

Case Report

<https://doi.org/10.4070/kcj.2016.0382>

Print ISSN 1738-5520 • On-line ISSN 1738-5555



kcj

Korean Circulation Journal

Controlled Atrial Fibrillation after Pulmonary Vein Stenting

Young-Ah Park, MD, Jiwon Seo, MD, and Hui-Nam Pak, MD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

When there is no pulmonary vein reconnection after catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation, patients can experience recurrence of atrial fibrillation without clear evidence of non-pulmonary vein foci. We describe a patient with significant pulmonary vein stenosis and recurrent atrial fibrillation after four ablation procedures. After successful pulmonary vein stenting, the symptoms were resolved, and sinus rhythm was maintained for 2 years without treatment with antiarrhythmic medication. We believe pulmonary vein stenting potentially controlled atrial fibrillation by providing pulmonary vein pressure relief or by compressing the epicardial triggers occurring at the pulmonary vein ostium. (**Korean Circ J 2017;47(4):528-531**)

KEY WORDS: Atrial fibrillation; Catheter ablation; Balloon angioplasty.

Introduction

It is widely accepted that atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with triggers originating from the muscular sleeves of the pulmonary veins.¹⁾ Therefore, pulmonary vein (PV) isolation is considered to be the cornerstone of AF catheter ablation.²⁾ Other mechanisms involving PV, including loss of electrical coupling between the endocardial and epicardial network^{3,4)} and pressure-related stretching,⁵⁾ also play a role in the perpetuation of AF. Herein, we describe a case of PV stenosis after multiple AF catheter ablations and the association of PV stenting and AF control after the successful isolation of the PV.

Case

A 60-year-old man with AF was admitted to our hospital after

Received: October 31, 2016

Revision Received: December 12, 2016

Accepted: December 13, 2016

Correspondence: Hui Nam Pak, MD, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea

Tel: 82-2-2228-8459, Fax: 82-2-393-2041

E-mail: hnpak@yuhs.ac

• The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

experiencing dyspnea, orthopnea, and a non-productive cough for 2 weeks. The patient had a 10-year history of longstanding persistent AF, four previous radiofrequency catheter ablation procedures (10, 9, and 2 years, and 5 months prior to admission), and a strong family history of AF with ischemic stroke. His CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was 0 (male, age <60 years old, and no congestive heart failure, hypertension, diabetes, stroke/transient ischemic attack/thromboembolism, or vascular disease), and highly symptomatic paroxysmal AF remained after multiple procedures. Although this patient had undergone his 1st and 2nd procedures at another institute and we have limited information based on the medical record, he did show arrhythmogenic PV triggers from the left upper PV. At the 3rd procedure, we had found reconnected PV potentials at the left superior PV and right inferior PV and noted persistence of AF triggers from the left superior PV. Therefore, we had conducted complete circumferential PV isolation and added linear ablations. However, AF recurred as paroxysmal type. At the 4th procedure, all PV isolations and bidirectional blocks of linear ablation were maintained well, and a complex fractionated atrial electrogram-guided ablation was added (Fig. 1A). Although a left upper PV trigger occurred during the first three procedures, we did not confirm the exit block by inside PV pacing at the last procedure. To exclude potential epicardial conduction after circumferential PV isolation, inside PV pacing with maximal electrode contact might be important, especially during repeat procedures. There was no evidence of non-PV triggers after electrical cardioversion under isoproterenol infusion. At that time, 75% luminal narrowing of the left superior PV was noticed, but there were no respiratory symptoms. However, the patient became




Fig. 1. Electroanatomical map and CT images. (A) 3D-CT merged NavX electroanatomical map of the 3rd AF catheter ablation (2 years prior). (B) Five months after the last ablation procedure, severe stenosis of the left superior PV was observed (white arrows). (C) Twelve-month follow-up CT after PV stenting. 3D: 3-dimensional, CT: computed tomography, PV: pulmonary vein.

symptomatic with AF recurrence 5 months later, and 3-dimensional-computed tomography (CT) revealed progression of PV stenosis with a significantly atrophied left atrium (Fig. 1B). An increased left superior PV systolic peak flow velocity (251 cm/s) with turbulent flow at the PV ostium was observed on the transesophageal echocardiogram (Fig. 2A, B). Based on the diagnosis of clinically significant left superior PV stenosis (Fig. 2C), balloon-expandable stent deployment (10x19 mm, OMNILINK, Abbott Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) after balloon angioplasty (8x20 mm, POWERFLEX, Cordis Inc., Hialeah, FL, USA) (Fig. 2D) was performed. Sinus rhythm was restored, and the patient exhibited no apparent symptoms related to PV stenosis or AF recurrence 1 day after PV stenting. A follow-up CT performed 12 months after the procedure showed a patent left superior PV (Fig. 1C). There was no recurrence of AF based on 24-hour Holter electrocardiogram monitoring based on 2012 ACC/AHA/ESC guidelines⁶⁾ during regular follow-ups for 2 years (Fig. 3).




Fig. 2. Image diagnosis of PV stenosis and PV stenting. (A, B) A transesophageal echocardiogram shows increased PV systolic flow velocity in the pulse wave Doppler and turbulent flow at the PV ostium in the color Doppler. (C, D) Left superior PV venograms before (C) and after (D) angioplasty. PV: pulmonary vein.

Discussion

Considering the substantial recurrence rate of AF during long-term follow-up, repeat ablation procedures⁷⁾⁸⁾ with a highly efficient irrigated tip ablation catheter may improve the clinical outcome of AF ablation.⁹⁾ We targeted the left atrial antrum for circumferential PV isolation; however, high-energy repeat ablation on the PV antrum may also generate significant PV stenosis. In the current case, the patient had recurrent AF after multiple ablation procedures without evidence of PV reconnection or non-PV trigger, followed by successful control of AF by PV stenting of the left superior PV. There are several potential explanations for the antiarrhythmic effect of the PV stent. First, PV stenting could have alleviated the stretch-induced pro-arrhythmic property of the PV proximal to the stenosis. Previous reports have suggested that mechano-electrical feedback caused by stretching regulates the arrhythmogenic activity of the atrium and PV by increasing automaticity and inducing calcium overload in the myocardial tissue.⁵⁾ Conversely, relieving the pressure related to stretching of the PV by stenting may contribute to this anti-arrhythmic effect. AF was controlled immediately after the stenting, indicating that the hemodynamic burden of the lung and possibly the right heart




Fig. 3. Tachogram from a 24-month follow-up Holter after PV stenting. There were no episodes of AF after the PV stenting. A single peak at 16:35 in the tachogram (arrow) was a transient non-sustained atrial tachycardia with 12 consecutive beats. BPM: beats per minute, PV: pulmonary vein, AF: atrial fibrillation.

caused the AF recurrence rather than inflammation or fibrosis. Second, there was potential epicardial conduction from the remaining PV triggers despite successful endocardial PV isolation. Pak et al.³⁾ highlighted the importance of epicardial structures in AF ablation in cases resistant to endocardial ablation. During the repeated ablation procedure, the patient had several triggers inside the stenotic PV, and repeated RF energy delivery was required to isolate the electrical conduction of the left superior PV. Although we could not elicit the remaining PV triggers or find evidence of epicardial conduction by pacing inside PV, unrecognized PV triggers might exist. The PV stent may have induced anti-arrhythmic effects by mechanical compression, dissection, or hemodynamic change in the area of the PV triggers.

In conclusion, this is the first case report, to our knowledge, of

recurrent AF with PV stenosis after multiple AF catheter ablation successfully controlled by PV stent deployment.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant (A085136) from the Korea Health 21 R&D Project, Ministry of Health.

References

1. Haïssaguerre M, Jaïs P, Shah DC, et al. Spontaneous initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats originating in the pulmonary veins. *N*

- Engl J Med* 1998;339:659-66.
2. January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;64:e1-76.
 3. Pak HN, Hwang C, Lim HE, Kim JS, Kim YH. Hybrid epicardial and endocardial ablation of persistent or permanent atrial fibrillation: a new approach for difficult cases. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;18:917-23.
 4. Verheule S, Eckstein J, Linz D, et al. Role of endo-epicardial dissociation of electrical activity and transmural conduction in the development of persistent atrial fibrillation. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 2014;115:173-85.
 5. Chang SL, Chen YC, Chen YJ, et al. Mechanoelectrical feedback regulates the arrhythmogenic activity of pulmonary veins. *Heart* 2007;93:82-8.
 6. Calkins H, Kuck KH, Cappato R, et al. 2012 HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for patient selection, procedural techniques, patient management and follow-up, definitions, endpoints, and research trial design: a report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. Developed in partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society (ECAS); and in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC), American Heart Association (AHA), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of the American College of Cardiology Foundation, the American Heart Association, the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society, the European Heart Rhythm Association, the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society, and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm* 2012;9:632-96.e21.
 7. Hsieh MH, Tai CT, Lee SH, et al. The different mechanisms between late and very late recurrences of atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing a repeated catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2006;17:231-5.
 8. Montserrat S, Sitges M, Calvo N, et al. Effect of repeated radiofrequency catheter ablation on left atrial function for the treatment of atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol* 2011;108:1741-6.
 9. Hwang ES, Pak HN, Park SW, et al. Risks and benefits of an open irrigation tip catheter in intensive radiofrequency catheter ablation in patients with non-paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Circ J* 2010;74:644-9.