

Investigation of the surface species during temperature dependent dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111)

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2019-09-07 22:06 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record): Marks, K., Yazdi, M., Piskorz, W. et al (2019) Investigation of the surface species during temperature dependent dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111) Journal of Chemical Physics, 150(24) http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.5098533

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Investigation of the surface species during temperature dependent dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111) [©]

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. **150**, 244704 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5098533 Submitted: 03 April 2019 . Accepted: 27 May 2019 . Published Online: 27 June 2019

Kess Marks (¹), Milad Ghadami Yazdi, Witold Piskorz (¹), Konstantin Simonov, Robert Stefanuik, Daria Sostina, Ambra Guarnaccio (¹), Ruslan Ovsyannikov, Erika Giangrisostomi, Yasmine Sassa, Nicolas Bachellier, Matthias Muntwiler (¹), Fredrik O. L. Johansson (¹), Andreas Lindblad (¹), Tony Hansson (¹), Andrzej Kotarba (¹), Klas Engvall, Mats Göthelid (¹), Dan J. Harding (¹), and Henrik Öström (¹)

COLLECTIONS



This paper was selected as an Editor's Pick

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Vibrational spectra of dissociatively adsorbed D₂O on Al-terminated α -Al₂O₃(0001) surfaces from ab initio molecular dynamics The Journal of Chemical Physics **150**, 244701 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5099895

Glass polymorphism in TIP4P/2005 water: A description based on the potential energy landscape formalism

The Journal of Chemical Physics 150, 244506 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5100346

Vibronic coupling models for donor-acceptor aggregates using an effective-mode scheme: Application to mixed Frenkel and charge-transfer excitons in oligothiophene aggregates The Journal of Chemical Physics **150**, 244114 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5100529

Submit Today

The Journal of Chemical Physics

The Emerging Investigators Special Collection and Awards Recognizing the excellent work of early career researchers!

۲Ť٦

Export Citation

View Online

Investigation of the surface species during temperature dependent dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111) (2)

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 150, 244704 (2019); doi: 10.1063/1.5098533 Submitted: 3 April 2019 • Accepted: 27 May 2019 • Published Online: 27 June 2019

Kess Marks,¹ ^[D] Milad Chadami Yazdi,² Witold Piskorz,³ ^[D] Konstantin Simonov,⁴ Robert Stefanuik,⁴ Daria Sostina,⁵ Ambra Guarnaccio,⁶ ^[D] Ruslan Ovsyannikov,⁷ Erika Giangrisostomi,⁷ Yasmine Sassa,⁸ Nicolas Bachellier,⁵ Matthias Muntwiler,⁵ ^[D] Fredrik O. L. Johansson,⁴ ^[D] Andreas Lindblad,⁴ ^[D] Tony Hansson,¹ ^[D] Andrzej Kotarba,³ ^[D] Klas Engvall,⁹ Mats Göthelid,² ^[D] Dan J. Harding,⁹ ^[D] and Henrik Öström¹ ^[D]

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Physics, Fysikum, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

- ²SCI, Material and Nanophysics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 16440 Kista, Sweden
- ³Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Gronostajowa 2, 31-387 Kraków, Poland
- ⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, 751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

⁵Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

- ⁶CNR-ISM—Institute of Structure of Matter—Tito Scalo Unit, C/da S. Loja, 85050 Tito Scalo, Potenza, Italy
- ⁷Institute for Methods and Instrumentation in Synchrotron Radiation Research FG-ISRR,
- Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, 12489 Berlin, Germany
- ⁸Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
- ⁹Department of Chemical Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

ABSTRACT

The temperature dependent dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111) has been investigated using vibrational sum-frequency generation spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, scanning tunneling microscopy, and density functional theory with the aim of discerning the reaction mechanism and the intermediates on the surface. At 110 K, multiple layers of naphthalene adsorb on Ni(111); the first layer is a flat lying chemisorbed monolayer, whereas the next layer(s) consist of physisorbed naphthalene. The aromaticity of the carbon rings in the first layer is reduced due to bonding to the surface Ni-atoms. Heating at 200 K causes desorption of the multilayers. At 360 K, the chemisorbed naphthalene monolayer starts dehydrogenating and the geometry of the molecules changes as the dehydrogenated carbon atoms coordinate to the nickel surface; thus, the molecule tilts with respect to the surface, recovering some of its original aromaticity. This effect peaks at 400 K and coincides with hydrogen desorption. Increasing the temperature leads to further dehydrogenation and production of H₂ gas, as well as the formation of carbidic and graphitic surface carbon.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5098533

INTRODUCTION

In the process of biomass gasification for production of renewable energy, unwanted by-products, heavier hydrocarbons, and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), referred to as tars, prevent complete utilization of the gas-product and up-scaling of the production method.^{1–3} Therefore, an exploration of methods to reduce the negative effects of the tar by-product is required. Catalytic reforming is regarded as a promising method for removal of tar by converting it into useful permanent gases such as CO and H₂. Nickelbased catalysts are commonly used in catalytic steam reforming and are also considered to be a viable and economical option for biomass gasification.^{4,5} Although nickel is an effective catalyst for hydrocarbon dehydrogenation, it also catalyzes graphite formation, which leads to catalyst poisoning.⁶ Naphthalene is a major component in most tar and has been used as a model molecule for catalytic studies. It is also an intermediate in the decomposition of other PAHs, which makes decomposition of naphthalene an important basis for the understanding of the complete steam reforming of tar.

In a previous structural investigation of naphthalene on Ni(111),⁷ we have shown that naphthalene molecules adsorb flat on smooth terraces, preferring a geometry of aromatic rings positioned over Ni-bridge sites. Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) showed that desorption of H₂ occurs in two steps, where the second step coincides with the conversion of carbon atoms to a graphenelike structure, causing rapid passivation of the catalyst.⁷ In the present study, we aim to elucidate the mechanism of naphthalene dehydrogenation and graphene formation on the Ni(111) surface by investigating the surface species during the temperature dependent dehydrogenation.

Adsorption and reaction studies of naphthalene on nickel are not abundant in the literature, but the adsorption of naphthalene on other metals such as Pt(111),⁸⁻¹⁴ Cu(111),¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Rh(111),^{14,19} and $Ag(111)^{20-23}$ has been investigated both experimentally and theoretically. Dahlgren and Hemminger⁹ studied naphthalene on Pt(111) using low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) and showed that at room temperature the ordering of naphthalene molecules is randomized, but that mild heating leads to an ordered (6×3) overlayer. Santarossa et al.¹⁴ used density functional theory (DFT) calculations to show that a flat lying di-bridge-7 is the optimal adsorption configuration of naphthalene on Pt, Pd, and Rh. Similar findings were recently reported by Kolsbjerg *et al.*²⁴ for naphthalene on Pt(111) and by Yazdi *et al.*⁷ for naphthalene on Ni(111) where the di-bridge-7 is the most favored configuration. Additionally, DFT calculations by Yazdi et al. show that the adsorption of naphthalene is accompanied by a pronounced de-aromatization, similar to that seen for benzene on Ni(100) and Cu(110).²

The dehydrogenation of naphthalene has been studied by both Lin et al.¹⁹ and Dahlgren and Hemminger.⁸ Lin et al. used LEED, Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), and TPD to show that naphthalene on Rh(111) transforms from a $(3\sqrt{3} \times 3\sqrt{3})R30^{\circ}$ structure to a (3×3) structure in the temperature range of 398–423 K and to a disordered layer at 448 K. They found the first H₂ desorption peak at 578 K. Dahlgren and Hemminger⁸ studied naphthalene on Pt(111) using LEED, AES, and TPD and found that, at near saturation coverage, naphthalene forms an ordered layer which is stable until the onset of hydrogen desorption at 470 K. After the desorption process, the Pt(111) surface is covered with carbon residue. In both cases, the only desorption process observed is the desorption of molecular hydrogen accompanied by irreversible structural changes in the naphthalene overlayer. This is consistent with the findings of Yazdi et al.⁷ where scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and TPD were used to study naphthalene on Ni(111). H₂ desorption coincides with the disappearance of ordered naphthalene patches in the temperature range of 380-600 K with the first desorption peak at 450 K. At temperatures above 580 K, carbon chain and network growth is reported, and it is assumed that a graphenelike layer is formed. Graphene growth from precursor PAHs on Ni(111) has been identified in several investigations,²⁶⁻²⁸ and growth mechanisms, either via traditional nucleation^{26,29} or via a Ni-carbide intermediate,^{30–32} have been suggested.

Here, we present a combination of vibrational sum-frequency generation spectroscopy (SFG), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and STM measurements and DFT calculations, in order to understand the mechanism of adsorption and temperature driven dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111) in Ultra-High Vacuum (UHV). We show that partial dehydrogenation of the naphthalene molecules is accompanied by a change of the geometry of the molecules as the dehydrogenated carbons coordinate more strongly to the nickel surface atoms. As a result, the naphthalene molecules tilt with respect to the surface and the carbon atoms on the other side of the molecule move away from the surface retrieving some sp² characteristics and some of the aromaticity that was lost upon adsorption. Further heating continues the dehydrogenation, leading to the desorption of H₂ and the formation of a mixture of carbidic and graphitic surface carbon.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Pure naphthalene (99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dosed through a precision leak valve. Doses are given in L (Langmuir), where 1 L = 1×10^{-6} Torr s. It takes about 3.5 L to form a saturated monolayer at room temperature. Additional dosing at room temperature does not increase the surface coverage. The Ni(111) crystal was purchased from the Surface Preparation Laboratory (SPL) in the Netherlands and was polished and aligned to within less than 0.1° from the (111) plane.

Sum-frequency generation spectroscopy was performed in an UHV chamber with a base pressure of 1×10^{-10} Torr. The system is equipped with a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS), LEED optics, and an ion gun used for sample cleaning. A thermocouple was spot-welded on the Ni(111) sample for temperature monitoring. The sample temperature was controlled through a combination of liquid nitrogen cooling and resistive and/or electron bombardment heating. The sample was cleaned through cycles of Ar⁺-sputtering at room temperature and annealing up to 1100 K. The quality of the surface was monitored using LEED. Naphthalene layers were prepared by dosing 5 L of naphthalene at 110 K which results in a saturated monolayer and at least one additional layer.

A commercial Ti:sapphire amplifier system which produces 800 nm pulses with a \leq 50 fs pulse duration and a power of 3.7 W at a repetition rate of 1 kHz was used for the SFG experiments. A tunable optical parametric amplifier (TOPAS) and a noncollinear difference frequency generator (n-DFG) were used to convert the 800 nm light to wavelengths in the mid-infrared (IR) region (tunable within 2.6–11 μ m). For these experiments, the mid-IR was set to around 3500 nm to cover the naphthalene C-H stretching region. Part of the 800 nm light was spectrally narrowed to a bandwidth of 12 cm⁻¹ using a pulse shaper and overlapped on the sample in space and time with the mid-IR light. The two laser beams were sent into the UHV chamber through an IR-transparent BaF2 window. After interaction with the surface, the 800 nm light was filtered using a 750 nm short-pass edge filter so that only the generated SFG light was sent into the spectrometer. An intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera was used to record the SFG spectra with a final resolution of 14 cm⁻¹. The SFG data were fit by assuming that the second order susceptibility $\chi^{(2)}$ can be written as

$$\chi^{(2)} = \chi_{NR}^{(2)} + \chi_{R}^{(2)} = \chi_{NR}^{(2)} + \sum_{n} \frac{A_{n} e^{i\varphi_{n}}}{(\omega - \omega_{n}) + i\Gamma_{n}},$$
 (1)

where $\chi^{(2)}{}_{NR}$ is the nonresonant contribution as measured from a clean surface and $\chi^{(2)}{}_{R}$ is the resonant contribution, with A_n , ϕ_n , ω_n , and Γ_n being the amplitude, phase, frequency, and half-width of the resonance, respectively.³³

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements were performed at the LowDosePES³⁴ end-station of the PM4 beamline at the synchrotron Berlin electron storage ring society for synchrotron radiation (BESSY) II at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin. The main analysis chamber had a base pressure of 1×10^{-10} Torr and is equipped with a VG Scienta ARTOF-2 electron energy analyzer. The sample preparation was done in a separate chamber equipped with an ion-gun, leak valves, and resistive heating up to 900 K. The XPS measurements were performed at room temperature at 380 eV photon energy.

Low temperature STM (Omicron) was performed at the Photoemission and Atomic Resolution Laboratory (PEARL) at Swiss Light Source (SLS), Switzerland. The sample was cleaned according to the procedure described earlier. Sample quality and surface order were checked with LEED. Naphthalene was dosed at room temperature into the preparation chamber through a precision leak valve. Since it was necessary not to saturate the surface in order to measure the height difference between adsorbates and the surface, only 1 L of naphthalene was dosed. After dosing, the sample was moved to the STM chamber for imaging at 4 K, using etched gold tips. The tunnel bias was applied to the sample.

COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The adsorption structure of naphthalene on Ni(111) was optimized using the DFT PBE+D2³⁵ level of theory implemented in the VASP code.^{36,37} The energy cutoff was 600 eV, and the IBZ sampling was done at the Γ point because of the large size of the unit cell. Tight convergence criteria (10⁻⁷ eV for the electronic structure optimization and 10⁻⁵ eV/Å for ionic relaxation) were used. The cell parameters of the bulk phase were calculated as a minimum of the Birch-Murnaghan equation of state³⁸ fitting. As a convergence accelerator, Methfessel-Paxton³⁹ smearing was used with the width parameter $\sigma = 0.1$ eV. The bond orders (BO) were calculated via the DDEC6 method.^{40,41} The surface model was a Ni(111) rhombohedral slab of 19.93 \times 19.93 \times 21.10 Å 3 unit cell size (8 \times 8 \times 4 Ni atoms with 2 bottom layers fixed during ionic relaxation). We computed a spin-polarization of 0.64 bohr magneton for the Ni slab, which is close to the literature value of 0.61.42 The vacuum layer was 15.14 Å thick. The entropic contributions to the free energy and the SFG active modes were calculated using the classical harmonic vibrational analysis. The energy barriers were calculated with the use of the nudged elastic band (NEB) methodology (5 optimized images), using the VTST package.4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SFG measurements

Figure 1 shows the vibrational SFG spectra for naphthalene on Ni(111) in the C-H stretching region. The bottom spectrum shows



FIG. 1. Normalized SFG spectra (red squares) and corresponding fits (black lines) recorded for naphthalene adsorbed on Ni(111) dosed at 110, 270, and 480 K. Fits place the resonances at 3057, 2985, and 3029 cm⁻¹, respectively. The bottom spectrum shows the nonresonant background (NRB) recorded for a clean Ni(111) sample. The top spectrum shows the SFG spectrum for naphthalene dosed at 270 K and flashed to 480 K afterwards; the fit places the resonance at 3057 cm⁻¹. All spectra were recorded at 270 K except for the low-temperature spectrum which was both dosed and recorded at 110 K.

the nonresonant background (NRB), recorded from a clean Ni(111) surface before adsorption of naphthalene, and follows the spectral profile of the broadband infrared pulse. The red squares represent the measurement, and the black solid lines are fits to the data. Sumfrequency generation spectroscopy is subject to stricter selection rules than both Raman and infrared absorption spectroscopy. Essentially, only vibrations that are both Raman and infrared active will be active in SFG.⁴⁴ Additionally, the metal surface selection rule states that only vibrations with a dynamic dipole moment along the surface normal will be active.⁴⁵

A 5 L dose at 110 K results in a chemisorbed monolayer and a physisorbed multilayer of naphthalene.⁷ In the spectrum recorded after dosing at 110 K, one sharp resonance is observed at 3057 cm⁻¹, corresponding to multilayer naphthalene, in addition to the nonresonant background. The observed resonant frequency is in the middle of the aromatic C-H stretching region and matches the frequencies found by Jakob and Menzel⁴⁶ and Lehwald et al.⁴⁷ for multilayer benzene on Ru(001) and Ni(111), respectively. Dosing at 270 K should result in a monolayer of naphthalene since this temperature is above the desorption temperature of the naphthalene multilayers.⁷ The SFG spectrum of this preparation shows a resonance at 2985 cm⁻¹, which is on the low-frequency side of the multilayer peak and on the edge of the aliphatic C-H stretching region. This resonance can indeed be attributed to the chemisorbed monolayer naphthalene in which we expect a loss of aromaticity and more sp³ characteristics, similar to adsorption of other unsaturated hydrocarbons on metal surfaces.^{48,49} Thomas et al.⁴⁹ observed similar sp³ C-H stretches at 2980 cm⁻¹, attributed to dienyl moieties formed by coordination of the carbon atoms to the metal, using vibrational electron energy loss spectroscopy (HREELS) for benzene on Pt(110).

Dosing at 270 K to form a monolayer of naphthalene and subsequent flashing to 480 K to start dehydrogenation results in a

spectrum with a resonance at 3057 cm^{-1} , while dosing directly at 480 K gives a resonance at 3029 cm^{-1} . The difference in vibrational frequency between the spectra of the two preparation procedures is attributed to the fact that, when dosing at 480 K, the naphthalene is thermally activated while adsorbing and already dehydrogenating^{7,8,19} [see Fig. 2(c)]. This can affect the adsorption and coverage of the molecules on the surface and thus the vibrational frequencies. In order to gain further understanding of these species, we performed temperature programmed SFG measurements.

Figure 2(a) shows a false color plot of a temperature dependent SFG measurement of 10 L of naphthalene on Ni(111) dosed at 110 K. Each horizontal line represents one recorded spectrum. Fits of individual slices reveal the presence of two resonances, one at 3057 cm⁻¹ and one at 3003 cm⁻¹. Figure 2(d) depicts four horizontal slices taken from Fig. 2(a) at 150, 300, 450, and 600 K to better illustrate the observed resonances. The resonance at 3057 cm⁻¹ is the same as that found for dosing at 110 K; the second resonance is shifted with respect to the frequency observed in the previous section (2985 cm⁻¹) due to the lower dosing temperature used for this experiment which results in a slightly different adsorbate layer. The data were then fit as a function of temperature assuming the presence of these two resonances and using the same fitting parameters for each slice, only allowing the amplitude to vary freely in the fits. The fitted amplitudes for both resonances are plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 2(b), showing the evolution of the two resonances. Figure 2(c) depicts a reproduction of TPD results⁷ of the same system, recorded with a ramping rate of 50 K/min.

At temperatures below 200 K, we again observe a resonance at 3057 cm⁻¹, originating from the aromatic C–H stretch vibrations of the physisorbed multilayers of naphthalene. Around 200 K, this resonance disappears as the multilayer desorbs, consistent with the TPD measurements. The 3003 cm⁻¹ resonance that appears originates from the flat monolayer naphthalene with increased sp³ characteristics of the carbon atoms. This resonance grows at temperatures above 200 K, as the vibrations of the monolayer are no longer suppressed by the multilayers on top. Between 200 K and 360 K, the 3003 cm⁻¹ feature loses some intensity but is still clearly present, as can be seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). Around 360 K, it decreases more rapidly and simultaneously a new resonance appears, close

to the original multilayer peak at 3057 cm⁻¹. Since 360 K is well above the desorption temperature for physisorbed naphthalene, this resonance must be due to a recovery of sp² characteristics by the monolayer species. This suggests that some carbon atoms lose contact with the metal as the result of a geometrical change of the adsorbed species upon partial dehydrogenation. This feature also appears in the same temperature region as the onset of hydrogen production detected by earlier TPD studies. This spectral behavior can be expected if the molecule tilts with respect to the surface so that the bond between the nickel surface and the raised carbon atoms lengthens and decreases in strength allowing those carbon atoms to regain some sp² characteristics, i.e., the molecule goes towards a standing geometry. The signal from this "tilted" molecule at 3057 cm⁻¹ peaks around 400 K [Fig. 2(b)] and disappears at 500 K; the 3003 cm⁻¹ feature lingers up to 480 K, after which the spectrum resembles the nonresonant background. H₂ desorption, however, is known to continue up 650 K⁷, which implies that although the SFG signal disappears, there are still C_x-H_y fragments on the surface that continue to dehydrogenate. These fragments are invisible to SFG which can be rationalized by the sensitivity of this technique for parameters such as surface order, cross sections, and the direction of dynamic dipoles.

Based on the temperature dependence of the tilted species shown in Fig. 2(b), a rough estimate of the energy barrier for the formation of this species can be made. For an effective first order Arrhenius type reaction, the energy barrier would be 27 ± 2 kcal/mol, based on the fact that the rising slope of the 3057 cm⁻¹ feature is centered around 380 K and assuming a typical pre-exponential of $10^{13\pm1}$ s⁻¹.

XPS C 1s measurements

Figure 3 shows the C 1s XPS spectra of naphthalene on Ni(111) dosed and recorded at room temperature after flashing to increasingly high temperatures (300–900 K).

The data were fit using gaussians to obtain the position of the two distinguishable features and the total peak area. The first spectrum, for 300 K, shows one broad feature at 284.6 eV, which covers the contributions from the three distinct carbon atoms in the naph-thalene molecule. Individual contributions from the different carbon



FIG. 2. (a) False color plot showing the temperature dependence of SFG spectra of naphthalene on Ni(111), recorded with a heating rate of 3 K/min. Dark blue regions indicate low intensities and white regions indicate high intensities. (b) Temperature dependence of the fitted amplitudes for the resonances at 3057 cm⁻¹ (black) and 3003 cm⁻¹ (red). (c) TPD of naphthalene on Ni(111) for naphthalene desorption (128 amu/e) and hydrogen desorption (2 amu/e), at a heating rate of 50 K/min. (d) Selected spectrum slices from (a) at temperatures of 150, 300, 450, and 600 K.



FIG. 3. Carbon 1s XPS spectra for 5 L of naphthalene on Ni(111) dosed at room temperature and flashed to increasingly high temperatures. The recorded spectrum is depicted in red and the corresponding fit in blue. Two vertical red lines indicate the fitted positions of the two main features. The spectrum at 379 K is indicated in bold; its shape and position are distinguishably different from the other spectra. The naphthalene C 1s at 300 K has a feature at 284.6 eV which shows a substantial shift to higher binding energy after 700 K, reaching 285.1 eV at 885 K. Around 465 K, a second feature appears at 283.6 eV. Both features have completely disappeared after 900 K.

atoms could not be resolved. The width [full width at half maximum (FWHM)] of this feature becomes narrower with increasing temperatures from 1.1 eV at 300 K to 0.8 eV at 834 K. This is due to a decrease in the broadening contribution of C–H vibrations^{50,51} as C–H bonds are broken with increasing temperature.

The spectrum after heating to 379 K is indicated in bold. Its shape and peak position are distinguishably different from the spectra for lower and higher temperatures. This is consistent with the SFG spectra, where we also observe large changes around this temperature. The spectrum exhibits a shift to slightly lower binding energy, implying an increase in the sp² characteristics of the carbon atoms,⁵² which would support our interpretation of the SFG measurements. Unfortunately, the temperature resolution of the XPS data does not allow for any further conclusions with regard to the geometry of the molecule as dehydrogenation progresses.

At 465 K, a second distinguishable feature appears at 283.6 eV, indicating the formation of carbidic carbon,^{53,54} recently identified as a precursor for graphene growth by Rameshan *et al.*³¹ The main peak, which at 300 K is positioned at 284.6 eV, shifts to higher binding energy with increasing temperature and shows a substantial shift above 700 K towards 285.1 eV. This can be attributed to the formation of disordered graphitic carbon.^{52,53} All features are gone above 900 K, likely due to migration of the carbon atoms into the bulk of the crystal, as was seen for carbon thin films at similar temperatures by Wiltner and Linsmeier.⁵³

STM height distribution measurements

Scanning tunneling microscopy was used to measure the molecular height distribution after room temperature dosing 1 L

of naphthalene on a clean Ni(111) surface both at room temperature and heated to 360 K, which is the onset of dehydrogenation. After preparation, the sample was transferred to the STM chamber and cooled down to 4 K before imaging. The procedure was to prepare the surface, image with the STM, then move the sample for heating, and move back to the STM for imaging of the second preparation. There is no guarantee that the same surface area is imaged; however, the surface was imaged at several spots for each preparation and the images presented are representative of the surface. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show images of the surface after preparation at room temperature and after heating to 360 K, respectively. The naphthalene molecules have elongated features in Fig. 4(a), a shape consistent with the flat adsorption geometry that is suggested for naphthalene on Ni(111).⁷ After heating to 360 K, a more irregular surface with larger variations in height is observed. The dark areas in both images can tentatively be assigned to atomic hydrogen binding to the Ni(111) surface.⁷ Although the images are recorded for the same preparation, the coverage is different: 200 molecules/625 nm² in Fig. 4(a) and 300 molecules/625 nm² in Fig. 4(b).

Figure 4(c) shows a height histogram of both images obtained by marking and eliminating the background. The blue curve displays the height distribution of the naphthalene molecules after room temperature adsorption. The distribution has a width (FWHM) of 0.2 Å and peaks at 0.4 Å above the nickel surface. This implies that at room temperature practically all molecules lie flat on the surface, in agreement with previous findings.⁷ The red curve in Fig. 4(c) shows the height distribution after heating to 360 K, which is just at the onset of dehydrogenation. The distribution is broader (FWHM 0.3 Å) with the center shifted to 0.6 Å. This means that, at the onset of



FIG. 4. (a) STM image of naphthalene molecules dosed at room temperature on Ni(111) captured at 4 K (25×25 nm, -0.5 V, 30 pA), (b) STM image of naphthalene molecules dosed at room temperature and annealed to 360 K, imaged at 4 K (25×25 nm, -0.5 V, 30 pA), and (c) normalized height histogram of the STM images shown in red (a) and black (b).

dehydrogenation, the naphthalene molecules are slightly higher and have a broader height variation, indicating that the molecules have started tilting with respect to the surface.

DFT calculations

DFT calculations were performed to obtain the dehydrogenation reaction pathway energetics and vibrational frequencies to support the interpretation of the SFG results. We explored a large number of possible reaction pathways, but here we only show those with calculated barriers that are likely to be relevant for the experimental conditions. Figure 5 shows the energetics of the reaction pathways and optimized structures, as determined using DFT calculations for (a) the naphthalene dehydrogenation, assuming a stepwise abstraction of hydrogen atoms, and (b) the recombination of two surface hydrogen atoms to H₂. The first step in the reaction pathway is the barrier-less adsorption of naphthalene at the Ni(111) surface. In this exoergic process, an energy of 109 kcal/mol is released. Unfortunately, a lack of literature makes it difficult to find a comparison for this value; we can, however, compare to the adsorption energy of benzene on Ni(111). In a recent calorimetry study by Carey et al.,55 the energetics of adsorption of benzene on Ni(111) and Pt(111) was experimentally determined. For benzene on Ni(111), they found a value of 50 kcal/mol, which is lower than the value we find for naphthalene on Ni(111). The high coordination of the naphthalene to the surface suggests that the adsorption energy should be higher, and we can assume that the adsorption energy scales linearly with the amount of carbon atoms, similar to cyclic hydrocarbons on Ru(001) as was shown by Madey and Yates.⁵⁶ Under this assumption, our calculated adsorption energy is not unrealistic.

Upon adsorption, the naphthalene adopts a flat geometry parallel to the surface and undergoes pronounced dearomatization, as previously observed for naphthalene on Ni(111)⁷ and benzene on Ni(100).²⁵ The sum of $C_{10}H_8$ –Ni bond orders (BO), according to DDEC6^{57,58} charge and bond analysis, is 5.89, and the sum of BO in the C ring is 13.82, significantly less than the BO of the carbon rings in gas phase naphthalene which is 17.05.

Naphthalene has two inequivalent sets of hydrogen atoms, α and β , as depicted in the top left corner of Fig. 5, where α and β are the four hydrogens adjacent to the carbon atoms shared by both rings and the four hydrogens on the outer carbon atoms, respectively. Dehydrogenation can occur by abstraction of either of these two types of hydrogen atoms. The endoergic detachment of one α -H atom has a barrier of 23 kcal/mol, and the total energy change with respect to the adsorbed naphthalene structure is 13 kcal/mol, as shown in Fig. 5. Abstraction of a second α -H has a barrier of 26 kcal/mol. The total energy change for removal of two α -H is 25 kcal/mol. In the case of β -H, the first dehydrogenation step from the adsorbed naphthalene has a barrier of 30 kcal/mol, 7 kcal/mol higher than the first α -H abstraction. It is therefore more likely that the first hydrogen abstraction will occur from an α -position.

After the abstraction of two hydrogens from α -positions, the naphthalene fragment left behind ($C_{10}H_6$ –Ni) has a total BO of 7.33, and the sum of the bond orders of the two hydrogen atoms with the Ni surface is 2.18. This increase in BO, compared to $C_{10}H_8$ –Ni (BO 5.89), is due to stronger C–Ni coordination by the dehydrogenated carbons. The strong interaction of the naphthalene electronic structure with the Ni substrate results in a pronounced shift of the Ni atoms and tilting (~7°) of the naphthalene fragment, as illustrated in Fig. 6.

Panel (B) in Fig. 5 shows the reaction pathway for the recombination of two hydrogen atoms, adsorbed on adjacent Ni atoms to form an H_2 molecule and subsequent desorption; this energy barrier is 27 kcal/mol, which is approximately the same value found by



FIG. 5. Energy pathways and optimized structures as determined using DFT calculations for (A) adsorption and dehydrogenation of naphthalene and (B) hydrogen recombination. The energies are presented in kcal/mol and displayed relative to the energy of (A) the adsorbed naphthalene molecule and (B) the adsorbed hydrogen atom.



FIG. 6. Side view of the $C_{10}H_6$ radical resulting from a double hydrogen abstraction from naphthalene. The carbon atoms that lost a hydrogen atom bind stronger to the nickel surface which results in tilting of the molecules with an angle of ~7°.

Kresse⁵⁹ who used DFT to study the potential energy surface of the H_2 dissociation process nickel surfaces. The energy barrier for the process in the opposite direction, i.e., the dissociative adsorption of H_2 molecule, is as low as 1 kcal/mol.

The energy of the hydrogen atoms at adjacent sites is calculated to be 3-5 kcal/mol higher than the energy of the two H atoms at distant sites. In both cases, the H atom occupies the site hollow sites on the Ni(111) surface. This energy difference is similar to the energy barrier for diffusion for H atoms on Ni(111) as computed by Watson *et al.*⁶⁰ and experimentally determined by Cao *et al.*⁶¹ who found values of 3 kcal/mol and 4.5 kcal/mol, respectively.

The energy barrier for migration of an H atom from a position on the surface into the bulk, between the topmost and the second layer of Ni atoms, was calculated to be 31 kcal/mol. This migration is therefore less likely compared to H-atom recombination and subsequent desorption of the hydrogen molecule.

In order to make comparisons between theory and the SFG experiments, we have calculated vibrational frequencies for the lowenergy species. Harmonic frequencies calculated with DFT are typically too high, and the agreement can often be improved by using a uniform scaling factor. We have determined this factor by comparing the calculated (3125 cm⁻¹) and experimentally measured (3057 cm⁻¹) frequencies for the symmetric C–H stretching mode, yielding a value of 0.98. All of the calculated frequencies we report are scaled by this factor. The magnitude of the scaling factor is consistent with the earlier work by Öberg *et al.*,⁶² where the authors compared calculated and measured SFG frequencies for acetylene on copper.

For the adsorbed naphthalene molecule, we calculate a C-H stretching frequency of 2991 cm⁻¹, consistent with the region where we observe an SFG signal for monolayer (chemisorbed) naphthalene (2985–3003 cm⁻¹), resulting from the different preparation methods shown in Fig. 1. For the structure with two abstracted α hydrogens, we calculate a value of 3022 $\mbox{cm}^{-1},$ in good agreement with the 3029 cm⁻¹ resonance measured after dosing at 480 K. It is lower than the vibrational frequency of the resonance we observed after low temperature dosing followed by flashing to 480 K (3057 cm⁻¹), which we attribute to the tilted dehydrogenated molecule. We have performed test calculations for structures with the naphthalene rings perpendicular to the surface, which yielded frequencies of 3058 cm⁻¹, and demonstrate the sensitivity of the vibrational spectrum to the geometry of the molecule on the surface. This is consistent with the experimental observation that the preparation temperature influences the structures formed on the surface. The DFT results are in agreement with the results of the STM and SFG experiments and support the interpretation that the naphthalene molecules tilt away from the surface following partial dehydrogenation.

CONCLUSIONS

The present investigation uses an integrated experimental and theoretical approach to better understand the reaction pathway and surface species present during the dehydrogenation of naphthalene on Ni(111). The results obtained provide a molecular background for the catalytic steam reforming of tar. We show that naphthalene adsorbs flat on the surface and undergoes significant dearomatization upon adsorption. The dehydrogenation starts at 360 K, and the calculated energy barriers for dehydrogenation are 23 kcal/mol and 30 kcal/mol for α and β hydrogen, respectively. These calculated barriers for dehydrogenation are comparable to the energy barrier for the formation of the tilted species observed in our SFG measurements at 3057 cm⁻¹. The tilted species is a product of initial dehydrogenation as the dehydrogenated carbon atoms bind stronger to the nickel surface atoms. As a result, the other carbon atoms within the molecule increase in sp² characteristics and the molecule retrieves some of the aromaticity that was lost upon adsorption. Further heating continues the dehydrogenation, leading to decomposition of the tilted species, the formation and desorption of H₂, and the formation of a mixture of carbidic and graphitic surface carbon.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The project was funded by VR (Dnr: 2015-05242) and SSF. The work was partly carried out within the Swedish Gasification Center (SFC) consortium with funding from the Swedish Energy Agency (Grant No. 34721-2), academic and industrial partners. We thank HZB for the allocation of synchrotron radiation beamtime at the PM4 beamline. We acknowledge the Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland, for provision of synchrotron radiation beamtime at PEARL beamline of the SLS.

D.J.H. acknowledges financial support from the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research.

A.L. acknowledges support from the Swedish Research Council (Grant No. 2014-6463) and Marie Sklodowska Curie Actions (Cofund, Project No. INCA 600398).

Y.S. acknowledges the support from the Swedish Research Council (VR) through a Starting Grant (Dnr. 2017-05078).

REFERENCES

¹K. Engvall, H. Kusar, K. Sjöström, and L. J. Pettersson, Top. Catal. 54, 949 (2011).

²C. Okkerse and H. van Bekkum, Green Chem. 1, 107 (1999).

³ R. J. Evans and T. A. Milne, in *Developments in Thermochemical Biomass Conversion*, edited by A. V. Bridgewater and D. G. B. Boocock (Blackie Academic & Professional, London, 1997), Vol. 2, p. 803.

⁴J. Rostrup-Nielsen and L. J. Christiansen, *Concepts in Syngas Manufacture* (World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, USA, 2011).

⁵L. Devi, K. J. Ptasinski, and F. J. J. G. Janssen, Biomass Bioenergy 24, 125 (2003).

⁶H. Öström, L. Triguero, M. Nyberg, H. Ogasawara, L. G. Pettersson, and A. Nilsson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 046102 (2003).

⁷M. G. Yazdi, P. H. Moud, K. Marks, W. Piskorz, H. Öström, T. Hansson, A. Kotarba, K. Engvall, and M. Göthelid, J. Phys. Chem. C **121**, 22199 (2017).

- ⁸D. Dahlgren and J. C. Hemminger, Surf. Sci. 114, 459 (1982).
- ⁹D. Dahlgren and J. C. Hemminger, Surf. Sci. 109, L513 (1981).
- ¹⁰J. L. Gland and G. A. Somorjai, Surf. Sci. 38, 157 (1973).
- ¹¹A. Gavezzotti and M. Simonetta, Surf. Sci. 116, L207 (1982).
- ¹²V. M. Hallmark, S. Chiang, and C. Wöll, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B **9**, 1111 (1991).
- ¹³V. M. Hallmark, S. Chiang, J. K. Brown, and C. Wöll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 48 (1991).
- ¹⁴G. Santarossa, M. Iannuzzi, A. Vargas, and A. Baiker, ChemPhysChem 9, 401 (2008).
- ¹⁵R. Forker, J. Peuker, M. Meissner, F. Sojka, T. Ueba, T. Yamada, H. S. Kato, T. Munakata, and T. Fritz, Langmuir **30**, 14163 (2014).
- ¹⁶H. Wang, G. Dutton, and X.-Y. Zhu, J. Phys. Chem. B 104, 10332 (2000).
- ¹⁷W. Zhao, W. Wei, and J. M. White, Surf. Sci. 547, 374 (2003).
- ¹⁸T. Yamada, M. Shibuta, Y. Ami, Y. Takano, A. Nonaka, K. Miyakubo, and T. Munakata, J. Phys. Chem. C **114** 13334 (2010).
- ¹⁹R. F. Lin, R. J. Koestner, M. A. Van Hove, and G. A. Somorjai, Surf. Sci. 134, 161 (1983).
- ²⁰T. Rockey and H.-L. Dai, Surf. Sci. **601**, 2307 (2007).
- ²¹ K. H. Frank, P. Yannoulis, R. Dudde, and E. E. Koch, J. Chem. Phys. **89**, 7569 (1988).
- ²²L. E. Firment and G. A. Somorjai, J. Chem. Phys. **63**, 1037 (1975).
- ²³W. X. Huang and J. M. White, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 5060 (2004).
- ²⁴E. L. Kolsbjerg, G. Goubert, P. H. McBreen, and B. Hammer, J. Chem. Phys. 148, 124703 (2018).
- ²⁵M. Weinelt, N. Wassdahl, T. Wiell, O. Karis, J. Hasselström, P. Bennich, and A. Nilsson, Phys. Rev. B 58, 7351 (1998).
- ²⁶Y. Lu and X. Yang, Carbon 81, 564 (2015).
- ²⁷ Z. Li, P. Wu, C. Wang, X. Fan, W. Zhang, X. Zhai, C. Zeng, Z. Li, J. Yang, and J. Hou, ACS Nano 5, 3385 (2011).
- ²⁸W. Zhao, S. M. Kozlov, O. Höfert, K. Gotterbarm, M. P. A. Lorenz, F. Viñes, C. Papp, A. Görling, and H.-P. Steinrück, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2, 759 (2011).
- ²⁹ B. Wang, M. König, C. J. Bromley, B. Yoon, M.-J. Treanor, J. A. Garrido Torres, M. Caffio, F. Grillo, H. Früchtl, N. V. Richardson, F. Esch, U. Heiz, U. Landman, and R. Schaub, J. Phys. Chem. C **121**, 9413 (2017).
- ³⁰ J. Lahiri, T. Miller, L. Adamska, I. I. Oleynik, and M. Batzill, Nano Lett. **11**, 518 (2011).
- ³¹R. Rameshan, V. Vonk, D. Franz, J. Drnec, S. Penner, A. Garhofer, F. Mittendorfer, A. Stierle, and B. Klotzer, Sci. Rep. **8**, 2662 (2018).

- ³²L. L. Patera, C. Africh, R. S. Weatherup, R. Blume, S. Bhardwaj, C. Castellarin-Cudia, A. Knop-Gericker, R. Schloegl, G. Comelli, S. GHofmann, and C. Cepek, ACS Nano 7, 7901 (2013).
- ³³M. Bonn, H. Ueba, and M. Wolf, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17, S201 (2005).
- ³⁴E. Giangrisostomi, R. Ovsyannikov, F. Sorgenfrei, T. Zhang, A. Lindblad,
- Y. Sassa, U. B. Cappel, T. Leitner, R. Mitzner, S. Svensson, N. Mårtensson, and
- A. Föhlisch, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 224, 68 (2018).
- ³⁵S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem. **27**, 1787 (2006).
- ³⁶G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558 (1993).
- ³⁷G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 14251 (1994).
- ³⁸F. Birch, Phys. Rev. **71**, 809 (1947).
- ³⁹M. Methfessel and A. T. Paxton, Phys. Rev. B 40, 3616 (1989).
- ⁴⁰T. A. Manz and D. S. Sholl, J. Chem. Theory Comput. **6**, 2455 (2010).
- ⁴¹T. A. Manz and D. S. Sholl, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 8, 2844 (2012).
- ⁴²C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, 8th ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2005), p. 328.
- ⁴³G. Henkelman and H. Jonsson, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 9978 (2000).
- ⁴⁴H. Arnolds and M. Bonn, Surf. Sci. Rep. 65, 45 (2010).
- ⁴⁵H. A. Pearce and N. Sheppard, Surf. Sci. **59**, 205 (1976).
- ⁴⁶P. Jakob and D. Menzel, Surf. Sci. 220, 70 (1989).
- ⁴⁷S. Lehwald, H. Ibach, and J. E. Demuth, Surf. Sci. 78, 577 (1978).
- ⁴⁸H. Öström, A. Föhlisch, M. Nyberg, M. Weinelt, C. Heske, L. G. M. Pettersson, and A. Nilsson, Surf. Sci. 559, 85 (2004).
- ⁴⁹F. S. Thomas, N. S. Chen, L. P. Ford, and R. I. Masel, Surf. Sci. 486, 1 (2001).
- ⁵⁰L. Asplund, U. Gelius, S. Hedman, K. Helenelund, K. Siegbahn, and P. E. M. Siegbahn, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. 18, 1569 (1985).
- ⁵¹U. Gelius, S. Svensson, H. Siegbahn, E. Basilier, Å. Faxälv, and K. Siegbahn, Chem. Phys. Lett. **28**, 1 (1974).
- ⁵² R. Haerle, E. Riedo, A. Pasquarello, and A. Baldereschi, Phys. Rev. B 65, 045101 (2001).
- ⁵³A. Wiltner and C. Linsmeier, Surf. Sci. **602**, 3623 (2008).
- ⁵⁴E. O. F. Zdansky, A. Nilsson, and N. Mårtensson, Surf. Sci. **310**, L583 (1994).
- ⁵⁵S. J. Carey, W. Zhao, and C. T. Campbell, Surf. Sci. 676, 9 (2018).
- ⁵⁶T. E. Madey and J. T. Yates, Jr., Surf. Sci. 76, 397 (1978).
- ⁵⁷N. G. Limas and T. A. Manz, RSC Adv. 8, 2678 (2018).
- ⁵⁸T. A. Manz, <u>RSC Adv</u>. 7, 45552 (2017).
- ⁵⁹G. Kresse, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 8295 (2000).
- ⁶⁰G. W. Watson, R. P. K. Wells, D. J. Willock, and G. J. Hutching, J. Phys. Chem. B 105, 4889 (2001).
- ⁶¹G. X. Cao, E. Nabighian, and X. D. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3696 (1997).
- ⁶²H. Öberg, Y. Nestsiarenka, A. Matsuda, J. Gladh, T. Hansson, L. G. M. Pettersson, and H. Öström, J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 9550 (2012).