

IVAN GATSOV, PETRANKA NEDELICHEVA,
MAŁGORZATA KACZANOWSKA, JANUSZ K. KOZŁOWSKI

A BULLET CORE SPECIMEN FROM NE BULGARIA

ABSTRACT

I. Gatsov, P. Nedelcheva, M. Kaczanowska, J. K. Kozłowski 2017. *A bullet core specimen from NE Bulgaria*, AAC 52:305–311.

The paper discusses the first find of a bullet core from the territory of Bulgaria. This core fills in a gap in the occurrence of this technology in between the Marmara Sea basin and the north-western part of the Pontic region. Because the core from the vicinity of Varna is a surface find it is difficult to determine its chronological position.

Key words: Epipaleolithic; Mesolithic; Neolithic; Pontic region, Marmara Sea basin pressure technique, bullet cores

Received: 6.11.2017; Revised: 16.01.2018; Revised: 1.02.2018; Accepted: 2.02.2018

I. INTRODUCTION

The subject of the present publication is a find of a bullet core discovered in the surface survey conducted by Hermenegild and Karel Škorpil at the beginning of the 20th century in NE Bulgaria on the Arven Plateau about 10–12 km north of Varna. The core is now stored in the collection of the Archaeological Museum in Varna (Inv. No 1253) and was made available for the publication by the kind permission of Chief. Assist. Prof. V. Slavchev.

The above mentioned specimen presents a core blades and bladelets with a single blow prepared rounded platform which size is smaller in comparison with the maximum width of the item. The blades and bladelets production was linked with the use of pressure technique applied by short or long tool with the help of hand, shoulder or abdomen (Balci 2011, 3). Dimensions of the core are: length of 47 mm and width and thickness respectively 15 mm and 10 mm. The width of the scars varied between 7 mm and 10 mm (Fig. 1). This specimen was made of flint and the origin of the raw material can be determined with certainty after the relevant research.




Fig. 1. Flint bullet core from the region of Varna; drawing by P. Nedelcheva

II. EPIPALAEOLITHIC/MESOLITHIC/NEOLITHIC IN WEST PONTIC REGION FOREST AND COASTAL STEPS OF NORTHWESTERN BLACK SEA

The core is the first artifact in the territory of present day Bulgaria that confirms the mastery of the technique of blade production from bullet cores.

Bullet cores were used from the beginning of the Final Palaeolithic till the Late Neolithic. In the territory of Bulgaria pre-Neolithic sites are very few and mostly surface sites such as e.g. Dikilitash (Pobite Kamani) in the vicinity of Varna (Gatsov 1982, 111–130). However, the materials from these site did not contain either bullet cores or regular bladelets obtained by pressure technique. Gurova and Bonsall (2014) believe that the trapezes from Dikilitash made on regular blades are a Middle Neolithic admixture (Lichardus *et al.* 2000, 1–12). But in our opinion these has not been unequivocally determined. It should be emphasized that trapezes occur in the latest Epigravettian assemblages e.g. at the site of Breg in Slovenia (Kozłowski 2009, 278). Trapezes are also found at Mesolithic sites that are ascribed to the Epigravettian/Castelnovian in the south-east Balkans (in Greece and in the Aegean islands e.g. Chalki–Sampson, Kozłowski, Kaczanowska 2016). But from these sites the bullet core technique is not known.

In the Forest and Coastal steps of northwestern Black sea area two key sites (Thissen, Reingruber 2017, 124, Fig. 10:1) which present unbiased 14C data, deserve special attention. These are the settlement of Ghirzhevo, Khadzhybeisky liman located in the coastal step area, and Mirnoe, Kiliskyi region, both in the Odessa district. The first one is related to the Mesolithic and could be placed between 6400–6100 cal BC and 6010–5880 cal BC (Biagi, Kiosak

2010, 21–41; Thissen, Reingruber 2017, 171). The second, Mirnoe was linked with Mesolithic too. The new absolute dates indicate that the beginning of the period is related to the end of the 9th millennium BC (Biagi, Stanko, Kiosak, 2008, 35; Gatsov 2013, 86). The lithic assemblages are characterized by conical and bullet specimens from which fine, regular bladelets were produced by means of pressure techniques. As far the retouched tools are concerned they are characterized by dominance of circular and semi-circular end scrapers, mostly micro specimens - with length less than 25 mm, backed blades and backed bladelets; geometric microliths in the shape of isosceles and rectangular trapezes. Those assemblages express clear connection with the Mesolithic Kukrek culture from the Crimean Peninsula (Stanko 1982).

From the Forest and Coastal steps of northwestern Black sea area the Erbiceni and Ripiceni sites in Romanian Moldova provide information on conical and bullet core technology (Păunescu 1990, 228; Boroneanț 2002, 17–46). The absolute dates from Erbiceni are related to the very end of 8 mill BC to the mid of first half of 7 mill BC — 7040–6500 (Thissen, Reingruber 2017, 166).

As a whole the situation is very well summarized “Moreover, according to Berciu the microliths from Dobrogea bear strong similarities with those from the Crimean Peninsula” (Berciu 1966, 45). This opinion is shared by Al. Păunescu who identified striking resemblances of the material culture in “Tardenoisian” sites in the Dobrogea and Moldova to the inventories from east of the Prut valley (Reingruber 2017, 103).

In the Neolithic period bullet cores are found on the western side of the Black Sea in the Dobrogea and on the Turkish Black Sea coast south and east of the Marmara Basin. The two regions are separated by the Bulgarian Black Sea coast where bullet cores have not been recorded as yet. In the Marmara Sea basin and the Bosphorus finds of bullet cores are associated with the sites of the Fikirtepe Culture. Cores of this type were also found in Dobrogea at the sites of the early phase of the Hamangia Culture (e.g. Trgusov–Păunescu 1970, Fig. 29:11). Romanian researchers (Hașotti 1997) emphasize the presence of microlithic component in the Hamangia Culture that derive from the local Mesolithic.

The bullet core from the vicinity of Varna was, thus, found in between the two above mentioned zones of the occurrence of this technology which makes us inclined to associate this artifact with the Neolithic. At the moment the working hypothesis is that the Bulgarian bullet core could be linked with the northern influences of the Hamangia Culture that extended to the territory of northern Bulgaria. Nevertheless, it is not excluded those specimens to be related to the Epipalaeolithic/Mesolithic period as well.

The adoption of the bullet core technique by Neolithic populations is a broad phenomenon. It spread as well in the eastern peripheries of the distribution of the Western Linear Culture evidenced, for example, by the presence of bullet cores at the site of Glavanesti Vechi (Păunescu 1970) in north-eastern Romania.

III. EPIPALAEOLITHIC/MESOLITHIC/NEOLITHIC IN THE MARMARA REGION

On the Turkish Black Sea coast cores knapped by pressure mode of detachment are known in the Epipalaeolithic/Mesolithic Ağaçlı group. Here it should be pointed out that the smallest size core specimens are on the border between conical and bullet ones. Additionally this group is represented by the surface collections including perforators and drills, micro end-scrapers, flat circular and semi-circular end-scrapers from the sites of Ağaçlı, Gümüşdere and Domali (Gatsov, Özdoğan 1994). Those are typical for the Marmara region Neolithic and Chalcolithic chipped stone assemblages mention further down.

As far as the Neolithic is concerned bullet cores have been attested at the settlements east, south and west of the Marmara Sea. On the one hand these are coastal settlements such as Fikirtepe and Pendik and on the other hand such pieces were recorded at inland sites such as Ilıpınar, Menteşe, Barcın Höyük and Aktopraklık (Özdoğan 1999, 203–224; 2010, 883–897; 2014, 33–49; Gatsov 2007, 119–127; Balci 2011, 1–11; Karul 2011, 57–65; Karul, Avcı 2011, 1–15). Up to now the earliest occurrence of this technology confirmed by absolute dates is associated with the Barcın Höyük settlement, while the latest in Phase VB of Ilıpınar (Thissen, Reingruber 2017, 123, 124, 126, 127). In other words, the conical and bullet core technology was used in the Marmara region from the second half of 7 mill BC. till mid of 6 mill BC. according to the absolute dates. In all assemblages which were investigated these type of cores co-occur with blade perforators and alternate perforators, flat circular and semicircular end scrapers on cortical flakes, trapezes, but without burins.

Moreover, thanks to the research of B.C. Düring and V. Klinkenberg in the frame of Cide Archaeological project (CAP) there is a new evidence for bullet core technology in Northern Turkey and especially in the region of Cide-Şenpazar. “In Cide-Şenpazar region we found lithic artefacts that have parallels in both Neolithic sites in south central Anatolia and others that appeared similar to those found in the Ağaçlı Mesolithic and Marmara region” (Düring, Klinkenberg 2015, 104).

IV. CONCLUSION

Despite the lack of context and the accidental character of the Bulgarian find, this suggests that conical and bullet core technology existed in the Bulgarian Black Sea coast. The lack of such cores and corresponding bladelets could be due to lack of adequate investigation. The recent discoveries of bullet specimens in NE Bulgaria and their appearance in Northern Turkey make it clear that the this technology covers vast territories on the Black sea coast, including West Pontic region, coastal steps on the northwestern Black sea area, the Crimean Peninsula, the Caucasus (Narimanishvili, Nedelcheva, Gatsov unpublished), part

of the Turkish Black sea coast, the Marmara region (Fig. 2). Conical and bullet cores for blades and bladelets were associated with different periods, different cultures and different environments reflecting “that of interaction, of co-existence, of multi-directional influences and of the exchange of innovative achievements” (Reingruber 2017, 106).




Fig. 2. Map of more important sites with conical and bullet core technology around the Black and Marmara Seas; drawing by authors, maps Google Earth

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are very obliged to the National Science Centre (NCN) for the support in the frame of the project: 2015/19/B/HS3/00477.

REFERENCES

- Balci S.
2011 *The Chipped Stone Industry of Aktopraklık C (Bursa): Preliminary Results*, *Anatolia Antiqua* 19, p. 1–11.
- Berciu D.
1966 *Zorile istoriei in Carpați și la Dunăre*, București (Editura Științifică).
- Biagi P., Kiosak D.
2010 *The Mesolithic of the northwestern Pontic region: New MS dates for the origin and spread of the blade and trapeze industries in southeastern Europe*, *Eurasia Antiqua* 16, p. 21–41.

- Biagi P., Stanko V. N., Kiosak D. (Біаджі П., Станко В. Н., Кіосак Д. В.)
2008 *Novi radiouugletsevi daty poselenya Myrne*, "Istorychni nauky" Spetsvipusk 96:83, p. 33–37.
- Boroneanț A.
2005 *The Tardenoisian in Romania — a false Problem?* Studii de Preistorie 2, p. 17–46
- Düring B. C., Klinckenberg V.
2015 *The Early Prehistory of the Cide-Şenpazar Region: ca. 10,000–3000 BC*, [in:] B. S. Düring, C. Glatz (eds.), *Kinetic Landscapes: The Cide Archaeological Project 2009–2011 — Surveying the Western Turkish Black Sea Region*, Warsaw (De Gruyter), p. 104–150.
- Gatsov I.
1982 *The Archaeological cultures of the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene in the western Black sea region, and their significance for the formation of the Neolithic flint industries*, [in:] J. K. Kozłowski, S. K. Kozłowski (eds.), *Origin of the chipped stone industries of the early farming cultures in the Balkans*, Warszawa–Kraków (Uniwersytet Jagielloński), p. 111–130.
- Gatsov I.
2007 *The Neolithisation process between Anatolia and The Balkans: A lithic perspective from the region around the Sea of Marmara*, [in:] M. Spataro, P. Biagi (eds.), *A Short Walk Through the Balkans: The First Farmers of the Carpathian Basin and Adjacent Regions. Società per la Preistoria e Protostoria della Regione Friuli–Venezia Giulia 12*, Trieste (Svevo), p. 119–127.
- Gatsov I.
2013 *Lithic assemblages from the area of North-Western Pontic from the 9th–7th Millennia BC*, [in:] A. Anders, G. Kulcsár, G. Kalla, V. Kiss, G. V. Szabó (eds.), *Moments in time. Papers Present to Pál Raczky on His 60th Birthday*, Budapest (L'Harmattan Kiadó), p. 85–89.
- Gatsov I., Özdoğan M.
1994 *Some Epi-Palaeolithic Sites in NW Turkey*, *Anatolica* 20, p. 97–120.
- Gurova M., Bonsal C.
2014 *'Pre-Neolithic' in Southeast European. Bulgarian perspective*, *Documenta Praehistorica* 41, p. 95–109.
- Haşotti P.
1997 *Epoca neolitică în Dobrogea*, Biblioteca Tomitana 1, Constanța (Muzeul de Istorie Națională și Arheologie).
- Karul N.
2011 *The Emergence of Neolithic Life in South and East Marmara Region*, [in:] R. Kraus (ed.), *Beginnings—New Research in the Appearance of the Neolithic between Northwest Anatolia and the Carpathian Basin. Papers of the International Workshop 2009, Istanbul. Band 1 Forschungs Cluster I: Von der Sesshaftigkeit zur komplexen Gesellschaft: Siedlung, Wirtschaft, Umwelt. Rahden/Westfalen* (Verlag Marie Leidorf), p. 57–65.
- Karul N., Avcı M. B.
2011 *Neolithic Communities in the Eastern Marmara Region: Aktopraklık C*, *Anatolica* 27, p. 1–15.
- Kozłowski S. K.
2009 *Thinking mesolithic*, Oxford (Oxbow books).
- Lichardus J., Gatsov I., Gurova M., Iliev I. K.
2000 *Geometric Microliths from the Middle Neolithic Site Drama-Gerena (South Bulgaria) and the Problem of Mesolithic Tradition in South Eastern Europe*, *Eurasia Antiqua* 6, p. 1–12.
- Narimanishvili D., Nedelcheva P., Gatsov I.
unpublished *An obsidian mine and halting places in Javakheti, Georgia*.
- Özdoğan M.
1999 *Northwestern Turkey: Neolithic cultures in between the Balkans and Anatolia*, [in:] M. Özdoğan, N. Başgelen (eds.), *Neolithic in Turkey. The Cradle of Civilization*, Istanbul (Arkeoloji ve Sanat Yayınları), p. 203–224.

Özdoğan M.

- 2010 *Westward expansion of the Neolithic way of life: Sorting the Neolithic package into distinct packages*, [in:] P. Matthiae, F. Pinnock, L. Nigro, N. Marchetti (eds.), *Proceedings of the 6th International Congress on the Archaeology of the Ancient Near East, May 5th–10th 2008, "Sapienza" — Università di Roma Volume 1, Near Eastern Archaeology in the Past, Present and Future. Heritage and Identity Ethnoarchaeological and Interdisciplinary Approach, Results and Perspectives Visual Expression and Craft Production in the Definition of Social Relations and Status* (Harrassowitz Verlag), p. 883–897.

Özdoğan M.

- 2014 *A new look at the introduction of the Neolithic way of life in Southeastern Europe. Changing paradigms of the expansion of the Neolithic way of life*, *Documenta Praehistorica* 41, p. 33–49

Păunescu A.

- 1970 *Evoluția uneltelor și armelor de piatră cioplită descoperită pe teritoriul României*, *Bibliotheca de Arheologia* 15, București (Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România).

Păunescu A.

- 1990 *Scurtă privire asupra paleoliticului și mezoliticului din Dobrogea*, *SCIIVA* 41:3–4, p. 215–234.

Reingruber A.

- 2017 *The Beginning of the Neolithic Way of Life in the Eastern Lower Danube Area: A View From the North*, [in:] A. Reingruber, Z. Tsirtsoni, P. Nedelcheva (eds.), *Going West? The Dissemination of Neolithic Innovations between the Bosphorus and the Carpathians The appearance of Neolithic innovations between Bosphorus and Carpathians, Proceeding of the EAA Conference, Istanbul, 11 September 2014.*, London–New York (Routledge), p. 91–112.

Sampson A., Kozłowski J. K., Kaczanowska M.

- 2016 *Lithic industries of the Aegean Upper Mesolithic*, *Mediterranean Archaeology and Archaeometry* 16:2, p. 229–243.

Stanko V. N. (Станко В. Н.)

- 1982 *Mirnoe. Problema mesolita stepei Severnogo Prichernomor'ya*, Kiev (Naukova Dumka).

Thissen L., Reingruber A.

- 2017 *Appendix: 14C Data base for Southeast Europe and Adjacent Areas (6600–5000 cal BC)*, [in:] A. Reingruber, Z. Tsirtsoni, P. Nedelcheva (eds.), *Going West? The Dissemination of Neolithic Innovations between the Bosphorus and the Carpathians The appearance of Neolithic innovations between Bosphorus and Carpathians, Proceeding of the EAA Conference, Istanbul, 11 September 2014*, London–New York (Routledge), p. 123–178.

Addresses of the Authors

Ivan Gatsov
Petranka Nedelcheva
New Bulgarian University
Department of Archaeology
21 Montevideo
1618 Sofia
BULGARIA
e-mail: igatsov@yahoo.com
e-mail: pnedelcheva@nbu.bg

Janusz K. Kozłowski,
Małgorzata Kaczanowska
Institute of Archaeology
Jagiellonian University
Gołębia 11
31-007 Krakow
POLAND
e-mail: janusz.kozlowski@uj.edu.pl

