496 influence or bias the content of the paper.

497

498 Funding

499 No external parties funded this paper.

500

501 Authorship

502 The idea for the paper was conceived by Jane Williams and refined in conjunction with

503 Hayley Randle. This study is a review and does not involve any experiments or data analysis.

504 The paper was written by Jane Williams and Hayley Randle.

505

506 **References**

- 507 Adenkola, A. Y., Ayo, J. O. 2010. Physiological and behavioral responses of livestock to
- road transportation stress: A review. African J. Biotech. 9 (31), 4845-4856.
- 509 Adzitey, F., Nurul, H. 2011 Pale soft educative (PSE) and dark firm dry (DFD) meats: causes
- and measures to reduce these incidences a mini review. Int. Food Res. J. 18, 11-20.
- 511 Aguayo-Ulloa, L., Villarroel, M., Pascual-Alonso, M., Miranda de la Lama, G.C., Maria,
- 512 G.A. 2014 Finishing feedlot lambs in enriched pens using feeder ramps and straw and its
- influence on behavior and physiological welfare indicators. J. Vet. Behav.: Clin. Appl. Res.9, 347-356.
- Albright, J.D., Mohammed, H.O., Heleski, C.R., Wickens, C.L., Houpt, K.A., 2009. Crib
- biting in US horses: breed predispositions and owner perceptions of aetiology. Equine Vet. J.
 41, 455-458.
- Albright, J. D., Witte, T.H., Rohrback, B.W., Reed, A., Houpt, K.A. 2015. Efficacy and
- effects of various anti-crib devices on behavior and physiology of crib-biting horses. Equine
- 520 Vet. J. DOI: 10.1111/evj.12534
- Allen, M.S., Greenlees, I., Jones, M.V., 2011. An investigation of the five-factor model of
- 522 personality and coping behaviour in sport. J. Sports Sci. 29(8), 841-850.

- 523 Gov.UK Animal Welfare Act 2006 Available at:
- 524 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/45/contents Accessed: 01.04.16
- 525 Archer, D.C., Freeman, D.E., Doyle, A.J., Proudman, C.J., Edwards, B. 2004 Association
- between cribbing and entrapment of the small intestine in the epiploic foramen in horses: 68
- 527 cases (1991-2002). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 224(4), 562-564.
- 528 Averós, X., Brossard, L., Dourmad, J.Y., de Greef, K.H., Edge, H.L., Edwards, S.A.,
- 529 Meunier-Salaün, M.C., 2010. Quantitative assessment of the effects of space allowance,
- group size and floor characteristics on the lying behavior of growing-finishing pigs. Animal4, 777–783.
- Averos, X., Lorea, A., de Heredia, I.B., Ruiz, R., Marchewka, J., Arranz, J., Estevez, I. 2014
- 533 The behavior of gestating dairy ewes under different space allowances. Appl. Anim. Behav.534 Sci. 150, 17-26.
- Bachmann, I., Audigé, L., Stauffacher, M. 2003. Risk factors associated with behavioral
 disorders of crib-biting, weaving and box-walking in Swiss horses. Equine Vet. J. 35 (2),
 158–163.
- Bench, C.J., Rioja-Lang, F.C., Hayne, S.M., Gonyou, H.W. 2013 Group gestation housing
 with individual feeding: How feeding regime, resource allocation and genetic factors affect
 sow welfare. Livest. Sci. 152, 208-217.
- 541 BETA: British Equine Trade Association 2015. National Equestrian Survey 2015. Available
 542 from: https://www/beta-uk.org. Accessed: 01.04.16
- 543 Bramble Report: Britain, G. and Brambell, F.W.R., 1965. Report of the Technical Committee
- to Enquire Into the Welfare of Animals Kept Under Intensive Livestock Husbandry Systems,
- 545 Etc.[Chairman, Professor FW Rogers Brambell.].

- 546 Broom, D.M., Johnson, K.G., 1993. Stress and Animal Welfare. Chapman and Hall, London.
- 547 Cambridge online dictionary and thesaurus. 2010. http://dictionary.cambridge.org/ Accessed:
 548 01.04.16
- 549 Cao, X., Irwin, D.M., Liu, Y.H., Cheng, L.G., Wang, L., Wang, G.D. and Zhang, Y.P., 2014.
- 550 Balancing Selection on CDH2 May Be Related to the Behavioral Features of the Belgian
- 551 Malinois. PloS one, 9(10), p.e110075.
- 552 Caspermeyer, J. 2014. Largest Genetic Survey to Date Shows Major Success for Giant Panda
- 553 Breeding Programs. Molec. Biol. Evol. 31(10), 2828.
- 554 Cobb, M., Branson, N., McGreevy, P., Lil, A., Bennett, P. 2015 The advent of canine
- performance science: Offering a sustainable future for working dogs. Behav. Proc. 110, 96–
 104
- 557 Cooper, J., Jackson, R., 1996. A comparison of the feeding behavior of sheep in straw yards
 558 and on slats. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 49, 99.
- 559 Cooper, J.J., Mason, G.J. 1998 The identification of abnormal behavior and behavioral
- 560 problems in stabled horses and their relationship to horse welfare: a comparative review.
- 561 Equine Vet. J. Suppl. 27, 5-9.
- Cooper, J.J., Albentosa, M.J. 2005. Behavioral adaptation in the domestic horse: potential
 role of apparently abnormal responses including stereotypic behavior. Livest. Prod. Sci. 92,
 177–182.
- 565 Cussen, V. A., Mench. J.A. 2015. The relationship between personality dimensions and
- resiliency to environmental stress in orange-winged Amazon parrots (Amazona amazonica),
- as indicated by the development of abnormal behaviors. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0126170. doi:
- 568 10.1371/journal.pone.0126170

- 569 Drum, T., Curik, I., Baumung, R., Aberle, K., Distl, O., Sölkner, J. 2007. Individual-based
- assessment of population structure and admixture in Austrian, Croatian and German draught
 horses. Heredity 98, 114–122.
- da Fonseca, A. A., Tomé, V. L., Alonso, M. P., Zanine, A. de M., Negrão, F. de M., Feijó, L.
- 573 C. 2014. Effect of transport on meat quality and yield. PUBVET 8(5) pp. Art.1682.
- 574 Dwyer, C.M., Bornett, H.L.L., 2004. Chronic stress in sheep: Assessment tools and their use 575 in different management conditions. Anim. Welf., 13, 293–304.
- 576 Ewing, S.A., Lay Jr., D.C., von Borell, E., 1999. Farm Animal Well-Being. Stress
- 577 Physiology, Animal Behavior, and Environmental Design. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle
- 578 River, NJ, USA, pp. 1–357.
- 579 Frank, M.J., Seeberger, L.C., O'Reilly, R.C. 2004. By carrot or by stick: cognitive
- reinforcement learning in Parkinsonism. Science 306 (5703), 1940–1943.
- Fraser, A.F., Broom, D.M. 1990 In Farm Animal Behavior and Welfare, Balliere Tindall,
 London.
- 583 Fraser, D., Duncan, I.J.H., Edwards, S.A., Grandin, T., Gregory, N.G., Guyonnet, V.,
- Hemsworth, P.H., Huertas, S.M., Huzzey, J.M., Mellor, D.J., Mench, J.A., Spinka, M., Whay,
- 585 H.R. 2013. General principles for the welfare of animals in production systems: the
- underlying science and its application. Vet. J. 198, 19e27.
- 587 Freymond, S.B., Bardou, D., Breifer, E.F., Bruckmaier, R., Fouche, N., Fleury, J., Maigrot,
- A.L., Ramseyer, A., Zuberbuhler, K., Bachmann, I. 2015. The physiological consequences of
- crib-biting in horses in response to an ACH challenge test. Phyio. Beh. 151, 121-128.

- 590 Gottlieb, D. H., Capitanio, J. P., McCowan, B. 2013. Risk factors for stereotypic behavior
- and self-biting in rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*): animal's history, current environment,
- and personality. Am. J. Primatology. 75(10), 995-1008.
- 593 Grandin, T. 2015. An introduction to implementing and effective animal welfare program.
- In Improving animal welfare: A practical approach. 2nd Edition. T. Grandin (Editor). CABInternational: Wallingford.
- 596 Grier, J.W., 1984. Biology of Animal Behavior. Times Mirror/Mosby College Publishing, St597 Louis, MO.
- 598 Gougoulis, D.A., Kyriazakis, I., Fthenakis, G.C., 2010. Diagnostic significance of behavior
- changes of sheep: A selected review. Small Ruminant Res. 92, 52–56.
- Hausberger, M., Gautier, E., Biquand, V., Lunel, C., Jego, P., 2009. Could work be a source
 of behavioral disorders? A study in horses. PLoS ONE 4, 7625.
- Hemmings, A., McBride, S.D., Hale, C.E. 2007. Perseverative responding and the aetiology
- of equine oral stereotypy. App, Anim. Behav. Sci. 104, 143–150.
- Hemmings, A., Hal , C., 2013. From gut to brain. Conference proceedings. In: Proceedings of
 Le saffre Czech Republic.
- Hemmings, A., McBride, S.D. and Hale, C.E., 2007. Perseverative responding and the
- aetiology of equine oral stereotypy. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 104, 143–150. Horse Welfare
- 608 Wageningen Project (2014
- 609 Ijichi, C.L., Collins, L.M., Elwood, R.W. 2013 Evidence for the role of personality in
- 610 stereotypy predisposition, Anim. Behav. 85 (6), 1145-1151.

- 611 Kiley-Worthington, M., 1990. The behavior of horses in relation to management and
- training—towards ethologically sound environments. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science,
 10(1), pp.62-75.
- 614 Kiley-Worthington, M. 1994. Equine Welfare. J.A.Allen & Co Ltd, Newton Abbot
- 615 Kiley-Worthington, M. 2005. Horsewatch: What it is to be equine. The horse report.
- 616 J.A.Allen & Co Ltd, Newton Abbot
- Kiley-Worthington, M., Randle, H. D. 2005 Assessing captive animals' welfare and qualityof life. Int. Zoo News. 52: 324-333.
- King, T., Marston, L.C., Bennett, P. C. 2012 Breeding dogs for beauty and behavior: why
- 620 scientists need to do more to develop valid and reliable behavior assessments for dogs kept as
- 621 companions. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 137, 1-12.
- 622 Krisová, S., Žert, Z., Žuffová, K. 2015. Assessment of modified Forssell's myectomy
- success rate in the treatment of crib biting in horses. Acta Vet. Brno. 2015, 84, 63-69.
- 624 Lanza, M., Landi, C., Scerra, M.m Galofaro, V., Pennisi, P. 2009. Meat quality and
- intramuscular fatty acid composition of Sanfratellano and Halfinger foals. Meat Sci. 82, 142147.
- 627 Latham, N.R., Mason, G.J. 2008 Maternal deprivation and the development of stereotypic
- 628 behavior. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 1(10), 84-108.
- 629 Lay Jr., D.C., Fulton, R.M., Hester, P.Y., Karcher, D.M., Kjaer, J.B., Mench, J.A., Mullens,
- 630 B.A., Newberry, R.C., Nicol, C.J., O'Sullivan, N.P., Porter, R.E., 2011. Hen welfare in
- 631 different housing systems. Poultry Sci. 90, 278–294.
- 632 Levine, S., 1985. A definition of stress?. In Animal stress (pp. 51-69). Springer New York.

- Llonch, P. King, E.M., Clarke, K.A., Downes., J.M., Green, L.E. 2006 A systematic review
 of animal based indicators of sheep welfare on farm, at market and during transport and
 qualitative appraisal of their validity and feasibility for use in UK abattoirs. Vet. J. 206, 289297.
- Marsden, D. 2002 A new perspective on stereotypic behavior problems in horses. In Practice.
 24(1), 558-569.
- Mason, G., Rushen, J. 2008. Stereotypic Animal Behavior: Fundamentals and Applications
 to Welfare. CAB International, Wallingford.
- McBride, S., Hemmings, A., 2009. A neurologic perspective of equine stereotypy. J. Equine
 Vet. Sci. 29 (1), 10–16.
- 643 McBride, S.D., Long, L., 2001. Management of horses showing stereotypic
- behavior, owner perception and the implications for welfare. Vet. Rec. 148, 799–802.
- McBride, S.D., Mills, D.S. 2012 Psychological factors affecting equine performance. BMC
 Vet. Res. 8, 180.
- 647 McBride, S.D., Parker, M. O. 2015 The disrupted basal ganglia and behavioral control: An
- 648 integrative cross-domain perspective of spontaneous stereotypy. Behav. Brain Res. 276, 45-649 58.
- 650 McGarry, T. 2009. Applied and theoretical perspectives of performance analysis in sport:
- scientific issues and challenges. Int. J. Perf. Analysis of Sport 9, 128-140.
- McGreevy, P., Cripps, P.J., French, N.P., Green, L.E., Nicol, C. J. 1995 Management factors
 associated with stereotypic and redirected behavior in the Thoroughbred horse. Eq. Vet. J.
- 654 27(2), 86-91.

- Mills, D.S., Alston, R.D., Rogers, V., Longford, T. 2002 Factors associated with the
- prevalence of stereotypic behavior amongst Thoroughbred horses passing through auctioneer
- 657 sales. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 78, 115-124.
- Mills, D.S., Nankervis, K.J., 1999. Equine Behavior: Principles and Practice. Blackwell
 Science, Oxford.
- Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Mattiello, S., 2010. The importance of social behaviour for goat
 welfare in livestock farming. Small Ruminant Research, 90(1), 1-10.
- Moberg, G.P., 2000. Biological response to stress: implications for animal welfare. In:
- 663 Moberg, G.P., Mench, J.A. (Eds.), The Biology of Animal Stress. CABI Publishing,
- 664 Wallingford, UK, pp. 1–21.
- 665 Nagy, K., Bodo, G., Bardos, G., Banszky, N., Kabai, P. 2010 Differences in temperament
- traits between crib-biting and control horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 1222, 41-47.
- 667 Nicol, C.J., Davidson, H.P.D., Harris, P.A., Waters, A.J., Wilson, A.D., 2002.
- Study of crib-biting and gastric inflammation and ulceration in young horses. Vet. Rec. 151,658–662.
- Ninomiya, S., 2007. Social learning and stereotypy in horses. Behav. Process. 76, 22–23.
- 671 Normando, S., Canali, E., Ferrante, V., Verga, M., 2002. Behavioral problems in Italian
- 672 Saddle Horses. J. Equine Vet. Sci. 117–120.
- Normando, S., Meers, L., Samuels, W. E., Faustini, M., Odberg, F.O. 2011 Variables
- affecting the prevalence of behavioral problems in horses: Can riding style and other
- 675 management factors be significant? Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 133, 186-198.

- Novak, J., Bailoo, J. D., Melotti, L., Rommen, J., Würbel, H. 2015. An exploration based
 cognitive bias test for mice: effects of handling method and stereotypic behavior. PLoS ONE
 10(7): e0130718. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130718
- 679 Overall, K.L., Dunham, A.E., 2002. Clinical features and outcome in dogs and cats with
- obsessive-compulsive disorder: 126 cases (1989–2000). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 221(10),
 1445-1452.
- Oxford English Dictionary (OED) 2016, available at: http://www.oed.com/ Accessed on
 01.06.2016
- Padalino B, Aubé L, Fatnassi M, Monaco D, Khorchani T, Hammadi M., Lacalandra G M.
- 685 2014. Could Dromedary camels develop stereotypy? The first description of stereotypical
- behavior in housed male Dromedary camels and how it is affected by different management

687 systems. PLoS ONE 9(2): e89093. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089093

- Parker, M., McBride, S.D., Redhead, E.S., Goodwin, D. 2009 Differential place and response
 learning in horses displaying an oral stereotypy. Behav. Brain Res. 200, 100-105.
- 690 Parker, M., Redhead, E.S., Goodwin, D., McBride, S.D., 2008. Impaired instrumental choice
- 691 in crib-biting horses (*Equus caballus*). Behav. Brain. Res. 191, 137–140.
- 692 Pomerantz, O., Paukner, A., Terkel, J. 2012 Some stereotypic behaviors in rhesus macaques
- 693 (*Macca mulatta*) are correlated with both perseveration and the ability to cope with acute
- 694 stressors. Behav. Brain Res. 230, 274-280.
- Protopopova, A., Hall, N. J., Wynne, C.D.L. 2014. Association between increased
- behavioral persistence and stereotypy. Behav. Processes. 106:77-81
- Ralston SL. Common behavioral problems of horses. Comp Cont Educ Pract Vet
 1982;4:S152–S159.

- Randle, H. D. 1995. Personality and Adoption in Beef Cattle. PhD Thesis. ExeterUniversity.
- Randle, H. 2015. Personality and Performance: the influence of behavior. In Training for
- ro2 equestrian performance. J.M. Williams and D.S. Evans. (Editors). Wageningen Academic
- 703 Publishers, Wageningen. Pp 301-323
- Randle, H.D., Kiley-Worthington, M. 2004 Implications of semi-intensive management on
- the breeding of black rhino (Diceros bicornis). Internat. Zoo News 51: 266-280.Redbo, I.,
- Redbo-Torstensson, P., ödberg, F.O., Hedendahl, A. and Holm, J., 1998. Factors affecting
- behavioral disturbances in race-horses. Anim. Sci. 66, 475–481.
- Redbo, I., Nordblad, A. 1997 Stereotypies in heifers are affected by feeding regime. Appl.
 Anim. Behav. Sci., 53, 193-2002.
- Redbo, I., Jacobsen, K.G., van Doom, C., Pettersson, G. 1992 A note on relations between
 oral stereotypies in dairy cows and milk production, health and age. Anim. Prod. 54(1), 166168.
- 713 Roberts, K. Hemmings, A., Moore-Colyer, M., Hale, C. 2015 Cognitive differences in horses
- performing locomotor versus oral stereotypic behavior. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 168, 37-44.
- Roberts, K., Hemmings, A.J., McBrider, S., Matthew, O. 2017. Causal factors of oral versus
- 716 locomotor stereotypy in the horse. Journal of Veterinary Behaviour (this issue)
- 717 Romero, L.M., Platts, S. H., Schoech, S.J., Wada, H., Crespi, E., Martin, L.B., Buck, C.L.
- 2015. Understanding stress in the healthy animal potential paths for progress. Stress: Int. J.
- 719 Biol. Stress. 18(5):491-497. DOI: 10.3109/10253890.2015.1073255
- Rooney, N.J., Bradshaw, J.W.S., Almey, H., 2004. Attributes of specialist search dogs—a
- 721 questionnaire survey of UK dog handlers and trainers. J. Forensic Sci. 49 (2), 296–302.

- Rooney, N., Gaines, S., Hilby, E. 2009 A practitioner's guide to working dog welfare. J. Vet.
 Behav.: Clin. Appl. Res. 4, 127-134.
- Qi, C., Zou, H., Zhang, R., Zhao, G., Jin, M., Yu. L. 2008 Age-related differential sensitivity
- to MK-801-induced locomotor stereotypy in C57BL/6 mice. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 580, 161-
- **726** 168.
- Sarrafchi, A., Blokhuis, H.J. 2013 Equine stereotypic behaviors: Causation, occurrence and
 prevention. J. Vet. Behav.; Clin. Appl. Res. 8, 386-394.
- 729 Shepherdson, D., Lewis, K.D., Carlstead, K., Bauman, J., Perrin, N. 2013 Individual and
- rad environmental factors associated with stereotypic behavior and fecal glucocorticoid
- metabolite levels in zoo housed polar bears. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 147 (3-4), 268-277.
- 732 Smith, A.V., Proops, L, Grounds, k., Wathan, J., McComb. K. 2016. Functionally relevant
- responses to human facial expressions of emotion in the domestic horse (*Equus caballus*).
- The Royal Society. Biology Letters. DOI: 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0907.
- 735 Swiss Animal Protection SAP Organisation 2016. Protecting animals from cruelty and
- neglect. Available from: www.animal-protection.net/ Accessed: 01.04.16.
- 737 Sutherland, M.A., Rigers, A.R., Verkerk, A. 2012 The effect of temperament and
- responsiveness towards humans on the behavior, physiology and milk production of multi-
- parous dairy cows in a familiar and novel milking environment. Physiol. & Behav. 107, 329-
- 740 337.
- Texiera, D.L., Mirnada de la Lama, G.C., Villarroel, M., Escos, J., Maria, G.A. 2014 Lack of
 straw during finishing affects individual and social lamb behavior. J. Vet. Behav.: Clin. Appl.
 Res. 9, 177-183.

- The Wageningen Horse Welfare Project. 2012. Wageningen University, Wageningen.
- Available from: http://edepot.wur.nl/238620. Accessed: 01.04.16.
- 746 Tuttyens, F. A. M. 2005 The importance of straw for pig and cattle welfare: A review. Appl.
- 747 Anim. Behav. Sci. 92, 261-282.
- 748 Varadharajan, V., Krishnamoorthy, T., Nagarajan, B. 2015. Prevalence of stereotypies and
- 749 its possible causes among captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in Tamil Nadu, India.
- 750 Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 174: 137–146.
- Vincent, I.C., Leahy, R.A., 1997. Real-time non-invasive measurement of heart rate in
- vorking dogs: A technique with potential welfare applications in the objective assessment of
- 753 welfare problems. Vet. J. 153, 179-184.
- Visser, E.K., Ellis, A.D., Van Reenen, C.G., 2008. The effect of two different housing
- conditions on the welfare of young horses stabled for the first time. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
 114, 521–533.
- Von Borell, E., Hurnik, J.F., 1990. Stereotypic behavior and productivity of sows. Canadian
 Journal of Animal Science, 70(3), 953-956.
- Von Borell, E., Sørensen, J.T., 2004. Organic livestock production in Europe: aims, rules and
 trends with special emphasis on animal health and welfare. Livestock Production Science,
 90(1), 3-9.
- Von Borell, E., Baumgartner, J., Giersing, M., Jäggin, N., Prunier, A., Tuyttens, F.A.M.,
- 763 Edwards, S.A., 2009. Animal welfare implications of surgical castration and its alternatives
- 764 in pigs. Animal, 3(11), 1488-1496.
- Von Borell, E., Dobson, H., Prunier, A. 2007 Stress, behavior and reproductive performance
- in female cattle and pigs. Hormones and Behav. 52, 130-138.

- 767 Waran, N., Randle, H. 2017. What we can measure, we can manage: The importance of
- developing robust welfare indicators for use in Equitation. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
- 769 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2017.02.016
- Warriss, P. D., Brown, S. N. 1993. Relationships between the subjective assessments of pork
- quality and objective measures of colour. In Wood, J. D. and Lawrence, T. L. J. (Eds). Safety
- and Quality of Food from Animals. Occasional Publication of the British Society of Animal
- Production no. 17, p 98-101. UK: Edinburgh.
- Wayne, R. K., von Holdt, B.M. 2012. Evolutionary genomics of dog domestication.
- 775 Mamm. Genome 23(1), 3-18.
- Wickens, C.L., Heleski, C. R. Crib-biting behavior in horses: a review. Appl. Anim. Behav.
- 777 Sci.128, 1-9.
- 778 Wickens, C.L., Houpt, K.A. 2015. Stereotypic and Behavioral Disorders. In Equine
- 779 Neurology. M. Furr and S. Reed (Editors). John Wiley & Sons: Oxford.
- 780 Wickens, C.L., McCall, C.A. Bursian, S., Hanson, R., Heleski, C.R., Liesman, J.S.,
- 781 McEhenney, W.H, Trotter, N.L. 2013. Assessment of gastric ulceration and gastrin response
- in horses with history of crib-biting. J. Eq. Vet. Sci. 33(9), 739-745
- 783 Williams, J. M. 2015. Performance analysis: the application of science to training. In
- 784 Training for equestrian performance. J.M. Williams and D.S. Evans. (Editors). Wageningen
- Academic Publishers, Wageningen. Pp 21-23
- Williams, J.M. 2013. Performance analysis in equestrian sport? Comp. Exerc. Physiol. 9(2),
 67-77.
- Wolframm, I.A., Williams, J., Marlin, D. 2015 The role of personality in equestrian sports: an
- investigation. Comp. Exerc. Physiol. 11(3), 133-144.

- 790 Woodman, T., Akehurst, S., Hardy, L., Beattie, S. 2010. Self-confidence and performance: A
- 791 little self-doubt helps. Psychol. Sport Exerc. 11, 467-470.

Table captions

- Table 1 Discipline specific expectations of horses kept for human use.

Discipline	Expectation (to cope)	Measurable outcomes for performance
Leisure Horse	To remain calm, be traffic proof, to be adaptable, to cope with varying demands, often multidisciplinary. To potentially become accustomed to multiple handlers, riders and management regimes.	Does not exhibit flight response in potentially stressful situations. Leisure rider happiness. Reliability as a riding horse. Rider/owners enjoyment. Perform alone and in company.
Companion horse	Injury free. Calm. Cope with a less/non active life often in one single environment.	Lack of injury. Lack of stress behaviours.
Showing	To remain calm in the show ring. Behaves appropriately under varying conditions. To become accustomed to travel and the show environment, and different horses in close vicinity and with multiple riders	Trainability, placings and prize money.
Showjumping	Fitness. Ability to jump multiple types of obstacles. Ability to travel at speed, shorten and lengthen strides and remain manoeuvrable. Cope with different competitive environments, competition schedule (variable management regimes including restricted stabling and turnout). Varying trainers/riders/ training methods.	Trainability, placings and prize money.
Dressage	Ability to perform complex movements without damage. Ability to adapt to multiple equipment/tack. Travelling. Cope with training methods and gadgetry. Protective husbandry practices which may include restricted turnout. Varying trainers/riders / repetitive training methods.	Placings. Trainability: submission, quality of gait, collection and submission.

Driving	To remain calm, be traffic proof, to be adaptable to varying environments. Dexterity and speed. Ability to respond to rider over and above conspecifics that may be working alongside (pairs, fours).	Trainability, placings.
Hunting	Fitness. Ability to travel at speed, transport, working in changing groups of horses. Ability to cope with extended periods of standing. Ability to cope with a wide range of physical environments. Varying riders. Ability to cope with dogs and unexpected physical environmental features. Ability to jump. Ability to cope with rider falls. Being able to cope with extended (summer) holiday period.	Lack of injury, days off work.
Team Chasing	Fitness, ability to jump at speed, working with conspecifics, expectation to leave other horses.	Placings, speed.

Endurance	Fitness, stamina, speed, working alone, passing- and being passed by- other horses. Varying terrains. Travel. Unfamiliar stabling. Rider related equipment – e.g. flappy map cases!	Veterinary parameters- fitness and behaviour. Speed. Self-preservation.
Polo	Fitness, stamina, speed, tight turns and bursts of acceleration Controlled aggression towards other horses to facilitate bump and ride-off manoeuvres. Working in close proximity to others. Good temperament to stand calmly in polo lines between chukkas. Multiple riders Use of multiple items of equipment, with potentially conflicting actions. Varying levels of rider expertise and weight	Speed, avoidance of injuries. Chukkas scored. High or low goal status (linked to player ratings)
Polocrosse	Fitness, stamina, speed, tight turns and bursts of acceleration Controlled aggression towards other horses to facilitate bump and ride-off manoeuvres. Working in close proximity to others. Good temperament, cope with polocrosse sticks. Multiple riders. Use of multiple items of equipment, with potentially conflicting actions. Varying levels of rider expertise and weight	Speed, avoidance of injuries.
Horse ball	Fitness, stamina, speed, tight turns and bursts of acceleration Working in close proximity to others. Good temperament, cope with ball and manoeuvres Multiple riders. Use of multiple items of equipment, with potentially conflicting actions. Varying levels of rider expertise and weight.	Speed, points scored, avoidance of injuries
Vaulting	Change of environments, being lunged for extended periods, human doing crazing things, impact on back, competitive environment. Travel	Calmness and consistency in gaits. Obedience. Lack of reaction to environmental stressors.

Eventing	Fitness, stamina, speed, able to jump and perform complex movements, adaptability, transport, unfamiliar stabling and management regimes. Different trainers / riders. Different equipment and expectations. Temperament to perform effectively at three different disciplines	Placings, points scored. remain injury free?
Hunter trials / cross-country	Fitness, stamina, speed, ability to jump, adaptability, transport, unfamiliar stabling etc. Different trainers / riders. Equipment and expectations.	Placings, points scored.
Racing	Speed ±stamina, high plane of nutrition and restrictive management regimes, working in strings, jumping (NH), transport, varying riders, Starting gates, different competitive environments. Long transport periods, early start of competitive career including sales preparation (flat racing)	Placings, winnings, breeding value / status: black type (placing in premium races which enhances breeding value), remain injury free
Trec	Fitness, adaptability. Different sections. Multiple equipment esp. rider related.	Placings, winnings.
Horse agility	Obstacles, obedience in-hand, willing termperament.	Trainability, placings, winnings.
Rodeo	Audience noise. Equipment (bucking straps)	Time to dislodge rider and quality of bucking / leaping.

Bull fighting	Bovines, audience noise, equipment	Self-preservation, speed and manoeuvrability.
Reining	Ability to lope and gallop with fast acceleration, circle, spin, turn and stop at speed, good temperament	Placings, winnings.
BARREL RACING	Competition environments, transport etc. Audiences. Speed	Placings, winnings.
Jousting	Ability to run at another horse, coping with environment, frightening stimuli including the jousting lance being carried by own rider and the opposing rider. Quick speed. Short term run.	Ability to maintain speed and straight line.
Pony Club/Riding Club	Adaptability, changing groups of horses, different disciplines, transport, travel etc. Variable environment, speed, cope with aversive stimuli, noise. Varying trainers. Inexeprienced / novice/ young / part-time / amateur riders.	Adaptable horse, trainability, ability to perform range of disciplines (may not excel in any but would be classified as a <i>good</i> allrounder).
Gymkhanas	Environment, speed, cope with aversive stimuli, noise, brats	Adaptable horse. Rosettes
Riding School/Trekking centre	Varying riders. Rider inexperience and weight. Confusing signals. Habitual routes. Varying conspecifics. Expected not to show fear related behaviours, or get stressed. Expectation - work hours. Stabling during day. Tack fit. Insensitive rider signals and loading during riding.	Safety. Rider falls (lack of). Absence of negative behaviours.

Education Centre / College	Lack of varied working environment. Managed fitness levels to prevent injury to less experienced riders. Multiple riders. Confusing signals. Being stabled a lot during day, or more (if have weather related turn out issues)	Safety statistics, soundness.
Service horses	Stabling, restricted access to grazing. Expectations dealing with aversive situations. Heavy equipment. Lack of individualised equipment choice/use/fit.	Calmness during work.

800 Figure captions



