PROPERTIES OF CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL OF MARKER SET DISTANCE AND ITS LAPLACIAN

MEDHA ITAGI HUILGOL*, S. ANURADHA

Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University, BENGALURU - 560 001, INDIA

Abstract. In our previous papers, we had introduced the marker set distance matrix and its eigenvalues and the marker set Laplacian eigenvalues. Also, expressions for the characteristic polynomials of the marker set distance matrix and its Laplacian had been found. In this paper, we discuss the properties of the characteristic polynomials of M-set distance matrix and its Laplacian.

Keywords: marker set of a graph; M-set distance matrix; M-set distance Laplacian; characteristic polynomial.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 05C12, 05C50, 92E10.

1. Introduction

The study of matrices associated with graphs involves the study of their characteristic polynomials. More often than not, the characteristic polynomial of a matrix reveals a lot of information about the underlying graph. The coefficients and roots of the characteristic polynomial are another set of parameters whose relation to the underlying graph is an interesting premise which we are looking in to in this paper. We refer the reader to our earlier papers [12], [13], [14] for

---

*Corresponding author

E-mail address: medha@bub.ernet.in

a detailed study of marker set distance and matrix and its characteristic polynomial as well as that of marker set Laplacian. For easy understanding, we list out a few of the definitions and results here again.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [12] Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph of order p. Let M be a subset of vertices of G, referred to as a marker set or M-set (in short). M-set distance between two vertices v_i and v_j is defined as $d_M(v_i, v_j) = d_{ij} = |d(v_i, M) - d(v_j, M)|$. Here $d(v_i, M) = \min\{d(v_i, w) : w \in M\}$. The $p \times p$ matrix $D_M(G) = [d_{ij}]$ is called the *M-set distance matrix* of the marker set M in the graph G. The characteristic polynomial can be written as $\Phi(G : M, \mu) = \Delta(D_M(G) = \mu^p - S_1\mu^{p-1} + S_2\mu^{p-2} - \ldots + (-1)^p S_p$. It is clear from [15] that $(-1)^i S_i = \Sigma M_{D_i}$ where M_{D_i} are the principal minors of $D_M(G)$ with order i. (Minors whose diagonal elements belong to the main diagonal of $D_M(G)$). $S_0 = 1$ and $S_1 = trace D_M(G) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. [12] The M-set eccentricity of a vertex v of G, denoted by $e_M(v)$ is defined as the maximum of all the M-set distances of v.

Definition 2.3. [12] The M-set diameter of a graph G with respect to a marker set M is denoted by $diam_M(G)$ and is defined as the maximum of all the M-set eccentricities of the vertices of G.

Definition 2.4. [13] Given a simple connected graph G and a marker set M of G, the distance degree sequence denoted by $DDS_G(M)$ can be defined as

$DDS_G(M) = (k_0, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n)$ written in a non-decreasing order where k_i is the number of vertices of G at distance i from M where, $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $m = diam_M(G)$.

Definition 2.5. [12],[13] Let G be a simple connected graph of order p and M be a marker set with $|M| = k$ and $diam_M(G) = m$. Let k_i be the number of vertices of G at M-distance i $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ so that $k + \Sigma_{i=1}^m k_i = p$. Permuting the vertices in such a way that the first k vertices are at M-distance 0 from the set M, the next k_1 vertices are at distance 1 from the set M, the

next k_2 vertices at distance 2 from the set M and so on. The M-distance matrix is given by

$$D_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k \times k} & 1_{k \times k_1} & 2_{k \times k_2} & \cdots & m_{k \times k_m} \\ 1_{k_1 \times k} & 0_{k_1 \times k_1} & 1_{k_1 \times k_2} & \cdots & (m-1)_{k_1 \times k_m} \\ 2_{k_2 \times k} & 1_{k_2 \times k_1} & 0_{k_2 \times k_2} & \cdots & (m-2)_{k_2 \times k_m} \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ m_{k_m \times k} & (m-1)_{k_m \times k_1} & (m-2)_{k_m \times k_2} & \cdots & 0_{k_m \times k_m} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $j_{t_1 \times t_2}$ is a matrix of order $t_1 \times t_2$ with all entries equal to $j, 0 \le j \le m$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \{k, k_1, k_2, ...k_m\}$. This is called the *Standard form of marker set distance matrix.*

Definition 2.6. [13] Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph of order p. Let $M \subseteq V(G)$ be a non empty marker set of G. The M-set distance Laplacian is defined as $L_M(G) = D_M(G) - diag[DDS_G(M)]$,

where $D_M(G)$ is the marker set distance matrix in the standard form.

We recall a theorem.

Theorem 2.1. [13] *Let G be a simple connected graph on p vertices and M be a dominating marker set of G with $|M| = k$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the M-set Laplacian is $\lambda^p - S_1 \lambda^{p-1} + S_2 \lambda^{p-2} - S_3 \lambda^{p-3} + S_4 \lambda^{p-4}$ where $S_1 = -p$, $S_2 = 0$,*

$$S_3 = \begin{cases} (p-1)(p-2), & when \quad k = 1 \\ (k-1)p(p-k), & when \quad k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

$$S_4 = \begin{cases} 0, & when \quad k \le 2 \\ -k(k-1)(p-k)^2, & when \quad k \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *A simple connected graph G of order p with a dominating set as a marker set M has integral M- set distance eigenvalues if and only if p is even and $|M| = p/2$.*

Proof. *Let G be a simple connected graph of order p with a dominating marker set M of cardinality k. Then the marker set distance matrix is given by* $D_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k \times k} & 1_{k \times (p-k)} \\ 1_{(p-k) \times k} & 0_{(p-k) \times (p-k)} \end{bmatrix}$

The characteristic polynomial of $D_M(G)$ is hence given by $\mu^p - k(p-k)\mu^{(p-2)} = 0$. which implies $\mu^{(p-2)}(\mu^2 - k(p-k)) = 0$ and the the eigenvalues are 0 of multiplicity $(p-k)$, $\pm\sqrt{k(p-k)}$ of multiplicity 1. $\sqrt{k(p-k)}$ is an integer if and only if $k = (p-k)$.That is, if and only if $k = p/2$ and p is even.

Theorem 3.2. *A simple connected graph G has two nonzero real skew M- set distance eigenvalues if and only if the M-set is a dominating set.*

Proof. *Let G be a simple connected graph of order p and M be a marker set of cardinality k. Let G have two nonzero real skew M- set distance eigenvalues say $\pm l$. Then the corresponding M-set distance characteristic polynomial is*

$x^{p-2}(x^2 - l^2) = 0$. This corresponds to the M-set distance matrix

$D_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{l \times l} & 1_{l \times l} \\ 1_{l \times l} & 0_{l \times l} \end{bmatrix}$. *This corresponds to a simple connected graph G of order 2l and a dominating marker set M of cardinality l.*

The converse part can be proved by reversing the arguments in the proof above.

Now, we define marker set distance isomorphic graphs.

Definition 3.1. *Two simple connected graphs G_1 and G_2 are said to be marker set distance isomorphic if there exist marker sets M_1 of G_1 and M_2 of G_2 such that $DDS_{M_1}(G) = DDS_{M_2}(G)$.*

For any two marker set distance isomorphic graphs G_1 and G_2 with marker sets M_1 of M_2 respectively, the graph $G_1 - M_1$ is isomorphic to the graph $G_2 - M_2$.

A graph G is said to be determined by its spectrum if there exists no cospectral nonisomorphic pair. Such graphs are called DS graphs [19].

We now define DS graphs with respect to their marker set distance spectrum as follows.

Definition 3.2. *A graph G with a marker set M is said to be marker set distance DS graph if there exists no marker set cospectral marker set isomorphic graph.*

We have characterised the marker set distance spectrum as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *A simple connected graph G with a dominating set as a marker set is determined by its spectrum.*

Proof. *The proof is obvious from Theorem 3.2.*

Lemma 3.4. *A simple connected graph G with a dominating marker set has atmost four nonzero M-set distance Laplacian eigenvalues.*

Proof. *Let G be a simple connected graph on p vertices and M be a dominating marker set of G with $|M| = k$.*

Case 1: Let $k = 1$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the M-set Laplacian is $\lambda^p + p\lambda^{p-1} - (p-1)(p-2)\lambda^{p-3}$ and hence has 3 nonzero roots. Therefore, G has 3 nonzero eigenvalues.

Case 2: Let $k = 2$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the M-set Laplacian is $\lambda^p + p\lambda^{p-1} - p(p-2)\lambda^{p-3}$ and hence has 3 nonzero roots. Therefore, G has 3 nonzero eigenvalues.

Case 3: Let $k \geq 3$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the M-set Laplacian is $\lambda^p + p\lambda^{p-1} - (k-1)p(p-k)\lambda^{p-3} + k(k-1)(p-k)^2\lambda^{p-4}$ and hence has 4 nonzero roots. Therefore, G with marker set M has 4 nonzero marker set eigenvalues.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a graph on p vertices and M be a marker set of cardinality k_0. Let $diam_M(G) = r$ and $dds_M(G) = (k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_r)$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the marker set distance matrix is given by $\mu^p - S_1\mu^{p-1} + S_2\mu^{p-2} - \ldots + S_r\mu^{p-r}$. $S_0 = 1$ and $S_1 = traceD_M(G) = 0$,*

$$S_i = \sum_{0 \leq u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \ldots < u_i \leq r} \prod_{m=1}^{i} k_{u_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & \ldots & u_i - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & \ldots & u_i - u_2 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_i - u_1 & u_i - u_2 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where $2 \leq i \leq (r-1)$ and

$$S_r = \prod_{i=0}^{r} k_{u_i} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & \ldots & u_r - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & \ldots & u_r - u_2 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_r - u_1 & u_r - u_2 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. *The M-set distance matrix is given by*

$$D_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k_0 \times k_0} & 1_{k_0 \times k_1} & 2_{k_0 \times k_2} & \cdots & m_{k_0 \times k_r} \\ 1_{k_1 \times k_0} & 0_{k_1 \times k_1} & 1_{k_1 \times k_2} & \cdots & (r-1)_{k_1 \times k_r} \\ 2_{k_2 \times k_0} & 1_{k_2 \times k_1} & 0_{k_2 \times k_2} & \cdots & (r-2)_{k_2 \times k_r} \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ r_{k_r \times k_0} & (r-1)_{k_r \times k_1} & (r-2)_{k_r \times k_2} & \cdots & 0_{k_r \times k_r} \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial can be written as $\mu^p - S_1\mu^{p-1} + S_2\mu^{p-2} - \ldots + (-1)^p S_p$. It is clear from [12] that $(-1)^i S_i = \Sigma M_{D_i}$ where M_{D_i} are the principal minors of $D_M(G)$ with order i. (Minors whose diagonal elements belong to the main diagonal of $D_M(G)$). $S_0 = 1$ and $S_1 = trace D_M(G) = 0$. $D_M(G)$ has r distinct rows and each row occurs k_i times in the matrix. The $i \times i$ minors can be chosen from these rows in $\binom{r}{i}$ ways. Each row of the minor can be chosen in k_{u_m} ways where $0 \leq m \leq r$. Hence

$$S_i = \sum_{0 \leq u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \ldots < u_i \leq r} \prod_{m=1}^{i} k_{u_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & \ldots & u_i - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & \ldots & u_i - u_2 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_i - u_1 & u_i - u_2 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where $2 \leq i \leq (r-1)$

Obviously,

$$S_r = \prod_{i=0}^{r} k_{u_i} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & \ldots & u_r - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & \ldots & u_r - u_2 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_r - u_1 & u_r - u_2 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be a graph on p vertices and M be a marker set of cardinality k_0. Let $diam_M(G) = r$ and $dds_M(G) = (k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_r)$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the marker set distance matrix is given by $\mu^p - S_1\mu^{p-1} + S_2\mu^{p-2} - \ldots + S_r\mu^{p-r}$ where $S_2 = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r}\{-(j-i)^2 k_i k_j\}$.*

Example 3.1. *For* $M = \{u_4, u_5\}$ *a marker set of the graph G (Figure 1), $DDS_G(M) = (2, 1, 1, 1)$.*


FIGURE 1

$$D_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of the M-set distance matrix is $\mu^5 - 34\mu^3 - 60\mu^2 - 24\mu$. We shall verify the previous theorem now.

According to the theorem,

$$S_i = \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \ldots < u_i \le r} \prod_{m=1}^{i} k_{u_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & \ldots & u_i - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & \ldots & u_i - u_2 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_i - u_1 & u_i - u_2 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where $2 \le i \le (r-1)$

$$S_2 = \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 \le 3} \prod_{m=1}^{2} k_{u_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 \le 3} k_{u_1} k_{u_2} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= k_0 k_1 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_0 k_2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_0 k_3 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_1 k_2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_1 k_3 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_2 k_3 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -2 - 8 - 18 - 1 - 4 - 1$$

$$= -34.$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 < u_3 \le 3} \prod_{m=1}^{3} k_{u_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 \\ u_3 - u_1 & u_3 - u_2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 < u_3 \le 3} k_{u_1} k_{u_2} k_{u_3} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 \\ u_3 - u_1 & u_3 - u_2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= k_0 k_1 k_2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_0 k_1 k_3 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_0 k_2 k_3 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + k_1 k_2 k_3 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 8 + 24 + 24 + 4$$

$$= 60$$

$$S_4 = \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < u_4 \le 3} \prod_{m=1}^{4} k_{u_m} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & u_4 - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & u_4 - u_2 \\ u_3 - u_1 & u_3 - u_2 & 0 & u_4 - u_3 \\ u_4 - u_1 & u_4 - u_2 & u_4 - u_3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < u_4 \le 3} k_{u_1} k_{u_2} k_{u_3} k_{u_4} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_2 - u_1 & u_3 - u_1 & u_4 - u_1 \\ u_2 - u_1 & 0 & u_3 - u_2 & u_4 - u_2 \\ u_3 - u_1 & u_3 - u_2 & 0 & u_4 - u_3 \\ u_4 - u_1 & u_4 - u_2 & u_4 - u_3 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= k_0 k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -24.$$

Hence, the theorem is verified.

Theorem 3.7 *Let G be a simple connected graph on p vertices and M be a marker set of cardinality k_0. Let $diam_M(G) = r$ and $DDS_M(G) = (k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_r)$. The marker set distance Laplacian matrix of G has atleast r nonzero eigenvalues.*

Proof. *The marker set distance Laplacian matrix of G is given by*

$L_M(G) = D_M(G) - diag[DDS_G(M)]$. Since $(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_r)$ are the only nonzero diagonal entries of the Laplacian, there are atleast r distinct rows and hence atleast r nonzero eigenvalues.

Lemma 3.8. *Let G be a simple connected graph on p vertices and M be a marker set of cardinality k_0. Let $diam_M(G) = r$ and $DDS_M(G) = (k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots, k_r)$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the marker set Laplacian matrix $L_M(G)$ of is $\lambda^p - S_1 \lambda^{p-1} + S_2 \lambda^{p-2} - \ldots + (-1)^p S_p$. $S_0 = 1$ and $S_1 = trace L_M(G) = -p$ and $S_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^{r} [(j-i)^2 - 1] k_i k_j$ with $k_0 \ge (r+1)$.*

Proof. *The marker set Laplacian matrix of graph $L_M(G) = D_M(G) - diag[DDS_G(M)]$, where $D_M(G)$ is the marker set distance matrix in the standard form given by*

$$D_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k_0 \times k_0} & 1_{k_0 \times k_1} & 2_{k_0 \times k_2} & \cdots & r_{k_0 \times k_r} \\ 1_{k_1 \times k_0} & 0_{k_1 \times k_1} & 1_{k_1 \times k_2} & \cdots & (r-1)_{k_1 \times k_r} \\ 2_{k_2 \times k_0} & 1_{k_2 \times k_1} & 0_{k_2 \times k_2} & \cdots & (r-2)_{k_2 \times k_r} \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ . & . & . & \cdots & . \\ r_{k_r \times k_0} & (r-1)_{k_0 \times k_1} & (r-2)_{k_r \times k_2} & \cdots & 0_{k_r \times k_r} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear from [15] that $(-1)^i S_i = \Sigma M_{L_{M(i)}}$, where $M_{L_{M(i)}}$ are the principal minors of $L_M(G)$ with order i. (Minors whose diagonal elements belong to the main diagonal of $L_M(G)$). $S_0 = 1$ and $S_1 = trace L_M(G) = -p$.

It can be seen that, the only nonzero entries of the principal diagonal of $L_M(G)$ are the first $r+1$ entries $k_0, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r$. All these nonzero principal diagonal entries occur only in the first block $0_{k_0 \times k_0}$ as $k_0 \geq (r+1)$. Every subset of the principal diagonal gives a principal minor. The nonzero 2×2 principal minors and their sums are as follows.

1. All minors of the form $\begin{vmatrix} -k_i & 0 \\ 0 & -k_j \end{vmatrix}$ *where $0 \leq i \leq (r-1)$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ with $i < j$. These minors sum to*

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} k_i k_j = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i k_{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^{r} k_i k_j.$$

.

2. All minors of the form $\begin{vmatrix} -k_j & i \\ i & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ *with $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq j \leq r$ each of them occurring k_i times. These minors sum to*

$$(r+1) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-i^2) k_i.$$

3. All minors of the form $\begin{vmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ *where $1 \leq i \leq r$ with each occurring $(k_0 - r - 1)k_i$ times. These sum to*

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-i^2)(k_0 - r - 1)k_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-i^2) k_0 k_i + (r+1) \sum_{i=1}^{r} i^2 k_i.$$

4. All minors of the form $\begin{vmatrix} 0 & (j-i) \\ (j-i) & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ *each occurring $k_i k_j$ times where $1 \leq i \leq (r-1)$ and*

2 ≤ j ≤ r. These sum to

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le r} [-(j-i)^2] k_i k_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-k_i k_{i+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^{r} [-(j-i)^2] k_i k_j.$$

Hence, the sum of all the 2 × 2 minors is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} k_i k_{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^{r} k_i k_j + (r+1) \sum_{1 \le i \le r} (-i^2) k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-i^2) k_0 k_i$$

$$+ (r+1) \sum_{i=1}^{r} i^2 k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-k_i k_{i+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^{r} [-(j-i)^2 k_i k_j$$

which in turn implies that

$$S_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \sum_{j=i+2}^{r} [(j-i)^2 - 1] k_i k_j.$$

Example 3.2. *For $M = \{u_4, u_5\}$ a marker set of the graph G (Figure 1), $DDS_G(M) = (2,1,1,1)$.*

$$L_M(G) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of the M-set distance Laplacian matrix is

$\lambda^5 + 5\lambda^4 - 25\lambda^3 - 164\lambda^2 - 280\lambda + 153$.

Using the above result,

$$S_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{j=i+2}^{3} [(j-i)^2 - 1] k_i k_j$$

$$= [(2-0)^2 - 1](2)(1) + [(3-0)^2 - 1](2)(1) + [(3-1)^2 - 1](1)(1)$$

$$= 6 + 16 + 3$$

$$= 25.$$

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Adiga, M. Smitha, On Maximum Degree Energy of a Graph , Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 4 (8) (2009), 385 - 396.

[2] A. E. Brouwer, W. H. Haemers, Spectra of graphs , Springer, (2011).

[3] R. Balakrishnan, The energy of a graph , Linear Algebra Appl. 387 (2004), 287-295.

[4] R. B. Bapat , Graphs and Matrices , Texts and Readings in Mathematics, Hindustan Book Agency, (2010).

[5] F. Buckley, F. Harary, Distances in graphs, Addison - Wesley Publishing Company, (1990).

[6] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph , Ber. Math. Stat. Sekt. Forschungz. Graz 103, (1978), 1-22 .

[7] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph: Old and new results , Alge. Comb. Applns, Springer Verlag , Berlin, (2001), 196-211 .

[8] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis , Cambridge University Press, (1985).

[9] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, On the distance spectra of some graphs , Math. Commun. 13 (2008), 123-131.

[10] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, A. Vijaykumar, On the distance energy of a graph , MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 , (2008), 461-472.

[11] G. Indulal, A. Vijaykumar, On a pair of equienergetic graphs , MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 55 (2006), 83-90.

[12] Medha Itagi Huilgol and S.Anuradha, On marker set eigenvalues in graphs, Adv. Appl. Discrete Math. 18 (2017), 13-32.

[13] Medha Itagi Huilgol and S.Anuradha, On marker set Laplacian eigenvalues in graphs, sent for publication.

[14] Medha Itagi Huilgol and S.Anuradha, On marker set distance cospectral graphs, Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (11) (2017), 7819-7827 .

[15] L. L. Pennisi, Coefficients of the characteristic polynomial, Math. Mag. 60 (1986), 31-33.

[16] R. Grone, R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II , SIAM J. Discrete Math. 7 (1994), 221-229.

[17] R. Grone, R. Merris, V.S. Sunder, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11 (1990), 218-238.

[18] R. Merris, Laplacian matrices of graphs: a survey , Linear Algebra Appl. 197/198 (1994), 143-176.

[19] E.R. van Dam and W.H. Haemers, Which graphs are determined by their spectrum?, Linear Algebra Appl. 373 (2003), 241-272.

[20] D. B. West, Introduction to graph theory , Prentice Hall, (1996).