

Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/123594>

How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.


Probing the effects of heterocyclic functionality in [(benzene)Ru(TsDPENR)Cl] catalysts for Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation

Jonathan Barrios-Rivera,[†] Yingjian Xu^{*‡} and Martin Wills^{*†}

[†] Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom.

[‡] GoldenKeys High-tech Materials Co., Ltd., Building B, Innovation & Entrepreneurship Park, Gui'an New Area, Guizhou Province, China.

Asymmetric catalysis, transfer hydrogenation, heterocycle, TsDPEN, ruthenium.



ABSTRACT: A range of TsDPEN catalysts containing heterocyclic groups on the amine nitrogen atom were prepared and evaluated in the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones. Bidentate and tridentate ligands demonstrated a mutual exclusivity directly related to their function as catalysts. A broad series of ketones were reduced with these new catalysts, permitting the ready identification of an optimal catalyst for each substrate, and revealing the subtle effects that changes to nearby donor groups can exhibit.

The [(arene)Ru(TsDPEN)Cl] class of precatalyst (**1**) for asymmetric transfer hydrogenation (ATH) of ketones and imines, first reported by Noyori *et al.*,¹ are now established reagents for synthetic chemists.² Although the parent compounds contain a TsDPEN ligand with a primary amine bound to the Ru(II), it is known that one substituent can be added to the amine atom, i.e. in complexes **2**, without causing lack of activity (Figure 1),³⁻¹³ and in some cases a higher activity, notably to C=N reduction, is observed.^{5,6,11-13} Functional groups on the amine atom of TsDPEN can also provide a means for tuning the structures of complexes to particular substrates,^{6,11-13} and to modify the properties of the complexes e.g. to improve their water solubility or assist extraction from a mixture.^{9,10,14}




Figure 1. [(arene)Ru(TsDPEN)Cl] ATH precatalyst derivatives.

However, very little work has been reported on the functionalization of Ru/TsDPEN catalysts with heterocyclic functionality on the amine atom, which would offer further possibilities for wider synthetic applications. We previously reported that TsDPEN derivatives **4** and **5**, containing a triazole or pyridine group respectively, form active complexes for ATH with $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ which are

capable of ATH of ketones in IPA (Figure 2).^{15,16} However these have not been investigated as components of [(arene)Ru(TsDPENR)Cl] precatalysts, and neither has the wider applications of these ligands.




Figure 2. Reported ligands for ATH using $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$.

In order to gain a further understanding of the effect of functionalizing the TsDPEN ligand with a heterocyclic group on catalysis with both [(arene)Ru(TsDPENR)Cl] and $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ we prepared a diverse series of derivatives (*R,R*)-**6-16** which contain alternative donor groups (Figure 3). Ligand **6**, **7**, **9** and **11-16** were prepared through the reductive amination of (*R,R*)-TsDPEN with the corresponding aldehyde. Ligand **8** was prepared by the cycloaddition of the nitrile oxide PhCNO with an propargyl-substituted TsDPEN. Ligand **10** was prepared by the reaction of TsDPEN with *t*-butylbromoacetate.




Figure 3. New ligands investigated in this project.

We first evaluated the new ligands in the ATH reaction with $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ (Table 1), along with tests of **4** and **5** as control reactions. We were surprised to find that ligands **6 - 11** did not form active catalysts, whereas all of ligands **12-16** did. The unreactive ligands all contain weaker donor atoms (**6 - 10**) or more distal heteroatoms (**11**) and cannot form strong tridentate complexes with $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$. We had previously found that a benzyl group on the TsDPEN was also not an effective catalyst, and these results align with this observation.¹⁵ It can be concluded that, for this application, a ligand requires a third heteroatom containing a strong donor atom which can form a tridentate complex (Figure 4) which acts as the catalyst in the reaction. As previously described, the hydrogen transfer mechanism is likely to involve a bifunctional catalysis mechanism^{15,16} similar to that reported for complex **1**.¹⁷

Table 1. Application of ligands in Figure 3 to ATH of acetophenone using $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$ ^a

ligand	conv /%	ee /% ^b
4	98	92
5	87	92
Ts/Thia 12	90	83
Ms/Py 13	55	82
Tris/Py 14	79	73
Tf/Py 15	95	93
6Me/py 16	49	76

a. 1 mol% ligand, 0.33 mol% $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, iPrOH, 80 °C, 48h, [S] = 0.1M. b. *R*-configuration product formed.




Figure 4. A. Likely active complex formed between tridentate ligands and $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$.^{15,16} B/C. Inert tridentate complexes **22** and **23** formed by reaction of ligands **4** and **5** respectively with $(\text{benzene})\text{RuCl}_2$

Since the results suggested that the non N-donating heterocycles were weak donors, we examined the preparation of derivatives of the catalysts with $(\text{benzene})\text{RuCl}_2$ in the anticipation that, provided the heterocycle did not co-ordinate to the metal, that it would not hinder the generation of an active catalyst. The use of benzene as the η^6 -ligand in the complex is important as we have previously found that the use of a more substituted arene in the complex can reduce its activity.⁵ In all cases of ligands **6-10**, we were pleased to find that complexes, **17-21** respectively, were formed in the reactions (Figure 5), the spectroscopic data for which indicated the formation of $(\text{benzene})\text{Ru}(\text{TsDPENR})\text{Cl}$. Each of these complexes also proved to be effective at the asymmetric ketone hydrogenation of acetophenone in good ee (Table 2). Ligand **11** did not form a stable complex.




Figure 5. Complexes **17-21** prepared from weak donor heterocycle derivatives of TsDPEN and isolated.

Table 2. Application of catalysts in Figure 5 to ATH of acetophenone in $(\text{benzene})\text{Ru}(\text{TsDPENR})\text{Cl}$ complexes.

Ligand	[S]/M	time/h	conv /%	ee /% ^b
Ts/Furan 17	1	72	95	90
Ts/Thio 18	1	72	56	93
Ts/Isox 19	1	72	99	95
Ts/ester 20	1	95	60	96
Ts/BrFuran 21	1	86	73	95
Ts/Furan 17	2	24	99	92
Ts/Thio 18	2	24	98	92
Ts/Isox 19	2	72	96	90
Ts/ester 20	2	156	90	93

a. 1 mol% **17-21**, 5:2 $\text{HCO}_2\text{H}/\text{Et}_3\text{N}$, rt. b. *R*-configuration product formed.

Ligands **4** and **5** were combined with $(\text{benzene})\text{RuCl}_2$ but neither formed an active ATH complex. Analysis of the spectroscopic data for the resulting complexes indicated the formation of inert tridentate cationic species **22** and **23** instead, both of which were stable and inert (Figure 4). In view of the observations, the formation of complexes with closely related ligands **12-16** was not investigated. A recently-reported series of TsDPEN-derived, tridentate ligand-containing (arene)Ru(II) complexes have been demonstrated to be effective ATH catalysts, with reversible formation of one of the Ru-N bonds being important for reactivity.¹⁸

Having established which structural features of a substituted ligand are essential for formation of specific catalyst types, the application of both systems (specifically ligands **4** and **5** with $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}$, and complexes **17-20**) was extended to a range of substituted ketone substrates (Figure 6, Table 3). In these examples, due to lower solubility in FA/TEA, the reactions with **17-20** were run at [S] = 1M with DCM as a cosolvent, and the reactions

with **4/5** employed 5 mol% of the catalyst to ensure full conversion of these more hindered ketones. The range of results allowed the identification of the best catalyst for each substrate. In several cases where ligands **4** and **5** were used, full conversions were observed even though the reaction in IPA is reversible, presumably due to evaporation of the acetone side product at the elevated reaction temperature.^{2d}




Figure 6. Products of ATH of substituted ketones using **4** or **5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂** and complexes **17-20** (Table 3).

Table 3. Application of catalysts to ATH for the synthesis of products **24-35**.

Reduction product/catalyst	Time/h	Conv/%	Yield/%	ee /%	R/S
24/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	Nr ^{b,c}	-	-	-	-
24/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	Nr ^{b,c}	-	-	-	-
24/ Furan 17	120	-	84	94	S
24/ Thio 18	120	-	41	91	S
24/ Isox 19	144	-	74	92	S
24/ Ester 20	168	82	79	91	S
25/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	94	81	S
25/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	96	53	S
25/ Furan 17	72	100	71	92	S
25/ Thio 18	120	98	89	94	S
25/ Isox 19	96	100	91	90	S
25/ Ester 20	144	100	97	92	S
26/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	Nr ^{b,c}	-	-	-	-
26/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	Nr ^{b,c}	-	-	-	-
26/ Furan 17	96	99	91	90	S
26/ Thio 18	96	100	79	89	S
26/ Isox 19	96	99	87	91	S
26/ Ester 20	168	94	86	91	S
27/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	99	97	S
27/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	92	94	S
27/ Furan 17	120	100	94	95	S
27/ Thio 18	120	100	95	96	S
27/ Isox 19	120	100	95	93	S
27/ Ester 20	168	98	91	95	S
28/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	95	99	R
28/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	96	99	R
28/ Furan 17	120	80	70	89	R
28/ Thio 18	132	52	45	92	R
28/ Isox 19	120	87	73	94	R

28/ Ester 20	168	55	41	96	R
29/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	98	86	R
29/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	96	90	R
29/ Furan 17	120	98	94	91	R
29/ Thio 18	120	50	41	87	R
29/ Isox 19	144	100	93	91	R
29/ Ester 20	168	72	70	90	R
30/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	-	95	92	R
30/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	-	88	91	R
30/ Furan 17	120	95	88	91	R
30/ Thio 18	120	52	41	91	R
30/ Isox 19	144	85	84	92	R
30/ Ester 20	144	96	92	96	R
31/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	99	91	83	R
31/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	71	66	92	R
31/ Furan 17	144	89	70	88	R
31/ Thio 18	144	55	49	84	R
31/ Isox 19	168	66	57	90	R
31/ Ester 20	168	71	66	92	R
32/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	98	90	R
32/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	95	89	89	R
32/ Furan 17	72	93	93	90	R
32/ Thio 18	168	-	61	87	R
32/ Isox 19	144	100	96	89	R
32/ Ester 20	168	50	48	93	R
33/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	99	92	R
33/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	72	100	92	91	R
33/ Furan 17	80	100	92	93	R
33/ Thio 18	144	55	45	88	R
33/ Isox 19	144	77	73	76	R
33/ Ester 20	168	50	45	90	R
34/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	48	98	-	94	R
34/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	48	99	-	88	R
34/ Furan 17	96	100	81	93	R
34/ Thio 18	144	94	74	92	R
34/ Isox 19	144	94	86	93	R
34/ Ester 20	96	99	87	90	R
35/ 4/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	Nr ^{b,c}	-	-	-	-
35/ 5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂	Nr ^{b,c}	-	-	-	-
35/ Furan 17	96	-	80	86	R
35/ Thio 18	120	-	57	89	R
35/ Isox 19	120	-	65	86	R
35/ Ester 20	120	56	45	84	R

a. either 5 mol% ligand **4** or **5**, 1.67 mol% Ru₃(CO)₁₂, iPrOH, 80 °C, 48h, [S] = 0.1M or 1 mol% **17-20**, 5:2 HCO₂H/Et₃N, DCM,, [S] = 1M rt. B. Nr= no reduction.cb. Catalyst inhibition observed.

The furan-substituted catalyst **17** proved to be the most versatile, giving products of good ee for most substrates, although the other catalysts gave products in excellent yield and ee in some cases. For example for product **26**, catalysts **19** and **20** gave excellent results. For product **27** and **28**, the Ru₃(CO)₁₂ system worked very well. Ester-containing catalyst **20** also gave reduction products **30** and **31** in the highest ees. The thiophene-containing catalyst **18** was the least versatile overall but gave one of the best results for product **27**. It was interesting to note that both α-chloro- and α-methoxyacetophenone inhibited the catalysis by **4** and **5**, which was confirmed by a test using a 1:1 mixture of PhCOCH₂Cl:PhCOMe in which neither ketone was reduced. This may be due to competing co-ordination of the Ru(II) by the sub-

strate. However the other heteroatom-substituted reagents were compatible with all the catalysts tested. The attempted reduction of the triple bond-containing ketone **35** was also not successful with **4** or **5**. The alcohols in Figure 7 are novel ATH products in many cases and add to the utility of ATH for the preparation of asymmetric alcohols, hence the catalyst set described herein represents a valuable toolkit for identification of suitable catalysts for ATH of diverse substrates.

In a further demonstration of the value of the new bidentate ligands, it was also found that catalysts **17-21** could be formed *in situ* by combination of the precursor ligands **6-9** with [(benzene)RuCl₂]₂. The complexes generated in this way proved to be effective for the ATH of simple acetophenone derivatives to give products **36-41** (Figure 8, Table S2) that have previously been reported as substrates for **4/5/Ru₃(CO)₁₂**.^{15,16} The use of the *in situ* catalysts in these cases gave products in good conversion and ees similar to those previously reported for ATH catalysis, noting that *ortho*-substituted acetophenone derivatives are challenging substrates that often give lower ees than less hindered ketones.^{1-3,19}




Figure 7. Products of ATH of substituted ketones using *in situ*-generated complexes **17-20**. Conditions; 1 mol% ligand **6-9**/0.5 mol% [(benzene)RuCl₂]₂, 5:2 FA:TEA, DCM, [S]=1M, rt.

In conclusion, we have established that the selection of the heterocyclic functional group on 'TsDPENR' ligands will determine whether they are suited as tridentate ligands in complexes with Ru₃(CO)₁₂ or as bidentate ligands in complexes with [(benzene)Ru(TsDPENR)Cl] precatalysts, both of which appear to be mutually exclusive. In both cases, effective catalysts for ATH of a range of functionalised ketones, some reported for the first time, can be generated from each ligand. The complexes can be generated *in situ* or isolated before use, depending on the class of substrate under study.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information: Experimental procedures, NMR spectra, HPLC and full Table of reductions of **24 - 35**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: m.wills@warwick.ac.uk

Funding Sources

Warwick University, GoldenKeys High-tech Material Co., Ltd.

Notes

MW and JBR authors declare no competing interests. XY is CEO the Company which partly funds this research.

Data sharing statement

The research data (and/or materials) supporting this publication can be accessed at <http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/TBA>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Warwick University and GoldenKeys High-tech Material Co., Ltd. for funding (of JB-R) via the Warwick Collaborative Postgraduate Scholarship Scheme.

REFERENCES

- (1) a) Noyori, R.; Hashiguchi, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1997, 30, 97–102.
- (2) a) Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Uematsu, N.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 118, 2521-2522.
- (3) a) Wang, D.; Astruc, D. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, 115, 6621–6686. b) Foubelo, F.; Nájera, C.; Yus, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2015**, 26, 769-790. c) Milner, L.; Talavera, G.; Nedden, H. *Chem. Oggi*. **2017**, 35, 37-40. d) Ikariya, T.; Blacker, A. *J. Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, 40, 1300-1308.
- (4) Barrios-Rivera, J.; Xu, Y.; Wills, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, 17, 1301-1321.
- (5) Koike, T.; Ikariya, T. *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, **2004**, 346, 37-41.
- (6) Martins, J. E. D.; Clarkson, G. J.; Wills, M. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, 11, 847-850.
- (7) Martins, J. E. D.; Contreras Redondo, M. A.; Wills, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2010**, 21, 2258-2264.
- (8) Soni, R.; Hall, T. H.; Morris, D. J.; Clarkson, G. J.; Owen, M. R.; Wills, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, 56, 6397-6401.
- (9) Parekh, V.; Ramsden, J. A.; Wills, M. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, 2, 406-414.
- (10) Zammitt, C. M.; Wills, M. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2013**, 24, 844-852.
- (11) Shan, W.; Meng, F.; Wu, Y.; Mao, F.; Li, X. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, 696, 1687-1690.
- (12) Touge, T.; Arai, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, 138, 11299-11305.
- (13) Ma, W.; Zhang, J.; Xu, C.; Chen, F.; He, Y.-M.; Fan, Q.-H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 12891-12894.
- (14) Yang, Z.; Chen, F.; He, Y.; Yang, N.; Fan, Q.-H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 13863-13866.
- (15) Zimbron, J. M.; Dauphinais, M.; Charette, A. B. *Green Chem.* **2015**, 17, 3255-3259.
- (16) Johnson, T. C.; Totty, W. G.; Wills, M. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 5230-5233.
- (17) Darwish, M. O.; Wallace, A.; Clarkson, G. J.; Wills, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, 54, 4250-4253.
- (18) a) Dub, P. A.; Gordon, J. C. *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, 45, 6756-6781. b) Dub, P. A.; Ikariya, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 7, 2604-2619. c) Dub, P. A.; Gordon, J. C. *Nature Rev. Chem.* **2018**, 2, 396-408.
- (19) Dub, P. A.; Matsunami, A.; Kuwata, S.; Kayaki, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, 141, 6, 2661-2677
- (20) Xu, Y.; Alcock, N. W.; Clarkson, G. J.; Docherty, G.; Woodward, G.; Wills, M. *Organic Lett.* **2004**, 6, 4105-4107.