

**Apolipoprotein E isoforms disrupt long-chain fatty acid distribution in the plasma, the liver and the adipose tissue of mice.**

Valérie Conway<sup>a, b, c</sup>, Annie Larouche<sup>a</sup>, Wael Alata<sup>d</sup>, Milène Vandal<sup>c, d</sup>,  
Frédéric Calon<sup>c, d</sup>, and Mélanie Plourde<sup>a, b, c\*</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> *Research Center on Aging, Health and Social Services Center, University Institute of Geriatrics of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada*

<sup>b</sup> *Département de Médecine, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada*

<sup>c</sup> *Institute of Nutrition and Functional Foods, Université Laval, Québec, Canada*

<sup>d</sup> *Faculty of pharmacy, Université Laval and CHU-Q Research Centre, Québec, Canada*

**\*Corresponding author and request for reprints:**

Mélanie Plourde, *Research Center on Aging, Health and Social Services Center, University Institute of Geriatrics of Sherbrooke, 1036 Belvédère Sud, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1H 4C4.*

**Phone:** (819) 780-2220 ext. 45340

**Fax:** (819) 829-7141

**E-mail:** melanie.plourde2@usherbrooke.ca

**Running title:** *APOE* genotype on tissue lipid profiles of transgenic mice

## Abstract

Evidences suggest that omega-3 fatty acid (*n*-3 PUFA) metabolism is imbalanced in apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 isoform carriers (*APOE4*). This study aimed to investigate *APOE* genotype-dependant modulation of FA profiles, protein and enzyme important to fatty acid (FA) metabolism in the adipose tissue, the liver and the plasma using human *APOE*-targeted replacement mouse-model (N = 37). FA transport (FATP) and binding (FABP) protein levels in tissues and concentrations of liver carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1) were performed. *N*-3 PUFA concentration was >45% lower in the adipose tissue and liver of *APOE4* mice compared to *APOE3* mice. In *APOE4* mice, there were higher levels of FATP and FABP in the liver and higher FATP in the adipose tissue compared to *APOE2* mice. There was a trend towards higher CPT1 concentrations in *APOE4* mice compared to *APOE3* mice. Therefore, since *APOE*-isoform differences were not always in line with the unbalanced *n*-3 PUFA profiles in organs, other proteins may be involved in maintaining *n*-3 PUFA homeostasis in mice with different *APOE*-isoforms.

**Keywords:** Transport and binding proteins, *n*-3 PUFA metabolism, cellular FA uptake and degradation, *APOE4* carriers.

**Abbreviations used:** AA, arachidonic acid; Ab, antibody; AD, Alzheimer's disease; ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; ApoE, apolipoprotein E; CPT1, Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FA, fatty acid; FABP, fatty acid binding protein; FATP, fatty acid transport protein; LCFA, long-chain fatty acids; *n*-3 PUFA, omega-3 fatty acid; *n*-6 PUFA, omega-6 fatty acid.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1 Fatty acids (FA), notably long-chain fatty acids (LCFA) such as docosahexaenoic  
2 acid (DHA, 22:6), are essentials for brain growth as well as for brain health maintenance  
3 [1]. Imbalance in FA metabolism has been associated with neurological diseases such as  
4 depression and Alzheimer's disease (AD) [2]. AD is the most common type of dementia  
5 (around 50–60% of all cases) and ranked fifth as leading cause of death in American  
6 population aged 65 years and over [3]. This disease results from a combination of non-  
7 modifiable factors (i.e. genetic factors) and reversible factors such as diet [4]. Most  
8 important genetic risk factor of AD is carrying one or two allele(s) of the apolipoprotein  
9 E  $\epsilon$ 4 (*APOE4*) [5-7]. Fatty fish intake containing omega-3 FA (*n*-3 PUFA), such as DHA  
10 is suggested to reduce the risk of developing AD but this link seems to hold only in the  
11 non-carriers of *APOE4* [5-7]. Moreover, compared to non-carriers of *APOE4* (i.e. *APOE2*  
12 and *APOE3*), consumption of *n*-3 PUFA, such as DHA, fails to reduce the risk of  
13 cognitive decline among *APOE4* carriers [5, 8]. This could potentially be explained by a  
14 disturbed DHA metabolism in *APOE4* carriers, supported by lower DHA content in the  
15 brain of *APOE4* animals and humans [9, 10].

16 Higher levels of DHA have also been reported in the plasma of human carrying  
17 the *APOE4* allele [11, 12]. However, the mechanisms explaining why DHA homeostasis  
18 could be imbalanced in *APOE4* carriers are still unknown. One hypothesis is that *APOE*  
19 genotype modulates expression of key fatty acid handling proteins thereby impairing  
20 transport and uptake of FA by peripheral organs such as adipose tissue and liver. These  
21 two tissues are important players in lipid metabolism because they constantly exchange  
22 FA with blood. Therefore, plasma FA profile pictures the balance of uptake and release

23 of FA from hepatic and adipose cells [13]. Key proteins are involved in the transport,  
24 release and uptake of plasma FA towards peripheral organs: fatty acid transport proteins  
25 (FATP) and fatty acid binding proteins (FABP). FATPs are transmembrane transport  
26 proteins necessary for efficient uptake of FA by cells [14]. Once bound to FATPs, FABPs  
27 act as chaperon proteins to reduce the hydrophobic nature of LCFAs and ease their  
28 transport within the cells towards specific metabolic routes [15, 16]. For example, upon  
29 activation by hepatic acyl-CoA synthase, newly formed LCFA-CoAs are trapped inside  
30 hepatocytes and may be directed by FABP toward the mitochondria for  $\beta$ -oxidation to  
31 produce energy [17]. Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1) is currently recognized as  
32 the key limiting enzyme initiating FA oxidation [18]. Overall, FATPs and FABPs  
33 regulate LCFA transport, uptake and release by tissues, as CPT1 regulates their  
34 catabolism [2, 14, 15, 18]. FATP1 and FABP4 are mainly found in adipose tissue  
35 whereas FATP5 and FABP1 are highly expressed in the liver [14, 15]. FATPs and  
36 FABPs partner together for efficient LCFA uptake by cells [19].

37         The aim of the present study was to investigate whether *APOE* genotypes disrupt  
38 FA profile in the adipose tissue and the liver and whether this is explained by different  
39 level of FABPs, FATPs and CTP1 in these tissues.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Animals

40 Male and female APOE-targeted replacement mice expressing human *APOE* genotypes  
41 were purchase at Taconic (Hudson, NY). Animals were breed in order to obtain colonies  
42 of mice homozygous for human *APOE2*, *APOE3*, or *APOE4* on a C57/BL6 background  
43 (N=10–14/genotype group). This mouse model was first created by Sullivan et al [20] to  
44 study human *APOE3* phenotype *in vivo* and is currently recognized as a useful *in vivo*  
45 model to study the role of human apoE on lipid metabolism. *APOE*-targeted replacement  
46 mice have phenotypes similar to those found in humans [21], such as high blood  
47 cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol levels in *APOE4* mice and high levels of plasma  
48 triglycerides and cholesterol in *APOE2* mice [22].

49 From weaning to 4-month of age, mice were fed a commercial chow diet to  
50 prevent any neurodevelopmental problems coming from dietary deficiency. At 4-month  
51 of age, mice were switched to a low-fat diet (low *n*–3 PUFA/*n*–6 PUFA) until sacrifice.  
52 The low-fat diet had the following composition: 66.0% (w/w) of proteins, 20.3% (w/w)  
53 of carbohydrates and 5.0% (w/w) of lipids (Table 1). In order to investigate the influence  
54 of age on *n*–3 PUFA metabolism according to *APOE* genotype, necropsies was  
55 performed on mice of either 8.5 or 12-months of age. At sacrifice, mice were perfused in  
56 the heart with 50 ml of ice-cold 0.1 M PBS buffer after deep anesthesia with  
57 ketamine/xylazine. The adipose tissue, the liver and the plasma were collected within  
58 minutes and rapidly frozen on dry ice. Organs and plasma were stored at –80°C until  
59 further analysis. The animal protocol was performed in accordance with the Canadian

60 Council on Animal Care and was approved by the Comité d'éthique de la recherche du  
61 CHUQ-Centre hospitalier de l'Université Laval.

## 2.2 Fatty acid analysis

62 Total lipids were extracted from adipose tissue (10 mg), liver (100 mg) and  
63 plasma (100 µL), using 2:1 chloroform–methanol as described by Folch *et al.* [23].  
64 Lipids were extracted from organs in a glass potter and from plasma in a glass tube. After  
65 collecting the organic phase, total lipids were saponified for releasing the FA from  
66 cholesteryl esters and glycerolipids [10]. Non-esterified FAs were thereafter  
67 transmethylated using 14% boron trifluoride–methanol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). FA  
68 profiles were determined by gas chromatography as previously described [24].

## 2.3 Proteins and Western blot analysis

69 Total proteins were extracted from adipose tissue and liver and homogenized  
70 using glass potters in a solution containing 50 mM Tris–HCL (pH = 7.4), 2.5 mM EDTA,  
71 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton and a freshly added protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche  
72 Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). After 15 min on ice, samples were centrifuged at 13,000  
73 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Protein concentrations were assessed using bicinchoninic acid  
74 (BCA) Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA). 15 µg of  
75 proteins were denatured with SDS blue buffer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA),  
76 loaded on a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide electrophoresis gel and  
77 transferred onto a 0.2 µM polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Bio–Rad, ON,  
78 Canada). Membranes were blocked with 5% milk–0.01% TBS-Tween (TBST) for 30 min  
79 at room temperature, then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies (Ab)

80 against FATP1 (1:1000, Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA), FABP4 (1:1000, Cayman  
81 Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI), FATP5 (1:500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Dallas, TX),  
82 FABP1 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) and  $\beta$ -actin (1:10000, Cell  
83 Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Membranes were washed with TBST,  
84 immunoblotted with a horseradish peroxidase linked secondary anti-rabbit Ab (1:10000,  
85 Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) followed by chemiluminescence reagents  
86 (chemiluminescence ECL kits, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Densitometry was  
87 analyzed using ImageJ software (U.S. National Institutes of Health) and results were  
88 expressed in ratio to  $\beta$ -actin.

#### 2.4 Liver CPT1 quantification

89 Liver samples were weighed before homogenization. Livers (100 mg) were  
90 homogenized in 1 mL of 1X PBS buffer (pH = 7.4) using an eppendorf micropestle. The  
91 resulting suspension was sonicated for a total of  $3 \times 5$  sec cycles to break cell membranes  
92 and then centrifuged at  $5,000 \times g$  for 5 min at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Supernates were removed, diluted  
93 (1:500 and 1:800) and assay immediately. Liver CPT1 isoform A levels were analyse  
94 using a highly sensitive (1.56 pg/mL) and quantitative sandwich enzyme-linked  
95 immunosorbant assay (ELISA) test kit for mouse CPT1 liver isoform enzyme (CPT1a;  
96 Cusabio, Wuhan, China; [CV%] < 10 %). All standards and samples were assayed in  
97 duplicate. Average of duplicate readings was used for calculating concentrations using a  
98 four parameter logistic (4-PL) curve-fit model (MasterPlex  $\text{\textcircled{R}}$  EX expression analysis  
99 module, Hitachi Software, San-Francisco, CA).

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis

100 Normal distribution and homogeneity of variance were evaluated by performing  
101 Levene's test (parametric or non-parametric) before further statistical analysis. All data  
102 were analysed for statistical differences using ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric  
103 analysis of variance in SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). When significant  
104 differences were found, pairwise comparisons (Fisher's LSD or Mann-Whitney U tests)  
105 were performed in order to assess statistical differences between genotype groups.  
106 Pearson correlations and multiple regression models were used to investigate associations  
107 between tissue-specific levels of FA handling proteins (FABPs and FATPs) and  
108 concentration in LCFAs. The average of duplicate readings for each liver homogenate  
109 samples was used to assess CTP1 concentrations according to *APOE* genotype. *P* values <  
110 0.05 were considered statistically significant, and *P* values for trends were set as < 0.08.  
111 Data are presented as means ± SEMs or as % compared to control mice, namely *APOE3*  
112 (Equation 1).

Equation 1:

$$\text{Compared to control (\%)} = (\text{APOE4} \div \text{APOE3}) \times 100$$

113



## 114 3. RESULTS

### 115 3.1 Mice groups

116 The study groups had N=10 *APOE2* mice, N=13 *APOE3* mice, N=14 *APOE4*  
117 mice and of N=8 Wild-type C57BL/6 mice. At sacrifice, the mean weight for *APOE2*  
118 mice was 43.2 g  $\pm$  7.6 g, 37.2 g  $\pm$  7.0 g for *APOE3*, 34.5 g  $\pm$  6.7 g for *APOE4* and 44.8 g  
119  $\pm$  6.0 g for Wild-Type mice. There was no age-difference in any of the studied outcomes,  
120 thus mice of 8.5 or 12-months of age were pooled by *APOE* genotype in further analysis.

### 121 3.2 Fatty acid profiles

121 FA profile of the adipose tissue (Table 2), the liver (Table 3) and the plasma  
122 (Table 4) of transgenic mice was modified by *APOE* genotype. There were limited  
123 differences in the FA profile of adipose tissue, liver and plasma of *APOE3* mice,  
124 compared to Wild-type C57BL/6 mice (WT). *APOE3* mice were henceforth designated as  
125 the control group since they express the most common *APOE* isoform and this allele is  
126 not associated to any human disease [21].

127 In the adipose tissue of *APOE4* mice, alpha-linolenic (ALA; 18:3 *n*-3) and DHA  
128 were both significantly lower than in *APOE3* mice, whereas 16:1 *n*-7 was significantly  
129 higher (Table 2). In the adipose tissue and the liver of *APOE4* mice, ALA levels were  
130 respectively 56% ( $P = 0.036$ ) and 14% ( $P < 0.001$ ) the levels of *APOE3* mice. In the liver  
131 of *APOE4* mice, DHA was 66% lower than the level of *APOE3* mice. All other fatty  
132 acids measured in the liver did not differ according to *APOE* genotype. In the adipose  
133 tissue and the liver of *APOE4* mice, *n*-3 PUFA concentrations were 53% lower  
134 compared to *APOE3* mice ( $P$  values  $\leq 0.003$ ). Total FA concentration in the plasma of

135 *APOE2* mice was approximately 5 fold higher than *APOE3* and *APOE4* mice supporting  
136 hyperlipidemia in *APOE2* mice as previously described [22]. In this study, plasma total  
137 FA was calculated by the addition of the measured FA expressed in concentrations.  
138 Plasma samples from *APOE2* mice were cloudy and milky compared to the plasma of  
139 WT, *APOE3* and *APOE4* mice.

140

### 3.3 FATP1 and FABP4 regulation in adipose tissues

141 There was a weak, but significant *APOE* genotype effect on FATP1/ $\beta$ -actin ratio  
142 (Fig. 1A, left panel;  $P = 0.047$ ). In *APOE4* mice, FATP1 level was at least 30% higher  
143 compared to the other genotypes. There was no significant *APOE* genotype effect for the  
144 ratio of FABP4/ $\beta$ -actin in the adipose tissue (Fig. 1A, right panel). There were significant  
145 negative correlations between adipose tissue concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ ) of total  $n-3$  PUFA  
146 and FATP1 levels ( $r = -0.431$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ). There were no correlation between FABP4  
147 and any of the FA analysed in the adipose tissue neither was there between the levels of  
148 FATP1 or FABP4 and plasma FA profile. Using multiple regression analysis, LCFA  
149 concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ ) in adipose tissue were the only significant predictor of variation  
150 in FATP1 levels ( $R^2 = 19.9\%$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ). There was no relationship between FABP4 and  
151 the FA profile of adipose tissue. Plasma FA concentration was unable to predict any  
152 change in FATP1 or FABP4 protein levels (data not shown).

### 3.4 FATP5 and FABP1 regulation in the liver

153           There was a significant *APOE* genotype effect on FATP5/ $\beta$ -actin ratio and  
154 FABP1/ $\beta$ -actin ratio in the liver (*P* values of 0.037 and 0.031 respectively). FATP5 and  
155 FABP1 levels were more than 2 fold higher in *APOE4* mice compared to *APOE2* mice  
156 (Fig. 1B). Levels of FATP5 and FABP1 were also significantly higher in *APOE3* mice  
157 compared to *APOE2*. There was no correlation between FA concentrations in the liver  
158 and FATP5 or FABP1 levels. However, the plasma concentration of total *n*-3 PUFA was  
159 negatively correlated with FATP5 ( $r = -0.455$ ,  $P = 0.044$ ). Similarly, the plasma  
160 concentrations of AA was negatively correlated to FABP1 ( $r = -0.618$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ). Using  
161 multiple regression analysis, FATP5 was able to predict variation in the plasma  
162 concentrations of DHA ( $R^2 = 25.4\%$ ,  $P = 0.024$ ). Similarly, FABP1 levels predicted  
163 variation in the plasma concentration of AA ( $R^2 = 38.2\%$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ) (data not shown).

### 3.5 Liver-type CTP1 levels according to *APOE* genotype

164           There was a trend towards a genotype effect for the CPT1 in the liver ( $P = 0.073$ ).  
165 Although, there was no significant genotype effect on CPT1, its mean concentration  
166 seemed to be higher in *APOE4* mice ( $1.92 \pm 0.10$ ) compared to *APOE2* ( $1.59 \pm 0.14$ ) and  
167 *APOE3* mice ( $1.45 \pm 0.22$ ) (Fig. 2). Using Mann-Whitney U test to assess difference  
168 between two samples, concentration of CPT1 in the liver of *APOE4* mice was 21%  
169 higher than *APOE3* mice ( $P = 0.038$ ).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

170 This study reports lower concentrations of *n*-3 PUFA in the adipose and the liver  
171 of *APOE4* mice compared to *APOE3* mice. There was an *APOE* isoform-dependant  
172 effect for the levels of FATP in the liver and the adipose tissue and for FABP in the liver  
173 and a trend towards an *APOE* isoform-dependant effect on CPT1 concentration in the  
174 liver.

175 The exact mechanism by which LCFAs are up-taken by cells is not clearly  
176 established and is still source of debates. Mitchell and Hatch [2] suggested a model  
177 involving the collaboration of four families of FA-handling proteins, among which  
178 FATPs and FABPs are major contributors. Briefly, LCFAs interact with membrane  
179 FATPs to be transported from the exoplasmic side to the cytoplasmic side of the cell  
180 membrane. The crucial mechanistic importance of FATPs for LCFAs internalization,  
181 from blood into organs and tissues, was confirmed through the use of FATP5 [25] and  
182 FATP1 [26] knockout (KO) mice models. Since FATP transport is bidirectional, FABP  
183 must then interact with internalized LCFAs in order to prevent their efflux back into  
184 circulation. Cytosolic tracking FABPs thereafter direct LCFAs towards metabolic routes  
185 such as mitochondrial  $\beta$ -oxidation [2, 14, 15, 27]. Thus, it was anticipated that the higher  
186 levels of FATPs and FABPs would result in higher LCFA uptake by tissues. In this study,  
187 there was a negative correlation between FATP5 levels and plasma LCFAs  
188 concentrations, independently of *APOE* genotypes. However, there was lower ALA,  
189 DHA and *n*-3 PUFA in the liver and the adipose tissue of *APOE4* mice compared to  
190 *APOE3* mice. Therefore, we sought to determine whether this could be linked to higher  
191  $\beta$ -oxidation of *n*-3 PUFA based on our previous results in humans which suggested

192 higher  $\beta$ -oxidation of DHA in *APOE4* carriers [11]. Therefore, we quantified CPT1  
193 concentration in the liver according to *APOE* genotype. There was a trend towards an  
194 *APOE* isoform-dependant effect on CPT1 level where *APOE4* mice tended to have 21%  
195 higher CPT1 levels than *APOE3* mice. Considering that ALA is a preferred substrate for  
196  $\beta$ -oxidation in humans and animals, [28-30], our results support the hypothesis of higher  
197 FA  $\beta$ -oxidation since *APOE4* mice had significantly lower levels of ALA in the liver  
198 compared to *APOE3* mice. However, DHA is usually highly conserved in animals and in  
199 humans based on our previous studies, but the lower level of DHA in the liver of *APOE4*  
200 mice support that there may be a shift in FA preference towards  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway,  
201 explaining why DHA seems to be more catabolized in *APOE4* carriers [11].

202         The importance of the association between LCFAs degradation and *APOE*  
203 genotype resides in the recent association between low hepatic DHA and AD. Astarita *et*  
204 *al* [31, 32] reported lower DHA levels in the liver of AD patients compared to control  
205 subjects, which suggested an association between hepatic DHA homeostasis and  
206 cognition. Since carrying an allele of *APOE4* is currently recognized as the most  
207 important risk factor of AD [5-7] higher  $\beta$ -oxidation of *n*-3 PUFAs may compromise the  
208 availability of DHA to support normal brain functions. It may also explain the lower  
209 brain level of DHA (%) in 13-months *APOE4* mice compared to *APOE2* mice reported  
210 by Vandal *et al* [9]. However, it seems possible to rebalance DHA homeostasis by  
211 providing a diet rich in DHA to *APOE4* carriers [33]. This needs to be further  
212 investigated since  $\beta$ -oxidation of DHA in *APOE4* carriers fed 3 g/d of *n*-3 PUFAs was  
213 lower compared to pre-supplementation [11], conversely to non-carriers for which  
214 feeding the supplement increases  $\beta$ -oxidation of DHA compared to baseline [34].

215 This study reported that the uptake of circulating LCFAs by *APOE2* mice was  
216 apparently inefficient and that CPT1 concentrations in the liver tended to be lower in  
217 *APOE2*-expressing animals compared to *APOE4* (Fig. 2). These results are in line with  
218 the hypertriglyceridemia generally associated with *APOE2* homozygous human carriers  
219 [22] and humanized *APOE2* mice [35], as well as with the phenotype reported for CPT1  
220 KO mouse model [36]. Indeed, in this study, *APOE2* mice add almost 5-fold higher total  
221 plasma lipids and plasma was white rather than light transparent yellow like the plasma  
222 of the other genotypes. As previously reported, lower hepatic and intramuscular  $\beta$ -  
223 oxidation have been report in the brain CPT1c isoform KO mice as well as elevated  
224 triacylglycerol content in liver and muscle in these mice [36]. Not much information is  
225 available in literature concerning the liver CPT1a isoform KO mice model for two major  
226 reasons: 1) complete inhibition of CPT1a enzyme is lethal to mice [37]; 2) CPT1a  
227 isoform bears a highly homologous primary sequence with its other known isoforms  
228 (CPT1b, muscle type isoform; CPT1c, brain type isoform) which gene-inactivation are  
229 non-lethal [38].

230 Using the same mouse model and the same diet, Vandal et al [9] recently reported  
231 40% higher relative % of n-3 PUFA and 34% higher DHA in plasma total lipids of  
232 *APOE3* and *APOE4* mice compared to *APOE2* of 13-months of age. Similarly, in this  
233 study, the relative % of n-3 PUFA was nearly 40% higher in 8.5 to 12-month *APOE3*  
234 mice than *APOE2* mice, but there was no difference between *APOE4* and *APOE2* mice  
235 (data not shown). The same pattern was observed for the relative % of DHA in plasma,  
236 with more than 30% higher DHA in *APOE3* mice compared to *APOE4* and *APOE2* (data  
237 not shown). *APOE2* mice have hyperlipidemia [35], supporting why in this study *APOE2*

238 mice had 5 times higher plasma total lipids than *APOE3* mice. Therefore, it seems more  
239 appropriate to express results in concentration rather than relative % of total FA when  
240 using the *APOE*-targeted replacement mouse model since relative % may mask potential  
241 higher lipid content in organs and plasma of these mice.

242         There is now a need for defining whether FA preference for  $\beta$ -oxidation is *APOE*  
243 isoform-dependent and whether it is possible to rebalance FA kinetics and metabolism in  
244 *APOE4* carriers through high dose supplementation with DHA and/or EPA. This pathway  
245 could contribute in explaining why *APOE4* carriers are at higher risk of developing AD.  
246 Unfortunately, we did not evaluate mice cognition in the present study and therefore, we  
247 cannot confirm this speculation – i.e. cognitive impairments in *APOE4* mice [39, 40].  
248 Also, the activity of FATPs, FABPs and CPT1 remains to be further investigated since  
249 only the levels, and not activities, were measured in the present study. Moreover, results  
250 obtained in humanized mice may not be translatable to humans and thus, caution is  
251 recommended with regard to interpretation of data obtained in humans. However, in  
252 support to the humanized mouse model used in our study, Raffai *et al* [41] reported that  
253 introduction of apoE4 domain interaction into endogenous mouse *APOE* gene (i.e.  
254 through substitution of Thr-61 by Arg-61 resulted in a phenotype similar to that found in  
255 humans homozygous for *APOE4* allele.

256         In conclusion, this study showed an *APOE* isoform-dependant effect on adipose  
257 tissue, liver and plasma *n-3* PUFAs concentrations. Similarly, *APOE*-isoforms  
258 significantly modulated FATP levels in the adipose tissue and the liver and FABP levels  
259 in the liver. However, these *APOE* isoform-dependencies were not always in line with the  
260 unbalanced *n-3* PUFA profiles in organs. Therefore, besides *APOE*-isoform differences

261 in FATP and FABP, other proteins are involved in maintaining  $n-3$  PUFA homeostasis in  
262 mice with different *APOE*-isoforms.



263

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

This study was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). VC is support by the medicine Fellowship grant from Sherbrooke University. MP is supported by a Junior 1 FRQ-S salary award and FC by a Junior 2 FRQ-S salary award. The authors' responsibilities were as follows – M.P. and F.C. have designed and obtained funding for this study; W.A. conducted the collection of animal plasma and tissues; V.C. characterized plasma and organs fatty acid profiles, performed statistical analyses, analyzed the data and wrote the present manuscript. A.L. performed proteins and Western Blot analysis. Other authors report non conflict of interest in relation with this study.

## References

1. M. Fotuhi, M. P. Mohassel, K. Yaffe, Fish consumption, long-chain omega-3 fatty acids and risk of cognitive decline or Alzheimer disease: a complex association, *Nat Clin Pract Neuro* 5 (2009) 140-152.
2. R. W. Mitchell, G.M. Hatch, Fatty acid transport into the brain: Of fatty acid fables and lipid tails, *PLEFA* 85 (2011) 293-302.
3. 2011 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures, *Alzheimers Dement* 7 (2011) 208-244.
4. P. Barberger-Gateau et al, Dietary omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and Alzheimer's disease: Interaction with apolipoprotein E genotype, *Curr Alzheimer Res* 8 (2011) 479-491.
5. T. L. Huang, P. P. Zandi, K. L. Tucker et al, Benefits of fatty fish on dementia risk are stronger for those without APOE epsilon 4, *Neurology* 65 (2005). 1409-1414.
6. C. Samieri, C. Féart, C. Proust-Lima et al, Omega-3 fatty acids and cognitive decline: modulation by ApoEε4 allele and depression, *Neurobiol Aging* 32 (2011) 2317.e13-22.
7. K. D. Coon, A. J. Myers, D. W. Craig et al, A high-density whole-genome association study reveals that APOE is the major susceptibility gene for sporadic late-onset Alzheimer's disease, *J Clin Psychiatry* 68 (2007) 613-618.
8. C. Samieri, S. Lorrain, B. Buaud et al, Relationship between diet and plasma long-chain n-3 PUFAs in older people: impact of apolipoprotein E genotype, *J Lipid Res* 54 (2013) 2559-2567.

9. M. Vandal, W. Alata, C. Tremblay et al, Reduction in DHA transport to the brain of mice expressing human APOE4 compared to APOE2, *J Neurochem* 129 (2014) 516-526.
10. U. Beffert, J. S. Cohn, C. Petit-Turcotte et al, Apolipoprotein E and  $\beta$ -amyloid levels in the hippocampus and frontal cortex of Alzheimer's disease subjects are disease-related and apolipoprotein E genotype dependent, *Brain Res* 843 (1999) 87-94.
11. R. Chouinard-Watkins, C. Rioux-Perreault, M. Fortier M et al, Disturbance in uniformly  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labelled DHA metabolism in elderly human subjects carrying the apoE  $\epsilon$ 4 allele, *Br J Nutr* 110 (2013) 1751-1759.
12. M. Plourde, M. C. Vohl, M. Vandal, P. Couture, S. Lemieux, S. C. Cunnane, Plasma n-3 fatty acid response to an n-3 fatty acid supplement is modulated by apoE epsilon4 but not by the common PPAR-alpha L162V polymorphism in men. *Br J Nutr* 102 (2009) 1121-1124.
13. L. Guiducci, T. Grönroos, M. J. Järvisalo et al, Biodistribution of the fatty acid analogue  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FTHA: plasma and tissue partitioning between lipid pools during fasting and hyperinsulinemia, *J Nucl Med* 48 (2007) 455-462.
14. A. Stahl, A current review of fatty acid transport proteins (SLC27). *Pflügers Archiv* 447 (2004) 722-727.
15. A. Chmurzyńska A, The multigene family of fatty acid-binding proteins (FABPs): Function, structure and polymorphism, *J App Genet* 47 (2006) 39-48.
16. P. J. Voshol, P. C. Rensen, K. W. van Dijk, J. A. Romijn, L. M. Havekes, Effect of plasma triglyceride metabolism on lipid storage in adipose tissue: studies using

- genetically engineered mouse models, *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1791 (2009) 479-485.
17. S. Eaton, K. Bartlett, M. Pourfarzam, Mammalian mitochondrial beta-oxidation, *Biochem J* 320 (1996) 345-357.
  18. J. D. McGarry, D. W. Foster, Regulation of hepatic fatty acid oxidation and ketone body production, *Ann Rev Biochem* 49 (1980) 395-420.
  19. J. F. C. Glatz, J. J. F. P. Luiken, A. Bonen, Membrane fatty acid transporters as regulators of lipid metabolism: implications for metabolic disease, *Physiol Rev* 90 (2010) 367-417.
  20. P. M. Sullivan, H. Mezdour, Y. Aratani, C. Knouff et al, Targeted replacement of the mouse apolipoprotein E gene with the common human APOE3 allele enhances diet-induced hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis, *J Biol Chem* 272 (1997) 17972-17980.
  21. P.S. Hauser, V. Narayanaswami et al, Apolipoprotein E: from lipid transport to neurobiology, *Prog Lipid Res* 50 (2011) 62-74.
  22. R. W. Mahley, S. C. Rall, Apolipoprotein E: far more than a lipid transport protein, *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 1 (2000) 507-537.
  23. J. Folch, M. Lees, G. H. Sloanestanley, A simple method for the isolation and the purification of total lipids from animal tissues, *J Biol Chem* 226 (1957) 497-509.
  24. M. Plourde, R. Chouinard-Watkins, M. Vandal et al, Plasma incorporation, apparent retroconversion and beta-oxidation of <sup>13</sup>C-docosahexaenoic acid in the elderly, *Nutr Metab* 8 (2011) 5.

25. H. Doege, R. A. Baillie, A. M. Ortegon et al, Targeted deletion of FATP5 reveals multiple functions in liver metabolism: alterations in hepatic lipid homeostasis, *Gastroenterology* 130 (2006) 1245-1258.
26. J. K. Kim, R. E. Gimeno, T. Higashimori et al, Inactivation of fatty acid transport protein 1 prevents fat-induced insulin resistance in skeletal muscle, *J Clin Invest* 113 (2004) 756-763.
27. A. K. Dutta-Roy, Cellular uptake of long-chain fatty acids: role of membrane-associated fatty-acid-binding/transport proteins, *CMLS* 57 (2000) 1360-1372.
28. J. P. DeLany, M. M. Windhauser, C. M. Champagne, G. A. Bray, Differential oxidation of individual dietary fatty acids in humans, *Am J Clin Nutr* 72 (2000) 905-911.
29. J. Leyton, P. J. Drury, M. A. Crawford, Differential oxidation of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in vivo in the rat. *Br J Nutr* 57 (1987) 383-393.
30. U. McCloy, M. A. Ryan, P. B. Pencharz, R. J. Ross, S. C. Cunnane, A comparison of the metabolism of eighteen-carbon <sup>13</sup>C-unsaturated fatty acids in healthy women, *J Lipid Res* 45 (2004) 474-485.
31. G. Astarita, D. Piomelli, Lipidomics of Alzheimer's disease: a liver peroxisomal dysfunction in the metabolism of omega-3 fatty acids. *OCL* 18 (2011) 218-223.
32. G. Astarita, K. M. Jung, N. C. Berchtold et al, Deficient liver biosynthesis of docosahexaenoic acid correlates with cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease, *PLoS ONE* 5 (2010) e12538.

33. M. Hennebelle, M. Plourde, R. Chouinard-Watkins et al, Aging and apolipoprotein E change docosahexaenoic acid homeostasis: Relevance to age-related cognitive decline, *Proc Nutr Soc* 73 (2014) 80-86.
34. M. Plourde, R. Chouinard-Watkins, C. Rioux-Perreault et al, Kinetics of <sup>13</sup>C-DHA before and during fish-oil supplementation in healthy older individuals, *Am J Clin Nutr* (2014) doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.074708.
35. P.M. Sullivan, H. Mezdour et al, Type III hyperlipoproteinemia and spontaneous atherosclerosis in mice resulting from gene replacement of mouse Apoe with human Apoe\*2, *J Clin Invest* 102 (1998) 130-135.
36. X. F. Gao, W. Chen, X. P. Kong et al, Enhanced susceptibility of Cpt1c knockout mice to glucose intolerance induced by a high-fat diet involves elevated hepatic gluconeogenesis and decreased skeletal muscle glucose uptake, *Diabetologia*, 52 (2009) 912-920.
37. L. R. Nyman, K. B. Cox, C. L. Hoppel et al, Homozygous carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1a (liver isoform) deficiency is lethal in the mouse, *Mol Genet Metab* 86 (2005) 179-187.
38. N. T. Price, F. R. van der Leij, V. N. Jackson et al, A novel brain-expressed protein related to carnitine palmitoyltransferase I, *Genomics* 80 (2002) 433-442.
39. S. O. Adeosun, X. Hou, B. Zheng et al, Cognitive deficits and disruption of neurogenesis in a mouse model of apolipoprotein E4 domain interaction, *J Biol Chem* 289 (2014) 2946-2959.

40. S. Salomon-Zimri, A. Boehm-Cagan, O. Liraz and D. M. Michaelson, Hippocampus-related cognitive impairments in young apoE4 targeted replacement mice, *Neurodegener Dis* 13 (2014) 86-92.
41. R.L. Raffai, L.M. Dong et al, Introduction of human apolipoprotein E4 “domain interaction” into mouse apolipoprotein E, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98 (2001) 11587-11591.

**TABLE 1**

Macronutrients composition of the diets fed from weaning to 4-month and from 4 to 13-months of age.

|                           | <b>Diets at months</b><br>(all mice, N= 45) |                   |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
|                           | 0-4 <sup>a</sup>                            | 4-13 <sup>b</sup> |
| Energy (kcal/g)           | 3.4   | 3.9               |
| Proteins (% , w/w)        | 18.9  | 20.3              |
| Carbohydrates (% , w/w)   | 57.3  | 66.0              |
| Fat (% , w/w)             | 6   | 5                 |
| ALA (g/kg)                | 4.1   | 0.4               |
| EPA (g/kg)                | 0   | 0                 |
| DHA (g/kg)                | 0   | 0                 |
| LA (g/kg)                 | 52.3  | 36.0              |
| AA (g/kg)                 | 0   | 0                 |
| Ratio <i>n-6/n-3</i> PUFA | 13  | 100               |

<sup>a</sup> Tecklad Diet 2018 (Harlan Laboratory, Indianapolis, IN)

<sup>b</sup> Diet D04042202 (Research Diets, Inc., New-Brunswick, NJ)



**TABLE 2**

Fatty acid concentrations in the adipose tissue of *APOE*-targeted replacement mice carrying human *APOE* isoforms or of Wild-type C57/BL6 mice <sup>a</sup>

|                                     | ADIPOSE TISSUE ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ of tissue) |                              |                             |                              | <i>P</i> values<br>(for genotype) |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                     | <i>APOE2</i>  | <i>APOE3</i>                 | <i>APOE4</i>                | WT                           |                                   |
| 16:0                                | 162.9 $\pm$ 8.8                                     | 147.4 $\pm$ 5.7              | 170.6 $\pm$ 9.7             | 145.4 $\pm$ 6.6              | 0.092                             |
| 18:0                                | 11.1 $\pm$ 0.8 <sup>A</sup>                         | 14.8 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>AB</sup> | 16.7 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>B</sup> | 11.9 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.049                             |
| 16:1 <i>n</i> -7                    | 58.8 $\pm$ 6.2 <sup>A</sup>                         | 43.3 $\pm$ 5.1 <sup>A</sup>  | 64.0 $\pm$ 5.5 <sup>B</sup> | 47.6 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.023                             |
| 18:1 <i>n</i> -9                    | 299.8 $\pm$ 14.1                                    | 282.2 $\pm$ 9.6              | 307.7 $\pm$ 8.8             | 274.6 $\pm$ 8.9              | 0.118                             |
| 18:2 <i>n</i> -6                    | 340.3 $\pm$ 25.2                                    | 355.8 $\pm$ 14.5             | 307.8 $\pm$ 24.3            | 317.9 $\pm$ 14.5             | 0.323                             |
| 20:4 <i>n</i> -6                    | 3.8 $\pm$ 0.3                                       | 3.7 $\pm$ 0.1                | 3.9 $\pm$ 0.2               | 3.3 $\pm$ 0.3                | 0.378                             |
| Total <i>n</i> -6 PUFA              | 344.5 $\pm$ 25.3                                    | 360.9 $\pm$ 14.6             | 312.8 $\pm$ 24.5            | 321.3 $\pm$ 14.7             | 0.322                             |
| 18:3 <i>n</i> -3                    | 11.7 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>AB</sup>                        | 16.0 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>A</sup>  | 8.9 $\pm$ 2.1 <sup>B</sup>  | 14.7 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.031                             |
| 22:6 <i>n</i> -3                    | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>B</sup>                          | 1.2 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>A</sup>   | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>B</sup>  | ND                           | 0.014                             |
| Total <i>n</i> -3 PUFA              | 12.0 $\pm$ 2.3 <sup>A</sup>                         | 17.3 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>A</sup>  | 9.2 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>B</sup>  | 14.7 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>AB</sup> | 0.025                             |
| Ratio <i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 PUFA | 24.7 $\pm$ 1.3                                      | 27.3 $\pm$ 1.5               | 37.4 $\pm$ 8.0              | 22.6 $\pm$ 1.3               | 0.325                             |

<sup>a</sup> Mice were homozygous for human apolipoprotein E epsilon 2 (*APOE2*), or apolipoprotein E epsilon 3 (*APOE3*), or apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 (*APOE4*) and mice carrying endogenous murin-*APOE* gene (Wild-type; WT).

ND: Not detected, Statistical differences were performed by a One-Way ANOVA or non-parametric analysis of variance (SPSS Statistics software, NY, USA). Significance was set as  $P < 0.05$  and the trend at  $P < 0.08$ . Fisher's LSD or Mann-Whitney U post hoc analyses were performed to assess differences between genotype-groups. Different superscript letters within the same line indicate significant difference by *APOE* genotype.

**TABLE 3**

Fatty acid concentrations in the liver of *APOE*-targeted replacement mice carrying human *APOE* isoforms or of Wild-type C57/BL6 mice <sup>a</sup>

|                                     | LIVER ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ of tissue) |                            |                            |                             | <i>P</i> values<br>(for genotype) |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                     | <i>APOE2</i>                               | <i>APOE3</i>               | <i>APOE4</i>               | WT                          |                                   |
| 16:0                                | 25.4 $\pm$ 3.5                             | 26.5 $\pm$ 6.9             | 19.6 $\pm$ 2.4             | 28.2 $\pm$ 4.0              | 0.638                             |
| 18:0                                | 3.0 $\pm$ 0.2                              | 3.2 $\pm$ 0.2              | 3.1 $\pm$ 0.2              | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.2               | 0.378                             |
| 16:1 <i>n</i> -7                    | 6.7 $\pm$ 1.3                              | 8.7 $\pm$ 3.4              | 5.2 $\pm$ 0.9              | 7.5 $\pm$ 1.3               | 0.701                             |
| 18:1 <i>n</i> -9                    | 33.7 $\pm$ 5.0                             | 36.7 $\pm$ 12.2            | 33.9 $\pm$ 5.0             | 42.3 $\pm$ 5.9              | 0.917                             |
| 18:2 <i>n</i> -6                    | 21.5 $\pm$ 1.8                             | 21.3 $\pm$ 4.5             | 16.7 $\pm$ 2.0             | 18.1 $\pm$ 2.2              | 0.637                             |
| 20:4 <i>n</i> -6                    | 5.3 $\pm$ 0.4                              | 5.3 $\pm$ 0.5              | 4.9 $\pm$ 0.4              | 4.6 $\pm$ 0.5               | 0.718                             |
| Total <i>n</i> -6 PUFA              | 27.9 $\pm$ 2.2                             | 27.6 $\pm$ 5.1             | 22.5 $\pm$ 2.5             | 23.8 $\pm$ 2.7              | 0.654                             |
| 18:3 <i>n</i> -3                    | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>B</sup>                 | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>A</sup> | 0.1 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>B</sup> | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.001                             |
| 22:6 <i>n</i> -3                    | 2.4 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>A</sup>                 | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>A</sup> | 1.8 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>B</sup> | 2.1 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>AB</sup> | 0.030                             |
| Total <i>n</i> -3 PUFA              | 2.8 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>AB</sup>                | 3.7 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>A</sup> | 2.0 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>B</sup> | 2.4 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.004                             |
| Ratio <i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 PUFA | 13.5 $\pm$ 3.2                             | 7.3 $\pm$ 0.7              | 12.5 $\pm$ 1.5             | 10.0 $\pm$ 0.4              | 0.051                             |

<sup>a</sup> Mice were homozygous for human apolipoprotein E epsilon 2 (*APOE2*), or apolipoprotein E epsilon 3 (*APOE3*), or apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 (*APOE4*) and mice carrying endogenous murin-*APOE* gene (Wild-type; WT).

Statistical differences were performed by a One-Way ANOVA or non-parametric analysis of variance (SPSS Statistics software, NY, USA). Significance was set as  $P < 0.05$  and the trend at  $P < 0.08$ . Fisher's LSD or Mann-Whitney U post hoc analyses were performed to assess differences between genotype-groups. Different uppercase superscript letters within the same line indicate significant difference by *APOE* genotype.

**TABLE 4**

Fatty acid concentrations in the plasma of *APOE*-targeted replacement mice carrying human *APOE* isoforms or of Wild-type C57/BL6 mice <sup>a</sup>

|                                     | PLASMA ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of tissue) |                                 |                                 |                                 | <i>P</i> values<br>(for genotype) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                     | <i>APOE2</i>                         | <i>APOE3</i>                    | <i>APOE4</i>                    | WT                              |                                   |
| 16:0                                | 1862.5 $\pm$ 299.4 <sup>B</sup>      | 377.4 $\pm$ 40.9 <sup>A</sup>   | 545.9 $\pm$ 105.7 <sup>A</sup>  | 499.6 $\pm$ 36.2 <sup>A</sup>   | <0.001                            |
| 18:0                                | 839.3 $\pm$ 107.9 <sup>B</sup>       | 273.1 $\pm$ 18.3 <sup>A</sup>   | 391.6 $\pm$ 67.3 <sup>A</sup>   | 337.8 $\pm$ 30.3 <sup>A</sup>   | <0.001                            |
| 16:1 <i>n</i> -7                    | 334.5 $\pm$ 85.7 <sup>B</sup>        | 40.1 $\pm$ 8.7 <sup>A</sup>     | 64.6 $\pm$ 19.4 <sup>A</sup>    | 77.5 $\pm$ 12.7 <sup>A</sup>    | <0.001                            |
| 18:1 <i>n</i> -9                    | 2826.1 $\pm$ 642.4 <sup>B</sup>      | 308.3 $\pm$ 45.7 <sup>A</sup>   | 473.1 $\pm$ 86.4 <sup>A</sup>   | 456.6 $\pm$ 69.7 <sup>A</sup>   | <0.001                            |
| 18:2 <i>n</i> -6                    | 3326.7 $\pm$ 625.1 <sup>B</sup>      | 642.6 $\pm$ 86.1 <sup>A</sup>   | 715.0 $\pm$ 140.3 <sup>A</sup>  | 663.1 $\pm$ 38.5 <sup>A</sup>   | <0.001                            |
| 20:4 <i>n</i> -6                    | 1085.9 $\pm$ 168.4 <sup>B</sup>      | 379.8 $\pm$ 52.3 <sup>A</sup>   | 396.6 $\pm$ 44.6 <sup>A</sup>   | 487.6 $\pm$ 128.1 <sup>A</sup>  | <0.001                            |
| Total <i>n</i> -6 PUFA              | 4557.1 $\pm$ 797.9 <sup>B</sup>      | 1066.5 $\pm$ 124.8 <sup>A</sup> | 1170.6 $\pm$ 132.0 <sup>A</sup> | 1237.0 $\pm$ 144.4 <sup>A</sup> | <0.001                            |
| 18:3 <i>n</i> -3                    | 78.5 $\pm$ 31.5 <sup>B</sup>         | 6.6 $\pm$ 1.6 <sup>A</sup>      | 6.8 $\pm$ 2.7 <sup>A</sup>      | ND                              | 0.002                             |
| 20:5 <i>n</i> -3                    | 29.3 $\pm$ 14.5 <sup>B</sup>         | 10.2 $\pm$ 2.72 <sup>A</sup>    | 4.9 $\pm$ 1.9 <sup>A</sup>      | 9.3 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>A</sup>      | 0.090                             |
| 22:6 <i>n</i> -3                    | 23.7 $\pm$ 11.6 <sup>B</sup>         | 7.4 $\pm$ 2.0 <sup>A</sup>      | 6.3 $\pm$ 2.3 <sup>A</sup>      | 5.9 $\pm$ 2.2 <sup>A</sup>      | <0.001                            |
| Total <i>n</i> -3 PUFA              | 420.9 $\pm$ 107.3 <sup>B</sup>       | 128.0 $\pm$ 12.0 <sup>A</sup>   | 133.5 $\pm$ 26.6 <sup>A</sup>   | 163.1 $\pm$ 23.1 <sup>A</sup>   | 0.001                             |
| Ratio <i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3 PUFA | 15.7 $\pm$ 4.2                       | 9.2 $\pm$ 1.4                   | 10.7 $\pm$ 1.1                  | 8.0 $\pm$ 0.8                   | 0.134                             |

<sup>a</sup> Mice were homozygous for human apolipoprotein E epsilon 2 (*APOE2*), or apolipoprotein E epsilon 3 (*APOE3*), or apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 (*APOE4*) and mice carrying endogenous murin-*APOE* gene (Wild-type; WT).

ND: Not detected, Statistical differences were performed by a One-Way ANOVA or non-parametric analysis of variance (SPSS Statistics software, NY, USA). Significance was set as  $P < 0.05$  and the trend at  $P < 0.08$ . Fisher's LSD or Mann-Whitney U post hoc analyses were performed to assess differences between genotype-groups. Different uppercase superscript letters within the same line indicate significant difference by *APOE* genotype.

## LEGEND OF FIGURES

**FIG 1. (A.)** Levels of fatty acid transport protein and binding protein of the adipose tissue (i.e. FATP1 and FABP4), over  $\beta$ -actin. **(B.)** Levels of fatty acid transport protein and binding protein of the liver (i.e. FATP5 and FABP1), over  $\beta$ -actin. FATP and FABP levels were obtained by Western blot and results are means  $\pm$  SEM. For each proteins measured (i.e. FATP or FABP), three representative bands (N = 3) per genotype are presented in order to show the intragroup variations. Levels of tissue specific FATP and FABP in the adipose tissue and in the liver were analysed for statistical differences using non-parametric analysis of variance in SPSS (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Significant genotype effect was found for FATP1 ( $P = 0.047$ ) in the adipose tissue and FATP5 ( $P = 0.037$ ) and FABP1 ( $P = 0.031$ ) in the liver. Pairwise comparisons were performed using Mann-Whitney U tests.  $P$  values  $< 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

**FIG 2.** Liver carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1) concentrations (ng/mg) measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Results are presented in means  $\pm$  SEM. Liver concentrations of CPT1 were analysed for statistical differences using ANOVA analysis of variance in SPSS (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). There was a trend towards a genotype effect ( $P$  value = 0.073). \*Pairwise comparison (Fisher's LSD test) reported significant differences between *APOE4* and *APOE3* mice ( $P = 0.032$ ). Dotted line is used to indicate the genotype trend ( $P$  value  $< 0.08$ ).