1 Shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California

| 2 | Florian Scholz*, Mark Schmidt, Christian Hensen, Sümeyya Eroglu, Sonja Geilert, Marcus Gutjahr, |
|---|---|
| 3 | Volker Liebetrau |

- 4 GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstrasse 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany
- 5

Corresponding author: E-mail: <u>fscholz@geomar.de</u>, phone: +49 (0)431 600 2113

6 Abstract

7 Enrichments of highly reactive iron (Fe) (sum of Fe (oxyhydr)oxide, carbonate and sulfide minerals) in 8 marine sediments and sedimentary rocks are commonly interpreted as an indication of anoxic 9 conditions in the bottom water at the time of deposition. The model system for this proxy rationale is 10 the semi-restricted Black Sea, where sediments underneath the anoxic and sulfidic (i.e., euxinic) deep-water are enriched in reactive Fe, which was mobilized from the surrounding shelf areas. To 11 12 test whether such a shelf-to-basin Fe shuttle can operate in semi-restricted basins without euxinic 13 deep water, we investigated sedimentary Fe speciation and Fe isotope compositions in sediments of 14 the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. Sediments on the slope underneath the eastern equatorial 15 Pacific oxygen minimum zone and sediments within the oxic deep basin are both enriched in reactive 16 Fe, with reactive Fe making up 45 \pm 11 % of the total Fe pool. The following mechanisms may 17 contribute to these Fe enrichments: (1) Release of dissolved Fe from anoxic shelf and slope 18 sediments followed by lateral transport of dissolved and/or particulate Fe in the water column; (2) preferential transport of fine-grained, terrigenous particles with a high reactive Fe content into the 19 20 basin; (3) microbially mediated conversion of non-reactive silicate minerals to reactive Fe minerals 21 during transport; (4) hydrothermal venting and lateral Fe transport within the deep water. The first 22 process can explain reactive Fe enrichments in slope sediments, whereas all processes may 23 contribute to sedimentary Fe enrichments in the deeper basin.

The δ^{56} Fe value of sediments increases from shelf to slope and decreases from the slope into the basin. This lateral pattern of δ^{56} Fe, as well as the pattern of Fe enrichment, is similar to that observed in other marine systems with a Fe shuttle. However, the size of the Fe enrichment, and the range in δ^{56} Fe (-0.06 to +0.16‰) is smaller. This difference is due to higher terrigenous sedimentation rates in the Guaymas Basin and, therefore, more intense dilution of shuttle-derived reactive Fe. We argue that, depending on the extent of bathymetric restriction and terrigenous background sedimentation, reactive Fe enrichments can form under a broad range of redox conditions and in diverse 31 sedimentary environments. The concepts applied in this study can be used to identify those32 circumstances in the paleo-record.

33 **Key words:** reactive iron, iron shuttle, paleo-redox, oxygen minimum zone, hydrothermal vent.

34 **1. Introduction**

Iron (Fe) is an essential micronutrient in the ocean, and can limit nitrogen fixation and primary 35 36 production (Falkowski et al., 1997; Moore and Doney, 2007; Boyd and Ellwood, 2010). While 37 atmospheric dust has long been considered the main source of bioavailable Fe to the ocean (Jickells 38 et al., 2005), recent work has highlighted the importance of continental margin sediments and 39 hydrothermal vents as sources of Fe to marine phytoplankton (Moore and Braucher, 2008; Tagliabue 40 et al., 2010; Dale et al., 2015; Tagliabue et al., 2017). In ocean regions with Fe-limited primary 41 production (e.g., high-nutrient-low-chlorophyll (HNLC) regions), external Fe supply plays a critical role 42 for the extent to which carbon dioxide can be removed from the upper ocean and atmosphere via 43 export production. Therefore, paleoclimatologists and paleoceanographers have a keen interest in 44 understanding how Fe transfer from source to sink areas is recorded in sedimentary archives (e.g., 45 Murray et al., 2012; Martínez-García et al., 2014; Scholz et al., 2014a).

46 The solubility of Fe in seawater and sediment pore waters reaches a maximum under anoxic (zero oxygen) to weakly sulfidic (below saturation of Fe monosulfide minerals, FeS) conditions. Therefore, 47 48 Fe can be released from anoxic sediments (Elrod et al., 2004; Severmann et al., 2010, Noffke et al., 49 2012) and then be transported within the water column, either as dissolved ferrous Fe (Fe(II)) under 50 low-oxygen conditions, or as organically complexed, colloidal or nanoparticulate ferric Fe (Fe(III)) 51 under oxic conditions (Lohan and Bruland, 2008; Boyd and Ellwood, 2010; Noble et al., 2012; Kondo and Moffett, 2015). If dissolved, colloidal or nanoparticulate Fe is subsequently transferred into an 52 ocean region with a lower capacity for Fe transport, e.g., because of oxic or strongly sulfidic 53 54 conditions, authigenic Fe minerals ((oxyhydr)oxides, sulfides, carbonates) are precipitated and 55 deposited at the seafloor. Under such conditions, the accumulation of highly reactive Fe (FeHR, defined as the sum of Fe bound to (oxyhydr)oxides, carbonates and sulfide minerals) can be 56 decoupled from the terrigenous Fe input, thus leading to elevated ratios of Fe_{HR} to total Fe (Fe_T) and 57 Fe_T to Al compared to terrigenous particles or sediments that are unaffected by additional Fe input 58 59 (Lyons and Severmann, 2006; Poulton and Canfield, 2011; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). The 60 prototypical location of this so-called "benthic Fe shuttle" is the anoxic-sulfidic (i.e., euxinic) Black 61 Sea, where shelf-derived Fe accumulates in sediments of the deep basin as pyrite (FeS₂) (Anderson 62 and Raiswell, 2004; Raiswell and Anderson, 2005; Lyons and Severmann, 2006). Using the euxinic Black Sea as a paradigm, elevated Fe_{HR}/Fe_T and Fe_T/Al in combination with a high extent of 63

64 pyritization of the reactive Fe pool (Fe_{py}/Fe_{HR}) are widely used as proxies for anoxic and sulfidic 65 conditions in the water column of paleo-marine systems (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). In an 66 analogous manner, elevated Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} in combination with a low Fe_{py}/Fe_{HR} is interpreted as an 67 indicator for anoxic but non-euxinic, so-called ferruginous conditions. This redox state, where 68 dissolved ferrous Fe dominates over oxygen, nitrate and hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), does not exist in the 69 modern ocean but may have been dominant through much of Earth's history (Poulton and Canfield, 70 2011).

71 Since the Black Sea was established as a paradigm for the benthic or "shelf-to-basin" Fe shuttle, a 72 number of studies have demonstrated that Fe transfer from source to sink areas is a common feature 73 in the ocean and not necessarily restricted to environments where H₂S or dissolved ferrous Fe are the 74 dominant redox species. For example, in the oxygen minimum zones (OMZ) of upwelling regions, 75 sedimentary Fe release is particularly intense (Elrod et al., 2004; Severmann et al., 2010; Noffke et 76 al., 2012, Dale et al., 2015) and sediments at the boundaries of OMZs tend to be enriched in reactive Fe relative to terrigenous material (Scholz et al., 2014b; Scholz, 2018). Furthermore, water column 77 78 studies, mostly conducted within the GEOTRACES program, demonstrated far-field transport of Fe 79 from sedimentary and hydrothermal sources (Noble et al., 2012; Conway and John, 2014; Resing et 80 al., 2015; John et al., 2018). Whether or not Fe shuttling results in a sedimentary fingerprint at a 81 given location critically depends on the local balance between non-lithogenic (i.e., shuttle-derived) and lithogenic (i.e., terrigenous) Fe flux (Lyons and Severmann, 2006; Scholz, 2018). For example, in 82 83 the semi-enclosed Black Sea, shelf-derived Fe is inevitably channeled into the basin, where detrital 84 sedimentation rates are low. This combination of basin geometry and low terrigenous Fe and Al 85 supply is highly favorable for generating elevated Fe_{HR}/Fe_T and Fe_T/Al (Scholz, 2018). In principle, 86 however, sedimentary Fe enrichments are virtually unrelated to the redox state of the deep-water in 87 the Fe sink area. Even if the deep-water was oxic, shelf-derived Fe could not escape burial in the basin. One could therefore hypothesize that reactive Fe enrichments are not necessarily indicative of 88 89 euxinic (or ferruginous) anoxia, but rather related to enhanced Fe supply from non-lithogenic sources, which can be achieved under a broad range of redox conditions in the sink area. 90

Our study area, the Guaymas Basin in the Gulf of California (Fig. 1A), is an ideal system to test this hypothesis. Similar to the Black Sea, the Guaymas Basin has a semi-restricted bathymetry and the deep-water is separated from neighboring basins and the open ocean by a sill. At intermediate depth, the Eastern Equatorial Pacific OMZ impinges the seafloor, which is expected to result in sedimentary Fe release. Moreover, the Guaymas Basin is an early rifting environment (Curray and Moore, 1982), where circulation of hydrothermal fluids through young oceanic crust and overlying hemi-pelagic sediments can mobilize Fe into the oxic deep-water. In a previous study, Campbell et al.

98 (1988) found that the basin sediments are strongly enriched in manganese (Mn) relative to 99 terrigenous material deriving from both hydrothermal and sedimentary sources. Overall, the 100 Guaymas Basin shares many characteristics with predominantly oxic ocean basins like the Pacific but 101 is relatively small and semi-restricted like the euxinic Black Sea. In the present article, we address the 102 following questions: Are sediments in the Guaymas Basin characterized by variability in Fe_T/Al and Fe_{HR}/Fe_T, which can be attributed to a shelf-to-basin Fe shuttle or hydrothermal Fe input? Is it 103 104 possible to distinguish these two non-lithogenic sources of reactive Fe by the aid of Fe speciation and 105 sedimentary Fe isotopes? Our ultimate goal is to better understand how basin-scale Fe transport is 106 recorded in sedimentary paleo-archives.

107 **2. Study area and samples**

108 The Gulf of California (Fig. 1A) is located between the Mexican mainland and the Baja California 109 peninsula. Circulation and primary productivity in this area are modulated by the atmospheric 110 Monsoon circulation over southwestern North America (Thunell, 1998). During the winter season, a 111 high pressure system overlying the southwestern USA forces northeasterly winds, which transport 112 surface waters in the Gulf of California in a southward direction. The southward emplacement of 113 surface water drives upwelling of nutrient-rich subsurface water and high rates of primary and export 114 production (Marinone, 2003). By contrast, during summer season, the region north of the Gulf of California is characterized by low atmospheric pressure. Consequently, the direction of winds and 115 116 surface currents reverse, which leads to a cessation of upwelling and low rates of primary production (Thunell, 1998; Marinone, 2003). Below the seasonally varying surface water masses is the oxygen-117 118 depleted North Pacific intermediate water between 500 and 1000 m water depth (Bray, 1988). 119 Analogous to the Eastern Equatorial Pacific outside the Gulf of California, oxygen concentrations 120 increase again below the OMZ. During the productive winter season, sedimentation in the Gulf of 121 California is dominated by biogenous material (diatomaceous ooze), whereas in the rainy summer 122 season terrigenous material is supplied by river runoff and intermittent dust storms (Thunell et al., 123 1993). The lack of bioturbation within sediments underneath the OMZ leads to the formation of 124 laminated sediments, which reflect the seasonally changing climatic and oceanographic conditions in 125 the Gulf of California (Calvert et al., 1966).

The Guaymas Basin in the central Gulf of California is an early rifting environment with spreading rates of about 6 cm yr⁻¹ between the Pacific and North American plates (Curray and Moore, 1982). Its spreading axis consists of two graben systems, the northern and southern trough, separated by a strike-slip fault. In the early 1980s, hydrothermal vents were discovered in the southern trough (close to DSDP Site 477) (Fig. 1) (Von Damm et al., 1985). Due to high sedimentation rates within the basin (up to 2.8 m kyr⁻¹) (Calvert et al., 1966), hydrothermal fluids percolate through newly formed oceanic

132 crust and several hundred meters of organic matter-rich hemipelagic sediments (Simoneit et al., 133 1988). Due to interactions of hydrothermal fluids with and precipitation of metal sulfides within the sediments overlying the intrusion zone, emanating hydrothermal fluids are relatively poor in ore-134 135 forming metals compared to bare ridge crest hydrothermal systems (e.g., tens of µM of Fe instead of 136 hundreds to thousands of μ M at the East Pacific Rise) (Von Damm et al., 1995). During RV Sonne 137 cruise SO241 in June and July 2015, a new hydrothermal vent area was discovered next to the 138 northern trough (Bernd et al., 2016; Geilert et al., 2018). The hydrothermal fluids discharged in this 139 northern vent field are similar to those sampled earlier at the southern trough.

140 The samples presented in this article (Table 1) were collected during RV Sonne cruise SO241 along two transects across the eastern shelf and slope of the Guaymas basin (Fig. 1B) and across the basin, 141 142 northern trough and hydrothermal vent field (Fig. 1C). The 'shelf site' is located in a shallow embayment off the coast of the Mexican mainland. Sediments at this site are sandy, presumably 143 144 reflecting strong bottom currents causing winnowing of fine-grained material. Due to the anoxic 145 bottom water, sediments at the 'OMZ site' are laminated. The water depth and environmental setting at this station are similar to DSDP Site 480. Another core with low bottom water oxygen 146 147 concentrations was taken at the lower rim of the OMZ ('OMZ rim site'). This site is located well above the depth of the sill (~1500 m water depth) (Fig 1A), which separates the Guaymas basin from the 148 149 neighboring Carmen Basin. The 'basin site' and 'graben site' are located within the western basin 150 plain and northern trough, respectively. Sediments in these areas are bioturbated and bottom water oxygen concentrations range from 35 to 40 µM. The 'vent field site' is located within the newly 151 152 discovered vent field east of the northern trough (Bernd et al., 2016; Geilert et al., 2018). Sediments 153 in this area consist of debris of collapsed vent edifices covered by a thin layer of hemipelagic 154 sediments.

155 3. Methods

156 **3.1. Shipboard sampling and operation**

157 The water column was sampled using a video-guided rosette of 11 x 10 l Niskin bottles equipped with 158 a Seabird 9plus CTD and additional sensors measuring, among others, oxygen and turbidity (Linke et al., 2015). Water samples were collected from the Niskin bottles for on-board analyses of oxygen, 159 nitrate (NO_3) , nitrite (NO_2) and phosphate (PO_4) . About 5 l of water were used to collect particles 160 161 on acid-cleaned polyether sulfone filters (0.2 µM, 47 mm diameter) (PALL corporation) by offline 162 filtration. The filtration units were acid-cleaned prior to each use. To determine current directions 163 and velocities in the Guaymas Basin above the hydrothermal vent field, a lander-mounted upward-164 looking 300 kHz ADCP (Teledyne RDI, Workhorse Sentinel Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler) was deployed about 500 m southeast of the vent field site. The ADCP lander recorded data for the
lowermost ~100 m of the water column at 2 m resolution over a time period of about 40 hours.

167 Short sediment cores with supernatant bottom water were retrieved using a video-guided multiple corer (MUC). Upon recovery on deck, sediment cores were transferred to a cool lab, where the 168 169 temperature was adjusted to seafloor conditions. The bottom water was siphoned off with a syringe 170 attached to a Tygon tube and stored for later analyses along with pore water samples. Sediment 171 subsampling was done in an argon-flushed glove bag as described in Scholz et al. (2011). The pore 172 water was separated from the solid phase by centrifuging for 20 minutes at 4000 rpm. Centrifuge 173 vials were then transferred to a second glove bag and the supernatant water was filtered through cellulose acetate syringe filters. One ml of pore water was mixed with ascorbic acid in the glove bag 174 175 for on-board analysis of dissolved ferrous Fe (Fe²⁺). Subsamples for dissolved metal analyses were stored in acid-cleaned LDPE vials and acidified with concentrated HNO₃ (supra pure). A sediment 176 177 subsample was stored in air-tight plastic containers for the determination of water content and 178 porosity as well as for solid phase analyses after the cruise.

179 **3.2. Chemical and isotopic analyses**

A Winkler titration was applied on-board to determine oxygen concentrations in the water column. Concentrations of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, ammonia (NH₄⁺), PO₄³⁻, Fe²⁺ and H₂S (Σ H₂S = H₂S + HS⁻ + S₂⁻) in water column and/or pore water samples were analyzed on-board by standard spectrophotometric techniques (Stooky, 1970; Grasshoff et al., 2002). Dissolved Mn concentrations were determined at GEOMAR by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, VARIAN 720-ES).

185 Total organic carbon (TOC) was analyzed using an element analyzer (Euro EA, HEKAtech) after 186 removal of inorganic carbon with 1 M HCl. To determine particulate Fe, Mn, aluminum (Al) and 187 titanium (Ti) concentrations in the solid phase, water column particulate matter collected on filters 188 and sediment samples were digested on a hotplate and the resulting solutions were analyzed by ICP-OES. Water column particulate matter was digested in an acid mix consisting of 1 ml concentrated 189 190 HNO₃ (sub-boiled distilled), 0.4 M HF (Suprapur) and 0.6 ml deionized water as described in Cutter et 191 al. (2017). Sediment samples were digested in HNO_3 (sub-boiled distilled), HF (Suprapur) and $HClO_4$ 192 (analytical grade). For quality control, Certified Reference Materials SDO-1 (Devonian Ohio Shale, 193 USGS) and MESS-3 (marine sediment, Canadian Research Council) were digested and analyzed along 194 with sediment samples. Since there is no Certified Reference Material for water column particulate 195 matter, we evaluated the accuracy and precision of our procedure by digesting an in-house standard 196 from Oregon State University (MT5-NS-1750M), which consists of particulate matter collected in a sediment trap in the eastern North Pacific. Recommended and measured concentrations arereported in Table 2.

199 A sequential extraction scheme was applied to determine operationally defined pools of reactive Fe minerals in sediment samples (Poulton and Canfield, 2005). In brief, Fe bound to carbonate minerals 200 201 (Fe_{carb}) was extracted with sodium acetate, Fe bound to (oxyhydr)oxide minerals (Fe_{ox}) was extracted 202 with hydroxylamine-HCl (Fe_{Ox1}) and sodium dithionite (Fe_{Ox2}) and magnetite Fe (Fe_{Mag}) was extracted 203 with ammonium oxalate. Extraction solutions were analyzed for Fe concentration by ICP-OES with 204 yttrium as an internal standard. Concentrations of Fe bound to pyrite (Fe_{py}) were determined by the 205 chromium reduction method (Canfield et al., 1986). The sum of these four fractions represents the 206 highly reactive Fe pool (Fe_{HR}) which can be compared to the total Fe concentration (Fe_T) obtained by 207 total digestion. Our in-house standard OMZ-1 (Peru margin sediment) and the Certified Reference 208 Material PACS-3 (marine sediment, Canadian Research Council) were extracted during each batch of 209 sequential extractions. The results for the sum of highly reactive Fe without Fe bound to pyrite (Fe_{py}) 210 were consistent with data generated at the University of Southern Denmark (SDU) for the same 211 standard material (GEOMAR, OMZ-1: 0.47 ± 0.02 wt. %, n = 18, PACS-3: 1.25 ± 0.01 wt.%, n = 7; SDU, OMZ-1: 0.44 \pm 0.05 wt. %, n = 3, PACS-3: 1.26 \pm 0.04 wt.%, n = 12). The accuracy of the Fe $_{py}$ method 212 was evaluated by determining the pyrite content of mixtures of pure pyrite and quartz sand, and Fe_{py} 213 214 was typically within 5% of target values.

215 For Fe isotope analyses, digestion solutions were purified following the method described in Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg (2005) using anion exchange columns containing 1 ml BioRad 216 217 AG[®]1-X8 200-400 mesh resin. After pre-cleaning with diluted HNO₃, HCl and H₂O, the resin was 218 conditioned with 5 ml of 6 M HCl. About 50 mg of Fe in 6 M HCl was loaded onto the resin and 219 stepwise washed with a total of 6 ml 6 M HCl to elute matrix elements. Iron was eluted with 2 ml of 220 H₂O and 4 ml of 5 M HNO₃. The Fe eluate was then dried down and re-dissolved in 2 % HNO₃ for 221 isotope measurements. Iron isotope measurements were performed on a Thermo Scientific Neptune 222 Plus multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) at GEOMAR using 223 the standard-sample-bracketing method (Schoenberg and von Blanckenburg, 2005). Solutions of 224 samples and standards were measured in high-resolution mode ($M/\Delta M > 9000$) in 20 cycles over three minutes at a concentration of 4.5 μ g g⁻¹ and at a signal of approximately 13 V on ⁵⁶Fe. Signal 225 intensities of masses ⁵³Cr, ⁵⁴Fe, ⁵⁶Fe, ⁵⁷Fe, ⁵⁸Fe as well as ⁶⁰Ni were acquired in static mode. Isobaric 226 227 correction accounting for trace abundances of Cr and Ni in sample solutions were applied during data 228 reduction. Iron isotope data are reported in per mil delta notation relative to the reference standard IRMM-014 (δ^{56} Fe = (56 Fe/ 54 Fe)_{sample}/(56 Fe/ 54 Fe)_{standard} -1 x 10³). Procedural blanks were generally less 229 230 than 0.5 % of the total amount of Fe that passed through the Fe purification procedure and is, thus,

negligible for the samples' Fe isotope compositions. The external reproducibility was determined by repeated analyses of the BHVO-1 standard (Basalt, Hawaiian Volcanic Observatory, USGS), which gave δ^{56} Fe = +0.11 ± 0.06 ‰ (2 SD, n = 23). This values is in agreement with an average of +0.11 ± 0.01 ‰ reported by Craddock and Dauphas (2010). Repeated analyses of the SDO-1 standard (Devonian Ohio Shale, USGS) gave a δ^{56} Fe of +0.02 ± 0.02 ‰ (2 SD, n = 7), which is in agreement with an average of -0.05 ± 0.14 ‰ reported by Severmann et al. (2010). The long-term external reproducibility of BHVO-1 (0.06 ‰) is used to illustrate analytical uncertainty in the figures.

238 **4. Results**

239 4.1. Water column

240 Oxygen concentrations decrease from close to saturation at the surface to values below the detection limit (~2 µM) at 550 to 750 m water depth (Fig. 2). Water masses in the shallow subsurface 241 and within the OMZ are characterized by a nitrate deficit relative to phosphate (N^{*} = NO₃⁻ - 16 x PO₄³⁻ 242) compared to the average nitrogen to phosphorus ratio of phytoplankton (so-called Redfield ratio). 243 244 The nitrate deficit (negative N*) indicates that these water masses are affected by denitrification 245 (Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997). Below the OMZ, oxygen concentrations increase to values of the 246 order of 35 to 40 µM in the deep-water. Turbidity is elevated within the productive surface ocean 247 and increases progressively with depth below the water mass boundary between North Pacific 248 Intermediate Water and Pacific Deep-water (Bray et al., 1988). A further increase in turbidity is 249 observed below the depth of the sill and within the deep-water of the northern trough. Our water 250 column observations (Fig. 2) are generally consistent with previous studies in the Gulf of California 251 (Campbell and Gieskes, 1984; White et al., 2013).

252 Particulate Mn (pMn) and Fe (pFe) data are presented as concentrations per volume of seawater and as pFe and pMn to particulate AI (pAI) ratios (Fig. 2). By normalizing pFe and pMn to pAI, we can 253 254 differentiate particles of terrigenous origin (ratio equals upper continental crust, UCC) (McLennan, 255 2001) from particles that contain excess Fe or Mn due to oxidation and precipitation of sediment-or 256 hydrothermal-derived Fe and Mn in the water column. Since filtration was realized offline, oxidation 257 and precipitation of dissolved Fe and Mn during sample handling may have contributed to elevated 258 Fe/Al and Mn/Al. However, since Al is not redox sensitive and, therefore, is not released from 259 reducing sediment or precipitated within the water column, elevated pMn/pAl and pFe/pAl ratios are 260 generally indicative of the presence of Mn and Fe from non-lithogenic sources. In agreement with 261 previous studies (Campbell et al., 1988), both pMn/pAl and pMn increase from crustal-like values in 262 the surface ocean and North Pacific Intermediate Water to highly elevated values in the deep-water 263 within the basin. Elevated pMn/pAl ratios relative to UCC are observed both at the vent field site and

at the basin site. Particulate Fe concentrations increase with depth throughout the water column. In contrast to pMn/pAl, however, pFe/pAl is only elevated at the vent field site, whereas at the basin site, pFe/pAl ratios are close to the UCC. Somewhat elevated pFe/pAl ratios are observed at intermediate depth, roughly coincident with the OMZ.

268 4.2. Sediment pore water

269 Pore water profiles of major redox species (Fig. 3) provide information about the intensity of organic 270 matter degradation and the predominant biogeochemical processes in the sediment at the various 271 sites. The layer where nitrate reduction takes place (nitrogenous zone), as revealed by decreasing 272 NO_3^{-} concentrations and a transient occurrence of NO_2^{-} , becomes progressively thicker from the shelf and slope sites (≤ 1 cm) to the basin and graben sites (up to 5 cm). Sediments on the shelf and slope 273 274 are characterized by elevated pore water Fe concentrations close to the sediment surface (up to ~60 275 μ M at 5 cm at the OMZ site), whereas at the basin and graben sites slightly elevated Fe 276 concentrations are observed well below the surface (up to ~10 μ M at 10 cm). Pore water Mn 277 concentrations are low at the shelf site and within the OMZ (<2 μ M) and progressively increase 278 towards the deeper sites, where dissolved Mn concentrations up to 280 μ M are detected. At the 279 vent field site, relatively high pore water Mn concentrations (up to 80 μ M) are observed in the 280 uppermost 5 cm, whereas below this depth, pore waters are characterized by highly elevated Fe 281 concentrations up to 190 μ M. Hydrogen sulfide is detected below a sediment depth of 10 to 20 cm 282 within the OMZ, at the OMZ rim and at the basin site. Downward concentration gradients of NH_4^+ 283 from decaying organic matter decrease from the slope to the basin. Overall, our observations are 284 indicative of a decreasing intensity of organic matter degradation from the slope to the basin, which 285 is also consistent with decreasing TOC concentrations (Table 1).

286 At the shelf, OMZ, OMZ rim and vent field sites a significant concentration gradient of dissolved Fe between the bottom water and uppermost pore water sample is observed. This gradient can be used 287 to calculate a diffusive benthic flux across the sediment-water interface based on Fick's 1st law of 288 289 diffusion (Table 3). The benthic fluxes calculated for the OMZ and OMZ rim sites are comparable to those reported for other OMZs by the same method (e.g., $<0.1 - 2 \mu$ mol cm⁻² yr⁻¹ in the OMZs off Peru 290 291 and Mauritania) (Schroller-Lomnitz et al., 2019). However, due to the coarse depth resolution of pore 292 water profiles and disregard of advective transport, diffusive fluxes are generally associated with a 293 large uncertainty. In-situ benthic fluxes determined at the same site by using benthic incubation 294 chambers tend to be higher (Severmann et al., 2010; Noffke et al., 2012; Lenstra et al., 2019). This 295 general trend could either be related to the shortcomings of diffusive flux calculations, or an artificial 296 drawdown of oxidants during the incubation. A relatively high diffusive benthic Fe flux is calculated 297 for the vent field site (Table 3).

298 4.3. Sediment solid phase

299 Sedimentary Mn/Al exponentially increases from values below the UCC ratio at the shelf and OMZ 300 sites to values above UCC at the graben site (Fig. 4). The extent of Mn enrichment relative to UCC is 301 about equal at the vent field site and at the basin site. Similar to Mn/Al, Fe_T/Al increases with water 302 depth (Fig. 4). However, the extent of Fe_T enrichment relative to UCC at the OMZ rim, basin and 303 graben sites is less pronounced compared to Mn. Sedimentary Fe_T/AI ratios at the vent field site by 304 far exceed the ratios at the basin and graben sites. Sedimentary Fe_{HR}/Fe_T ratios increase from values 305 equal to modern continental margin sediment with oxic bottom water (a data compilation for 306 sediments from Long Island Sound, shallow Baltic Sea, Mississippi Delta and the NW Mediterranean) 307 (Raiswell and Canfield, 1998) at the shelf site to values above this reference value at the remaining 308 sites (Fig. 4). Increasing Fe_{HR}/Fe_T ratios from shelf to basin are accompanied by decreasing Ti/Al 309 ratios. Titanium primarily resides in heavy minerals within the coarse-grained sediment fraction, 310 whereas Al is mainly contained in fine-grained clay minerals (Boyle, 1983). Therefore, decreasing Ti/Al ratios are indicative of a decrease in grain size from shelf to basin. Mean Fe isotope values of 311 individual cores increase from the shelf site (δ^{56} Fe = +0.07 ± 0.02 ‰, 1 SD, n = 5) to the OMZ site 312 $(\delta^{56}Fe = +0.11 \pm 0.02 \text{ }$, 1 SD, n = 5) and then decrease between the OMZ rim ($\delta^{56}Fe = +0.07 \pm 0.07$ 313 ‰, 1 SD, n = 5), basin (δ^{56} Fe = +0.03 ± 0.04 ‰, 1 SD, n = 5) and graben sites (δ^{56} Fe = -0.03 ± 0.02 ‰, 1 314 SD, n = 5) (Fig. 4). Sediments at the vent field site show the lowest Fe isotope values observed in this 315 study (mean δ^{56} Fe = -0.35 ± 0.03 ‰, 1 SD, n = 5). 316

317 5. Discussion

5.1. Sedimentary manganese and iron enrichments in the Guaymas Basin

319 Sediments of the Guaymas Basin are enriched in Mn, Fe_T and Fe_{HR} relative to UCC and modern 320 continental margin sediments with oxic bottom water (Fig. 4), which could reflect delivery of Mn and 321 Fe from non-lithogenic sources. Campbell et al. (1988) established a Mn mass balance for sediments 322 in the Guaymas Basin and concluded that sedimentary Mn enrichments are primarily related to 323 hydrothermal discharge with additional contributions (roughly 25 %) from sedimentary Mn release at 324 the shelf and slope, followed by downslope transport. Efficient spread of vent-derived Mn 325 throughout the basin is also indicated by coincident and similar anomalies of pMn and pMn/pAl in 326 hydrothermal plumes and at more distal locations (compare basin and vent field site in Fig. 2) 327 (Campbell and Gieskes, 1984). Manganese oxidation in hydrothermal plumes of the Guaymas Basin is 328 relatively fast and mediated by Mn-oxidizing microbes (Dick et al., 2009). It has been hypothesized 329 that the filamentous morphology of Mn-rich particles resulting from this process facilitates longrange transport and, thus, spread of hydrothermal Mn throughout the basin (Campbell et al., 1988). 330

331 Campbell et al. (1988) did not report sedimentary Fe concentration or speciation data but noted that 332 pFe in the deep-water of the Guaymas Basin is correlated with lithogenic elements such as Al and Ti. Consistent with this observation, deep-water pFe/pAl ratios at the basin site are similar to the ratio 333 334 of UCC (Fig. 2). According to Campbell et al. (1988), lower concentrations of vent-derived pFe 335 compared to pMn are related to extensive Fe sulfide precipitation in the sediments and, therefore, 336 relatively low dissolved Fe concentrations and Fe to Mn ratios in hydrothermal fluids in the Guaymas 337 Basin compared to bare ridge-crest hydrothermal systems (Von Damm et al., 1985). In addition, the 338 pronounced difference in pFe/pAl between the vent field site and basin site (Fig. 2) imply that the spread of vent-derived Fe in the deep water is less efficient compared to Mn. 339

Pore water profiles at the shelf and slope sites indicate a diffusive flux of Fe across the sedimentwater interface (Fig. 3, Table 3) and elevated pFe/pAl ratios within the OMZ are consistent with a sedimentary source of pFe. These observations indicate that re-precipitation of sediment-derived Fe and lateral transport of dissolved and/or particulate Fe is another mechanism that could explain sedimentary Fe enrichments in the Guaymas Basin. In the following discussion, we aim to disentangle sedimentary from hydrothermal contributions to sedimentary Fe enrichments in the Guaymas Basin.

346 **5.2. Sedimentary versus hydrothermal iron sources**

Transport of non-lithogenic Fe_{HR} from a sedimentary or hydrothermal source and accumulation in the basin should result in a characteristic trend of Fe_T /Al versus Fe_{HR}/Fe_T , which can be calculated using the following set of equations (Scholz, 2018):

$$Fe_T/Al = \frac{MAR \cdot Al \cdot \left(\frac{Fe_T}{Al}\right)_{in} + (RR_{Fe} \cdot M_{Fe})}{MAR \cdot Al}$$
(1)

350

$$Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} = \frac{MAR \cdot Al \cdot \left(\frac{Fe_{T}}{Al}\right)_{in} \cdot \left(\frac{Fe_{HR}}{Fe_{T}}\right)_{in} + (RR_{Fe} \cdot M_{Fe})}{MAR \cdot Al \cdot \left(\frac{Fe_{T}}{Al}\right)_{in} + (RR_{Fe} \cdot M_{Fe})}$$

351

In these equations, MAR is the sediment mass accumulation rate (in g cm⁻² yr⁻¹), AI is the aluminum concentration (in mg g⁻¹), (Fe_T/AI)_{in} and (Fe_{HR}/Fe_T)_{in} are the initial ratios prior to deposition of nonlithogenic Fe (i.e., corresponding to the terrigenous input), RR_{Fe} is the rain rate of non-lithogenic Fe (in mmol cm⁻² yr⁻¹) and M_{Fe} is the molar mass of Fe (55.845 mg mmol⁻¹). Adopting a range of RR_{Fe} yields a trend line of Fe_T/AI versus Fe_{HR}/Fe_T (Fig. 5), which can be used to evaluate whether a

(2)

357 combination of Fe_T/Al and Fe_{HR}/Fe_T can be assigned to a net loss or gain of non-lithogenic reactive Fe. 358 Importantly, the shape of the trend line and its position within the Fe_T/AI versus Fe_{HR}/Fe_T space solely 359 depends on (Fe_T/Al)_{in} and (Fe_{HR}/Fe_T)_{in} but are unaffected by MAR, Al and RR_{Fe} (see Scholz et al. (2018) 360 for further details). The use of Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} and Fe_{T}/AI as sedimentary proxies for non-lithogenic Fe 361 delivery is based on the notion that reactive Fe minerals that precipitate in the water column are 362 mixed with sediments whose Fe content is determined by terrigenous input. We therefore use the Fe_T/Al of UCC (Fe_T/Al = 0.44) (McLennan, 2001) and Fe_{HR}/Fe_T of modern continental margin 363 364 sediments with oxic bottom water ($Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} = 0.28$) (Raiswell and Canfield, 1998) as initial values (open star in Fig. 5). Sediments plotting above and to the right of the terrigenous input have received 365 366 a net gain of non-lithogenic reactive Fe, whereas sediments plotting below and to the left of the 367 terrigenous input are characterized by a net loss of reactive Fe (e.g., through sedimentary Fe 368 release).

369 Sediments within the OMZ and at the OMZ rim plot along the trend line corresponding to non-370 lithogenic Fe delivery (Fig. 5). As these sites are located well above the sill (Fig. 2) and, thus, outside 371 of the influence of hydrothermal vents (Campbell et al., 1988), a hydrothermal source of the 372 sedimentary Fe enrichment can be excluded. Reducing sediments on the shelf and slope of the 373 Guaymas Basin release Fe to the water column (Fig. 3 and Table 3) and elevated pFe/pAl within the 374 OMZ (Fig. 2) suggest that sediment-derived Fe is re-precipitated in the water column. We therefore 375 attribute sedimentary Fe enrichments within the OMZ and at the OMZ rim to downslope shuttling of 376 sediment-derived Fe. Similar to other continental margin settings, where sedimentary Fe release and 377 downslope shuttling have been reported, Fe enrichments are most pronounced at the boundary 378 between anoxic and oxic bottom waters (i.e., at the OMZ rim site). Following previous studies, we 379 hypothesize that downslope transport is mediated via continuous Fe release, precipitation, 380 deposition and re-release (Scholz et al., 2016). Precipitation of dissolved Fe within the anoxic bottom water may proceed via multiple mechanisms including, e.g., micro-aerophilic and nitrate-dependent 381 Fe oxidation (Konhauser et al., 2011; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012; Scholz et al., 2016). At the lower 382 383 rim of the OMZ, increasing oxygen and nitrate concentrations in the bottom water (Fig. 2) induce an 384 increased flux of these oxidants into the surface sediment (note steep nitrate gradient at OMZ rim 385 site in Fig. 3). Therefore, a shift from sedimentary Fe release to Fe accumulation takes place (Scholz 386 et al., 2016). In areas where dissolved Fe release is prevented by oxygen and nitrate penetration into 387 the surface sediment, re-suspension of Fe-rich particles at the sediment surface by bottom currents may mediate further downslope transport (Lenstra et al., 2019). 388

Sediments at the basin and graben sites display elevated Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} but the corresponding Fe_{T}/Al ratios are too low to yield a match with the trend line for non-lithogenic Fe delivery (Fig. 5). This Fe 391 speciation signature is inconsistent with Fe supply from hydrothermal venting or reducing sediments 392 as the sole sources of excess Fe_{HR} . We can estimate the fraction of non-lithogenic excess Fe_{HR} and 393 lithogenic excess Fe_{HR} by comparing observed mean Fe_{HR}/Fe_T with predicted Fe_{HR}/Fe_T based on 394 Equations (1) and (2) (Table 4). At the OMZ and OMZ rim sites, 100 % of the sedimentary Fe 395 enrichments can be attributed to a non-lithogenic source. By contrast, at the Basin and Graben sites, 396 only about 30 % of the excess Fe_{HR} may be explained by non-lithogenic Fe delivery whereas the 397 remainder is delivered with a Fe_T/Al ratio similar to UCC. Anderson and Raiswell (2004) made a 398 similar observation in the Black Sea. Based on a reactive Fe mass balance, these authors concluded 399 that only about 40 % of the reactive Fe enrichment in the pelagic Black Sea can be explained by 400 release of dissolved Fe from shelf sediments and re-deposition within the deep basin. The remainder 401 was attributed to an unusually high reactivity of the lithogenic Fe delivered to the basin relative to 402 continental margin sediments with oxic bottom water. This finding can be confirmed by comparing 403 the range of Fe_T/Al and Fe_{HR}/Fe_T observed in the Black Sea (Raiswell and Canfield, 1998; Lyons and 404 Severmann, 2006) (note, Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} and Fe_{T}/Al were determined on different samples) with the trend 405 line for non-lithogenic Fe delivery (Fig. 5). Indeed, much of the data range covered by the Black Sea is 406 located to the right of the trend line, indicating that an important fraction of the reactive Fe 407 enrichment cannot be assigned to a non-lithogenic source.

408 Anderson and Raiswell (2004) noted three possible reasons for the unusually high reactivity of the 409 lithogenic Fe supply to the pelagic Black Sea: (1) microbial oxidation of ferrous Fe in primary silicate 410 minerals, (2) microbial reduction of ferric Fe in clay minerals and (3) preferential transport of fine-411 grained and Fe-rich lithogenic material across the shelf and into the basin. Consistent with this latter 412 scenario, most of the Fe_{HR} carried by rivers is contained in the fine-grained fraction (Poulton and 413 Raiswell, 2005). It is well established that these fine-grained particles can by-pass the shelf and slope 414 environment, whereas the coarse-grained sediment fraction is generally retained on continental 415 margins (Seibold and Berger, 1993). In a recent study, Lenstra et al. (2019) demonstrated that much 416 of the reactive Fe transport across the Black Sea shelf is mediated by repeated resuspension and 417 deposition of fine-grained particles that are enriched in Fe (oxyhydr)oxide and Fe-rich clay minerals. 418 In the Guaymas Basin, increasing Fe_{HR}/Fe_T ratios from shelf to basin are accompanied by decreasing Ti/Al ratios (Fig. 4), the latter of which is indicative of decreasing grain size. We cannot exclude a 419 420 microbial influence on the reactivity of terrigenous Fe based on our data set. However, considering 421 the clear trend in Ti/Al (Fig. 4) and broad parallels to the Black Sea Fe speciation signature (Fig. 5), we 422 conclude that grain-size fractionation is likely an important mechanism contributing to elevated 423 Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} in the Guaymas Basin.

424 The non-lithogenic excess Fe_{HR} at the basin and graben sites can be derived from hydrothermal 425 venting or sedimentary Fe release on the surrounding shelf and slope. Sediments underneath 426 hydrothermal plumes at mid-ocean ridges are typically enriched in Fe, even far away from the vent 427 field. For example, Cave et al. (2002) observed Fe_T /Al ratios of 2.3 to 3.0 within a distance of 2 to 25 428 km from the Rainbow hydrothermal field at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. The basin and graben sites are 429 located at a distance of 12.5 and 5.6 km from the newly discovered vent field. Therefore, a notable 430 hydrothermal influence on Fe accumulation could be expected. However, sediments underneath the 431 Rainbow hydrothermal plume are located far away from terrigenous sediment sources and are, thus, characterized by very low AI concentrations (~6 mg g^{-1}) and sediment mass accumulation rates (~2 g 432 433 cm^{-2} kyr⁻¹) (Cave et al., 2002). Using a similar approach to the one outlined above (Equation (1)), Cave et al. (2002) estimated a hydrothermal Fe rain rate of $3.7 \cdot 10^{-4} - 7.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mmol cm⁻² yr⁻¹ for 434 435 sediments underneath the Rainbow hydrothermal plume. Applying the same non-lithogenic Fe rain rate to sediments of the Guaymas basin, which are characterized by considerably higher Al 436 concentrations (~30 mg g⁻¹) and mass accumulation rates (~280 g cm⁻² kyr⁻¹) (Calvert et al., 1966), 437 438 would yield a Fe_T/AI of 0.442 - 0.445, which is analytically indistinguishable from UCC. Moreover, the 439 upward looking ADCP deployed southeast of the vent field revealed that deep-water currents are 440 predominantly directed in a northeastward direction and, thus, away from the basin and graben sites 441 (Fig. 6). It is therefore questionable whether Fe transported within hydrothermal plumes can contribute to the accumulation of excess Fe_{HR} at these locations. The rate of sedimentary Fe release 442 from reducing shelf and slope sediments of $-1.0 - -5.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mmol cm⁻² yr⁻¹ (Table 3) is of the same 443 444 order as the hydrothermal Fe rain rate underneath the Rainbow hydrothermal plume. As 445 demonstrated above, much of this sediment-derived Fe is re-precipitated at the OMZ rim close the 446 source. However, the area covered by shelf and slope sediments surrounding the Guyamas Basin is 447 considerably larger than the sink area within the deep basin and offshore export of non-lithogenic Fe 448 is consistent with elevated pFe/pAl in particles below the OMZ and above the sill (Fig. 2). Therefore, 449 it is likely that both sedimentary Fe release and hydrothermal venting contribute to enrichments of 450 non-lithogenic Fe within the deep Guaymas Basin.

451 Sediments at the vent field site are characterized by highly elevated Fe_T/AI (>150) compared to UCC, while Fe_{HR}/Fe_T ranges from 0.41 to 0.75 (Fig. 4). Extrapolating the trend line of non-lithogenic Fe 452 453 delivery (Fig. 5) to highly elevated Fe_T/Al reveals that sedimentary Fe_{HR}/Fe_T would have to be ~1 if all 454 of the excess Fe was present as Fe (oxyhydr)oxide or sulfide minerals that had precipitated from vent 455 fluids. The mismatch indicates that a fraction of the excess Fe at the vent field site is contained in 456 minerals that were not recovered by the sequential extraction. Previous studies demonstrated that 457 hydrothermal fluids in the Guaymas Basin are oversaturated with respect to Fe-rich silicate minerals 458 (e.g., ferrosilite, fayalite, greenalite) (Von Damm et al., 1985). Moreover, Fe-rich silicate minerals

were identified in plume particles at other hydrothermal vent fields (Gartman et al., 2014). We,
therefore, assume that an important fraction of the hydrothermally derived Fe at the vent field site is
present in Fe-rich silicate minerals.

462 **5.3.** Isotopic fingerprint of the shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Guaymas Basin

Previous studies in semi-restricted euxinic basins (Black Sea, Gotland Deep in the Baltic Sea) (Severmann et al., 2008; Fehr et al., 2008) and on the open-marine Peruvian continental margin (Scholz et al., 2014b) found that Fe shuttling in these systems is associated with characteristic Fe isotopic fingerprints. These fingerprints, i.e., the regression slopes between source and sink area, can be used as a framework for the interpretation of Fe isotope variability in the Guaymas Basin (Fig. 7A) (note, Black Sea and Gotland Deep (not shown) trends are essentially identical).

469 In euxinic basins, increasing Fe_T/Al ratios from shelf to basin are accompanied by decreasing δ^{56} Fe, 470 which overall results in a negative correlation between these two parameters. Reductive Fe 471 dissolution within marine sediments preferentially mobilizes the light Fe isotope (e.g., Severmann et 472 al., 2006; Staubwasser et al., 2006; Rouxel et al., 2008a; Homoky et al., 2009) and the Fe efflux from 473 reducing sediments, therefore, has a light Fe isotope composition relative to average igneous rocks 474 (Severmann et al., 2010). Using this light isotope composition as a conservative source signature, the light Fe isotopic fingerprint of sedimentary Fe enrichments in the Black Sea basin (Fig. 7A) was 475 476 assigned to lateral Fe supply from reducing shelf sediments (Severmann et al., 2008). On the 477 Peruvian continental margin, sedimentary Fe enrichments below the lower boundary of the OMZ are 478 isotopically heavier than sediments within the OMZ (Fig. 7A) (Scholz et al., 2014b), where reactive Fe 479 is reductively mobilized across the sediment-water interface (Noffke et al., 2012). In the sink area 480 below the OMZ, reactive Fe is deposited as Fe (oxyhydr)oxide and transformed to authigenic silicate 481 minerals (mainly glauconite) during early diagenesis (Scholz et al., 2014c). Most experimental and field studies found that precipitation of Fe (oxyhydr)oxides from aqueous Fe(II) results in an 482 483 isotopically heavy Fe precipitate (e.g., Bullen et al., 2001; Croal et al., 2004; Rouxel et al., 2008a; 484 Busigny et al., 2014; Chever et al., 2015). Assuming that only a fraction of the Fe transported 485 downslope is retained and buried below the OMZ, Scholz et al. (2014b) attributed the positive correlation between Fe_T/Al and δ^{56} Fe across the continental margin to non-quantitative re-486 487 precipitation of sediment-derived Fe as Fe (oxyhydr)oxide below the OMZ and offshore transport of 488 the remaining Fe. This scenario was later supported by John et al. (2018), who reported a plume of 489 isotopically light dissolved Fe emanating from the Peruvian continental margin into the open Pacific 490 well below the depth of the OMZ (1000 - 3000 m water depth).

The range of δ^{56} Fe observed in Guaymas Basin sediments is smaller than observed for sediments 491 492 from the Black Sea and Peruvian continental margin (note differing axes scales in Figs. 7A and B). Iron 493 isotope data for the Guaymas Basin plot within a triangle defined by the regression slopes of the Fe_T/AI versus $\delta^{56}Fe$ relationships observed in the Black Sea and on the Peruvian continental margin 494 (Fig. 7B) (the average Fe_T/Al and δ^{56} Fe of the shelf site is used as the intercept point). This 495 observation may be indicative of similar fractionation mechanisms. Data from the OMZ and OMZ rim 496 497 sites plot close to the regression line of Peru margin data. Sedimentary Fe release followed by lateral 498 Fe transport and partial re-deposition or retention (Scholz et al., 2014b) at these sites is consistent 499 with the decrease in benthic Fe efflux and slight increase in sedimentary Fe enrichment from OMZ 500 site to OMZ rim site (Table 3, Fig. 4 and 5). Data from the basin and graben sites plot closer to the 501 regression line of Black Sea data. Part of this trend can be related to an accumulation of isotopically 502 light Fe that was released from sediments on the surrounding shelfs and slopes. Much like in the 503 pelagic Black Sea, any Fe supplied from the surrounding shelfs and slopes cannot escape burial in the basin. Therefore, this Fe is likely to have a relatively low δ^{56} Fe value that reflects its sedimentary 504 505 source. In addition to this redox-related isotope signature, preferential transport of fine-grained and 506 Fe_{HR}-rich terrigenous particles into the basin or microbial conversion of silicate minerals to Fe (oxyhydr)oxide or sulfide minerals (see previous section) may also contribute to the light Fe isotope 507 508 composition of sediments in the Guaymas Basin (and the Black Sea). Such a scenario is supported by 509 studies on Fe isotope fractionation in soils, which demonstrated that weathering processes 510 preferentially transfer the light Fe isotopes from primary silicate minerals to fine-grained secondary 511 clay and (oxyhydr)oxide minerals (Wiederhold et al., 2007; Kiczka et al., 2010, 2011).

Another potential source of isotopically light Fe to basin sediments is hydrothermal venting. 512 Sediments at the vent field site, which consist mostly of black smoker debris, have a δ^{56} Fe of ~-0.39 513 to -0.31 ‰. These values are within the range of δ^{56} Fe values for vent fluids from bare rock 514 hydrothermal systems (e.g., Severmann et al., 2004; Bennett et al., 2009; Rouxel et al., 2008b, 2016). 515 In a plot of δ^{56} Fe versus Al/Fe_T, most of the sediment samples from the basin and graben sites plot on 516 517 a line between the average values of the shelf and vent field sites (Fig. 8). The corresponding mixing 518 relationship implies that the Fe isotope composition of sediments at the graben site could theoretically be explained by binary mixing between shelf sediments (70 - 80 %) and hydrothermal Fe 519 520 that has not been isotopically fractionated by reaction with sediments (20 - 30 %). While a maximum 521 proportion of ~30% non-lithogenic Fe at the graben site supports our other, independent estimate 522 from the Fe_T/Al versus Fe_{HB}/Fe_T relationship in Fig. 6 (Table 4), we note that the isotope composition 523 of hydrothermally sourced Fe may be moderated as the vent fluids pass through sediments and the δ^{56} Fe value of vent fluids discharged at the seafloor is unknown. Moreoever, the isotope composition 524 525 of the hydrothermally sourced Fe that is transported within hydrothermal plumes is expected to

change as a result of Fe (oxyhydr)oxide (δ^{56} Fe of dissolved Fe decreases) or Fe sulfide (δ^{56} Fe of 526 dissolved Fe increases) precipitation (Rouxel et al., 2016; Lough et al., 2017). Any vent-derived Fe 527 528 accumulating at the basin and graben sites is, thus, unlikely to have the same isotope composition as 529 the smoker debris at the vent field site. Furthermore, it should be noted that the offshore dissolved Fe plume on the Peruvian margin reported by John et al. (2018) has essentially the same isotope 530 composition as hydrothermal sediments at the vent field site (δ^{56} Fe = ~-0.2 - -0.5 ‰). Considering 531 532 these arguments and the fact that bottom currents at the vent field site are predominantly directed 533 away from the graben and basin sites (Fig. 6), we argue that hydrothermal contributions to the 534 isotope signal are likely to be of minor importance.

535 5.4. Implications for the interpretation of iron-based paleo-proxies

Sedimentary Fe speciation has been widely used to identify anoxic ($Fe_{HR}/Fe_T > 0.22 - 0.38$) and 536 ferruginous ($Fe_{pv}/Fe_{HR} < 0.7 - 0.8$) or euxinic ($Fe_{pv}/Fe_{HR} > 0.7 - 0.8$) conditions in the water column at 537 538 the time of deposition (Fig. 9) (Poulton and Canfield, 2011; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). Sediments in 539 the Guaymas Basin have a Fe speciation signature that is indicative of ferruginous depositional 540 conditions (Fig. 9), theoretically implying that dissolved ferrous Fe dominates over oxygen, nitrate 541 and hydrogen sulfide in the water column (Poulton and Canfield, 2011; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). 542 In contrast to this notion, however, bottom water redox conditions in the reactive Fe source and sink 543 areas are weakly oxic to anoxic and nitrate-reducing (O_2 : 0 - 40 μ M, NO₃⁻: \geq 30 μ M) (Fig. 2). Similar to 544 the Black Sea (Anderson and Raiswell, 2004), an important fraction of the lateral displacement of 545 reactive Fe is not related to Fe precipitation in the water column but rather to grain size fractionation 546 or microbially mediated conversion of non-reactive silicate minerals to reactive Fe (oxyhydr)oxide 547 minerals. These observations highlight that reactive Fe enrichments in ancient sediments and 548 sedimentary rocks can imply more diverse environmental conditions than is captured by the terms 549 'ferruginous' and 'euxinic'. The trend line for non-lithogenic Fe delivery used in this study can be 550 applied to identify those diverse environmental conditions in the paleo-record.

551 6. Summary and conclusions

552 Sediments in the Guaymas basin are enriched in reactive Fe relative to terrigenous material and 553 continental margin sediments with oxic bottom water. The following processes may contribute to the 554 net accumulation of reactive Fe in sediments on the slope and within the basin:

(1) Release of dissolved Fe from reducing shelf and slope sediments followed by lateral transport ofdissolved and/or particulate Fe in the water column.

(2) Preferential transfer of fine-grained terrigenous particles that are rich in reactive Fe into thebasin.

(3) Microbially mediated conversion of non-reactive silicate minerals to reactive Fe minerals duringtransport.

561 (4) Discharge of Fe at hydrothermal vents and lateral transport of dissolved and/or particulate Fe562 within the deep water.

563 The first process can explain reactive Fe enrichments in sediment at the Guaymas basin slope 564 whereas all processes may contribute to sedimentary Fe enrichments within the deep basin. Shuttle-565 related Fe speciation and isotope signals in the Guaymas Basin are relatively small compared to those 566 in Black Sea and Peru margin sediments. This difference is mainly related to the higher mass accumulation rate of terrigenous material (Guaymas Basin: ~280 g cm⁻² kyr⁻¹; Black Sea: ~5 g cm⁻² kyr⁻¹ 567 ¹; Peru margin: ~50 g cm⁻² kyr⁻¹) (Calvert et al., 1966. Calvert et al., 1991; Scholz et al., 2011). Due to 568 the higher background sedimentation rate, a similar flux of excess reactive Fe generates a smaller 569 signal in Fe_T/Al, Fe_{HR}/Fe_T and δ^{56} Fe (Scholz, 2018). Iron isotope data of sediments in the Guaymas 570 571 Basin reflect the same fractionation mechanisms that were found to be associated with Fe shuttling 572 in the Black Sea and on the Peruvian margin. This observation confirms that redox conditions in the source (Black Sea: oxic; Peru margin and Gulf of California: oxic to anoxic and nitrate-reducing) or 573 sink (Black Sea: euxinic; Peru margin and Gulf of California: oxic) area are of subordinate importance 574 for the generation of a shuttle-related sedimentary fingerprint. Instead, the degree of bathymetric 575 576 restriction and rate of terrigenous sedimentation dictate whether a resolvable imprint of the shelf-577 to-basin Fe shuttle is generated or not.

578 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the crew of RV Sonne for supporting our research at sea as well as our colleagues Regina Surberg, Annika Fiskal, Antje Beck, Anna-Kathrin Retschko, Anke Bleyer and Bettina Domeyer for support in the laboratory. This study was funded by the German Research Foundation through the Emmy Noether Nachwuchsforschergruppe ICONOX ("Iron Cycling in Continental Margin Sediments and the Nutrient and Oxygen Balance of the Ocean") to FS and by the German Ministry of Education and Research through the MAKS project. Thoughtful comments from two reviewers and the associate editor Rachael James are gratefully acknowledged.

586 References

- 587 Anderson, T.F. and Raiswell, R. (2004) Sources and mechanisms for the enrichment of highly reactive
- 588 iron in euxinic Black Sea sediments. American Journal of Science 304, 203-233.

- Beard, B.L., Johnson, C.M., Skulan, J.L., Nealson, K.H., Cox, L. and Sun, H. (2003) Application of Fe
 isotopes to tracing the geochemical and biological cycling of Fe. Chemical Geology 195, 87-117.
- Bennett, S.A., Rouxel, O., Schmidt, K., Garbe-Schönberg, D., Statham, P.J. and German, C.R. (2009)
 Iron isotope fractionation in a buoyant hydrothermal plume, 5°S Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Geochimica
 et Cosmochimica Acta 73, 5619-5634.
- Berndt, C., Hensen, C., Mortera-Gutierrez, C., Sarkar, S., Geilert, S., Schmidt, M., Liebetrau, V., Kipfer,
 R., Scholz, F., Doll, M., Muff, S., Karstens, J., Planke, S., Petersen, S., Böttner, C., Chi, W.C.,
 Moser, M., Behrendt, R., Fiskal, A., Lever, M.A., Su, C.C., Deng, L., Brennwald, M.S. and Lizarralde,
 D. (2016) Rifting under steam How rift magmatism triggers methane venting from sedimentary
 basins. Geology 44, 767-770.
- Boyd, P.W. and Ellwood, M.J. (2010) The biogeochemical cycle of iron in the ocean. Nature Geosci 3,600 675-682.
- Boyle, E.A. (1983) Chemical accumulation variations under the Peru Current during the past 130,000
 years. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 88, 7667-7680.
- Bray, N.A. (1988) Water mass formation in the Gulf of California. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Oceans 93, 9223-9240.
- Bullen, T.D., White, A.F., Childs, C.W., Vivit, D.V. and Schulz, M.S. (2001) Demonstration of significant
 abiotic iron isotope fractionation in nature. Geology 29, 699-702.
- Busigny, V., Planavsky, N.J., Jezequel, D., Crowe, S., Louvat, P., Moureau, J., Viollier, E. and Lyons,
 T.W. (2014) Iron isotopes in an Archean ocean analogue. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 133,
 443-462.
- 610 Calvert, S. (1966) Accumulation of diatomaceous silica in the sediments of the Gulf of California.
 611 Geological Society of America Bulletin 77, 569-596.
- Calvert, S.E., Karlin, R.E., Toolin, L.J., Donahue, D.J., Southon, J.R. and Vogel, J.S. (1991) Low organic
 carbon accumulation rates in Black Sea sediments. Nature 350, 692.
- Campbell, A.C. and Gieskes, J.M. (1984) Water column anomalies associated with hydrothermal
 activity in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 68, 57-72.
- 616 Campbell, A.C., Gieskes, J.M., Lupton, J.E. and Lonsdale, P.F. (1988) Manganese geochemistry in the
- 617 Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 52, 345-357.
- 618 Canfield, D.E., Raiswell, R., Westrich, J.T., Reaves, C.M. and Berner, R.A. (1986) The use of chromium
- 619 reduction in the analysis of reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments and shales. Chemical Geology

- Cave, R.R., German, C.R., Thomson, J. and Nesbitt, R.W. (2002) Fluxes to sediments underlying the
 Rainbow hydrothermal plume at 36°14′N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta 66, 1905-1923.
- 623 Chever, F., Rouxel, O.J., Croot, P.L., Ponzevera, E., Wuttig, K. and Auro, M. (2015) Total dissolvable
 624 and dissolved iron isotopes in the water column of the Peru upwelling regime. Geochimica et
 625 Cosmochimica Acta 162, 66-82.
- 626 Conway, T.M. and John, S.G. (2014) Quantification of dissolved iron sources to the North Atlantic
 627 Ocean. Nature 511, 212-215.
- 628 Craddock, P.R. and Dauphas, N. (2010) Iron Isotopic Compositions of Geological Reference Materials
 629 and Chondrites. Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research 35, 101-123.
- 630 Croal, L.R., Johnson, C.M., Beard, B.L. and Newman, D.K. (2004) Iron isotope fractionation by Fe(II) 631 oxidizing photoautotrophic bacteria. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 68, 1227-1242.
- Cutter, G., Casciotti, K., Croot, P., Geibert, W., Heimbürger, L.-E., Lohan, M., Planquette, H., van der
 Flierdt, T. (2017) Sampling and Sample-handling Protocols for GEOTRACES Cruises. Version 3.0.
- Dale, A.W., Nickelsen, L., Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Oschlies, A. and Wallmann, K.C.G. (2015) A revised
 global estimate of dissolved iron fluxes from marine sediments. Global Biogeochemical Cycles
 10.1002/2014GB005017.
- Dick, G.J., Clement, B.G., Webb, S.M., Fodrie, F.J., Bargar, J.R. and Tebo, B.M. (2009) Enzymatic
 microbial Mn(II) oxidation and Mn biooxide production in the Guaymas Basin deep-sea
 hydrothermal plume. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 73, 6517-6530.
- Elrod, V.A., Berelson, W.M., Coale, K.H. and Johnson, K.S. (2004) The flux of iron from continental
 shelf sediments: A missing source for global budgets. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31.
- Falkowski, P.G. (1997) Evolution of the nitrogen cycle and its influence on the biological
 sequestration of CO2 in the ocean. Nature 387, 272-275.
- Fehr, M.A., Andersson, P.S., Hålenius, U. and Mörth, C.-M. (2008) Iron isotope variations in Holocene
 sediments of the Gotland Deep, Baltic Sea. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 72, 807-826.
- 646 Gartman, A., Findlay, A.J. and Luther, G.W. (2014) Nanoparticulate pyrite and other nanoparticles are
- a widespread component of hydrothermal vent black smoker emissions. Chemical Geology 366,32-41.
- Geilert, S., Hensen, C., Schmidt, M., Liebetrau, V., Scholz, F., Doll, M., Deng, L., Fiskal, A., Lever, M.A.,
 Su, C.C., Schloemer, S., Sarkar, S., Thiel, V. and Berndt, C. (2018) On the formation of

- hydrothermal vents and cold seeps in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. Biogeosciences 15,5715-5731.
- Grasshoff, M., Erhard, M. and Kremling, K. (1999) Methods of Seawater Analysis. Wiley-VCH,
 Weinheim.
- Gruber, N. and Sarmiento, J.L. (1997) Global patterns of marine nitrogen fixation and denitrification.
 Global Biogeochemical Cycles 11, 235-266.
- Homoky, W.B., Severmann, S., Mills, R.A., Statham, P.J. and Fones, G.R. (2009) Pore-fluid Fe isotopes
 reflect the extent of benthic Fe redox recycling: Evidence from continental shelf and deep-sea
 sediments. Geology 37, 751-754.
- Jickells, T.D., An, Z.S., Andersen, K.K., Baker, A.R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao, J.J., Boyd, P.W.,

Duce, R.A., Hunter, K.A., Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N., laRoche, J., Liss, P.S., Mahowald, N., Prospero,

J.M., Ridgwell, A.J., Tegen, I. and Torres, R. (2005) Global iron connections between desert dust,

ocean biogeochemistry, and climate. Science 308, 67-71.

- John, S.G., Helgoe, J., Townsend, E., Weber, T., DeVries, T., Tagliabue, A., Moore, K., Lam, P., Marsay,
 C.M. and Till, C. (2018) Biogeochemical cycling of Fe and Fe stable isotopes in the Eastern Tropical
 South Pacific. Marine Chemistry 201, 66-76.
- Kiczka, M., Wiederhold, J.G., Frommer, J., Kraemer, S.M., Bourdon, B. and Kretzschmar, R. (2010) Iron
 isotope fractionation during proton- and ligand-promoted dissolution of primary phyllosilicates.
 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74, 3112-3128.
- 670 Kiczka, M., Wiederhold, J.G., Frommer, J., Voegelin, A., Kraemer, S.M., Bourdon, B. and Kretzschmar,
- R. (2011) Iron speciation and isotope fractionation during silicate weathering and soil formation in
 an alpine glacier forefield chronosequence. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 75, 5559-5573.
- 673 Kondo, Y. and Moffett, J.W. (2015) Iron redox cycling and subsurface offshore transport in the
- eastern tropical South Pacific oxygen minimum zone. Marine Chemistry 168, 95-103.
- Konhauser, K.O., Kappler, A. and Roden, E.E. (2011) Iron in Microbial Metabolism. ELEMENTS 7, 89-93.
- Lenstra, W.K., Hermans, M., Séguret, M.J.M., Witbaard, R., Behrends, T., Dijkstra, N., van Helmond,
 N.A.G.M., Kraal, P., Laan, P., Rijkenberg, M.J.A., Severmann, S., Teacă, A. and Slomp, C.P. (2018)
 The shelf-to-basin iron shuttle in the Black Sea revisited. Chemical Geology.

- Linke, P., Schmidt, M., Rohleder, M., Al-Barakati, A. and Al-Farawati, R. (2015) Novel Online Digital
 Video and High-Speed Data Broadcasting via Standard Coaxial Cable Onboard Marine Operating
 Vessels. Marine Technology Society Journal 49, 7-18.
- Lohan, M.C. and Bruland, K.W. (2008) Elevated Fe(II) and dissolved Fe in hypoxic shelf waters off
 Oregon and Washington: An enhanced source of iron to coastal upwelling regimes. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 42, 6462-6468.
- Lough, A.J.M., Klar, J.K., Homoky, W.B., Comer-Warner, S.A., Milton, J.A., Connelly, D.P., James, R.H.
 and Mills, R.A. (2017) Opposing authigenic controls on the isotopic signature of dissolved iron in
 hydrothermal plumes. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 202, 1-20.
- Lyons, T.W. and Severmann, S. (2006) A critical look at iron paleoredox proxies: New insights from
 modern euxinic marine basins. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 70, 5698-5722.
- Marinone, S. (2003) A three-dimensional model of the mean and seasonal circulation of the Gulf of
 California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 108, doi:10.1029/2002JC001720.
- Martínez-García, A., Sigman, D.M., Ren, H., Anderson, R.F., Straub, M., Hodell, D.A., Jaccard, S.L.,
 Eglinton, T.I. and Haug, G.H. (2014) Iron Fertilization of the Subantarctic Ocean During the Last Ice
 Age. Science 343, 1347-1350.
- McLennan, S.M. (2001) Relationships between the trace element composition of sedimentary rocksand upper continental crust. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 2.
- Moore, D.G. and Curray, J.R. (1982) Geologic and tectonic history of the Gulf of California, Initial
 Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, vol. 64. U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington.
- Moore, J.K. and Braucher, O. (2008) Sedimentary and mineral dust sources of dissolved iron to the
 world ocean. Biogeosciences 5, 631-656.
- Moore, J.K. and Doney, S.C. (2007) Iron availability limits the ocean nitrogen inventory stabilizing
 feedbacks between marine denitrification and nitrogen fixation. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21,
 GB2001, doi:2010.1029/2006GB002762.
- Noble, A.E., Lamborg, C.H., Ohnemus, D.C., Lam, P.J., Goepfert, T.J., Measures, C.I., Frame, C.H.,
 Casciotti, K.L., DiTullio, G.R., Jennings, J. and Saito, M.A. (2012) Basin-scale inputs of cobalt, iron,
 and manganese from the Benguela-Angola front to the South Atlantic Ocean. Limnology and
 Oceanography 57, 989-1010.

- Noffke, A., Hensen, C., Sommer, S., Scholz, F., Bohlen, L., Mosch, T., Graco, M. and Wallmann, K.
 (2012) Benthic iron and phosphorus fluxes across the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone. Limnology
 and Oceanography 57, 851-867.
- Poulton, S.W. and Canfield, D.E. (2005) Development of a sequential extraction procedure for iron:
 implications for iron partitioning in continentally derived particulates. Chemical Geology 214, 209-
- 714 221.
- Poulton, S.W. and Canfield, D.E. (2011) Ferruginous conditions: A dominant feature of the ocean
 through Earth's history. ELEMENTS 7, 107-112.
- Raiswell, R. and Anderson, T.F. (2005) Reactive iron enrichment in sediments deposited beneath
 euxinic bottom waters: constraints on supply by shelf recycling. Geological Society, London,
 Special Publications 248, 179-194.
- Raiswell, R. and Canfield, D.E. (1998) Sources of iron for pyrite formation in marine sediments.
 American Journal of Science 298, 219-245.
- Raiswell, R. and Canfield, D.E. (2012) The iron biogeochemical cycle past and present. Geochemical
 Perspectives 1, 1-220.
- Resing, J.A., Sedwick, P.N., German, C.R., Jenkins, W.J., Moffett, J.W., Sohst, B.M. and Tagliabue, A.
 (2015) Basin-scale transport of hydrothermal dissolved metals across the South Pacific Ocean.
 Nature 523, 200-203.
- Rouxel, O., Sholkovitz, E., Charette, M. and Edwards, K.J. (2008a) Iron isotope fractionation in
 subterranean estuaries. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 72, 3413-3430.
- Rouxel, O., Shanks, W.C., Bach, W. and Edwards, K.J. (2008b) Integrated Fe- and S-isotope study of
 seafloor hydrothermal vents at East Pacific Rise 9–10°N. Chemical Geology 252, 214-227.
- Rouxel, O., Toner, B.M., Manganini, S.J. and German, C.R. (2016) Geochemistry and iron isotope
 systematics of hydrothermal plume fall-out at East Pacific Rise 9°50'N. Chemical Geology 441,
 212-234.
- Schoenberg, R. and von Blanckenburg, F. (2005) An assessment of the accuracy of stable Fe isotope
 ratio measurements on samples with organic and inorganic matrices by high-resolution
 multicollector ICP-MS. International Journal of Mass Spectrometry 242, 257-272.
- Scholz, F. (2018) Identifying oxygen minimum zone-type biogeochemical cycling in Earth history using
 inorganic geochemical proxies. Earth-Science Reviews 184, 29-45.

- Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Noffke, A., Rohde, A., Liebetrau, V. and Wallmann, K. (2011) Early diagenesis of
 redox-sensitive trace metals in the Peru upwelling area: response to ENSO-related oxygen
 fluctuations in the water column. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 75, 7257-7276.
- Scholz, F., McManus, J., Mix, A.C., Hensen, C. and Schneider, R.R. (2014a) The impact of ocean
 deoxygenation on iron release from continental margin sediments. Nature Geoscience 7, 433-437.
- Scholz, F., Severmann, S., McManus, J. and Hensen, C. (2014b) Beyond the Black Sea paradigm: The
 sedimentary fingerprint of an open-marine iron shuttle. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 127,
 368-380.
- Scholz, F., Severmann, S., McManus, J., Noffke, A., Lomnitz, U. and Hensen, C. (2014c) On the isotope
 composition of reactive iron in marine sediments: Redox shuttle versus early diagenesis. Chemical
 Geology 389, 48-59.
- Scholz, F., Löscher, C.R., Fiskal, A., Sommer, S., Hensen, C., Lomnitz, U., Wuttig, K., Göttlicher, J.,
 Kossel, E., Steininger, R. and Canfield, D.E. (2016) Nitrate-dependent iron oxidation limits iron
 transport in anoxic ocean regions. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 454, 272-281.
- Schroller-Lomnitz, U., Hensen, C., Dale, A.W., Scholz, F., Clemens, D., Sommer, S., Noffke, A. and
 Wallmann, K. (2019) Dissolved benthic phosphate, iron and carbon fluxes in the Mauritanian
 upwelling system and implications for ongoing deoxygenation. Deep Sea Research Part I:
 Oceanographic Research Papers 143, 70-84.
- 757 Seibold, E. and W.H., B. (1993) The Sea Floor. Springer, Berlin.
- Severmann, S., Johnson, C.M., Beard, B.L., German, C.R., Edmonds, H.N., Chiba, H. and Green, D.R.H.
 (2004) The effect of plume processes on the Fe isotope composition of hydrothermally derived Fe
 in the deep ocean as inferred from the Rainbow vent site, Mid-Atlantic Ridge, 36 degrees 14' N.
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters 225, 63-76.
- Severmann, S., Johnson, C.M., Beard, B.L. and McManus, J. (2006) The effect of early diagenesis on
 the Fe isotope compositions of porewaters and authigenic minerals in continental margin
 sediments. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 70, 2006-2022.
- Severmann, S., Lyons, T.W., Anbar, A., McManus, J. and Gordon, G. (2008) Modern iron isotope
 perspective on the benthic iron shuttle and the redox evolution of ancient oceans. Geology 36,
 487-490.
- Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W.M. and Hammond, D.E. (2010) The continental shelf
 benthic iron flux and its isotope composition. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74, 3984-4004.

- Simoneit, B.R.T., Kawka, O.E. and Brault, M. (1988) Origin of gases and condensates in the Guaymas
 Basin hydrothermal system (Gulf of California). Chem. Geol. 71, 169-182.
- Staubwasser, M., von Blanckenburg, F. and Schoenberg, R. (2006) Iron isotopes in the early marine
 diagenetic iron cycle. Geology 34, 629-632.
- Stookey, L.L. (1970) Ferrozine a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. Analytical Chemistry 42,
 775 779-781.
- Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., Dutay, J.-C., Bowie, A.R., Chever, F., Jean-Baptiste, P., Bucciarelli, E., Lannuzel,
 D., Remenyi, T., Sarthou, G., Aumont, O., Gehlen, M. and Jeandel, C. (2010) Hydrothermal
 contribution to the oceanic dissolved iron inventory. Nature Geosci 3, 252-256.
- Tagliabue, A., Bowie, A.R., Boyd, P.W., Buck, K.N., Johnson, K.S. and Saito, M.A. (2017) The integral
 role of iron in ocean biogeochemistry. Nature 543, 51-59.
- Thunell, R., Pride, C., Tappa, E. and Muller-Karger, F. (1993) Varve formation in the Gulf of California:
 Insights from time series sediment trap sampling and remote sensing. Quaternary Science
 Reviews 12, 451-464.
- Thunell, R.C. (1998) Seasonal and annual variability in particle fluxes in the Gulf of California: A
 response to climate forcing. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 45, 2059 2083.
- Von Damm, K.L., Edmond, J.M., Measures, C.I. and Grant, B. (1985) Chemistry of submarine
 hydrothermal solutions at Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta
 49, 2221-2237.
- White, A.E., Foster, R.A., Benitez-Nelson, C.R., Masqué, P., Verdeny, E., Popp, B.N., Arthur, K.E. and
 Prahl, F.G. (2013) Nitrogen fixation in the Gulf of California and the Eastern Tropical North Pacific.
 Progress in Oceanography 109, 1-17.
- Wiederhold, J.G., Teutsch, N., Kraemer, S.M., Halliday, A.N. and Kretzschmar, R. (2007) Iron isotope
 fractionation in oxic soils by mineral weathering and podzolization. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
 Acta 71, 5821-5833.

796 Figure captions

Figure 1. (A) Bathymetric map of the Guaymas Basin within the Gulf of California (bathymetric data from GEBCO). Sampling stations are depicted by stars (multiple corer), yellow-filled circles (CTD rosette) and green-filled circles (ADCP lander). The location of DSDP Site 480 within the OMZ and DSDP Site 477 within the hydrothermal vent field of the southern trough are shown as well. Water and sediment sampling was conducted along two transects on the eastern slope of the GuaymasBasin (B) and across the basin and northern trough (C).

803 Figure 2. Water column profiles of salinity, temperature, oxygen (sensor measurement) and turbidity 804 at the graben site as well as composite profiles of oxygen (Winkler titration), nitrate deficit relative to phosphate (N* = NO_3^{-1} - 16 x PO_4^{-3}), turbidity as well as particulate Mn to Al (pMn/pAl in mg/mg) and 805 806 Fe to AI (pFe/pAI in mg/mg) ratios at multiple sites. Vertical solid lines depict the pMn/pAI and 807 pFe/pAl of the upper continental crust (McLennan, 2001). Particulate Mn and Fe concentrations are 808 shown in inlet diagrams. Strongly deviating values of turbidity, pFe/pAl and pFe (red diamonds) at 809 the vent field site are shown with a separate x-axis. Horizontal arrows depict the water depth of 810 sediment cores (Table 1). Horizontal dashed lines depict the depth of the water mass boundary 811 between North Pacific Intermediate Water and Pacific Deep-water and the depth of the sill that separates Guaymas Basin from Carmen Basin. The data shown in this figure are contained in the 812 813 Electronic Annex.

Figure 3. Pore water profiles of NO_3^- , NO_2^- , Fe^{2+} , Mn, H_2S and NH_4^+ at all sediment core stations. The uppermost data points in each profile represent bottom water values. Note differing concentration scales at different sites. The data shown in this figure are contained in the Electronic Annex.

Figure 4. Plots of Mn/Al (note logarithmic axis), Fe_T/Al , Fe_{HR}/Fe_T , Ti/Al (all element ratios in mg/mg) and $\delta^{56}Fe$ versus water depth for all sediment core stations. Strongly deviating values of Fe_T/Al and $\delta^{56}Fe$ at the vent field site are shown with a separate y-axis. Horizontal dashed lines depict the upper continental crust (element ratios) (McLennan, 2001), modern continental margin sediment with oxic bottom water ($Fe_{HR}/Fe_T = 0.28$) (Raiswell and Canfield, 1998) and average igneous rocks ($\delta^{56}Fe =$ +0.09 ‰) (Beard et al., 2003). The data shown in this figure are contained in the Electronic Annex.

823 Figure 5. Plot of Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} versus Fe_{T}/Al featuring a trend line corresponding to the delivery of non-824 lithogenic reactive Fe. Sediments that receive excess reactive Fe through lateral transport of 825 dissolved or particulate Fe originating from reducing sediments or from hydrothermal sources are 826 expected to plot on this trend line (Scholz, 2018). The open star (terrigenous input) depicts the 827 Fe_{HR}/Fe_T of continental margin sediments with oxic bottom water (Raiswell and Canfield, 1998) and the Fe_T/AI of the upper continental crust (McLennan, 2001). The range of Fe_{HR}/Fe_T and Fe_T/AI 828 829 reported for sediment from the euxinic Black Sea is shown for comparison (Raiswell and Canfield, 830 1998; Lyons and Severmann, 2006) (note, Fe_{HR}/Fe_{T} and Fe_{T}/AI were not determined on the same 831 samples).

Figure 6. Deep water current directions (0° equals north) and velocities (color) southeast of the vent site during the 40-hour deployment of the ADCP lander. The distance from the center equals the

time, during which currents were directed into a given direction in percent of the total deployment
time. The fraction of a given range of current velocities during the total deployment time is given in
percent in parentheses in the legend. The location and distance of the basin and graben sites relative
to the ADCP lander (vent field site) are shown as well.

Figure 7. Plot of Fe_T/Al versus δ^{56} Fe for sediments from: (A) the Black Sea (Severmann et al., 2008) and Peruvian continental margin (Scholz et al., 2014b) and (B) the Gulf of California (this study). Uncertainties on published data are not shown for clarity. The regression slopes of Black Sea and Peru margin (OMZ, below OMZ) data are shown in (B) using the average Fe_T/Al and δ^{56} Fe at the shelf site as the intercept. Note differing axes scales in (A) and (B). The black box in (A) depicts the range of data shown in (B).

- Figure 8. Plot of δ^{56} Fe versus Al/Fe_T. The black line depicts a mixing trend between sediments at the shelf (0 %) and vent field (100 %) sites. The gray array depict the range of δ^{56} Fe observed in a dissolved Fe plume emanating from the Peruvian margin into the open Pacific (John et al., 2018).
- Figure 9. Plot of Fe_{py}/Fe_{HR} (extent to which highly reactive Fe has been converted to pyrite) versus Fe_{HR}/Fe_T featuring fields that are used to identify oxic, ferruginous and euxinic depositional environments in the paleo-record (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). Gray arrays represent transitional ranges, where data could be consistent with either redox state. The data shown in this figure are contained in the Electronic Annex.

| able 1. Locatic | on and charac | cterization of samp | oling stations. | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|
| Station | Gear | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Deepest measurement/ | Oxygen close to | Mean TOC |
| | | | | | depth to bottom (m) | bottom (µMI) | (Wt.%) |
| CTD | | | | | | | |
| 25 | CTD04 | 27°55.012' N | 111°01.128' W | Shelf | 37 | 190 | ı |
| 42 | CTD07 | 27°42.411' N | 111°13.663' W | OMZ | 659 | BD | ı |
| 12 | CTD02 | 27°26.151' N | 111°30.280' W | Basin | 1847 | 39 | ı |
| 45 | CTD08 | 27°24.577' N | 111°25.184' W | Graben | 2028 | 36 | |
| 39 | CTD06 | 27°24.768' N | 111°23.229' W | Vent field ¹ | ı | · | |
| 52 | CTD09 | 27°24.750' N | 111°23.240' W | Vent field ¹ | ı | | ı |
| MUC | | | | | | | |
| 26 | MUC06 | 27°55.013' N | 111°01.127' W | Shelf | 44 | 191 | 0.60 |
| 29 | MUC09 | 27°42.410' N | 111°13.656' W | OMZ | 667 | BD | 3.32 |
| 41 | MUC13 | 27°34.800' N | 111°21.537' W | OMZ rim | 1221 | 15 | 3.84 |
| 15 | MUC02 | 27°26.522' N | 111°29.925' W | Basin | 1856 | 39 | 2.91 |
| 16 | MUC03 | 27°23.827' N | 111°25.923' W | Graben | 2043 | 36 | 2.89 |
| 99 | MUC16 | 27°24.577' N | 111°23.265' W | Vent field | 1853 | ~30 ² | 1.69 |
| DCP lander | | | | | | | |
| 57 | ADCP01 | 27°24.485' N | 111°23.003' W | 500 m SE of vent field | ~1850 | | ı |
| 3D: Below de | tection limit | (<2 µM). | | | | | |

bu: below detection inmit (<2 µivi).

¹CTD rosette was lowered and then towed across the vent field.

²Bottom water oxygen concentration approximated based on CTD08 at the corresponding water depth.

| Table 2. Recommended and meas | ured element concentration | s in reference standards. | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Reference standard | Fe | Mn | AI | Ξ |
| | $(mg g^{-1})$ | (mg g ⁻¹) | (mg g ⁻¹) | $(mg g^{-1})$ |
| MESS-3 | | | | |
| Certified value | 43.4 ± 1.1 | 0.324 ± 0.007 | 84.2 ± 1.5 | 4.40 ± 0.60 |
| This study (n = 4) | 42.4 ± 1.2 | 0.335 ± 0.005 | 84.2 ± 1.5 | 4.21 ± 0.08 |
| SDO-1 | | | | |
| Certified value | 65.3 ± 1.5 | 0.325 ± 0.038 | 64.9 ± 1.2 | 4.25 ± 0.18 |
| This study $(n = 4)$ | 66.4 ± 4.6 | 0.327 ± 0.006 | 64.6±2.0 | 4.10 ± 0.09 |
| MT5-NS-1750M ¹ | | | | |
| Previously measured value | 24.6 ± 0.7 | 0.287 ± 0.017 | 37.7 ± 1.1 | |
| This study $(n = 3)$ | 24.6 ± 1.1 | 0.296 ± 0.014 | 37.5 ± 2.3 | |
| | | | | |

¹In house standard from Oregon Sate University, water column particulate matter from a sediment trap in the eastern North Pacific.

| incore of incore of | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Site | Bottom water | Bottom water | Porosity | D_{sed}^{1} | Fe ²⁺ , bottom | Fe ²⁺ (0 - 1 cm) | Fe flux ³ |
| | temperature (°C) | salinity | (0 - 1 cm) | $(cm^{2} s^{-1})$ | water ² (µM) | (MM) | (μmol cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹) |
| Shelf | 28.4 | 35.0 | 0.67 | 7.19E-06 | BD | 0.52 | -0.10 |
| OMZ | 6.3 | 34.5 | 0.97 | 3.87E-06 | 0.42 | 2.81 | -0.56 |
| OMZ rim | 3.8 | 34.6 | 0.94 | 3.57E-06 | BD | 0.88 | -0.14 |
| Basin | 2.8 | 34.6 | 0.96 | 3.47E-06 | BD | BD | 0.0 |
| Graben | 2.8 | 34.6 | 0.98 | 3.47E-06 | BD | BD | 0.0 |
| Vent field | 2.8 | 34.6 | 0.91 | 3.47E-06 | 0.44 | 40.5 | -7.97 |
| BD: Below dete | ction limit (~0.2 µM) | | | | | | |
| | ć | | | | | | |

Table 3. Input data for the calculation if diffusive fluxes of Fe²⁺ across the sediment-water interface.

¹Diffusion coefficient for Fe²⁺ in sediments, corrected for porosity, adjusted to in-situ temperature, salinity and pressure (see Scholz et al. (2011) for details).

 ^2A concentration of 0.2 μM was used if bottom water Fe $^{2^+}$ was below detection limit.

 3 Calculated using Fick's 1 $^{\rm st}$ law of diffusion (see Scholz et al. (2011) for details).

| Site | Mean Fe _T /Al | SD | Mean Fe _{HR} /Fe _T | SD | Predicted | Non-lithogenic Fe _{HR} |
|---------|--------------------------|------|--|------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | Fe _{HR} /Fe _T | (% of total Fe _{HR} enrichment) |
| OMZ | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 103 |
| OMZ rim | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 103 |
| Basin | 0.49 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 32 |
| Graben | 0.50 | 0.03 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 32 |



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7



Figure 8



Figure 9