An Efficient Mechanism for Debugging RTL Description

Jiann-Chyi Rau, Yi-Yuan Chang and Chia-Hung Lin
Department of Electrical Engineering, Tamkang University
151, Ying-Chuan Rd. Tamsui, Taipei Hsien 251, Taiwan, R.O.C
{jcrau , yychang, chlin}@ee.tku.edu.tw

Abstract

In this paper, an efficient algorithm to diagnose design errors in RTL description is proposed. The diagnosis algorithm exploits the hierarchy available in RTL designs to locate design errors. Using *data-path* to reduce the number of error candidates and ensure that true errors are included in. According to the estimated probability, the most suspected error candidates would be reported first in the display. The advantages of the proposed method are simple and available.

1. Introduction

The speed and complexity of digital circuits has increased rapidly. Designers have responded by designing at higher levels of abstraction. The increasing complexity of VLSI circuit designs, debugging /diagnosis represents an important cost in design development.

Much of the previous work on error diagnosis has primarily been targeted at the gate and lower levels of design. Traditionally, in the problem of design error diagnosis, the implementation is often represented as lower level (gate level) circuits and the specification is defined as higher level (RTL) circuits. However, most design activity presently takes place at the RTL and it is difficult to relate errors at the RTL to errors at a lower level. In fact, a relatively simple error at the RTL may translate into extremely complex errors at a lower level. Hence, it is critical to address the diagnosis problem at the RTL.

In modern design process, most design errors occur in the early stage of describing the functional behavior of a design in HDLs and tracing the code manually often performs design error diagnosis at this stage. However, for modern designs with thousands of lines of HDL code, debugging such circuits manually is a difficult task. Therefore automatic design error diagnosis techniques for HDL designs are proposed [1][2][3]. In [1], Vamsi Boppana et al exploits hierarchy available in RTL design to locate design errors and the information from the simulation of Xlists to capture the effects of design errors within components of RTL designs. However, the number of the error candidates may still be too large for designers to debug.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the *data-path* and *data-path digraph*. Section 3 gives the work overview, describes the reduction of error candidates and estimates the probability of correctness for each potential error candidate. Finally, the experimental results in section 4 and the conclusions in section 5.

2. Data-path

The architecture of data paths treated in this paper is based on a *multiplexed data path architecture* [7], can regard such a *data-path* as a concatenation of hardware elements and lines. A hardware element is an operational module (OP), a primary input (PI), a primary output (PO), a register (Reg), a multiplexor (MUX). An operation module is a combinational circuit and includes no register. Values enter into a hardware element through its input ports, and exit through its output port. Each line connects between one input port of a hard ware element and one output port of another. Further, using a *data-path digraph* to represent structure of a data-path [4]. Fig. 1 illustrates a data-path and its data-path digraph. Be careful of the dotted line represent the MUX's decision.


Figure 1. A data-path and its data-path digraph

3. Work Overview

User must support something as follows:

♦ Systhesisable HDL description.

♦ Expected values of all POs.

♦ Efficient test patterns the can detect erroneous statements.

Give a systhesisable digital HDL coding, which is given as the expected values of all POs and registers at all clock cycles, and test patterns that can demonstrate erroneous effects. The approaches use *data-path* to check the simulation values of all POs in each clock cycle. If mismatches between the simulation values and the expected values, we take the faulty HDL coding as inputs and output the set of error candidates in an order, which is form the most suspected one to the most innocent one in data-path. If not, must continue the simulation and the error checking until run off the test pattern. The data flow is shown Fig. 2.

3.1 Identification Error Space

In this section, we will show find the error space in this thesis. All statements in the error space are potential error sources and may cause design errors. Because the error space is used to help designers identify errors in the design and correct them, the true error sources should be included in the error space. Therefore, the primary concern while finding the error space is to ensure that true error sources are included in it. If we have tried our best but still cannot judge whether the statement is erroneous or not, we prefer to keep this statement in the error space to avoid losing any possible error source. In other words, to make sure that the true error sources are included in the error space is much more important than the size of the error space for an effective error diagnosis.

Our goal is using data-path to minimize the size of error space and ensuring true errors are included in. The reduction of error space can be very helpful because its size directly corresponds to the efforts of debugging. Although the size is not the first concern for an error space, we will still try to make it as small as possible. The smaller error space means less efforts to find the design errors and would be more helpful to designers. There are four steps of our approach as follows:

1. Draw the data-path for the circuit under debugging.

2. During simulation, for mismatched PO's, backtracing from the PO to PI.

3. Remove PI's with unchanged values.

4. For incomplete OP's and make up it's input sources.

Figure 2. A data flow

In order to explain the above-mentioned four steps more clearly, this paper use the Verilog code shown in Fig. 3 as an example. In Fig. 3 the code is correct design that designers expect. But for some reasons, the statement S3 is written incorrectly and becomes "*r1 = PI1 & PI2;*" in original coding. Because the simulation values and the expect values are not corresponding so have an error occurs at PO1 at 30ns. The simulation values and the expect values of POs are shown in Fig. 4.

```
module com (PI1,PI2,PI3,PI4,PI5,PO1,PO2,PO3);
output    PO1,PO2,PO3;
input     PI1,PI2,PI3,PI4,PI5;

assign    sel1 = PI2 ^ PI5;          //S1
assign    sel2 = PI1 & PI4;          //S2
assign    r1 = PI1 | PI2;            //S3
assign    r2 = PI4 & PI5;            //S4
assign    PO3 = r2 ^ PI5;           //S5
assign    PO2 = (sel2)? PO1 : r2;   //even2,dec2
always @(sel1 or r1 or PI3)         //even1
begin
        if (sel1)                    //dec1
                PO1 = r1;            //S6
        else
                PO1 =PI3;            //S7
end
endmodule
```

Figure 3. Verilog HDL coding

Figure 4. The waveform of Fig.3 coding

Step 1: Draw the whole data-path shown in Fig. 5. There are five OPs and two MUXs in the whole data-path.

Step 2: Search for mismatches PO, and backtracing form PO to PI. In Fig.4, the PO1 is an error occurs. So we can search out mismatches primary output and error candidates shown in Fig.6.


Figure 5. Whole data path   Figure 6. Error occurring of PO1

Step 3: Executed statements of error occurring clock cycle, in which an error appears for the first time to search for variation PI. In Fig. 4, the simulation value and the expected value are not corresponding, so have an error occurs at PO1 at 30ns. At time=30ns, the value change of PI1 and PI2. The corresponding values of PIs will remove in data-path show in Fig. 7. The step keeps two OPs and one MUX in the data-path.

Step 4: Search for incomplete OPs and make up it in data-path. In Fig. 7, the S1 is incomplete OP, so make up it. Finally, the final data-path is show in Fig.8.


Figure 7. Remove corresponding values of PIs   Figure 8. Final data path at PO1

In this example, the set of statements {even1,dec1,S1, S6,S3} is our error space at PO1 (Fig. 8.).The same as

above, the simulation values and the expect values are not corresponding at PO2 at 35ns, so the set of statements {even2,dec2,S2,even1,dec1,S1,S6,S3} is error space at PO2.


Figure 9. Final data-path at PO2.

3.2 Debugging Priority

In this section use a scheme to display the statements in error space with a priority, such that the most suspected statements are reported first. By estimating the probability of correctness for all statements in error space, debugging priority can be calculated for debugging purpose.

We continue the example shown in Fig. 3. We find that error-occurring clock cycle is from time=25ns to time=35ns, and have tow error space at PO1 and PO2. First error space of PO1 is {even1,dec1,S1,S6,S3}. Second error space of PO2 is {even1,dec1,S1,S6,S3,even2,dec2, S2}. According to the Fig.2 in Chapter 3, have one step is "collect executed". This is mainly of collect execution statistics of each error space at simulation times. Then, the statistics result calculations show in Fig.10. A statement with fewer score is displayed first for its high probability to be erroneous.

(1)S3	assign r1 = PI1 & PI2;	**High**
(1)S6	assign PO1 = r1;	
(2)even1	always @(sel1 or r1 or PI3)	
(2)dec1	if (sel1) ... else ...	
(2)S1	assign sel1 = PI2 ^ PI5;	**Priority**
(3)even2,		
dec2	assign PO2=(sel2)?PO1:r2;	
(3)S2	assign sel2=PI1&PI4;	**Low**

Figure 10. The calculation with priority

In the above example, users can see the error statement not only in the error space but also displayed in the priority (1) show in Fig. 10. Therefore, although the number of statements in the error space is eight, users can find their design error at the priority (1) in the display. This paper's method for quick and correct to find design error is practicable.

4. Experimental Results

In this section, we will show the experimental result on four designs written in Verilog coding. Table 1 shows the characteristics of these design circuit. Columns "#Line", "#PI", "#PO", "#MUX" and "#OP" denote the numbers of lines in the HDL, PIs, POs, MUXs and operational modules respectively. The design CM42 is SIS standard benchmark circuit. The design EXM1 is small combinational circuit. The design AVG_4bit is a design for 4bit average value production. The design PCPU is a simple 16-bit pipelined CPU.

Table 1. Circuit characteristics

Circuit	#Line	Whole data path			
		#PI	#PO	#MUX	#OP
CM42	52	4	10	0	13
EXM1	65	5	2	2	4
AVG_4bit	91	4	1	0	11
PCPU	159	2	1	6	18

Table 2 show is experimental results. The column "#total cases" is error-occurring the number of time. The number of statements in error space is recorded in the column "#Error space Max/Min". In the column "#Case", we report the number of case that the true erroneous appears for each period in the display list of error space.

Table 2. Results of the design error diagnosis

Circuit	#total cases	Final data path				#Error space Max/Min	#Case	
		#PI Max/Min	#PO Max/Min	#MUX Max/Min	#OP Max/Min		~30%	30%~
CM42	10	4/3	2/1	0/0	3/2	4/2	10	0
EXM1	10	4/4	1/1	2/1	4/3	10/4	8	2
AVG_4bit	10	4/4	1/1	0/0	11/11	11/11	6	4
PCPU	10	2/2	1/1	5/3	6/3	13/8	8	2

According to this paper proposed diagnosis method. In Table 2, our method cans estimation of the probability of correctness for each potential error candidate is accurate.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, an effective algorithm for hierarchically diagnosing RTL circuits is proposed. For the error candidates use simple data-path to search for some impossible statements in HDL coding. The estimation of the probability of correctness for each potential error candidates in error space is conducted by data-path. Finally, our goal is to minimize the size of error space and the true design errors are always included in. Additionally, we plan to display the statements in error space with a simple priority such that users can quick find their design error in HDL coding.

6. References

[1] V. Boppana, I. Ghosh, R. Mukherjee, J. Jain and M. Fujita, "Hierarchical error diagnosis targeting RTL circuit", In Intl. Conference on VLSI Design, 2000, PP.436-411.

[2] M. Khalil, Y. Traon, and C. Robach, "Towards an Automatic Diagnosis for High-level Validation", In proceeding Intl. Test Conference, 1998, pp. 1010-1018.

[3] T.Y. Jiang, C.N. Jimmy, and J.Y. Jou, "Effective Error Diagnosis for RTL Designs in HDLs", VLSI/CAD Symposium, 2002, pp. 187-190.

[4] H. Wada, T. Masuzawa, K. K. Saluja, and H. Fujiwara, "Design for strong testability of RTL data paths to provide complete fault efficiency", In proceeding Intl. Conference on VLSI Design, 2000, pp 300-305.

[5] T. Masuzawa, M. Izutsu, H. wada, and H. Fujiwara, "Single-control testability of RTL data paths for BIST", In proc. Ninth Asian Test Symposium, 2000, pp.210-215.

[6] K. Yamaguchi, H. Wada, T. Masuzawa, and H. Fujiwara, "A BIST Method Based on Concurrent Single-Control Testability of RTL Data Paths", In proc. Tenth Asian Test Symposium, 2001, pp.313-318.

[7] Petra Michel, Ulrich Lauther and Peter Duzy, "The synthesis approach to digital system design", Kluwer academic publishers-group, Dordrecht, 1992.

[8] D. W. Hoffmann and T. Kropf, "Efficient Design error correction of digital circuits", in Intl. Conference on Computer Design,2000, pp.465-472.

[9] V. Boppana, R. Mukherjee, J. Jain, M. Fujita, and P. Bollineni, "Multiple Error Diagnosis Based on Xlists", in Proc. Design Automation Conf., June 1999, pp.660-665.