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#### Abstract

Introduction: Increased incidence of obesity and excess weight leads to an increased incidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Recent evidence indicates a protective effect of cannabis consumption on weight gain and related metabolic alterations in psychosis patients. Overall, patients are at greater risk of presenting fatty diseases, such as NAFLD, partly due to lipid and glycemic metabolic disturbances. However, there are no previous studies on the likely effect of cannabis on liver steatosis. We aimed to explore if cannabis consumption had an effect on hepatic steatosis, in a sample of first-episode (FEP) non-affective psychosis.

Material and Methods: A total of 390 patients were evaluated at baseline and after 3 years of initiating the antipsychotic treatment. Anthropometric measurements and liver, lipid, and glycemic parameters were obtained at both time points. All but $6.7 \%$ of patients were drug-naïve at entry, and they self-reported their cannabis use at both time


points. Liver steatosis and fibrosis were evaluated through validated clinical scores (Fatty Liver Index [FLI], Fibrosis-4 [FIB-4], and NAFLD).

Results: At 3-year follow-up, cannabis users presented significantly lower FLI scores than nonusers ( $F=13.874 ; p<0.001$ ). Moreover, cannabis users less frequently met the criteria for liver steatosis than non-users $\left(X^{2}=7.97, p=0.019\right)$. Longitudinally, patients maintaining cannabis consumption after 3 years presented the smallest increment in FLI overtime, which was significantly smaller than the increment in FLI presented by discontinuers ( $p=0.022$ ) and never-users ( $p=0.016$ ). No differences were seen in fibrosis scores associated with cannabis.

Conclusions: Cannabis consumption may produce a protective effect against liver steatosis in psychosis, probably through the modulation of antipsychotic-induced weigh gain.

## Highlights

- Cannabis consumption is associated with a lower risk of liver steatosis in psychosis.
- Cannabis use is not associated with liver fibrosis.
- The cannabis effect on liver tissue might be through the modulation of weight gain.
- A direct effect of cannabis on liver tissue has not been ruled out.

Keywords: liver steatosis; liver fibrosis; cannabis; antipsychotic treatment; treatment outcome; tolerability; medication naïve; first-episode psychosis.

## 1. Introduction

Patients with psychosis who are treated with antipsychotic medication are at greater risk of presenting altered liver function tests (Baeza et al., 2018), even from the early stages of psychosis (Erdogan et al., 2008), and an increased risk of chronic liver disease in the long term (Hsu et al., 2014). Although the mechanisms underlying the relation between antipsychotics and liver damage are not fully understood, previous studies demonstrated that treated schizophrenic patients (Gilles et al., 2010; Joseph et al., 2011; Konarzewska et al., 2014) exhibited an increased visceral and liver fat distribution compared with controls. In a recent study, we showed a significant incidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in a sample of patients with first-episode psychosis in the first 3 years of antipsychotic treatment (Morlán-Coarasa et al., 2016); in the same direction, a recent study reported a greater prevalence of NAFLD in patients with chronic schizophrenia (Yan et al., 2017). NAFLD, a condition of liver damage consisting of excessive accumulation of lipids in the hepatic tissue, has been associated with sedentary lifestyle and excess caloric diet (Romero-Gómez et al., 2017), among other factors leading to obesity and lipid/glycemic metabolic alterations (Targher, 2007). And these risk factors and metabolic disorders are frequently present in patients with psychosis (VázquezBourgon et al., 2018). Interestingly, cannabis use, which is highly prevalent among psychotic patients (Green et al., 2005; Pelayo-Terán et al., 2008; Setien-Suero et al., 2017), has recently been associated with a protective effect against weight gain and obesity in psychosis (Vázquez-Bourgon et al., 2019 ; Scheffler et al., 2018).

However, to our knowledge, there are no previous studies exploring the possible effect of cannabis consumption on liver steatosis in psychosis.

Based on the evidence described above, we hypothesized that those patients with a first episode of non-affective psychosis who also use cannabis will present less frequently with NAFLD than psychotic patients who are non-users, suggesting a protective effect of cannabis on the liver.

The aim of this study was to explore, longitudinally, whether cannabis consumption has an effect on hepatic steatosis in a sample of patients with first-episode non-affective psychosis after 3 years of antipsychotic treatment.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Study design

The sample population for the present study was recruited between 2001 and 2015 and formed part of a larger prospective longitudinal study on first-episode non-affective psychosis (Pelayo-Teran et al., 2008). Patients aged between 16 and 60 years who presented a first episode of non-affective psychosis with a confirmation diagnosis (at 6month follow-up; Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition [DSM-IV] criteria) of schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder, brief reactive psychosis, or psychosis not otherwise specified were included in the program. Patients were not admitted to the program if they presented any of the following: (1) intellectual disability, (2) neurological disorder, or (3) drug dependence (DSM-IV criteria). All subjects provided written informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (University Hospital Marques de Valdecilla Ethics Committee).

### 2.2. Assessments

### 2.2.1. Patients

Patients attended clinical appointments with the same experienced psychiatrist on a regular basis and at least at baseline, 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and every 6 months thereafter until year 3. Patients were treated and maintained on antipsychotic treatment during the follow-up period. Certain concomitant medications were permitted if clinically needed, including lormetazepam and clonazepam (benzodiazepine receptor agonists, nonselective GABA-A receptor-positive allosteric modulators) for the management of agitation, general behavior disturbances, and/or insomnia; anticholinergic medication (biperiden at a dose of up to $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ ) when clinically significant extrapyramidal signs occurred; or antidepressants (sertraline, a serotonin transporter reuptake inhibitor) and mood stabilizers (lithium, enzyme interactions). Cannabis consumption (past-year cannabis use) as well as alcohol and tobacco (yes or no) were assessed based on patients' self-reported information. Alcohol consumption was also recorded as a continuous variable in units and grams of alcohol per week. No distinction was made between the route of cannabis administration (smoking or ingestion), the part of the plant used, or potency. Information on daily quantity was collected, but as we were unable to quantify these data reliably, this was not included in the analyses.

The patients' weight and waist circumference were determined at baseline and at 3-year follow-up. The patients' height was measured at the time of enrollment. The patients'
body mass index (BMI) was computed as their body weight ( kg ) divided by height in square meters.

### 2.2.2. Laboratory analyses

Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Gammaglutamyltransferase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase, bilirubin, serum albumin, and triglycerides, platelets count, leptin, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein were determined from peripheral blood samples. All determinations were performed in our hospital. All measurements were obtained at the first visit and at the 3 -year follow-up, after an overnight fast. Triglycerides were measured by an automated method on a TechniconDax (Technicon Instruments Corp, Tarrytown, NY, USA) using reagents supplied by Boehringer-Mannheim (Mannheim, Germany).

### 2.2.3. Liver steatosis and fibrosis assessments

The Fatty Liver Index (FLI) (Bedogni et al. 2006) was determined at baseline and 3 years. The FLI consists of an algorithm that predicts fatty liver disease based on BMI, waist circumference, and triglyceride and gammaglutamyl transferase levels, with an accuracy of 0.84 ( $95 \%$ confidence interval, $0.81-0.87$ ). The FLI varies from 0 to 100 . A score lower than 30 rules out fatty liver disease (negative likelihood ratio $=0.2$ ), and a score greater than or equal to 60 suggests fatty liver disease (positive likelihood ratio $=$ 4.3).

Liver fibrosis was evaluated also through validated clinical scores; the NAFLD fibrosis and Fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) scores (Angulo et al. 2007; Sterling et al. 2006). The NAFLD fibrosis score consists of an algorithm that predicts fibrosis based on age, BMI, the presence of diabetes and/or insulin resistance, the AST:ALT ratio, the platelet count, and albumin levels. A score $\leq 1.455$ rules out liver fibrosis with a negative predictive value of $93 \%$, whereas a score $\geq 0.676$ predicts liver fibrosis with a positive predictive value of $90 \%$. The FIB-4 score is another algorithm based on age, platelet count, and AST and ALT levels. A score $\leq 1.30$ rules out liver fibrosis with a negative predictive value of $90 \%$, whereas a score $\geq 2.67$ predicts liver fibrosis with a positive predictive value of $80 \%$.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

### 2.3.1. Cross-sectional associations

We conducted separate cross-sectional analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) models for exploring the possible effect of cannabis use on liver health at baseline and at 3 years. For this purpose, the liver disease indexes (FLI, FIB-4, and NAFLD) and the liver and laboratory parameters listed above were the dependent variables, and cannabis consumption status (yes/no) was the independent variable. Age, sex, smoking status (yes/no), and alcohol intake (yes/no), were entered in the model as covariables to avoid their potential effect as confounding factors. Post hoc correction (Bonferroni) was applied.

### 2.3.2. Longitudinal examinations

To examine the longitudinal effects of cannabis, we divided patients into three trajectory consumption groups: "continuers" (cannabis users at baseline who continued smoking at the 3 -year assessment), "discontinuers" (patients using cannabis upon entering the program but who did not at the 3 -year follow-up), and "never-users" (patients who reported not using cannabis at either time point). A forth category ("cannabis starters") was not considered since only three patients ( $0.8 \%$ of the total sample) started consuming cannabis during the 3 years follow-up; these three patents were excluded from the study to enable the statistical comparisons between groups.

General lineal model (GLM) repeated-measures tests were conducted for each dependent variable of liver functioning separately (e.g.: FLI, FIB-4, or AST), with cannabis consumption groups ("continuers", "discontinuers", and "never-users") as the between-subject variable and time (baseline, 3-year follow-up) as within-subject variable. Effects of time (longitudinal dimension), and time by cannabis consumption group (interaction effect) were examined. Sex and age at baseline, and alcohol and tobacco longitudinal consumption groups were included as covariates. All post-hoc comparisons were Bonferroni corrected.

We additionally calculated the percentage of subjects with pathologic values for FLI and the main liver parameters, at baseline and at the 3-year follow-up, in each cannabisconsuming group (continuers, discontinuers, never-users). To evaluate significant changes over time in these percentages within groups, we used the McNemar test for repeated measures.

### 2.3.3. Post hoc analyses

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Alcohol dependence was an exclusion criterion for entering the study. However, to maximize the avoidance of the effect of alcohol use on liver steatosis, we repeated the statistical analyses after excluding those patients with moderate-severe alcohol consumption at any of the time points. Moderate-severe alcohol use was defined using the accepted alcohol consumption thresholds for the diagnosis of NAFLD: 140 and 210 grams of alcohol per week in women and men, respectively (Leoni et al., 2018).

The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analyses. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and the significance was determined at the 0.05 level.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Sample characteristics

A total of 390 patients with data of cannabis consumption at both time points were included in this study. The sample had a mean age of 30.4 years, $44.4 \% ~(~ n=173)$ were women, and $81.8 \%(\mathrm{n}=319)$ were diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizophreniform disorder. The mean duration of untreated psychosis was 12.8 months ( $\mathrm{SD}=29.7$ ), and the mean Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms-Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms score was 20.3 (SD = 7.7). At baseline, 38.5\% ( $\mathrm{n}=150$ ) were cannabis users. All but $6.7 \%(n=26)$ of patients included in the study were drug-naïve. Among those that were not drug-naïve, their mean duration of previous antipsychotic exposure was 1.6 weeks ( $\mathrm{SD}=1.5$ ). In any case, those non-drug-naïve patients were not significantly (all $p>0.1$ ) different from the drug-naïve group in any of the main variables studied at baseline. A more detailed description of the clinical and sociodemographic characteristics of the study sample, including the differences between cannabis consumption groups at baseline, is available in Vázquez-Bourgon et al., (submitted).

### 3.2. Baseline and 3-year liver differences between cannabis users and nonusers

When comparing cross-sectionally cannabis users and nonusers (Table 1), the ANCOVA models showed a significant association only with leptin at both time points. Thus, cannabis users presented significant lower leptin levels before and 3 years after initiating antipsychotic treatment as compared with nonusers. No other liver or
laboratory parameters studied were significantly associated with cannabis consumption at baseline or 3 years. However, the results also showed a significantly lower mean FLI among cannabis users at 3 -year follow-up compared with nonusers (11.8 vs 40.3; $F=$ 13.784, $p<0.001$ ). Subsequent, $X^{2}$ tests showed that cannabis users at 3-year follow-up presented less frequently an FLI score equal to or greater than 60 than nonusers $(11.1 \%$ vs. $28.3 \% ; X^{2}=7.97, p=0.019$ ). This association remained significant after Yates's correction ( $X^{2}=6.01, p=0.049$ ).

### 3.3. Comparison of longitudinal changes in liver steatosis between cannabis users, nonusers, and discontinuers.

We found a significant interaction between cannabis consumption trajectories (users, discontinuers, and never-users) and time in FLI score ( $F=4.42 ; p=0.014$ ) (Table 2). Additionally, we found a trend-level interaction between cannabis consumption trajectories and time in BMI ( $F=2.72 ; p=0.067$ ). Post-hoc analyses revealed that patients who maintained the cannabis consumption ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) did not presented significant changes in FLI scores $(\mathrm{p}=0.892$; estimated mean difference $=0.9)$, over a 3 -year period. On the other hand, patients that abandoned the cannabis consumption ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) and those that never smoked cannabis ( $n=104$ ) exhibited significant increments in FLI scores over the 3 -year follow-up period (both $p<0.001$; estimated mean differences $=20.8$ and 21.7, respectively).

### 3.4. Incidence of high levels of liver parameters and indexes over 3 years of antipsychotic treatment and cannabis use.

McNemar tests (Table 3) showed significant increments in the percentage of patients with liver parameters above the normal limits (ALT $>40$, GGT $>32$; \%difference $=6, p$ $=0.021$; and \%difference $=11.4, p<0.001$, respectively). Unexpectedly, with regard to AST, the significant association was in the inverse direction; there was a significant reduction over time in the proportion of patients scoring above the upper-normal limit (AST >35; \%difference $=-6.3, p=0.013$ ).

When analyzing other laboratory tests, we also observed significant increments in the percentage of patients scoring above the upper-normal limit for leptin and highsensitivity C-reactive protein (\%difference $=25.1, p<0.001$; and $\%$ difference $=14.6, p$ < 0.001, respectively).

Finally, regarding the FLI score, we observed a significant increment in the percentage of patients with a score suggestive of liver steatosis (FLI >60; \%difference $=18.9, p<$ 0.001 ).

Only one patient presented an FIB-4 score suggestive of liver fibrosis (FIB-4 score $=$ 3.00). None of the patients presented an FIB-4 score greater than 2.67 at 3 -year followup. Similarly, no patients presented a high NAFLD fibrosis score at any time point. Therefore, we were unable to analyze the association between liver fibrosis and cannabis consumption in our sample.

When comparing the changes in the proportion of patients with values above the normal limits, in each of the cannabis-consuming trajectories (users, discontinuers, and neverusers; Table 3), we observed that, for most of the parameters, the group of cannabis consumers presented smaller increments than the discontinuers and never-users.

### 3.5. Post hoc analyses.

Thirty $(7.7 \%)$ patients at baseline and $20(5.1 \%)$ at 3 -year follow-up reported elevated alcohol consumption ( $>210 \mathrm{~g}$ per week in men and $>140 \mathrm{~g}$ per week in women). The results from statistical analyses, after excluding these patients ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ), remained the same; for a detailed description of these results see Tables 2-4 in Vázquez-Bourgon et al. (submitted). In the same direction, when FLI was analyzed as a qualitative variable, the significant association between cannabis use and FLI remained significant, where cannabis users presented less frequently an FLI $\geq 60$ than nonusers ( $6.7 \%$ vs. $26.1 \%$; $X^{2}$ $=9.89, p=0.007)$ after 3 years of antipsychotic treatment. This association remained significant after Yates's correction ( $X^{2}=7.46, p=0.024$ ).

## 4. Discussion

We present the first study exploring the effect of cannabis consumption on liver health in a sample of patients with a first episode of psychosis. The results showed that those patients who reported continuing cannabis use were at lower risk of developing NAFLD in the first 3 years after the illness onset.

Cannabis consumers presented significantly lower mean FLI scores than non-users at the 3 -year follow-up. This association was also seen using FLI as a qualitative measure of steatosis; thus, among cannabis users, there were significantly fewer patients meeting the criteria for NAFLD than among non-users after 3 years of antipsychotic treatment. When analyzing this relationship in detail longitudinally, we observed that those
patients discontinuing cannabis consumption during the 3-year follow-up period presented a similar increase in mean FLI as never-users, with the increments in mean FLI in these two groups significantly greater than the increment observed in the continuers group.

These differences between groups in mean scores of FLI had clinical relevance, since there were important differences in the percentage of patients reaching a FLI score predictive of steatosis after 3 years of treatment: $7 \%$ of consumers versus $30 \%$ and $27 \%$ of discontinuers and never-users, respectively.

These results are in line with previous studies in the general population (Adejumo et al., 2017), in which cannabis users showed significantly lower NAFLD prevalence compared with non-users. This association between cannabis consumption and lower NAFLD prevalence has also been identified in subgroups of patients with other pathologies. For instance, cannabis consumption among patients with abusive alcohol use significantly reduced the odds of developing alcoholic steatosis, steatohepatitis, fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (Adejumo et al., 2018). In the same direction, those patients co-infected by the human immunodeficiency and hepatitis C viruses, and reporting the use of cannabis, showed a a reduced risk of steatosis (Nordmann et al., 2018), and did not accelerate the progression of liver disease (Brunet et al., 2013). Similarly, cannabis use was associated with a decreased incidence of liver cirrhosis among hepatitis C virus patients (Adejumo et al., 2018b). However, some studies showed contrary evidence. Using imaging techniques in a small sample of 30 patients of chronic cannabis smokers, Muniyappa and colleagues (2013) found no association between cannabis and hepatic steatosis. In addition, daily cannabis use was associated with steatosis (Hezode et al., 2008) and liver fibrosis (Ishida et al., 2008) in patients with chronic hepatitis C disease.
Regarding fibrosis, our results found no significant differences when analyzing the fibrosis scores (FIB-4 and NAFLD fibrosis) between groups, which may be explained by the young mean age of the sample and the insufficient follow-up (3 years) for the development of liver fibrosis.

Cannabis users presented significantly lower levels of leptin compared with non-users, before and 3 years after initiating antipsychotic treatment. In addition, the proportion of patients with a leptin level above the upper normal limit significantly increased, after the first 3 years of psychosis, among the discontinuers and never-users, but not in the
cannabis users group. These results are in line with recent data on cannabis use in the general population (Moreira et al., 2018) where cannabis smokers presented significantly lower levels of leptin than nonsmokers, in a population-based study. Leptin, a hormone that is mainly produced by the adipocytes, and acting on the hypothalamus, exerts a crucial role in energy balance and food intake. Leptin levels have been described to be elevated in schizophrenia patients (Kim et al., 2017; PérezIglesias et al., 2008) and in those exposed to antipsychotic treatment (Ragguett et al., 2017). However, it has been proposed that the increase of leptin levels in these antipsychotic-exposed populations, is a consequence of augmented fat mass rather than being induced directly by antipsychotic treatment (Perez-Iglesias et al., 2008). It appears that at increasing concentrations, leptin induces target cells to become resistant to its own action (Lustig et al., 2004), leading to a "leptin-resistance" state in which leptin loses its satiating effect at the central level. Therefore, impaired leptin signaling does not seem to be the primary mechanism implicated in weight gain induced by antipsychotics; subsequently, the differences in leptin levels observed in our results, associated with cannabis use, appear to be secondary to the effect of cannabis on weight (Vázquez-Bourgon et al, 2019; Scheffler et al., 2018). This would explain the contradictory data in the literature regarding the effect of cannabis on the liver, with a previous study reporting a profibrogenic effect of cannabis (Ishida et al., 2008).

The effects of cannabis use on weight and lipid/glycemic metabolism may be explained by its interaction with the endocannainoid system (ECS). The ECS includes two main receptors, cannabinoid type 1 and 2 receptors (CB1R and CB2R), whose major ligands are endogenous cannabinoids. These receptors are found in the central nervous system and various peripheral tissues, including the liver (Pertwee, 2008; Gong et al., 2006; Galiègue et al., 1995; Spigelman, 2010) playing a possible role in its functionality. Under healthy conditions, cannabinoid receptors are weakly expressed in the liver; CB1R in hepatocytes and endothelial cells, and CB2R in Kupffer cells. However, hepatic damage is associated with an increase in the expression of both receptors (Mallat \& Lotersztajn, 2008). Thus, it is likely that cannabis plays a role in liver disease. CB1R seems to promote liver damage (Hsiao et al., 2015; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2008), while CB2R might have a protective effect (Di Marzo 2008; Mallat et al., 2007; Kunos et al., 2006). Thus, cannabis may promote a beneficial balance between CB1R and CB2R agonism in psychotic patients. It is interesting to
note that $\Delta^{9}$-tetrahydrocannabivarin and cannabidiol, two of the main cannabis components, were able to increase lipid mobilization and inhibit the development of hepatosteatosis, in in vitro and in vivo (animal models) experiments (Silvestri et al., 2015). In line with this, we observed a lower mean FLI among cannabis users compared with non-users. Thus, further studies testing the role of cannabinoids and cannabinoid receptors could help to elucidate the mechanisms involved in the possible protective role of cannabis on liver damage (Begg et al., 2005).

On the other hand, Kim and colleagues (2017) also showed a protective role of marijuana against body weight gain, attributed to a lower fasting insulin level. Liver diseases are often associated with insulin resistance and occur when the liver accumulates too much fat, altering the metabolism of glucose. Interestingly, rimonabant, a CB1R antagonist, improved insulin sensitivity in an experimental model (Ganesh \& Rustgi, 2016), and similarly, cannabidiol can act as a CB1R antagonist, providing the possible link of insulin in our results (Thomas et al., 2007; Tam et al., 2011). In addition, cannabis users tend to consume higher calories and alcohol than nonusers and nonetheless seem to have a lower prevalence of body weight gain. Therefore, cannabis could protect the liver, even in the face of the dietary risks that cannabis users often show. This possible protective effect could be exerted through an intermediate beneficial effect on lipid and glycemic metabolism; in fact, previous studies (Bruins et al., 2016; Vázquez-Bourgon et al., 2019) showed that the discontinuation of cannabis use increased the metabolic risk.

## Strengths and limitations

This study has several relevant limitations. First, the data regarding cannabis use were self-reported, and no confirmation by toxicological urinalysis was performed, which may have introduced information bias. However, information and self-reports given by subjects tend to be relatively accurate (Buchan et al., 2002; Harrison et al., 1993). Notably, the patients who were enrolled in our program went through a process in which both clinical and behavioral data were collected from both themselves and their relatives. We thus feel confident as to the utility of the self-reported measurements of substance use in our sample. Despite of this, we acknowledge that the study lacks some relevant information regarding cannabis use; frequency, amount, and lifetime duration of cannabis use. No distinction was made about the parts of the plant used or the cannabinoid potency; however, there are currently more than 100 known cannabinoids
with diverse effects and action mechanisms, at both cannabinoid and non-cannabinoid receptors (Elsohly \& Slade, 2005; Hill et al., 2012). Therefore, further studies in animal models would be helpful to determine the mechanisms underlying the possible protective role of cannabis in NAFLD.

Second, the mean age of the sample ( 30.4 years) hinders the occurrence of liver steatosis, since it is a pathological process that requires a longer period of time to develop.

Third, the definition and diagnosis of NAFLD through the FLI score may be too weighted by BMI, and it is possible that we are just observing a proxy of a protective effect of cannabis on BMI changes, as we have previously described in the same sample (Vázquez-Bourgon et al., 2019). However, the FLI score and fibrosis scores (NAFLD and FIB-4) are considered to be validated, noninvasive tools for the screening and diagnosis of liver damage (Leoni et al., 2018). Despite this, our results should be further explored and confirmed using imaging techniques, liver stiffness, and/or liver biopsy. Fourth, the impact of diet and physical activity on our findings herein cannot be ruled out, since a thorough description of these variables in our sample during follow-up was not available. In addition, concomitant medication may potentially interfere with the endocannabinoid system and might play a significant role in our findings. It is remarkable that chronic antidepressant treatment (serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and serotonin reuptake inhibitors) produces changes in cannabinoid receptors, modifying their expression (Smaga et al., 2017).

Despite these limitations, the study has several strengths. First, it is to our knowledge the first study to explore the possible impact of cannabis on liver steatosis in a sample of patients with psychosis. Second, its design as a pragmatic clinical trial facilitates the generalization of the results to other clinical populations. Third, using a wellcharacterized sample of drug-naïve patients recently diagnosed with a first episode of non-affective psychosis helps to avoid the confounding effect of chronicity and longterm exposure to medications. Fourth, the long-term follow-up period (3 years) facilitates the detection of early liver alterations such as steatosis.

## Conclusions

Our results suggest that using cannabis could have a protective effect on liver steatosis. The beneficial effect of cannabis at the level of the development of steatosis seems to be
secondary to its modulation effect on weight gain and the reduced development of obesity, although a direct effect of cannabis on the hepatic tissue has not been ruled out. These results, although obtained from a sample of patients with psychosis, could be generalized; the hepatic alterations that may occur associated with the rapid increase in weight produced in our sample may be seen as an appropriate pragmatic experimental model for the study of steatosis.
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Table 1. Baseline and 3 -years liver function tests in first episode psychosis.

|  | Baseli ne |  |  |  |  | $3-$ <br> years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Canna bis users | No canna bis users | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Stat } \\ \mathrm{s}^{*} \end{array}$ |  |  | Canna bis users | No canna bis users | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Stat } \\ & \text { s* }^{*} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (SE) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \text { (SE) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $d f$ | $F$ | $P$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (SE) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (SE) } \end{aligned}$ | $d f$ | $F$ | $P$ |
| FLI algorithm factors |  | $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BMI } \\ & \left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2 \\ & \text { (0.4) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 24.0 \\ (0.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{1 ;} \\ \hline \mathbf{3 7 7} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 11.0 \\ \hline 98 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 01 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24.8 \\ & (0.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27.3 \\ (0.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 ; \\ & 369 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.20 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Waist circumfer ence (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & 81.7 \\ & (1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 84.2 \\ & (1.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 ; \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.34 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.2 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.7 \\ & (2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.4 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{1 ;} \\ & 221 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline<\mathbf{0 . 0} \\ & \mathbf{0 1} \end{aligned}$ |
| Triglyceri des | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 84.6 \\ & (4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 80.9 \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 ; \\ 316 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.38 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 91.5 \\ & (15.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119.6 \\ & (5.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 ; \\ & 369 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.76 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.09 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Liver <br> laborator <br> y tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AST | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24.4 \\ & (1.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 28.3 \\ (1.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 ; \\ \hline 350 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.47 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.6 \\ & (1.7) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24.4 \\ & (0.6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 ; \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.34 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.24 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| ALT | 23.5 | 30.3 | $1 ;$ | 3.40 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 30.4 | $1 ;$ | 0.00 | 0.97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $(2.6)$ | $(1.9)$ | 373 | 5 | 66 | $(3.6)$ | $(1.2)$ | 371 | 1 | 7 |
| GGT | 26.9 | 17.8 | $1 ;$ | 2.40 | 0.1 | 28.5 | 28.4 | $1 ;$ | 0.00 | 0.99 |
|  | $(4.2)$ | $(3.1)$ | 353 | 5 | 22 | $(8.0)$ | $(2.6)$ | 370 | 0 | 0 |
| AP | 79.1 | 89.2 | $1 ;$ | 0.75 | 0.3 | 66.4 | 65.5 | $1 ;$ | 0.03 | 0.85 |
|  | $(7.8)$ | $(6.5)$ | 139 | 4 | 87 | $(4.4)$ | $(1.7)$ | 140 | 4 | 4 |
| Bilirubin | 0.71 | 0.89 | $1 ;$ | 0.72 | 0.3 | 0.66 | 0.59 | $1 ;$ | 0.74 | 0.38 |
|  | $(0.08)$ | $(0.06)$ | 119 | 6 | 96 | $(0.07)$ | $(0.03)$ | 137 | 6 | 9 |
| Albumin | 4.54 | 4.54 | $1 ;$ | 0.00 | 0.9 | 4.56 | 4.54 | $1 ;$ | 0.24 | 0.61 |
|  | $(0.04)$ | $(0.03)$ | 305 | 6 | 39 | $(0.04)$ | $(0.01)$ | 364 | 8 | 9 |
| Other <br> laborator <br> y tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platelets | 252.3 | 246.8 | $1 ;$ | 0.35 | 0.5 | 253.9 | 243.7 | $1 ;$ | 0.79 | 0.37 |
|  | $(6.9)$ | $(4.8)$ | 300 | 0 | 55 | $(10.6)$ | $(3.6)$ | 362 | 8 | 2 |
| Leptin | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 ;}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 ;}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{( 0 . 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $(\mathbf{1 . 8})$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| hsCRP | 0.17 | 0.16 | $1 ;$ | 0.01 | 0.9 | 0.18 | 0.29 | $1 ;$ | 0.91 | 0.34 |
|  | $(0.08)$ | $(0.05)$ | 161 | 2 | 12 | $(0.11)$ | $(0.03)$ | 212 | 6 | 0 |
| Hepatic <br> disease <br> indexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLI | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.0 | $1 ;$ | 0.64 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 ;}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |
|  | $(3.1)$ | $(2.1)$ | 161 | 0 | 25 | $(\mathbf{7 . 3})$ | $(\mathbf{2 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 1}$ |
| FIB-4 | 0.69 | 0.69 | $1 ;$ | 0.00 | 0.9 | 0.73 | 0.68 | $1 ;$ | 1.14 | 0.28 |
| score | $(0.04)$ | $(0.03)$ | 276 | 1 | 79 | $(0.04)$ | $(0.01)$ | 353 | 1 | 6 |
| NAFDL | -3.57 | -3.39 | $1 ;$ | 1.23 | 0.2 | -3.36 | -3.06 | $1 ;$ | 3.26 | 0.07 |
| score | $(0.12)$ | $(0.08)$ | 266 | 8 | 67 | $(0.16)$ | $(0.05)$ | 344 | 9 | 2 |

* ANCOVA model: parameter was used as the dependent variable, cannabis use was the fixed factor and age, sex, and tobacco and alcohol consumption use were used as covariates.
Abbreviations: FLI, fatty liver Index; BMI, body mass index; GGT, Gamma-
glutamyltransferase ; AST, aspartate aminotransferase ; ALT, alanine aminotransferase;
AP, alkaline phosphatase; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; FIB-4, fibrosis 4 score; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease fibrosis score.

Table 2. Longitudinal differences in liver function tests, after 3 years of antipsychotic treatment.

|  | Basel <br> ine |  |  |  | 3 <br> year <br> s |  |  |  |  | Time <br> x <br> canna |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Users | Discontin | Non | Stat <br> Saner | Use <br> Us | Discontin <br> uner | Non | Stat | Stats. |  |
|  | Mean | Mean | Me | F; p | Me | Mean | Me | $F ;$ | $F ; p$ | $d f$ |
| FLI <br> algorith |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BMI | 21.9 | $22.7(3.1)$ | 23. | $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | 25. | $27.2(4.3)$ | 27. | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | $2.73 ;$ | $2 ;$ |


| Waist <br> circumf. | 79.2 <br> $(8.0)$ | 82.4 <br> $(11.5)$ | 83. <br> 8 | 2.2 <br> $3 ;$ | 83. <br> 5 | 90.9 <br> $(11.4)$ | 90. <br> 7 | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ <br> $\mathbf{6 ;}$ | $1.59 ;$ <br> 0.207 | $2 ;$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

* GLM repeated-measure model.

Abbreviations: FLI, fatty liver Index; BMI, body mass index; GGT, Gamma-
glutamyltransferase ; AST, aspartate aminotransferase ; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AP, alkaline phosphatase; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; FIB-4, fibrosis 4 score; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease fibrosis score.

Table 3. Comparison of proportion of subjects with pathological liverfunctions tests, at baseline and at 3 -years in each cannabis consumptiongroup.

|  | Baseline | 3 year follow- <br> up |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\%(n)$ | $\%(n)$ | \% difference | N | $\mathrm{p}^{*}$ |
| AST, >35 UI/L |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuer | $23.7(9)$ | $13.2(5)$ | -10.5 | 38 | 0.344 |
| Discontinuers | $13.3(12)$ | $8.9(8)$ | -4.4 | 90 | 0.454 |
| Non-users | $15.0(33)$ | $8.6(19)$ | -8.4 | 220 | 0.054 |
| Total | $15.5(54)$ | $9.2(32)$ | -6.3 | 348 | 0.013 |
| ALT, >40 UI/L |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuer | $17.5(7)$ | $22.5(9)$ | 5 | 40 | 0.727 |
| Discontinuers | $9.0(9)$ | $20.0(20)$ | 11 | 100 | 0.027 |
| Non-users | $13.9(32)$ | $17.7(41)$ | 3.8 | 231 | 0.281 |
| Total | $12.9(48)$ | $18.9(70)$ | 6 | 371 | 0.021 |
| GGT, >32 UI/L |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuer | $2.6(1)$ | $20.5(8)$ | 17.9 | 39 | 0.016 |
| Discontinuers | $7.6(7)$ | $20.7(19)$ | 13.1 | 92 | 0.004 |
| Non-users | $11.8(26)$ | $21.4(47)$ | 11.6 | 220 | 0.001 |
| Total | $9.7(34)$ | $21.1(74)$ | 11.4 | 351 | $<0.001$ |
| Leptin, >10 ng/ml |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuer | $3.8(1)$ | $11.5(3)$ | 7.7 | 26 | 0.500 |
| Discontinuers | $12.0(9)$ | $37.3(28)$ | 25.3 | 75 | $<0.001$ |


| Non-users | $36.5(66)$ | $64.1(116)$ | 27.6 | 181 | $<0.001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | $27.0(76)$ | $52.1(147)$ | 25.1 | 282 | $<0.001$ |
| hsCRP, >0.3 ng/dL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuer | $11.1(1)$ | $0(0)$ | -11.1 | 9 | - |
| Discontinuers | $6.7(3)$ | $22.2(10)$ | 15.1 | 45 | 0.039 |
| Non-users | $7.7(8)$ | $24.0(25)$ | 16.3 | 104 | 0.002 |
| Total | $7.6(12)$ | $22.2(35)$ | 14.6 | 158 | $<0.001$ |
| FLI $\geq 60$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuer | $0(0)$ | $7.1(1)$ | 7.1 | 14 | - |
| Discontinuers | $5.0(2)$ | $30.0(12)$ | 25 | 40 | 0.002 |
| Non-users | $8.7(9)$ | $26.9(28)$ | 18.2 | 104 | $<0.001$ |
| Total | $7.0(11)$ | $25.9(41)$ | 18.9 | 158 | $<0.001$ |

*McNemartest forrepeated measures.
Abbreviations: FLI, fatty liver Index; GGT, Gamma-glutamyltransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein.

## Highlights

- Cannabis consumption is associated with a lower risk of liver steatosis in psychosis.
- Cannabis use is not associated with liver fibrosis.
- The cannabis effect on liver tissue might be through the modulation of weight gain.
- A direct effect of cannabis on livertissue has not been ruled out.

